

The SAMI Galaxy Survey: shocks and outflows in a normal star-forming galaxy

I-Ting Ho,^{1★} Lisa J. Kewley,^{1,2} Michael A. Dopita,^{2,3} Anne M. Medling,²
J. T. Allen,^{4,5} Joss Bland-Hawthorn,⁴ Jessica V. Bloom,^{4,5} Julia J. Bryant,^{4,5,6}
Scott M. Croom,^{4,5} L. M. R. Fogarty,^{4,5} Michael Goodwin,⁶ Andy W. Green,⁶
Iraklis S. Konstantopoulos,^{5,6} Jon S. Lawrence,⁶ Á. R. López-Sánchez,^{6,7}
Matt S. Owers,⁶ Samuel Richards^{4,5,6} and Rob Sharp²

¹*Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA*

²*Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Cotter Rd, Weston ACT 2611, Australia*

³*Astronomy Department, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80203, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia*

⁴*Sydney Institute for Astronomy (SIfA), School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia*

⁵*ARC Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO)*

⁶*Australian Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 915, North Ryde, NSW 1670, Australia*

⁷*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia*

Accepted 2014 August 12. Received 2014 July 13; in original form 2014 May 2

ABSTRACT

We demonstrate the feasibility and potential of using large integral field spectroscopic surveys to investigate the prevalence of galactic-scale outflows in the local Universe. Using integral field data from the Sydney-AAO Multi-object Integral field spectrograph (SAMI) and the Wide Field Spectrograph, we study the nature of an isolated disc galaxy, SDSS J090005.05+000446.7 ($z = 0.05386$). In the integral field data sets, the galaxy presents skewed line profiles changing with position in the galaxy. The skewed line profiles are caused by different kinematic components overlapping in the line-of-sight direction. We perform spectral decomposition to separate the line profiles in each spatial pixel as combinations of (1) a narrow kinematic component consistent with H II regions, (2) a broad kinematic component consistent with shock excitation, and (3) an intermediate component consistent with shock excitation and photoionization mixing. The three kinematic components have distinctly different velocity fields, velocity dispersions, line ratios, and electron densities. We model the line ratios, velocity dispersions, and electron densities with our MAPPINGS IV shock and photoionization models, and we reach remarkable agreement between the data and the models. The models demonstrate that the different emission line properties are caused by major galactic outflows that introduce shock excitation in addition to photoionization by star-forming activities. Interstellar shocks embedded in the outflows shock-excite and compress the gas, causing the elevated line ratios, velocity dispersions, and electron densities observed in the broad kinematic component. We argue from energy considerations that, with the lack of a powerful active galactic nucleus, the outflows are likely to be driven by starburst activities. Our results set a benchmark of the type of analysis that can be achieved by the SAMI Galaxy Survey on large numbers of galaxies.

Key words: ISM: jets and outflows – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics – galaxies: starburst.

1 INTRODUCTION

Galactic-scale outflows, driven by stellar feedback and/or active galactic nuclei (AGNs), play a critical role in galaxy formation and evolution. Studies of galactic-scale outflows in the local Universe

reveal that outflows can carry large amounts of energy and mass and can substantially affect star formation and the chemical evolution of galaxies. In galaxy mergers, powerful galactic-outflows driven by AGN feedback and/or intense starbursts can quench star formation by removing the necessary gas reservoirs (Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005; Hopkins et al. 2006; Diamond-Stanic et al. 2012). Some evidence suggests that the outflowing gas is

* E-mail: itho@ifa.hawaii.edu

chemically enriched (Martin, Kobulnicky & Heckman 2002); outflows could therefore alter global chemical properties of galaxies and be responsible for shaping the mass–metallicity relation (Tremonti et al. 2004). The metal-enriched outflows are very likely to supply the vast amount of heavy elements discovered in the circumgalactic medium (Tumlinson et al. 2011; Werk et al. 2013). The importance of outflows is also emphasized by numerical simulations, which show that many observed galaxy properties, such as stellar-mass functions, cosmic star formation rates (SFRs), and mass–metallicity relations, cannot be reproduced without including feedback to prevent overaccretion of the gas, and to remove metals (e.g. Oppenheimer et al. 2010; Davé, Oppenheimer & Finlator 2011a; Davé, Finlator & Oppenheimer 2011b; Hopkins, Quataert & Murray 2012).

In-depth studies of starburst-driven outflows have provided great details of their structures, kinematics, mass outflow rates, and energetics (see Veilleux, Cecil & Bland-Hawthorn 2005 for a review). In classical nearby systems, such as M82, NGC253, NGC1482, NGC3079, and many others, conical bipolar structures extending up to a few kiloparsecs beyond stellar discs are commonly seen (e.g. Bland & Tully 1988; Cecil et al. 2001; Veilleux & Rupke 2002; Westmoquette, Smith & Gallagher 2011). The conical structures are comprised predominately of warm and hot gas emitting in X-ray and optical line emission (e.g. Strickland et al. 2000; Cecil, Bland-Hawthorn & Veilleux 2002); these line-emitting structures are often limb-brightened. Entrained cold molecular gas has also been observed in the radio wavelengths (e.g. Walter, Weiss & Scoville 2002; Bolatto et al. 2013). The bipolar structures are open-ended and the gas kinematics often show velocity increases with radius, reaching typically a few hundred km s^{-1} (e.g. Shopbell & Bland-Hawthorn 1998; Westmoquette et al. 2011).

Whilst detailed studies in nearby systems yield insight into their nature, the prevalence of galactic outflows is still largely unknown. Starburst-driven outflows are found to be ubiquitous in galaxies with high SFR surface densities (e.g. $\Sigma_* \geq 0.1 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$, Heckman 2002). Outflows are common in local galaxies with extreme star-forming activities (e.g. $z < 0.5$; Rupke, Veilleux & Sanders 2005a,b), and are ubiquitous at high redshifts where the cosmic SFRs are high (e.g. $z > 1.4$; Weiner et al. 2009; Steidel et al. 2010). Whether typical normal star-forming galaxies with SFR surface densities below the empirical threshold can launch outflows requires further investigation (Murray, Ménard & Thompson 2011; Rubin et al. 2013).

In the local Universe, probing the prevalence of outflows depends on observing large numbers of galaxies to look for outflow signatures. This is most easily achieved by searching for extraplanar optical emission or blueshifted absorption lines; however, the current sample sizes remain small. Extraplanar line emission has been studied in sample sizes of few tens galaxies using slit spectroscopy and narrow-band imaging (e.g. Heckman, Armus & Miley 1990; Veilleux et al. 2003, 2005). Studies of blueshifted absorption by neutral gas entrained in outflows have reached sample sizes on the order of tens to a hundred and provided constraints on the mass, momentum, and energy of outflows (Heckman et al. 2000; Rupke et al. 2005a,b; Rubin et al. 2013, and references therein). To probe absorption features, higher signal-to-noise ratios (S/Ns) are required, so spatially binning the data is usually adopted. This binning directly results in the difficulties in recovering geometric information. Stacking spectra of galaxies of similar properties is sometimes necessary to detect outflow signatures, at the expense of probing mean outflow properties of predefined populations (e.g. Chen et al. 2010).

Integral field spectroscopy (IFS) is an alternative (and ideal) tool for investigating galactic outflows. With IFS observations of 10 nearby galaxies, Sharp & Bland-Hawthorn (2010) demonstrate that the structures, kinematics, and excitation of outflows can be studied in unprecedented detail, difficult to achieve with slit or fibre spectroscopy or narrow-band imaging. Studies with IFS in local luminous and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs and ULIRGs) show that outflows are usually associated with interstellar shocks that excite optical line emission with enhanced line ratios (Rich et al. 2010; Rich, Kewley & Dopita 2011; see also Soto & Martin 2012 and Soto et al. 2012).

The technological advance of IFS has only recently made studying large samples of objects with spatially resolved spectroscopy possible. Surveys with IFS in the local Universe ($z \lesssim 0.01$) such as the SAURON survey (Bacon et al. 2001) and its extension the ATLAS^{3D} survey (Cappellari et al. 2011), the PINGS survey (Rosales-Ortega et al. 2010), the Calar Alto Legacy Integral Field spectroscopy Area survey (CALIFA; Sánchez et al. 2012), and the VENGAS survey (Blanc et al. 2013) have built up samples on the order of tens to hundreds of galaxies using single integral field units (IFUs). These surveys have delivered remarkable detail through three-dimensional data sets across different types of galaxies. Surveys with IFS aiming for slightly higher redshifts ($z \sim 0.05$) and much larger sample sizes, on the order of thousands to ten thousands, are currently underway by using multiple fibre-bundle IFUs on focal planes to significantly increase the sampling speed. The on-going SAMI Galaxy Survey¹ will ultimately deliver a sample of approximately 3400 galaxies using the 3.9-m Anglo-Australian Telescope (Croom et al. 2012; Allen et al. 2014). The SAMI galaxies are selected from the Galaxy And Mass Assembly project (GAMA; Driver et al. 2009), with the addition of eight clusters, using stellar-mass cut-offs in redshift bins up to $z < 0.12$. The details of the sample selection can be found in Bryant et al. (2014b). With its high spectral resolution ($R \approx 4500$) and large sample size, the SAMI Galaxy Survey will provide a unique sample for investigating the prevalence of outflows in the local Universe. The MaNGA² survey, part of the next generation SDSS IV being conducted on the 2.5-m telescope at Apache Point Observatory, will observe tens of thousands of galaxies.

During the commissioning of the SAMI instrument, Fogarty et al. (2012) presented a serendipitously discovered galactic wind, and demonstrated that the excellent sensitivity of SAMI enables the detection of low surface brightness extraplanar emission from the nearly edge-on disc galaxy. The line emission shows kinematics and line ratios consistent with galactic winds. In this paper, we present complex spectral analysis of a moderately inclined star-forming galaxy observed by SAMI. We spectrally decompose emission lines to separate those from gas of different kinematic signatures overlapping in the line-of-sight direction. Taking full advantage of the high spectral resolution of SAMI, we present a novel spectral decomposition technique that allows us to study the excitation and physical properties of gas of different origins. By modelling the emission line ratios with our state-of-the-art photoionization and shock models, we show compelling evidence that the galaxy is launching bipolar outflows driven by starbursts. The excellent agreement between the data and shock models set a benchmark for the type of analysis that can be achieved by the SAMI Galaxy Survey on large numbers of galaxies. The robustness of these results is further confirmed

¹ <http://sami-survey.org/>

² <http://www.sdss3.org/future/manga.php>

by our supplemental observations using the Wide-Field Spectrograph (WiFeS), a wide-field IFS with higher spectral and spatial resolutions than SAMI.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present the properties of the galaxy to be analysed. In Section 3, we describe our observation and data reduction procedures. In Section 4, we demonstrate our spectral decomposition techniques. Results are presented in Section 5. In Section 6, we discuss the nature of the excitation sources for different kinematic components. In Section 7, we discuss the driver of the outflows. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section 8.

2 SDSS J090005.05+000446.7

SDSS J090005.05+000446.7 (GAMA ID: 209807, hereafter SDSS J0900; Fig. 1) is an isolated disc galaxy located in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey field (SDSS; York et al. 2000), with a SDSS r -band magnitude of 15.1, and a logarithmic stellar mass of $10.8 M_{\odot}$ (Taylor et al. 2011). The SDSS imaging data do not show any potential companion galaxies within a 1 arcmin radius of SDSS J0900 (63 kpc at the galaxy redshift); this is consistent with the disc-like morphology and the lack of tidal features of SDSS J0900. The inclination angle of SDSS J0900, as estimated from the axis ratio of 0.75 on the SDSS r -band image, is approximately 43° (Padilla & Strauss 2008). The SDSS spectrum of SDSS J0900, taken with optical fibres of 3 arcsec in diameter, captures emission predominately from the nucleus of the galaxy, and contains only approximately 22 percent of the total light. On standard line ratio diagnostic diagrams (the BPT diagrams; Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich 1981; Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987; see Fig. 8), the nuclear line ratios fall close (<0.1 dex) to the maximum theoretical starburst lines parametrized by Kewley et al. (2001). In the classification scheme by Kewley et al. (2006), SDSS J0900 is formally classified as an ambiguous galaxy. The $[\text{N II}] \lambda 6583/\text{H}\alpha$ ratio is consistent with composite galaxies, the

$[\text{S II}] \lambda\lambda 6716, 6731/\text{H}\alpha$ ratio is consistent with star-forming galaxies, and the $[\text{O I}] \lambda 6300/\text{H}\alpha$ ratio is consistent with low-ionization narrow emission-line regions (LINERs; Heckman 1980).

Throughout this paper, we assume the concordance Λ cold dark matter cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, for which the luminosity distance is 240 Mpc and 1 arcsec corresponds to 1.05 kpc at the galaxy redshift of $z = 0.05386$.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

3.1 SAMI

SDSS J0900 was observed on 2013 March 17 using the Sydney-AAO Multi-object Integral field spectrograph (Croom et al. 2012) mounted on the 3.9-m Anglo-Australian Telescope. SAMI consists of 13 imaging fibre bundles (‘hexabundles’; Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2011; Bryant et al. 2011, 2014a) deployable over a 1° diameter field of view (FOV). Each hexabundle comprises 61 lightly fused multimode fibres. Each fibre is 1.6 arcsec in diameter, forming a circular FOV of each hexabundle of 15 arcsec in diameter. Despite the high filling factor (75 percent) of the hexabundles, ~ 7 dither positions are required to properly sample the gaps between fibres. For SDSS J0900, a set of eight 1800-s dithered observations was obtained. Fibres are fed to the flexible AAOmega dual-beam spectrograph (Sharp et al. 2006) which can be used at a range of spectral resolutions ($R \equiv \lambda/\delta\lambda \approx 1700\text{--}13\,000$) over the optical spectrum (3700–9500 Å).

Standard spectroscopic data reduction procedures were accomplished using the data reduction pipeline 2DFDR³ (Croom, Saunders & Heald 2004), which performs dark and bias subtraction, long-slit flat fielding, tramline identification, extraction, spectral flat fielding, wavelength calibration, throughput correction and sky subtraction. Flux calibration was performed using observations of the spectrophotometric standard HD111786 taken on the same night. A correction for telluric absorption was made using simultaneous observations of a secondary standard star. Reduced frames using different dithers were reconstructed and resampled on a Cartesian grid. The full procedures for flux calibration, telluric correction and resampling are described in Sharp et al. (2014), where the data reduction procedures are also reported (see also Allen et al. 2014). The final reduced data products comprise two data cubes on spatial grids of $0.5 \text{ arcsec} \times 0.5 \text{ arcsec}$. The blue data cube covers $\sim 3720\text{--}5850 \text{ Å}$ with a spectral sampling of 1.04 Å and spectral resolution of $R \sim 1750$ or full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of $\sim 170 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The red data cube covers $\sim 6210\text{--}7370 \text{ Å}$ with a spectral sampling of 0.57 Å and spectral resolution of $R \sim 4500$ or FWHM $\sim 65 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. SDSS J0900 was observed in relative poor seeing conditions and the point spread function (PSF) has an FWHM of $\sim 3.1 \text{ arcsec}$, as measured from reduced data cubes of a calibration star observed simultaneously with science targets (see below).

3.2 WiFeS

To confirm the measurements by SAMI, supplemental observations were also conducted with the WiFeS on the 2.3-m telescope at Siding Spring Observatory in 2013 November and December. WiFeS is a dual beam, image-slicing IFU consisting of 25 slitlets, each of which is 38 arcsec long and 1 arcsec wide, yielding a $25 \text{ arcsec} \times 38 \text{ arcsec}$ FOV. A more comprehensive description of




Figure 1. SDSS g, r, i colour composite image overplotted with footprints of SDSS, SAMI and WiFeS. The SDSS fibre has a diameter of 3 arcsec. The SAMI hexabundle has a circular FOV of 15 arcsec in diameter, and the WiFeS image-slicing IFU has an FOV of $25 \text{ arcsec} \times 38 \text{ arcsec}$.

³ <http://www.aao.gov.au/science/software/2dfdr>




Figure 2. Examples of SSP synthesis fitting using PPXF and stellar models by González Delgado et al. (2005). The upper panels and lower panels show examples of the SAMI and WiFeS data of SDSS J0900, respectively. The same two spaxels are also presented in the upper panels of Figs 3 and 4 to demonstrate spectral decomposition. The blue (left-hand panels) and the red (right-hand panels) spectra are shown separately. Grey bands mark bad channels, channels around sky lines, or strong emission lines that were not included in the continuum fitting. Details are provided in Section 4.

the instrument can be found in Dopita et al. (2007) and Dopita et al. (2010). The galaxy was observed with two different spectral settings. For the first setting, we placed B7000 grating on the blue arm and R7000 grating on the red arm. For the second setting, we switched the red arm to I7000 grating in order to capture the [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6716, 6731$ lines falling outside R7000 spectral coverage. The total effective integration times are 4 h for the B7000 grating, 2.8 h for the R7000 grating and 1 h for the I7000 grating. Typical seeing conditions were 1.5–2.5 arcsec.

We reduce the data using the custom-built data reduction pipeline PYWIFES (Childress et al. 2014). Data for each slitlet are reduced following standard spectroscopic data reduction procedures. The reduced data are subsequently reconstructed to form three-dimensional data cubes. For the sake of convenience, we merged the R7000 and I7000 cubes into a single ‘red’ data cube while keeping the merged B7000 cube as the ‘blue’ data cube. The final reduced cubes have $1 \text{ arcsec} \times 1 \text{ arcsec}$ in the spatial dimensions. The blue cube covers $\sim 4170\text{--}5550 \text{ Å}$ with a spectral resolution of $\text{FWHM} \sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($R = 7000$) and a spectral channel width of 0.35 Å . The red cube covers $\sim 5400\text{--}8930 \text{ Å}$ with a spectral resolution of $\text{FWHM} \sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($R = 7000$) and a spectral channel width of 0.44 Å .

Fig. 1 shows the SDSS g, r, i colour composite image with the FOVs and footprints of SDSS, SAMI and WiFeS overplotted. As SDSS J0900 has an effective radius of 3.5 arcsec, the SAMI aperture covers the galaxy out to approximately 2 effective radii. The WiFeS aperture covers all optical emission visible on the SDSS image.

4 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we describe our methodology of extracting line fluxes from the data cubes via spectral fitting and statistical tests. The high spectral resolutions of both SAMI and WiFeS can often resolve intrinsic kinematic structures in emission lines, which reveal themselves as skewed line profiles. The profiles can usually be modelled as superimpositions of Gaussians, assuming that the individual kinematic components have Gaussian line profiles. As we will show, this assumption is validated by the different line ratios (of the different kinematic components) that can be explained by a self-consistent physical picture. Numerous previous studies have

also adopted the methodology of fitting emission lines with multiple Gaussian components, which we refer to here as ‘spectral decomposition’, to gain insight into kinematics and the line ratios of different structural components (e.g. Rich et al. 2010, 2011; Westmoquette et al. 2011; Soto & Martin 2012; Soto et al. 2012). Below, we first describe briefly our spectral fitting pipeline before elaborating on our technique of spectral decomposition.

4.1 Spectral fitting with LZIFU

We adopt our spectral fitting pipeline LZIFU to perform spectral fitting and spectral decomposition. A more thorough description of LZIFU and its application to the SAMI Galaxy Survey will be presented elsewhere (Ho et al. in preparation). Here, we provide a brief description.

LZIFU is a pipeline, written in the Interactive Data Language (IDL), mainly designed to perform flexible emission line fitting in two-sided IFU data cubes. LZIFU first models and subsequently removes the continuum in each spatial pixel (spaxel) by performing simple stellar population (SSP) synthesis. To achieve this, LZIFU adopts the penalized pixel-fitting routine developed for the SAURON and ATLAS^{3D} projects (PPXF; Cappellari & Emsellem 2004). In this application, we adopt theoretical SSP models, assuming Padova isochrones, of 18 ages⁴ and solar metallicity from González Delgado et al. (2005). The primary objective of performing SSP synthesis fitting is to correct for the underlying Balmer absorption caused by the stellar atmospheres of the old stellar population. In Fig. 2, we show the SSP synthesis fits of two typical spaxels in the SAMI and WiFeS data. After removing the continuum, LZIFU models user-assigned emission lines as Gaussians and performs a bounded value non-linear least-squares fit using the Levenberg–Marquardt method implemented in IDL (MPFIT; Markwardt 2009). Users have the options to model each emission line as one-, two-, or three-component Gaussians describing (potentially) different kinematic components. LZIFU automatically establishes reasonable initial guess(es) to proceed with the Levenberg–Marquardt

⁴ 0.004, 0.006, 0.008, 0.011, 0.016, 0.022, 0.032, 0.045, 0.063, 0.089, 0.126, 0.178, 0.251, 0.355, 0.501, 0.708, 1.000, and 1.413 Gyr.

least-squares method. All the user-assigned lines are fit simultaneously and every kinematic component is constrained to share the same velocity and velocity dispersion. When more than one component is fit, LZIFU sorts and groups the results based on the velocity dispersions of the different components. The main products delivered by LZIFU are two-dimensional (2D) emission line maps useful for emission line studies. Where possible, LZIFU simultaneously uses data from the blue and red arms of the spectrographs to fit continuum and emission lines. In this application, we fit with LZIFU H β , [O III] $\lambda\lambda 4959, 5007$,⁵ [O I] $\lambda 6300$, [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6548, 6583$,⁶ H α , and [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6716, 6731$. Hereafter, we omit the wavelength subscription when appropriate, i.e. [O III] $\equiv$ [O III] $\lambda 5007$, [N II] $\equiv$ [N II] $\lambda 6583$, [O I] $\equiv$ [O I] $\lambda 6300$, and [S II] $\equiv$ [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6716, 6731$.

4.2 Spectral decomposition

How well one can decompose a particular emission line spectrum depends on various factors. One obvious factor is the spectral resolution, which is a gauge of the critical wavelength separation between two different kinematic components (of infinitely small intrinsic line-widths) below which the components cannot be resolved by the instrument. Another factor is the intrinsic velocity dispersions in the kinematic structures. Separating two narrow lines is much easier than separating two broad lines for a given spectral resolution and wavelength separation. In practice, these two factors only serve as rough indicators, as the ability to separate different kinematic components is critically affected by the S/N of the spectrum. When two underlying components have a separation comparable to the spectral resolution, a high S/N spectrum is easier to decompose than a low S/N spectrum. In IFS observations of moderately high spectral resolution ($R \sim 4000$ – 7000 ; such as SAMI and WiFeS) targeting galaxies with interstellar medium (ISM) conditions similar to local galaxies, typically the separation between different components is comparable to the spectral resolution, which yields skewed or asymmetric line profiles. For example, $R = 4000$ corresponds to a resolving power of FWHM $\sim 75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is comparable to typical velocity separation of different kinematic components ($\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and not significantly smaller than the velocity dispersion typically observed in the ISM (few tens km s^{-1}) and shocked gas ($\lesssim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). In order to objectively determine whether a spectrum can be decomposed into multiple Gaussian components, some statistical tests taking into account the effect of noise have to be performed.

A common test adopted in many studies is the likelihood ratio test (LRT). While comparing different models, e.g. a n -component model and a $(n+1)$ -component model, the (natural) logarithmic maximum likelihood ratio of the two models,

$$\Lambda = -2 \ln \frac{\max(L_n)}{\max(L_{n+1})} = \chi_n^2 - \chi_{n+1}^2, \quad (1)$$

is an objective gauge of how much improvement in maximum likelihood, $\max(L_n)$ and $\max(L_{n+1})$, the more sophisticated model can offer. Here, χ_n^2 and χ_{n+1}^2 are χ^2 values of the best-fitting models with ν_n and ν_{n+1} degrees of freedom, respectively. In the case of nested models, where the simpler model (i.e. n -component model) is a subset of the more sophisticated model (i.e. $(n+1)$ -component model), and when the numbers of measurements are large (e.g. many spectral channels), Λ follows a χ^2 distribution of $(\nu_n - \nu_{n+1})$ degree of

freedom. The null hypothesis that the n -component model is superior to the $(n+1)$ -component model can be tested by comparing the measured Λ with the critical Λ (Λ_c) corresponding to a probability p -value. Representing a statistically significant level, the p -value is the integration of the χ^2 probability density function from Λ_c to infinity. The p -value is typically set to 0.05, but in this study we adopt a more conservative value of 0.01. An intuitive way to imagine the LRT is that a more sophisticated $(n+1)$ -component model always results in smaller residuals and a lower χ^2 value. The LRT statistically evaluates whether, given the data, the new χ^2 value is small enough to be worth including the extra free parameters to the n -component model.

The LRT and a similar statistical F -test are commonly adopted in many studies performing spectral decomposition (e.g. Westmoquette et al. 2009a, 2011). However, Protassov et al. (2002) point out that the use of the LRT and F -test is not statistically justified in many line-fitting applications. One of the conditions of the LRT and F -statistics is not strictly satisfied due to the boundary conditions of non-negative line fluxes imposed on the models. The likelihood ratio therefore does not necessarily follow the same asymptotic behaviour as the χ^2 distribution. Although Protassov et al. (2002) provide alternative statistical tests based on a Bayesian framework and Monte Carlo simulations, the lack of simple standard statistical tests has led some authors to visually classify every spectrum, or combine both the LRT (or F -test) with visual inspection (e.g. Westmoquette et al. 2011; Vogt, Dopita & Kewley 2013).

In this work, we perform spectral decomposition with LZIFU, LRT, and visual inspection. We first fit each spaxel with one, two, and three components with LZIFU, and record the χ^2 of each fit. We then determine which fit best describes a spectrum by calculating the critical likelihood ratio above which the null hypothesis, that the simpler model is more suitable, can be rejected at a significance of 1 per cent. Each pixel is then assigned to a requirement of either one, two, or three components and the corresponding fit results are adopted. We record the 2D distribution of the numbers of components required, and refer it as ‘component map’. Given the caveat of this statistical test as discussed in Protassov et al. (2002), we visually inspect randomly selected spaxels and reach the conclusion that LRT gives sensible classification in SDSS J0900. We also perform simple Monte Carlo simulations to probe the asymptotic behaviour of the likelihood ratios. From the statistical aspects, we find that the false classification rates are low ($\lesssim 10$ per cent), and unlikely to impact any of our major conclusions. A more thorough investigation of using LRT and F -test in spectral decomposition will be presented in Ho et al. (in preparation).

In Figs 3 and 4, we show examples of our spectral decomposition of the SAMI and WiFeS data, respectively. In all cases, the continuum subtracted spectra (grey) are reasonably flat with no evidences of non-zero residuals in line-free channels, indicating that PPXF reliably models the continua. The continuum models of the spaxels shown in the top two rows of Figs 3 and 4 were presented in Fig. 2 for comparison. In the continuum-subtracted data, skewed and non-Gaussian line profiles are obvious in the three-component and two-component cases, and our fits reliably reproduce the profiles. In the rest of the paper, we refer to $c1$ as the component with the smallest velocity dispersion in one particular spaxel, $c2$ (if a robust fit is obtained according to the LRT) with the middle velocity dispersion, and $c3$ (if a robust fit is obtained according to the LRT) with the largest velocity dispersion.

We note that uncertainties in SSP fitting can affect the low amplitude broad kinematic component $c3$, particularly in the Balmer lines due to their sensitivity to stellar ages and metallicities. We

⁵ [O III] $\lambda 5007$ is fixed to three times [O III] $\lambda 4959$ in flux.

⁶ [N II] $\lambda 6583$ is fixed to three times [N II] $\lambda 6548$ in flux.

Examples of SAMI spectral decomposition




Figure 3. Examples of our spectral decomposition using the SAMI data. First, second and third rows are examples of selected spaxels requiring three-component, two-component and one-component fits to describe the spectral profiles, respectively. Each panel is a zoom-in of the spectral ranges comprising key diagnostic emission lines. Continuum subtracted spectra are shown in grey. Best-fitting $c3$, $c2$, and $c1$ are shown in red, orange, and blue, respectively. The best-fitting emission line models, i.e. $\sum c_i$, are shown in black. To avoid confusion in cases where the lines are broad and blended, we also show individual line transitions of $c3$ as transparent red lines. Details of our spectral analysis technique are described in Section 4.

Examples of WiFeS spectral decomposition




Figure 4. Same as Fig. 3 but for the WiFeS data.

have performed SSP fitting using different stellar templates and wavelength ranges; we have also compared the emission-line fits with and without including the Balmer lines. We find that our major conclusions are not sensitive to the uncertainties in SSP fitting.

We present in Fig. 5 the component maps for the SAMI and the WiFeS data. The centre of the galaxy requires a three-component fit, the number of components required decrease at large radii. Note that whenever S/Ns are sufficiently high (>3), the spaxels in the one-component regions only contribute to $c1$ to the following




Figure 5. Component maps of the SAMI data (left) and the WiFeS data (right) describing the number of components required to model the spectral profiles in each spaxel. The white area in the SAMI map (outside the aperture) contains no data and was not analysed. The dashed circle in the right-hand panel indicates approximately the SAMI aperture in the WiFeS field. We determine the number of components required by using the statistical LRT, and we also perform visual inspection on selected spectra. Details are provided in Section 4.

analysis, while those in the three-component regions may contribute to each of $c1$, $c2$, and $c3$. In other words, the narrow component $c1$ exists in all three zones in Fig. 5, but the broader components $c2$ and $c3$ are only observed at statistically significant levels in the inner regions.

5 RESULTS

5.1 Kinematics of the different components

The distributions of the velocity dispersions of SDSS J0900 are shown in Fig. 6. We present only spaxels with $S/N > 3$ for the $H\alpha$ fluxes. It is evident that different components are well separated in velocity dispersion space, producing a trimodal distribution. In particular, the broad kinematic component $c3$ has distinctly higher velocity dispersions ($\gtrsim 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), with the distribution peaking at approximately 300 km s^{-1} . The narrow component $c1$ has relative low velocity dispersions, with the distribution peaking at approximately 40 km s^{-1} .




Figure 6. The distributions of velocity dispersions of the different components in the SAMI data. The histograms show the distributions of velocity dispersions for every spaxel where $H\alpha$ has $S/N > 3$. It is evident that the different components have distinctly different velocity dispersions, producing a trimodal distribution.




Figure 7. Velocity fields of the narrow component $c1$, the intermediate component $c2$, and the broad component $c3$ of the SAMI data. Spaxels with $H\alpha$ $S/N < 3$ have been masked out to avoid confusion. The obvious rotation pattern of the narrow component $c1$, in the same sense as the disc orientation in Fig. 1, demonstrates the robustness of our spectral decomposition. The intermediate component $c2$ and the broad component $c3$ both show velocity gradients in the north-west to south-east and east to west direction, respectively, with $c3$ presenting a more prominent and steeper velocity gradient.

In Fig. 7, we present velocity fields of the different components from the SAMI data. Spaxels with low S/N s (< 3) in $H\alpha$ fluxes have been masked out. In the left-hand panel, the narrow component $c1$ traces the rotation field of the disc and shows an obvious rotation pattern in the same sense as the optical disc (Fig. 1). The regularity of the rotation field further proves the robustness of our spectral decomposition. The intermediate component $c2$ and the broad component $c3$ both also show velocity gradients, in the north-west to south-east and east to west direction, respectively, with $c3$ presenting a more pronounced and steeper velocity gradient. We note that in all three panels, some hot spaxels stand out from the otherwise smooth velocity fields. Some of those spaxels can be removed by raising the S/N cut, but some obviously have bad fit due to the ill-chosen initial guesses in line fitting, or remaining cosmic rays. It is trivial to identify those spaxels and manually refit them with proper initial guesses. We do not perform this exercise because (1) it is impractical to do so for the whole SAMI data set in the future, and (2) we wish to faithfully present the low but non-zero failure rate of LZIFU. We do take extra caution to ensure that all the conclusions in this paper are independent of these outlying spaxels.

5.2 Line ratio diagrams and line ratio – velocity dispersion diagrams

The ability to robustly separate different kinematic components with spectral decomposition opens up opportunities to investigate the underlying physical mechanisms responsible for exciting different kinematic components. Different physical mechanisms such as thermal excitation by young stars in $H II$ regions, and non-thermal excitation by AGNs, LINERs, asymptotic giant branch stars, or interstellar shocks, can produce distinctly different optical line ratios. The most well-understood tool for investigating different excitations is with the BPT diagrams.

In Fig. 8, we present the three BPT diagrams, $[O III]/H\beta$ versus (1) $[N II]/H\alpha$, (2) $[S II]/H\alpha$, and (3) $[O I]/H\alpha$ for both the SAMI data and the WiFeS data. Only spaxels with $S/N > 3$ on all lines associated with the line ratios are shown.

We also show various empirical and theoretical lines dividing galaxies excited by different mechanisms. The empirical separation lines between starburst galaxies and AGN-hosted galaxies derived from SDSS are shown as dashed lines (Kauffmann et al. 2003); the theoretical extreme starburst lines calculated from photoionization and radiation transfer models are shown as solid lines (Kewley




Figure 8. BPT diagrams of the SAMI data (top) and the WiFeS data (bottom). The line ratios of the different components are colour-coded as indicated in the legend. The nuclear line ratios measured by the SDSS spectroscopic survey are shown as stars. The empirical separation lines between starburst galaxies and AGN host galaxies derived from SDSS are shown as dashed lines (Kauffmann et al. 2003). The theoretical extreme starburst lines calculated from photoionization and radiation transfer models are shown as solid lines (Kewley et al. 2001). The SDSS empirical separation lines between Seyfert galaxies and LINERs are indicated as dotted lines (Kewley et al. 2006).

et al. 2001); the SDSS empirical separation lines between Seyfert II and LINER galaxies are shown as dotted lines (Kewley et al. 2006).

Fig. 8 demonstrates one of our major results: not only do the different kinematic components have different velocity dispersions, they also present distinctly different line ratios on all three BPT diagrams. First, the narrow kinematic component *c1* mostly lies below the maximum starburst line characterized by Kewley et al. (2001); 99 percent, 99 percent, and 88 percent of the SAMI spaxels on $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, and $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$, respectively, lie in the parameter space where thermal excitation is important. Secondly, the broad kinematic component *c3* lies in the parameter space where a non-thermal component must exist to explain the line ratios. The line ratios of $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$ and $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$ are consistent with LINERs. Finally, the intermediate component, *c2*, lies in the transition region between *c1* and *c3*.

In Fig. 9, we compare the three BPT line ratios to their corresponding velocity dispersions for both the SAMI and WiFeS data. Again, only spaxels with $\text{S/N} > 3$ on all the lines associated with each diagram are shown. Comparing Fig. 9 to Fig. 8, there are considerably more data points because the S/N criteria required for $[\text{O III}]$ and the weak $\text{H}\beta$ line in Fig. 8 are not applied in Fig. 9.

Fig. 9 clearly demonstrates that the three components are well separated both in velocity dispersion space and in line ratio space. Clear correlations between the line ratios and velocity dispersions exist not only between different components, but within the same component. For example, the narrow component *c1*, on average, has both lower line ratios and velocity dispersions than the broad component *c3*; and within *c3*, those with lower velocity dispersions have lower line ratios than those with high velocity dispersions.

Such clear separation of different kinematic components on BPT diagrams, and the obvious correlations between the line ratios and

velocity dispersions have also been observed in late-stage mergers with galactic-wide shock activities (Rich et al. 2011).

Comparing the SAMI and the WiFeS results in Figs 8 and 9, we see excellent agreement between the general trends on the diagrams, despite the differences in S/N, spectral resolution, spectral coverage, and spatial resolution between the two data sets. We note that there are considerably fewer data points (spaxels) in the WiFeS results. This is due to both the larger spaxel size and the lower S/N of the WiFeS data. The equivalent noise level of the WiFeS data is approximately 2–4 times higher than the SAMI data.

5.3 Electron density

The density-sensitive $[\text{S II}] \lambda 6716$ and $[\text{S II}] \lambda 6731$ lines can be used to probe electron density, n_e , of the different components useful for shedding light on the different physical environments of the gas. We adopt the CHIANTI package written in IDL (Dere et al. 1997; Landi et al. 2013) to convert the flux ratios $[\text{S II}] \lambda 6716/[\text{S II}] \lambda 6731$ to electron densities assuming an electron temperature, T_e , of 10^4 K. The distributions of the line ratios and n_e derived from the SAMI data are presented in Fig. 10. Here, we apply a harder S/N cut of 8 on both $[\text{S II}]$ lines. The density measurements require high S/Ns on both lines because of the strong dependency of the $[\text{S II}]$ ratio on electron density, and the limited range of $[\text{S II}]$ ratio that returns meaningful electron density. Nevertheless, it is clear that the broad kinematic component *c3* has systematically lower line ratios than the narrow component *c1*, which infers higher electron densities of *c3* than *c1*. The median electron density of the broad kinematic component *c3* and the narrow kinematic component *c1* are approximately 300 and 20 cm^{-3} , respectively.




Figure 9. Velocity dispersions versus the three key line ratios for the SAMI data (top) and the WiFeS data (bottom). Measurements from the three different components are colour-coded as indicated in the legend.




Figure 10. Left: $[\text{SII}] \lambda 6716$ to $[\text{SII}] \lambda 6731$ line ratios versus S/Ns of the line ratios as measured from the SAMI data. A minimum flux S/N of eight is applied on both lines. Measurements from different components are colour-coded as indicated in the legend. Right: histogram of their data in the left-hand panel. We show the inferred electron densities from the $[\text{SII}]$ ratios on the y-axis on the right-hand-side. Although the density measurements are low in S/N, it is evident that $c3$ has systematically low electron densities than $c1$.

5.4 Global SFRs

Global SFRs provide insight into the available energy budget for exciting the emission lines. Global SFRs are estimated from five indicators: (1) $\text{H}\alpha$, (2) ultraviolet (UV) continuum, (3) inferred

infrared (IR) continuum, (4) $[\text{CII}] \lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$, and (5) 1.4 GHz radio continuum.

5.4.1 $\text{H}\alpha$

The $\text{H}\alpha$ -based SFRs are derived from the narrow component $c1$, as $c1$ originates from star-forming regions on the disc (see Section 6.1). We first estimate the global $\text{H}\alpha$ and $\text{H}\beta$ fluxes by summing the pixel-to-pixel measurements within the SAMI aperture. We then correct for extinction assuming $\text{H}\alpha/\text{H}\beta$ of 2.85 using the classical extinction law with $R_V = 3.1$ (i.e. CCM extinction; Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989) implemented in the Python module PYNEB (Luridiana, Morisset & Shaw 2012). The mean colour excess $E(B - V)$ is approximately 0.3. Following Kennicutt (1998), the extinction corrected $\text{H}\alpha$ flux infer an SFR of $1.4 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ within the SAMI aperture. A higher SFR of approximately $2.7 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ is arrived, if we include intermediate component $c2$ that could also partially originates from star-forming regions (see Section 6.3).

5.4.2 Ultraviolet continuum

The UV-based SFR is derived from the far-UV (FUV) and near-UV (NUV) photometry measured in the *Galaxy Evolution Explorer mission* (GALEX; Martin et al. 2005; Wyder et al. 2005), which were subsequently compiled by Hill et al. (2011) as part of the GAMA project. Milky Way extinction is corrected using the reddening map by Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) and the CCM extinction law (Cardelli et al. 1989). The corrected FUV and NUV brightness measure 18.9 and 18.3 mag in the AB system. A dust-corrected

UV-based SFR of $5.5 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is inferred following the calibration by Salim et al. (2007). The calibration corrects for internal dust extinction using the UV colour.

5.4.3 IR continuum

SFR can also be inferred from dust emission in the infrared. The 8–1000 μm luminosity (L_{IR}) is commonly calculated from the four-band photometry measured with the *Infrared Astronomical Satellite* (IRAS; Neugebauer et al. 1984); unfortunately those measurements are not available for SDSS J0900. Since the galaxy is not in the IRAS faint source catalogue (Moshir, Kopman & Conrow 1992), rough upper limits of 0.2 Jy in the 12, 25, 60 μm bands, and 1 Jy in the 100 μm band imply an upper limit on SFR of $30 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Kennicutt 1998).

A tighter constraint can be placed by comparing templates of spectral energy distribution (SED) with measurements at shorter wavelengths by the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (WISE; Wright et al. 2010). Comparing the 105 template SEDs constructed by Chary & Elbaz (2001) to the WISE photometry at 12 and 22 μm , we constrain L_{IR} and derive the corresponding SFR of approximately $7 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. If we abandon the 12 μm measurement that could contain emission from the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, we arrive a similar result of approximately $6 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

5.4.4 [C II] $\lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$

The strong interstellar cooling line [C II] $\lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$ is an alternative probe of star formation activities. The [C II] $\lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$ line strength in SDSS J0900 is measured with the Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (Poglitsch et al. 2010) aboard the *Herschel Space Observatory* (Pilbratt et al. 2010; ObsID: 1342270755). Following the calibrations by de Looze et al. (2011) and Sargsyan et al. (2012), the total [C II] $\lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$ line flux infers SFRs of 15 and $18 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively.

5.4.5 1.4 GHz radio continuum

Finally, radio continuum originated from synchrotron radiation (from relativistic electrons) and free-free emission from H II regions can also infer SFR. The tight radio to far IR correlation (Helou, Soifer & Rowan-Robinson 1985; Condon 1992; Yun, Reddy & Condon 2001) allows a conversion between the 1.4 GHz radio continuum luminosity and the far IR luminosity. The infrared luminosity can then be computed by comparing the inferred far IR luminosity with SED templates, and L_{IR} subsequently yields SFR. Following Ho et al. (2010, section 3.3.1), we convert the 1.4 GHz radio continuum of 5.3 mJy, measured by the Very Large Array FIRST survey (Becker, White & Helfand 1994), to an SFR of approximately $28 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

To summarize, the SFRs derived from various indicators, as tabulated in Table 1, do not agree well. This is not surprising given that

Table 1. Star formation rate.

Indicator	Optical H α	UV Continuum	L_{IR}	[C II] $\lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$	1.4 GHz Continuum
SFR	1.4–2.7	5.5	6–7	15–18	28

Star formation rates, in units of $\text{M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, using different indicators. See Section 5.4 for details.

the systematic uncertainties involved in different SFR calibrations (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2003), and the fact that the different indicators trace star formation of different time-scales; however, an order of magnitude difference is rather extreme. In addition to systematic errors associated with the SFR calibrations, the SFRs from the UV continuum, 1.4 GHz radio continuum, and [C II] $\lambda 158 \mu\text{m}$ emission could be overestimates because of contamination from shock excitation (see below). The UV continuum and the H α are subject to uncertainties in the extinction correction. Our infrared luminosity and 1.4 GHz radio continuum could both be affected by the putative AGN and the unknown dust temperature. Given these caveats, our best estimate of the SFR is approximately $5\text{--}15 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

6 NATURE OF THE EXCITATION SOURCES

The distinctly different velocity dispersions, velocity fields, and line ratios strongly indicate that the different kinematic components trace gas of different origins in the galaxy. Their continuity in parameter space, in particular velocity dispersions and line ratios, however, implies possible connections between different components. Below, we discuss the different components in this order: $c1$, $c3$, and $c2$.

6.1 $c1$ – the star-forming component

All evidence suggests that the narrow component $c1$ arises from H II regions within the disc. The distribution of the velocity dispersion of $c1$ peaks at $\sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6), in agreement with those observed in normal star-forming galaxies in the SAMI Galaxy Survey. The emission-line ratios of the $c1$ component are consistent with star-forming regions; $\gtrsim 90$ percent of data points (spaxels) lie below the Kewley et al. (2001) maximum starburst line. Thermal excitation from young stars is expected to dominate, but some contributions from non-thermal excitation cannot be ruled out. The clear rotation map (Fig. 7) supports our conclusion that the narrow component $c1$ is from the disc. The regularity of the rotation map further suggests that the galaxy is a kinematically-isolated system without a recent major merger, which is consistent with the lack of tidal features, distorted morphology, or companions on the optical images (Fig. 1).

6.2 $c3$ – the shock component

The broad component $c3$ is markedly different from $c1$ in terms of velocity dispersion, velocity, and emission-line ratios. Kinematically, the distribution of velocity dispersions of $c3$ peaks at a much higher value of $\sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (compared to $c1$ of $\sim 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Fig. 6), and the velocity gradient of $c3$ is roughly orthogonal to that of $c1$ (Fig. 7). The optical line ratios of $c3$ fall in the parameter space classified as LINERs. At the given observational sensitivity, $c3$ is spatially less extended than $c1$, implying the underlying ionization sources could be located close to the centre of SDSS J0900.

We believe that $c3$ is unlikely to arise from the broad-line region of a putative type-I AGN, because the broad-line region would yield much broader velocity dispersions ($> 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; Osterbrock & Mathews 1986; Sulentic, Marziani & Dultzin-Hacyan 2000). The spatial extent of $c3$ is also inconsistent with a compact unresolved source. In Fig. 11, we show the PSF measured from a calibration star observed simultaneously with the galaxy, and Fig. 12 gives the comparison between the PSF and the H α flux map of $c3$ from SAMI. The Gaussian-like PSF has a FWHM of approximately 3.1 arcsec, but the broad $c3$ kinematic region is non-Gaussian and significantly




Figure 11. Left: normalized narrow-band image (6400–6500 Å) reconstructed from the data cube of a calibration star. The star was observed simultaneously with the galaxy by SAMI. Middle: 2D Gaussian fit of the narrow-band image. The Gaussian has a FWHM size of $3.2 \text{ arcsec} \times 3.0 \text{ arcsec}$ and a position angle of -33° , as also indicated by the ellipse in the bottom-left corner. Right: residuals of the model fit.




Figure 12. Normalized $H\alpha$ intensity map of the broad kinematic component $c3$. Spaxels with the $H\alpha$ S/N smaller than 3 have been masked out. The ellipse in the bottom left corner indicates the FWHM resolution as determined from Fig. 11. The broad kinematic component $c3$ is extended and spatially resolved by SAMI.

extended. To quantify the size of the $c3$ region, we fit a 2D Gaussian to the $H\alpha$ flux map, which yields an FWHM size of approximately $6 \text{ arcsec} \times 4 \text{ arcsec}$. These dimensions correspond to a deconvolved size of approximately $5.5 \times 2.8 \text{ kpc}$. A consistent result is also obtained with the WiFeS data, which have a better spatial resolution (1–2 arcsec), but approximately 2–4 times higher noise level.

The resolved velocity field of $c3$ shows a velocity gradient almost orthogonal to that of $c1$, indicating that $c3$ is kinematically decoupled from the stellar disc (Fig. 7). The high velocity dispersions and the clear velocity gradient of $c3$ are most naturally explained by bipolar (biconical) outflows seen in many galaxies hosting AGNs and/or star-bursting (Veilleux et al. 2005). If $c3$ genuinely traces the outflowing gas, the emission lines are likely to be excited by radiative shocks (e.g. Rich et al. 2010, 2011; Soto & Martin 2012; Soto et al. 2012).

6.2.1 Shock modelling and mixing

Radiative shocks can be modelled using MAPPINGS, a shock/photoionization code originally described in Sutherland & Dopita (1993). We use the latest MAPPINGS IV code (Dopita

et al. 2013), which incorporates a new non-thermal electron energy excitation (Nicholls, Dopita & Sutherland 2012; Nicholls et al. 2013) and updated atomic data, to model the line ratios. The development of the models and efforts of modelling optical line ratios, in particular those on the BPT diagrams, can be found in Dopita & Sutherland (1995, 1996) and Allen et al. (2008).

We first constrain the chemical abundance of the gas by measuring the chemical abundance of the star-forming gas (i.e. the narrow component $c1$). The line fluxes are extinction corrected using the CCM extinction curve (Cardelli et al. 1989). We adopt line ratio diagnostics constructed consistently from starburst photoionization models in MAPPINGS IV to measure the chemical abundance. The total measured oxygen abundance $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})$ is approximately 9.1–9.2 or 2.6–3.2 times the solar abundance, and the ionization parameter $\log(q)$ is approximately 7.3–7.5 cm s^{-1} . The total oxygen abundance $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})$ in the shock models is therefore fixed to 9.14. The one-dimensional plane-parallel shock models have a pre-shock hydrogen number density of 10 cm^{-3} and a transverse magnetic field of $10 \mu\text{G}$. In total, 10 shock models are constructed and the only variable is the shock velocity, v_{sh} , with increments of 20 km s^{-1} between 100 and 200 km s^{-1} , and increments of 25 km s^{-1} between 200 and 300 km s^{-1} . As a technical note, these models do not allow for grain destruction in the shock, which would increase the gas-phase oxygen abundance by about 0.08 dex.

We combine the MAPPINGS IV shock and photoionization models to account for the potential contribution of both shocks and star formation to individual spaxels. Here, we define a shock fraction, f_{sh} , representing the contribution of shock excitation relative to H II regions. We mix the model line fluxes by

$$F_{\text{mix}}(f_{\text{sh}}) = F_{\text{sh}}f_{\text{sh}} + F_{\text{H II}}(1 - f_{\text{sh}}), \quad (2)$$

where F_{sh} and $F_{\text{H II}}$ are the line fluxes from the MAPPINGS IV models, and $F_{\text{mix}}(f_{\text{sh}})$ is the (mixed) flux contributed by both shock and photoionization. All the line fluxes are normalized to $\text{H}\beta$. The photoionization models have an oxygen abundance, $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})$, of 9.14 and two possible ionization parameters, $\log(q)$, of 7.25 and 7.5 (cm s^{-1}). In Fig. 13, we show our shock and photoionization mixing models. We discuss the physical meanings in more detail later.

We note that the line ratios of pure photoionization ($f_{\text{sh}} = 0$) are generally in agreement within approximately 0.3 dex with the star-forming component $c1$ of the lowest $[\text{O III}]/\text{H}\beta$ values. The only exception is $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$ where the model is approximately 0.6 dex smaller than the observations. Dopita et al. (2013) state that the $[\text{O I}]$ models are less reliable than the other two line ratios because $[\text{O I}]$ is sensitive to even very small mechanical energy injection (see their section 7.1). From our data, it is not clear whether this difference is due to numerical artefacts or contaminations from minor shock activities. Our conclusions do not change even if we abandon the theoretical $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$ and adopt empirical values drawn directly from the star-forming component $c1$ where the shock contribution is expected to be minimal.

An important quantity measured observationally but not predicted in the shock models is velocity dispersion. While the measured velocity dispersion may depend on shock geometry, a positive correlation between the measured velocity dispersion and the shock velocity is expected when there are multiple shocks propagating in virtually random directions in each spaxel. Given that our data have insufficient spatial resolution to resolve the shock fronts, we expect a close relationship between the measured velocity dispersion and the shock velocity. A closer examination of this possibility can be found in (Dopita et al. 2012, their section 3.2) where indeed a positive correlation was discovered.




Figure 13. Shock and photoionization mixing sequences predicted by our models. The line segments connect model grids of certain shock fractions with changing shock velocities. Different grey-scales of the segments correspond to different shock fractions as indicated in the legend. The shock velocity grids have increments of 20 km s^{-1} between 100 and 200 km s^{-1} , and increments of 25 km s^{-1} between 200 and 300 km s^{-1} . The two sets of 0 per cent shock fraction points (lower-left corners) are models of pure photoionization of H II regions of $\log(q) = 7.5 \text{ (cm s}^{-1}\text{)}$; upper data point) and $7.25 \text{ (cm s}^{-1}\text{)}$; lower data point), and $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 9.14$ (Dopita et al. 2013). Blue, orange, and red transparent data points are SAMI measurements of the different kinematic components shown in Fig. 8. Details of the models are provided in Section 6.2.1.

In consideration of this correlation, we *assume* that the model shock velocities equal to the observed velocity dispersions. Under this assumption, we can predict the velocity dispersion mixing sequences in Fig. 9, very much like the mixing sequences on BPT diagrams in Fig. 8 using equation (2). We define the mixing of velocity dispersions between the shock and photoionization models, $\sigma_{\text{mix}}(f_{\text{sh}})$, as

$$\sqrt{\frac{v_{\text{sh}}^2 F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{sh}} f_{\text{sh}} + \sigma_{\text{H II}}^2 F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{H II}} (1 - f_{\text{sh}})}{F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{sh}} f_{\text{sh}} + F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{H II}} (1 - f_{\text{sh}})}}, \quad (3)$$

where $F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{sh}}$ and $F_{\text{H}\alpha, \text{H II}}$ are the normalized line fluxes of $\text{H}\alpha$ from MAPPINGS IV, and $\sigma_{\text{H II}}$ is the velocity dispersion of the star-forming component $c1$ assumed at its peak value of 40 km s^{-1} (Fig. 6). The velocity dispersion mixing sequences, $\sigma_{\text{mix}}(f_{\text{sh}})$, from the photoionization and shock models are shown in Fig. 14.

The line ratios of $c3$ are readily explained by the shock models. In Fig. 13, the high $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, and $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$ spaxels are

consistent with being excited only by higher velocity shocks ($v_{\text{sh}} \approx 200\text{--}300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The spaxels with relatively low line ratios can be explained by being excited by shocks of lower velocities and slight mixing with H II regions ($f_{\text{sh}} \approx 60\text{--}80$ per cent). Spaxels from all three kinematic components can be explained by the models; the model grids encompass 60, 70, and 55 per cent (for $[\text{N II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, $[\text{S II}]/\text{H}\alpha$, and $[\text{O I}]/\text{H}\alpha$, respectively) of all the SAMI data point in Fig. 13.

Remarkably, the positive correlations between the three key BPT line ratios and velocity dispersions are also qualitatively reproduced by the model loci in Fig. 14. These results demonstrate that our models simultaneously reproduce both the kinematics and the line ratios, with the shock velocity as the only variable.

The high electron densities of the $c3$ region also strongly support the presence of shocks. The density indicators $[\text{S II}] \lambda\lambda 6716, 6731$ come from the post-shock recombination regions where the gas densities are expected to be higher than the pre-shock densities (e.g. fig. 1 2 in Allen et al. 2008). In the simplest case, where the shocks




Figure 14. Velocity dispersion mixing sequence as predicted by our photoionization and shock models. The line segments connect model grids of certain shock fractions with changing shock velocities. Grey-scales of the segments correspond to different shock fractions as indicated in the legend. The shock velocity grids have increments of 20 km s^{-1} between 100 and 200 km s^{-1} , and increments of 25 km s^{-1} between 200 and 300 km s^{-1} . The 0 per cent shock fraction points (lower-left corners) are models of pure photoionization of H II regions of $\log(q) = 7.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$, and $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 9.14$ (Dopita et al. 2013). Blue, orange, and red transparent data points are SAMI measurements of the different kinematic components shown in Fig. 8. Details of the models are provided in Section 6.2.1.




Figure 15. [S II] ratios and electron densities, versus velocity dispersion for the SAMI data shown in Fig. 10. Filled circles of different colours represent different components as shown in the legend. The curves are models of isothermal shocks propagating in ISM without magnetic field (left-hand panel) and with magnetic field (right-hand panel). The models described by equations (4) and (5) are also labelled in the two panels. The models providing the best representation of the data are shown as the black curves. The models with different parameters close to those of the black models are shown in grey. The three parameters adopted in each model are labelled next to each curve. The units are cm^{-3} for the pre-shock electron density (n_{e0}), and km s^{-1} for the sound speed (c_s) and Alfvén velocity (v_A). The geometric factor (ϵ) is unit-less. The predictions from the MAPPINGS IV shock models are shown as thick black segments in the right-hand panel. Details are discussed in Section 6.2.1.

are isothermal and travel in non-magnetized medium, the density contrast between pre-shock and post-shock regions follows

$$\frac{n_{e1}}{n_{e0}} = \left(\frac{v_{sh}}{c_s} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\epsilon \sigma}{c_s} \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

This is sometimes also called the ‘compression factor’. Here, n_{e0} and n_{e1} are pre- and post-shock electron densities, respectively. The sound speed is represented as c_s . We denote v_{sh} as the product of a geometric factor, ϵ , and the observed velocity dispersion, σ . The geometric factor represents a zeroth-order approximation for the relation between shock velocities and velocity dispersions which we adopted as unity when we calculated the mixing sequences.

In the case where the transverse magnetic field pressure dominates the gas pressure in the recombination zone of the shock, the density contrast becomes

$$\frac{n_{e1}}{n_{e0}} = \sqrt{2} \frac{v_{sh}}{v_A} = \sqrt{2} \frac{\epsilon \sigma}{v_A}, \quad (5)$$

where v_A is the Alfvén velocity determined by the density and magnetic field (see Dopita & Sutherland 2003).

In Fig. 15, we present the [S II] line ratios and electron densities versus velocity dispersions from the SAMI data. We also show the simple models from equation (4) (left-hand panel) and equation (5) (right-hand panel). Models of different parameters are shown as solid (grey) curves. The models providing the best representation of the data are shown as the black curves. In the case of a shock propagating in a medium without magnetic field (left-hand panel), our $c3$ component can be explained by compression from few hundred km s^{-1} shocks propagating in a medium of density of $10^{-0.3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and sound speed of 10 km s^{-1} . Such a small density, although not impossible, is at the lower boundary of typical ISM conditions. In the case where magnetic field is important, the medium can resist compression by virtue of the magnetic pressure and therefore the pre-shock density can be higher. Our preferred model (thick black curve in the right-hand panel) has a pre-shock density of 10 cm^{-3} and an Alfvén velocity of 10 km s^{-1} , which corresponds to a pre-shock (transverse) magnetic field of approximately $18 \mu\text{G}$. In this case, the pre-shock density is more consistent with typical ISM values, and both the density and (transverse) magnetic field

agree with the values adopted in the shock models of 10 cm^{-3} and $10 \mu\text{G}$, respectively. In fact, the MAPPINGS IV predictions shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 15 are also largely consistent with the data.

The fact that the MAPPINGS IV models are able to simultaneously reproduce (1) the line ratios on BPT diagrams, (2) the velocity dispersion versus line ratio relations, and (3) the high densities of $c3$ is remarkable, given that all parameters are either observationally or empirically constrained and the *only* free parameter is the shock velocity. It is clear that shock model velocities can be inferred from the observed velocity dispersions for the $c3$ component of this galaxy.

6.3 $c2$ – the star-forming and shock mixed component

The intermediate kinematic component $c2$ has velocity dispersions, velocity fields, and line ratios that are intermediate between our star-forming component $c1$ and our shock component $c3$. These intermediate properties suggest that either the $c2$ component contains contributions from both H II regions and shocks, or that $c2$ is a transition region between the two excitation sources.

In Fig. 13, the line ratios of $c2$ are consistent with excitation by shocks of lower velocities ($v_{sh} \approx 100\text{--}200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) with lower shock fractions ($f_{sh} \lesssim 60\text{--}80$ per cent), compared to the pure shock component ($c3$). In Fig. 14, the observed correlations between the line ratios and the velocity dispersion can also be explained by the low shock velocities and the low shock fractions, though inferring the velocities and the shock fractions of $c2$ is more affected by the degeneracy between the two quantities on the line ratio – velocity dispersion space. In Fig. 15, the lower densities of $c2$ versus $c3$ further support the picture that $c3$ is highly compressed by high velocity shocks and $c2$ is only moderately compressed due to lower shock velocities.

We note that some intermediate components could come from star-forming gas, particularly those with virtually zero shock fractions and line ratios consistent with the narrow component $c1$. Beam smearing due to poor PSF can sometimes produce skewed line profiles when there is a steep velocity gradient (e.g. Green et al. 2014). The skewed line profiles would be decomposed into two (narrow

and broad) kinematic components with indistinguishable BPT line ratios.

6.4 Interpretation in terms of a wind model

What exactly do $c2$ and $c3$ trace in the bipolar outflow picture and what are the differences? In the canonical view of bipolar outflows, the outflow velocity in open-ended conical winds often increases with radius. This rise in wind velocity is both expected from a theoretical viewpoint (Murray, Quataert & Thompson 2005; Murray et al. 2011) and observed in nearby starburst galaxies launching outflows (e.g. Shopbell & Bland-Hawthorn 1998; Westmoquette et al. 2011). Typical wind velocities of a few hundred km s^{-1} commonly observed in starburst galaxies is consistent with the inferred shock velocities of $c3$. This suggests that $c3$ is at high galactic latitude where the wind-blown bubbles have already broken out of the stellar disc and the outflows are predominately pressure driven. Warm and cool gas entrained by the outflows are compressed by fast shocks propagating in the outflows, which results in the high densities and line ratios of $c3$. Because the material is at high galactic latitude, ionizing radiation from young stars could be negligible and the excitation would be dominated by shocks.

Closer to the outflow launching points and at low galactic latitude, the environment is more clumpy and higher density on average; therefore, the outflows do not have sufficient time and space to accelerate. Shock velocities are slower and the ISM experiences both shock excitation from stellar and supernova winds, and photoionization from nearby young stars and star clusters. Turbulent mixing layers form on the surfaces of the molecular clouds directly facing the winds from young stars and star clusters could also give rise to the intermediate component $c2$ with shock and star-forming mixed properties (see fig. 16 of Westmoquette et al. 2009b). This picture readily explains the intermediate line ratios, velocity dispersions, and lower shock fractions of $c2$.

We note that in classical nearby wind galaxies surface brightness of the H II emission typically decreases faster with increasing radius than the shock-excited emission (e.g. Sharp & Bland-Hawthorn 2010). The fact that the star-forming component $c1$ is well detected ($\text{S/N of H}\alpha > 3$) within the SAMI aperture but the shock emission $c3$ is not detected in the eastern and western edges of the aperture (the outflow direction; Fig. 7) implies that either SDSS J0900 is relatively face-on, and/or the outflows are still developing and did not have sufficient time to expand well beyond the optical disc when viewed in projection on the sky.

7 DISCUSSION

7.1 Starburst-driven or AGN-driven outflows?

Determining the exact energy source driving the outflows, whether from an AGN or starburst, has never been easy. Both energy injections from an AGN or central starburst can yield very similar bipolar structures, although the orientations of AGN-driven outflows can be random relative to the major axis of the host galaxy (e.g. Ulvestad & Wilson 1984; Cecil, Bland & Tully 1990; Kinney et al. 2000). To completely reject the involvement from an AGN is non-trivial given its small physical scale and large dynamic range. Without spatially resolving the base of the outflows, the dominant energy source has to be inferred from indirect evidence. Studies of outflowing neutral gas in starburst and Seyfert galaxies show strong correlations between the outflow detection rate and the far IR luminosity (Rupke et al. 2005b; Rupke, Veilleux & Sanders 2005c). At a similar far-IR

luminosity, however, Krug, Rupke & Veilleux (2010) do not find higher detection rates in Seyfert galaxies than in starburst galaxies, indicating no strong evidence for the AGN dominating large-scale outflow dynamics. The correlation between AGN luminosity and maximum velocity of molecular outflows in nearby ULIRGs, however, implies that AGN could be the powering source for materials of the highest velocities (Sturm et al. 2011; Veilleux et al. 2013).

The nuclear spectrum measured by SDSS (Fig. 8) does not support the existence of a type-II AGN, instead implying that SDSS J0900 could be a ‘transition object’. The nuclear line ratios fall within 0.1 dex to the separation line of H II and LINER, indicating that a pure LINER nucleus and H II regions are both presented in the SDSS aperture. Our results strongly suggest that the LINER-like line ratios arise from shock excitation. The LINER emission may also arise from non-stellar photoionization from a low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN) with a low accretion rate (Kewley et al. 2006), which we cannot rule out. If there is an LLAGN, is it capable of driving the observed large-scale galactic outflows by its own?

From energy considerations, we compare the total energy output from the putative LLAGN to the mechanical luminosity of the outflowing gas. The bolometric luminosity of the nuclei in nearby transition objects has a distribution characterized by mean, error, and median of $3.0 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, $9.7 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, $6.5 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, respectively (Ho 2009). To estimate the flux of the mechanical energy through shocks, we correct for extinction for the broad component $c3$ (as in Section 5.4.1) and calculate the mechanical luminosity from the $\text{H}\alpha$ flux using our MAPPINGS IV shock models. The total $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity of $4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ infers a total mechanical luminosity of approximately $(1.0\text{--}2.5) \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, assuming shock velocities of 200–300 km s^{-1} . For comparison, the mechanical luminosities carried by neutral gas at low temperatures, as traced by Na I D absorption, were measured on the order of $10^{41}\text{--}10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in starburst-dominated LIRGs at $z < 0.5$ (Rupke et al. 2005b). The mechanical luminosities carried by gas in other phases in SDSS J0900 are unknown, but the mechanical luminosity from shocks already far exceeds the available energy from the putative LLAGN. It is unlikely that the outflows in SDSS J0900 are driven by the LLAGN unless, within approximately the dynamical time-scale of the outflowing gas (10^7 yr), SDSS J0900 was a Seyfert galaxy where the AGN can be 10^3 times more energetic than an LLAGN (Ho 2009).

In addition, our broad component $c3$ has a projected size of approximately $5.5 \times 2.8 \text{ kpc}$ (Fig. 12). Outflows in narrow-line regions (NLRs) in Seyfert galaxies are typically confined to the kiloparsec to sub-kiloparsec scale (Bennert et al. 2006a,b; Fischer et al. 2013), and NLRs in LINERs are smaller, few tens to few hundred parsecs (Masegosa et al. 2011).

To constrain the mechanical luminosity by star formation, we adopt the estimate of SFR of approximately $5\text{--}15 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in Section 5.4, and assume the time evolution of the mass-loss rates and mechanical luminosities from STARBURST99 (Leitherer et al. 1999; equation 2 in Veilleux et al. 2005). The mechanical luminosity of the stellar ejecta in SDSS J0900 is approximately $3.5 \times 10^{42}\text{--}1 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which is enough to provide the mechanical luminosity observed in the shock excited component $c3$ of approximately $(1.0\text{--}2.5) \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. We conclude that star formation alone is sufficient (and likely) to drive the outflows.

Sharp & Bland-Hawthorn (2010) use the ionization properties of the wind material to identify the likely source of the ionization. They show that starburst and AGNs in $L_{\star}$ galaxies produce roughly comparable numbers of ionizing photons over the lifetime of the ‘event’. But the time-dependence of the ionizing radiation is very

different. In an impulsive burst, hot and young stars radiate UV before the supernovae drive the hot winds. By the time the wind filaments emerge, most of the stellar ionizing radiation has disappeared. In contrast, AGN appear to radiate for longer than a typical starburst event, and can non-thermally ionize filaments on much longer time-scales. Thus, in their paradigm, starburst-driven winds are likely to be dominated by shocks at large radius. This conclusion is consistent with our finding here. The picture of impulsive starbursts in the past is also consistent with the higher radio- than $H\alpha$ -inferred SFR, because the radio emission predominately coming from supernova remnants traces the star formation in the past (10^7 – 10^8 yr), whereas the $H\alpha$ emission excited by young hot stars traces the current star formation.

Soto & Martin (2012) and Soto et al. (2012) observed a sample of 39 ULIRGs ($z \sim 0.04$ – 0.15) at various merger stages with long-slit spectroscopy. The majority of their sample presents global BPT line ratios consistent with star-forming galaxies (starburst: 43 per cent; LINER: 18 per cent; Seyfert: 12 per cent; and ambiguous: 27 per cent). They perform a very similar two-component emission line decomposition, and find that the broad ($\sigma > 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), blueshifted emissions commonly seen in their spectra have (1) emission line ratios consistent with shock excitation ($v_{\text{sh}} \approx 200$ – 300 km s^{-1}), and (2) can extend many kiloparsecs ($\gtrsim 5 \text{ kpc}$) from the nucleus, indicating that outflows are very common in merging ULIRGs (see also Rupke & Veilleux 2013). With these galaxies forming stars at rates of approximately 50 – $200 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, Soto et al. (2012) argue that the shock energetics predominately come from supernova feedback and stellar winds. It is perhaps intriguing to see that with the much lower current SFR of approximately 5 – $15 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, SDSS J0900 still presents wind and shock signatures very similar to those seen in the merger sample. In 14 nearby dwarf galaxies of even lower SFRs ($\ll 0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), Martin (1998) shows that expanding shells of ionized gas are common in the $[N II]$ and $H\alpha$ narrow-band images. In some cases, their long-slit spectra suggest that the diffuse warm ionized gas requires an additional shock component to explain the excitation (Martin 1997). The coming large IFS survey will address the extent to which starburst-driven winds can persist with decreasing SFRs, and what properties in addition to SFR (or SFR surface density) may govern the ability to launch outflows.

8 CONCLUSIONS

We study the nature of SDSS J0900 ($z = 0.05386$) using IFS data from the SAMI Galaxy Survey, supplemented by IFS data from WiFeS. The SDSS nuclear line ratios indicate a composite/ambiguous galaxy when classified using traditional optical diagnostic diagrams. In IFS data, however, the galaxy presents skewed line profiles changing with position in the galaxy, and emission-line ratios that correlate with the galaxy radius. We show that the skewed line profiles come from different kinematic components overlapping in the line-of-sight direction. We perform spectral decomposition assisted by statistical tests to separate the different kinematic components, and we find that each pixel can either be described by the combination of (1) a narrow kinematic component consistent with $H II$ regions, (2) a broad kinematic component consistent with shock excitation, and (3) an intermediate component consistent with shock excitation and photoionization mixing.

We present kinematics, line ratios, and electron densities of different components. We find that

(1) The three components show distinctly different velocity dispersions and velocity fields. The narrow component traces a regular rotation pattern of the stellar disc, while the broad component presents a velocity gradient almost perpendicular to the disc rotation, consistent with large-scale gas outflows.

(2) The three kinematic components are clearly separated on the standard optical diagnostic diagrams. The narrow component is consistent with photoionization from young hot stars, while the broad component has significantly larger line ratios, consistent with a harder ionizing radiation field.

(3) There are positive correlations between velocity dispersions and the three key BPT line ratios ($[N II]/H\alpha$, $[S II]/H\alpha$, and $[O I]/H\alpha$). The correlations exist both between the averages of the different kinematic components, and between different spaxels within the same kinematic component.

(4) The electron density of the narrow kinematic component (20 cm^{-3}) is consistent with $H II$ regions, while the largest electron densities (300 cm^{-3}) are found in the broad kinematic component.

To interpret the distinctly different line ratios, electron densities and velocity dispersions between different components, we compare our observations with new predictions from the MAPPINGS IV shock and photoionization models. With all parameters constrained either empirically or observationally and only one free parameter, the shock velocity, the shock models successfully reproduce the line ratios, velocity dispersions, and electron densities. We find that

(1) The broad kinematic component traces regions excited by shocks with velocities of ≈ 200 – 300 km s^{-1} . Both the line ratios and velocity dispersions are reproduced by the shock models. We show that the high densities of the broad component are direct results of compression of the ISM by the shock fronts. We conclude that our broad component traces the limb-brightened emission in biconical outflows at high galactic latitude.

(2) The intermediate kinematic component traces regions excited by low velocity shocks (≈ 100 – 200 km s^{-1}), and contains emission from both shocks and $H II$ regions. We believe that the intermediate component traces emission from the base of the outflows at low galactic latitude.

We argue from the energy considerations that, with the lack of a powerful central AGN, the outflows in SDSS J0900 are likely to be driven by starburst activities.

The remarkable agreement between our integral field data and the theoretical shock and photoionization models sets a benchmark of what can be achieved by the SAMI Galaxy Survey. An important implication from our results is that large integral field spectroscopic surveys, such as the SAMI and MaNGA surveys, are likely to provide deep insight into the prevalence and cause of galactic-scale outflows in the local Universe.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for providing helpful comments. MAD and LJK acknowledge the support of the Australian Research Council (ARC) through Discovery project DP130103925 and DP130104879. This work was also funded in part by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, under grant no. (5-130/1433 HiCi). LJK gratefully acknowledges the support of an ARC Future Fellowship. MSO acknowledges the funding support from the Australian Research Council through a Super Science Fellowship (ARC FS110200023). We thank the time allocation committee of the 2.3-m telescope operated by the

Australian National University for the support of this work. We also thank Marja Seidel, Raúl Cacho, and Frédéric Vogt for helping with the WiFeS data reduction. I-TH thanks Mark Westmoquette and Wei-Hao Wang for useful discussions. I-TH also acknowledges the hospitality of the Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, during a major portion of this work. ISK is the recipient of a John Stocker Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Science and Industry Endowment Fund (Australia). JTA acknowledges the award of an ARC Super Science Fellowship through project FS110200013.

This publication makes use of data products from the *WISE*, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

The SAMI Galaxy Survey is based on observation made at the Anglo-Australian Telescope. The SAMI was developed jointly by the University of Sydney and the Australian Astronomical Observatory. The SAMI input catalogue is based on data taken from the SDSS, the GAMA Survey and the VST ATLAS Survey. The SAMI Galaxy Survey is funded by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics, through project number CE110001020, and other participating institutions. The SAMI Galaxy Survey website is <http://sami-survey.org/>.

REFERENCES

- Allen M. G., Groves B. A., Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., Kewley L. J., 2008, *ApJS*, 178, 20
- Allen J. T. et al., 2014, preprint ([arXiv:1407.6068A](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6068A))
- Bacon R. et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 23
- Baldwin J. A., Phillips M. M., Terlevich R., 1981, *PASP*, 93, 5
- Becker R. H., White R. L., Helfand D. J., 1994, in Crabtree D. R., Hanisch R. J., Barnes J., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 61, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems III*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 165
- Bennert N., Jungwiert B., Komossa S., Haas M., Chini R., 2006a, *A&A*, 456, 953
- Bennert N., Jungwiert B., Komossa S., Haas M., Chini R., 2006b, *A&A*, 459, 55
- Blanc G. A. et al., 2013, *AJ*, 145, 138
- Bland J., Tully B., 1988, *Nature*, 334, 43
- Bland-Hawthorn J. et al., 2011, *Opt. Exp.*, 19, 2649
- Bolatto A. D. et al., 2013, *Nature*, 499, 450
- Bryant J. J., O’Byrne J. W., Bland-Hawthorn J., Leon-Saval S. G., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 2173
- Bryant J. J., Bland-Hawthorn J., Fogarty L. M. R., Lawrence J. S., Croom S. M., 2014a, *MNRAS*, 438, 869
- Bryant J. J. et al., 2014b, preprint ([arXiv:1407.7335B](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7335B))
- Cappellari M., Emsellem E., 2004, *PASP*, 116, 138
- Cappellari M. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 813
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
- Cecil G., Bland J., Tully R. B., 1990, *ApJ*, 355, 70
- Cecil G., Bland-Hawthorn J., Veilleux S., Filippenko A. V., 2001, *ApJ*, 555, 338
- Cecil G., Bland-Hawthorn J., Veilleux S., 2002, *ApJ*, 576, 745
- Chary R., Elbaz D., 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 562
- Chen Y. M., Tremonti C. A., Heckman T. M., Kauffmann G., Weiner B. J., Brinchmann J., Wang J., 2010, *AJ*, 140, 445
- Childress M. J., Vogt F. P. A., Nielsen J., Sharp R. G., 2014, *Ap&SS*, 349, 617
- Condon J. J., 1992, *ARA&A*, 30, 575
- Croom S., Saunders W., Heald R., 2004, *Anglo-Aust. Obser. Epping Newsl.*, 106, 12
- Croom S. M. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 872
- Davé R., Oppenheimer B. D., Finlator K., 2011a, *MNRAS*, 415, 11
- Davé R., Finlator K., Oppenheimer B. D., 2011b, *MNRAS*, 416, 1354
- de Looze I., Baes M., Bendo G. J., Cortese L., Fritz J., 2011, *MNRAS*, 416, 2712
- Dere K. P., Landi E., Mason H. E., Monsignori Fossi B. C., Young P. R., 1997, *A&AS*, 125, 149
- Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, *Nature*, 433, 604
- Diamond-Stanic A. M., Moustakas J., Tremonti C. A., Coil A. L., Hickox R. C., Robaina A. R., Rudnick G. H., Sell P. H., 2012, *ApJ*, 755, L26
- Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., 1995, *ApJ*, 455, 468
- Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., 1996, *ApJS*, 102, 161
- Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., 2003, *Astrophysics of the Diffuse Universe*. Springer, New York
- Dopita M., Hart J., McGregor P., Oates P., Bloxham G., Jones D., 2007, *Ap&SS*, 310, 255
- Dopita M. et al., 2010, *Ap&SS*, 327, 245
- Dopita M. A., Payne J. L., Filipović M. D., Pannuti T. G., 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 956
- Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., Nicholls D. C., Kewley L. J., Vogt F. P. A., 2013, *ApJS*, 208, 10
- Driver S. P. et al., 2009, *Astron. Geophys.*, 50, 050000
- Fischer T. C., Crenshaw D. M., Kraemer S. B., Schmitt H. R., 2013, *ApJS*, 209, 1
- Fogarty L. M. R. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 761, 169
- González Delgado R. M., Cerviño M., Martins L. P., Leitherer C., Hauschildt P. H., 2005, *MNRAS*, 357, 945
- Green A. W. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 1070
- Heckman T. M., 1980, *A&A*, 87, 152
- Heckman T. M., 2002, in Mulchaey J. S., Stocke J. T., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 254, Extragalactic Gas at Low Redshift*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 292
- Heckman T. M., Armus L., Miley G. K., 1990, *ApJS*, 74, 833
- Heckman T. M., Lehnert M. D., Strickland D. K., Armus L., 2000, *ApJS*, 129, 493
- Helou G., Soifer B. T., Rowan-Robinson M., 1985, *ApJ*, 298, L7
- Hill D. T. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, 765
- Ho L. C., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 626
- Ho I.-T., Wang W. H., Morrison G. E., Miller N. A., 2010, *ApJ*, 722, 1051
- Hopkins A. M. et al., 2003, *ApJ*, 599, 971
- Hopkins P. F., Hernquist L., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Robertson B., Springel V., 2006, *ApJS*, 163, 1
- Hopkins P. F., Quataert E., Murray N., 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 3522
- Kauffmann G. et al., 2003, *MNRAS*, 346, 1055
- Kennicutt R. C., Jr, 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189
- Kewley L. J., Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., Heisler C. A., Trevena J., 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 121
- Kewley L. J., Groves B., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 961
- Kinney A. L., Schmitt H. R., Clarke C. J., Pringle J. E., Ulvestad J. S., Antonucci R. R. J., 2000, *ApJ*, 537, 152
- Krug H. B., Rupke D. S. N., Veilleux S., 2010, *ApJ*, 708, 1145
- Landi E., Young P. R., Dere K. P., Del Zanna G., Mason H. E., 2013, *ApJ*, 763, 86
- Leitherer C. et al., 1999, *ApJS*, 123, 3
- Luridiana V., Morisset C., Shaw R. A., 2012, in Manchado A., Stanghellini L., Schönberner D., eds, *Proc. IAU Symp. 283, Planetary Nebulae: An Eye to the Future*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 422
- Markwardt C. B., 2009, in Bohlender D. A., Durand D., Dowler P., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 411, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVIII*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 251
- Martin C. L., 1997, *ApJ*, 491, 561
- Martin C. L., 1998, *ApJ*, 506, 222
- Martin C. L., Kobulnicky H. A., Heckman T. M., 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 663
- Martin D. C. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 619, L1
- Masegosa J., Márquez I., Ramirez A., González-Martín O., 2011, *A&A*, 527, A23
- Moshir M., Kopman G., Conrow T. A. O., 1992, *IRAS Faint Source Survey, Explanatory Supplement Version 2*. Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, Pasadena, CA
- Murray N., Quataert E., Thompson T. A., 2005, *ApJ*, 618, 569

- Murray N., Ménard B., Thompson T. A., 2011, *ApJ*, 735, 66
- Neugebauer G. et al., 1984, *ApJ*, 278, L1
- Nicholls D. C., Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., 2012, *ApJ*, 752, 148
- Nicholls D. C., Dopita M. A., Sutherland R. S., Kewley L. J., Palay E., 2013, *ApJS*, 207, 21
- Oppenheimer B. D., Davé R., Kereš D., Fardal M., Katz N., Kollmeier J. A., Weinberg D. H., 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 2325
- Osterbrock D. E., Mathews W. G., 1986, *ARA&A*, 24, 171
- Padilla N. D., Strauss M. A., 2008, *MNRAS*, 388, 1321
- Pilbratt G. L. et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L1
- Poglitsch A. et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L2
- Protassov R., van Dyk D. A., Connors A., Kashyap V. L., Siemiginowska A., 2002, *ApJ*, 571, 545
- Rich J. A., Dopita M. A., Kewley L. J., Rupke D. S. N., 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 505
- Rich J. A., Kewley L. J., Dopita M. A., 2011, *ApJ*, 734, 87
- Rosales-Ortega F. F., Kennicutt R. C., Sánchez S. F., Díaz A. I., Pasquali A., Johnson B. D., Hao C. N., 2010, *MNRAS*, 405, 735
- Rubin K. H. R., Prochaska J. X., Koo D. C., Phillips A. C., Martin C. L., Winstrom L. O., 2013, preprint ([arXiv:1307.1476R](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.1476R))
- Rupke D. S. N., Veilleux S., 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 75
- Rupke D. S., Veilleux S., Sanders D. B., 2005a, *ApJS*, 160, 87
- Rupke D. S., Veilleux S., Sanders D. B., 2005b, *ApJS*, 160, 115
- Rupke D. S., Veilleux S., Sanders D. B., 2005c, *ApJ*, 632, 751
- Salim S. et al., 2007, *ApJS*, 173, 267
- Sánchez S. F. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 538, A8
- Sanders D. B., Mirabel I. F., 1996, *ARA&A*, 34, 749
- Sargsyan L. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 755, 171
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Sharp R. G., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2010, *ApJ*, 711, 818
- Sharp R. et al., 2006, in McLean I. S., Iye M., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser.* Vol. 6269, *Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 62690G
- Sharp R. et al., 2014, preprint ([arXiv:1407.5237S](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.5237S))
- Shopbell P. L., Bland-Hawthorn J., 1998, *ApJ*, 493, 129
- Soto K. T., Martin C. L., 2012, *ApJS*, 203, 3
- Soto K. T., Martin C. L., Prescott M. K. M., Armus L., 2012, *ApJ*, 757, 86
- Steidel C. C., Erb D. K., Shapley A. E., Pettini M., Reddy N., Bogosavljević M., Rudie G. C., Rakic O., 2010, *ApJ*, 717, 289
- Strickland D. K., Heckman T. M., Weaver K. A., Dahlem M., 2000, *AJ*, 120, 2965
- Sturm E. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 733, L16
- Sulentic J. W., Marziani P., Dultzin-Hacyan D., 2000, *ARA&A*, 38, 521
- Sutherland R. S., Dopita M. A., 1993, *ApJS*, 88, 253
- Taylor E. N. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, 1587
- Tremonti C. A. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 613, 898
- Tumlinson J. et al., 2011, *Science*, 334, 948
- Ulvestad J. S., Wilson A. S., 1984, *ApJ*, 285, 439
- Veilleux S., Osterbrock D. E., 1987, *ApJS*, 63, 295
- Veilleux S., Rupke D. S., 2002, *ApJ*, 565, L63
- Veilleux S., Shopbell P. L., Rupke D. S., Bland-Hawthorn J., Cecil G., 2003, *AJ*, 126, 2185
- Veilleux S., Cecil G., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2005, *ARA&A*, 43, 769
- Veilleux S. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 776, 27
- Vogt F. P. A., Dopita M. A., Kewley L. J., 2013, *ApJ*, 768, 151
- Walter F., Weiss A., Scoville N., 2002, *ApJ*, 580, L21
- Weiner B. J. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 187
- Werk J. K., Prochaska J. X., Thom C., Tumlinson J., Tripp T. M., O'Meara J. M., Peebles M. S., 2013, *ApJS*, 204, 17
- Westmoquette M. S., Smith L. J., Gallagher J. S., III, Tranco G., Bastian N., Konstantopoulos I. S., 2009a, *ApJ*, 696, 192
- Westmoquette M. S., Gallagher J. S., Smith L. J., Tranco G., Bastian N., Konstantopoulos I. S., 2009b, *ApJ*, 706, 1571
- Westmoquette M. S., Smith L. J., Gallagher J. S., III, 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 3719
- Wright E. L. et al., 2010, *AJ*, 140, 1868
- Wyder T. K. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 619, L15
- York D. G. et al., 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579
- Yun M. S., Reddy N. A., Condon J. J., 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 803

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.