

RESEARCH

Open Access

Genome sequence of an Australian kangaroo, *Macropus eugenii*, provides insight into the evolution of mammalian reproduction and development

Marilyn B Renfree^{1,2*†}, Anthony T Papenfuss^{1,3,4*†}, Janine E Deakin^{1,5}, James Lindsay⁶, Thomas Heider⁶, Katherine Belov^{1,7}, Willem Rens⁸, Paul D Waters^{1,5}, Elizabeth A Pharo², Geoff Shaw^{1,2}, Emily SW Wong^{1,7}, Christophe M Lefèvre⁹, Kevin R Nicholas⁹, Yoko Kuroki¹⁰, Matthew J Wakefield^{1,3}, Kyall R Zenger^{1,7,11}, Chenwei Wang^{1,7}, Malcolm Ferguson-Smith⁸, Frank W Nicholas⁷, Danielle Hickford^{1,2}, Hongshi Yu^{1,2}, Kirsty R Short^{1,2}, Hannah V Siddle^{1,7}, Stephen R Frankenberg^{1,2}, Keng Yih Chew^{1,2}, Brandon R Menzies^{1,2,13}, Jessica M Stringer^{1,2}, Shunsuke Suzuki^{1,2}, Timothy A Hore^{1,14}, Margaret L Delbridge^{1,5}, Amir Mohammadi^{1,5}, Nanette Y Schneider^{1,2,15}, Yanqiu Hu^{1,2}, William O'Hara⁶, Shafagh Al Nadaf^{1,5}, Chen Wu⁷, Zhi-Ping Feng^{3,16}, Benjamin G Cocks¹⁷, Jianghui Wang¹⁷, Paul Flicek¹⁸, Stephen MJ Searle¹⁹, Susan Fairley¹⁹, Kathryn Beal¹⁸, Javier Herrero¹⁸, Dawn M Carone^{6,20}, Yutaka Suzuki²¹, Sumio Sugano²¹, Atsushi Toyoda²², Yoshiyuki Sakaki¹⁰, Shinji Kondo¹⁰, Yuichiro Nishida¹⁰, Shoji Tatsumoto¹⁰, Ion Mandiou²³, Arthur Hsu^{3,16}, Kaighin A McColl³, Benjamin Lansdell³, George Weinstock²⁴, Elizabeth Kuczek^{1,25,26}, Annette McGrath²⁵, Peter Wilson²⁵, Artem Men²⁵, Mehlika Hazar-Rethinam²⁵, Allison Hall²⁵, John Davis²⁵, David Wood²⁵, Sarah Williams²⁵, Yogi Sundaravadanam²⁵, Donna M Muzny²⁴, Shalini N Jhangiani²⁴, Lora R Lewis²⁴, Margaret B Morgan²⁴, Geoffrey O Okwuonu²⁴, San Juana Ruiz²⁴, Jireh Santibanez²⁴, Lynne Nazareth²⁴, Andrew Cree²⁴, Gerald Fowler²⁴, Christie L Kovar²⁴, Huyen H Dinh²⁴, Vandita Joshi²⁴, Chyn Jing²⁴, Fremiet Lara²⁴, Rebecca Thornton²⁴, Lei Chen²⁴, Jixin Deng²⁴, Yue Liu²⁴, Joshua Y Shen²⁴, Xing-Zhi Song²⁴, Janette Edson²⁵, Carmen Troon²⁵, Daniel Thomas²⁵, Amber Stephens²⁵, Lankesha Yapa²⁵, Tanya Levchenko²⁵, Richard A Gibbs^{24†}, Desmond W Cooper^{1,28†}, Terence P Speed^{1,3†}, Asao Fujiyama^{22,27†}, Jennifer A M Graves^{1,5†}, Rachel J O'Neill^{6†}, Andrew J Pask^{1,2,6†}, Susan M Forrest^{1,25†} and Kim C Worley^{24†}

Abstract

Background: We present the genome sequence of the tammar wallaby, *Macropus eugenii*, which is a member of the kangaroo family and the first representative of the iconic hopping mammals that symbolize Australia to be sequenced. The tammar has many unusual biological characteristics, including the longest period of embryonic diapause of any mammal, extremely synchronized seasonal breeding and prolonged and sophisticated lactation within a well-defined pouch. Like other marsupials, it gives birth to highly altricial young, and has a small number of very large chromosomes, making it a valuable model for genomics, reproduction and development.

Results: The genome has been sequenced to 2 × coverage using Sanger sequencing, enhanced with additional next generation sequencing and the integration of extensive physical and linkage maps to build the genome assembly. We also sequenced the tammar transcriptome across many tissues and developmental time points.

* Correspondence: m.renfree@unimelb.edu.au; papenfuss@wehi.edu.au

† Contributed equally

¹The Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Kangaroo Genomics, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Our analyses of these data shed light on mammalian reproduction, development and genome evolution: there is innovation in reproductive and lactational genes, rapid evolution of germ cell genes, and incomplete, locus-specific X inactivation. We also observe novel retrotransposons and a highly rearranged major histocompatibility complex, with many class I genes located outside the complex. Novel microRNAs in the tammar HOX clusters uncover new potential mammalian HOX regulatory elements.

Conclusions: Analyses of these resources enhance our understanding of marsupial gene evolution, identify marsupial-specific conserved non-coding elements and critical genes across a range of biological systems, including reproduction, development and immunity, and provide new insight into marsupial and mammalian biology and genome evolution.

Background

The tammar wallaby holds a unique place in the natural history of Australia, for it was the first Australian marsupial discovered, and the first in which its special mode of reproduction was noted: '*their manner of procreation is exceeding strange and highly worth observing; below the belly the female carries a pouch into which you may put your hand; inside the pouch are her nipples, and we have found that the young ones grow up in this pouch with the nipples in their mouths. We have seen some young ones lying there, which were only the size of a bean, though at the same time perfectly proportioned so that it seems certain that they grow there out of the nipples of the mammae from which they draw their food, until they are grown up*' [1]. These observations were made by Francisco Pelseart, Captain of the ill-fated and mutinous Dutch East Indies ship Batavia in 1629, whilst shipwrecked on the Abrolhos Islands off the coast of Geraldton in Western Australia. It is therefore appropriate that the tammar should be the first Australian marsupial subject to an in-depth genome analysis.

Marsupials are distantly related to eutherian mammals, having shared a common ancestor between 130 and 148 million years ago [2-4]. The tammar wallaby *Macropus eugenii* is a small member of the kangaroo family, the Macropodidae, within the genus *Macropus*, which comprises 14 species [5] (Figure 1). The macropodids are the most specialized of all marsupials. Mature females weigh about 5 to 6 kg, and males up to 9 kg. The tammar is highly abundant in its habitat on Kangaroo Island in South Australia, and is also found on the Abrolhos Islands, Garden Island and the Recherche Archipelago, all in Western Australia, as well as a few small areas in the south-west corner of the continental mainland. These populations have been separated for at least 40,000 years. Its size, availability and ease of handling have made it the most intensively studied model marsupial for a wide variety of genetic, developmental, reproductive, physiological, biochemical, neurobiological and ecological studies [6-13].

In the wild, female Kangaroo Island tammars have a highly synchronized breeding cycle and deliver a single

young on or about 22 January (one gestation period after the longest day in the Southern hemisphere, 21 to 22 December) that remains in the pouch for 9 to 10 months. The mother mates within a few hours after birth but development of the resulting embryo is delayed during an 11 month period of suspended animation (embryonic diapause). Initially diapause is maintained by a lactation-mediated inhibition, and in the second half of the year by photoperiod-mediated inhibition that is removed as day length decreases [14]. The anatomy, physiology, embryology, endocrinology and genetics of the tammar have been described in detail throughout development [6,11-13,15].

The marsupial mode of reproduction exemplified by the tammar with a short gestation and a long lactation does not imply inferiority, nor does it represent a transitory evolutionary stage, as was originally thought. It is a successful and adaptable lifestyle. The maternal investment is minimal during the relatively brief pregnancy and in early lactation, allowing the mother to respond to altered environmental conditions [11,12,15]. The tammar, like all marsupials, has a fully functional placenta that makes hormones to modulate pregnancy and parturition, control the growth of the young, and provide signals for the maternal recognition of pregnancy [14,16-18]. The tammar embryo develops for only 26 days after diapause, and is born when only 16 to 17 mm long and weighing about 440 mg at a developmental stage roughly equivalent to a 40-day human or 15-day mouse embryo. The kidney bean-sized newborn has well-developed forelimbs that allow it to climb up to the mother's pouch, where it attaches to one of four available teats. It has functional, though not fully developed, olfactory, respiratory, circulatory and digestive systems, but it is born with an embryonic kidney and undifferentiated immune, thermoregulatory and reproductive systems, all of which become functionally differentiated during the lengthy pouch life. Most major structures and organs, including the hindlimbs, eyes, gonads and a significant portion of the brain, differentiate while the young is in the pouch and are therefore readily available for study [11,12,19-24]. They also have a sophisticated lactational




Figure 1 Phylogeny of the marsupials. Phylogenetic relationships of the orders of Marsupialia. Top: the placement of the contemporary continents of South America and Australia within Gondwanaland and the split of the American and Australian marsupials. Relative divergence in millions of years shown to the left in the context of geological periods. The relationship of the Macropodidae within the Australian marsupial phylogeny shown is in purple with estimated divergence dates in millions of years [5,162,163]. Representative species from each clad are illustrated. Inset: phylogeny of the genus *Macropus* within the Macropodidae showing the placement of the model species *M. eugenii* (purple) based on [59]. Outgroup species are *Thylogale thetis* and *Petrogale xanthopus*.

physiology with a milk composition that changes throughout pouch life, ensuring that nutrient supply is perfectly matched for each stage of development [25]. Adjacent teats in a pouch can deliver milk of differing composition appropriate for a pouch young and a young-at-foot [26].

Kangaroo chromosomes excited some of the earliest comparative cytological studies of mammals. Like other kangaroos, the tammar has a low diploid number ($2n = 16$) and very large chromosomes that are easily distinguished by size and morphology. The low diploid number of marsupials makes it easy to study mitosis, cell cycles [27], DNA replication [28], radiation sensitivity [29], genome stability [30], chromosome elimination [31,32] and chromosome evolution [33,34]. Marsupial sex chromosomes are particularly informative. The X and Y chromosomes are small; the basic X chromosome constitutes only 3% of the haploid genome (compared with 5% in eutherians) and the Y is tiny. Comparative studies show that the marsupial X and Y are representative of the ancestral mammalian X and Y chromosomes [35]. However, in the kangaroos, a large heterochromatic nucleolus organizer region became fused to the X and Y. Chromosome painting confirms the extreme conservation of kangaroo chromosomes [36] and their close relationship with karyotypes of more distantly related marsupials [37-40] so that genome studies are likely to be highly transferable across marsupial species.

The tammar is a member of the Australian marsupial clade and, as a macropodid marsupial, is maximally divergent from the only other sequenced model marsupial, the didelphid Brazilian grey short-tailed opossum, *Monodelphis domestica* [41]. The South American and Australasian marsupials followed independent evolutionary pathways after the separation of Gondwana into the new continents of South America and Australia about 80 million years ago and after the divergence of tammar and opossum (Figure 1) [2,4]. The Australasian marsupials have many unique specializations. Detailed knowledge of the biology of the tammar has informed our interpretation of its genome and highlighted many novel aspects of marsupial evolution.

Sequencing and assembly (Meug_1)

The genome of a female tammar of Kangaroo Island, South Australia origin was sequenced using the whole-genome shotgun (WGS) approach and Sanger sequencing. DNA isolated from the lung tissue of a single tammar was used to generate WGS libraries with inserts of 2 to 6 kb (Tables S1 and S2 in Additional file 1). Sanger DNA sequencing was performed at the Baylor College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center (BCM-HGSC), and the Australian Genome Research Facility using ABI3730xl sequencers (Applied BioSystems, Foster

City, CA, USA). Approximately 10 million Sanger WGS reads, representing about $2 \times$ sequence coverage, were submitted to the NCBI trace archives (NCBI BioProject PRJNA12586; NCBI Taxonomy ID 9315). An additional $5.9 \times$ sequence coverage was generated on an ABI SOLiD sequencer at BCM-HGSC. These 25-bp paired-end data with average mate-pair distance of 1.4 kb (Table S3 in Additional file 1) [SRA:SRX011374] were used to correct contigs and perform super-scaffolding. The initial tammar genome assembly (Meug_1.0) was constructed using only the low coverage Sanger sequences. This was then improved with additional scaffolding using sequences generated with the ABI SOLiD (Meug_1.1; Table 1; Tables S4 to S7 in Additional file 1). The Meug_1.1 assembly had a contig N50 of 2.6 kb and a scaffold N50 of 41.8 kb [GenBank:GL044074-GL172636].

The completeness of the assembly was assessed by comparison to the available cDNA data. Using 758,062 454 FLX cDNA sequences [SRA:SRX019249, SRA:SRX019250], 76% are found to some extent in the assembly and 30% are found with more than 80% of their length represented (Table S6 in Additional file 1). Compared to 14,878 Sanger-sequenced ESTs [GenBank:EX195538-EX203564, GenBank:EX203644-EX210452], more than 85% are found in the assembly with at least one half their length aligned (Table S7 in Additional file 1).

Table 1 Comparison of Meug genome assemblies

	Assembly version		
	1.0	1.1	2.0
Contigs (million)	1.211	1.174	1.111
N50 (kb)	2.5	2.6	2.91
Bases (Mb)	2546	2,536	2,574
Scaffolds	616,418	277,711	379,858
Max scaffold size	NA	472,108	324,751
Gaps (Mb)	NA	539	619
N50 (kb)	NA	41.8	34.3
Complex scaffolds	NA	128,563	124,674
Singleton scaffolds	NA	149,148	255,184
Co-linear with BACs	NA	87.2% (418)	93.4% (298)
Co-linear with ESTs	NA	82.3% (704)	86.7% (454)

Summary statistics for the tammar genome assemblies. These statistics indicate the extension and merging of contigs done to improve the assembly. The larger number of scaffolds and smaller scaffold N50 is a consequence of higher stringency in the 2.0 scaffolding workflow. The higher stringency isolated many contigs. However, the number of complex (that is, useful) scaffolds is similar between the assemblies. For co-linear estimates, the scaffolds were linearized and BACs and cDNA libraries were mapped against them. The 1.1 and 2.0 assemblies were validated against 169 BAC contigs and 84,718 ESTs (that were not incorporated into either genome assembly). We determined the percentage of contigs where the scaffolding matched the order and orientation when compared to BACs or ESTs (co-linear with BACs/ESTs). Parentheses indicate the total number of contigs identified after alignment to BAC contigs or ESTs.

Additional sequencing and assembly improvement (Meug_2)

Contig improvement

The tammar genome assembly was further improved using additional data consisting of $0.3 \times$ coverage by paired and unpaired 454 GS-FLX Titanium reads [SRA:SRX080604, SRA:SRX085177] and $5 \times$ coverage by paired Illumina GAIx reads [SRA:SRX085178, SRA:SRX081248] (Table S8 in Additional file 1). A local reassembly strategy mapped the additional 454 and Illumina data against Meug_1.1 contigs. Added data were used to improve the accuracy of base calls and to extend and merge contigs. The Meug_2.0 assembly [GenBank:ABQO000000000] (see also 'Data availability' section) has 1.111 million contigs with an N50 of 2.9 kb. Contigs were validated directly by PCR on ten randomly selected contigs. The assembly was also assessed by aligning 84,718 ESTs and 169 BAC sequences to the genome. The amount of sequence aligning correctly to the genome assembly showed modest improvement between Meug_1.1 and Meug_2.0 (Table 1; Table S9 in Additional file 1).

Scaffolding and anchoring using the virtual map

Scaffolds were constructed using the previously mentioned Illumina paired-end libraries with insert sizes of 3.1 kb (8,301,018 reads) and 7.1 kb (12,203,204 reads), 454 paired-end library with an insert size of 6 kb and SOLiD mate pair library. The mean insertion distances for each library were empirically determined using paired reads where both ends mapped within the same contig and only those within three standard deviations from the mean were used for scaffolding. The contigs were ordered and oriented using Bambus [42], through three iterations of scaffolding to maximize the accuracy of the assembly. The highest priority was given to the library with the smallest standard deviation in the paired end distances, and the remaining libraries arranged in descending order. Initial scaffolding by Bambus was performed using five links as a threshold [43]. Overlapping contigs were identified and set aside before reiteration. This step was performed twice and the overlapping contigs pooled. The non-overlapping and overlapping contigs were then scaffolded independently. Any scaffolds found to still contain overlap were split apart. The resulting assembly has 324,751 scaffolds with an N50 of 34,279 bp (Table 1). Scaffolds were assigned to chromosomes by aligning them to markers from the virtual map [44], represented using sequences obtained from the opossum and human genomes [45]. We assigned 6,979 non-overlapping scaffolds (163 Mb or 6% of the genome assembly) to the seven autosomes. The vast majority of the genome sequence remained unmapped.


Tammar genome size

The tammar genome size was estimated using three independent methods: direct assessment by quantitative PCR [46]; bivariate flow karyotyping and standard flow cytometry; and genome analyses based in the Sanger WGS reads, using the Atlas-Genometer [47]. These three approaches produced quite different genome size estimates (Tables S11 to S13 in Additional file 1) so the average size estimate, 2.9 Gb, was used for the purposes of constructing the Meug_2.0 integrated genome assembly. The smaller genome size of tammar compared to human is unlikely to be due to fewer genes or changes in gene size (Figure S1 in Additional file 2), but may be accounted for by the greatly reduced centromere size of 450 kb/chromosome and number ($n = 8$) [48] compared to the human centromere size of 4 to 10 Mb/chromosome ($n = 23$).

Physical and linkage mapping

Novel strategies were developed for the construction of physical and linkage maps covering the entire genome. The physical map consists of 520 loci mapped by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) and was constructed by mapping the ends of gene blocks conserved between human and opossum, thereby allowing the location of genes within these conserved blocks to be extrapolated from the opossum genome onto tammar chromosomes [37] (JE Deakin, ML Delbridge, E Koina, N Harley, DA McMillan, AE Alsop, C Wang, VS Patel, and JAM Graves, unpublished results). Three different approaches were used to generate a linkage map consisting of 148 loci spanning 1,402.4 cM or 82.6% of the genome [49]. These approaches made the most of the available tammar sequence (genome, BACs or BAC ends) to identify markers to increase coverage in specific regions of the genome. Many of these markers were also physically mapped, providing anchors for the creation of an integrated map comprising all 553 distinct loci included in the physical and/or linkage maps. Interpolation of segments of conserved synteny (mainly from the opossum assembly) into the integrated map then made it possible to predict the genomic content and organization of the tammar genome through the construction of a virtual genome map comprising 14,336 markers [44].

Mapping data were used to construct tammar-human (Figure 2) and tammar-opossum comparative maps in order to study genome evolution. Regions of the genome were identified that have undergone extensive rearrangement when comparisons between tammar and opossum are made. These are in addition to previously known rearrangements based on chromosome-specific paints [50]. For example, tammar chromosome 3, consisting of genes that are on nine human chromosomes (3, 5, 7, 9,



10, 12, 16, 17, 22; Figure 2) and the X have an extensive reshuffling of the gene order. Rearrangements on the remaining chromosomes are mostly the result of large-scale inversions. This enabled us to predict the ancestral marsupial karyotype, revealing that inversions and micro-inversions have played a major role in shaping the genomes of marsupials (JE Deakin, ML Delbridge, E Koina, N Harley, DA McMillan, AE Alsop, C Wang, VS Patel, and JAM Graves, unpublished results).

Genome annotation

The Ensembl genebuild (release 63) for the Meug_1.0 assembly identified 18,258 genes by projection from high quality reference genomes. Of these, 15,290 are protein coding, 1,496 are predicted pseudo-genes, 525 are micro-RNA (miRNA) genes, and 42 are long non-coding RNA genes, though these are composed of just 7 different families: 7SK, human accelerated region 1F, CPEB3 ribozyme, ncRNA repressor of NFAT, nuclear RNase P, RNase MRP and Y RNA.

Since the coverage is low, many genes may be fragmented in the assembly or even unsequenced. The Ensembl genebuild pipeline scaffolds fragmented genes using comparative data and constructs 'GeneScaffolds'. There are 10,257 GeneScaffolds containing 13,037 genes. The annotation also contains 9,454 genes interrupted by Ns. To partially

ameliorate the problems of missing genes, a number of BACs from targeted locations have been sequenced and annotated, including the HOX gene clusters (H Yu, Z-P Feng, RJ O'Neill, Y Hu, AJ Pask, D Carone, J Lindsay, G Shaw, AT Papenfuss, and MB Renfree, unpublished results), major histocompatibility complex (MHC) [51], X chromosome (ML Delbridge, B Landsdell, MT Ross, TP Speed, AT Papenfuss, JAM Graves, unpublished results), pluripotency genes, germ cell genes, spermatogenesis genes [52,53] and X chromosome genes. Findings from these are summarized in later sections of this paper.

Expansion of gene families

Many genes evolve and acquire novel function through duplication and divergence. We identified genes that have undergone expansions in the marsupial lineage but remain largely unduplicated in eutherians and reptiles (Table S15 in Additional file 1). Both the tammar and opossum have undergone expansion of MHC class II genes, critical in the immune recognition of extracellular pathogens, and *TAP* genes that are responsible for loading endogenously derived antigens onto MHC class I proteins. Three marsupial-specific class II gene families exist: DA, DB and DC. Class II genes have undergone further duplications in the tammar and form two genomic clusters, adjacent to the antigen-processing genes

[51]. The opossum has one *TAP1* and two *TAP2* genes, while the tammar has expanded *TAP1* (two genes) and *TAP2* (three genes) genes [51]. We also detected marsupial expansions linked to apoptosis (*NET1*, *CASP3*, *TMBIM6*) and sensory perception (olfactory receptors).

Genomic landscape

Sequence conservation

We next explored sequence conservation between tammar and opossum using sequence similarity as a sensitive model of conservation. We found that 38% of nucleotides in the tammar genome (Meug_1.0) could be aligned to the high-quality opossum genome (7.3×). Of the aligned sequence, 72% was unannotated, reflecting a high proportion of conserved non-coding regions between the marsupial species. The level of conservation between opossum and tammar varied from 36.0 to 40.9% across the different opossum chromosomes (Table S16 in Additional file 1). This variation seems modest and may be largely stochastic, but it is interesting to examine further. Opossum chromosome 1 has 40.6% sequence conservation with the tammar. The gene order between tammar and opossum chromosome 1 is also highly conserved. This may mean that within the tammar genome assembly scaffolds, the alignment is well anchored by conserved protein-coding genes, making the intergenic sequence easier to align. Thus this 'high' conservation may be largely due to inherent biases in the approach. Opossum chromosome X has the most conserved sequence compared to tammar (40.9%), despite the high level of rearrangement between the tammar and opossum X. Intriguingly, the proportion of conserved sequence on opossum chromosome X that is located in unannotated regions is also the highest of any chromosome (28.2%; Table S16 in Additional file 1) despite the level of rearrangement. This may indicate a significant number of non-coding regulatory elements on the X chromosome. The mechanism of X inactivation in marsupials is not well understood. Examination of transcription within individual nuclei shows that there is at least

regional coordinated expression of genes on the partially inactive X [54-56]. It would be interesting to determine whether these conserved non-coding sequences are involved.

GC content

The average GC content based upon the assembly Meug_2.0 is 38.8% (Table 2), while the GC content based upon cytometry is 34%. This is lower than the GC content for human (41%) but similar to opossum (38%). The tammar X also has a GC content (34%) lower than that of the opossum X (42%). Thus, tammar chromosomes are relatively GC poor. The proportion of CpGs in the tammar genome is higher than that of the opossum, but similar to human (Table 2). The GC content was also calculated from RIKEN full-length cDNA pools and varied from 44% to 49% across tissue types (Table S17 in Additional file 1), indicating that the lower GC content of the tammar genome is contained within non-exonic regions.

Repeats

The repeat content of the tammar wallaby genome was assessed using RepeatMasker, RepeatModeler and *ab initio* repeat prediction programs. The Repbase database of consensus repeat sequences was used to identify repeats in the genome derived from known classes of elements [57] (Table 2). RepeatModeler uses a variety of *ab initio* tools to identify repetitive sequences irrespective of known classes [58]. After identification, the putative *de novo* repeats were mapped against the Repbase repeat annotations using BLAST. Any *de novo* repeat with at least 50% identity and coverage was annotated as that specific Repbase element. All putative *de novo* repeats that could not be annotated were considered *bona fide*, *de novo* repeats. The results from the database and *de novo* RepeatMasker annotations were combined, and any overlapping annotations were merged if they were of the same class of repeat element. Overlapping repeats from different classes were reported; therefore, each position

Table 2 Comparison of repeat landscape in tammar and other mammals

	Tammar	Opossum	Platypus	Human	Mouse
Total assembly size (Gb)	2.7	3.48	2.3	2.88	2.55
Interspersed repeats (%)					
Total	52.8	52.2	44.6	45.5	40.9
LINE/non-LTR retroelements	28.6	29.2	21.0	20.0	19.6
SINE	11.7	10.4	22.4	12.6	7.2
ERV	3.9	10.6	0.47	8.1	9.8
DNA transposon	2.9	1.7	1.1	2.8	0.8
C+G (%)	38.8	37.7	45.5	40.9	41.8
CpG (%)	3.5	2.3	NA	3.7	3.9

Comparative analyses of the interspersed repeat content in the tammar and other sequenced mammalian genomes. Repeat modeller combined dataset includes *ab initio* annotation of *de novo* repeats. ERV, endogenous retroviral element; LTR, long terminal repeat; NA, not available.

in the genome may have more than one unique annotation.

The total proportion of repetitive sequence in the tammar was found to be 52.8%, although this is probably an underestimate resulting from the low coverage. This is similar to the repeat content of the opossum genome (52.2%). The proportion of LINES and SINES was also similar between opossum and tammar; however, the overall content for long terminal repeat (LTR) elements was significantly below that observed for any other mammal (only 3.91%) with the exception of the platypus (about 0.47%). Interestingly, 36 elements were identified that were tammar-specific, including novel LTR elements (25), SINES (1), LINES (4) and DNA elements (3). Moreover, analyses of the small RNA pools that emanate from repeats (see below) allowed for identification of a novel SINE class that is rRNA derived and shared among all mammals (J Lindsay, DM Carone, E Murchison, G Hannon, AJ Pask, MB Renfree, and RJ O'Neill, unpublished results; MS Longo, LE Hall, S Trusiak, MJ O'Neill, and RJ O'Neill, unpublished results).

Given the unique small size of the tammar centromere, estimated to cover only 450 kb [48], the genome was further scanned for putative pericentric regions using our previously annotated centromere repeat elements [59]. We identified 66,256 contigs in 53,241 scaffolds as having centromeric sequences and these were further examined for repeat structure. Analyses of these regions confirms the proposed punctate distribution of repeats within pericentromeric regions of the tammar [48,60] and indicate the absence of monomeric satellite repeats in the centromeres of this species (J Lindsay, S Al Seesi, RJ O'Neill, unpublished results) compared with many others (reviewed in [61,62]).

The tammar transcriptome

Sequencing of the tammar genome has been augmented by extensive transcriptomic sequencing from multiple tissues using both Sanger sequencing and the Roche 454 platform by a number of different groups. Transcriptome datasets collected are summarized in Table S17 in Additional file 1 and are described in more detail in several companion papers. Sequences from the multiple tissues have been combined to assess the assembly and annotation, and to provide a resource that supplements the low coverage tammar genome by identifying and adding unsequenced and unannotated genes.

Transcriptomes of the testis [DDBJ:FY644883-FY736474], ovary [DDBJ:FY602565-FY644882], mammary gland [GenBank:EX195538-EX203564, GenBank:EX203644-EX210452], gravid uterus [DDBJ:FY469875-FY560833], hypothalamus [DDBJ:FY560834-FY602565] and cervical and thoracic thymus [SRA:SRX019249, SRA:SRX019250] were sequenced. Each dataset was

aligned to the assembly (Meug_1.0) using BLASTN. The proportion of reads that mapped varied between approximately 50% and 90% depending on the tissues of origin (Figure S2a Additional file 3). Of the successfully mapped reads, the proportion aligning to annotated genes (Ensembl annotation or 2 kb up- or downstream) were more similar between libraries (Figure S2b in Additional file 3). However, the lowest rates at which reads mapped to annotated genes in the genome were observed in transcripts from the two thymuses and the mammary gland. The former is unsurprising as a large number of immune genes are expressed in the thymus and are likely to be more difficult to annotate by projection due to their rapid evolution. The lower rate at which these ESTs aligned to annotated genes in mammary gland may reflect the highly sophisticated and complex lactation of marsupials (reviewed in [12]), a conclusion supported by the large number of unique genes identified with whey acidic protein and lipid domains (Figure 3). The mammary transcriptome may also contain a large number of immune transcripts. Together, these findings suggest a high degree of innovation in immune and lactation genes in the tammar. Previous analyses revealed that about 10% of transcripts in the mammary transcriptome were marsupial-specific and up to 15% are therian-specific [63]. Conversely, the high proportion of reads mapping to annotated genes in the testis and ovary (> 80%) suggest that there is significant conservation of active genes involved in reproduction between mammalian species (see section on 'Reproductive genes')

The testis, ovary, hypothalamus and gravid uterus full-length cDNA libraries were end-sequenced at RIKEN to evaluate composition and complexity of each transcriptome. We produced 360,350 Sanger reads in total (Table S18a in Additional file 1). Reads were clustered and the ratio of the clusters to reads was used as an estimate of the tissue's transcriptomic complexity. The hypothalamus showed the highest complexity (44.3%), whereas ovary showed the lowest (18.8%). We then looked for representative genes in each library by aligning reads to the Refseq database using BLASTN. For example, homologues of *KLH10* and *ODF1/2*, both of which function in spermatogenesis and male fertility, were found to be highly represented in the testis library (4.3% and 3.5% respectively). The hypothalamus library was rich in tubulin family genes (7.9% of reads), and hormone-related genes such as *SST* (somatostatin; 1.8% of reads) (see Table S18b in Additional file 1 for details).

Highly divergent or tammar-specific transcripts

Based upon stringent alignments to Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes genes (E-value < 10^{-30}), it was initially estimated that up to 17% of ovary clusters, 22% of testis clusters, 29% of gravid uterus clusters and 52%

Domain types in novel proteins




Figure 3 Classification of novel tamar genes. Summary of protein domains contained within translated novel ESTs isolated from the tamar transcriptsomes. A large proportion of unique genes contain receptor or transcriptional regulator domains. The next largest classes of unique ESTs were immune genes, whey acidic protein and lipid domain containing genes. These findings suggest a rapid diversification of genes associated with immune function and lactation in the tamar.

of hypothalamus clusters were tamar-specific or highly divergent. Unique genes were identified by clustering of the EST libraries (to remove redundancy) followed by alignment of the unique reads to dbEST (NCBI) with BLASTN [64] using an E-value threshold of 10^{-5} . We identified 4,678 unique ESTs (6.1%) from a total of 76,171 input ESTs (following clustering) and used these for further analyses. Sequences were translated using OrfPredictor [65] and passed through PfamA [66] for classification. Of the unique genes that could be classified using this approach, many appear to be receptors or transcriptional regulators (Figure 3). A large number of unique ESTs contained whey acidic protein and lipid domains, common in milk proteins, suggesting a rapid diversification of these genes in the tamar genome. An EST containing a unique zona pellucida domain was also identified. Detailed expression was examined for 32 unique genes isolated from the RIKEN testis RNA-Seq pool. Of the initial 32, 11 were gonad-specific. Spatial expression of five of these genes was examined by *in situ* hybridization in adult testes and ovaries. One gene was germ cell-specific, two genes had weak signals in the somatic tissue and the remaining two genes were not detected.

Small RNAs

Recently, it has become clear that small RNAs are essential regulatory molecules involved in a variety of pathways, including gene regulation, chromatin dynamics and genome defense. While many small RNA classes appear to be well conserved, such as the miRNAs, it has become evident that small RNA classes can also evolve rapidly and contribute to species incompatibilities [67-70]. Our analyses of the tamar small RNAs focused on known classes of small RNAs, miRNAs, and Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), as well as a novel class first identified in the tamar wallaby, centromere repeat-associated short interacting RNAs (crasiRNAs) [48] (Figure 4a).

Small RNAs in the size range 18 to 25 nucleotides, including miRNAs, from neonatal fibroblasts, liver, ovary, testis and brain were sequenced [GEO:GSE30370, SRA:SRP007394] and annotated. Following the mapping pipeline (Supplementary methods in Additional file 1), hairpin predictions for the precursor sequence within the tamar genome for each small RNA in this class were used. Those small RNAs derived from a genomic location with a *bona fide* hairpin were classified as miRNA genes and further analyzed for both conserved and novel miRNAs. Of those annotated in Ensembl, one was confirmed as a novel




Figure 4 A survey of both conserved and novel small RNAs in the tammar genome. (a) Size ranges of the major classes of small RNAs. The x-axis shows number of reads mapped to the tammar genome while the size of the read in nucleotides is on the y-axis. Boxes denote each major class analyzed in the tammar. Classes targeted for sequencing and full annotation include the miRNAs (18 to 22 nucleotides), the piRNAs (28 to 32 nucleotides) and the newly discovered crasiRNAs (35 to 45 nucleotides). **(b)** Five tammar miRNA libraries (brain, liver, fibroblast, ovary and testis) were pooled and mapped to the tammar genome. miRNAs with a complete overlap with miRBase entries mapped to the tammar genome were considered conserved and annotated according to species. Heat map showing the frequency of conserved miRBase entries per tissue and per species as identified in the tammar. A high degree of overlap (that is, conservation) was observed between tammar and human for fibroblast and testis, but a relatively low degree of overlap was observed for the brain. **(c)** The complex tammar centromere. Genome browser view of chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-Seq) for DNA bound by the centromere-specific histone CENP-A mapped to a centromeric contig (top, blue). Nucleotide position on the contig is shown on the x-axis and depth of reads shown on the y-axis. Tracks illustrated: MACs peak (model-based analyses of Chip-Seq (black)); locations for mapped reads of crasiRNAs (red); location of annotated centromere sequences (in this example, the centromeric LINE L6; purple); modeler repeat prediction track (green). crasiRNAs co-localize to DNA found in CENP-A-containing nucleosomes and are enriched in regions containing known centromere sequences.

tammar miRNA gene and a further 56 as putative miRNA genes. Using a cross-database mapping scheme targeting both miRBase [71-74] and the tammar genome assembly (Supplementary methods in Additional file 1), 11% of miRNAs in the tammar tissues analyzed were related to previously annotated miRNAs (Figure 4b). However, the majority of miRNA alignments in the genome did not overlap with previously identified miRNAs and are thus considered novel. Combining these datasets with the gene annotations, 147 target genes were conserved with other mammals. Of these, four were shared between mouse and tammar and twelve were shared between human and tammar, thus indicating that the tammar miRNA repository might provide new targets for study in these species. Moreover, there were nine novel target genes in the tammar genome, pointing to both tammar-specific miRNA regulation as well as potentially novel targets in human that were previously unknown. Small RNAs were also identified in the HOX clusters (see 'HOX gene patterning in the limb' section below).

piRNAs are predominantly found in ovaries and testes [69,75,76]. Global comparisons to RepBase and our *de novo* repeat database show that the overall composition of tammar piRNAs in testis is similar in terms of repeat element type (that is, SINEs, LINEs, and so on) to that observed for other species. In addition, there were ovary-specific piRNAs derived from *de novo* tammar repeats, which may contribute to the observed hybrid incompatibility observed in this group of marsupial mammals [60,77-79].

The first identification of crasiRNAs (35 to 42 nucleotides) found that they contain centromere repeat-derived sequences specific to the retroelement KERV (kangaroo endogenous retrovirus) [48,60]. Approximately 68% of repeat-associated crasiRNAs mapped within viral-derived repeats (such as KERV) [80], SINE, and LINE elements (J Lindsay, S Al Seesi, RJ O'Neill, unpublished results). Many of these elements mapped to centromeres using primed *in situ* labeling (PRINS), and mapped to scaffolds enriched for centromere-specific repeats and CENP-A-containing nucleosomes (as determined by ChIP-seq) [GEO:GSE30371, SRA:SRP007562], confirming that this pool consists of centromeric elements (Figure 4c). Closer examination of this sequence pool and the progenitor sequences within the genome uncovered a distinct motif specific to the crasiRNAs, which may indicate novel biogenesis (J Lindsay, S Al Seesi, and RJ O'Neill, unpublished results).

Immunity

The organization of the tammar MHC is vastly different from that of other mammals [81,82]. Rather than forming a single cluster, MHC genes are found on every chromosome, except the sex chromosomes (Figure 2). The MHC

itself is found on chromosome 2q and contains 132 genes spanning 4 Mb [51]. This region was sequenced using a BAC-based Sanger sequencing strategy as it did not assemble well from the low-coverage sequencing. An expansion of MHC class II genes is accompanied by duplication of antigen-processing genes. The seven classical MHC class I genes are all found outside the core MHC region. KERVs may have contributed to this re-organization (Figure 2).

The tammar wallaby has two thymuses: a thoracic thymus (typically found in all mammals) and a dominant cervical thymus. Based on digital gene expression profiles both thymuses appear functionally equivalent and drive T-cell development [83]. Transcriptomic sequencing also shows that both thymuses express genes that mediate distinct phases of T-cell differentiation, including the initial commitment of blood stem cells to the T lineage (for example, *IL-7R*, *NOTCH1*, *GATA3*, *SPI1*, *IKZF1*), the generation of T-cell receptor diversity and development of the thymic environment (for example, *TRAF6*, *TP63* and *LTBR*). In the thymus transcriptomes, we identified and annotated 34 cytokines and their receptors (10 chemokines, 22 interleukins and 2 interferons), 22 natural killer cell receptors (20 leukocyte receptor complex (LRC) genes and 2 natural killer complex (NKC) genes), 3 antimicrobial peptides (2 beta-defensins and 1 cathelicidin), post-switch immunoglobulin isotypes *IgA* and *IgG* and *CD4* and *CD8* T-cell markers.


At birth, the altricial pouch young is exposed to a variety of different bacterial species in the pouch. These include *Acinetobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Corynebacteria* spp. [84]. These bacteria remain in the pouch despite the female tammar extensively cleaning the pouch by licking before birth. To survive in this pathogen-laden environment, the immunologically naive neonate is reliant on immune factors, which are transmitted from the mother through the milk. The sequencing of the genome uncovered a family of cathelicidin genes, which are expressed in the mammary gland during lactation and encode powerful antimicrobial peptides. These peptides may provide unique opportunities to develop novel therapeutics against emerging multidrug-resistant superbugs.

Due to the rapid evolution of immune genes, a high proportion of tammar immune genes were not annotated using automated annotation pipelines. For this reason an Immunome Database for Marsupials and Monotremes has been established [85]. This database contains over 5,000 marsupial and monotreme immune sequences from a variety of EST projects, as well as expert-curated gene predictions. Marsupial chemokine, interleukin, natural killer cell receptor, surface receptor and antimicrobial peptide gene sequences are also available. Genomic evidence confirms that the marsupial immune system is on par with the eutherian immune system in terms of complexity.

Sex chromosomes

Marsupial sex chromosomes have been shown to represent the ancestral sex chromosomes, to which an autosomal region was fused early in the eutherian radiation. Thus, the basic marsupial X shares homology with the long arm and pericentric region of the human X [35,36]. The tammar Y shares only five genes with the degraded eutherian Y [86] (Figure 5).

Marsupial sex chromosomes lack the autosomal addition and so are expected to be smaller than those of eutherian mammals. The opossum X is about 97 Mb (Table S12 in Additional file 1). The larger size of the tammar X (150 Mb) reflects the addition of a heterochromatic arm containing satellite repeats and the nucleolus-organizing region [59]. Of the 451 protein coding genes on the opossum X chromosome, 302 have orthologues in the tammar



Ensembl gene build. Gene mapping indicates that the gene order within the tammar X is scrambled with respect to both the opossum and human X chromosomes [37]. This scrambling of the marsupial X contrasts to the eutherian X chromosome, which is almost identical in gene content and order between even the most distantly related taxa [87,88]. The rigid conservation of the eutherian X was hypothesized to be the result of strong purifying selection against rearrangements that might interrupt a chromosome-wide mechanism to effect X-chromosome inactivation. Consistent with this hypothesis, inactivation on the scrambled marsupial X is incomplete, locus-specific, and does not appear to be controlled by an inactivation center [54,56].

In many marsupial species the Y chromosome is a minute element of about 12 Mb. The tammar Y is larger, as the result of the addition to the X and Y in the early macropodid radiation of a heterochromatic long arm that contained the nucleolar organizing region (NOR) and NOR-associated repeats [59]. Degradation of the Y removed active rDNA genes but left repetitive sequences with homology to the NOR-bearing short arm of the X [89,90]. The tammar Y chromosome bears at least ten genes, which are all located on the tiny short arm of the Y (reviewed in [91]) (V Murtagh, N Sankovic, ML Delbridge, Y Kuroki, JJ Boore, A Toyoda, KS Jordan, AJ Pask, MB Renfree, A Fujiyama, JAM Graves and PD Waters, unpublished results). All ten have orthologues on the Y of a distantly related Australian dasyurid marsupial, the Tasmanian devil, implying that the marsupial Y chromosome is conserved (Figure 5). It has degraded more slowly than the eutherian Y, which retains only four (human) or five (other mammals) genes from the ancient XY pair [91,92].

Like most genes on the human Y, all of these tammar Y genes have an X partner, from which they clearly diverged. Some tammar Y genes are expressed exclusively in the testis (for example, the marsupial-specific *ATRY* [93]), but most have widespread expression. Phylogenetic analysis of the X and Y copies of these ten tammar XY genes indicate that marsupial Y genes have a complex evolutionary history.

X chromosome inactivation

Epigenetic silencing of one X chromosome occurs in female mammals as a means of dosage compensation between XX females and XY males. Classic work on kangaroos established that X inactivation occurs in marsupials, but is paternal, incomplete and tissue-specific [94] and apparently occurs in the absence of the *XIST* controlling element [95,96]. Using tammar sequence to isolate X-borne genes and study their expression at the level of individual nuclei using RNA *in situ* hybridization, it has been found that different genes have a characteristic

frequency of expression from one or both loci, suggesting that it is the probability of expression rather than the rate of transcription that is controlled [54]. The absence of clustering of high- or low-expressing genes has not so far provided evidence for an inactivation center. It appears that X inactivation in marsupials, like eutherians, uses a repressive histone-mediated gene silencing, and although inactive marks are not identical [55,56], they do have H3K27 trimethylation and targeting to the perinucleolar compartment [97].

Reproductive genes

Marsupials differ from eutherian mammals primarily in their unique mode of reproduction. In contrast to mice and humans, in which sexual differentiation occurs *in utero*, the altricial 440 mg tammar neonate has indifferent gonads on the day of birth and does not undergo gonadal sex determination until approximately 2 days later (testis) and 8 days later (ovary) [22]. This postnatal differentiation of the gonads therefore provides an unparalleled model for studying sex determination and sexual differentiation and enables experimental manipulation not possible in eutherian species. We have shown that almost all genes critical for testis and ovarian development are highly conserved between the tammar, mouse and human at the molecular level [98,99], but their precise role in gonadogenesis may differ between the mammalian groups.

Gonadal differentiation genes

ATRX is an ultra-conserved, X-linked gene essential for normal testis development in humans. Marsupials are unique among the mammals in that they have orthologues of this gene on both their X and Y chromosomes (*ATRX* and *ATRY*, respectively). Almost all X-linked genes once shared a partner on the Y, but the vast majority of these have been lost during its progressive degeneration. The Y-linked *ATRX* orthologue was lost in the eutherian lineage before their radiation, but was retained in the marsupial lineage. *ATRY* shows functional specialization, and is exclusively expressed in the developing and adult testis of the tammar, while tammar *ATRX* is broadly expressed, but is absent in the developing testis, unlike eutherians [93]. The distribution of *ATRX* mRNA and protein in the developing gonads is ultra-conserved between the tammar and the mouse [100], and is found within the germ cells and somatic cells. *ATRX* therefore appears to have a critical and conserved role in normal development of the testis and ovary that has remained unchanged for up to 148 million years of mammalian evolution [100].

Desert hedgehog (DHH) is another essential signaling molecule required for normal testicular patterning in mice and humans. Members of the hedgehog family of secreted proteins act as intercellular transducers that

control tissue patterning across the entire embryo. Like other hedgehog proteins, DHH signals through the PTCH receptors 1 and 2 [101]. *DHH*, *PTCH1* and *PTCH2* in the tammar are highly conserved with their eutherian orthologues. However, unlike in eutherian mammals, *DHH* expression is not restricted to the testes during tammar development, but is also detected in the developing ovary (WA O'Hara, WJ Azar, RR Behringer, MB Renfree, and AJ Pask, unpublished results). Furthermore, hedgehog-signaling inhibitors disrupt both testicular and ovarian differentiation [101]. Together, these data confirm a highly conserved role for DHH in the formation of both the male and female tammar gonad.

Most interestingly, *DHH* is clearly a mammal-specific gonadal development gene. Hedgehog orthologues that are described as DHH in non-mammalian vertebrates actually form a distinct lineage no more closely related to mammalian DHH than they are to Sonic hedgehog (SHH) or Indian hedgehog (IHH) orthologues (Figure 6). Thus, *DHH* is the only mammal-specific gonadal development gene other than *SRY* so far discovered. In the tammar *PTCH2* a novel exon (exon 21a) was detected that is not annotated in any eutherian *PTCH2* proteins (WA O'Hara, WJ Azar, RR Behringer, MB Renfree, and AJ Pask, unpublished results). These analyses suggest that DHH evolved recently in vertebrates, yet acquired a critical role in mammalian gonadal development before the eutherian-marsupial divergence. However, the role of DHH in gonadogenesis has become more specialized to the testis in the eutherian lineage.

Germ cell genes

The differentiation of the somatic cell lineages in the ovary and testis, mediated by the pathways described above, is critical for the subsequent development of the germ cells. Germ cells carry the genetic information from one generation to the next, making them arguably the most important cell lineage in the body. Comparative analyses of the genes essential for mouse and human germ cell development using the tammar genome presented an unexpected paradox. It was presumed that the genes mediating germ cell specification and development in mammals would be highly conserved because this cell lineage is critical for species' survival. However, our analyses indicate that many genes are rapidly evolving and likely to be controlled by specific elements in each mammalian lineage.

Orthologues of genes critical for the specification and development of eutherian germ cells, including *BMP4*, *PRDM1* and *PRDM14*, were identified in the tammar genome. The tammar genome also contains transcripts for *DDX4* (*VASA*) [102]. One transcript encodes a full length protein and the other has exon 4 spliced out. *In silico* analysis and 3' RACE showed that tammar *DDX4* also utilizes more than one polyA signal [102]. The significance of

these differentially spliced and alternatively polyadenylated *DDX4* transcripts is unknown but may represent alternative mechanisms for controlling *DDX4* expression; the 3' untranslated region of *DDX4* in many species controls the localization, stabilization and translation of the gene [103]. Some genes expressed in murine primordial germ cells (PGCs) but not essential for their development lack marsupial orthologues. *Stella* is expressed in PGCs and in pluripotent cells but mice lacking *Stella* do not have any defects in germ cell specification or development [104]. In humans, *STELLA* is located on chromosome 12p13, a region known for structural chromosomal changes that are commonly associated with germ cell tumor formation. This region contains a cluster of genes, including *NANOG* and *GDF3* [105], that are expressed in pluripotent cells. The syntenic region in the tammar and opossum contains *NANOG* and *GDF3* but *STELLA* is absent, suggesting it evolved only recently in the eutherian lineage. Similarly, interferon inducible transmembrane protein (Ifitm)3 is produced in cells competent to form PGCs in mice [106], and both Ifitm3 and Ifitm1 are thought to mediate migration of PGCs from the posterior mesoderm into the endoderm [107]. Ifitm proteins 1 and 3 are expressed in early murine PGCs [106,108] but deletion of the locus containing Ifitm1 and Ifitm3 has no apparent effect on germ cell specification or migration [109]. The tammar genome contains several IFITM orthologues, some expressed in the early embryo, as in the mouse. The low sequence conservation between marsupial and eutherian IFITM orthologues suggests that the IFITMs may not be critical for mammalian germ cell development.

Spermatogenesis genes

The genes regulating the later differentiation of the germ cells into mature oocytes and spermatocytes, especially those controlling spermatogenesis, are much more conserved between marsupials and eutherians than the signals that trigger their initial development. In eutherian mammals, there are a disproportionately high number of genes involved in spermatogenesis located on the X chromosome [110]. From the genome analyses in the tammar, it is clear that some of these genes were originally autosomal, and others appear to be on the ancestral X of the therian ancestor.

AKAP4, a scaffold protein essential for fibrous sheath assembly during spermatogenesis, is X-linked in the tammar as it is in eutherian mammals and maintains a highly conserved role in spermatogenesis [111]. In contrast, the *Kallman syndrome gene 1* (*KALI*) is X-linked in eutherians but autosomal in the tammar, located on chromosome 5p in a block of genes transposed to the X chromosome in an ancestral eutherian [52]. Despite its different chromosomal location, *KALI* is highly conserved and expressed in neuronal tissues as well as in




Figure 6 Desert hedgehog phylogeny. A phylogenetic tree showing the relationship of the SHH, IHH, DHH, and fish desert-like genes. Each group is composed of representatives from mammalian and non-mammalian species. The mammalian DHH group (green) clusters tightly and forms a separate lineage to the fish DHH-like genes (red), which are no more closely related to DHH than they are to vertebrate IHH (yellow) and SHH (blue). Hs, human; Tt, dolphin; Xt, *Xenopus*; Gag, chicken; Mum, mouse; Me, tammar.

the developing and adult gonads throughout spermatogenesis. Thus *KALI* probably evolved its role in mammalian gametogenesis before its relocation to the eutherian X [52]. Another eutherian X-linked gene, *TGIFLX* is absent from the tammar genome, but its progenitor, *TGIF2*, is present and appears to function in

gametogenesis. Once again, this suggests that the gene had a role in spermatogenesis before its retrotransposition to the eutherian X [53]. These genomic and functional analyses not only shed light on the control of mammalian spermatogenesis, but also on genome evolution. These data support the theory that the X

chromosome has selectively recruited and maintained spermatogenesis genes during eutherian evolution.

Developmental genes

The segregation of the first cell lineages and specification of embryonic and extra-embryonic cell lineages have been studied extensively in the mouse. However, the mouse has a highly specialized embryogenesis, quite different from that of other mammals. Unlike a typical eutherian blastocyst with its inner cell mass, the tammar conceptus forms a unilaminar blastocyst of approximately 100 cells that lacks a readily defined pluriblast in the form of an inner cell mass. It can undergo a prolonged period of diapause. Thus, these differences highlight the developmental plasticity of mammalian embryos and genome analysis may provide comparative data that clarify the underlying control mechanisms of early mammalian development.

Pluripotency genes

The tammar embryo develops when the embryonic disc forms on the blastocyst surface. The difference in embryo specification raises many interesting questions about early marsupial and mammalian development in general. After the differentiation of the embryonic area, the tammar embryo proper develops in a planar fashion on the surface of the embryonic vesicle. This makes the study of early embryonic events and morphogenesis easier to observe and manipulate than in the complicated egg cylinder formed in the mouse.

It is still unknown how the cells are specified in the unilaminar blastocyst that will go on to form the embryo in the tammar, but in the polyovular dasyurid marsupials, and also in the opossum, there appears to be cellular polarity in cleavage stages (reviewed in [112]). Whether the signals that regulate specification and induction are the same or different from those that regulate the specification of the eutherian mammal inner cell mass is under investigation. However, *POUSF1* expression is limited to pluripotent cell types in the tammar as in eutherians. Marsupials additionally have a *POU2* orthologue that is similarly expressed in pluripotent tissues but is also expressed in a broad range of adult tissues, suggesting that unlike *POUSF1*, the role of *POU2* may function in maintaining multipotency in adult stem cells [113]. In the tammar, opossum and platypus genomes, but not in eutherian genomes, *POU2* is an ancient vertebrate paralogue of *POUSF1* [113,114]. Tammar wallaby *POU2* is co-expressed in embryonic pluripotent tissues with *POUSF1* but is also expressed in a broad range of adult tissues, suggesting it may also additionally function in maintaining multipotency in adult marsupial stem cells [113].


Orthologues of the vast majority of early developmental genes characterized in the mouse were identified in

the tammar genome, including those encoding key transcription factors, such as *POUSF1*, *SOX2*, *NANOG*, *CDX2*, *EOMES*, *GATA4*, *GATA6* and *BRACHYURY*. Genes encoding components of key signaling pathways in early development are largely conserved between tammar and mouse. One exception is *TDGF1* (also called *CRIPTO*), which is present in eutherians but absent from the genome in tammar (as well as in those of opossum, platypus and non-mammalian vertebrates). *TDGF1* encodes a co-receptor of NODAL signaling, which has a central role in early germ layer formation and axial specification in the mouse and in self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells [115]. Thus, *TDGF1* is eutherian-specific, while the related paralogue *CFC1* (also called *CRYPTIC*) is widely conserved in all vertebrates. This suggests the evolution of partly divergent roles for NODAL signaling in early embryonic patterning among mammals.

Embryonic patterning

Once the early embryo is formed, the body plan must be established. The HOX genes are essential regulators of embryonic patterning in all animals, mediating the specification of structures along the anterior-posterior axis. In the tammar, as in all vertebrates, the HOX genes are arranged in four clusters. The clusters are low in repetitive elements compared to the rest of the genome (H Yu, Z-P Feng, RJ O'Neill, Y Hu, AJ Pask, D Carone, J Lindsay, G Shaw, AT Papenfuss, and MB Renfree, unpublished results). The tammar HOX clusters have a high degree of both conservation and innovation in the protein-coding and non-coding functional elements relative to eutherian mammals (Figure 7). Intronic regions are mostly divergent, but have isolated regions of high similarity corresponding to important enhancer elements. In eutherians, the clusters contain conserved intronic non-coding RNAs that are likely to participate in gene regulation [116]. Using the tammar genome, a new tetrapod miRNA was identified by conservation analysis and confirmed by RT-PCR to be expressed in fibroblasts (H Yu, Z-P Feng, RJ O'Neill, Y Hu, AJ Pask, D Carone, J Lindsay, G Shaw, AT Papenfuss, and MB Renfree, unpublished results). In addition, two novel miRNAs were characterized that are not conserved in eutherian mammals (Figure 7).

The HOX clusters also contain a number of genes that are transcribed into long non-coding RNAs [117,118]. Three long non-coding RNAs previously identified in the mouse were identified in the tammar HOX gene clusters. *HOX* antisense intergenic RNA myeloid 1 (*HOTAIRM1*), located between *HOXA1* and *HOXA2*, is conserved in mammals and shows myeloid-specific expression [119]. Similarly, *HOXA11* antisense (*HOXA11AS*), located between *HOXA13* and *HOXA11*, is only conserved in mammals and is expressed during the human menstrual



cycle [120]. Interestingly, antisense intergenic RNA (*HOTAIR*), located between *HOXC12* and *HOXC11*, was conserved between human, mouse and tammar only in exons 3 and 6 (Figure 7). *HOTAIR* is an important trans-regulator that controls *HOXD* but not *HOXC* gene expression during limb development [116,121] and participates in reprogramming chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis [122]. The expression of *HOTAIR* was confirmed by RT-PCR in the tammar, suggesting an important and conserved regulatory role for this gene. The functional consequences of the marsupial-specific miRNAs and variation in the long non-coding RNAs are yet to be determined, but indicate mammalian lineage-specific regulation of HOX genes that could be responsible for species phenotypic differences.

HOX gene patterning in the limb

Macropodid marsupials have very specialized limbs. The forelimb is developed at birth to allow the neonate to climb to the pouch to locate and attach to one of the four available teats [123] but the hind limb, which eventually becomes the dominant feature of this hopping family, is barely formed at birth. Despite its embryonic nature, it is already possible to see the syndactylus arrangement of digits in which digits 2 and 3 are fused, digit 4 is enlarged and digit 5 is reduced. HOX genes play an important role in this arrangement. In particular, *HOXA13* and *HOXD13* play essential roles in digit development (reviewed in [119]). *HOXA13* and *HOXD13* in the developing tammar limb have both a conserved and divergent expression pattern (KY Chew, H Yu, AJ Pask, G Shaw, and MB Renfree, unpublished results). Tammar *HOXA13* has a transient expression compared to the chicken and mouse, while tammar *HOXD13* is expressed in distal limb elements, as in other vertebrate species [124,125]. Early differences in the expression pattern were observed in the specialized tammar hindlimb compared to other species. These subtle differences could direct the morphological specialization

of the tammar hindlimb to allow for the hopping mode of locomotion.

Pre-natal growth and placental genes

Mammals require genes that regulate growth both pre- and postnatally. Genes of the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor-I (GH-IGF-I) axis are highly conserved in marsupials owing to their important function in pre- and postnatal growth. Sequencing and expression analysis of the GH receptor gene shows that exon 3, which is associated with variable growth and IGF-1 physiology in humans, is specific to the eutherian lineage and has undergone more rapid evolution in species with placental variants of GH and prolactin, indicating a possible fetal-specific role for the GH receptor in these species [126].

Prenatally, the placenta is a critical regulator of fetal growth. Genes involved in growth regulation in eutherian mammals (GH, GH receptor, prolactin, luteinizing hormone, IGF-1, IGF-2, insulin and their receptors) are all highly conserved in the tammar and all are expressed in the yolk sac placenta of the tammar wallaby, suggesting a conserved role for these hormones and growth factors during pregnancy in therian mammals [127]. GH and its receptor appear to be under tight regulation in the placenta, with expression increasing dramatically after close attachment of the placenta to the endometrium. Placental expression of both *GH* and *GHR* peaks at the end of pregnancy during the most rapid phase of fetal growth. These data indicate that GH and other pituitary hormones and growth factors are as essential for growth and development of the placenta in the tammar as in eutherian mammals.

Postnatally, maturation of GH-regulated growth in marsupials occurs during late lactation at a developmental stage equivalent to that of birth in precocial eutherian mammals (B Menzies, G Shaw, T Fletcher, AJ Pask, and MB Renfree, unpublished results) and it appears that this process is not associated with birth in mammals but

instead with relative maturation of the young. This emphasizes the importance of nutrition in controlling early development in all mammals as they transition to independence. The neonatal tammar expresses ghrelin, a peptide that stimulates both hunger and GH release, in the stomach, ensuring that it can feed from a relatively early developmental stage [128].

Genomic imprinting

Genomic imprinting is a widespread epigenetic phenomenon characterized by differential expression of alleles, depending on their parent of origin. Imprinted genes in eutherian mammals regulate many aspects of early growth and development, especially those occurring in the placenta. Most, but not all, genes that are imprinted in mouse and human have orthologues in the tammar genome; an exception is the Prader-Willi-Angelman syndrome region containing *SNRPN* and *UBE3A*, which does not exist in tammar, nor in monotremes, so was evidently recently constructed in eutherians by fusion and retrotransposition [129]. Some tammar orthologues of genes that are imprinted in eutherians are not imprinted [130,131]. So far the orthologues of 13 eutherian imprinted genes examined have a conserved expression in the marsupial placenta, but only 6 of these are imprinted in marsupials [132,133].

Marsupial orthologues of the classically imprinted IGF-2 receptor (*IGF2R*), insulin (*INS*) or paternally expressed gene 1/mesoderm specific transcript (*PEG1/MEST*) also show parent-of-origin expression in marsupials. However, some genes that are imprinted in eutherians, such as *Phlda2* in the *KCNQ1* domain, a negative regulator of placental growth, are not imprinted in the tammar [134]. This demonstrates that acquisition of genomic imprinting in the *KCNQ1* domain occurred specifically in the eutherian lineage after the divergence of marsupials, even though imprinting of the adjacent *H19-IGF2* domain [135] arose before the marsupial-eutherian split. A similar scenario applies to *DLK1*, *DIO3* and *RTL1 (PEG11)*, which are not imprinted in marsupials [130,136].

Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) are the most common signals controlling genomic imprinting in eutherian mammals. However, no DMRs were found near the tammar orthologues of the classically imprinted genes *IGF2R*, *INS* or *PEG1/MEST*, although these genes still showed parent of origin specific expression differences. Other marsupial imprinted genes (*H19*, *IGF2* and *PEG10*) do have DMRs, indicating that this mechanism of gene control evolved in the common therian ancestor at least 140 million years ago [133]. Using comparisons with the tammar genome, we have been able to reconstruct the emergence of an imprinted gene - *PEG10* [137]. *PEG10* is derived from a retrotransposon of the suchi-ichi family and was inserted after the prototherian-therian mammal

divergence. This demonstrates that retrotransposition can drive the evolution of an imprinted region with a DMR [137]. In contrast, another retrotransposed gene also of the suchi-ichi family, *SIRH12*, has been identified specifically in the tammar genome but is not seen in eutherians. It appears to be tammar-specific since it is absent from the opossum genome. Its imprint status has yet to be ascertained [138].

The insulator genes *CTCF* (CCCTC-binding factor) and its paralogue *BORIS* (brother of regulator of imprinted sites) have orthologues in the tammar genome, and as in mouse, *CTCF* is expressed ubiquitously and *BORIS* is expressed in gonads. The existence of both genes in the monotreme and reptile genomes but the ubiquitous expression of *BORIS* in these species suggests that this gene became gonad-specific in therian mammals, coincident with the evolution of imprinting [139].

Although all imprinted genes so far identified in the mouse are expressed in the placenta, the few mouse genes that have been knocked out (for example, *Grb10*, *Peg3*) that are also imprinted in the fetal brain have marked behavioral effects [140]. We now know that there are additional autosomal genes in the cortex and hypothalamus with sex-specific imprinting [141,142], so we can expect an increase in the identification of imprinted brain genes that influence behavior. Since a large proportion of known imprinted genes also have a role in postnatal growth and nutrient supply, and marsupials depend much more on lactation than most other mammals (see below), it is possible that genomic imprinting might function in the marsupial mammary gland as it does in the placenta. Transcription analysis has confirmed that two genes critical for the onset of lactation in the tammar, *IGF2* and *INS*, are imprinted in the tammar mammary gland throughout the long period of lactation (JM Stringer, S Suzuki, G Shaw, AJ Pask, and MB Renfree, unpublished observations).

Olfaction

Vomer nasal organ

Pheromone detection in vertebrates is mostly mediated by the vomeronasal organ (VNO). The VNO organ is well developed in the tammar [123]. Pheromone detection occurs via two large families of vomeronasal receptors (VNRs). VN1Rs are associated with the protein *Gia2* and VN2Rs with *Goα* using a signaling cascade dependent on transient receptor potential channel, subfamily C, member 2, encoded by the *TRPC2* gene. Previous characterizations of *TRPC2* in rodents led to confusion regarding its functionally relevant transcripts. Expression analysis and characterization of transcripts in the tammar have now shown that the locus consists of two distinct genes, one that is VNO-specific (*TRPC2* proper) and a previously unidentified copy that is ubiquitously expressed (*XNDR*) [143].

XNDR has homology with *XRCC1*, suggesting a role in DNA base excision repair due to homology with *XRCC1* [144]. *Gia2* and *Goa* have high sequence conservation and both are expressed in the tammar VNO and accessory olfactory bulb (NY Schneider, G Shaw, PT Fletcher, and MB Renfree, unpublished results). The projection pattern of the tammar *Gia2* and *Goa* expressing receptor cells differs from that of the goat (uniform type) and the mouse (segregated type) and so may represent a new intermediate type (Figure 8a), with *Goa* not being confined to the rostral or caudal part of the accessory olfactory bulb, respectively, but found throughout (for example, [145]). Immunostaining results further suggest that *Gia2* may follow the same pattern, but confirmation awaits the availability of a more specific antibody.

Olfactory receptor family genes

The marsupial genome has one of the largest mammalian olfactory receptor gene families, containing up to 1,500 olfactory receptor (OR) genes that apparently provide the tammar with a remarkably large range of odor detection in both the VNO and the main olfactory epithelium (A Mohammadi, H Patel, ML Delbridge, and JAM Graves, unpublished results) (Figure 8b). Certainly the neonate uses odor to locate the teat within the pouch [146]. There are 286 OR gene families in the tammar genome, with duplications especially in class I OR families OR8, -11, -13 and -51. However, the class II family OR14 has only one-third of the number found in the platypus genome, and eutherians have lost them altogether [147] (A Mohammadi, H Patel, ML Delbridge, and JAM Graves, unpublished results; Figure 8b). We found that class I OR families, particularly OR8, -11, -13 and -51, have undergone expansion in the tammar lineage, whereas the class II family OR14 has only one-third of the number found in the platypus genome and eutherians have lost them altogether [147].

The tammar and opossum have remarkably similar OR gene repertoires despite the significant variation in OR genes found in eutherian species that diverged about the same time. The OR genes are observed in gene clusters across all chromosomes, except chromosome 6 (Figure 2). The tammar Y chromosome has not yet been fully characterized but OR genes are not found on the Y of tammar or other mammals. They are found in the same conserved syntenic blocks as in the human (Figure 2) and opossum (data not shown), except clusters 9, 11 and 24, which have moved to 4q, and part of cluster 23, which is on 2q.

Lactation

Lactation is a defining character of mammals [148,149]. This is especially true of marsupials that give birth to highly altricial young that depend upon milk for growth

and development during a relatively long lactation period. The marsupial mother dramatically alters milk production and composition throughout lactation, specifically for each stage of development of the pouch young [26,150,151]. They are even able to produce milk of differing compositions from adjacent mammary glands, a phenomenon known as concurrent asynchronous lactation (reviewed in [152]).

Lactation in the tammar extends for approximately 300 days and is divided into 3 phases based upon the sucking pattern of the young (phase 1 (late pregnancy-birth), lactogenesis; phase 2A (day 0 to 100), permanently attached to the teat; phase 2B (day 100 to 200), intermittently sucking and confined to the pouch; phase 3 (day 200 to 300), in and out of the pouch), accompanied by changes in milk composition and mammary gland gene expression [26]. The tammar mammary gland transcriptome consists of two groups of genes [63]. One group is induced at parturition and expressed throughout lactation, as in eutherians. These genes include the milk protein genes encoding α -, β -, and κ -casein (*CSN1*, *CSN2* and *CSN3*) and the α -lactalbumin (*LALBA*) and β -lactoglobulin (*LGB*) whey protein genes. However, the tammar genome lacks additional copies of α - or β -like caseins that are present in monotremes and eutherians (Figure S3 in Additional file 4).

The second group of mammary genes is expressed only during specific phases of lactation. This group includes marsupial-specific milk protein genes such as the late lactation proteins (*LLPA* and *LLPB*) as well as others such as whey acidic protein (*WAP*) [153] that are also found in milk of many eutherians [154] but lacking in humans, goat and ewe [155]. Evidence is now emerging that changes in composition of the major milk proteins and many bioactives [156,157] contribute to a more central role of milk in regulating development and function of the mammary gland [158] to provide protection from bacterial infection in the gut of the young and the mammary gland [159] (A Watt and KR Nicholas, unpublished results) and to deliver specific signals to the young that regulate growth and development of specific tissues such as the gut [160]. There is also a novel putative non-coding RNA (*PTNC-1*) expressed in the mammary gland throughout lactation. *PTNC-1* is derived from a region of the genome that is highly conserved in mammals, suggesting it may have an important functional role [63]. Tammar *ELP* (early lactation protein), originally thought to be marsupial-specific (phase 2A) [63], has a eutherian orthologue, colostrum trypsin inhibitor (*CTI*), which is present in some eutherians but is reduced to a pseudogene in others (EA Pharo, AA De Leo, MB Renfree, and KR Nicholas, unpublished results). The *ELP/CTI* gene is flanked by single-copy genes that map to orthologous regions of the genome - strong evidence that *ELP/CTI* evolved from the same ancestral





Figure 8 Olfaction in the tammar. (a) The olfactory apparatus of the tammar showing the pattern of vomeronasal receptor projections to the accessory olfactory bulb with the VN2 receptor cells (expressing $Go\alpha$) projecting to all parts of the vomeronasal nerve layer (which may also be the case for the VN1 receptor cells (expressing $Gi\alpha 2$). This projection pattern may reflect an intermediate type to the 'segregated type' and the 'uniform type' so far described. AOB, accessory olfactory bulb; GL, glomerular layer; GRL, granule cell layer; MOB, main olfactory bulb; MTL, mitral tufted cell layer; VNL, vomeronasal nerve layer; VNO, vomeronasal organ; VN1R and VN2R, vomeronasal receptors 1 and 2. **(b)** Olfactory receptor (OR) gene family in the tammar. The families of the OR gene repertoire. Neighbor joining tree of 456 full-length functional OR genes was rooted with opossum adrenergic β receptor. Only a few OR gene families (14, 51 and 52) have members that are most closely related to each other, whilst most other families have a high degree of relatedness to other families.

gene. *ELP/CTI* has not yet been detected in monotremes. Other marsupial-specific milk protein genes identified include trichosurin and the putative tammar milk proteins *PTMP-1* and *PTMP-2* [63]. Remarkably, the tammar *PTMP-1* gene has been identified in the tammar genome sequence, but does not seem to occur in the genome sequence of the short-tail grey opossum. Thus, *PTMP-1* may be macropodid-specific.

Conclusions

The tammar, a small kangaroo species, is the model Australian marsupial that has played a particularly important role in the study of reproduction, development, immunity and the evolution of the mammalian sex chromosomes. Here, we have presented its genome sequence and associated resources, including transcriptome sequence data from a range of tissues. Together these data have provided new insights into a host of important gene families. We identified novel tammar-specific, as well as conserved but previously undiscovered, miRNAs that regulate the *HOX* genes, a novel SINE class that is rRNA-derived and a novel class of small RNAs. We show that there has been expansion of several gene families, especially of the MHC and OR genes, that there are features that are of specific importance to marsupials, such as the innovation of genes in lactation and the presence of genomic imprinting in the mammary gland. However, there is high conservation in testicular and ovarian genes, one of which, *DHH*, is only the second mammal-specific gonadal development gene so far identified. The Y chromosome is minute but relatively gene rich and conserved in marsupials. The X chromosome reflects the ancestral mammalian X and perhaps an ancestral stochastic dosage compensation that operates without an X chromosome inactivation center. These initial tammar genome analyses have already provided many unique insights into the evolution of the mammalian genome and highlight the importance of this emerging model system for understanding mammalian biology.

Materials and methods

Materials and methods are briefly described in the body of the paper and extensively in the supplementary methods (Additional file 1).

Data availability

Public database accessions are provided for all raw datasets where they are first mentioned in the text. The latest version of the genome assembly is available in NCBI under the GenBank accession ABQO000000000; Meug_1.1 has accession ABQO010000000; Meug_2.0 has accession ABQO020000000. All versions of the genome assembly are also accessible via the web [161].

Additional material

Additional file 1: Supplementary material. Supplementary materials and methods, results and tables [39,42,46,47,58,74,164-192].

Additional file 2: Figure S1 - comparison of gene sizes in *Monodelphis domestica* and *Macropus eugenii*. One-to-one opossum orthologues of tammar genes located more than 1 kb from the end of a scaffold were downloaded from Ensembl v62. The genomic lengths of the genes are plotted as a scatter plot on the \log_2 scale. A 1:1 linear relationship between gene sizes is present for genes less than the average scaffold size, suggesting that no major change in genome size has occurred in genic regions. A trend towards larger genes in opossum with \log_2 length > 15 is driven primarily by incompleteness of tammar genes when the gene size is larger than the average scaffold size.

Additional file 3: Figure S2 - analysis of the alignment of transcriptomic reads from different tissues to the tammar genome. (a) Proportion of reads that align to unannotated regions, annotated genes, within 2 kb upstream or downstream of a gene, or fail to align to the tammar genome. (b) Proportion of mapped reads that align to unannotated regions, annotated genes, or within 2 kb upstream or downstream of a gene in the tammar genome.

Additional file 4: Figure S3 - Comparative analysis of the mammalian casein locus showing the expansion of the casein locus in mammals. Comparison of the casein locus organization in the platypus, tammar, opossum, cattle, mouse and human genomes. Drawn to scale and aligned on the β -casein gene. Genes are represented by a box with a tail arrow pointing in the direction of gene transcription. Gene models for confirmed genes were generated from mammary gland EST data (platypus and tammar) or retrieved from Ensembl (others) when available. The tammar locus is not fully resolved and sequence scaffolds (indicated by black bars and scaffold numbers) have been aligned with the opossum sequence. Gaps in the tammar genome mainly fall in regions containing a repeated transposon type I in the opossum (black arrows), probably compounding the assembly of the tammar genome. Blank boxes represent putative genes based on similarity, grey boxes represent genes with observed expression. Note the close proximity of α - (*CSN1*, csna) and β - (*CSN2*, csnb) casein genes in reverse orientation on the left and the expansion of the region between β - and kappa- (*CSN3*, csnk) casein on the right. Except for β -casein, all genes are transcribed from left to right. In monotremes, a recent duplication of *CSN2* has led to *CSN2b*, whereas in eutherians, an ancient duplication produced *CSN1S2*, which has been duplicated in some species to produce *CSN1S2b*, now a pseudogene in human but not in mouse. In the marsupial locus, there is no casein duplication and the spacing region contains several copies of an invading repetitive element (black arrows), suggesting active rearrangement of this region in the ancient marsupial lineage, probably resulting in the deletion of a putative ancient casein duplicate in the area.

Abbreviations

BAC: bacterial artificial chromosome; BCM-HGSC: Baylor College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center; bp: base pair; crasiRNA: centromere repeat-associated short interacting RNA; DHH: Desert hedgehog; DMR: differentially methylated region; EST: expressed sequence tag; GH: growth hormone; IFITM: interferon inducible transmembrane protein; IGF: insulin-like growth factor; KERV: kangaroo endogenous retrovirus; LINE: long interspersed nuclear element; LTR: long terminal repeat; MHC: major histocompatibility complex; miRNA: microRNA; NOR: nucleolar organizing region; OR: olfactory receptor; PGC: primordial germ cell; piRNA: Piwi-interacting RNA; SINE: short interspersed nuclear element; VNO: vomeronasal organ; VNR: vomeronasal receptor; WGS: whole-genome shotgun.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the State Government of Victoria, the National Human Genome Research Institute of the National Institutes of Health (grant number U54-HG003273), the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF), the Jack Brockhoff Foundation, Applied Biosystems, the Australian Research

Council Centre of Excellence in Kangaroo Genomics, the National Science Foundation and the Center for Applied Genetics and Technology at University of Connecticut (UConn). This work was supported in part by KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research) on Priority Areas 'Comparative Genomics' from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and by the Director's Special Grant from RIKEN-GSC. The Baylor College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center (HGSC) acknowledges the following production staff of the HGSC: Jennifer Hume, John Lopez, Kashif Hirani, Lingling Pu, Marvin D Dao, Mimi N Chandrabose, Ngoc B Nguyen, Ramatu A Gabisi, Rita A Wright, Sandra Hines, Yih-Shin Liu, Ugonna Anosike, Tony Attaway, Dilrukshi Bandaranaike, Ashton Bell, Blake Beltran, Carla Bickham, Temika Caleb, Kelvin Carter, Joseph Chacko, Alejandra Chavez, Hau-Seng Chu, Raynard Cockrell, Mary Louise Davila, Latarsha Davy-Carroll, Shawn Denson, Victor Ebong, Veronica Espinosa, Sonia Fernandez, Pushpa Fernando, Nicole Flagg, Jason Ganer, Ricardo Garcia III, Toni Garner, Tiffany Garrett, Brandy Harbes, Ebere Hawkins, Barbara Hollins, Bennie Johnson, Johann Kalu, Haika Kisamo, Michael Lago, Liza Lago, Chuan-Yar Lai, Thanh-Kim Le, Fitzherbert Legall III, Semethia Lemon, Renita Madu, Kevin Malloy, Evangelina Martinez, Christian Mercado, Iracema Mercado, Mala Munidas, Phong Nguyen, Ogechi Nwaokemeleh, Melissa Obregon, Chibueze Onwere, Andrea Parra, Agapito Perez, Yolanda Perez, Christopher Pham, Eltrick Primus, Maria Puazo, Juana Quiroz, Eric Rachlin, Marcos Ruiz, Brian Schneider, Denard Simmons, Ida Sisson, Rosenie Thelus, Nicole Thomas, Rachel Thorn, Reshaunda Thornton, Zulma Trejos, Kamran Usmani, Davian Walker, Keqing Wang, Suzhen Wang, Courtney White, Aneisa Williams and Jerrell Woodworth.

Author details

¹The Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Kangaroo Genomics, Australia. ²Department of Zoology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ³Bioinformatics Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia. ⁴Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ⁵Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia. ⁶Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Center for Applied Genetics and Technology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA. ⁷Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. ⁸Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Madingley Rd, Cambridge, CB3 0ES, UK. ⁹Institute for Technology Research and Innovation, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, 3214, Australia. ¹⁰RIKEN Institute, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan. ¹¹School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia. ¹²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ¹³Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke-Str. 17, Berlin 10315, Germany. ¹⁴Laboratory of Developmental Genetics and Imprinting, The Babraham Institute, Cambridge, CB22 3AT, UK. ¹⁵Department of Molecular Genetics, German Institute of Human Nutrition, Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Arthur-Scheunert-Allee 114-116, 14558 Nuthetal, Germany. ¹⁶Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia. ¹⁷Biosciences Research Division, Department of Primary Industries, Victoria, 1 Park Drive, Bundoora 3083, Australia. ¹⁸European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SD, UK. ¹⁹Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SD, UK. ²⁰Department of Cell Biology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655, USA. ²¹Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8560, Japan. ²²National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540, Japan. ²³Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA. ²⁴Human Genome Sequencing Center, Department of Molecular and Human Genetics Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA. ²⁵Australian Genome Research Facility, Melbourne, Victoria, 3052 and the University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia. ²⁶Westmead Institute for Cancer Research, University of Sydney, Westmead, New South Wales 2145, Australia. ²⁷National Institute of Informatics, 2-1-2 Hitotsubashi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8430, Japan. ²⁸Department of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.

Authors' contributions

All authors were members of the tammar wallaby genome sequencing consortium. Authors contributed to sequencing, assembly, analysis, experiments or writing as follows (team leaders are in bold). Joint lead authors: **MBR, ATP**. Principal investigators: JAMG, SMF, RAG, DWC, MBR, TPS, AF. Tammar genome size: **AJP, WR**, RJO'N, KCW, MFS. Physical and linkage mapping: **JED**, CW, FWN, KRZ, JAMG. Highly divergent or tammar-specific transcripts: **AJP**, AF, TH, YK, HY, MBR. Transcriptome: **ATP, AF**, AJP, MBR, YK, Z-PF, YSuz, SS, AT, YSak, SK, YN, ST. Genebuild: **SMJS**, SF. Sequence conservation and gene family expansion: **PF, ESWW**, KBI, JH. GC content: **WR, RJO'N**, JL. Analysis of Repeats and small RNAs: **RJO'N**, JL, DMC. Immunity: **KB**, ESWW, HVS, JED, MBR, KRS, CW, JW, BGC, ATP. Sex chromosomes: **PDW, JAMG**, JED. X chromosome inactivation: **JAMG, PDW**, JED, SAN. Reproductive genes: **AJP, MBR, GS**, DH, WO'H, YH. Developmental genes: **MBR, AJP**, GS, SRF, HY, K-YC, BRM, RJO'N, JL. Genomic imprinting: **MBR, AJP, JAMG**, GS, JS, SS, TAH. Olfaction: **MBR, JAMG**, SRF, AM, MLD, NYS, GS. Lactation: **CML, KRN**, EAP. Additional bioinformatics contributions: AH, BL, KAM, MUW. Australian Genome Research Facility Sanger sequencing: **SMF**, EK, AMG, PW, AM, JE, CT, DT, AS, LY, TL, MH-R, AH, JD, DW, SW, YSun. HGSC leadership: KCW, DMM, RAG. HGSC Sanger production: SNJ, LRL, MBM, GOO, SJR, JS, LN, AC, GF, CLK, HHD, VJ. HGSC SOLiD production team: HHD, CJ. HGSC 454 production: CLK, FL, RT. HGSC genome assembly and analysis: LC, JD, YL, JYS, X-ZS, GW, KCW. UConn sequencing and assembly improvement (Meug_2): **RJO'N, AJP**, JL, TH, IM. Joint senior authors: SMF, JAMG, RJO'N, AJP, KCW.

Received: 23 May 2011 Revised: 22 July 2011 Published: 29 August 2011

References

1. Drake-Brockman H: *Voyage to Disaster* Sydney: Angus and Robertson; 1963.
2. Bininda-Emonds OR, Cardillo M, Jones KE, MacPhee RD, Beck RM, Grenyer R, Price SA, Vos RA, Gittleman JL, Purvis A: **The delayed rise of present-day mammals.** *Nature* 2007, **446**:507-512.
3. Luo Z-X, Ji Q, Wible JR, Yuan C-X: **An Early Cretaceous tribosphenic mammal and metatherian evolution.** *Science* 2003, **302**:1934-1940.
4. Nilsson MA, Churakov G, Sommer M, Tran NV, Zemann A, Brosius J, Schmitz J: **Tracking marsupial evolution using archaic genomic retroposon insertions.** *PLoS Biol* 2010, **8**:e1000436.
5. Meredith RW, Westerman M, Springer MS: **A phylogeny and timescale for the living genera of kangaroos and kin (Macropodiformes: Marsupialia) based on nuclear DNA sequences.** *Aust J Zool* 2008, **56**:395-410.
6. Deakin JE, Waters PD, Graves JAM: *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics* Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York: Springer; 2010.
7. Hickford D, Frankenberg S, Renfree MB: **The tammar wallaby, *Macropus eugenii*: a model kangaroo for the study of developmental and reproductive biology.** In *Emerging Model Organisms: A Laboratory Manual. Volume 2*. Edited by: Crotty DA, Gann A. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2010:449-494.
8. Hume ID: *Marsupial Nutrition* New York: Cambridge University Press; 1999.
9. Lee AK, Cockburn A: *Evolutionary Ecology of Marsupials* New York, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.
10. Richardson SJ, Aldred AR, Leng SL, Renfree MB, Hulbert AJ, Schreiber G: **Developmental profile of thyroid hormone distributor proteins in a marsupial, the tammar wallaby *Macropus eugenii*.** *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 2002, **125**:92-103.
11. Tyndale-Biscoe CH, Janssens PA: *The Developing Marsupial - Models for Biomedical Research* Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag; 1988.
12. Tyndale-Biscoe CH, Renfree MB: *Reproductive Physiology of Marsupials* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1987.
13. Renfree MB: **Endocrinology of pregnancy, parturition and lactation in marsupials.** In *Marshall's Physiology of Reproduction Pregnancy and Lactation. Volume 3*. Fourth edition. Edited by: Lamming GE. London, UK: Chapman 1994:677-766.
14. Renfree MB, Shaw G: **Diapause.** *Annu Rev Physiol* 2000, **62**:353-375.
15. Tyndale-Biscoe CH: *Life of Marsupials* Collingwood, VIC, Australia: CSIRO Publishing; 2005.
16. Freyer C, Zeller U, Renfree MB: **The marsupial placenta: a phylogenetic analysis.** *J Exp Zool A Comp Exp Biol* 2003, **299**:59-77.
17. Renfree MB: **Maternal recognition of pregnancy in marsupials.** *Rev Reprod* 2000, **5**:6-11.

18. Renfree MB: **Marsupials: placental mammals with a difference.** *Placenta* 2010, **31**(Suppl):S21-26.
19. Mark RF, Marotte LR: **Australian marsupials as models for the developing mammalian visual system.** *Trends Neurosci* 1992, **15**:51-57.
20. Pask AJ, Renfree MB: **Sex determining genes and sexual differentiation in a marsupial.** *J Exp Zool* 2001, **290**:586-596.
21. Renfree MB, Harry JL, Shaw G: **The marsupial male: a role model for sexual development.** *Phil Trans R Soc Lond Series B Biol Sci* 1995, **350**:243-251.
22. Renfree MB, O WS, Short RV, Shaw G: **Sexual differentiation of the urogenital system of the fetal and neonatal tammar wallaby, *Macropus eugenii*.** *Anat Embryol (Berl)* 1996, **194**:111-134.
23. Reynolds ML, Cavanagh ME, Dziegielewska KM, Hinds LA, Saunders NR, Tyndale-Biscoe CH: **Postnatal development of the telencephalon of the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*). An accessible model of neocortical differentiation.** *Anat Embryol (Berl)* 1985, **173**:81-94.
24. Renfree MB, Wilson JD, Shaw G: **The hormonal control of sexual development.** *Novartis Found Symp* 2002, **244**:136-152, discussion 152-136, 203-136, 253-137.
25. Green B, Merchant JC: **The composition of marsupial milk.** In *The Developing Marsupial Models for Biomedical Research*. Edited by: Tyndale-Bisco CH, Janssens PA. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag; 1988:41-54.
26. Nicholas K, Simpson K, Wilson M, Trott J, Shaw D: **The tammar wallaby: a model to study putative autocrine-induced changes in milk composition.** *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 1997, **2**:299-310.
27. Schneider LK: **Marsupial chromosomes, cell cycles and cytogenetics.** In *The Biology of Marsupials*. Edited by: Hunsaker D. New York: Academic Press; 1977:51-93.
28. Graves JAM: **DNA synthesis in chromosomes of cultured leucocytes from two marsupial species.** *Exp Cell Res* 1967, **46**:37-57.
29. Yao KT: **Haploid cells in rat kangaroo corneal endothelium cultures and x-ray-induced chromosome aberrations.** *Genetics* 1971, **67**:399-409.
30. O'Neill RJ, Eldridge MD, Toder R, Ferguson-Smith MA, O'Brien PC, Graves JAM: **Chromosome evolution in kangaroos (Marsupialia: Macropodidae): cross species chromosome painting between the tammar wallaby and rock wallaby spp. with the 2n = 22 ancestral macropodid karyotype.** *Genome* 1999, **42**:525-530.
31. Hayman DL, Martin PG: **Sex chromosome mosaicism in the marsupial genera *Isoodon* and *Perameles*.** *Genetics* 1965, **52**:1201-1206.
32. Watson CM, Margan SH, Johnston PG: **Sex-chromosome elimination in the bandicoot *Isoodon macrourus* using Y-linked markers.** *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1998, **81**:54-59.
33. Hayman DL, Martin PG: *Animal Cytogenetics. Mammalia I: Monotremata and Marsupialia* Berlin: Gebruder Borntraeger; 1974.
34. Rofe R, Hayman D: **G-banding evidence for a conserved complement in the Marsupialia.** *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1985, **39**:40-50.
35. Graves JAM: **The origin and function of the mammalian Y chromosome and Y-borne genes - an evolving understanding.** *Bioessays* 1995, **17**:311-320.
36. Glas R, Leo A, Delbridge M, Reid K, Ferguson-smith M, O'Brien P, Westerman M, Graves JAM: **Chromosome painting in marsupials: genome conservation in the kangaroo family.** *Chromosome Res* 1999, **7**:167-176.
37. Deakin JE, Koina E, Waters PD, Doherty R, Patel VS, Delbridge ML, Dobson B, Fong J, Hu Y, van den Hurk C, Pask AJ, Shaw G, Smith C, Thompson K, Wakefield MJ, Yu H, Renfree MB, Graves JAM: **Physical map of two tammar wallaby chromosomes: a strategy for mapping in non-model mammals.** *Chromosome Res* 2008, **16**:1159-1175.
38. Rens W, O'Brien PC, Yang F, Graves JAM, Ferguson-Smith MA: **Karyotype relationships between four distantly related marsupials revealed by reciprocal chromosome painting.** *Chromosome Res* 1999, **7**:461-474.
39. Rens W, O'Brien PC, Yang F, Solanky N, Perelman P, Graphodatsky AS, Ferguson MW, Svartman M, De Leo AA, Graves JAM, Ferguson-Smith MA: **Karyotype relationships between distantly related marsupials from South America and Australia.** *Chromosome Res* 2001, **9**:301-308.
40. Alsop AE, Miethke P, Rofe R, Koina E, Sankovic N, Deakin JE, Haines H, Rapkins RW, Graves JAM: **Characterizing the chromosomes of the Australian model marsupial *Macropus eugenii* (tammar wallaby).** *Chromosome Res* 2005, **13**:627-636.
41. Mikkelsen TS, Wakefield MJ, Aken B, Amemiya CT, Chang JL, Duke S, Garber M, Gentles AJ, Goodstadt L, Heeger A, Jurka J, Kamal M, Mauceli E, Searle SM, Sharpe T, Baker ML, Batzer MA, Benos PV, Belov K, Clamp M, Cook A, Cuff J, Das R, Davidow L, Deakin JE, Fazzari MJ, Glass JL, Grabherr M, Grealis JM, Gu W, et al: **Genome of the marsupial *Monodelphis domestica* reveals innovation in non-coding sequences.** *Nature* 2007, **447**:167-177.
42. Pop M, Kosack DS, Salzberg SL: **Hierarchical scaffolding with Bambus.** *Genome Res* 2004, **14**:149-159.
43. Sundquist A, Ronaghi M, Tang H, Pevzner P, Batzoglou S: **Whole-genome sequencing and assembly with high-throughput, short-read technologies.** *PLoS One* 2007, **2**:e484.
44. Wang C, Deakin JE, Rens W, Zenger KR, Belov K, Graves JAM, Nicholas FW: **An integrated tammar wallaby map and its use in creating a virtual tammar wallaby genome map.** *BMC Genomics* .
45. Heider TN, Lindsay J, Wang C, O'Neill RJ, Pask AJ: **Enhancing genome assemblies by integrating non-sequence based data.** *BMC Proc* 2011, **5**(Suppl 2):S7.
46. Wilhelm J, Pingoud A, Hahn M: **Validation of an algorithm for automatic quantification of nucleic acid copy numbers by real-time polymerase chain reaction.** *Anal Biochem* 2003, **317**:218-225.
47. Havlak P, Chen R, Durbin KJ, Egan A, Ren Y, Song XZ, Weinstock GM, Gibbs RA: **The Atlas genome assembly system.** *Genome Res* 2004, **14**:721-732.
48. Carone DM, Longo MS, Ferreri GC, Hall L, Harris M, Shook N, Bulazel KV, Carone BR, Obergfell C, O'Neill MJ, O'Neill RJ: **A new class of retroviral and satellite encoded small RNAs emanates from mammalian centromeres.** *Chromosoma* 2009, **118**:113-125.
49. Wang C, Webley L, Wei K, Wakefield MJ, Patel HR, Deakin JE, Alsop AE, Graves JAM, Cooper DW, Nicholas FW, Zenger KR: **A second-generation anchored genetic linkage map of the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*).** *BMC Genet* 2011, **12**:72.
50. Rens W, O'Brien PC, Fairclough H, Harman L, Graves JAM, Ferguson-Smith MA: **Reversal and convergence in marsupial chromosome evolution.** *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2003, **102**:282-290.
51. Siddle HV, Deakin JE, Coghill P, Whilming L, Harrow J, Kaufman J, Beck S, Belov K: **The tammar wallaby major histocompatibility complex shows evidence of past genomic instability.** *BMC Genomics* 2011, **12**:421.
52. Hu Y, Yu H, Shaw G, Pask AJ, Renfree MB: **Kallmann syndrome 1 gene is expressed in the marsupial gonad.** *Biol Reprod* 2011, **84**:595-603.
53. Hu Y, Yu H, Shaw G, Renfree MB, Pask AJ: **Differential roles of TGIF family genes in mammalian reproduction.** *BMC Dev Biol* .
54. Al Nadaf S, Waters PD, Koina E, Deakin JE, Jordan KS, Graves JAM: **Activity map of the tammar X chromosome shows that marsupial X inactivation is incomplete and escape is stochastic.** *Genome Biol* 2010, **11**:R122.
55. Chaumeil J, Waters PD, Koina E, Gilbert C, Robinson TJ, Graves JAM: **Evolution from XIST-independent to XIST-controlled X-chromosome inactivation: epigenetic modifications in distantly related mammals.** *PLoS One* 2011, **6**:e19040.
56. Rens W, Wallduck MS, Lovell FL, Ferguson-Smith MA, Ferguson-Smith AC: **Epigenetic modifications on X chromosomes in marsupial and monotreme mammals and implications for evolution of dosage compensation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010, **107**:17657-17662.
57. RepeatMasker Open 3.0. [<http://www.repeatmasker.org/RMDownload.html>], {AU query: refs 56 and 57 are for different versions but have the same URL; please provide specific URLs for each}.
58. RepeatModeler Open 1.0. [<http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler.html>].
59. Bulazel KV, Ferreri GC, Eldridge MD, O'Neill RJ: **Species-specific shifts in centromere sequence composition are coincident with breakpoint reuse in karyotypically divergent lineages.** *Genome Biol* 2007, **8**:R170.
60. Carone DM, O'Neill RJ: **Marsupial centromeres and telomeres: dynamic chromosome domains.** In *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics*. Edited by: Deakin JE, Waters PD, Graves JAM. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York: Springer; 2010:55-74.
61. Allshire RC, Karpen GH: **Epigenetic regulation of centromeric chromatin: old dogs, new tricks?** *Nat Rev Genet* 2008, **9**:923-937.
62. Sullivan BA, Blower MD, Karpen GH: **Determining centromere identity: cyclical stories and forking paths.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2001, **2**:584-596.
63. Lefèvre CM, Digby MR, Whitley JC, Strahm Y, Nicholas KR: **Lactation transcriptomics in the Australian marsupial, *Macropus eugenii*: transcript sequencing and quantification.** *BMC Genomics* 2007, **8**:417.
64. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ: **Basic local alignment search tool.** *J Mol Biol* 1990, **215**:403-410.

65. Min XJ, Butler G, Storms R, Tsang A: **OrfPredictor: predicting protein-coding regions in EST-derived sequences.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005, **33**: W677-680.
66. Finn RD, Mistry J, Tate J, Coggill P, Heger A, Pollington JE, Gavin OL, Gunasekaran P, Ceric G, Forslund K, Holm L, Sonnhammer EL, Eddy SR, Bateman A: **The Pfam protein families database.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010, **38**:D211-222.
67. Aravin AA, Hannon GJ, Brennecke J: **The Piwi-piRNA pathway provides an adaptive defense in the transposon arms race.** *Science* 2007, **318**:761-764.
68. Blumenstiel JP, Hartl DL: **Evidence for maternally transmitted small interfering RNA in the repression of transposition in *Drosophila virilis*.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:15965-15970.
69. Brennecke J, Aravin AA, Stark A, Dus M, Kellis M, Sachidanandam R, Hannon GJ: **Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in *Drosophila*.** *Cell* 2007, **128**:1089-1103.
70. Brennecke J, Malone CD, Aravin AA, Sachidanandam R, Stark A, Hannon GJ: **An epigenetic role for maternally inherited piRNAs in transposon silencing.** *Science* 2008, **322**:1387-1392.
71. Griffiths-Jones S: **The microRNA Registry.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**: D109-111.
72. Griffiths-Jones S, Grocock RJ, van Dongen S, Bateman A, Enright AJ: **miRBase: microRNA sequences, targets and gene nomenclature.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006, **34**:D140-144.
73. Griffiths-Jones S, Saini HK, van Dongen S, Enright AJ: **miRBase: tools for microRNA genomics.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2008, **36**:D154-158.
74. Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S: **miRBase: integrating microRNA annotation and deep-sequencing data.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011, **39**:D152-157.
75. Watanabe T, Takeda A, Tsukiyama T, Mise K, Okuno T, Sasaki H, Minami N, Imai H: **Identification and characterization of two novel classes of small RNAs in the mouse germline: retrotransposon-derived siRNAs in oocytes and germline small RNAs in testes.** *Genes Dev* 2006, **20**:1732-1743.
76. Watanabe T, Totoki Y, Toyoda A, Kaneda M, Kuramochi-Miyagawa S, Obata Y, Chiba H, Kohara Y, Kono T, Nakano T, Surani MA, Sasaki Y, Sasaki H: **Endogenous siRNAs from naturally formed dsRNAs regulate transcripts in mouse oocytes.** *Nature* 2008, **453**:539-543.
77. Close R, Lowry P: **Hybrids in marsupial research.** *Aust J Zool* 1989, **37**:259-267.
78. O'Neill RJ, Eldridge MD, Graves JAM: **Chromosome heterozygosity and *de novo* chromosome rearrangements in mammalian interspecies hybrids.** *Mamm Genome* 2001, **12**:256-259.
79. O'Neill RJ, O'Neill MJ, Graves JAM: **Undermethylation associated with retroelement activation and chromosome remodelling in an interspecific mammalian hybrid.** *Nature* 1998, **393**:68-72.
80. Ferreri GC, Brown JD, Oberfell C, Jue N, Finn CE, O'Neill MJ, O'Neill RJ: **Recent amplification of the kangaroo endogenous retrovirus, KERV, limited to the centromere.** *J Virol* 2011, **85**:4761-4771.
81. Deakin JE, Siddle HV, Cross JG, Belov K, Graves JAM: **Class I genes have split from the MHC in the tammar wallaby.** *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2007, **116**:205-211.
82. Siddle HV, Deakin JE, Coggill P, Hart E, Cheng Y, Wong ESW, Harrow J, Beck S, Belov K: **MHC-linked and un-linked class I genes in the wallaby.** *BMC Genomics* 2009, **10**:310.
83. Wong ESW, Papenfuss AT, Heger A, Hsu AL, Ponting CP, Miller RD, Fenelon JC, Renfree MB, Gibbs RA, Belov K: **Transcriptomic analysis supports similar functional roles for the two thymuses of the tammar wallaby.** *BMC Genomics* 2011, **12**:420.
84. Wang J, Wong ESW, Whitley JC, Li J, Stringer JM, Short KR, Renfree MB, Belov K, Cocks BG: **Antibiotic-resistant pathogens killed by ancient peptides from the genomes of Australian mammals.** *PLoS One* 2011, **6**: e24030.
85. Wong ESW, Papenfuss AT, Belov K: **Immune database for marsupials and monotremes.** *BMC Immunol* 2011, **12**:48.
86. Graves JAM: **Sex chromosome specialization and degeneration in mammals.** *Cell* 2006, **124**:901-914.
87. Raudsepp T, Lee EJ, Kata SR, Brinkmeyer C, Mickelson JR, Skow LC, Womack JE, Chowdhary BP: **Exceptional conservation of horse-human gene order on X chromosome revealed by high-resolution radiation hybrid mapping.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004, **101**:2386-2391.
88. Rodríguez Delgado CL, Waters PD, Gilbert C, Robinson TJ, Graves JAM: **Physical mapping of the elephant X chromosome: conservation of gene order over 105 million years.** *Chromosome Res* 2009, **17**:917-926.
89. Graves JAM, Wakefield MJ, Toder R: **The origin and evolution of the pseudoautosomal regions of human sex chromosomes.** *Hum Mol Genet* 1998, **7**:1991-1996.
90. Toder R, Wienberg J, Voullaire L, O'Brien PC, Maccaroni P, Graves JAM: **Shared DNA sequences between the X and Y chromosomes in the tammar wallaby - evidence for independent additions to eutherian and marsupial sex chromosomes.** *Chromosoma* 1997, **106**:94-98.
91. Waters PD, Wallis MC, Graves JAM: **Mammalian sex - Origin and evolution of the Y chromosome and SRY.** *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2007, **18**:389-400.
92. Delbridge ML, Harry JL, Toder R, O'Neill RJ, Ma K, Chandley AC, Graves JAM: **A human candidate spermatogenesis gene, *RBMT1*, is conserved and amplified on the marsupial Y chromosome.** *Nat Genet* 1997, **15**:131-136.
93. Pask AJ, Renfree MB, Graves JAM: **The human sex-reversing *ATRX* gene has a homologue on the marsupial Y chromosome, *ATRY*: implications for the evolution of mammalian sex determination.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000, **97**:13198-13202.
94. Cooper DW, Johnston PG, Watson JM, Graves JAM: **X-inactivation in marsupials and monotremes.** *Semin Dev Biol* 1993, **4**:117-128.
95. Duret L, Chureau C, Samain S, Weissenbach J, Avner P: **The *Xist* RNA gene evolved in eutherians by pseudogenization of a protein-coding gene.** *Science* 2006, **312**:1653-1655.
96. Hore TA, Koina E, Wakefield MJ, Graves JAM: **The region homologous to the X-chromosome inactivation centre has been disrupted in marsupial and monotreme mammals.** *Chromosome Res* 2007, **15**:147-161.
97. Mahadevaiah SK, Royo H, VandeBerg JL, McCarrey JR, Mackay S, Turner JM: **Key features of the X inactivation process are conserved between marsupials and eutherians.** *Curr Biol* 2009, **19**:1478-1484.
98. Pask AJ, Renfree MB: **Molecular regulation of marsupial reproduction and development.** In *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics*. Edited by: Deakin JE, Waters PD, Graves JAM. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York: Springer; 2010:285-316.
99. Chung JW, Pask AJ, Yu H, Renfree MB: **Fibroblast growth factor-9 in marsupial testicular development.** *Sex Dev* 2011, **5**:131-140.
100. Huynh K, Renfree MB, Graves JAM, Pask AJ: ***ATRX* has a critical and conserved role in mammalian sexual differentiation.** *BMC Dev Biol* 2011, **11**:39.
101. Goodrich LV, Johnson RL, Milenkovic L, McMahon JA, Scott MP: **Conservation of the hedgehog/patched signaling pathway from flies to mice: induction of a mouse patched gene by Hedgehog.** *Genes Dev* 1996, **10**:301-312.
102. Hickford DE, Frankenberg S, Pask AJ, Shaw G, Renfree MB: ***DDX4 (VASA)* is conserved in germ cell development in marsupials and monotremes.** *Biol Reprod* 2011.
103. Gustafson EA, Wessel GM: **Vasa genes: emerging roles in the germ line and in multipotent cells.** *Bioessays* 2010, **32**:626-637.
104. Payer B, Saitou M, Barton SC, Thresher R, Dixon JP, Zahn D, Colledge WH, Carlton MB, Nakano T, Surani MA: ***stella* is a maternal effect gene required for normal early development in mice.** *Curr Biol* 2003, **13**:2110-2117.
105. Clark AT, Rodriguez RT, Bodnar MS, Abeyta MJ, Cedars MI, Turek PJ, Firpo MT, Reijo Pera RA: **Human *STELLAR*, *NANOG*, and *GDF3* genes are expressed in pluripotent cells and map to chromosome 12p13, a hotspot for teratocarcinoma.** *Stem Cells* 2004, **22**:169-179.
106. Saitou M, Barton SC, Surani MA: **A molecular programme for the specification of germ cell fate in mice.** *Nature* 2002, **418**:293-300.
107. Tanaka SS, Yamaguchi YL, Tsoi B, Lickert H, Tam PP: **IFITM/Mil/fragilis family proteins IFITM1 and IFITM3 play distinct roles in mouse primordial germ cell homing and repulsion.** *Dev Cell* 2005, **9**:745-756.
108. Tanaka SS, Matsui Y: **Developmentally regulated expression of *mil-1* and *mil-2*, mouse interferon-induced transmembrane protein like genes, during formation and differentiation of primordial germ cells.** *Mech Dev* 2002, **119**(Suppl 1):S261-267.
109. Lange UC, Adams DJ, Lee C, Barton S, Schneider R, Bradley A, Surani MA: **Normal germ line establishment in mice carrying a deletion of the *Ifitm/ Fragilis* gene family cluster.** *Mol Cell Biol* 2008, **28**:4688-4696.
110. Graves JAM, Geck J, Hameister H: **Evolution of the human X - a smart and sexy chromosome that controls speciation and development.** *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2002, **99**:141-145.
111. Hu YQ, Yu HS, Pask AJ, O'Brien DA, Shaw G, Renfree MB: **A-kinase anchoring protein 4 has a conserved role in mammalian spermatogenesis.** *Reproduction* 2009, **137**:645-653.

112. Selwood L: **Development of early cell lineages in marsupial embryos: an overview.** *Reprod Fertil Dev* 1994, **6**:507-527.
113. Frankenberger SR, Pask AJ, Renfree MB: **The evolution of class V POU domain transcription factors in vertebrates and their characterisation in a marsupial.** *Dev Biol* 2010, **337**:162-170.
114. Niwa H, Sekita Y, Tsend-Ayush E, Grutzner F: **Platypus *Pou5f1* reveals the first steps in the evolution of trophoblast differentiation and pluripotency in mammals.** *Evol Dev* 2008, **10**:671-682.
115. Shen MM: **Nodal signaling: developmental roles and regulation.** *Development* 2007, **134**:1023-1034.
116. Rinn JL, Kertesz M, Wang JK, Squazzo SL, Xu X, Bruggmann SA, Goodenough LH, Helms JA, Farnham PJ, Segal E, Chang HY: **Functional demarcation of active and silent chromatin domains in human HOX loci by noncoding RNAs.** *Cell* 2007, **129**:1311-1323.
117. Alexander RP, Fang G, Rozowsky J, Snyder M, Gerstein MB: **Annotating non-coding regions of the genome.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2010, **11**:559-571.
118. Tsai MC, Manor O, Wan Y, Mosammaparast N, Wang JK, Lan F, Shi Y, Segal E, Chang HY: **Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes.** *Science* 2010, **329**:689-693.
119. Zakany J, Duboule D: **The role of Hox genes during vertebrate limb development.** *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2007, **17**:359-366.
120. Chau YM, Pando S, Taylor HS: **HOXA11 silencing and endogenous HOXA11 antisense ribonucleic acid in the uterine endometrium.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002, **87**:2674-2680.
121. Woo CJ, Kingston RE: **HOTAIR lifts noncoding RNAs to new levels.** *Cell* 2007, **129**:1257-1259.
122. Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, Kim J, Horlings HM, Wong DJ, Tsai MC, Hung T, Argani P, Rinn JL, Wang Y, Brzoska P, Kong B, Li R, West RB, van de Vijver MJ, Sukumar S, Chang HY: **Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis.** *Nature* 2010, **464**:1071-1076.
123. Schneider NY, Fletcher TP, Shaw G, Renfree MB: **The vomeronasal organ of the tammar wallaby.** *J Anat* 2008, **213**:93-105.
124. Chen CH, Cretekos CJ, Rasweiler Jt, Behringer RR: **Hoxd13 expression in the developing limbs of the short-tailed fruit bat, *Carollia perspicillata*.** *Evol Dev* 2005, **7**:130-141.
125. Nelson CE, Morgan BA, Burke AC, Laufer E, DiMambro E, Murtaugh LC, Gonzales E, Tessarollo L, Parada LF, Tabin C: **Analysis of Hox gene expression in the chick limb bud.** *Development* 1996, **122**:1449-1466.
126. Menzies BR, Shaw G, Fletcher TP, Pask AJ, Renfree MB: **Exon 3 of the growth hormone receptor (GH-R) is specific to eutherian mammals.** *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2008, **296**:64-68.
127. Menzies BR, Pask AJ, Renfree MB: **Placental expression of pituitary hormones may have an ancient ancestry.** *EVO DEVO* .
128. Menzies BR, Shaw G, Fletcher TP, Renfree MB: **Early onset of ghrelin production in a marsupial.** *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2009, **299**:266-273.
129. Rapkins RW, Hore TA, Smithwick M, Ager E, Pask AJ, Renfree MB, Kohn M, Hameister H, Nicholls RD, Deakin JE, Graves JAM: **Recent assembly of an imprinted domain from non-imprinted components.** *PLoS Genet* 2006, **2**: e182.
130. Edwards CA, Mungall AJ, Matthews L, Ryder E, Gray DJ, Pask AJ, Shaw G, Graves JAM, Rogers J, Dunham I, Renfree MB, Ferguson-Smith AC: **The evolution of the *DLK1-DIO3* imprinted domain in mammals.** *PLoS Biol* 2008, **6**:e135.
131. Edwards CA, Rens W, Clarke O, Mungall AJ, Hore TA, Graves JAM, Dunham I, Ferguson-Smith AC, Ferguson-Smith MA: **The evolution of imprinting: chromosomal mapping of orthologues of mammalian imprinted domains in monotreme and marsupial mammals.** *BMC Evol Biol* 2007, **7**:157.
132. Hore TA, Renfree MB, Pask AJ, Graves JAM: **The evolution of genomic imprinting - a marsupial perspective.** In *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics*. Edited by: Deakin JE, Waters PD, Graves JAM. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York: Springer; 2010:233-257.
133. Renfree MB, Hore TA, Shaw G, Graves JAM, Pask AJ: **Evolution of genomic imprinting: insights from marsupials and monotremes.** *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2009, **10**:241-262.
134. Suzuki S, Shaw G, Kaneko-Ishino T, Ishino F, Renfree MB: **Characterisation of the marsupial *PHLDA2* reveals eutherian specific acquisition of imprinting.** *BMC Evol Biol* 2011, **11**:244.
135. Smits G, Mungall AJ, Griffiths-Jones S, Smith P, Beury D, Matthews L, Rogers J, Pask AJ, Shaw G, VandeBerg JL, McCarrey JR, Renfree MB, Reik W, Dunham I: **Conservation of the *H19* noncoding RNA and *H19-IGF2* imprinting mechanism in therians.** *Nat Genet* 2008, **40**:971-976.
136. Sekita Y, Wagatsuma H, Nakamura K, Ono R, Kagami M, Wakisaka N, Hino T, Suzuki-Migishima R, Kohda T, Ogura A, Ogata T, Yokoyama M, Kaneko-Ishino T, Ishino F: **Role of retrotransposon-derived imprinted gene, *Rtl1*, in the fetomaternal interface of mouse placenta.** *Nat Genet* 2008, **40**:243-248.
137. Suzuki S, Ono R, Narita T, Pask AJ, Shaw G, Wang C, Kohda T, Alsop AE, Graves JAM, Kohara Y, Ishino F, Renfree MB, Kaneko-Ishino T: **Retrotransposon silencing by DNA methylation can drive mammalian genomic imprinting.** *PLoS Genet* 2007, **3**:e55.
138. Ono R, Kuroki Y, Narusea M, Ishii M, Iwasaki S, Toyoda A, Fujiyama A, Shaw G, Renfree MB, Kaneko-Ishino T, Ishino F: **Identification of tammar wallaby *SIRH12*, derived from a marsupial-specific retrotransposition event.** *DNA Res* .
139. Hore TA, Deakin JE, Graves JAM: **The evolution of epigenetic regulators *CTCF* and *BORIS/CTCF* in amniotes.** *PLoS Genet* 2008, **4**:e1000169.
140. Keverne EB, Curley JP: **Epigenetics, brain evolution and behaviour.** *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2008, **29**:398-412.
141. Wang X, Sun Q, McGrath SD, Mardis ER, Soloway PD, Clark AG: **Transcriptome-wide identification of novel imprinted genes in neonatal mouse brain.** *PLoS One* 2008, **3**:e3839.
142. Gregg C, Zhang J, Butler JE, Haig D, Dulac C: **Sex-specific parent-of-origin allelic expression in the mouse brain.** *Science* 2010, **329**:682-685.
143. Frankenberger SR, Schneider NY, Fletcher TP, Shaw G, Renfree MB: **Identification of two distinct genes at the vertebrate *TRPC2* locus and their characterisation in a marsupial and a monotreme.** *BMC Mol Biol* 2011, **12**:39.
144. Caldecott KW: **XRCC1 and DNA strand break repair.** *DNA Repair (Amst)* 2003, **2**:955-969.
145. Takigami S, Mori Y, Tanioka Y, Ichikawa M: **Morphological evidence for two types of mammalian vomeronasal system.** *Chem Senses* 2004, **29**:301-310.
146. Schneider NY, Fletcher TP, Shaw G, Renfree MB: **The olfactory system of the tammar wallaby is developed at birth and directs the neonate to its mother's pouch odours.** *Reproduction* 2009, **138**:849-857.
147. Delbridge ML, Mohammadi A, Graves JAM: **The olfactory receptor gene family of marsupials.** In *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics*. Edited by: Deakin JE, Waters PD, Graves JAM. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York: Springer Netherlands; 2010:435-456.
148. Lefèvre CM, Sharp JA, Nicholas KR: **Evolution of lactation: ancient origin and extreme adaptations of the lactation system.** *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2010, **11**:219-238.
149. Oftedal OT: **The mammary gland and its origin during synapsid evolution.** *J Mamm Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2002, **7**:225-252.
150. Green B, Griffiths M, Leckie RM: **Qualitative and quantitative changes in milk fat during lactation in the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*).** *Aust J Biol Sci* 1983, **36**:455-461.
151. Joss JL, Molloy MP, Hinds L, Deane E: **A longitudinal study of the protein components of marsupial milk from birth to weaning in the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*).** *Dev Comp Immunol* 2009, **33**:152-161.
152. Nicholas KR: **Asynchronous dual lactation in a marsupial, the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*).** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1988, **154**:529-536.
153. Simpson KJ, Ranganathan S, Fisher JA, Janssens PA, Shaw DC, Nicholas KR: **The gene for a novel member of the whey acidic protein family encodes three four-disulfide core domains and is asynchronously expressed during lactation.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:23074-23081.
154. Hennighausen LG, Sippel AE: **Characterization and cloning of the mRNAs specific for the lactating mouse mammary gland.** *Eur J Biochem* 1982, **125**:131-141.
155. Hajjoubi S, Rival-Gervier S, Hayes H, Floriot S, Eggen A, Piumi F, Chardon P, Houdebine LM, Thepot D: **Ruminants genome no longer contains Whey Acidic Protein gene but only a pseudogene.** *Gene* 2006, **370**:104-112.
156. Sharp JA, Digby M, Lefèvre C, Mailer S, Khalil E, Topcic D, Auguste A, Kwek JH, Brennan AJ, Familiari M, Nicholas KR: **The comparative genomics of tammar wallaby and Cape fur seal lactation models to examine functions of milk proteins.** In *Milk Proteins: from Expression to Food*. Edited by: Thompson A, Boland M, Singh H. San Diego, CA: Academic Press/ Elsevier; 2009:55-79.
157. Sharp JA, Lefèvre C, Kwek JH, Topcic D, Buscara L, Pooley C, de longh R, Familiari M, Nicholas KR: **Marsupial milk - identifying signals for regulating**

- mammary function and development of the young. In *Marsupial Genetics and Genomics*. Edited by: Deakin JE, Waters PD, Graves JAM. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York: Springer; 2010:317-334.
158. Topcic D, Augustine A, De Leo AA, Lefèvre C, Digby MR, Nicholas KR: **Characterization of the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*) whey acidic protein gene: new insights into the function of the protein.** *Evol Dev* 2009, **11**:363-375.
159. Wanyonyi SS, Sharp JA, Khalil E, Lefevre C, Nicholas KR: **Tammar wallaby mammary cathelicidins are differentially expressed during lactation and exhibit antimicrobial and cell proliferative activity.** *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* 2011, **160**:431-439.
160. Kwek JH, Iongh RD, Digby MR, Renfree MB, Nicholas KR, Familiari M: **Cross-fostering of the tammar wallaby (*Macropus eugenii*) pouch young accelerates fore-stomach maturation.** *Mech Dev* 2009, **126**:449-463.
161. **Tammar Wallaby Resources - Genome assemblies.** [http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/tammar].
162. Burk A, Springer MS: **Intergeneric relationships among Macropodoidea (Metatheria: Diprotodontia) and the chronicle of kangaroo evolution.** *J Mamm Evol* 2000, **7**:213-237.
163. Flannery TF: **Phylogeny of the Macropodoidea: a study in convergence.** In *Kangaroos, Wallabies and Rat-Kangaroos*. Edited by: Grigg PJG, Hume I. Chipping Norton: Surrey Beatty 1989:1-46.
164. Andersson B, Wentland MA, Ricafrente JY, Liu W, Gibbs RA: **A "double adaptor" method for improved shotgun library construction.** *Anal Biochem* 1996, **236**:107-113.
165. Fujiyama A, Watanabe H, Toyoda A, Taylor TD, Itoh T, Tsai SF, Park HS, Yaspo ML, Lehrach H, Chen Z, Fu G, Saitou N, Osoegawa K, de Jong PJ, Suto Y, Hattori M, Sakaki Y: **Construction and analysis of a human-chimpanzee comparative clone map.** *Science* 2002, **295**:131-134.
166. Hattori M, Fujiyama A, Taylor TD, Watanabe H, Yada T, Park HS, Toyoda A, Ishii K, Totoki Y, Choi DK, Groner Y, Soeda E, Ohki M, Takagi T, Sakaki Y, Taudien S, Blechschmidt K, Polley A, Menzel U, Delabar J, Kumpf K, Lehmann R, Patterson D, Reichwald K, Rump A, Schillhabel M, Schudy A, Zimmermann W, Rosenthal A, Kudoh J, et al: **The DNA sequence of human chromosome 21.** *Nature* 2000, **405**:311-319.
167. **Cross_match.** [http://www.phrap.org].
168. **Phrap.** [http://www.phrap.org/phredphrapconsed.html].
169. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL: **Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome.** *Genome Biol* 2009, **10**:R25.
170. Harris RS: **Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA.** *PhD Thesis* The Pennsylvania State University, Department of Computer Science and Engineering; 2007.
171. **LASTZ.** [http://www.bx.psu.edu/~rsharris/lastz/].
172. Zerbino DR, Birney E: **Velvet: algorithms for *de novo* short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs.** *Genome Res* 2008, **18**:821-829.
173. Huson DH, Reinert K, Myers EW: **The greedy path-merging algorithm for contig scaffolding.** *J ACM* 2002, **49**:603-615.
174. Sambrook J, Russell DW: *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. 3 edition. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2001.
175. Boschman GA, Rens W, van Oven CH, Manders EM, Aten JA: **Bivariate flow karyotyping of human chromosomes: evaluation of variation in Hoechst 33258 fluorescence, chromomycin A3 fluorescence, and relative chromosomal DNA content.** *Cytometry* 1991, **12**:559-569.
176. Langford CF, Fischer PE, Binns MM, Holmes NG, Carter NP: **Chromosome-specific paints from a high-resolution flow karyotype of the dog.** *Chromosome Res* 1996, **4**:115-123.
177. Trask B, van den Engh G, Nussbaum R, Schwartz C, Gray J: **Quantification of the DNA content of structurally abnormal X chromosomes and X chromosome aneuploidy using high resolution bivariate flow karyotyping.** *Cytometry* 1990, **11**:184-195.
178. **Ensembl.** [http://www.ensembl.org/].
179. Pathak S, Ronne M, Brown NM, Furlong CL, VandeBerg JL: **A high-resolution banding pattern idiogram of *Monodelphis domestica* chromosomes (Marsupialia, Mammalia).** *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1993, **63**:181-184.
180. Lander ES, Waterman MS: **Genomic mapping by fingerprinting random clones: a mathematical analysis.** *Genomics* 1988, **2**:231-239.
181. Hillier LW, Fulton RS, Fulton LA, Graves TA, Pepin KH, Wagner-McPherson C, Layman D, Maas J, Jaeger S, Walker R, Wylie K, Sekhon M, Becker MC, O'Laughlin MD, Schaller ME, Fewell GA, Delehaunty KD, Miner TL, Nash WE, Cordes M, Du H, Sun H, Edwards J, Bradshaw-Cordum H, Ali J, Andrews S, Isak A, Vanbrunt A, Nguyen C, Du F, et al: **The DNA sequence of human chromosome 7.** *Nature* 2003, **424**:157-164.
182. Deloukas P, Earthrowl ME, Grafham DV, Rubenfield M, French L, Steward CA, Sims SK, Jones MC, Searle S, Scott C, Howe K, Hunt SE, Andrews TD, Gilbert JG, Swarbreck D, Ashurst JL, Taylor A, Battles J, Bird CP, Ainscough R, Almeida JP, Ashwell RI, Ambrose KD, Babbage AK, Bagguley CL, Bailey J, Banerjee R, Bates K, Beasley H, Bray-Allen S, et al: **The DNA sequence and comparative analysis of human chromosome 10.** *Nature* 2004, **429**:375-381.
183. Schwartz S, Kent WJ, Smit A, Zhang Z, Baertsch R, Hardison RC, Haussler D, Miller W: **Human-mouse alignments with BLASTZ.** *Genome Res* 2003, **13**:103-107.
184. Suzuki Y, Sugano S: **Construction of a full-length enriched and a 5'-end enriched cDNA library using the oligo-capping method.** *Methods Mol Biol* 2003, **221**:73-91.
185. **NCBI RefSeq Database.** [ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/].
186. Abrusan G, Grundmann N, DeMester L, Makalowski W: **TEclass - a tool for automated classification of unknown eukaryotic transposable elements.** *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**:1329-1330.
187. McCarthy EM, McDonald JF: **LTR_STRUC: a novel search and identification program for LTR retrotransposons.** *Bioinformatics* 2003, **19**:362-367.
188. Lucier JF, Perreault J, Noel JF, Boire G, Perreault JP: **RTAnalyzer: a web application for finding new retrotransposons and detecting L1 retrotransposition signatures.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007, **35**:W269-274.
189. Chen Y, Zhou F, Li G, Xu Y: **MUST: A system for identification of miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements and applications to *Anabaena variabilis* and *Haloquadratum walsbyi*.** *Gene* 2009, **436**:1-7.
190. Xu Z, Wang H: **LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR retrotransposons.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007, **35**:W265-268.
191. Grad Y, Aach J, Hayes GD, Reinhart BJ, Church GM, Ruvkun G, Kim J: **Computational and experimental identification of *C. elegans* microRNAs.** *Mol Cell* 2003, **11**:1253-1263.
192. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eickhout E, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM, Brown M, Li W, Liu XS: **Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS).** *Genome Biol* 2008, **9**:R137.

doi:10.1186/gb-2011-12-8-r81

Cite this article as: Renfree et al.: Genome sequence of an Australian kangaroo, *Macropus eugenii*, provides insight into the evolution of mammalian reproduction and development. *Genome Biology* 2011 **12**:R81.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

