
**The Australian National University
School of Business and Information Management**

**The Effect of Voluntary Disclosure of Non-Financial Performance
Indicators on Company Valuation Judgements:
An Experimental Analysis**

**A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of
The Australian National University**

by

Paul James Coram

November 2005

Certification

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and that to the best of my knowledge it contains no material previously published or written by another person, nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at ANU or any other educational institution except where due acknowledgement is made in the thesis. Any contribution made to the research by others, with whom I have worked at ANU or elsewhere, is explicitly acknowledged in the thesis.

I also declare that the intellectual content of this thesis is the product of my own work, except to the extent that assistance from others in the project's design and conception or in style, presentation and linguistic expression is acknowledged.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'P. J. Coram', with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke at the end.

Paul James Coram
November 2005

Acknowledgements

Thanks firstly to my supervisors, Gary Monroe (Chair), Ted Mock, and David Woodliff, for their encouragement, support and knowledge. Thanks also to Ted for assistance with the protocol study and for double coding the protocols.

This dissertation has benefited from many people who were not members of my committee. I would like to thank Jeff Cohen, Jere Francis, Keith Houghton, Christine Jubb, Tom Kida, Lisa Koonce, and Roger Simnett. In particular, thanks to Ken Trotman for advice and assistance throughout the process. Thanks also to friends and colleagues at The University of Melbourne, The Australian National University, and The University of Western Australia.

Thanks to the Accounting and Finance Association of Australia and New Zealand for a research grant to support this study, the Australian Shareholders' Association for distributing experimental materials to their members, and to the participants in the experiments for giving their time.

Thanks to my parents, Allan and Annette for their love and supporting my early studies.

Finally, and especially, thanks to my wife Veronica for her love and ongoing support and my four-year-old daughter Ingrid for her love and for being such an enjoyable distraction.

Abstract

Transparency and disclosure are crucial to our corporate reporting system and in recent years there have been calls for more of both. This dissertation has two primary aims in addressing this issue. The first aim is to ascertain whether non-financial performance indicators impact users' judgements and decision-making when assessing company performance. The second and associated aim is to assess the impact of assurance services on these non-financial performance indicators. This dissertation addresses these aims through the performance of two studies. Study One used the verbal protocol methodology to examine the information processing strategies and types of information utilised by financial analysts in valuing a company. Study Two drew on the results and case study materials from Study One and was a behavioural experiment performed on several participant groups, which examined the impact of the provision of non-financial performance indicators and assurance on users' share price estimates and earnings forecasts.

Study One found, as expected, that financial statements were the main source of information utilised in performing a company valuation. However, significant utilisation of the non-financial performance indicators and assurance report was also observed from the verbal protocols. The experimental case material in Study One included a between-subjects manipulation relating to whether the financial information was positive or negative trending. It was found that participants in the negative trending group focussed significantly more on the financial statements, performed more algebraic calculations, and brought less information from memory to

the task. This is consistent with the psychology literature that suggests negative information tends to be more diagnostic and elicits more cognitive analysis.

Study Two was a behavioural experiment that used a 2 (positive and negative non-financial performance indicators) x 2 (assurance and no assurance) + 1 (base case) between-subjects design. Participants were asked to assess whether the company's share price and earnings would increase, decrease or stay the same based on the information provided. The main participant group in this study was accountants, as they were expected to have expertise in financial statement and security valuation concepts. It was found that the non-financial performance indicators had a very significant effect in the expected directions on share price estimates and earnings forecasts. Assurance also had a significant effect on share price estimates but only when the non-financial information was positive, consistent with attribution theory. The other two groups in Study Two were commerce students and Australian Shareholders' Association members. The main significant finding from these other two groups was that negative non-financial performance indicators decreased their earnings forecasts. However, the order effects observed for these other two groups suggested that they may not have had the appropriate level of expertise as the main group to perform a task of this type.

In summary, for users with higher levels of expertise, non-financial performance indicators and assurance affect their judgements and decision-making. This should be of interest to managers in companies considering enhanced disclosure policies, regulators considering mandating disclosure of this type of information, and analysts and other financial statement users in deciding how to use this information.

Summary Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter One Introduction	1
Chapter Two Literature Review	12
Chapter Three Interviews and Initial Case Study Development	69
Chapter Four Study One - Protocol Analysis	95
Chapter Five Study Two - Hypotheses and Case Study Development	146
Chapter Six Study Two - Participant Groups and Administration	183
Chapter Seven Study Two - Results of Experiment (Main Group)	205
Chapter Eight Study Two - Results of Experiments (Other Groups)	236
Chapter Nine Summary and Conclusions	266
Appendices	276
Bibliography	341

Detailed Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Certification	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	iv
Summary Table of Contents	vi
Detailed Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables, Exhibits and Figures	xii
 Chapter One Introduction	 1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Aims	3
1.3 Contributions	5
1.3.1 Methodological	6
1.3.2 Practical	6
1.3.3 Theoretical	7
1.4 Structure of the Dissertation	8
 Chapter Two Literature Review	 12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Calls for More Disclosure	13
2.3 Empirical Evidence on the Value of Non-Financial Disclosure	21
2.3.1 Value of Increasing Voluntary Disclosure	22
2.3.2 Value Relevance Studies	24
2.3.3 Predictive Ability Studies	26
2.3.4 Management Compensation Research	31
2.3.5 Surveys of Users, Examination of Analysts' Reports and Practice	32
2.3.6 Behavioural Studies	40
2.3.7 Summary	42
2.4 The Balanced Scorecard – A Structured Format to Report Non-Financial Performance Indicators	44
2.4.1 What is the Balanced Scorecard?	44
2.4.2 Research on the Usefulness of the Balanced Scorecard	47
2.4.3 Why Not Disclose it Externally?	48
2.4.4 Can Investors' Utilise this Information?	52
2.4.5 Summary	53
2.5 The Value of Assurance Services	54
2.5.1 Demand for Auditing	54
2.5.2 Assurance Services	56
2.6 Research on Attribution Theory	63
2.7 Summary	67

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter Three Interviews and Initial Case Study Development	69
3.1 Introduction	69
3.2 Interviews	69
3.2.1 Participants	71
3.2.2 Interviews	72
3.2.3 Summary of Discussions	76
3.3 Research Method	78
3.4 Development of Case Study	79
3.5 Summary	93
 Chapter Four Study One - Protocol Analysis	 95
4.1 Introduction	95
4.2 Background	96
4.3 Theory and Research Question Development	101
4.3.1 Information Used in Performing a Valuation	101
4.3.2 Decision-Making Processes in Performing a Valuation	104
4.4 Method	107
4.5 Coding	112
4.5.1 Transcription of Protocols	112
4.5.2 Types of Coding	112
4.5.3 Double Coding and Reconciliation	118
4.5.4 Example of Coding	121
4.5.5 Coding Descriptives	123
4.6 Results of Protocol Analysis	125
4.6.1 Research Questions	125
4.6.2 Other Results	141
4.7 Summary	143

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter Five Study Two - Hypotheses and Case Study Development	146
5.1 Introduction	146
5.2 Hypotheses Development	147
5.2.1 Hypotheses 1 and 2 – The Value of Non-Financial Performance Indicators	147
5.2.2 Hypotheses 3 and 4 – The Value of Assurance	149
5.2.3 Hypothesis 5 – Increased Reliability from Assurance	152
5.2.4 Hypothesis 6 – Increased Reliability from Negative Disclosures	152
5.3 Final Case Study Development	153
5.3.1 Financial Manipulation Removed	153
5.3.2 Salience of Non-Financial Performance Indicators	155
5.3.3 Provide Non-Financial Trends	161
5.3.4 Increase Size of Company	164
5.3.5 Provide Most Recent Share Price	168
5.4 Other Changes	174
5.4.1 Manipulation Checks	174
5.4.2 Order Effects	175
5.5 Details of Final Case	176
5.6 Summary	181
 Chapter Six Study Two - Participant Groups and Administration	 183
6.1 Introduction	183
6.2 Rationale for Choice of Groups	183
6.2.1 Main Group	183
6.2.2 Other Groups	190
6.3 Research Ethics Approval	191
6.4 Data Filtering	193
6.5 Descriptive Statistics	196
6.5.1 Main Group	196
6.5.2 Other Groups	199
6.6 Summary	204

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter Seven Study Two - Results of Experiment (Main Group)	205
7.1 Introduction	205
7.2 Summary Results of Hypothesis Testing	206
7.3 Method of Data Analysis	207
7.4 Analyses	209
7.4.1 Share Price Estimates	210
7.4.2 Earnings Forecasts	216
7.4.3 Reliability Estimates	219
7.4.4 Confidence Assessments	221
7.5 Sensitivity Analyses	224
7.5.1 Auditors Compared to Non-Auditors	224
7.5.2 Shareholders Compared to Non-Shareholders	227
7.5.3 Knowledge of Financial Statements	230
7.6 Internal Validity Checks	230
7.6.1 Manipulation Checks	231
7.6.2 Order Effects	233
7.7 Summary	234
 Chapter Eight Study Two - Results of Experiments (Other Groups)	 236
8.1 Introduction	236
8.2 Summary Results of Hypothesis Testing	237
8.3 Commerce Students	239
8.3.1 Share Price Estimates	239
8.3.2 Earnings Forecasts	242
8.3.3 Reliability Estimates	245
8.4 ASA Members	246
8.4.1 Share Price Estimates	247
8.4.2 Earnings Forecasts	250
8.4.3 Reliability Estimates	253
8.5 Sensitivity Analyses	255
8.5.1 Commerce Students	255
8.5.2 ASA Members	256
8.6 Internal Validity Checks	257
8.6.1 Manipulation Checks	258
8.6.2 Order Effects	260
8.7 Summary	261
 Chapter Nine Summary and Conclusions	 266
9.1 Introduction	266
9.2 Motivation and Research Findings	266
9.3 Implications	270
9.4 Limitations	272
9.5 Future Research Directions	274

	<u>Page</u>
Appendices	276
Appendix One – Details of Experimental Case Studies	276
Appendix Two - Summary of Significant Behavioural Studies Evaluating Financial Statement Users' Judgements in the Last Ten Years	320
Appendix Three – Testing of Normality and for Outliers (Main Group)	327
Appendix Four – Additional Data Analysis (Main Group)	333
Bibliography	341

List of Tables, Exhibits and Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Table 3.1	Descriptive Details of Interviewees 71
Table 4.1	Participant Profile 111
Table 4.2	Development of Activity Codes 114
Table 4.3	Activity Code Definitions 116
Table 4.4	Information Codes 118
Table 4.5	Kappa Coefficients 120
Table 4.6	Participant Profile 123
Table 4.7	Overall Activity Codes – Descriptives 124
Table 4.8	Overall Information Item Codes 126
Table 4.9	Information Item Codes – Positive Trending Financial Information 128
Table 4.10	Information Item Codes – Negative Trending Financial Information 129
Table 4.11	Activity Codes – Positive Trending Financial Information 138
Table 4.12	Activity Codes – Negative Trending Financial Information 139
Table 4.13	Information Search Strategies – By Participant 141
Table 4.14	Financial Analysts – Valuations and Confidence 142
Table 4.15	Manipulations, Valuations and Confidence – Overall 142
Table 5.1	Experiment to Determine Non-Financial Performance Salience 160
Table 6.1	Calculation of Final Sample 196
Table 6.2	Accountants – Biographical Information 198
Table 6.3	Commerce Students – Biographical Information 200
Table 6.4	ASA Members – Biographical Information 202
Table 7.1	Results of Hypothesis Testing 206
Table 7.2	Accountants – Share Price Estimates 211
Table 7.3	Accountants – Earnings Forecasts 216
Table 7.4	Accountants – Impact of Assurance on Reliability 220
Table 7.5	Accountants – Impact of Non-Financial Information Disclosure on Reliability 220
Table 7.6	Accountants – Share Price Estimate Confidence 222
Table 7.7	Accountants – Earnings Forecast Confidence 223
Table 7.8	Comparison Between Auditors and Non-Auditors – Share Price Estimates 225
Table 7.9	Comparison Between Auditors and Non-Auditors – Earnings Forecasts 227
Table 7.10	Comparison Between Shareholders and Non-Shareholders – Share Price Estimates 228
Table 7.11	Non-Financial Manipulation 231

	<u>Page</u>
Table 7.12	Comparison Between Non-Financial Manipulation Check and Share Price Estimates 232
Table 7.13	Assurance Manipulation 233
Table 8.1	Results of Hypothesis Testing 238
Table 8.2	Commerce Students – Share Price Estimates 240
Table 8.3	Commerce Students – Earnings Forecasts 243
Table 8.4	Commerce Students – Impact of Assurance on Reliability 245
Table 8.5	Commerce Students – Impact of Non-Financial Information Disclosure on Reliability 246
Table 8.6	ASA Members – Share Price Estimates 248
Table 8.7	ASA Members – Earnings Forecasts 251
Table 8.8	ASA Members – Impact of Assurance on Reliability 254
Table 8.9	ASA Members – Impact of Non-Financial Information Disclosure on Reliability 255
Table 8.10	Commerce Students – Non-Financial Manipulation 258
Table 8.11	ASA Members – Non-Financial Manipulation 258
Table 8.12	Commerce Students – Assurance Manipulation 259
Table 8.13	ASA Members – Assurance Manipulation 259
Exhibit 2.1	Model of the Attribution Field 64
Exhibit 3.1	Balanced Scorecard Positive Performance Indicators 85
Exhibit 3.2	Assurance Report 88
Exhibit 3.3	Case Study Instructions 92
Exhibit 3.4	Case Study Questions 93
Exhibit 4.1	Case Study Protocol Instructions 109
Exhibit 4.2	Protocol Coding Example – Verbatim Protocol 121
Exhibit 4.3	Protocol Coding Example – Parsed Phrases and Coding 122
Exhibit 5.1	Non-Financial Performance Indicators Questionnaire 159
Exhibit 5.2	Positive Non-Financial Performance Indicators 163
Exhibit 5.3	Negative Non-Financial Performance Indicators 164
Exhibit 5.4	PERs for Comparable Listed Companies 166
Exhibit 5.5	Case Study Share Price Questions 171
Exhibit 5.6	Case Study Earnings Forecast Questions 172
Exhibit 5.7	Case Study Reliability Questions 173
Exhibit 5.8	Case Study Manipulation Checks 175
Exhibit 5.9	Case Study Assurance Report 179
Figure 7.1	Interaction Effect 214