

## COLOA CUTTINGS RESISTANCE TRIAL

Aim: To determine the resistance of to vascular-streak dieback (Oncobasidium theobromae) of twenty-five Linnaria selections, as cuttings.

Method: Cuttings of the 25 test <sup>selections</sup> clones were set in the propagator in ~~December~~ <sup>October</sup> 1966 and field planted in July 1967. The cuttings ~~are~~ were past their best planting age at this stage but ~~were not planted~~ planting had to be delayed because of a severe dry spell. The design used was ~~a two sets of a~~ <sup>repeated twice</sup> 5x5 balanced lattice, with 5 trees per plot and a total of 12 replicates. An error at planting resulted in incomplete randomization of the ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup> so that, for example, KA2-66 is in the same corner of each replicate, ~~and the same layouts are used for reps 7-12 as for 1-6, respectively.~~ <sup>and the same layouts are used for reps 7-12 as for 1-6, respectively.</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>more</sup> importantly, some pairs of ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup> are in adjacent plots in every block. The fact that the 12 replicates are scattered all over the station reduces the magnitude of this error in that any infection gradients would probably run in different directions at different sites.

The cuttings were ~~inspected~~ <sup>planted</sup> at a spacing of ~~6 feet~~ <sup>1.83 metres (actually 6 ft)</sup> on the square, in anticipation of meaningful results before competition effects became significant, ~~and were later~~ <sup>in September 1969 they</sup> ~~thinned~~ <sup>thinned</sup> in such a way as to produce a diamond planting pattern of trees with a spacing of about ~~8 ft~~ <sup>2.4 m</sup> square. The five cuttings of each ~~clone~~ <sup>selection</sup> in each

Symptoms of all infections were also ~~recorded~~ described, on  
\* Infections

four occasions about 3 months apart during 1968.

Growth measurements were made on all trees in July 1968

& again

~~again~~ in July 1969, the indices measured being total  
~~height~~ <sup>height</sup> of plant and number of growing points. These <sup>measurements</sup> figures were

taken to allow an objective assessment of relative vigour of  
the ~~lines~~ <sup>selections</sup> in the trial, as it is thought that the larger plants  
are liable to have more infections recorded against them  
simply because of their greater potential (leaf area) for infection.



In July June 1968, in an effort to increase the rate of infection dieback infection in the test plants, pod skins were laid around & across replicates 6, 7, 10 & 12, while dieback prunings were distributed similarly through the other 8 replicates. Dieback prunings were used for the obvious reason. Pod skins were used because ~~both dieback~~ at that time it was thought possible that the dieback disease resulted from infection of the branches by ~~Bolys~~ the Botryodiplodia theobromae.

Neither the pod nor the prunings produced any noticeable increase in infection rate. In the light of present knowledge of vascular-streak dieback this is not surprising.

replicate were planted in a continuous line  
in June 1968 in an effort to increase the rate of infection but this

The cuttings were inspected for dieback 6 weeks after planting out and thereafter every 4 weeks. All infected cuttings located at the first four readings would have died within a short time and were therefore removed. ~~reactions~~ <sup>water infections</sup> were recorded and ~~tagged~~ <sup>marked</sup> but were not

~~tested~~ as it was desired to observe the reaction of the various ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup> to the disease. Naturally, <sup>some</sup> ~~four~~ infected cuttings died, particularly in the early months of the trial.

\* Infections occurred at a very low rate for the first twenty readings, although sufficient information <sup>was gathered</sup> ~~was~~ to allow the ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup> to be ranked with a

<sup>low</sup> degree of certainty. During the period March - May 1969 a ten-fold increase in infections per reading occurred, corresponding with a marked increase in other trials in the area. Most ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup> retained their position on the scale although two or three were displaced a number of steps.

As the cuttings could not be raised under dieback-free conditions prior to field planting an exclusion period was allowed during which any infections recorded were not scored against the ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup>. <sup>as</sup> ~~high scores~~ <sup>they</sup> could throw out the assessments of infection

rate <sup>in any one</sup> ~~over a~~ replicate. This exclusion period covered the first two readings, as examination of the distribution of infections between two groups of replicates indicated that the pattern operating at the later readings was operative from the third readings onwards.

(~~Entered this. Refer AEC's letter of 17/5/69 & include figures for later readings~~)

The ranking of the ~~clones~~ <sup>selections</sup> based on the number of infections which were recorded at readings 3 to 22 inclusive (November 1968 to May 1969) is given in Table 1. (3)

1. Following analysis of these results the selections were re-ranked on the basis of their adjusted treatment means (Table 2) (Tables 1+2 about here)

In September 1969 the block was thinned in such a way as to produce a diamond pattern of trees with a spacing of about

2.4 metres. This ~~was~~ was done to reduce inter-tree competition and allow an assessment of production from the clones which had not yet been yield-tested.

Discussion

Both Table 1 & Table 2 indicate a wide range of resistance to V.A.D. ~~whether~~ whether a clone is acceptable <sup>for commercial use</sup> or not depends not only on its ~~rank~~ position in Table 2 but also on its other characteristics, particularly yield. None of the ~~top~~ <sup>right</sup> clones at the most resistant end of the ~~Table~~ Table ~~are~~ is on the current distribution list as none is sufficiently productive for commercial use. The clones tested in Koc 30 which are being distributed to growers are KA2-101, K121, K82, K13, K24-106, K24-103 & K6-101.

Table 1. Ranking of bones in GTC 3. Number of Infections per selection (readings 3-22 inclusive)

<u>Selection</u>	<u>No. of infections</u>	<u>Selection</u>	<u>No. of infections</u>
K195	9	<del>K6-101</del>	<del>62</del>
K24-101	18	K28	64
K140	23	K24-103	67
KA2-201	26	K4-101	69
KA2-101	30	K13	72
K74	37	K73	79
K11-101	37	K4	94
K82	38	<del>K48</del>	102
K21	40	KA2-103	105
K24-106	45	KA2-106	109
K143	58	K6	120
KA2-105	59	K11	130
K6-101	62	K1-102	134

Table 2 Ranking of clones on the basis of adjusted treatment means.

Selection	Adjusted treatment mean	Selection	Adjusted treatment mean
KT 195	0.5675 <del>0.5675</del>	K24-106	3.9155
KT 143	1.5647 <del>1.45 / 1.5646</del>	K73	3.9399
K24-105	1.6336	K4	4.0582
K4-101	1.6389	K24-103	4.1578
KA2-201	1.9998	K6-101	4.4827
K74	2.1624	K6	4.5319
K11-101	<del>2.3090</del> 2.3090	KA2-106	4.6515
K28	2.3999	K1-102	5.2835
KA2-101	2.4385	K83	5.2845
KT140	2.4522	KA2-105	5.5619
K21	2.7533	KA2-103	6.5846
K82	3.1891	K11	7.9512
K13	3.4513		

L.S.D. = 0.53338 at 5% level.