



Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes across an elevation gradient in the tropical Peruvian Andes

Y. A. Teh^{1,*}, T. Diem¹, S. Jones², L. P. Huaraca Quispe³, E. Baggs⁴, N. Morley⁴, M. Richards⁴, P. Smith⁴, and P. Meir^{2,5}

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St Andrews, Scotland, UK

²School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

³Universidad Nacional de San Antonio Abad del Cusco, Peru

⁴Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

⁵Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

* now at: Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Cruickshank Building, St Machar Drive, Aberdeen, AB24 3UU, Scotland, UK

Correspondence to: Y. A. Teh (yateh@abdn.ac.uk)

Received: 30 September 2013 – Published in Biogeosciences Discuss.: 5 November 2013

Revised: 17 February 2014 – Accepted: 8 March 2014 – Published: 25 April 2014

Abstract. Remote sensing and inverse modelling studies indicate that the tropics emit more CH₄ and N₂O than predicted by bottom-up emissions inventories, suggesting that terrestrial sources are stronger or more numerous than previously thought. Tropical uplands are a potentially large and important source of CH₄ and N₂O often overlooked by past empirical and modelling studies. To address this knowledge gap, we investigated spatial, temporal and environmental trends in soil CH₄ and N₂O fluxes across a long elevation gradient (600–3700 m a.s.l.) in the Kosñipata Valley, in the southern Peruvian Andes, that experiences seasonal fluctuations in rainfall. The aim of this work was to produce preliminary estimates of soil CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from representative habitats within this region, and to identify the proximate controls on soil CH₄ and N₂O dynamics. Area-weighted flux calculations indicated that ecosystems across this altitudinal gradient were both atmospheric sources and sinks of CH₄ on an annual basis. Montane grasslands (3200–3700 m a.s.l.) were strong atmospheric sources, emitting 56.94 ± 7.81 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Upper montane forest (2200–3200 m a.s.l.) and lower montane forest (1200–2200 m a.s.l.) were net atmospheric sinks (-2.99 ± 0.29 and -2.34 ± 0.29 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively); while premontane forests (600–1200 m a.s.l.) fluctuated between source or sink depending on the season (wet season: 1.86 ± 1.50 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; dry season: -1.17 ± 0.40 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). Analysis of spatial, tem-

poral and environmental trends in soil CH₄ flux across the study site suggest that soil redox was a dominant control on net soil CH₄ flux. Soil CH₄ emissions were greatest from habitats, landforms and during times of year when soils were suboxic, and soil CH₄ efflux was inversely correlated with soil O₂ concentration (Spearman's $\rho = -0.45$, $P < 0.0001$) and positively correlated with water-filled pore space (Spearman's $\rho = 0.63$, $P < 0.0001$). Ecosystems across the region were net atmospheric N₂O sources. Soil N₂O fluxes declined with increasing elevation; area-weighted flux calculations indicated that N₂O emissions from premontane forest, lower montane forest, upper montane forest and montane grasslands averaged 2.23 ± 1.31 , 1.68 ± 0.44 , 0.44 ± 0.47 and 0.15 ± 1.10 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively. Soil N₂O fluxes from premontane and lower montane forests exceeded prior model predictions for the region. Comprehensive investigation of field and laboratory data collected in this study suggest that soil N₂O fluxes from this region were primarily driven by denitrification; that nitrate (NO₃⁻) availability was the principal constraint on soil N₂O fluxes; and that soil moisture and water-filled porosity played a secondary role in modulating N₂O emissions. Any current and future changes in N management or anthropogenic N deposition may cause shifts in net soil N₂O fluxes from these tropical montane ecosystems, further enhancing this emission source.

1 Introduction

Recent remote sensing and inverse modelling studies indicate that the tropics emit more methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) than estimated from prior bottom-up emissions inventories, suggesting that tropical sources are stronger or more numerous than previously thought (Frankenberg et al., 2008; Frankenberg et al., 2005; Bergamaschi et al., 2009; Fletcher et al., 2004a, b; Hirsch et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2008; Kort et al., 2011). Recent speculation over discrepancies in the global tropical CH_4 budget have focussed on the potential role of seasonally flooded wetlands (Melack et al., 2004; Bergamaschi et al., 2009) or vegetation in accounting for budgetary gaps; the latter acting as abiotic producers (Bergamaschi et al., 2007; Keppler et al., 2006), sites of methanogenic activity (Martinson et al., 2010; Covey et al., 2012) or conduits for atmospheric egress from anoxic soils (Gauci et al., 2010; Terazawa et al., 2007; Pangala et al., 2013). Parallel debates over tropical N_2O budgets have invoked rising agricultural emissions or atmospheric transport processes as possible causes for discrepancies between top-down and bottom-up budgets (Nevison et al., 2007, 2011; Kort et al., 2011).

One potentially important source of CH_4 and N_2O overlooked both by bottom-up inventories and top-down studies are fluxes from tropical upland soils (Spahni et al., 2011), because attention has historically focussed on seasonally inundated wetlands (e.g. *várzea* in Brazil) (Fung et al., 1991; Bergamaschi et al., 2009; Melack et al., 2004; Werner et al., 2007), lowland forests, savannas, or pastures (Hall and Matson, 1999; Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; Werner et al., 2007; Keller et al., 1986, 1993; Verchot et al., 2000; Keller and Reiners, 1994). However, upland ecosystems account for a substantial fraction of land cover in the tropics; in South America alone, upland ecosystems (> 500 m a.s.l.) represent more than 8 % of total continental land cover (Eva et al., 2004), while in mountainous countries, such as Peru or other Andean states, upland ecosystems may account for upwards of 80 % of total land cover (Feeley and Silman, 2010). Measurements from tropical uplands in Australia (Breuer et al., 2000), Ecuador (Wolf et al., 2012, 2011), Hawaii (Hall and Matson, 1999; von Fischer and Hedin, 2002, 2007), Puerto Rico (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005) and Sulawesi (Veldkamp et al., 2008; Purbopuspito et al., 2006) indicate that CH_4 and N_2O fluxes from these environments are comparable or greater than those from tropical lowlands, and may therefore be quantitatively important in regional and global atmospheric budgets, particularly if these ecosystems function as regional “hotspots” of gas production or consumption (Teh et al., 2011; Waddington and Roulet, 1996). Soil CH_4 fluxes in tropical uplands are particularly intriguing because they show no clear regional patterns or trends. While some ecosystems function as net atmospheric sources, others operate as net atmospheric sinks (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; Veldkamp et al., 2008; von Fischer and Hedin,

2002; Wolf et al., 2011, 2012). Soil N_2O fluxes are more predictable, but are still poorly constrained (Werner et al., 2007); upland ecosystems, like their lowland counterparts, act as net N_2O sources, with emission rates modulated by factors such as soil moisture, water-filled pore space, soil oxygen content, pH, redox potential, C availability, inorganic N availability (NH_4^+ , NO_3^-), or competition for NO_3^- among different soil sinks (Hall and Matson, 1999; Silver et al., 1999, 2001; Veldkamp et al., 2008; Wolf et al., 2011; Firestone and Davidson, 1989).

In the Neotropics, data on upland CH_4 and N_2O fluxes are particularly scarce, with field observations only from Puerto Rico (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005) and Ecuador (Wolf et al., 2011, 2012). Because of the limited spatial coverage and aseasonality of these two regions, it is difficult to draw wider conclusions about the source or sink strength of Neotropical uplands for CH_4 and N_2O , particularly for areas that experience marked seasonality in rainfall or temperature. To address these knowledge gaps, we performed a preliminary study of soil CH_4 and N_2O cycling across a long elevation gradient (600–3700 m a.s.l.) in the Peruvian Andes that experiences seasonal variations in rainfall, and include a wide range of habitats stretching from premontane forests to wet montane grasslands. Our principal objectives were to:

1. quantify spatial (habitat, landform) and temporal (seasonal, month-to-month) trends in soil CH_4 and N_2O fluxes;
2. evaluate the role of environmental variables in modulating soil CH_4 and N_2O dynamics.

Findings from this research will provide the basis for future, more detailed and integrative studies of soil trace gas dynamics in seasonal montane tropical ecosystems; and will also enable us to identify the proximate controls on soil CH_4 and N_2O fluxes in these diverse environments.

2 Methods and materials

2.1 Study site

Measurements were conducted on the eastern slope of the Andes in the Kosñipata Valley, Manu National Park, Peru (Malhi et al., 2010) (Fig. 1). This 3.02×10^6 ha (30 200 km²) region has been the subject of intensive ecological, biogeochemical and climatological studies since 2003 by the Andes Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research Group (or, ABERG; <http://www.andesconservation.org>), and contains a series of long-term permanent plots across a 200–3700 m a.s.l. elevation gradient stretching from the western Amazon to the Andes (Malhi et al., 2010; Feeley and Silman, 2010). This part of the Andes experiences pronounced seasonality in rainfall but not in air temperature; the dry season extends from May to September and the wet season from October to April (Girardin et al., 2010; Zimmermann et al.,


Table 1. Site characteristics.

Elevation Band (m a.s.l.)	Habitat	Areal Coverage in the Kosñipata Valley (km ²)	Site Name	Latitude (S)	Longitude (W)	Mean Annual Temperature (°C)	Mean Annual Precipitation (mm)	Bulk density A horizon (g cm ⁻³)	pH	Soil C / N A horizon	Carbon A horizon (%)	Landforms (mesotopes)	Plots	Flux Chambers
600–1200	Premontane forest	7334	Hacienda Villa Carmen	12°53′43″	71°24′04″	23.4	5318	0.30	3.4	15	3	ridge, slope, flat	3	15
1200–2200	Lower montane forest	8923	San Pedro	13°2′56″	71°32′13″	18.8	2631	0.31	3.4	15	11	ridge, slope, flat	3	15
2200–3200	Upper montane forest	8066	Wayqecha	13°11′24″	71°35′13″	12.5	1706	0.41	3.9	25	47	slope, flat	3	15
3200–3700	Montane grasslands	5859	Tres Cruces	13°07′19″	71°36′54″	11.8	2200	0.36	4.1	14	10	ridge, slope, flat, basin	4	20

2010b). Thirteen sampling plots (approximately 20 × 20 m each) were established at four different habitats across a gradient spanning 600–3700 m a.s.l., including premontane forest (600–1200 m a.s.l.; $n = 3$ plots), lower montane forest (1200–2200 m a.s.l.; $n = 3$ plots), upper montane forest (2200–3200 m a.s.l.; $n = 3$ plots), and montane grasslands (3200–3700 m a.s.l.; $n = 4$ plots; colloquially referred to as “puna”) (Fig. 1). In premontane forest, new sampling plots were established in Hacienda Villa Carmen, a 3065 ha biological reserve operated by the Amazon Conservation Association (ACA), containing a mixture of old-growth forest, secondary forest and agricultural plots. Sampling for soil gas fluxes was concentrated in the old-growth portions of the reserve. For lower montane and upper montane forests, sampling plots were established adjacent to or within existing 1 ha permanent sampling plots established by ABERG. New sampling plots were also established in montane grasslands to capture a representative range of environmental conditions, microforms (1–5 m scale landforms) and mesotopes (100 m–1 km scale landforms) (Belyea and Baird, 2006), as past ABERG studies of the biogeochemistry of montane grasslands were more limited in both intensity and spatial extent (Gibbon et al., 2010; Zimmermann et al., 2010b). Mesotopic features include ridges, slopes, flats and basins. The latter two landforms include wet, grassy lawns with no discernible grade; and peat-filled depressions found in valley bottoms, respectively. Some (although not all) of these basins abut pool or lake complexes. Because of the logistic challenges of sampling over open water, we did not collect data from the pools or lakes, nor from the shoreline. Summary site descriptions are provided in Table 1 with data on site characteristics collated from prior studies (Feeley and Silman, 2010; Girardin et al., 2010; Zimmermann et al., 2009, 2010b).

2.2 Soil–atmosphere exchange

Field sampling was performed over a 13-month period from December 2010 to December 2011 for all habitats except premontane forest. Because of circumstances outside our control, only 6-months of data were collected for premontane forest, with sampling commencing in July 2011. Soil–atmosphere fluxes were collected monthly, except where flooding or landslides prevented safe access by fieldworkers to the study sites. Gas exchange rates were determined with five replicate gas flux chambers deployed in each of the 13

**Fig. 1.** Map of study sites across the Kosñipata Valley, Manu National Park, Peru.

plots ($n = 65$ flux observations per month). Sampling was spatially stratified to account for mesotope (100 m–1 km) scale variability in redox and hydrologic conditions (Belyea and Baird, 2006); key environmental factors that often regulate soil–atmosphere trace gas fluxes (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2011). All representative landforms were sampled in each habitat, including ridges, slopes, flats and basins (Table 1). This spatial stratification of sampling was justified by a prior pilot study conducted across the entire ABERG elevation gradient (i.e. 220–3700 m a.s.l.), which found significant within- and among-plot variability in fluxes, suggesting the need for spatially explicit sampling (Saiz and Teh, 2009, unpublished data; $n = 75$ static chamber measurements; > 10 flux measurements per elevation).

Daily sampling in montane grasslands were performed over 12 days (from 11–22 November 2011) in order to determine if soil trace gas fluxes varied among mesotope-scale landforms (ridge, slope, flat, basin) in response to short-term (daily) fluctuations in rainfall, water table depth and soil moisture content. Sampling followed a stratified design that encompassed a 2.5 ha area including ridge, slope, flat and basin landforms. Twenty-four sampling stations were set up across this topographic gradient > 1 month prior to sampling, consisting of six 75 m long transects, each running perpendicular to the slope and containing four sampling stations

each. Each sampling station was instrumented with a chamber base, a soil gas equilibration chamber buried at a depth of 10 cm (Teh et al., 2005), and a piezometer inserted to bedrock or saprolite depth (≤ 50 cm). Measurements of air temperature, flux chamber temperature, soil temperature (5 and 10 cm depth), atmospheric pressure, soil moisture (0–20 cm), soil oxygen (O_2) concentration and water table depth were collected concurrent with flux chamber measurements on a daily basis.

Soil–atmosphere fluxes of CH_4 , N_2O and CO_2 were determined using a static flux chamber approach (Teh et al., 2011, Livingston and Hutchinson, 1995), although only CH_4 and N_2O fluxes are reported here. Static flux chamber measurements were made by enclosing a 0.03 m^2 area with cylindrical, opaque (i.e. dark), two-component (i.e. base and lid) vented chambers. Chamber bases were permanently installed to a depth of approximately 5 cm and inserted > 1 month prior to the commencement of sampling, in order to minimize potential artefacts from root mortality following base emplacement (Varner et al., 2003). Chamber lids were fitted with small computer case fans to promote even mixing in the chamber headspace (Pumpanen et al., 2004). Headspace samples were collected from each flux chamber over a 30 min enclosure period, with samples collected at four discrete intervals using a gastight syringe. Gas samples were stored in evacuated Exetainers[®] (Labco Ltd., Lampeter, UK), shipped to the UK by courier, and subsequently analysed for CH_4 , N_2O and CO_2 concentrations with a Thermo TRACE GC Ultra (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) at the University of St Andrews. Chromatographic separation was achieved using a Porapak-Q column, and analyte concentrations quantified using a flame ionization detector (FID) for CH_4 , electron capture detector (ECD) for N_2O , and methanizer-FID for CO_2 . Instrumental precision was determined by repeated analysis of standards and was better than 5 % for all detectors. Fluxes were determined by using the R (R Core Team, 2012) HMR package to plot best-fit lines to the data for headspace concentration against time for individual flux chambers (Pedersen et al., 2010). Gas mixing ratios (ppm) were converted to areal fluxes by using the Ideal Gas Law to solve for the quantity of gas in the headspace (on a mole or mass basis), normalized by the surface area of each static flux chamber (Livingston and Hutchinson, 1995).

2.3 Environmental variables

To investigate the effects of environmental variables on trace gas dynamics, we determined soil moisture, soil oxygen content in the 0–10 cm depth, soil temperature, chamber temperature and air temperature at the time of flux sampling. In flooded environments (e.g. basins in montane grasslands), water table depth was also measured using piezometers installed to a depth of ≤ 50 cm in the soil. Soil moisture was determined using portable moisture probes (ML2x ThetaProbe, Delta-T Device Ltd., Cambridge, UK) inserted into the sub-

strate immediately adjacent to each flux chamber (< 5 cm from each chamber base; depth of 0–10 cm). Soil moisture content was measured both as volumetric water content (VWC) and water-filled pore space (WFPS), the latter calculated from VWC and bulk density data (Breuer et al., 2000). For sake of brevity, only WFPS numbers are reported in the body of the text, while both VWC and WFPS data are reported in tables. Soil O_2 concentration was determined by analysing soil gas with a portable O_2 meter (Apogee Instruments Ltd., Logan, Utah, USA), collected from soil gas equilibration chambers (Teh et al., 2005). Soil gas equilibration chambers were constructed from gas-permeable silicone tubing (Clark et al., 2001, Kammann et al., 2001), and permanently installed to a depth of 0–10 cm, adjacent to each static flux chamber. Soil O_2 was measured by withdrawing 40 mL of soil gas from each soil gas equilibration chamber using a stopcock and gastight syringe. The gas sample was then passed through the flow-through head of the O_2 meter into a second syringe. After the O_2 measurement was made, the soil gas was re-injected into the soil gas equilibration chamber using the second syringe. Dead volumes were flushed prior to sampling to reduce the likelihood of contamination by atmospheric air or by residual stagnant gas within the sampling system. Soil temperature (0–10 cm depth), chamber temperature and air temperature was determined using type K thermocouples (Omega Engineering Ltd., Manchester, UK). Data on aboveground litterfall, meteorological variables (i.e. photosynthetically active radiation, air temperature, relative humidity, rainfall, wind speed, wind direction), continuous plot-level soil moisture and soil temperature measurements (10 cm and 30 cm depths) were also collected, but are not reported in this publication.

Available inorganic N (i.e. ammonium, NH_4^+ ; nitrate, NO_3^- ; nitrite, NO_2^-) concentrations were quantified in all plots using a resin bag approach (Templer et al., 2005). From August 2011 onwards, ion exchange resin bags ($n = 15$ resin bags per elevation) were deployed at the bottom of the rooting zone (i.e. 0–10 cm depth in premontane forest, lower montane forest and montane grasslands; 0–15 cm in upper montane forest) (Girardin et al., 2010; Zimmermann et al., 2010a), following established protocols (Templer et al., 2005). Samples were collected at monthly intervals (where possible) for determination of monthly time-averaged NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and NO_2^- concentrations. For some plots, this sampling frequency was periodically disrupted due to natural hazards (i.e. land slides, river flooding) preventing safe access to the study sites. Resin bags were shipped to the University of Aberdeen after collection from the field, inorganic N was extracted using 2 N KCl (Templer et al., 2005) and concentrations determined colorimetrically using a Burkard SFA2 continuous-flow analyser (Burkard Scientific Ltd., Uxbridge, UK).

Table 2. Summary of soil sampling scheme for denitrification potential experiment.

Elevation Band (m a.s.l.)	Habitat	Rooting Soil samples	Soil Sample Incubations	Zone Depth (cm)	Depth (cm)
600–1200	Premontane forest	11	19	0–10	5–10
1200–2200	Lower montane forest	2	3	0–25	20–25
2200–3200	Upper montane forest	3	5	0–25	20–25
3200–3700	Montane grasslands	6	10	0–10	5–10

2.4 Denitrification potentials and N₂O yields

Potential denitrification rates across the elevation sequence were determined by performing an exploratory ¹⁵N-labelled nitrate (¹⁵N-NO₃⁻) laboratory tracer study (Baggs et al., 2003; Bateman and Baggs, 2005). Details of the soil sampling scheme for this experiment are summarized in Table 2. Twenty-two soil samples (125–170 g dry soil per sample) were collected from the same depth as the soil resin bags from sites across the elevation sequence, air-dried and then shipped to the UK by courier. A potential caveat associated with collecting soils from just below the zone of highest root density is that it may lead to underestimates of overall rates of denitrification (due to lower labile C availability) or overestimates of N₂O production rates (as higher labile C availability in the zone of greatest root density may promote denitrification to N₂) (Davidson, 1991; Firestone et al., 1980; Weier et al., 1993). However, given evidence for the overarching role of NO₃⁻ availability in regulating N₂O fluxes across this elevation gradient (see below), we chose to sample soils from the same depths as our measured indices of NO₃⁻ (i.e. the soil resin bags), thus enabling us to better establish possible linkages between NO₃⁻ availability and microbial denitrification potential. Moreover, because the soils were collected on a similar basis, results from the potential denitrification experiment are comparable across study sites, enabling us to probe for relative differences among habitats.

Upon arrival in the UK, 50 g dry soil sub-samples were taken from each soil sample and weighed out into 52 700 mL glass vessels for incubation ($n = 19$ for premontane forest, $n = 3$ for lower montane forest, $n = 5$ for upper montane forest, $n = 10$ for montane grasslands). The uneven sample sizes reflect the fact that this experiment was designed as a preliminary scoping exercise to capture a broad range of environmental conditions, microtopographic and mesotopic features in order to quantify the range of variability in denitrification rates both within and among habitats. Soil sub-samples were initially re-wetted to 20 % volumetric water content, and allowed to pre-incubate for four days. Soils were further moistened at the start of the experiment to achieve a final WFPS of 80 %. A KNO₃ solution containing 0.2 mL of 0.01 M 40 atom % ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ was then added to the soil, and the glass incubation vessels sealed to initiate the exper-

iment. Control incubations were conducted with soils from each habitat ($n = 3$ per elevation) to correct for the ¹⁵N natural abundance signature of endogenous N₂O and N₂ production. Gas samples were collected at 0, 6, 12, 24, 33 and 48 hours to quantify N₂O, ¹⁵N-N₂O and ¹⁵N-N₂ concentrations. Gas concentrations and isotope ratios were determined at the University of Aberdeen, using an Agilent 6890 GC fitted with an ECD (Agilent Technologies UK Ltd., Workingham, UK) and a SerCon 20:20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) equipped with an ANCA TGII pre-concentration module (SerCon Ltd., Crewe, UK), respectively. Instrumental precision was determined by repeated analysis of standards and was better than 5 % for both the GC and IRMS. Potential denitrification rates were calculated from the difference in the ¹⁵N atom % excess values of N₂O and N₂ relative to the controls. Fluxes of ¹⁵N-N₂O and ¹⁵N-N₂ were determined using the R (R Core Team; <http://www.r-project.org>) HMR package (as described above) and normalized for soil dry weight. Total denitrification potential (i.e. sum of ¹⁵N-N₂O plus ¹⁵N-N₂ fluxes) and N₂O yield (i.e. the ratio of ¹⁵N-N₂O : ¹⁵N-N₂O flux + ¹⁵N-N₂ flux) were also calculated (Yang et al., 2011).

2.5 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP IN Version 8 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) and R (R Core Team, 2012). The data were transformed where necessary to meet the assumptions of analysis of variance. Residuals were checked for heteroscedasticity and homogeneity of variances. Non-parametric tests were performed where transformed data were non-normally distributed or heteroscedastic. Generalized Linear Models (GLMs) were used to determine the influence of spatial (e.g. habitat, landform) and temporal factors (e.g. day of year, season) on gas fluxes and environmental variables. GLMs were also used to evaluate the collective contribution of categorical independent variables (habitat, landform, day of year, season) and continuous independent variables (soil moisture, soil oxygen, soil temperature, air temperature) in regulating trace gas fluxes. To determine if fluxes varied significantly among habitats, landforms or seasons, we used one-way ANOVA or Student's *t* tests for normally distributed data with equal variances, while Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA or Wilcoxon signed-rank test were

Table 3. Abiotic environmental variables for each habitat for the wet and dry season. Upper case letters indicate differences among habitats and lower case letters indicate difference among seasons within habitats (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$). Values reported here are means and standard errors.

Elevation Band (m a.s.l.)	Habitat	Volumetric Soil Moisture (%)		Water-filled pore space (%)		Soil Oxygen (%)		Soil Temperature (°C)		Air Temperature (°C)	
		Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season
600–1200	Premontane forest	39.3 ± 2.4 A a	42.9 ± 1.6 A a	65.6 ± 4.1 A a	71.5 ± 2.7 A a	NA	18.8 ± 0.3 A B	21.6 ± 0.1 A a	21.0 ± 0.1 A a	23.3 ± 0.2 A a	23.4 ± 0.5 A a
1200–2200	Lower montane forest	37.2 ± 1.0 A a	31.8 ± 1.5 B b	62.0 ± 1.6 A a	53.0 ± 1.7 B b	19.4 ± 0.1 A a	19.0 ± 0.1 A b	18.3 ± 0.1 B a	17.7 ± 0.1 B b	18.5 ± 0.2 B a	17.8 ± 0.2 B b
2200–3200	Upper montane forest	37.7 ± 1.0 A b	22.7 ± 1.0 C a	68.6 ± 1.9 A b	41.2 ± 1.8 C a	18.4 ± 0.2 A a	18.5 ± 0.1 B a	12.0 ± 0.1 C a	11.6 ± 0.1 C b	12.7 ± 0.1 C a	13.2 ± 0.1 C b
3200–3700	Montane grasslands	71.6 ± 0.5 B a	71.2 ± 0.5 D a	95.5 ± 0.2 B a	92.9 ± 0.7 D b	13.3 ± 0.4 B a	18.4 ± 0.1 B b	10.2 ± 0.1 D a	9.7 ± 0.1 D b	11.3 ± 0.2 D a	12.5 ± 0.3 C b




Fig. 2. (A) Net CH_4 and (B) net N_2O fluxes by habitat. The short-dash line within each box represents the mean, whereas the solid line represents the median. Boxes enclose the interquartile range, whiskers indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles. The dotted line running across the boxes indicates zero net flux. Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA ($P < 0.05$) was used to test for differences among habitats. Lower case letters indicate statistically significant differences among means (Fisher's LSD on rank transformed data, $P < 0.05$).

used for data that violated assumptions of normality and uniformity of variances. Relationships among continuous independent environmental variables and trace gas fluxes were investigated using linear regression for normally distributed data with equal variances, while Poisson regression or Spearman's Rank correlation were used to analyse non-normally distributed, heteroscedastic data. Means comparisons were

performed using Fisher's Least Significant Difference test (Fisher's LSD). Statistical significance was determined at the $P < 0.05$ level, unless otherwise noted. Values are reported as means and standard errors (± 1 SE)

3 Results

3.1 Spatial variation in gas fluxes and environmental variables

The mean soil CH_4 flux for the entire 13-month data set was $7.79 \pm 1.14 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. Soil CH_4 fluxes varied significantly among habitats and over time (GLM, $P < 0.0001$). Multiple comparisons tests indicated that soil CH_4 flux from montane grasslands differed significantly from other habitats (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 2a). Montane grasslands were net sources of CH_4 , with a mean flux of $15.60 \pm 2.14 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. In contrast, premontane, lower montane and upper montane forests were all net atmospheric sinks, with mean fluxes of -0.16 ± 0.13 , -0.64 ± 0.08 and $-0.82 \pm 0.08 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 2a). Soil CH_4 fluxes varied significantly among landforms (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, $P < 0.0001$; data not shown). Basin landforms, found only in montane grasslands, emitted significantly more CH_4 than other landforms (mean CH_4 flux for basin landforms was $63.99 \pm 7.80 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$; Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; data not shown). Other landforms were either weak sources or sinks, but could not be distinguished from each other statistically because of the large variance in fluxes. The mean for pooled soil CH_4 fluxes from ridge, slope and flat landforms (i.e. the entire data set excluding basin landforms) was $0.47 \pm 0.18 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, with a range from -8.71 to $78.5 \text{ mg CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$.

The mean soil N_2O flux for the entire 13-month data set was $0.22 \pm 0.12 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$. N_2O fluxes varied widely among habitats and over time (GLM, $P < 0.05$). Mean N_2O fluxes declined progressively with increasing elevation (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, $P < 0.05$), with the highest fluxes observed in premontane forest ($0.61 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), followed by lower montane forest ($0.46 \pm 0.12 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), upper montane forest ($0.12 \pm 0.13 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) and montane grasslands ($0.04 \pm 0.30 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) (Fig. 2b).




Fig. 3. (A) Available NH_4^+ and (B) available NO_3^- fluxes by habitat. The short-dash line within each box represents the mean, whereas the solid line represents the median. Boxes enclose the interquartile range, whiskers indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles. Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA ($P < 0.05$) was used to test for differences among habitats. Lower case letters indicate statistically significant differences among means (Fisher's LSD on rank transformed data, $P < 0.05$).

Soil N_2O fluxes also varied significantly among landforms (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, $P < 0.05$), with highest fluxes from flat landforms ($0.58 \pm 0.23 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), followed by ridges ($0.12 \pm 0.25 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), slopes ($0.06 \pm 0.18 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) and basins ($-0.27 \pm 0.62 \text{ mg N}_2\text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$).

Soil moisture varied significantly among habitats and over time (GLM, $P < 0.0001$). Multiple comparison tests indicate that soil moisture varied significantly among habitats (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; Table 3). Soil moisture was greatest in montane grasslands (WFPS: $94.7 \pm 0.3\%$) followed by premontane forest (WFPS: $70.1 \pm 2.3\%$). Lower montane forest (WFPS: $58.4 \pm 1.2\%$) and upper montane forest (WFPS: $58.0 \pm 1.6\%$) had significantly lower levels of soil moisture than either montane grasslands or premontane forest. Soil moisture varied significantly among landforms

(Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, $P < 0.0001$). Basins and ridges were significantly wetter than other landforms (WFPS: 97.5 ± 0.2 and $75.1 \pm 1.9\%$, respectively; Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; data not shown), while slope and flat landforms showed similar levels of soil moisture (WFPS: 66.8 ± 1.5 and $70.1 \pm 1.5\%$, respectively).

Soil O_2 concentrations in the 0–10 cm soil depth varied significantly among habitats and over time (GLM, $P < 0.0001$). Multiple comparisons tests indicated that soil O_2 concentration in montane grasslands was significantly lower than in other habitats (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; Table 3), with a mean value of $13.8 \pm 0.3\%$. Mean O_2 concentrations in premontane, lower montane and upper montane forests were 18.7 ± 0.3 , 19.2 ± 0.1 and $18.4 \pm 0.1\%$, respectively. Soil O_2 varied significantly among landforms (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, $P < 0.0001$); basin features showed significantly lower O_2 than other landforms ($5.3 \pm 0.9\%$; Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$), but other landforms did not differ significantly in O_2 concentration in the 0–10 cm soil depth. The pooled mean O_2 concentration for ridge, slope and flat landforms was $17.1 \pm 0.2\%$, with a range from 0–21 % O_2 .

Soil and air temperature varied significantly among habitats and over time (GLM, $P < 0.00001$ for both soil and air temperature). Multiple comparisons tests indicated that soil and air temperature were significantly different for each habitat (Table 3), with temperatures becoming progressively cooler with increasing elevation (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$). Temperatures were highest in premontane forest (soil: $21.2 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$; air: $23.4 \pm 0.4^\circ\text{C}$), followed by lower montane forest (soil: $18.1 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$; air: $18.2 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$), upper montane forest (soil: $11.8 \pm 0.0^\circ\text{C}$; air: $13.0 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$) and montane grasslands (soil: $10.1 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$; air: $11.8 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$).

Available ammonium (NH_4^+) or nitrate (NO_3^-) concentrations varied significantly among habitats and over time (GLM for NH_4^+ , $P < 0.001$; GLM for NO_3^- , $P < 0.0001$). In contrast, available NO_2^- concentrations varied widely among habitats and over time, but with no clear spatial or temporal trends (GLM, $P < 0.01$). For NH_4^+ , multiple comparisons tests indicate that NH_4^+ concentration was greatest for upper montane forest, while other habitats did not differ significantly from each other (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 3a). NH_4^+ concentration for the upper montane forest averaged $17.62 \pm 1.33 \mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1} \text{ resin d}^{-1}$; in contrast, NH_4^+ concentrations from premontane forest, lower montane forest and montane grasslands averaged 12.28 ± 0.73 , 14.82 ± 0.90 and $12.78 \pm 0.62 \mu\text{g NH}_4^+\text{-N g}^{-1} \text{ resin d}^{-1}$, respectively. For NO_3^- , multiple comparisons tests indicate that NO_3^- concentrations decreased significantly with increasing elevation (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 2b). Mean NO_3^- concentrations for premontane forest, lower montane forest, upper montane forest and montane grasslands were 17.67 ± 1.70 , 12.38 ± 1.87 , 4.92 ± 1.57 and $0.17 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{g NO}_3^-\text{-N g}^{-1} \text{ resin d}^{-1}$, respectively. Available NO_2^- concentrations did

Table 4. Soil methane and nitrous oxide fluxes for each habitat for the wet and dry season. Lower case letters indicate differences among seasons within habitats (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$). Numbers reported here are means and standard errors.

Elevation Band (m a.s.l.)	Habitat	Methane Flux (mg CH ₄ -C m ⁻² d ⁻¹)		Nitrous Oxide Flux (mg N ₂ O-N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	
		Wet Season	Dry Season	Wet Season	Dry Season
600–1200	Premontane forest	0.51 ± 0.41 a	−0.32 ± 0.11 b	−0.15 ± 0.43 a	0.96 ± 0.47 a
1200–2200	Lower montane forest	−0.49 ± 0.13 a	−0.84 ± 0.07 b	0.16 ± 0.13 a	0.98 ± 0.23 b
2200–3200	Upper montane forest	−0.54 ± 0.11 a	−1.22 ± 0.04 b	0.02 ± 0.22 a	0.19 ± 0.17 a
3200–3700	Montane grasslands	18.57 ± 2.55 a	0.97 ± 0.47 b	−0.61 ± 0.37 a	0.93 ± 0.50 b

not vary significantly among habitats, averaging 0.02 ± 0.00 NO₂[−]-N g^{−1} resin d^{−1} across the elevation gradient (data not shown).

3.2 Temporal variability in gas exchange

Soil CH₄ efflux increased during the wet season (GLM $P < 0.0001$; Table 4), although there were no clear directional trends in fluxes within seasons. Furthermore, daily sampling in montane grasslands from 11–22 November 2011 identified no apparent trends in CH₄ fluxes at this timescale. Mean soil CH₄ flux in montane grasslands rose by a factor of 19 from dry season to wet season, from 0.97 ± 0.47 mg CH₄-C m^{−2} d^{−1} in the dry season to 18.57 ± 2.55 mg CH₄-C m^{−2} d^{−1} (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.0001$). Likewise net CH₄ fluxes became more positive from dry season to wet season for all the other forested habitats (Table 4); the pooled mean for dry season fluxes for the three forest types was $−0.86 \pm 0.05$ mg CH₄-C m^{−2} d^{−1}, while the pooled mean for wet season fluxes was $−0.47 \pm 0.08$ mg CH₄-C m^{−2} d^{−1} (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.0001$). This pattern was most pronounced for premontane forest (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.001$), where the direction of the soil–atmosphere flux was reversed from a weak atmospheric sink in the dry season ($−0.32 \pm 0.11$ mg CH₄-C m^{−2} d^{−1}) to a net atmospheric source during the wet season (0.51 ± 0.41 mg CH₄-C m^{−2} d^{−1}).

For the entire data set as a whole, soil N₂O fluxes showed the opposite trend to CH₄, with net fluxes declining during the wet season (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.005$). However, actual seasonal trends varied among habitats; only fluxes in lower montane forest and montane grasslands changed significantly between seasons (Wilcoxon tests, $P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.05$, respectively), whereas fluxes in premontane and upper montane forests did not (Table 4).

3.3 Temporal variability in environmental variables

Across the elevation gradient, soil moisture changed significantly over time, with temporal trends that varied depending on habitat (GLM, $P < 0.0001$). Soil moisture in premontane forest and montane grasslands showed significant month-to-month variability, but did not differ significantly between

seasons (Table 3). In contrast, soil moisture in lower montane and upper montane forest rose significantly from dry season to wet season, with upper montane forest showing a more pronounced shift in soil water content.

Temporal patterns in soil O₂ concentrations varied depending on habitat. For lower montane forest, soil O₂ varied significantly from month-to-month, with small but statistically higher soil O₂ observed in the wet season (19.4 ± 0.1 %) compared to the dry season (19.0 ± 0.1 %) (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.001$; Table 3). Upper montane forest showed significant month-to-month variability, but no significant differences between seasons (overall mean = 18.4 ± 0.1 %). Montane grasslands showed a different trend from the other habitats, as lower O₂ concentrations were observed during the wet season (13.3 ± 0.4 %) compared to the dry season (18.4 ± 0.1 %) (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.0001$; Table 3). Data in the premontane forest site was too sparse to evaluate seasonal patterns in soil O₂ content due to unanticipated delays in the installation of soil gas sampling equipment.

Soil temperature and air temperature varied significantly over time (GLM, $P < 0.00001$ for both soil and air temperature). In premontane, lower montane and upper montane forests, the overall trend was towards significantly warmer soil and air temperatures during the wet season (Table 3). Montane grasslands showed a different pattern from the other study sites; no significant seasonal differences in soil temperature were observed, while air temperatures were warmer in the dry season (12.5 ± 0.3 °C) compared to the wet season (11.3 ± 0.2 °C) (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.005$; Table 3).

There was insufficient data to fully characterize and evaluate seasonal trends in NH₄⁺, NO₃[−] and NO₂[−] concentrations as only four months of data were collected over the sampling period. However, significant month-to-month variability was observed in NH₄⁺, NO₃[−] and NO₂[−] concentrations.

3.4 Relationships between gas fluxes and environmental variables

Soil CH₄ fluxes across the elevation gradient were positively correlated with soil moisture (WFPS: Spearman's $\rho = 0.63$, $P < 0.0001$; data not shown) and negatively correlated with soil O₂ (Spearman's $\rho = -0.45$, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 4). The net




Fig. 4. Mean plot-level CH_4 flux against mean plot-level soil O_2 for the 0–10 cm soil depth. Bars indicate standard errors.

soil CH_4 flux–soil O_2 relationship shows clear evidence of a threshold effect. From 5–21 % soil O_2 , CH_4 efflux is low, whereas emissions begin to rise steeply below 5 % soil O_2 (Fig. 4). When soil CH_4 fluxes were disaggregated by habitat and season, other relationships emerged, suggesting more habitat-specific controls on soil CH_4 flux. For example, for lower montane forest, soil CH_4 fluxes were negatively correlated with soil temperature during the dry season (Spearman's $\rho = -0.64$, $P < 0.01$), but not during the wet season.

Only dry season soil N_2O fluxes from lower montane forest showed any relationship with environmental variables, with N_2O fluxes negatively correlated with soil moisture (Spearman's $\rho = -0.41$, $P < 0.1$, data not shown). No other trends were found for other habitats or seasons, whether these data were pooled across the entire elevation gradient, or disaggregated by habitat and season. There were insufficient data on NH_4^+ , NO_3^- and NO_2^- concentrations to statistically determine if inorganic N fluxes were directly linked to soil N_2O emissions.

3.5 Denitrification potentials and N_2O yields

Analysis of variance indicated that $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$, $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2$ and total denitrification (i.e. $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O} + ^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2$ flux) varied significantly among habitats (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA for N_2O , $P < 0.05$; Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA for N_2 , $P < 0.05$; Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA for total denitrification, $P < 0.1$). The broad overall trend is that upper montane forest showed the lowest fluxes of $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$, $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2$ and total denitrification, whereas lower montane forest showed the highest fluxes of $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$ and total denitrification (the latter driven by the high $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$ component flux). Premontane forest and montane grasslands showed intermediate fluxes of $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$, $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2$ and total denitrification.




Fig. 5. Potential rates of N_2O production, N_2 production and total ($\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2$) denitrification from $^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_3^-$ laboratory tracer studies. Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA ($P < 0.05$) was used to test for differences among habitats. Lower case letters indicate statistically significant differences among means (Fisher's LSD on rank transformed data, $P < 0.05$).

For $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$ flux (Fig. 5), premontane forest and montane grasslands showed comparable fluxes. Lower montane forest showed the highest flux, while upper montane forest showed the lowest. $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O}$ flux for lower montane forest was significantly greater than those for upper montane forest, but within a similar range to premontane forest and montane grasslands (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$).

For $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2$ flux (Fig. 5), premontane forest, lower forest and montane grasslands showed comparable fluxes. $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2$ flux was significantly greater for upper montane forest compared to premontane forest or montane grasslands, but within a similar range to lower montane forest (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$).

Total denitrification flux was highest for lower montane forest, lowest in upper montane forest, and at intermediate levels for premontane forest and montane grasslands. Only lower montane forest and upper montane forest showed significant differences in fluxes (Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$). N_2O yields (i.e. ratio of $^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O} : ^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2\text{O} \text{ flux} + ^{15}\text{N}-\text{N}_2 \text{ flux}$) varied significantly among habitats (Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA, $P < 0.05$; data not shown). Premontane forest, lower montane forest and montane grasslands had statistically similar N_2O yields (pooled mean of 0.79 ± 0.36), whereas upper montane forest showed the lowest N_2O yield overall (0.18 ± 0.40 ; Fisher's LSD, $P < 0.05$).

4 Discussion

4.1 Andean ecosystems as both atmospheric sources and sinks of CH₄

Ecosystems across this tropical elevation-gradient functioned as both atmospheric sources and sinks of CH₄, further challenging the long-standing assumption that tropical uplands are only net atmospheric CH₄ sinks (Dutaur and Verchot, 2007; Potter et al., 1996; Ridgwell et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; von Fischer and Hedin, 2002; Martinson et al., 2010; Covey et al., 2012; Pangala et al., 2013). Soil CH₄ fluxes varied depending on elevation, landform and season. Montane grasslands (3200–3700 m a.s.l.) were net atmospheric sources; upper montane and lower montane forests were net sinks; and premontane forest fluctuated between source or sink depending on the season. From 600–3200 m a.s.l., the sink strength for atmospheric CH₄ increased with elevation. This pattern runs counter to observations from elsewhere in Latin America, such as Puerto Rico or Ecuador, where net CH₄ uptake decreased with increasing elevation (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; Wolf et al., 2012). The divergence between this study and others is likely due to local and regional differences in precipitation and soil moisture retention. Rainfall and soil moisture content decreases with rising elevation in this part of the Andes (Girardin et al., 2010), with the notable exception of montane grasslands, where soil moisture is elevated relative to other habitats across this elevation gradient due to low relief and poor drainage. In contrast, because of regional differences in climate and meteorology, soil moisture increases with elevation in Puerto Rico and Ecuador, favouring greater soil anaerobiosis, enhanced methanogenesis and diminished methanotrophy with rising altitude (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; Wolf et al., 2012).

Soil CH₄ fluxes within habitats varied with landforms, with those in lower topographic positions (e.g. basins) emitting more CH₄ than those higher up (e.g. ridges). The development of more suboxic conditions in lower topographic positions likely drives greater methanogenesis and reduced methanotrophy, a common pattern observed in many other CH₄-emitting ecosystems (Teh et al., 2011; von Fischer et al., 2010; Waddington and Roulet, 1996; Silver et al., 1999). Across the entire altitudinal gradient, basins in montane grasslands emitted more CH₄ than any other landform, releasing 233.56 ± 28.47 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Our findings are in general agreement with studies in Puerto Rico, where higher net soil CH₄ fluxes were observed from lower topographic positions (Silver et al., 1999); but differs from research in Ecuador, where no significant difference was found in net soil CH₄ fluxes among landforms within an altitudinal band (Wolf et al., 2012). The most likely explanation for this divergence between the Peruvian and Puerto Rican transects on one hand, and the Ecuadorian transect on the other, is that the investigators in the latter study sampled only ridge and slope landforms, and did not sample landforms in lower

topographic positions such as flats or basins, presumably because they were less common across this study site (Wolf et al., 2012). In addition, Wolf et al. (2012) did not sample more water-saturated montane grasslands. Landforms in lower topographic positions and montane grasslands tend to accumulate water and contain more reduced soils capable of emitting CH₄, unlike more aerobic ridges and slopes that drain more freely (Teh et al., 2011; von Fischer et al., 2010; Waddington and Roulet, 1996; Silver et al., 1999).

Soil CH₄ fluxes varied substantially depending on season, with an overall shift towards greater CH₄ emission or significant weakening of net soil sinks during the rainy season. These patterns were most pronounced for montane grasslands and premontane forest; the former showed a nineteen-fold increase in net CH₄ efflux from dry season to wet (0.97 ± 0.47 to 18.57 ± 2.55 mg CH₄-C m⁻² d⁻¹), while the latter switched from a net atmospheric sink (-0.32 ± 0.11 mg CH₄-C m⁻² d⁻¹) to a net atmospheric source (0.51 ± 0.41 mg CH₄-C m⁻² d⁻¹). These seasonal trends differ significantly from Puerto Rico and Ecuador, where soil CH₄ fluxes did not vary strongly on an intra-annual basis, presumably because of weaker rainfall seasonality in these other regions (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; Wolf et al., 2012). However, these data are consistent with findings from other seasonally dry tropical ecosystems, where greater net soil CH₄ efflux is associated with wetter periods of the year, where soil anaerobiosis is more prevalent (Davidson et al., 2008; Verchot et al., 2000).

Our analysis of spatial, temporal and environmental trends in soil CH₄ fluxes across the elevation gradient suggest that soil redox is the principal control on CH₄ flux, as is the case elsewhere in the tropics (Teh et al., 2005; Verchot et al., 2000; von Fischer and Hedin, 2007). Soil CH₄ emissions were greatest from habitats and landforms where, or during times of year when, soils were at their most suboxic. This conclusion is further supported by the positive correlation between net soil CH₄ flux and WFPS, and the negative correlation observed between net soil CH₄ flux and soil O₂ (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005).

4.2 Andean ecosystems as atmospheric sources of N₂O

Ecosystems across the Kosñipata Valley were net sources of atmospheric N₂O. Fluxes progressively declined with elevation, with lower elevation habitats emitting substantially greater amounts of soil N₂O (premontane forest: 2.23 ± 1.31 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; lower montane forest: 1.68 ± 0.44 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) than higher elevation ones (upper montane forest: 0.44 ± 0.47 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; montane grasslands: 0.15 ± 1.10 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). Fluxes from lower elevation habitats exceeded the predictions for bottom-up emissions inventories for the region (< 0.5 – 1.0 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Werner et al., 2007) and were also substantially greater than fluxes observed in Ecuador (mean annual flux of

Table 5. Preliminary area-weighted flux estimates for the Kosñipata Valley, Manu National Park, Peru. Surface areas and fractional areas calculated from data published in Feeley and Silman (2010). Fluxes values reported here are means and standard errors.

Elevation Band (m a.s.l.)	Habitat	Surface Area (ha)	Fractional Area	Unweighted Annual Soil Fluxes		Area-weighted Annual Soil Fluxes	
				CH ₄ kg CH ₄ -C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	N ₂ O kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	CH ₄ kg CH ₄ -C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	N ₂ O kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹
600–1200	Premontane forest	733 000	0.24	−0.51 ± 0.47	2.23 ± 1.31	−0.14 ± 0.12	0.54 ± 0.32
1200–2200	Lower montane forest	892 000	0.30	−2.34 ± 0.29	1.68 ± 0.44	−0.69 ± 0.09	0.50 ± 0.13
2200–3200	Upper montane forest	807 000	0.27	−2.99 ± 0.29	0.44 ± 0.47	−0.80 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.13
3200–3700	Montane grasslands	586 000	0.19	56.94 ± 7.81	0.15 ± 1.10	11.05 ± 1.52	0.03 ± 0.21
TOTALS		3 020 000	1.00			9.42 ± 1.80	1.18 ± 0.79

0.31 ± 0.12 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; range of −0.05–1.27 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Wolf et al., 2011). Although the cause for the differences between these observations and prior studies is unclear, it may be linked to the higher N status of lower elevation soils in the Kosñipata Valley, which tend to be more N-rich than soils in Ecuador (Wolf et al., 2011; Fisher et al., 2013; van de Weg et al., 2009).

Analysis of the field and laboratory data suggests that controls on soil N₂O fluxes in the Kosñipata Valley are complex and not easily reducible to simple predictive metrics. However, holistic examination of these combined data sets suggests that the availability of N, particularly NO₃⁻, may play a pivotal role in limiting soil N₂O emissions across the elevation gradient. The central role of N availability in regulating N₂O fluxes is highlighted by the altitudinal trends in N₂O fluxes, available NO₃⁻ concentrations, potential N₂O and N₂ production, and ¹⁵N-N₂O yields. Even though potential denitrification rates were roughly similar across the elevation gradient (with the exception of upper montane forest), net N₂O fluxes and NO₃⁻ availability declined with elevation. Taken together, these data collectively suggest that altitudinal trends in N₂O fluxes were due to variations in denitrification, driven by differences in NO₃⁻ availability. Data on denitrification potential in upper montane forest further reinforces this interpretation of the data; upper montane forest had lower N₂O production potential, higher N₂ production potential and lower N₂O yields than other sites – all characteristics reflective of NO₃⁻-limitation of denitrification (Blackmer and Bremner, 1978, Weier et al., 1993, Yang et al., 2011). These data are in broad agreement with findings from Ecuador, where N availability was inversely proportional to altitude and was found to be the dominant control on N₂O efflux (Wolf et al., 2011).

Soil WFPS appeared to play a more minor role in modulating soil N₂O fluxes; a surprising finding given the prominent role played by WFPS in regulating soil N₂O fluxes in other seasonally dry tropical ecosystems (Davidson et al., 2008, Davidson and Verchot, 2000, Davidson et al., 2000, Davidson et al., 1993, Keller and Reiners, 1994). Variations in WFPS only appeared to predict soil N₂O fluxes in lower montane forest during the dry season, and did not predict N₂O fluxes in other habitats or during other seasons. This suggests that WFPS did not limit N₂O production during this

13-month period of observation, and that other factors more strongly constrained N₂O fluxes in these habitats.

Comprehensive inspection of the environmental data (including soil moisture, WFPS and available N) suggests that the nature of the constraints on N₂O production differ for each habitat. For premontane forest, lower montane forest and montane grasslands, WFPS fell within a relatively narrow range, making it potentially difficult to identify the “signal” of WFPS relative to background environmental “noise”, given the complexity of drivers for N₂O production (Davidson and Verchot, 2000; Groffman et al., 2009). This signal-to-noise problem is further compounded by the fact that WFPS for these habitats falls within the theoretical range where WFPS no longer limits N₂O production from denitrification (i.e. 60–90 %) (Davidson and Verchot, 2000; Davidson, 1991). As a consequence, N₂O fluxes no longer increase linearly with WFPS, because denitrification rates are near saturation. The high WFPS for these habitats may also explain the seasonal decrease in N₂O fluxes from dry to wet season (Table 4); as these wet soils become increasingly waterlogged, denitrification to N₂ may be increasingly favoured (Weier et al., 1993). In addition, for montane grasslands, low NO₃⁻ availability and high soil C content may further promote complete denitrification (Blackmer and Bremner, 1978; Davidson, 1991, Weier et al., 1993; Yang et al., 2011; Firestone et al., 1980). In contrast, for upper montane forest, WFPS did in fact vary significantly between seasons (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.0001$; wet season: 68.6 ± 1.9 %; dry season: 41.2 ± 1.8 %). However, N₂O flux in this habitat showed no evident response to changes in WFPS, probably because overall denitrification rates were ultimately constrained by low NO₃⁻ availability, and high soil C content drove denitrification to N₂ (Weier et al., 1993; Firestone et al., 1980).

4.3 Preliminary area-weighted flux estimates for the Kosñipata Valley

The high mean annual soil CH₄ emission from montane grasslands (56.94 ± 7.81 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and high mean annual soil N₂O fluxes from premontane and lower montane forests (2.22 ± 1.31 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 1.68 ± 0.44 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively) may mean

that the Kosñipata Valley is a stronger source for soil CH₄ and N₂O than previously predicted by bottom-up emissions inventories for upland tropical ecosystems in the region. To explore this possibility, we performed simple area-weighted flux calculations to estimate the potential contribution of different habitats to regional CH₄ and N₂O exchange. While we acknowledge that this “back-of-the-envelope” approach is not sufficient to accurately scale up plot-level fluxes to the regional scale, we believe it is still useful as a means of producing first order approximations of the source or sink potential of the region for CH₄ and N₂O.

Using published surface area estimates for different habitats for the Kosñipata Valley (Feeley and Silman, 2010), we calculated the areal fractions for each habitat, multiplying these values by the mean annual fluxes of soil CH₄ or N₂O for our study sites, in order to derive area-weighted soil flux estimates for each habitat (Table 5). To estimate the regional atmospheric flux of CH₄ or N₂O (i.e. for the Kosñipata Valley as a whole), we added together the area-weighted soil fluxes from each habitat (Table 5). This exercise produced mean annual flux estimates of 9.42 ± 1.80 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 1.18 ± 0.79 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively. This approach may underestimate the true emissions potential of these habitats, given that our calculations only accounted for soil-derived fluxes, and did not include estimates of plant-derived fluxes nor emissions from rivers, pools and lakes (Covey et al., 2012; Martinson et al., 2010; Pangala et al., 2013).

The positive sign of the area-weighted soil CH₄ flux indicates that the region as whole is probably a net atmospheric CH₄ source, strongly influenced by the contribution of montane grasslands acting as a regional “hotspot” for CH₄. This speculation is supported by evidence from remote sensing studies showing elevated atmospheric CH₄ concentrations in the tropical Andes, implying the presence of strong regional sources, such as waterlogged, suboxic/anoxic montane grasslands (i.e. *puna* or *páramo*), unaccounted for by past bottom-up emissions inventories (Wania et al., 2007; Bergamaschi et al., 2007). Likewise the estimated regional soil N₂O flux for the Kosñipata Valley exceeds both model predictions for the region (< 0.5 – 1.0 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Werner et al., 2007) and observations from comparable ecosystems in Ecuador (mean annual flux of 0.31 ± 0.12 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Wolf et al., 2011), probably influenced by the strong emissions from lower elevation habitats, which account for ~ 54 % of overall land cover.

While these area-weighted flux estimates may only be a first approximation, they are significant because these calculations suggest that Andean ecosystems may behave differently than previously thought, and may be larger emission sources than predicted. These findings also highlight the need for more intensive modelling studies to scale up plot-level measurements to the regional scale in order to more thoroughly evaluate the importance of these ecosystems for regional atmospheric budgets.

5 Conclusions

These data suggest that tropical Andean ecosystems are potentially important contributors to regional atmospheric budgets of CH₄ and N₂O, and that these ecosystems need to be considered more fully in future efforts to model and scale up CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from the terrestrial tropics. Ecosystems across this tropical elevation-gradient were both atmospheric sources and sinks of CH₄, challenging long-standing assumptions from the literature that upland tropical ecosystems are only net atmospheric CH₄ sinks. Simple area-weighted flux calculations suggest that high soil CH₄ fluxes from emissions “hotspots” (e.g. montane grasslands) may make the region as a whole a net atmospheric CH₄ source. This inference is supported by top-down remote sensing data that indicates the existence of strong local CH₄ sources in the tropical Andes, leading to enhanced atmospheric CH₄ concentrations. Soil CH₄ fluxes were modulated by redox dynamics, with the largest emissions arising from habitats and landforms where, or during time periods when, soil O₂ availability was lowest or soil WFPS was highest. Ecosystems across this altitudinal gradient were also net atmospheric sources of N₂O, with the largest soil N₂O emissions originating from lower elevation habitats (premontane forest, lower montane forest). Simple area-weighted flux calculations suggest that this region is likely to be a stronger source of atmospheric N₂O than previously predicted by bottom-up emissions inventories. This is largely due to the fact that lower elevation habitats are relatively large emission sources, and account for a substantial fraction of total land area in the region (~ 54 %). Proximate controls on soil N₂O fluxes were complex and difficult to elucidate from field measurements alone, although comprehensive inspection of combined field and laboratory data indicate that NO₃⁻ availability is the principal constraint on soil N₂O efflux, while soil moisture and water-filled porosity played a secondary role in modulating emissions. Any current and future changes in N management or anthropogenic N deposition may cause shifts in net soil N₂O fluxes from these tropical montane ecosystems, further enhancing this potentially large emission source.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at <http://www.biogeosciences.net/11/2325/2014/bg-11-2325-2014-supplement.zip>.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge the agencies that funded this research; the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC; joint grant references NE/H006583, NE/H007849 and NE/H006753) and the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad; via a sub-contract to Yit Arn Teh managed by the Amazon Conservation Association). Pete Smith is a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award

holder and Patrick Meir is supported by an Australian Research Council Fellowship (FT110100457). Javier Eduardo Silva Espejo, Walter Huaraca Huasco, Adan Julian Ccahuana and the ABIDA NGO provided critical fieldwork and logistical support. Angus Calder and Vicky Munro provided invaluable laboratory support. Viktoria Oliver provided data on soil characteristics for Hacienda Villa Carmen. Thanks to Adrian Tejedor from the Amazon Conservation Association, who provided assistance with site access and selection at Hacienda Villa Carmen. Thanks are also owed to TCH for providing comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript. This publication is a contribution from the Scottish Alliance for Geoscience, Environment and Society (<http://www.sages.ac.uk>).

Edited by: P. Stoy

References

- Baggs, E. M., Richter, M., Cadisch, G., and Hartwig, U. A.: Denitrification in grass swards is increased under elevated atmospheric CO₂, *Soil. Biol. Biochem.*, 35, 729–732, 2003.
- Bateman, E. J. and Baggs, E. M.: Contributions of nitrification and denitrification to N₂O emissions from soils at different water-filled pore space, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 41, 379–388, 2005.
- Belyea, L. R. and Baird, A. J.: Beyond the limits to peat bog growth: Cross-scale feedback in peatland development, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 76, 299–322, 2006.
- Bergamaschi, P., Frankenberg, C., Meirink, J. F., Krol, M., Dentener, F., Wagner, T., Platt, U., Kaplan, J. O., Korner, S., Heimann, M., Dlugokencky, E. J., and Goede, A.: Satellite cartography of atmospheric methane from SCIAMACHY onboard ENVISAT: 2. Evaluation based on inverse model simulations, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, 1–26, 2007.
- Bergamaschi, P., Frankenberg, C., Meirink, J. F., Krol, M., Villani, M. G., Houweling, S., Dentener, F., Dlugokencky, E. J., Miller, J. B., Gatti, L. V., Engel, A., and Levin, I.: Inverse modeling of global and regional CH₄ emissions using SCIAMACHY satellite retrievals, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 114, 1–28, 2009.
- Blackmer, A. M. and Bremner, J. M.: Inhibitory effect of nitrate on reduction of N₂O to N₂ by soil microorganisms, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 10, 187–191, 1978.
- Breuer, L., Papen, H., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: N₂O emission from tropical forest soils of Australia, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 26353–26367, 2000.
- Clark, M., Jarvis, S., and Maltby, E.: An improved technique for measuring concentration of soil gases at depth in situ, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 32, 369–377, 2001.
- Covey, K. R., Wood, S. A., Warren, R. J., Lee, X., and Bradford, M. A.: Elevated methane concentrations in trees of an upland forest, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L15705, 15701–15706, 2012.
- Davidson, E. A.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from terrestrial ecosystems, in: *Microbial production and consumption of greenhouse gases: methane, nitrogen oxides, and halomethanes*, edited by: Rogers, J. E., and Whitman, W. B., American Society for Microbiology, Washington DC, 219–236, 1991.
- Davidson, E. A., Matson, P. A., Vitousek, P. M., Riley, R., Dunkin, K., Garciamendez, G., and Maass, J. M.: Processes regulating soil emissions of NO and N₂O in a seasonally dry tropical forest, *Ecology*, 74, 130–139, 1993.
- Davidson, E. A. and Verchot, L. V.: Testing the Hole-in-the-Pipe Model of nitric and nitrous oxide emissions from soils using the TRAGNET Database, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 14, 1035–1043, 2000.
- Davidson, E. A., Verchot, L. V., Cattanio, J. H., Ackerman, I. L., and Carvalho, J. E. M.: Effects of soil water content on soil respiration in forests and cattle pastures of eastern Amazonia, *Biogeochemistry*, 48, 53–69, 2000.
- Davidson, E. A., Nepstad, D. C., Ishida, F. Y., and Brando, P. M.: Effects of an experimental drought and recovery on soil emissions of carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and nitric oxide in a moist tropical forest, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14, 2582–2590, 2008.
- Dutaur, L. and Verchot, L. V.: A global inventory of the soil CH₄ sink, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, 1–9, 2007.
- Eva, H. D., Belward, A. S., De Miranda, E. E., Di Bella, C. M., Gond, V., Huber, O., Jones, S., Sgrenzaroli, M., and Fritz, S.: A land cover map of South America, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 10, 731–744, 2004.
- Feeley, K. J. and Silman, M. R.: Land-use and climate change effects on population size and extinction risk of Andean plants, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16, 3215–3222, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02197.x, 2010.
- Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O production and consumption in soil, *Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*, edited by: Andreae, M. O. and Schimel, D. S., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 7–21, 1989.
- Firestone, M. K., Firestone, R. B., and Tiedge, J. M.: Nitrous oxide from soil denitrification: Factors controlling its biological production, *Science*, 208, 749–751, 1980.
- Fisher, J. B., Malhi, Y., Torres, I. C., Metcalfe, D. B., van de Weg, M. J., Meir, P., Silva-Espejo, J. E., and Huasco, W. H.: Nutrient limitation in rainforests and cloud forests along a 3000-m elevation gradient in the Peruvian Andes, *Oecologia*, 172, 889–902, 2013.
- Fletcher, S. E. M., Tans, P. P., Bruhwiler, L. M., Miller, J. B., and Heimann, M.: CH₄ sources estimated from atmospheric observations of CH₄ and its C-13/C-12 isotopic ratios: 1. Inverse modeling of source processes, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, 1–17, 2004a.
- Fletcher, S. E. M., Tans, P. P., Bruhwiler, L. M., Miller, J. B., and Heimann, M.: CH₄ sources estimated from atmospheric observations of CH₄ and its C-13/C-12 isotopic ratios: 2. Inverse modeling of CH₄ fluxes from geographical regions, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, 1–15, 2004b.
- Frankenberg, C., Meirink, J. F., van Weele, M., Platt, U., and Wagner, T.: Assessing methane emissions from global space-borne observations, *Science*, 308, 1010–1014, 2005.
- Frankenberg, C., Bergamaschi, P., Butz, A., Houweling, S., Meirink, J. F., Notholt, J., Petersen, A. K., Schrijver, H., Warneke, T., and Aben, I.: Tropical methane emissions: A revised view from SCIAMACHY onboard ENVISAT, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, 1–5, 2008.
- Fung, I., John, J., Lerner, J., Matthews, E., Prather, M., Steele, L., and Fraser, P.: Three-Dimensional Model Synthesis of the Global Methane Cycle, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 96, 13033–13065, 1991.

- Gauci, V., Gowing, D. J. G., Hornibrook, E. R. C., Davis, J. M., and Dise, N. B.: Woody stem methane emission in mature wetland alder trees, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 2157–2160, 2010.
- Gibbon, A., Silman, M. R., Malhi, Y., Fisher, J. B., Meir, P., Zimmermann, M., Dargie, G. C., Farfan, W. R., and Garcia, K. C.: Ecosystem Carbon Storage Across the Grassland-Forest Transition in the High Andes of Manu National Park, Peru, *Ecosystems*, 13, 1097–1111, 2010.
- Girardin, C. A. J., Malhi, Y., Arag o, L. E. O. C., Mamani, M., Huaraca Huasco, W., Durand, L., Feeley, K. J., Rapp, J., Silva-Espejo, J. E., Silman, M., Salinas, N., and Whittaker, R. J.: Net primary productivity allocation and cycling of carbon along a tropical forest elevational transect in the Peruvian Andes, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16, 3176–3192, 2010.
- Groffman, P. M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Fulweiler, R. W., Gold, A. J., Morse, J. L., Stander, E. K., Tague, C., Tonitto, C., and Vidon, P.: Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit phenomena (hotspots and hot moments) in denitrification models, *Biogeochemistry*, 93, 49–77, 2009.
- Hall, S. J. and Matson, P. A.: Nitrogen oxide emissions after nitrogen additions in tropical forests, *Nature*, 400, 152–155, 1999.
- Hirsch, A. I., Michalak, A. M., Bruhwiler, L. M., Peters, W., Dlugokencky, E. J., and Tans, P. P.: Inverse modeling estimates of the global nitrous oxide surface flux from 1998–2001, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 20, 1–17, 2006.
- Huang, J., Golombek, A., Prinn, R., Weiss, R., Fraser, P., Simmonds, P., Dlugokencky, E. J., Hall, B., Elkins, J., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., Krummel, P., Dutton, G., and Porter, L.: Estimation of regional emissions of nitrous oxide from 1997 to 2005 using multinetwork measurements, a chemical transport model, and an inverse method, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, 1–19, 2008.
- Kammann, C., Grunhage, L., and Jager, H. J.: A new sampling technique to monitor concentrations of CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ in air at well-defined depths in soils with varied water potential, *European J. Soil Sci.*, 52, 297–303, 2001.
- Keller, M. and Reiners, W. A.: Soil atmosphere exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, and methane under secondary succession of pasture to forest in the Atlantic lowlands of Costa Rica, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 8, 399–409, 1994.
- Keller, M., Kaplan, W. A., and Wofsy, S. C.: Emissions of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ from tropical forest soils, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 91, 1791–1802, 1986.
- Keller, M., Veldkamp, E., Weltz, A., and Reiners, W.: Effect of pasture age on soil trace-gas emissions from a deforested area of Costa Rica, *Nature*, 365, 244–246, 1993.
- Keppler, F., Hamilton, J. T. G., Brass, M., and Rockmann, T.: Methane emissions from terrestrial plants under aerobic conditions, *Nature*, 439, 187–191, 2006.
- Kort, E. A., Patra, P. K., Ishijima, K., Daube, B. C., Jimenez, R., Elkins, J., Hurst, D., Moore, F. L., Sweeney, C., and Wofsy, S. C.: Tropospheric distribution and variability of N₂O: Evidence for strong tropical emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, 1–5, 2011.
- Livingston, G. and Hutchinson, G.: Chapter 2: Enclosure-based measurement of trace gas exchange: applications and sources of error, in: *Biogenic Trace Gases: Measuring Emissions from Soil and Water*, edited by: Matson, P., Harriss, R. C., Blackwell Science Ltd, Cambridge, MA, USA, 14–51, 1995.
- Malhi, Y., Silman, M., Salinas, N., Bush, M., Meir, P., and Saatchi, S.: Introduction: Elevation gradients in the tropics: laboratories for ecosystem ecology and global change research, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16, 3171–3175, 2010.
- Martinson, G. O., Werner, F. A., Scherber, C., Conrad, R., Corre, M. D., Flessa, H., Wolf, K., Klose, M., Gradstein, S. R., and Veldkamp, E.: Methane emissions from tank bromeliads in neotropical forests, *Nature Geosci.*, 3, 766–769, 2010.
- Melack, J. M., Hess, L. L., Gastil, M., Forsberg, B. R., Hamilton, S. K., Lima, I. B. T., and Novo, E.: Regionalization of methane emissions in the Amazon Basin with microwave remote sensing, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 10, 530–544, 2004.
- Nevison, C. D., Mahowald, N. M., Weiss, R. F., and Prinn, R. G.: Interannual and seasonal variability in atmospheric N₂O, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, 1–13, 2007.
- Nevison, C. D., Dlugokencky, E., Dutton, G., Elkins, J. W., Fraser, P., Hall, B., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Steele, L. P., and Weiss, R. F.: Exploring causes of interannual variability in the seasonal cycles of tropospheric nitrous oxide, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 3713–3730, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3713-2011, 2011.
- Pangala, S. R., Moore, S., Hornibrook, E. R. C., and Gauci, V.: Trees are major conduits for methane egress from tropical forested wetlands, *New Phytol.*, 197, 524–531, 2013.
- Pedersen, A. R., Petersen, S. O., and Schelde, K.: A comprehensive approach to soil-atmosphere trace-gas flux estimation with static chambers, *European J. Soil Sci.*, 61, 888–902, 2010.
- Potter, C. S., Davidson, E. A., and Verchot, L. V.: Estimation of global biogeochemical controls and seasonality in soil methane consumption, *Chemosphere*, 32, 2219–2246, 1996.
- Pumpanen, J., Kolari, P., Ilvesniemi, H., Minkinen, K., Vesala, T., Niinisto, S., Lohila, A., Larmola, T., Morero, M., Pihlatie, M., Janssens, I., Yuste, J. C., Grunzweig, J. M., Reth, S., Subke, J. A., Savage, K., Kutsch, W., Ostreng, G., Ziegler, W., Anthoni, P., Lindroth, A., and Hari, P.: Comparison of different chamber techniques for measuring soil CO₂ efflux, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 123, 159–176, 2004.
- Purbopuspito, J., Veldkamp, E., Brumme, R., and Murdiyarso, D.: Trace gas fluxes and nitrogen cycling along an elevation sequence of tropical montane forests in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 20, 1–11, 2006.
- R Core Team: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <http://www.R-project.org/>, 2012.
- Ridgwell, A. J., Marshall, S. J., and Gregson, K.: Consumption of atmospheric methane by soils: A process-based model, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 13, 59–70, 1999.
- Silver, W., Lugo, A., and Keller, M.: Soil oxygen availability and biogeochemistry along rainfall and topographic gradients in upland wet tropical forest soils, *Biogeochemistry*, 44, 301–328, 1999.
- Silver, W. L., Herman, D. J., and Firestone, M. K. S.: Dissimilatory Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium in Upland Tropical Forest Soils, *Ecology*, 82, 2410–2416, 2001.
- Spahni, R., Wania, R., Neef, L., van Weele, M., Pison, I., Bousquet, P., Frankenberg, C., Foster, P. N., Joos, F., Prentice, I. C., and van Velthoven, P.: Constraining global methane emissions and uptake by ecosystems, *Biogeosciences*, 8, 1643–1665, doi:10.5194/bg-8-1643-2011, 2011.

- Teh, Y. A., Silver, W., and Conrad, M.: Oxygen effects on methane production and oxidation in humid tropical forest soils, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1283–1297, 2005.
- Teh, Y. A., Silver, W. L., Sonnentag, O., Detto, M., Kelly, M., and Baldocchi, D. D.: Large greenhouse gas emissions from a temperate peatland pasture, *Ecosystems*, 14, 311–325, doi:10.1007/s10021-011-9411-4, 2011.
- Templer, P. H., Lovett, G. M., Weathers, K. C., Findlay, S. E., and Dawson, T. E.: Influence of tree species on forest nitrogen retention in the Catskill Mountains, New York, USA, *Ecosystems*, 8, 1–16, 2005.
- Terazawa, K., Ishizuka, S., Sakata, T., Yamada, K., and Takahashi, M.: Methane emissions from stems of *Fraxinus mandshurica* var. *japonica* trees in a floodplain forest, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39, 2689–2692, 2007.
- van de Weg, M. J., Meir, P., Grace, J., and Atkin, O. K.: Altitudinal variation in leaf mass per unit area, leaf tissue density and foliar nitrogen and phosphorus content along an Amazon-Andes gradient in Peru, *Plant Ecol. Diversity*, 2, 243–U247, 2009.
- Varner, R. K., Keller, M., Robertson, J. R., Dias, J. D., Silva, H., Crill, P. M., McGroddy, M., and Silver, W. L.: Experimentally induced root mortality increased nitrous oxide emission from tropical forest soils, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1–4, 2003.
- Veldkamp, E., Purbopuspito, J., Corre, M. D., Brumme, R., and Murdiyarso, D.: Land use change effects on trace gas fluxes in the forest margins of Central Sulawesi, Indonesia, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.*, 113, 1–11, 2008.
- Verchot, L. V., Davidson, E. A., Cattaneo, J. H., and Ackerman, I. L.: Land-use change and biogeochemical controls of methane fluxes in soils of eastern Amazonia, *Ecosystems*, 3, 41–56, 2000.
- von Fischer, J. and Hedin, L.: Separating methane production and consumption with a field-based isotope dilution technique, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 16, 1–13, 2002.
- von Fischer, J. C. and Hedin, L. O.: Controls on soil methane fluxes: Tests of biophysical mechanisms using stable isotope tracers, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, GB2007, doi:10.1029/2006gb002687, 2007.
- von Fischer, J. C., Rhew, R. C., Ames, G. M., Fosdick, B. K., and von Fischer, P. E.: Vegetation height and other controls of spatial variability in methane emissions from the Arctic coastal tundra at Barrow, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.*, 115, 1–11, 2010.
- Waddington, J. M. and Roulet, N. T.: Atmosphere-wetland carbon exchanges: Scale dependency of CO₂ and CH₄ exchange on the developmental topography of a peatland, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 10, 233–245, 1996.
- Wania, R., Jolleys, M., and Buytaert, W.: Methane emissions from the Andean paramo – a previously neglected methane source? *iLEAPS Newsletter*, 7, 58–59, 2007.
- Weier, K. L., Doran, J. W., Power, J. F., and Walters, D. T.: Denitrification and the denitrogen nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 57, 66–72, 1993.
- Werner, C., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Haas, E., Hickler, T., and Kiese, R.: A global inventory of N₂O emissions from tropical rainforest soils using a detailed biogeochemical model, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 21, 1–18, 2007.
- Wolf, K., Veldkamp, E., Homeier, J., and Martinson, G. O.: Nitrogen availability links forest productivity, soil nitrous oxide and nitric oxide fluxes of a tropical montane forest in southern Ecuador, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 25, 1–12, 2011.
- Wolf, K., Flessa, H., and Veldkamp, E.: Atmospheric methane uptake by tropical montane forest soils and the contribution of organic layers, *Biogeochemistry*, 111, 469–483, 2012.
- Yang, W. H., Teh, Y. A., and Silver, W. L.: A test of a field-based ¹⁵N–nitrous oxide pool dilution technique to measure gross N₂O production in soil, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 3577–3588, 2011.
- Zimmermann, M., Meir, P., Bird, M. I., Malhi, Y., and Ccahuana, A. J. Q.: Climate dependence of heterotrophic soil respiration from a soil-translocation experiment along a 3000 m tropical forest altitudinal gradient, *Europ. J. Soil Sci.*, 60, 895–906, 2009.
- Zimmermann, M., Meir, P., Bird, M. I., Malhi, Y., and Ccahuana, A. J. Q.: Temporal variation and climate dependence of soil respiration and its components along a 3000 m altitudinal tropical forest gradient, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 24, 4011–4013, 2010a.
- Zimmermann, M., Meir, P., Silman, M. R., Fedders, A., Gibbon, A., Malhi, Y., Urrego, D. H., Bush, M. B., Feeley, K. J., Garcia, K. C., Dargie, G. C., Farfan, W. R., Goetz, B. P., Johnson, W. T., Kline, K. M., Modi, A. T., Rurau, N. M. Q., Staudt, B. T., and Zamora, F.: No Differences in Soil Carbon Stocks Across the Tree Line in the Peruvian Andes, *Ecosystems*, 13, 62–74, 2010b.