

Refining the Moho across the Australian continent

B.L.N. Kennett¹, A. Gorbatov,² H. Yuan,^{3,4} S. Agrawal¹, R. Murdie,⁴ M.P. Doublier,² C.M. Eakin¹, M.S. Miller,¹ L. Zhao,⁵ K. Czarnota,² J.P. O'Donnell,⁶ M. Dentith³ and K. Gessner⁴

¹Research School of Earth Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia. E-mail: Brian.Kennett@anu.edu.au

²Geoscience Australia, GPO Box 389, Canberra ACT 2600, Australia

³University of Western Australia, Perth WA 6009, Australia

⁴Geological Survey of Western Australia, Perth WA 6004, Australia

⁵Institute of Geology & Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10029, China

⁶Geological Survey of South Australia*, Adelaide SA 5000, Australia

Accepted 2023 January 26. Received 2023 January 23; in original form 2022 October 30

SUMMARY

In recent years, there has been a considerable expansion of deployments of portable seismic stations across Australia, which have been analysed by receiver function or autocorrelation methods to extract estimates of Moho depth. An ongoing program of full-crustal reflection profiles has now provided more than 25 000 km of reflection transects that have been interpreted for Moho structure. The Moho data set is further augmented by extensive marine reflection results. These new data sources have been combined with earlier refraction and receiver function results to provide full continental coverage, though some desert areas remain with limited sampling. The dense sampling of the Moho indicates the presence of rapid changes in Moho depth, and so, the Moho surface has been constructed using an approach that allows different weighting and spatial influence depending on the nature of the estimate. The inclusion of Moho results from continental-wide gravity inversion with low weighting helps to resolve the continent-ocean transition and to provide additional control in the least sampled zones. The refined distribution indicates the presence of widespread smaller-scale variations in Moho structure. Strong lateral contrasts in crustal thickness remain, but some have become more subdued with improved sampling of critical areas. The main differences from earlier results lie in previously poorly sampled regions around the Lake Eyre Basin, where additional passive seismic results indicate somewhat thicker crust though still with a strong contrast in crustal thickness to the cratonic zone to the west.

Key words: Body waves; Controlled source seismology; Australia; Crustal Structure.

1 INTRODUCTION

From the original identification of the base of the crust by Mohorovičić (1910) until the 1980s, the principal means of determining the depth to the Moho came from seismic refraction observations. However, more recently a range of receiver-based techniques exploiting distant earthquakes have provided additional information. In Australia, the use of receiver functions exploiting P to S conversions was pioneered by Shibutani *et al.* (1996) using waveform inversion. The first continent-wide study was made by Clitheroe *et al.* (2000) exploiting the portable stations deployed in the SKIPPY experiment (van der Hilst *et al.* 1994). Subsequently both waveform inversion procedures and stacking methods have

been employed with extensive deployments of portable stations (e.g. Reading *et al.* 2003, 2007, 2012, Yuan 2015, Sippl *et al.* 2017). Correlation techniques have also proved to be valuable. Gorbatov *et al.* (2013) showed how autocorrelation of continuous records could recover the reflection response for P waves beneath a station. This approach was applied across a broad swath of stations in southeastern Australia by Kennett *et al.* (2015), with stacking of nearby stations to enhance the stability of the results. The same portion of the seismic record for teleseisms as used with conventional receiver functions can be used directly with autocorrelation (Sun & Kennett 2016, Qashqai *et al.* 2019, Liang & Kennett 2020). This approach has advantages in regions with complex near-surface structures where reverberations obscure the receiver function response (e.g. Kennett & Liang 2021).

The coverage of Australia with seismic stations has grown from just a few permanent seismic stations to a much enhanced national

*Now at Geological Survey of Western Australia

network (Geoscience Australia, 2021) augmented by stations from the Australian Seismometers in Schools project AuSIS (Balfour *et al.* 2014). Deployments of broad-band portable stations starting in the 1990s have now covered much of the continent. Investment from the Australian National University, Australian Research Council grants and the AuScope infrastructure program has seen dense coverage in central and eastern Australia. The *Exploring for the Future* program from Geoscience Australia (GA) has dramatically increased coverage in northern Australia. In western Australia, a number of deployments have been supported in part by the Geological Survey of Western Australia with additional input from the Institute of Geology & Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The net result is there is now good continental coverage of Moho estimates (Fig. 1), though the density of stations is somewhat variable. In desert regions, results are largely confined to limited transport access corridors.

The large-scale program in passive seismology in Australia has been complemented by continuing full-crustal reflection profiling that now provides over 25 000 km of coverage with transects spanning the full width of the continent. Such reflection profiles have revealed the complex crustal architecture of Australia from the Precambrian cratons in the west and centre to the Phanerozoic fold belts in the east (e.g. Korsch & Doublier 2015), as well as detail of variations in the Moho (Fig. 1).

With many different styles of estimates of the depth to the Moho, the issue of a suitable approach for exploiting all the available information becomes important. A smooth Moho surface can be sought, and this is the approach used by Kennett *et al.* (2011) and Salmon *et al.* (2013). Averaged estimates of Moho depth were assigned to the centre of 0.5 degree cells, and a tensioned spline interpolation (Smith & Wessel, 1990) was employed to provide a continent-wide surface, as in Fig. 2. The variability of the Moho estimates within the cells provides an indicator of the reliability of the results. Such a procedure cannot include sharp jumps in Moho depth, revealed particularly in reflection profiling, nor readily accommodate very uneven sampling. An alternative approach developed by Kennett (2019) is to assign a weight and Gaussian influence zone around each data point, and employ the full data set to extract Moho estimates on a regular grid (e.g. 0.25 degree) along with a measure of reliability. The updated Moho maps in Section 3 are produced with this approach from the point distribution displayed in Fig. 1.

2 PRIOR STUDIES

The first compilation of the Australian Moho from refraction experiments was made by Collins (1991). These results were later augmented with the receiver function estimates of Moho depth from Clitheroe *et al.* (2000) to produce a continent-wide Moho distribution (Collins *et al.* 2003). This Moho surface is illustrated in the upper panel of Fig. 2, with the control points superimposed using the same colour scheme.

As part of the development of the seismological reference model for Australia (AuSREM), Kennett *et al.* (2011) put together the full set of available receiver functions, onshore and offshore refraction results, and interpreted Moho from seismic reflection. Some additional reflection profiling in hitherto poorly sampled areas of Western Australia was carried out in 2012, and Salmon *et al.* (2013) exploited the additional information to produce the Moho surface displayed in the lower panel of Fig. 2. Both of the Moho surfaces shown in Fig. 2 have been constructed using the same tensioned spline approach. This mode of construction was used up to 2017,

when an updated surface incorporating further receiver function stations and reflection work (including marine) was published as fig. 7.16 of Kennett *et al.* (2018). An alternative approach to Moho surface construction was explored by Bodin *et al.* (2012) using a Bayesian probabilistic approach. These ensemble results give a good correspondence for the major features of the Moho surface, but they also allow investigation of the local reliability, including the possible presence of localized jumps.

3 MOHO MODEL

The latest version of the Moho distribution across the Australian continent draws on a wide range of different classes of information with variable density depending on location. The number of sample points has tripled since the Salmon *et al.* (2013) compilation to more than 4000. There has been a significant increase in information in Western Australia and in northern central Australia, but still a number of regions remain under sampled. In the desert areas, complex logistics has mostly confined information to transects along the few access roads. Land access limitations have also reduced coverage in the northernmost part of Australia.

3.1 Data sets

The cumulative data sets on Moho structure in Australia have involved input from many different institutions. The current distribution of seismic stations across the continent, both permanent and temporary, is illustrated in Fig. S1 with identification of the different contributions. The extensive program of full-crustal reflection profiling across the continent has been led by GA with contributions from the State Geological Surveys and the AuScope infrastructure program. The different classes of data employed are illustrated separately in Figs S2–S4.

In the earlier Moho compilations refraction, data were used as point observations. This was appropriate when the data set was sparse (Collins *et al.* 2003), but as other data sets have provided much closer spatial sampling, it is desirable to make a better representation of the nature of refraction sampling. Fig. S2 displays the distribution of the shot points and recording stations employed in the refraction work together with the control points employed in current analysis. A refraction estimate involves sampling over a distance of about 100 km and to account for the directional nature of the sampling we have split those data points with directional indicators into two separated values with reduced weight.

Most of the new contributions to the receiver function results have been analysed with variants of the *H-k* stacking approach of Zhu & Kanamori (2000), which is oriented towards a sharp Moho interface. In a number of parts of Australia, there is a gradient zone at the base of the crust and so the amplitude of the “Moho” conversion is diminished, which reduces the effectiveness of the stacking procedure. Nevertheless, with care, good results can be achieved using teleseismic P waves (Yuan 2015, Sippl 2016, Sippl *et al.* 2017, Gorbatoev *et al.* 2020b). At a few additional sites in southeastern Australia and Tasmania, waveform inversion of the receiver functions has been used (Bello *et al.* 2021).

Estimates of the P wave reflectivity beneath a station can be extracted from continuous seismic records (Gorbatoev *et al.* 2013) or from the same portion of teleseismic P arrivals as used for receiver function studies (Sun & Kennett 2016). These approaches have significantly added to data coverage and resolved some data anomalies. For example, in the south of the Yilgarn Craton, there




Figure 1. Current Moho estimates across Australia and its surroundings. The 4300 points are organized by style of estimate with a distinctive symbol whose size indicates reliability.

is material with high P wavespeeds but distinctly crustal character. The top of this zone was previously picked, but the autocorrelation displays a second reflector at around 40-km depth that should be identified as the Moho. Because just the vertical component is needed for autocorrelation analysis, it has proved possible to exploit older portable stations in Victoria and South Australia with single component recording. The base of the crust is marked by a sharp decrease in the amplitude of reflectors and lower spatial frequency in depth. For continuous records, the clarity of the crust-mantle transition can be enhanced by spatial stacking, as used by Kennett *et al.* (2015) for the full suite of portable stations in southeastern Australia.

With closely spaced stations, it is possible to obtain direct images of the variations in the lithosphere (Liang & Kennett 2020) and extract Moho information combining receiver function and autocorrelation results. In areas with complex near-surface structure or substantial sediment cover, near-surface S reverberations in receiver functions can extend over the expected time for the Moho conversion hampering identification of the Moho peak. Fortunately, the autocorrelation process works well for such stations, and so it is

possible to track the Moho across multiple stations, as in the work of Kennett & Liang (2021) in the transition from the Thomson Orogen to the Mt. Isa block. Autocorrelation has been used for temporary stations in South Australia and the Mt. Isa region and for a few other stations where Ps receiver functions could not be readily interpreted due to complex near-surface structure, e.g., the permanent station FORT on the Nullabor Plain.

Reflection seismic sounding of the full crust has expanded substantially from the coverage in 2013, and now transects can be extended across the entire continent from east to west. The profiling provides cover for a number of areas with little previous information. The entire set of reflection profiles have been reviewed with Moho picks made, as far as possible, at regular intervals along each profile. Moho estimates from more than 25 000 km of reflection profile are included in the current compilation. Sedimentary corrections have been introduced using information from the shallow parts of the reflection profiles. Efforts have been made to tie the reflection results to portable seismic stations in the vicinity of the profiles. The receiver function Moho estimates from these stations are very valuable for calibration of the conversion from two-way




Figure 2. Prior Moho surface results with data points superimposed using the same colour scheme. Upper panel using the 110 Moho depths employed by Collins *et al.* (2003). Lower panel with the 1380 Moho depths used by Salmon *et al.* (2013); white areas are inadequately sampled. Both surfaces have been constructed in the same way with tensioned splines and are represented with 0.5 degree pixels (Wessel & Smith 1998).

reflection time to depth, but need to be assessed in the light of the crustal architecture. Sometimes, the most prominent discontinuity picked up by the receiver-function approach does not coincide with the base of the reflectivity associated with the crust, but rather the

top of a band of reflections at the base of the crust (Kennett & Saygin 2015).

In addition to the onshore reflection results, we have added a large number of Moho estimates from marine seismic reflection

analysed by Czarnota *et al.* (2013). These tightly sampled profiles supplement sparser offshore refraction constraints and provide a valuable extension of data coverage into the oceanic domain.

In order to maintain continuity with the earlier studies, we concentrate here on the use of receiver function results from passive seismic recordings. However, for stations with no other form of analysis (e.g. stations in the AuSIS network), we have included Moho estimates from waveform inversion of the autocorrelation of teleseismic P waves (Qashqai *et al.*, 2019). We have taken the Moho to lie at the base of any gradient zone from crust to mantle, again maintaining continuity with the results displayed in Fig. 2.

As in the work of Kennett *et al.* (2011) and Salmon *et al.* (2013), we include tomographic results to improve resolution in critical areas. Linear profiles of portable instruments across the major gravity anomalies in central Australia (Lambeck *et al.* 1988, McQueen & Lambeck 1996) provide good control on relative crustal variations, with calibration from nearby reflection seismic results. Rawlinson *et al.* (2010) have developed a model of the crust-mantle boundary in Tasmania from tomography combining refraction results from a marine survey recorded at land stations with teleseismic data recorded at dense seismic arrays.

3.1.1 Exploring for the Future – Geoscience Australia

The importance of a national approach to seismic imaging was highlighted by the UNCOVER initiative (AMIRA 2017). Geoscience Australia (GA) adopted such seismic acquisition as part of the Council of Australian Governments's National Mineral Exploration Strategy (COAG 2017). GA, in collaboration with Australian State and Territory Geological Surveys, academia and AuScope, has expanded the AusArray project to the national scale with the Exploring For The Future program (EFTF). The EFTF investment has enabled the unification of available data sets across Australia and has led to a doubling of the national rate of new data acquisition. Extensive quality control checks have been applied across the AusArray data set to improve the robustness of subsequent analysis and interpretation. The final objective is a standardized and quality controlled national half-degree data coverage (Gorbatov *et al.* 2020a).

Two types of seismic stations are operated by the EFTF program: a movable array with around 150 seismic stations and set of a dozen semi-permanent stations. In each case, three-component broad-band seismic sensors are used with a lower corner period of 120 s. The movable array has been deployed for 1 yr at a time in Northern Australia before it is moved to a new area; whereas the semi-permanent stations operate at fixed positions for the duration of the EFTF program.

All the data collected have had thorough quality checking. Receiver function analysis was performed using *H-k* stacking following the Chen *et al.* (2010) variation of the Zhu & Kanamori (2000) approach (Gorbatov *et al.* 2020b).

3.1.2 Western Australia

Since the release of the AuSREM 2013 model (Kennett *et al.* 2011, Salmon *et al.* 2013), there has been a large increase in both portable and permanent station coverage in Western Australia supported by collaboration between academia, industry and the state government. New data sources and experiments contributing to the current Moho compilation include the following: ALFREX, a 70-station deployment in the east Albany-Fraser Orogen along the southeastern margin of the Yilgarn Craton (Sippl *et al.* 2017); COPA, a 86-station

deployment in the Capricorn Orogen between the Pilbara and Yilgarn Cratons (Yuan *et al.* 2017); HPS, a 29-station high-density passive-source deployment across the boundary of the Pilbara Craton and Gascoyne Province in the Capricorn Orogen (Dentith *et al.* 2018, Yuan & Bodin 2018); Perth Basin Passive (PBS), a 36-station PBS deployment in the Perth Basin (Murdie *et al.* 2020a); CWAS, a 60-station China-Western Australia Seismic experiment across the onshore northern Canning Basin (Zhao *et al.* 2022); SWAN, a 27-station enhanced South Western Australia (seismic) Network in the Southwest Terrane of Western Australia (Murdie *et al.* 2020b, Miller *et al.* 2023); EGF, a dense set of 22 stations along a 200 km segment of the full-crustal reflection profile to the north of Kalgoorlie in the Eastern Goldfields (Tian *et al.* 2020).

Some new permanent stations installed by GA started operation in WA in 2018. In addition, the Geological Survey of Western Australia installed several semipermanent stations in the Yilgarn Craton and the Kimberley/Canning Basin region. Several short-period stations, for example, from a 6-month, 6-station rapid deployment following the 2018 Lake Muir earthquake (Clark *et al.* 2020), and an 8-station, 3-month deployment after the 2022 Arthur River earthquake, also contribute to the current Moho compilation.

Receiver function *H-k* stacking (Zhu & Kanamori 2000) was utilized to extract the Moho topography in several published models (Yuan 2015, Sippl *et al.* 2017) that are included in this compilation. For the remaining new stations, Moho depth extracted from depth-migrated receiver functions through a common-conversion-point (CCP) stacking technique has been used to verify the Moho estimates from the *H-k* method, and to provide a Moho depth estimate when the *H-k* method does not provide a robust result due to complicated crustal structures (H. Yuan - personal communication, 2022). For the migration of the time-domain receiver function, the AuSREM crustal P wavespeed V_p (Salmon *et al.* 2013) is extracted beneath each station, and the V_p/V_s ratio is interpolated from the model covering the whole of Western Australia (Yuan 2015) to extract a shear wavespeed model (V_s).

In reporting the quality of the new Moho depth estimates, we consider that we have robust estimates when: (a), the *H-k* method shows a unimodal distribution of the Moho depth from 100 bootstrapped data sets, and the Moho depth is clearly observed in a single positive jump in the migrated receiver functions or (b) the *H-k* analysis shows multiple possible Moho depths, and one of the depths can be matched by the depth-migrated receiver functions. For quality factors (c) and (d), the Moho depth may be estimated by either *H-k* stacking or depth-migrated receiver functions, but the results are considered less robust. For some stations with short duration of recording or complex crustal structure, as in the Perth Basin, we have been able to extract Moho depth estimates from CCP stacks, but these results are given low weight.

Some additional Moho estimates from refraction studies in the Yilgarn craton to the east of Perth, with both east-west and north-south profiles, are included from Galybin (2006).

3.1.3 AuScope and ANSIR experiments

The AuScope infrastructure project has supported the deployment of portable seismic instrumentation to supplement efforts funded by universities and the Australian Research Council (Fig. S1). In conjunction with the AuScope Earth Imaging program, the Australian National Seismic Imaging Resource (ANSIR) has provided seismic equipment to the wider Australian research community, providing further targeted contributions and collaborations. The southern

AuScope stations played an important role in extending coverage to the west in the autocorrelation stack results presented by Kennett *et al.* (2015) and have also been used by Qashqai *et al.* (2019) with waveform inversion of autocorrelations from teleseismic arrivals.

From 2015 to 2017, a set of 79 stations was deployed in the AQT experiment spanning from northern New South Wales to the Mt. Isa block, linking with earlier portable stations and reflection profiles. These stations mostly lie on relatively thick sediments with somewhat complex receiver function responses. Autocorrelation of teleseismic P and its coda provides estimates of P reflectivity beneath the stations that avoid masking of the transition from crust to mantle (Kennett & Liang 2021). The results tie well with the reflection profiles traversing this area carried out in 2014–2015 and indicate a narrow transition zone between the North Australian Craton and the Thomson Orogen to the south. This boundary probably extends through the full lithosphere.

As can be seen in Fig. 2, in central Australia, very thick crust appeared to lie in close proximity to rather thin crust to the east centred upon Lake Eyre, but the nature of the transition had not been investigated in detail. The MAL experiment in 2018–2019 deployed 68 portable stations at approximately 3-km interval in an east–west line that crosses the edge of the Gawler Craton in South Australia that is masked under sedimentary cover (Liang & Kennett 2020). The dense station spacing allowed common reflection point imaging of the P reflection response through the full lithosphere using autocorrelation of teleseismic arrivals. Below the Moho identified from receiver functions, there is a transitional zone that pinches out gently at the craton edge.

A further deployment supported by AuScope was undertaken from 2018 to 2022 within the Lake Eyre Basin in which 40 stations (22 broadband and 18 short-period) were deployed surrounding Kati Thanda–Lake Eyre in north-eastern South Australia (Eakin 2019). This experiment was impacted by COVID-related border and travel restrictions causing several interruptions and delays to servicing. Nevertheless, sufficient data have now been retrieved to allow for much improved definition of the Moho across the temporary network, including the region previously identified in Fig. 2 with a transition from thicker crust beneath the Gawler Craton to thinner crust towards the east beneath Lake Eyre.

This area of South Australia, particularly towards the north–east of the state, has a complex sedimentary overburden with multiple Phanerozoic sedimentary basins overlain on each other. As a result, receiver function time series are commonly dominated by strong reverberations, which can obscure the signals from the crust and mantle (Agrawal *et al.* 2022). A resonance filter, constructed using the properties of the autocorrelation of the radial receiver function (Yu *et al.* 2015), proved to be useful in curtailing the sediment reverberation effect and thus aided the detection of the P-to-S conversion from the Moho (Agrawal *et al.* 2023). Autocorrelation methods have also helped to extract complementary information on crustal thickness in the areas with thick sediment cover.

In tandem with the recent AuScope deployments in South Australia, the Geological Survey of South Australia led the AusArray-SA deployment from 2020 to 2022 across the eastern-central Gawler Craton (O'Donnell *et al.* 2020), using instruments supplied from both the AuScope-ANSIR facility and GA. These stations benefited from reduced sediment cover, compared to stations from the Lake Eyre Basin array, allowing for easier identification of the Moho from receiver functions (Agrawal *et al.* 2023).

AuScope support also allowed the deployment of additional stations in the Kimberley region in northern Western Australia in 2019. Once again, COVID travel restrictions have affected data

Table 1. Weighting used for multiple data sets.

Data type	Weight	Spatial spread [°]
refraction	0.7	0.80
marine wide-angle	1.0	0.25
receiver functions	1.0	0.50
tomography	1.0	0.60
autocorrelation	1.0	0.55
reflection	1.0	0.25
gravity inversion	0.15	0.40

recovery, and data have only recently become available. Results from eight new stations supplement earlier sparse deployments and provide useful constraints for this remote region (M.S. Miller, personal communication, 2022).

3.1.4 Surrounding area

To improve the definition of the Moho surface in the north we have included data from the Indonesian Arc from analysis of permanent stations (Fauzi *et al.* 2021) and a denser network of temporary stations in the Timor area (Zhang & Miller 2021). Australian lithosphere extends into Timor, Roti and Savu, so these islands have a direct connection to the continental model. Some results from stations in Papua New Guinea from Qashqai *et al.* (2019) are also included.

3.2 Constructing the Moho surface

We have used the Gaussian process scheme developed by Kennett (2019) to allow the fusion of the many different data sets into a single Moho surface. This approach assigns a Gaussian *a priori* spatial influence zone around each point together with a weighting based on the nature of the estimate and its quality. At each sampled point, the composite Moho value is a weighted combination of all the different styles of data points that make a non-negligible contribution. As shown in Table 1, different measures of spatial spread are applied for each class of Moho result in an effort to account for the nature of the sampling around the assigned reference point. For example, the S waves used in receiver functions have a tighter sampling beneath a station than for the autocorrelation of P waves. In consequence, a slightly smaller spatial spread is used. Within each data class, a quality weighting is assigned based on the reliability of the estimate. As a result, the influence of a particular data point on the Moho surface comes from the spatial sampling assigned to the data class modulated by the relative quality weight.

We have used all the data points collected for the 2013 compilation, including refraction, receiver functions, reflection profiles and tomography, but have revisited the weightings based on quality. Thus, e.g., historic reflection results have been downweighted, because it has become clear that the commonly used assumption that the strongest reflection represented the Moho may have been misleading. Indeed, in many locations, this strong reflector may mark the top of the transition zone from crust to mantle. As discussed above, we have also placed lower weight on refraction results and introduced a directional component via two separated data points each of which has a smaller influence zone than that used by Kennett (2019). Within each data set, each data point is assigned a weighting based on the quality of the Moho result and its consequent likely error. For example, for grading receiver function results using the *H-k* approach, we use the crustal V_p/V_s ratio in association with the width of the stack peak to assign weights. We have aimed to use




Figure 3. Moho surface constructed using the full suite of data points illustrated in Fig. 1, represented using 0.25 degree pixels. The surface has been constructed using the scheme of Kennett (2019) with varying weighting and data influence depending on the type of estimate. Contours at 5-km interval are also shown.

consistent relative weightings, but since information comes from many different sources there may be discrepancies. When in doubt, we have tended to downweight observations.

As a background model, we have used the Moho model of Aitken *et al.* (2013) from gravity inversion interpolated onto a 0.5° grid with very low weight (Table 1). With the low weighting, this background model only makes a significant contribution where other constraints are very weak. The gravity contribution is of particular value in defining the continent-ocean transition since there are very few seismic results in the immediate offshore zone, except on the Northwest Shelf.

The Moho surface constructed from the full set of Moho point values from Fig. 1 is displayed in Fig. 3 using a 0.25° grid, with contours displayed at 5-km interval. In Fig. 4, we show the Moho surface again, but now with the data points used in the construction superimposed using the same colour scheme as for the grid. Because we have enlarged the range of types of Moho estimates used, the symbol conventions used in Figs 1 and 4 differ from those employed for the earlier estimates in Fig. 2.

The addition of many controls on crustal structure in northern central Australia, notably from receiver functions and reflection profiling, has introduced finer-grained structure in the latest model

shown in Figs 3 and 4 than seen in the earlier models of Fig. 2, though the general trends are maintained. The style of surface construction we have employed means that in the Moho results displayed in Figs 3 and 4, there is much less extrapolation from isolated points than in the 2003 or 2013 models. In Eastern Australia, the addition of autocorrelation results has significantly improved the density of data coverage in the south, though eastern Queensland has as yet only a sparse coverage away from reflection profiles.

The Moho surface of Aitken *et al.* (2013) used as a low level background was prepared using the available seismic constraints at the time and has a similar character to the model displayed in Fig. 2b, where the background dominates there is a tendency for apparently thinner crust. In the Canning Basin in Western Australia, this may well be justified since it is also suggested by ambient noise analysis (Y. Chen, personal communication, 2022). However, for areas in the north of Australia, such as Arnhem Land and the Cape York Peninsula, the limited seismic constraints suggest that Moho thickness may be underestimated.

The surface construction scheme of Kennett (2019) allows an estimate of the local consistency of the Moho values. With the same spatial and data weighting, a local variance value can be extracted and its square-root employed as a consistency measure. Fig. 5




Figure 4. Moho surface constructed using the full suite of data points illustrated in Fig. 1, represented using 0.25 degree pixels. The surface has been constructed using the scheme of Kennett (2019) with varying weighting and data influence depending on the type of estimate. The data points from Fig. 1 are superimposed with the same colour scheme so that their relation to the surface can be judged.

displays the variation of the consistency of the Moho estimates across the continent using this approach. The continent-ocean transition in the southern part of Australia shows significant inconsistency because the Moho on the continent and ocean sides occur at very different depths. Other complications occur in central Australia where strong changes in crustal thickness associated with major gravity anomalies lie in narrow east–west bands. Such variations are hard to capture with a symmetric influence domain around each data point. Zones of rapid change in Moho depth at the northern edge of the South Australian craton also display significant variability. In southeastern Australia, some Moho estimates appear to pick up the top of the gradient zone between crust and mantle leading to a slight underestimate of crustal thickness and locally strong variability.

Isolated data points tend to have a ‘halo’ of inconsistency that marks the transition from the spatial influence zone of the point to the background model. In a few locations, different styles of analysis have produced notably different estimates of crustal thickness, and these appear as solid spots. In general, there is good consistency between the results obtained with many different analysis methods

and classes of observational data, particularly across the continental area.

In the Supplementary Material (Section S3), we show comparisons of the current results with other studies: the work of Qashqai *et al.* (2019) using waveform inversion of teleseismic correlations at over 1200 stations and Birkey *et al.* (2021) who have employed teleseismic receiver function stacking directed primarily at the exploitation of Sp results, but also including Ps, for a total of 35 permanent stations.

For each comparator data set, there is good general agreement with the Moho surface constructed from the full data set assembled here, with Moho estimates for most stations lying within ± 3 km for incident P waves. In each case, there are a few discordant stations, but none are in common.

4 DISCUSSION

In the last 10 yr, additional seismological data have significantly improved the rendering of the Moho across the Australian continent.




Figure 5. Consistency of Moho estimates using the scheme of Kennett (2019), the square root of the the variance estimate at each 0.25 degree gridpoint is used as the measure of deviation. The data points are superimposed in outline.

Full-crustal reflection profiles and further passive seismic deployments in areas with substantial sediment cover have made a major difference. Yet there are still areas with limited coverage. The Canning Basin has good control near the coast, but the interior is barely sampled since data collection in the western deserts has focused on a limited number of transport corridors. The northernmost regions of Australia are also under sampled.

The 2003 compilation (Fig. 2a), using just refraction results and receiver functions from the reconnaissance SKIPPY project, delineated many of the major features. Subsequent studies have provided substantial infill and also notable modifications in some areas. An example is the Albany-Fraser Orogen in Western Australia (see Fig. 6). Early indications of a thin crust were found to correlate with the top of a zone of rather high wavespeed material at the base of the crust with a further discontinuity below (Gorbatov *et al.* 2013). Full-crustal reflection profiling and dense passive seismic work (Sippl *et al.* 2017) reveal localized thickening of the crust in a transition zone at the edge of the Yilgarn Craton.

Such crustal thickening at the edges of the cratons can be recognized in a number of locations. The very long reflection profile that crosses the Nullarbor Plain from the Yilgarn Craton to the Gawler Craton in South Australia revealed unexpected deepening of the Moho on the edge of the Gawler Craton, under cover (Dutch *et al.*

2015). At the northern edge of the Yilgarn Craton, there is an indication of crustal thickening by underthrusting and similar imbricate structures are preserved on the western margin as well (Kennett & Saygin 2015). The crustal thickening is quite localized and so is likely to be missed unless sampling across the margin is quite dense. Studies in eastern Canada across the boundary between the Superior Craton and the Grenville Orogen show some similarities with localized zones of thickening adjacent to the transition (Cook *et al.* 2010, Darbyshire *et al.* 2017).

Within portions of a craton with a common initial age and tectonic history, the character of the Moho tends to be relatively coherent with modest changes in depth and clarity. But, there can be significant change within zones with similar material at the surface including localized steps in the reflection Moho of several kilometres (see e.g. Kennett & Saygin 2015). Although we have allowed a tight zone of spatial influence for results from reflection work, it is inevitable that such jumps will be somewhat suppressed especially when there is influence from passive receiver-based approaches with much less spatial resolution.

For stations in central Australia, the character of teleseismic receiver functions differ notably for events to the north and east. This difference reflects the east–west grain of the major structures, associated with substantial gravity anomalies. In this area, the base of




Figure 6. Simplified relationships of major tectonic features of Australia. The outlines of the major cratons are indicated: WA – West Australian Craton, NA – North Australian Craton, SA – South Australian Craton and TL – the Tasman Line marking the limit of Precambrian exposure. Marked features: AF – Albany–Fraser Orogen, Ar – Arunta Block, Am – Amadeus Basin, Bo – Bowen Basin, Ca – Canning Basin, Cp – Capricorn Orogen, Cu – Curnamona Craton, Er – Eromanga Basin, Eu – Eucla Basin, Ga – Gawler Craton, Ge – Georgetown Inlier, Ha – Hamersley Basin, HC – Halls Creek Belt, Ki – Kimberley block, La – Lachlan Orogen, Mc – MacArthur Basin, MI – Mt Isa Inlier, Mu – Musgrave Orogen, NE – New England Orogen, Of – Officer Basin, PC – Pine Creek Inlier, Pi – Pilbara Craton, Pj – Pinjarra Orogen, T – Tennant Creek Inlier, Yi – Yilgarn Craton, SD – Simpson Desert, GSD – Great Sandy Desert, GBR – Great Barrier Reef and NWS – North West Shelf.

the crust also shows a gradient zone into the mantle rather than any distinct interface. It is, therefore, hard to capture the entire character of the variation of the transition between crust and mantle with a single Moho surface. The present model attempts to take account of the nature of each of the Moho estimates rather than make local averages as used in Kennett *et al.* (2011) and Salmon *et al.* (2013). In this way, we have aimed to preserve as much of the local character as possible, whilst providing a suitable rendering of the broad-scale behaviour in areas with limited data coverage.

One of the areas where the current Moho distribution differs significantly from the results shown in Fig. 2 is in southern central Australia, around the Lake Eyre Basin, where previously the patterns were controlled by just a few scattered data points of limited quality. With the additional data collected in recent years, a significant contrast in crustal thickness remains but the differences in crustal thickness between the cratonic zone (~45–50 km) and the basin region to its east (~30–35 km) have been reduced. With the new Moho estimates, the position of the relatively sharp transition to thinner crust has shifted further east in better accord with the edge of the Gawler Craton inferred from magnetic and other studies (see e.g. Liang & Kennett, 2020). This Moho transition lies well to the west of most estimates of the location of the Tasman Line

(Direen & Crawford 2003, Kennett *et al.* 2004), based on a variety of different premises, and does not align with any inferred crustal lineaments.

Chen & Saygin (2020) have developed an approach that allows the use of both synchronous and asynchronous station networks to be used for ambient noise tomography. This procedure has been applied to the many different temporary networks across Australia (Section S1), in conjunction with the backbone of permanent stations to produce a high-definition shear wavespeed model extending well into the upper mantle (Y. Chen, personal communication, 2022). The estimates of Moho depth extracted from this tomographic model for the Lake Eyre region fit well with our new compilation; with thicker crust than in earlier Moho models and a similar transition to slightly thinner crust.

The various data sets that we have employed in the construction of the Moho surface respond to different aspects of the crust–mantle transition. Receiver function methods exploit conversions between P and S waves from teleseismic arrivals, which are most pronounced for discrete velocity jumps. The conversions between P and S from a gradient in seismic wavespeeds are weak, with the largest effects associated with the top and bottom of the gradient zone. As a result, there can be a tendency for receiver-function Moho depths to be




Figure 7. The nature of the crust-mantle transition from different classes of information: (i) Reflection clarity based on the quality of picking the Moho from published record sections: A – clear and sharp to D – weak and indistinct. (ii) The thickness of the crust-mantle transition estimated from receiver function studies, T: < 2 km, U: 2–4 km, V: 4–8 km, W: > 8 km. As in Figs 4 and 5, the size of symbols increases with the reliability of the results.

shallower than those obtained by other methods, because the top of a gradient zone has been registered. In reflection profiling and autocorrelation studies, we concentrate on changes in the reflectivity patterns, without a direct link to upper-mantle velocities.

The character of the Moho from reflection and receiver function studies is summarized in Fig. 7. We display both the quality of picks from reflection studies (Kennett *et al.* 2016, Doublier *et al.* 2022) and the estimated thickness of transition from crust to mantle from receiver function studies. The measure for the quality of reflection pick is somewhat subjective, but since all records have been picked by the same people in a similar way the relative variations will be caught. We use a coding from A–D, following Kennett & Saygin (2015): Class A – very sharp reflections marking the base of reflectivity; Class B – a distinct change in character with depth, but the actual base of reflectivity is more difficult to pick; Class C – the reflectivity fades downwards so that the Moho is rather nebulous and difficult to pick, even though the general location is evident; Class D – no clear reflections in the vicinity of the Moho (Class D), even though it has been visible elsewhere on the profile. Examples of record sections of segments of full-crustal reflection profiles with a range of Moho character are presented in Kennett & Saygin (2015).

We also use four categories for the estimated thickness of the transition between crust and mantle from receiver functions (T: < 2 km, U: 2–4 km, V: 4–8 km, W: > 8 km). The transition thickness estimates displayed in Fig. 7 have mostly been extracted from waveform inversions in which the P wavespeed at the top and bottom of the transition and the thickness are direct parameters. These values are shown with diamond symbols, the smaller square symbols are based on estimates provided by the authors of the studies.

Where both estimates can be made, the two different measures of Moho character are in general agreement, because a sharp Moho transition will be associated with a very clear reflection, whereas a gradational structure has less marked reflectivity. In each case, the size of symbols increases with the reliability of the results.

The largest concentration of sharp crust-mantle transitions is in the western cratons, but even here there is noticeable local variability. There is no obvious relation between the character of the Moho and crustal age. Though intact Archean crust, which has not been affected by later basin development, magmatism and thrusting, tends to have a relatively well defined Moho. A gradient zone




Figure 8. Moho depth distribution for continental Australia expressed as violin plots. Australia is divided into six provinces based on age and geological history (as in insert map). Each violin plot displays the full distribution of the Moho estimates in depth with the median shown as a white circle and the interval between the second and third quartiles with a black bar.

at the base of the crust is not uncommon in Proterozoic northern Australia and in southeastern Australia. In each case, this is likely to be associated with intrusion of mafic material into the lowermost crust. In such scenarios, the reflection signature for the Moho can almost vanish (Kennett & Saygin 2015).

The general behaviour of Moho depth across the continent is illustrated in Fig. 8, using a simplified division into six categories. The division aims to capture the differing nature of the crust. We have separated out the principal cratonic zones and their surroundings. We here use all receiver function, autocorrelation and reflection results together, since within the restrictions imposed by different coverage the data sets are concordant (Fig. S7). The violin plots display the full distribution of the Moho depth estimates for each province, as a probability distribution, accompanied by indicators of the median and the inter-quartile range.

In Western Australia, we have separated the Pilbara Craton from the Yilgarn because of their contrasting behaviour of crustal thickness. Most results from the ancient Archean Pilbara show Moho depth shallower than 35 km (median 32.5 km) with a sharp transition at the base of the crust (Fig. 7). The inter-quartile band for the Yilgarn does not overlap with that for the Pilbara and the median depth is 39.3 km. Both the North Australian and South Australian Cratons, dominated by Proterozoic material, have mostly slightly thicker crust than the Yilgarn. At the margins of the cratonic areas, the crust tends to thicken, complicating any choice of division.

The Proterozoic mobile belts surrounding the main cratonic elements tend to have thicker crust, but the situation is complicated by the strong east–west bands of uplifted crust in Central Australia that are responsible for the dominant gravity anomalies. The thickest crust, and so deepest Moho, is limited to zones where there is a gradational transition from crust to mantle, most likely linked to mafic intrusion into the lowermost crust. Such basal gradient zones occur in the North Australian Craton and Proterozoic mobile belts, as well as in parts of the Lachlan Fold Belt in the southeast with Phanerozoic crust.

Even with improved sampling across the continent, the distribution in the violin plots are rather broad with a significant span between the second and third quartiles. There are regions within each domain that show rather coherent behaviour with similar Moho character, but in aggregate, the zones span significant variations in surface character so it is not surprising to see variation at depth. It may be possible to obtain tighter constraints by subdividing the continent more finely, but the choice of domains in 3-D is not simple (e.g. Korsch & Doublier 2015).

The pattern of Moho depths illustrated in Fig. 8 suggests more change between the early Archean of the Pilbara (WP) and the later Yilgarn (WY) than to the dominantly Proterozoic zones (Pr, NA and SA). On the whole, the Phanerozoic areas show thinner crust than the Proterozoic, but with localized thickening. The overall behaviour is not unlike that summarized by Tugume *et al.* (2013) for Africa and Arabia, but their Moho depths derived from gravity inversion are slightly shallower than their Australian counterparts. As noted by Darbyshire *et al.* (2017), the Archean domains of eastern and northern Canada show broadly similar Moho depth and seismic structure across regions spanning > 1000 km. The somewhat greater variation seen in Australia appears to be linked to denser sampling, but is still consistent with relatively uniform crustal formation processes across the later Archean. Modest contrasts in crustal properties for the Proterozoic could reflect a change in crustal processes. However, arguments for secular change depend on the debatable assumption that the age of the last crustal growth event at the base of the crust is correlated to the surface age and also require an understanding of possibly modifications related to later tectonic events (such as orogenies and rifting).

In some regions, there are clear indications of variation in crustal structure and Moho depth that link to changes in crustal age. Within the Yilgarn Craton of Western Australia, Reading *et al.* (2007) have noted a progression in crustal structure with greater Moho depth associated with older parts of the craton in the west. This behaviour is quite similar to that proposed by Thompson *et al.* (2010) for the region around Hudson Bay in Canada.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The extensive deployments of portable seismometers across Australia in the last decade, together with several thousand extra kilometres of seismic reflection profiling have markedly improved the sampling of the Moho. Autocorrelation techniques have supplemented conventional receiver functions extracted from teleseismic recordings and have proved valuable in areas of sedimentary cover with strong contrasts. The result is a more detailed delineation of the variations in the depth to Moho and the character of the crust–mantle transition. In many areas, we have multiple constraints derived from different techniques that show good consistency, so that we can have confidence in the general patterns of Moho depth. There remain a number of areas particularly in the north of the continent where sampling is rather sparse, and it is to be hoped that future experiments will improve coverage. In Western Australia, a major initiative has begun with the aim of deploying portable seismic sites at 40-km spacing across the entire state over the next decade. There will be formidable logistic hurdles to overcome, particularly in the desert areas, but in time the region will have the densest consistent coverage in the whole continent.

As noted by Kennett *et al.* (2011), the distribution of Moho depth does not show any simple dependence on the age of the basement or the surface rocks (Fig. 8). Improved coverage in central Australia means that the seismic results now pick up the major east–west features with Moho steps that are very prominent in the gravity field (see e.g. Kennett *et al.* 2018, Chapter 5). With the use of a surface construction scheme that can take account of the differing spatial sampling and resolution of the various types of data set, we are able to preserve localized features more effectively than with the broad scale interpolation used in the earlier studies. This means that the continent-wide Moho surface provides a good basis for regional studies, where a finer local grid is desired the suite of Moho points can be used with the Kennett (2019) scheme to extract the requisite estimates.

RESOURCES

The Moho model at 0.25 degree resolution and the complete set of Moho estimates, organized by the technique employed, are to be found at the AusPass repository (<http://auspass.edu.au/research/Moho>).

The full set of references for the sources of information used in the Moho compilation are provided in the Supplementary Material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The AusMoho project represents a continuation of the development of the Australian Seismological Reference Model (AuSREM) supported by AuScope (auscope.org.au) via the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS) of the Australian Government. The AusPass repository is also enabled by AuScope through the NCRIS program.

The compilation used here relies on data collected by many different institutions and agencies. The refraction studies were mostly made by the Bureau of Mineral Resources (now Geoscience Australia). The first phase of portable station deployments was made by the Australian National University with subsequent support from Australian Research Council grants and AuScope through the NCRIS program. Major contributions have been made by Geoscience Australia in the Exploring for the Future project, the Geological Survey of Western Australia, the Institute of Geology and

Geophysics of the Chinese Academy of Science in joint experiments in Western Australia and the Geological Survey of South Australia. Reflection profiles were mostly coordinated by Geoscience Australia, with additional support from State and Territory Geological Surveys and AuScope.

SA and CE are supported by Australian Research Council Grant DE190100062. This paper is published with permission from the Chief Executive Officer, Geoscience Australia, and the Executive Director, Geological Survey of Western Australia.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, S., Eakin, C.M. & O'Donnell, J.P., 2022. Characterizing the depth of cover across South Australia: a simple passive-seismic method for estimating sedimentary thickness, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **231**, 1850–1864.
- Agrawal, S., Eakin, C.M. & O'Donnell, J.P., 2023. Tracking crustal thickness at the sediment inundated edge of the Gawler Craton, South Australia, *Tectonophysics*, in review. Available at SSRN, doi:[10.2139/ssrn.4285912](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4285912).
- AMIRA (Australian Mineral Industries Research Association), 2017. *Unlocking Australia's Hidden Potential*, AMIRA, Melbourne.
- Aitken, A.R.A., Salmon, M.L. & Kennett, B.L.N., 2013. Australia's Moho: a test of the usefulness of gravity modelling for the determination of Moho depth, *Tectonophysics*, **609**, 468–479.
- Balfour, N.J., Salmon, M. & Sambridge, M., 2014. The Australian Seismometers in Schools network: education, outreach, research, and monitoring, *Seism. Res. Lett.*, **85**, 1063–1068.
- Bodin, T., Kennett, B.L.N., Salmon, M. & Sambridge, M., 2012. Probabilistic surface reconstruction from multiple data-sets – an example for the Australian Moho, *J. Geophys. Res. B*, **117**, B10307, doi:[10.1029/2012JB009547](https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JB009547).
- Bello, M., Cornwell, D.G., Rawlinson, N., Reading, A.M. & Likkason, O.K., 2021. Crustal structure of southeast Australia from teleseismic receiver functions, *Solid Earth*, **12**, 463–481.
- Birkey, A., Ford, H.A., Dabney, P. & Goldhagen, G., 2021. The lithospheric architecture of Australia from seismic receiver functions, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **126**, e2020JB020999, doi:[10.1029/2020JB020999](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020999).
- Chen, Y., Niu, F., Liu, R., Huang, Z., Tkalčić, H., Sun, L. & Chan, W., 2010. Crustal structure beneath China from receiver function analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, 1–22.
- Chen, Y. & Saygin, E., 2020. Empirical Green's function retrieval using ambient noise source–receiver interferometry, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **125**, e2019JB018261, doi:[10.1029/2019JB018261](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JB018261).
- Clark, D.J., Brennand, S., Brenn, G., Allen, T.I., Garthwaite, M.C. & Standen, S., 2020. The 2018 Lake Muir earthquake sequence, southwest Western Australia: rethinking Australian stable continental region earthquakes, *Solid Earth*, **11**, 691–717.
- Clitheroe, G., Gudmundsson, O. & Kennett, B.L.N., 2000. The crustal thickness of Australia, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105**, 13697–13713.
- COAG (Council of Australian Governments), 2017. *National Mineral Exploration Strategy*, available at Geoscience Australia (www.ga.gov.au) ecatt 111002.
- Collins, C.D.N., 1991. The nature of the crust–mantle boundary under Australia from seismic evidence, *The Australian Lithosphere*, by B.J. Drummond, *Spec. Publ., Geol. Soc. Aust.*, **17**, 67–80.
- Collins, C.D.N., Drummond, B.J. & Nicoll, M.G., 2003. Moho depth patterns in the Australian continent, in *The Evolution and Dynamics of the Australian Plate*, eds Müller, D. & Hillis, R., pp. 121–128, Geological Society of Australia Special Publication, 22 and Geological Society of America Special Paper 372, doi:[10.1130/0-8137-2372-8.121](https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2372-8.121).
- Cook, F.A., White, D.J., Jones, A.G., Eaton, D.W.S., Hall, J. & Clowes, R.M., 2010. How the crust meets the mantle: Lithoprobe perspectives on the Mohorovičić discontinuity and crust–mantle transition, *Can. J. Earth Sci.*, **47**, 315–351.
- Czarnota, K., Hoggard, M.J., White, N. & Winterbourne, J., 2013. Spatial and temporal patterns of Cenozoic dynamic topography around Australia, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, **14**, 634–658.

- Darbyshire, F.A., Bastow, I.D., Petrescu, L., Gilligan, A. & Thompson, D.A., 2017. A tale of two orogens: crustal processes in the Proterozoic Trans-Hudson and Grenville Orogens, eastern Canada, *Tectonics*, **36**, 1633–1659.
- Dentith, M., Yuan, H., Murdie, R.E., Pina-Varas, P., Johnson, S.P., Gessner, K. & Korhonen, F.J., 2018. Improved interpretation of deep seismic reflection data in areas of complex geology through integration with passive seismic data sets, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **123**, 10810–10830.
- Direen, N.G. & Crawford, A. J., 2003. The Tasman Line: where is it, what is it, and is it Australia's Rodinian breakup boundary?, *Austral. J. Earth Sci.*, **50**, 491–502.
- Doublier, M.P. et al., 2022. Interpretation of the basement component of seismic line 18GA-KB1, *Exploring for the Future: Canning Basin: Kidson Seismic survey (18GA-KB1) and geological interpretation*, eds Carr, L.K., Southby, C., Edwards, D.S., Anderson, J.R. & MacFarlane, S.K. (eds), pp. 35–48, Geoscience Australia Record 2022/16, Geoscience Australia.
- Dutch, R.A., Pawley, M.J. & Wise, T.W., (editors), 2015. *What lies beneath the Western Gawler Craton? 13GA-EG1 Seismic and Magnetotelluric Workshop 2015 – extended abstracts*, Department of State Development, South Australia Report Book 2015/00029, 84p.
- Eakin, C.M., 2019. Seismicity, minerals, and craton margins: the Lake Eyre Basin Seismic Deployment, *ASEG Extended Abstracts*, **1**, 1–2.
- Fauzi, M.F., Anggraini, A., Riyanto, A., Ngadmanto, D. & Suryanto, W., 2021. Crustal thickness estimation in Indonesia using receiver function method, *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Sci.*, **873**, 012086, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/873/1/012086.
- Galybin, K.A., 2006. *P-wave Velocity Model for the Southwest of the Yilgarn Craton, Western Australia and its Relation to the Local Geology and Seismicity*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Western Australia.
- Geoscience Australia, 2021. Australian National Seismograph Network Data Collection, *Geoscience Australia, Canberra*, doi:10.26186/144675.
- Gorbatov, A., Kennett, B.L.N. & Saygin, E., 2013. Crustal properties from seismic station autocorrelations, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **192**, 861–870.
- Gorbatov, A. et al., 2020a. AusArray: towards updatable, national high-resolution seismic velocity models of the lithosphere, *Exploring for the Future: Extended Abstracts, Geoscience Australia*, eds Czarnota, K., Roach, I., Abbott, S., Haynes, M., Kositsin, N., Ray, A. & Slatter, E., Canberra, doi:10.11636/135284.
- Gorbatov, A., Medlin, A., Kennett, B.L.N., Doublier, M.P., Czarnota, K., Fomin, T. & Henson, P., 2020b. Moho variations in northern Australia, *Exploring for the Future: Extended Abstracts*, eds Czarnota, K., Roach, I., Abbott, S., Haynes, M., Kositsin, N., Ray, A. & Slatter, E., Geoscience Australia, Canberra, doi:10.11636/135179.
- Kennett, B.L.N., Fishwick, S., Reading, A.M. & Rawlinson, N., 2004. Contrasts in mantle structure beneath Australia – relation to Tasman Lines?, *Austral. J. Earth Sci.*, **51**, 563–569.
- Kennett, B.L.N., Salmon, M., Saygin, E. & AusMoho Working Group, 2011. AusMoho: the variation in Moho depth in Australia, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **187**, 946–958.
- Kennett, B.L.N. & Saygin, E., 2015. The nature of the Moho in Australia from reflection profiling: a review, *GeoResJ*, **5**, 74–91.
- Kennett, B.L.N., Saygin, E. & Salmon, M., 2015. Stacking autocorrelations to map Moho depth with high spatial resolution in southeastern Australia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 3839–3846.
- Kennett, B.L.N., Saygin, E., Fomin, T. & Blewett, R.S., 2016. *Deep Crustal Seismic Reflection Profiling Australia 1978–2015*, ANU Press, Canberra, doi:10.22459/dsrp.11.2016.
- Kennett, B.L.N., Chopping, R. & Blewett, R., 2018. *The Australian Continent: A Geophysical Synthesis*, ANU Press, doi:10.10.22459/AC.08.2018.
- Kennett, B.L.N., 2019. Areal parameter estimates from multiple datasets, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **475**, 20190352, doi:10.1098/rspa.2019.0352.
- Kennett, B.L.N. & Liang, S., 2021. The transition from the Thomson Orogen to the North Australian Craton from seismic data, *Austral. J. Earth Sci.*, **68**, 628–640.
- Korsch, R.J. & Doublier, M.P., 2015. Major crustal boundaries of Australia, and their significance in mineral systems targeting, *Ore Geol. Rev.*, **76**, 211–228.
- Lambeck, K., Burgess, G. & Shaw, R.D., 1988. Teleseismic travel-time anomalies and deep crustal structure in central Australia, *Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc.*, **94**, 105–124.
- Liang, S. & Kennett, B.L.N., 2020. Passive seismic imaging of a craton edge – Central Australia, *Tectonophysics*, **797**, 228662, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228662.
- McQueen, H.W.S. & Lambeck, K., 1996. Determination of crustal structure in central Australia by inversion of traveltimes residuals, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **126**, 645–662.
- Miller, M.S., Pickle, R., Murdie, R., Yuan, H., Allen, T.I., Gessner, K., Kennett, B.L.N. & Whitney, J., 2023. Southwest Australia Seismic Network (SWAN): recording earthquakes in Australia's most active seismic zone, *Seism. Res. Lett.*, **94**, doi:10.1785/0220220323.
- Mohorovičić, A., 1910. Das beben von 8 Okt. 1909, *Jahrb. Meteorol. Obs. Agram (Zagreb)*, **9**, 1–56, Teil IV.
- Murdie, R., Yuan, H., Dentith, M.C. & Lin, X., 2020a. A passive seismic experiment in the Perth Basin, Western Australia, *Geological Survey of Western Australia*, Report 208.
- Murdie, R., Gessner, K., Miller, M., Salmon, M., Yuan, H., Whitney, J., Gray, S. & Allen, T., 2020b. Geological Survey of Western Australia: SWAN takes off - a new seismic monitoring project in Western Australia, *Preview*, **208**, 28–29.
- O'Donnell, J.P., Thiel, S., Robertson, K., Gorbatov, A. & Eakin, C., 2020. Using seismic tomography to inform mineral exploration in South Australia: the AusArray SA broadband seismic array, *MESA J.*, **93**, 24–31.
- Qashqai, T.M., Saygin, E. & Kennett, B.L.N., 2019. Crustal imaging with Bayesian inversion of teleseismic P-wave coda autocorrelation, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **124**, 5888–5906.
- Rawlinson, N., Tkalčić, H. & Reading, A.M., 2010. Structure of the Tasmanian lithosphere from 3D seismic tomography, *Austral. J. Earth Sci.*, **57**, 381–394.
- Reading, A.M., Kennett, B.L.N. & Dentith, M.C., 2003. The seismic structure of the Yilgarn Craton, Western Australia, *Austral. J. Earth Sci.*, **50**, 427–438.
- Reading, A.M., Kennett, B.L.N. & Goleby, B., 2007. New constraints on the seismic structure of West Australia: Evidence for terrane stabilization prior to the assembly of an ancient continent?, *Geology*, **35**, 379–379.
- Reading, A.M., Tkalčić, H., Kennett, B.L.N., Johnson, S.P. & Sheppard, S., 2012. Seismic structure of the crust and uppermost mantle of the Capricorn and Paterson Orogens and adjacent cratons, Western Australia, from passive seismic transects, *Precambrian Res.*, **196**, 295–308.
- Salmon, M., Kennett, B.L.N., Stern, T. & Aitken, A.R.A., 2013. The Moho in Australia and New Zealand, *Tectonophysics*, **609**, 288–298.
- Shibutani, T., Sambridge, M. & Kennett, B., 1996. Genetic algorithm inversion for receiver functions with application to crust and uppermost mantle structure beneath eastern Australia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **23**, 1829–1829.
- Sippl, C., 2016. Moho geometry along a north–south passive seismic transect through Central Australia, *Tectonophysics*, **676**, 56–69.
- Sippl, C., Brisboud, L., Spaggiari, C.V., Gessner, K., Tkalčić, H., Kennett, B.L.N. & Murdie, R., 2017. Crustal structure of a Proterozoic craton boundary: East Albany-Fraser Orogen, Western Australia, imaged with passive seismic and gravity anomaly data, *Precambrian Res.*, **296**, 78–92.
- Smith, W.H.F. & Wessel, P., 1990. Gridding with continuous curvature splines in tension, *Geophysics*, **55**, 293–305.
- Sun, W. & Kennett, B.L.N., 2016. Receiver structure from teleseisms: auto- and cross-correlation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **43**, 6234–6242.
- Thompson, D.A., Bastow, A.D., Helffrich, G., Kendall, J.-M., Wookey, J., Snyder, D.B. & Eaton, D.W., 2010. Precambrian crustal evolution: seismic constraints from the Canadian Shield, *Earth planet. Sci. Lett.*, **297**, 655–666.
- Tian, R., Dentith, M., Murdie, R., Yuan, H. & Gessner, K., 2020. Geophysical characterisation of crustal scale mineral systems: a passive seismic experiment across world-class orogenic gold deposits, Kalgoorlie Area, Western Australia, *19th International Symposium on Deep Seismic Profiling of the Continents and their Margins*, Perth, Australia.
- Tugume, F., Nyblade, A., Julià, J. & van der Meijde, M., 2013. Precambrian crustal structure in Africa and Arabia: Evidence lacking for secular variation, *Tectonophysics*, **609**, 250–266.

- van der Hilst, R., Kennett, B.L.N., Christie, D. & Grant, J., 1994. Project SKIPPY explores the lithosphere and mantle beneath Australia, *EOS, Trans. Am. geophys. Un.*, **75**, 177, doi:[10.1029/94EO00857](https://doi.org/10.1029/94EO00857).
- Wessel, P. & Smith, W.H.F., 1998. New, improved version of generic mapping tools released, *EOS, Trans. Am. geophys. Un.*, **79**, 579, doi:[10.1029/98EO00426](https://doi.org/10.1029/98EO00426).
- Yu, Y., Song, J., Liu, K.H. & Gao, S.S., 2015. Determining crustal structure beneath seismic stations overlying a low-velocity sedimentary layer using receiver functions, *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, **120**, 3208–3218.
- Yuan, H., 2015. Secular change in Archaean crust formation recorded in Western Australia, *Nature Geoscience*, **8**, 808–813.
- Yuan, H. & Bodin, T., 2018. A probabilistic shear wave velocity model of the crust in the central West Australian Craton constrained by transdimensional inversion of ambient noise dispersion, *Tectonics*, **37**, 1994–2012.
- Yuan, H., Johnson, S., Dentith, M., Murdie, R., Gessner, K., Korhonen, F. & Bodin, T., 2017. Seismic structure of a late-Archaean microcontinent in the middle of the Western Australian Craton, *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts*, **19**, EGU2017–6126.
- Zhao, L. *et al.*, 2022. Seismic evidence of two cryptic sutures in North-western Australia: implications for the style of subduction during the Paleoproterozoic assembly of Columbia, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **579**, 117342, doi:[10.1016/j.epsl.2021.117342](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2021.117342).
- Zhang, P. & Miller, M.S., 2021. Seismic imaging of the subducted Australian continental margin beneath Timor and the Banda Arc collision zone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **48**, e2020GL089632, doi:[10.1029/2020GL089632](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL089632).
- Zhu, L. & Kanamori, H., 2000. Moho depth variation in southern California from teleseismic receiver functions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105**, 2969–2980.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at [GJI](https://doi.org/10.1002/gji.2333) online.

Figure S1. Seismic station distribution across Australia to end 2022.
Figure S2. Refraction and marine reflection results. Shots are shown with purple circles and stations with violet triangles. The control points used in the Moho compilation are shown with circles and

the symbol size indicates reliability. Results from tomography in central Australia and northern Tasmania are also included, shown with triangular symbols.

Figure S3. Receiver function Moho estimates and results from tomography. Symbol size indicates reliability.

Figure S4. Moho results from full-crustal reflection profiling and autocorrelation studies, where conversion from time to depth is required. Symbol size indicates reliability.

Figure S5. Distribution of Moho estimates from the work of Qashqai *et al.* (2019) using waveform inversion of station autocorrelations from teleseismic P arrivals, with a three-layer crust, superimposed on the new Moho surface. The stations are marked with circles and symbol size indicates reliability.

Figure S6. Comparison of stacked receiver function Moho estimates from Birkey *et al.* (2021) with the new Moho surface. Ps results are shown with circles and Sp with diamonds. Negative differences indicate shallower Moho from the RF stacks and positive deeper. Symbol size indicates reliability, based on the estimates of likely error in depth.

Figure S7. Moho depth distribution for continental Australia expressed as violin plots. Australia is divided into six provinces based on age and geological history (as in insert map). Each violin plot displays the full distribution of the Moho estimates in depth with the median shown as a white circle and the interval between the second and third quartiles with a black bar. Separate displays are shown for receiver function results and for reflection profiles plus autocorrelation studies, where there is a conversion from time to depth.

Table S1. Networks used for receiver function analysis.

Table S2. Networks used for Autocorrelation analysis.

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the paper.