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Abstract

Aim. To carry out a pilot study in two regions in order to investigate prevalence of psychological problems in primary care in New Zealand.

Method. General Practitioners (GPs) within two geographic regions were randomly selected. All adult attenders at their practice on selected days were administered a short questionnaire, the GHQ-12, which assesses the presence of psychological symptoms. The GP recorded the reasons for each consultation, and was interviewed at the end of each day about selected patients, to determine their opinion about the type of psychological problems experienced.

Results. Three-quarters of selected GPs (76%) agreed to participate. 96% of patients attending their GP agreed to complete the GHQ. Scores from 385 completed GHQ screening questionnaires suggested that 23.4% of GP patients had significant psychological symptoms. When GPs were asked about the main reason for consultation, they identified only 5.7% of current consultations as being for psychological reasons. In contrast, the GPs thought that 20.6% of patients described having some symptoms which were either mildly, moderately or completely psychological in the current consultation, and recognised that 17.4% of their patients had a mild, moderate or severe case of psychological disorder over the past twelve months.

Conclusion. GPs identified one in five of their patients as having symptoms which were mildly, moderately or completely psychological, although psychological factors were the main reason for consultation in only one patient in twenty. Previous reports of very low rates of psychological problems among GP attenders in New Zealand have been thought to indicate major differences in access to health care or prevalence of common mental disorders within primary care services in this country. However, the apparently low rates of conspicuous mental disorder in New Zealand general practices may be better explained as an artifact of the type of questions asked.

Internationally, there is increasing pressure for primary health care to take on greater responsibility for managing mental illness. In New Zealand, in contrast to most other countries providing socialised health care, primary care is run as a private business with costs to patients. This may have an impact both on what sort of problems patients disclose to their GP, and the response of the GP to the patient who does disclose psychological difficulties.

Although a few studies have described the nature of consultations in New Zealand general practice, none have had a primary focus on mental health. These studies have reported low rates of consultation for psychological reasons (3.1%, 4.4% and 7.6% respectively). In contrast, extensive research into psychological problems in primary care in other countries has generally found between a quarter and a third of consultations are with patients who are experiencing significant psychological distress. The World Health Organisation (WHO) international study in fifteen different centres in fourteen countries found that 24% of general practice attenders had a current mental disorder reaching ICD-10 criteria and another 9% had a sub-threshold disorder (clinically significant symptoms, but not meeting full criteria for ICD-10). The lower rates of consultation for psychological reasons are not due to greater psychological health in this country. There is no less disorder in the New Zealand general population: prevalence rates of mental disorder in New Zealand are similar to European and North American countries in which many primary care studies have been undertaken.
The apparently low prevalence of mental health problems in primary care may reflect the emphasis of the New Zealand GP studies, which focused on asking for one main reason for attending one consultation. This may not allow for the complexity of reasons for seeking medical consultation, especially where those with physical illness also have psychological problems, where psychological distress is presented as somatic concerns, or where the GP has adopted a strategy of exploring the patients’ problems in smaller ‘bites’ over several consultations.

This pilot study aimed to randomly select GPs, and compare data from the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) with the opinion of the GP about the psychological state of their patients.

Methods
Two settings were chosen for the study, both in the North Island of New Zealand. One was a largely rural area in Taranaki province. The other was Wainuiomata, a relatively deprived urban area located near Wellington city. The study sampled both individual GPs and their patients. Lists of GPs in each area were compiled, and selection of GPs made from these lists. Patients visiting their GP were recruited if they were aged eight or over and were able to read, understand and complete the GHQ. A more detailed GP interview sought additional information about some patients. GHQ scores were used to allocate patients to three groups of severity of psychological symptoms. These groups were sampled for the GP interview with differing probabilities. All of the highest group were sampled, one-third of the medium scoring group, and one in ten of the low-scoring group. Patients who were not identified by the GHQ sampling strategy but were identified by the GP were also included for GP interview.

The selection of measures in this pilot study were largely influenced by the WHO’s International Study on “Psychological Problems in General Health Care”.

Initial patient screening instrument. The GHQ-12 is a twelve item self report questionnaire widely used in primary care research. Each item is rated on a four-point scale, representing the severity of symptoms of mental disorder. The GHQ was chosen for the screening because the experience or training in mental health; services offered to patients with psychological problems, where psychological distress is presented as somatic concerns, or where the GP has adopted a strategy of exploring the patients’ problems in smaller ‘bites’ over several consultations. This pilot study aimed to randomly select GPs, and compare data from the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) with the opinion of the GP about the psychological state of their patients.

Results
In Taranaki, of fourteen GPs selected, nine agreed to participate. Refusals were almost all due to lack of time. In Wainuiomata, all seven GPs agreed to participate. This yielded a combined response rate of (16/21) 76%. Thirty-one of the GPs were male and three were female, and mean age was 45 years (SD 12, range 32-66). Half (eight or 50%) identified themselves as New Zealand European/Pakeha. The mean length of time practising as a GP was sixteen years (SD 12, range 2-37 years), and in their current practice was fifteen years (SD 7, range 0.5-27 years). On average, the Wainuiomata GPs had practiced for slightly longer (nineteen years vs thirteen years). Almost two-thirds were New Zealand graduates (ten or 63%). Only two GPs were not either a full or associate member of the Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners.

Patient response rate, background and demographic variables. A total of 385 GHQ’s were completed. The overall patient response rate was 96% (385/402). In Taranaki, there were 57 (39%) men and 88 (61%) women with a mean age of 53.0 years (SD 18.0). In Wainuiomata, there were 110 (46%) men and 130 (54%) women with a mean age of 45 years (SD 17).

Results from the General Health Questionnaire-12. Although 409 encounter forms were completed, we report on 385 where there was also a completed GHQ. In Taranaki, the mean GHQ score was 2.3 (SD 3.0) and the median was 1 (range 0-12). In Wainuiomata, the mean GHQ score was 2.9 (SD 3.5) and the median was 1.5 (range 0-12). The frequency distribution for both areas is shown in Table 1.

The distribution of scores for the two areas did not differ significantly (Kruskal-Wallis ).

Results from the General Health Questionnaire-12. Although 409 encounter forms were completed, we report on 385 where there was also a completed GHQ. In Taranaki, the mean GHQ score was 2.3 (SD 3.0) and the median was 1 (range 0-12). In Wainuiomata, the mean GHQ score was 2.9 (SD 3.5) and the median was 1.5 (range 0-12). The frequency distribution for both areas is shown in Table 1.

The distribution of scores for the two areas did not differ significantly (Kruskal-Wallis ). Hence the groups are combined for further data analysis.

Frequency of Consultation. A large group of patients (151/385 or 39.2%) had consulted five or more times in the past twelve months, 121 patients (31.4%) had consulted three or four times, 76 (19.7%) patients had consulted one or two times and 35 (9.1%) had not consulted the GP in past twelve months.

Table 1. Frequency distribution of scores on the GHQ-12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GHQ score</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Taranaki %</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
<th>Wainuiomata %</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Main reason for consultation. Only in 22 cases (5.7%) did the GP feel that the main reason for consultation was psychological. In 169 (43.9%) cases, the main reason for the consultation was a physical acute illness. In 70 (18.2%) cases, the main reason was pain, and in 55 (14.3%) cases it was for a physical chronic condition. Other reasons were: administration/paperwork (5.2%), preventive health care (5.7%) and pregnancy/family planning (5.7%). Patient’s worry or concern was also recorded by the GP as an additional main reason for consultation in fourteen (3.6%) cases.

Extent of psychological symptoms present. Table 2 shows that GPs thought the presenting problems were completely physical in 53% of patients. About a fifth (20.7%) had current symptoms that were thought to be mildly, moderately or completely psychological, although presenting symptoms were thought to be completely psychological in only 3.6% of consultations. Looking back at each patient over the past year, the GP felt that just over 40.8% of patients had been mildly, moderately or severely physically ill during the past twelve months, whereas 17.4% were scored as a mild, moderate or severe case of psychological disorder during the past twelve months.

Relationship between the GHQ-12 and the GP’s opinion. A ‘probable case’ on the GHQ-12 was defined as a score of five or above. On the encounter form completed after each consultation, it was defined by the presence of mild, moderate or severe psychological disorder over the past twelve months. Overall, the GP and GHQ-12 agreed in 77.6% of cases, but of 67 patients identified by the GP as having ‘significant psychological disorder over the past twelve months’ whereas 17.4% were scored as a mild, moderately or completely psychological, 24.4% (94) to the highest scoring group, 23.4% (90) to the medium group and 52.2% (201) to the low scoring group, and each group was sampled with differing probabilities as described above. There were 26 other patients (14.8%) not identified by the GHQ sampling strategy who were identified by the GP as having a significant psychological disorder.

Selection for detailed GP interview. The detailed interview of the GP was completed for patients identified either by the GHQ sampling strategy or through identification by the GP (168 patients in total). The cut-points one and four, when applied to the distribution of GHQ scores, allocated 23.4% (90) of the patients to the highest scoring group, 24.4% (94) to the medium group and 52.2% (201) to the low scoring group, and each group was sampled with differing probabilities as described above. There were 26 other patients (14.8%) not identified by the GHQ sampling strategy who were identified by the GP as having a significant psychological disorder.

Table 2. Extent to which presenting symptoms are physical and/or psychological* according to the GP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Physical Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Psychological Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildly</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Physical/psychological categories are not exclusive.

Of the 168 patients selected for the GP interview, 78 (46.4%) GP interviews were completed. For a further 64 (38.1%) of the 168 patients sampled, the GP interview was not completed as the GP responded that the patient did not have a history of known psychological disorder and there was not a psychological component to the current consultation. The remaining 24 (14.3%) patients sampled did not have GP interviews completed as they did not give permission for their consultation to be discussed with the GP.

Table 3. The relationship between GHQ probable ‘casingness’ and being identified by the GP as having significant psychological distress.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GHQ probable case†*</th>
<th>GP identified significant psychological distress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>258 (68.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54 (14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>312 (82.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†A “probable case” on the GHQ-12 was defined by a person scoring 5 or above.

Diagnosis of psychological disorders. Psychological disorder most commonly diagnosed by GPs as ‘possible’ or ‘definite’ included mixed anxiety/depression (9.3%), depression (7.0%) anxiety disorder (2.1%) drug/alcohol disorder (2.1%) and personality disorder (2.1%).

Duration of illness and treatment. Over half of patients with a psychological disorder identified by the GP were rated as having a ‘chronic ongoing problem’ (44/78, 56.4%). Treatment in the last month for psychological disorder included anti-depressant medications (38.5%). In 38 (48.7%) cases, no drugs were prescribed. Discussion of problems (65.3%) and regular follow-up (35.9%) were the most common ‘other’ treatments received by patients over the past month.

Discussion

The results of this study cast some light on the paradoxical findings of previous GP research in New Zealand in relation to the prevalence of identified psychological problems. When GPs were asked about the main reason for consultation, they identified only 5.7% as being for psychological reasons. This is consistent with the WaiMedCa, PriMedCa and CoMedCa studies, but much lower than international studies such as the WHO study of Psychological Problems in General Health Care. At the same time, however, the GPs thought that 20.7% of their patients described current symptoms which were mildly, moderately or completely psychological in the current consultation, and recognised that in the past twelve months, 17.4% of their patients had a mild, moderate or severe case of psychological disorder. Although about a fifth of GP attenders were identified by the GHQ and by the GP as having significant psychological distress, only 36 of the 121 patients identified by either method were identified by both methods, suggesting that there are important discrepancies between what is identified by the GP and by the GHQ. When GPs are asked to rate the presence and severity of both physical and psychological symptoms, and not just one or the other, the New Zealand data on psychological problems begin to more closely approximate the patterns of use of primary health care services seen in other countries. Since primary care services are the sole source of treatment for about three quarters of those obtaining help for mental health problems, further research is clearly warranted into factors influencing identification and treatment of mental disorders in primary care.
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