Wild at Heart: the particle astrophysics of the Galactic Centre
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ABSTRACT
We consider the high-energy astrophysics of the inner ∼200 pc of the Galaxy. Our modelling of this region shows that the supernovae exploding here every few thousand years inject enough power to (i) sustain the steady-state, in situ population of cosmic rays (CRs) required to generate the region’s non-thermal radio and TeV γ-ray emission; (ii) drive a powerful wind that advects non-thermal particles out of the inner Galactic Centre; (iii) supply the low-energy CRs whose Coulombic collisions sustain the temperature and ionization rate of the anomalously warm envelope H2 detected throughout the Central Molecular Zone; (iv) accelerate the primary electrons which provide the extended, non-thermal radio emission seen over ∼150 pc scales above and below the plane (the Galactic Centre lobe); and (v) accelerate the primary protons and heavier ions which, advected to very large scales (up to ∼10 kpc), generate the recently identified Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) haze and corresponding Fermi haze/bubbles. Our modelling bounds the average magnetic field amplitude in the inner few degrees of the Galaxy to the range 60 < B/μG < 400 (at 2σ confidence) and shows that even TeV CRs likely do not have time to penetrate into the cores of the region’s dense molecular clouds before the wind removes them from the region. This latter finding apparently disfavours scenarios in which CRs – in this starburst-like environment – act to substantially modify the conditions of star formation. We speculate that the wind we identify plays a crucial role in advecting low-energy positrons from the Galactic nucleus into the bulge, thereby explaining the extended morphology of the 511 keV line emission. We present extensive appendices reviewing the environmental conditions in the Galactic Centre, deriving the star formation and supernova rates there, and setting out the extensive prior evidence that exists, supporting the notion of a fast outflow from the region.


1 INTRODUCTION
In recent years a picture has emerged that the inner regions of star-forming galaxies should (i) be important sources of γ-rays in the Universe (Pavlidou & Fields 2002; Thompson, Quataert & Waxman 2007; Dogiel & Breitschwerdt 2009); (ii) drive powerful galactic winds (Dogiel & Breitschwerdt 2009) and (iii), therefore, be important shapers of the intergalactic medium, particularly its metallicity (Strickland & Heckman 2009). The Galactic Centre (GC) presents our closest view of a galactic nucleus. The physical conditions pertaining in the GC put it on an intermediate footing between the relative quiescence of the Galactic disc interstellar medium (ISM) and the environs at the centre of luminous starbursts. Still, when viewed from the local perspective, many of the GC ISM parameters are extreme. The GC proffers, then, perhaps our best hope for a detailed understanding of the processes shaping the evolution of the nuclei of spiral galaxies and, in general, regions energized by galaxy mergers or interactions (Melia 2007). Such processes include star
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formation in giant molecular cloud complexes, concomitant supernova activity, the resultant generation of nuclear outflows or winds, and the acceleration of non-thermal particle distributions.

This paper is the third in a series related to the high-energy astrophysics of the GC. In the first paper of this series (Crocker & Aharonian 2010, hereafter Paper I) some of us showed that it is likely that star formation and consequent supernova processes in the inner ∼200 pc of the Galaxy have, over time-scales approaching the age of the Galaxy, injected cosmic ray (CR) ions to large heights above and below the Galactic plane creating thereby the very extended regions of diffuse, non-thermal emission recently uncovered at microwave and ∼GeV energies (Finkbeiner 2004; Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008; Dobler et al. 2010; Su, Slatyer & Finkbeiner 2010). This scenario requires that the GC has injected an average $10^{51}$ erg s$^{-1}$ into CRs; in this paper we show that, indeed, all the evidence is consistent with the notion that the GC supernovae inject, as required, of the order of this power into non-thermal particles.

In the second paper of this series (Crocker et al. 2011, hereafter Paper II) we showed that the GC loses most of the high-energy particles it accelerates – precisely as required by Paper I. Specifically, we determined the ratios, energy injected per time divided by energy injected, between the GC’s observed non-thermal radio continuum (RC) and ∼TeV γ-ray emission and that expected on the basis of the region’s FIR output [which traces its current star formation rate (SFR)] and the supposition that the region is calorimetric or a ‘thick-target’ to CRs. These ratios are given by $R_{\text{radio}} \approx 10^{-1}$ and $R_{\text{TeV}} \approx 10^{-2}$ (both uncertain by a factor of ∼2). On the basis of this we found that the GC launches a powerful wind. This wind serves to advect most of the CR ions and electrons accelerated in the GC from the region, launching them into the Galaxy-at-large. The identification of this outflow, however, was contingent, upon GC supernovae acting with typical efficiency as accelerators of CRs (losing about 10 per cent of the $10^{51}$ erg mechanical energy per SN into CRs); in this paper we show that, indeed, all the evidence is consistent with the notion that GC supernovae do act with at least such typical efficiency.

In this paper we present single-zone, steady-state modelling of the inner Galaxy. We explain below why both the single-zone and steady-state assumptions are physically plausible though we emphasize that, with respect to the GC’s TeV γ-ray emission, others – notably the High Energy Stereoscopic System (HESS) discovery paper (Aharonian et al. 2006) – have adopted a non-steady-state interpretation. Our modelling allows us to render a number of the qualitative conclusions reached in our previous papers more quantitative and show how our modelling of the GC’s particle astrophysics allows us to tie down the ranges of a number of parameters including the mean gas density and magnetic field experienced by CRs and the speed of the outflow that advects them from the GC. Our modelling allows us to delimit the potential contribution made by secondary electrons (and positrons) to the region’s non-thermal radio emission and determine the efficiency with which GC supernova remnants (SNRs) accelerate CR ions and electrons. We also discuss at some length the consequence of the picture of the GC ISM and its non-thermal particle population that we have developed in the course of the above papers and the modelling described below.

### 1.1 Structure of paper

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we delineate the exact region around the GC with which we are concerned and describe in detail the RC and ∼TeV γ-ray emission from the GC we seek to understand. In Section 3, we set out our detailed modelling of the region’s high-energy, steady-state particle population and its non-thermal, radiative output. This affords us an independent handle on the region’s ISM parameters and confirms the existence of an outflow as previously suspected. In Section 4, we describe the results of our modelling and in Section 5 we consider the many implications of our modelling. These include the determination of GC environmental parameters (average gas density, magnetic field where CRs are interacting, etc.), the result that – independent of any uncertainty surrounding supernova rate or SNR CR acceleration efficiency – the GC loses $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in non-thermal particles into the Galaxy-at-large and the role this release of CRs plays in explaining large-angular-scale non-thermal emission around the GC. In Section 6 we present our conclusions. Finally, in extensive appendices we describe current knowledge about environmental conditions in the GC, set out a number of independent determinations of the star formation rate (SFR) and the speed of the outflow that advects them from the GC.

### 2 Inputs

#### 2.1 Observations of regions of interest

##### 2.1.1 TeV γ-ray data: HESS field defined

The most compact region we concern ourselves with is defined by observations with the HESS Imaging Air-Cherenkov Telescope (hereafter ‘HESS’). After removal of point TeV sources coincident with Sgr A* and the SNR G 0.9+0.1 (of angular size ≲0.1), this instrument was shown to have detected (Aharonian et al. 2006) diffuse, ∼TeV γ-ray emission distributed more or less symmetrically around the GC over the region defined by $|l| < 0.8$ and $|b| < 0.3$ with an intensity of $1.4 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^{-2}$ eV$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ at 1 TeV (the solid angle denoted by the inner rectangle in Fig. 1). Only limited and dimmer diffuse TeV emission is detected outside this region. Hereafter, we denote this region as the ‘HESS field’. Modelling the particle astrophysical processes that occur within the region seen in projection is the chief motivation of this paper.

Of note is that the spectral index of the GC diffuse TeV emission, at $\gamma = 2.29 \pm 0.07_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.20_{\text{sys}}$ [where the spectrum is defined to be $F_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) \propto E_{\gamma}^{-\gamma}$] is significantly harder than the spectral index of the CR ion population threading the Galactic disc (i.e. the population we detect at the Earth) and the HE, diffuse γ-ray emission they generate. Disc CRs experience energy-dependent confinement (because of the energy-dependence of CR scattering on the Galaxy’s turbulent magnetic field structure) and their resulting steady-state distribution is, therefore, steepened from the injection distribution into the softer $\sim E_{\gamma}^{-2.75}$ spectrum observed at the Earth (see e.g. Aharonian et al. 2006). In fact, the GC TeV γ-ray spectral index (and that inferred for the parent CR ions) is close to that inferred for the injection spectrum of Galactic disc CRs, itself within the reasonable range of ∼2.1–2.2 expected (Hillas 2005) for first-order acceleration.
revealed a diffuse but discrete, non-thermal radio source (‘DNS’). Radio observations at 74 and 330 MHz (LaRosa et al. 2005) have shown (Crocker et al. 2010) that the spectrum of the DNS is non-thermal up to \( \sim 10 \) GHz with an overall radio spectral index, \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha} \), of \( \alpha \approx 0.7 \) (excluding the 74 MHz datum which is problematic because interferometric and free–free-absorption-affected). A pure power law is a poor description of the spectrum (excluded with 3.4\( \sigma \) confidence), however, which in fact exhibits a spectral steepening at \( \sim 1 \) GHz (see Fig. 2) of \( \sim 0.6 \). Our analysis of this radio spectrum – in concert with \( \sim \)GeV \( \gamma \)-ray observations by the EGRET instrument (Hunter et al. 1997) – has shown that the large-scale magnetic field of the DNS region is at least 50 \( \mu \)G (radio data are described in detail in the Appendix, the analysis of these data is described at length in the supplementary material to Crocker et al. 2010). Again using archival radio data, we have measured the radio spectra for regions under consideration (intensity versus frequency). The triangular (blue) data points refer to the DNS region (see Crocker et al. 2010, and references therein and Appendix D). The circular (red) data points refer to the HESS field. The vertical dashed line divides the (interferometric) 74 MHz data – which do not receive a contribution from the Galactic plane synchrotron foreground/background – from all the (single dish) other data (which do). The 74 MHz data are also strongly affected by free–free absorption. Note that the 330 MHz flux density for the HESS region (Law 2010) is indicative only as it suffers from an uncertain absolute calibration. It is therefore not used in our fitting described below.

2.1.2 Radio observations of GC diffuse emission: DNS defined

Radio observations at 74 and 330 MHz (LaRosa et al. 2005) have revealed a diffuse but discrete, non-thermal radio source (‘DNS’).
spectrum of the HESS field defined above. This is, as for the DNS, non-thermal up to $\sim10$ GHz (see Fig. 2) with an overall radio spectral index $\alpha \sim 0.5$ (excluding the 74 MHz datum), indicating a very flat synchrotron emitting electron population (and, sub-dominantly, pollution from H II regions as discussed at length below). The spectrum is reasonably described by a power law (again excluding the 74 MHz datum).

The total radio flux densities measured within the DNS and HESS fields receive – in addition to the truly diffuse emission we are concerned with – contributions from discrete sources within each field and are also polluted by synchrotron emission from CR electrons along the line-of-sight but out of the actual GC. How we deal with these back/foregrounds is described at length in Section 3 (also see supplementary material to Crocker et al. 2011).

2.1.3 GeV $\gamma$-ray data

EGRET observations (Hunter et al. 1997) show a pedestal of intensity across the inner tens of degrees of the Galactic plane. No obvious, diffuse source on the same size scales as the DNS radio structure is evident in these data (e.g. Crocker et al. 2010) and the data, therefore, define only upper limits to the GeV intensity that may be predicted by modelling of the region.

In contrast, it is apparent (see Fig. 3) that the Fermi instrument observes diffuse emission from a region very similar in extent to the DNS. This $\gamma$-ray emission is, however, again polluted by the contribution of (i) individual point sources within the field (Cohen-Tanugi et al. 2009) including a GeV source positionally coincident with Sgr A and the GC TeV point source (Chernyakova et al. 2011) and (ii) by emission from CRs in the line-of-sight along the Galactic plane but out of the GC (Vitale et al. 2009). Apparently, because of the difficulties attendant upon the removal of these back/foregrounds, the Fermi collaboration has not yet produced an estimate of the GC’s diffuse, GeV $\gamma$-ray emission. Total GeV intensity spectra have appeared (Meurer 2009), however, and these define upper-limits to what our modelling may predict. The spectrum of this total emission over the inner GC $[1^\circ \times 1^\circ]$ box centred on the ($l, b$) = (0, 0)] is well described by a sharply broken power law that breaks downwards from $\gamma \sim 1.4$ to $\gamma \gtrsim 2.6$ at $E_{\text{cut}} \sim 1.6$ GeV (Meurer 2009).

Such a spectrum is consistent with millisecond pulsars (MSPs) in the GC field (and along the sight) dominating the observed emission.

The spectrum of individual MSPs is well-fitted as a broken power law with average parameters (Abdo et al. 2009; Malyshev, Cholis & Gelfand 2010) $\gamma = 1.5 \pm 0.4$, $E_{\text{cut}} = (2.8 \pm 1.9)$ GeV and $L = 10^{33.9 \pm 0.6}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The number of such pulsars in the GC environment is rather badly constrained given observational difficulties; broadly, the Fermi GeV data require $\sim$ a few hundred MSPs in the GC field.

2.1.4 Spatial correlations between GC emission in various wavebands

Although it is the subject of a forthcoming, in-depth study by some of us we mention in passing here that there exists a good spatial correlation between TeV and $\sim$GHz RC emission over the GC (also see Erlykin & Wolfendale 2007). Furthermore, emission in both these wavebands is also correlated with the CS molecular-line data of Tsuboi, Handa & Ukita (1999), particularly after optical depth effects are taken into account. These facts support the notion that the observed emission is truly from the GC and that the single-zone assumption we employ (i.e. that CR electrons and protons are generating the observed synchrotron and $\gamma$-ray emission in the same environment) is a justified simplification.

2.2 Environmental conditions

Environmental conditions in the GC are reviewed in Appendix A. In general the HESS field encompasses a region of very high volumetric average molecular gas density ($\sim$120 cm$^{-3}$ as inferred from the data presented by Ferri`ere et al. 2007), but with most H$_2$ actually concentrated into the low-filling-factor cores of the region’s giant molecular clouds. There is now convincing evidence for a second, relatively low density ($\sim$100 cm$^{-3}$) but warmer molecular phase enveloping the denser core material. The dominant filling-factor phase is a very hot plasma of ($\sim$10$^7$–$8$) K (Ferri`ere et al. 2007; Higdon et al. 2009, and references therein). The region’s magnetic field is also very strong ($\gtrsim 50 \mu$G; Crocker et al. 2010). Finally, we note that this paper and Papers I and II reach the conclusion that there is a fast ($\gtrsim 100$ km s$^{-1}$) outflow from the GC. There is considerable prior evidence for such an outflow which is summarized in Appendix C.

2.3 Time-scales: system in (quasi-) steady state

An important consideration in our modelling is whether the system is in steady-state so that, in particular, the typical time between injection events (i.e. supernovae) – given the supernova rate – can be taken to be negligibly small in comparison with other time-scales of relevance, in particular, the transport and loss time-scales. In fact, given the supernova rate determined in Appendix B, this condition is met as summarized in Fig. 4 where the various displayed

\[ \text{Figure 3. Fermi raw count map of emission above 1 GeV around the GC (as intensity) with contours showing 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18 counts (14 months’ data-taking) smoothed to the Fermi PSF of 0.3 at 1 GeV illustrating (only) the } \gamma\text{-ray morphology. The ellipse and box are again the DNS and HESS fields. The image was made using the standard Fermi data reduction pipeline. For the total spectrum of the region we employ the data presented by Meurer (2009); the GeV point source coincident with } (l, b) = (0, 0) \text{ was recently described by Chernyakova et al. (2011).} \]
2.3.1 A note on the TeV $\gamma$-ray deficit at $l = 1.3$

As described above, the HESS collaboration interpreted the breakdown in the correlation between the diffuse TeV emission and the molecular gas column density as indicating a non-steady-state situation, viz., the $\gamma$-ray emission had been energized by a single CR ion injection event close to the actual GC, the leading edge of which had not yet reached this longitude. Within the context of the steady-state modelling we perform, this explanation is not available to us: this deficit must speak of either a spatial change in CR ion population and/or gas characteristics at this distance from the GC. In fact, such is not unreasonable: molecular studies (Tanaka et al. 2007) have revealed a distinct ‘$l = 1.3$ complex’ which is located (in projection) at the geometrically privileged position defined by the eastern terminus of the CMZ. This complex contains gas characterized by unusually large velocity extents and a ‘puffy’ structure (Oka et al. 1996) with at least nine expanding shells, some possessing enormous ($\sim 10^{51} - 52$) erg) kinetic energy and displaying associated SiO clumps. The exact interpretation of this phenomenology is a matter of debate: the structure might have been energized by a very recent burst of massive star formation (Tanaka et al. 2007). Alternatively, the $l = 1.3$ gas may have only recently arrived in the central region and collided with the central condensation (Sawada et al. 2004). In any case, given these anomalies, a break-down in the correlation between TeV emission and gas density at $l = 1.3$ – while certainly interesting – does not necessarily reveal that a single event energized the region’s CR ion population; steady-state interpretations of the $\gamma$-ray phenomenology and the particle astrophysics behind it are possible (the simplest probably being that the $l = 1.3$ gas complex is not even contiguous with the rest of the CMZ, but only appears so because of projection effects; we will examine these issues at greater length in future work).

3 MODELLING OF NON-THERMAL PARTICLE POPULATIONS

We have created a one-zone model of the injection, cooling and escape of relativistic protons, electrons and secondary electrons (and positrons) from the HESS region. As justified above, in our modelling we assume that the particle astrophysics can be accurately described to be in quasi-steady-state and that the particle transport time-scale is energy-independent.

3.1 Modelling

3.1.1 Steady-state particle distributions

We assume homogeneity and isotropy in our one zone modelling. In this case the transport equation is

$$\frac{\partial n(E_x)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial E_x} \left[ E_x \frac{n_x}{\tau_{loss}(E_x)} \right] - \frac{n_x}{\tau_{esc}(E_x)} + \dot{Q}(E_x) \tag{2}$$

(Ginzburg & Syrovatskii 1964), where $\dot{Q}(E_x)$ denotes the injection rate of particles of type $x$, $x \in \{ p, e \}$ and we account for both particle escape and energy loss over, respectively, time-scales of $\tau_{esc}$ and $\tau_{loss}$. The steady-state distribution of non-thermal particles can be written then as

$$n_x(E_x) = \frac{\tau_{loss}(E_x)}{E_x} \times \int_{E_x}^{\infty} dE_x' \dot{Q}(E_x') \exp \left[ -\int_{E_x}^{E_x'} \frac{dE_x''}{E_x''} \frac{\tau_{loss}(E_x'')}{\tau_{esc}(E_x'')} \right]. \tag{3}$$

For our purposes a sufficiently accurate approximation to the above can be written as

$$n_x(E_x) \simeq \frac{\tau_{loss}(E_x)}{\tau_{esc}(E_x) + (\gamma - 1)\tau_{esc}} \dot{Q}(E_x), \tag{4}$$
where $\gamma$ is the spectral index of the (assumed) power-law (in momentum) proton or electron spectrum at injection, and we assume the escape time, $t_{\text{esc}}$, to be energy-independent (see discussion in Appendix E). Here, we take it that the acceleration time-scale is less than both the loss and escape time-scales up to some large $E_{\text{max}}^p$ (otherwise the steady-state CR proton distribution would not likely be a power law, in contradiction with the expectation supplied by the $\gamma$-ray data). Note that equation (4) reduces to the usual leaky-box expression, $n(E_e) \propto t_{\text{esc}}^{-\gamma} Q(E_e)$, in the limit that $t_{\text{esc}} \ll t_{\text{loss}}$ and to the ‘thick target’ expression, $n(E_e) \propto t_{\text{loss}}/(\gamma - 1) Q(E_e)$, in the opposite limit (see e.g. Crocker et al. 2007a). Given the energy-independent adiabatic energy loss time and the almost energy-independent pp cross-section, equation (4) renders a very accurate approximation to the steady-state proton distribution. For electrons, over the parameter space of interest to us (especially for spectral indices of concern which are 2.0 or steeper) we find from numerical evaluation that equation (4) reproduces equation (3) to better than $\sim 35$ per cent.

### 3.1.2 Cooling processes

Relativistic protons and electrons may both suffer adiabatic energy losses in doing $P \, \mathrm{d}V$ work on the escaping wind fluid (with the requisite momentum exchange presumably communicated dominantly via the CRs’ scattering on Alfvénic disturbances in the magnetized wind plasma; e.g. Socrates, Davis & Ramirez-Ruiz 2008) which are given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\text{CR}}}{\mathrm{d}t} (E_{\text{CR}}) = -\frac{1}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot E_{\text{CR}} \simeq -\frac{1}{t_{\text{esc}}} E_{\text{CR}}.$$  (5)

In addition, as already alluded to, there are cooling processes that affect relativistic electrons or protons exclusively (see Section 2.3). For protons, note that the steady-state distribution – given the near energy-independence of the pp cross-section over the apposite energy range (and the assumed energy-independence of the escape time) – will have a spectral shape identical to the injection distribution. The inelastic collisions suffered by the protons lead to the production of neutral pions (which decay into $\gamma$-rays) and charged pions whose decay products include relativistic electrons and positrons. Self-consistently, our model also tracks the radiative output of these ‘secondary electrons’.

In the case of electrons (and positrons$^4$) we have that

$$t_{\text{loss}}(E_e) = -E_e \frac{\mathrm{d}E_e}{\mathrm{d}t} (E_e),$$  (6)

where the total loss rate is given by the sum of all loss processes acting to cool the relativistic electron distribution, viz. adiabatic deceleration, ionization, bremsstrahlung, and synchrotron and inverse-Compton (IC) emission:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E_e}{\mathrm{d}t} (E_e) \equiv \sum_i t_{\text{loss}}^i(E_e),$$  (7)

where $i \in \{\text{inzn, brems, synch, IC}\}$. Each of these processes has a characteristic and different energy dependence as described in Section 2.3. Roughly, the cooling rate is independent of energy in the case of ionization, $\propto E_e$ in the case of bremsstrahlung, and $\propto E_e^2$ in the case of synchrotron and IC emission: full expressions can be found in Crocker et al. (2007a). These different energy dependences introduce spectral features into the steady-state electron distribution that are in addition to the features inherited from the injection distribution and occur at an energy where one cooling process takes over from another (or from escape). Note, however, that over the range of energy over which either bremsstrahlung or (energy-independent) escape is the dominant process, the spectral index of the steady-state electron distribution is the same as that at injection.

### 3.1.3 Radiative processes

The same cooling processes described above lead to the radiation of photons that are potentially observable (though it does not follow, of course, that, e.g. for electrons synchrotron radiating at $\sim 1\text{GHz}$ frequencies these particles are only or even dominantly cooled by synchrotron emission). We self-consistently calculate the radiation of the relativistic (primary and secondary) electron population via synchrotron, bremsstrahlung, and IC emission. We also calculate the $\gamma$-ray emission induced by the hadronic collisions experienced by the relativistic protons. Expression for these processes is given by Crocker et al. (2007a). Note that, in calculating the synchrotron cooling and emission, we assume an isotropic electron distribution. This implies the solid-angle-averaged magnetic field perpendicular to the electron’s direction of travel is $\langle B_L \rangle = \pi/4 \simeq 0.78B$.

### 3.1.4 Signal at radio wavelengths

The (intrinsic, unabsorbed) signal we wish to model at radio wavelengths consists solely of the synchrotron emission due to primary (1e) and secondary electrons (2e):

$$F_{\text{synch}}(\nu) = F_{\text{synch}}^{1e}(\nu) + F_{\text{synch}}^{2e}(\nu)$$  (8)

where the flux densities of these separate contributions are functions of the following parameters (defined previously) over which we minimize the $\chi^2$ function set out below:

$$F_{\text{synch}}^{1e}(\nu) = F_{\text{synch}}^{1e}(\nu, \gamma_e, t_{\text{esc}}, B, \eta_{\text{H}})$$

$$F_{\text{synch}}^{2e}(\nu) = F_{\text{synch}}^{2e}(\nu, \gamma_e, t_{\text{esc}}, B, \eta_{\text{H}})$$  (9)

where $\nu_{\text{synch}}(\text{TeV}) \equiv \nu_{\text{synch}}(\text{GeV})$, $\nu_{\text{synch}} \equiv \nu_{\text{synch}}(\text{TeV})$, $\gamma_e \equiv \gamma_e(\text{TeV})$, and $\nu_{\text{synch}}$ are the injection spectral indexes obey $\gamma_e = \gamma_e(\nu)$. Note that, for clarity, here and below we do not list other in-principle parameters that are fixed in our modelling (distance to, volume of and solid angle of source region). Also note that at scaling energies $\gamma_e \approx \gamma_e(\nu)$ but we do not assume this relation. Rather, the spectrum of secondary electrons (resulting from the collisions of a particular, power-law population of CR protons and taking into account the kinematics of the $\pi \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow e$ decay chain) is as given by a Mathematica interpolation of particle yields from accelerator data on charged pion production in pp collisions (as described in length in Crocker et al. 2007a).$^5$

### 3.1.5 Signal at $\gamma$-ray energies

At TeV energies we have to consider emission by both (highly) relativistic electrons and protons. Relevant processes are neutral meson (mostly $\pi^0$) decay following pp collisions for protons and IC and bremsstrahlung for both primary and secondary electrons:

$$F_{\text{TeV}}(E_\gamma) = F_{\text{TeV}}^{1e}(E_\gamma) + F_{\text{TeV}}^{1e}(E_\gamma) + F_{\text{TeV}}^{2e}(E_\gamma)$$  (10)

$^4$ Note that the ultra-relativistic energy scale of the leptons under consideration implies that energy losses for positrons and electrons can be taken to be identical with high accuracy. Positron annihilation can also be ignored (see e.g. Crocker et al. 2007a).

$^5$ Data kindly provided by Todor Stanov.
Again, note that the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) – an important parameter in describing IC cooling and emission – is fixed in our modelling with an energy density of $\gtrsim 90$ eV cm$^{-3}$ and spectrum as described above. Over reasonable parameter values (in particular, the requisite energy of the emitting electron) synchrotron is not a relevant production process for $\sim$TeV $\gamma$-rays in this environment. Also note that we will ignore $\gamma\gamma$ attenuation which in principle is non-negligible at energies of $\gtrsim 100$ TeV for the photon-rich GC environment (Zhang, Bi & Hu 2006; Moskalenko, Porter & Strong 2006) but which introduces an attenuation which is small on the scale of other uncertainties in our modelling. For pp $\gamma\gamma$-production, $F_{\nu}^{\text{IC}}(E_{\gamma})$, we use an interpolation between (at $E_{\gamma} < 100$ GeV) the results of Kamae et al. (2006) and (at $E_{\gamma} > 100$ GeV) the results of Kelner, Aharonian & Bugayov (2006).

3.2.2 Free–free emission and absorption

Given the compact and extended H$\Pi$ regions within the GC and along the line-of-sight (LaRosa et al. 2005) we expect a contribution from free–free (thermal bremsstrahlung) emission to the total radio flux. Self-consistently, we must take into account the optical depth due to free–free absorption in modelling the detected radio flux due to synchrotron and free–free emission at the source. Our modelling of free–free processes works in the following way: at sufficiently high frequency, the free–free optical depth, $\tau_{\text{ff}}$, becomes small and free–free emission, $F_{\nu}^{\text{ff}}$, might account for anything up to 100 per cent of a high-frequency flux datum. Our $\chi^2$ procedure, then, normalizes the total free–free emission at 10.29 GHz where $\tau_{\text{ff}} \ll 1$ for reasonable parameters. At this high frequency, the free–free optical depth and emission – connected through the emission measure – are related via:

$$\tau_{\text{ff}}(10.29 \text{ GHz}) = \frac{F_{\nu}^{\text{ff}}(10.29 \text{ GHz})}{\Omega_{\text{HESS}} B(10.29 \text{ GHz}, T)},$$

(13)

where we make the simplifying assumption that the H$\Pi$ region covers the entire HESS region solid angle, $\Omega_{\text{HESS}}$, and $B(10.29 \text{ GHz}, T)$ is the intensity of a blackbody of temperature $T$ at 10.29 GHz. We adopt $T = 5000 \text{ K}$ in this calculation as motivated by radio recombination line observations of the GC (Ferrière et al. 2007; Law et al. 2009). Self-consistently, the free–free optical depth at any frequency can then be determined as

$$\tau_{\text{ff}}(\nu) = \frac{F_{\nu}^{\text{ff}}(10.29 \text{ GHz})}{\Omega_{\text{HESS}} B(10.29 \text{ GHz}, T)} \left( \frac{\nu}{10.29 \text{ GHz}} \right)^{-2.1},$$

(14)

and, assuming the geometry of an intervening screen, the detected free–free emission at any frequency is then

$$F_{\nu}^{\text{ff}}(\nu) = \Omega_{\text{HESS}} B(10.29 \text{ GHz}, T) (1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{ff}}(\nu)}).$$

(15)

On the other hand, the synchrotron emission region – located behind the assumed free–free screen – has its flux attenuated according to

$$F_{\nu}^{\text{synch}}(\nu) = F_{\nu}^{0\text{synch}}(\nu) e^{-\tau_{\text{ff}}(\nu)},$$

(16)

where $F_{\nu}^{0\text{synch}}$ is the flux that would be detected from the region in the absence of free–free absorption.

3.2.3 Discrete sources in the field

We do not attempt to subtract off the radio flux associated with discrete sources in the field [which we have previously determined to be at the $\lesssim 10$ per cent level (Crocker et al. 2010), consistent with the expectation that individual SNR contribute 10 per cent of the total radio flux from normal galaxies; Condon 1992; Zirakashvili & Völk 2006] because the TeV $\gamma$-data over the given region do not resolve out any such sources except for the Sgr A point source (and the radio flux associated with the Sgr A source – in contrast to its TeV flux – is small in comparison to the region’s integrated radio flux; e.g. 20 Jy at 1.4 GHz, cf. the almost 2000 Jy over the entire region; Crocker et al. 2007b).

Note that, in fact, H$\Pi$ absorption over the field is somewhat patchy (LaRosa et al. 2005). Though not strictly justified given we find $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $< 1$ already for best-fitting regions of the current parameter space, we have investigated the introduction of a further parameter for the H$\Pi$ covering fraction in our modelling. We find that a covering fraction $\gtrsim 20\%$ is required for a good fit; this is consistent with the results of Mezger & Pauls (1979) who found that most thermal RC emission from the region was contributed by extended, low-density ionized gas (discrete H$\Pi$ regions contributing the remainder).
3.3 Modelling procedure

Our approach is to find – as a function of environmental and other parameters – the steady-state populations of relativistic protons and electrons within the region of interest. (Note that in our modelling we neglect for simplicity the poorly constrained ionic component of the CR hadronic population heavier than protons.) We then self-consistently determine (for the same environmental parameters) the radiative output of these populations, as described above. Finally, we use a $\chi^2$ minimization procedure to determine the parameters describing the proton and electron populations whose radiative outputs in the given ISM environment give the best fit to the total radio flux (density) and the $\sim$TeV $\gamma$-ray flux detected from the HESS field (as previously noted, the GeV data only define upper limits to the broad-band emission from the region and we do not attempt to fit them directly).

Environmental parameters that vary within our modelling are magnetic field $B$, ambient hydrogen number density $n_H$ (in whatever form) and the energy-independent time-scale over which particles are advected from the system, $t_{esc} \equiv h/v_{wind}$, where $h \equiv 8$ kpc tan(0.3) $\simeq 40$ pc.

We assume that protons and electrons are injected into the GC ISM with distributions governed by power laws in momentum (with identical spectral indices $y_p \equiv y_e \equiv y$). The relative normalization of the injection distribution of electrons to that of protons (at relativistic energies) is given by the coefficient $Q_{ep}$ which is also left as a free parameter in our modelling. The absolute normalization of the distribution of protons at injection is, finally, specified by $Q_p$ (in units eV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), also a free parameter within the model.

3.3.1 Chi-squared function

We determine the volume of parameter space for which an adequate fit to the data is obtained via a numerical minimization within MATHEMATICA (using the internal FindMinimum function, with method InteriorPoint) of a $\chi^2$ function:

$$\chi^2 = \chi^2_{\text{radio}} + \chi^2_{\text{TeV}} + \chi^2_{\text{GBS}}$$

where

$$\chi^2_{\text{radio}} = \sum \frac{(F_{\text{radio}}(v_i) - F_{\text{obs}}(v_i))^2}{\sigma^2(v_i)}$$

with

$$\{v_i\} = \{74 \text{ MHz}, 1.408 \text{ GHz}, 2.417 \text{ GHz}, 2.695 \text{ GHz}, 8.35 \text{ GHz}, 10.29 \text{ GHz}\}$$

and

$$F_{\text{radio}}(v_i) = e^{-\tau_i(v)} \left( F_{\text{sync}}^{1e}(v_i) + F_{\text{sync}}^{2e}(v_i) \right) + F_{\text{GBS}}(v_i) + F_{\gamma}(v_i)$$

and where

$$\chi^2_{\text{TeV}} = \sum \frac{(F_{\text{TeV}}(E_{\gamma, i}) - F_{\text{obs}}(E_{\gamma, i}))^2}{\sigma^2(E_{\gamma, i})}$$

with

$$F_{\text{TeV}}(E_{\gamma}) = F_{\gamma}^{0} + F_{\gamma}^{1e}(E_{\gamma}) + F_{\gamma}^{2e}(E_{\gamma}) + F_{\gamma}^{GSB}(E_{\gamma})$$

The parameters over which we minimize the $\chi^2$ are $Q_{ep}$, $y_p$, $y_e$, $v_{wind}$, $B$, $n_H$ and $\tau_{GBS}$. Guided by considerations set out in Appendix C, where necessary to self-consistently parametrize the wind, we assume a thermalization efficiency in the range $0.1 \leq \eta \leq 0.9$ in our modelling.

4 RESULTS OF MODELLING OF HESS REGION

4.1 Typical parameters

Our fitting procedure finds acceptable fits to the data we model for magnetic field amplitudes around $\sim 100$ $\mu$G, wind speeds around $v_{wind} \sim$ a few $\times 100$ km s$^{-1}$, total power in all non-thermal particles of $\sim 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$, gas densities around $n_H \sim 10$ cm$^{-3}$, injection spectral indices of $\gamma \sim 2.4$, ionization rates of $\zeta \sim 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ and electron to proton ratios (at injection at 1 TeV) of $\kappa_{ep} \sim 10^{-2}$. (The implications and the statistically acceptable range of each of these are discussed at greater length below.)

Below we illustrate (Figs 5 and 6) the modelled broad-band and radio spectra for the best-fitting case (which achieves $\chi^2 \simeq 7.9$ for d.o.f. $= 9$). We also show (Fig. 7) the inferred CR proton and electron spectra – at injection and steady-state – for this particular case.

4.2 Pure ‘hadronic’, pure ‘leptonic’ scenarios excluded

As part of our modelling we have examined whether the broad-band, diffuse emission from the HESS region might be explained either within pure ‘hadronic’ or ‘leptonic’ scenarios. In the former case, synchrotron emission by secondary electrons and positrons, by construction, explains most of the non-thermal RC emission while...
Figure 6. Radio data and the modelled radio emission due to various processes for the best-fitting parameters described in the text. Note that the 74 MHz datum does not receive a contribution from line-of-sight Galactic plane synchrotron (GSB) emission, hence the (unphysical) step in the modelled total emission curve (solid blue curve). Other curves are: solid, purple: total synchrotron; dotted, purple: total synchrotron in absence of free–free absorption; dashed, black: primary electron synchrotron; dotted, red: secondary electron synchrotron; dotted, green: GSB; and dot–dashed, brown: free–free emission.

the non-thermal TeV emission is mostly accounted for by neutral pion decay (with a subdominant contribution from secondary electron IC and bremsstrahlung). Note that Thompson et al. (2006) have claimed an important – even dominant – role for secondary electrons in generating the RC detected from starbursting systems. In a ‘leptonic scenario’, primary electron synchrotron explains the RC while IC and bremsstrahlung by higher-energy members of the same primary population explain the TeV signal. In fact, we find poor fits for both these limiting cases: the best-fitting pure hadronic case has \( \chi^2/d.o.f. = 14.9/7 \), acceptable only at \( \sim 2.1 \sigma \) and requires a very strong (\( \sim 4 \) mG) field; the best-fitting pure leptonic case has \( \chi^2/d.o.f. = 28.3/7 \), acceptable only at \( \sim 3.7 \sigma \) and requires a very weak (\( \sim 16 \) \( \mu \)G) field and a very tenuous (\( \sim 2 \) cm\(^{-3} \)) gas environment.

4.3 Existence of nuclear wind confirmed by modelling

Pure modelling of the non-thermal spectrum does not constrain the wind speed but the imposition of physically motivated constraints (as described in the Fig. 8 caption) on the parameter space shows that the wind speed is \( >200 \) km s\(^{-1} \) and most likely several hundred km s\(^{-1} \) (see Fig. 8). Given the 1.4 \( \times 10^{40} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) mechanical power supplied by supernovae, a conservative (because assuming 100 per cent thermalization and no mass loading) upper limit on the wind speed is \( \sim 1200 \) km s\(^{-1} \).

4.4 GC magnetic field determined by modelling

As remarked upon at length in Appendix A2, the GC magnetic field has been uncertain by about two orders of magnitude for some time though our recent work (Crocker et al. 2010) has determined a lower limit to the field on the size scale of the DNS of \( 50 \) \( \mu \)G. Relaxing all constraints and fitting only to the broad-band spectrum we do not significantly constrain this range. If we impose the reasonable (e.g. Volk et al. 1990) – but admittedly heuristic – constraint that the ratio of proton to electron differential number density injected into the ISM satisfy \( \kappa_{p,e} < 0.01 \), we find that the magnetic field is required to satisfy \( B > 50 \) \( \mu \)G at \( 2 \sigma \) confidence with a minimum at \( \sim 160 \) \( \mu \)G.

Figure 7. Inferred particle spectra pertaining to the best-fitting case. (a) Modelled proton and electron populations at injection (both assumed to be governed by power laws in momentum) for the best-fitting case. (b) In situ charged lepton spectra (as formed by the loss and escape processes described in the text) for the best-fitting case. The rising spectrum of secondary electrons at very low (and radiatively undetectable) kinetic energies is due to ‘knock-on’ electrons. The local electron spectrum is as described by Aharonian et al. 2009). (c) In situ proton spectrum (as formed by the loss and escape processes described in the text) for the best-fitting case. The local proton spectrum is as described by Webber (1998).

Requiring that the outflow velocity is governed by equation (C1) in addition to other, reasonable constraints (see the caption of Fig. 9) tends to pick out best-fitting \( B \) values around this value. It is interesting to remark, moreover, that imposition of these extra constraints requires the field be \( \lesssim 300 \) \( \mu \)G, less than the \( \sim 600 \) \( \mu \)G necessary for the magnetic field alone to ensure hydrostatic equilibrium (see Appendix A2.2).
of the heuristic (e.g. Völk, Klein & Wielebinski 1990) constraint that the ratio $\kappa$ of proton to electron differential number density injected into the ISM satisfy $4.5$ Power demanded by modelling $\chi^2$ Power demanded by modelling (Appendix A2).

Appendix A2).

With setting the wind speed to that given by the mechanical power and (ii) self-consistently contributed by CRs and magnetic fields (equation C3) is no larger than the total pressure launching the wind and (ii) self-consistently contributed by CRs and magnetic fields (equation C3) is no larger than the total pressure launching the wind and (iii) required to achieve balance (C3)

$d_n$ (dotted line) shows the approximate gravitational escape speed from the inner edge of the wind. Solid curve is for a fiducial $10^{50}$ erg per SN into CRs. In other words, we reach the conclusion that GC SNRs are at least as efficient as disc SNRs as CR accelerators, losing $\geq 10$ per cent of their mechanical power into acceleration of such particles.

4.6 Medium where emission is occurring

On the basis of our modelling we can infer the characteristic gas density for the region in which the modelled non-thermal radiation is generated. Fitting only to the broad-band data we find a very shallow minimum $\chi^2$ for $1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \geq n H \geq 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with an even larger $n H$ range acceptable at $2 \sigma$ level (see Fig. 11). Note that the volumetric average $n H$ in the region is $\sim 120 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with most of the mass contributed by molecular gas found at very high number density ($\geq 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) in the cores of the region’s giant molecular clouds. It is clear that the hypothesis that emission originates dominantly in these regions is disfavoured. We also indicate in the figure the volumetric-average number density of gas in all plasma phases, $n_{\text{Htot}}$, (including the ‘very hot’, ‘hot’ and, dominantly, ‘warm’ $H^+$ phases identified by Ferrière et al. 2007, see Appendix A1.2). Given that, according to the analysis of Higdon et al. (2009), relativistic particles will not be trapped in the very hot phase (for which

significantly reduced, favouring a power into non-thermal particles $\geq 10^{50}$ erg s$^{-1}$, the value predicted by the supernova rate and adopting a fiducial $10^{50}$ erg per SN into CRs. In other words, we reach the interesting conclusion that GC SNRs are at least as efficient as disc SNRs as CR accelerators, losing $\geq 10$ per cent of their mechanical power into acceleration of such particles.

4.5 Power demanded by modelling

From direct modelling of the broad-band spectrum we find no clear minimum in the $\chi^2$, expressed as a function of power injected into all CRs (see Fig. 10). This figure, however, also shows the effect of introducing the dual constraints of (i) requiring that the pressure contributed by CRs and magnetic fields (equation C3) is no larger than the total pressure launching the wind and (ii) self-consistently setting the wind speed to that given by the mechanical power and mass injected into the system (while still allowing the thermalization efficiency to vary between 0.1 and 0.9; see equation C1). With the imposition of these constraints the allowed parameter space is

This seems to tell against a Thompson et al. (2006)-type scenario, while certainly much larger than that in the disc – is neither large at least as it might apply to the GC: the magnetic field in the GC – is as described by Thompson et al. (2006) in dense starbursts, this of the plasma and non-thermal components). If the situation really

pressures (generated by the modelled magnetic field and CR population) be no larger than the pressure launching the wind as given by equation (C3) (d.o.f. = 9). The dot-dashed curve also requires the wind speed obeys equation (C1) with $\eta_{\text{therm}}$ a free parameter and, in addition, introduces a term into the $\chi^2$ for power injected into CRs (central datum value set to power expected on basis of SN rate plus stellar winds, $1.4 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) and constrains the power advected from the system by CRs to be enough to generate the DNS emission, $E_{\text{adv}} > 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (d.o.f. = 10). The dotted (yellow) curve follows the imposition of the heuristic (e.g. Völk, Klein & Wielebinski 1990) constraint that the ratio of proton to electron differential number density injected into the ISM satisfy $\kappa > 0.01$ and also that $n_{H} \geq n_{H1} \equiv 6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, where $n_{H1}$ is the volumetric average over the HESS region of all gas in plasma phase as inferred from the data of Ferrière et al. (2007). The dot-dashed (green) curve shows a fit to the broad-band data which also attempts to reproduce $R_{\text{wind}} \geq 0.01$ and $R_{\text{advio}} \lesssim 0.1$ (see Paper II and Section 1) with $n_{H} \geq n_{H1} \equiv 6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The vertical dashed and dot-dashed lines show, respectively, the approximate maximum ($\sim 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and minimum wind speeds according to equation (C1) with thermalization efficiencies of $\eta_{\text{therm}}^\text{max} \equiv 1$ and $\eta_{\text{therm}}^\text{min} \equiv 0.1$. The vertical dotted line shows the approximate gravitational escape speed from the inner GC of $\sim 900 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Muno et al. 2004) and the vertical solid line shows the mid-plane sound speed, $c_s = \sqrt{\gamma P/\rho} \c/o_{\gamma}$, in the wind plasma for central values of $E$ and $M$.

This seems to tell against a Thompson et al. (2006)-type scenario, while certainly much larger than that in the disc – is neither large at least as it might apply to the GC: the magnetic field in the GC – while certainly much larger than that in the disc – is neither large enough to ensure the region is calorimetric to electrons nor (alone) to establish hydrostatic equilibrium (though one should keep in mind that the region is not in hydrostatic equilibrium in any case given the evidence for an outflow which is driven by the combined pressures of the plasma and non-thermal components). If the situation really is as described by Thompson et al. (2006) in dense starbursts, this is, then, a point of difference between the GC and these systems.

The field is also significantly weaker than the $\sim n G$ amplitude suggested by analysis (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1987; Morris & Yusef-Zadeh 1989) of the region’s non-thermal filaments (NTFs; see Appendix A2).
the power in non-thermal particles assuming they capture a factor $\eta_{\text{CR}}$.

the equivalent constraints for the case of CR electron power.

$n_{\text{HI}} \leq 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) $n_{\text{HI}}$, arguably constitutes a lower limit to the effective, path-averaged $n_{\text{HI}}$ the region presents to CRs.

Inclusion of data in addition to the broad-band spectrum constrains the allowed $n_{\text{HI}}$ parameter space in a very interesting fashion. The dashed and dotted curves in Fig. 11 show the effect of including terms for $n_{\text{HI}}$ and $n_{\text{HII}}$ in the upper right of the HESS region. The dashed curve shows a fit to the total CR power constrained such that the wind speed obeys equation (C1) with $n_{\text{therm}}$ a free parameter (constrained to lie in the range $0.1 < n_{\text{therm}} < 0.9$) with the constraint that the total non-thermal pressure (generated by the modelled magnetic field and CR population) be no larger than the pressure launched the wind as given by equation (C3) (d.o.f. = 9). The dot–dashed curve shows the equivalent constraints for the case of CR electron power.

$n_{\text{HI}}$ is the possibility, of course, that this assumption does not accurately describe, for example, the H & HII regions. This modelling actually prefers, if any-thing, electron environments slightly denser than the proton ones.

We note in passing that, as stated above, our modelling makes the simplifying assumption of a single zone. This implies, in particular, that the synchrotron emission we model – due to $\sim$ GeV energy electrons – can be treated as though it is emanating from the same environment as the TeV signal which is (predominantly) generated by impacts of multi-TeV protons on ambient gas. There is the possibility, of course, that this assumption does not accurately reflect the circumstances in the GC; that, in fact, multi-TeV protons sample, on average, denser gas than the CR electrons. We have investigated this possibility in modelling which allows for different environmental parameters ($B$ and $n_{\text{HI}}$) in describing the CR electron and proton environments. This modelling actually prefers, if anything, electron environments slightly denser than the proton ones. We take this as a good indication that the single-zone modelling described above (fortuitously or not) renders a good description of the GC’s high-energy astrophysics.

4.7 Injection ratio of electrons to protons

Fig. 12 shows that fits to the broad-band spectrum of the region only poorly constrain the at-injection ratio of electrons to protons (at 1 TeV) so that, for instance, good fits are possible for either of the
limiting cases where, on the one hand, equal numbers of CR electrons and protons are injected and, on the other hand, equal power is injected in CR electrons and protons. As for other parameters, however, the imposition of additional, physically motivated constraints (as described in the figure caption) restrict the parameter space somewhat. It is certainly of note that the $\chi^2$ is minimized for $\kappa_{\text{ep}}$ in the range predicted (Bell 1978) for the case that the injection spectra for both electrons and protons are pure power-laws-in-momentum with spectral indices $\gamma = 2.2$ and 2.3 (consistent with the observed TeV spectral index).

5 DISCUSSION: IMPLICATIONS OF MODELLING RESULTS

5.1 Cosmic ray heating and ionization of GC gas

On the basis of our modelling, we find that the CRs in the HESS region induce a local ionization rate of $\gtrsim 10^{-15}$ s$^{-1}$. This is precisely in the range required by the data discussed in Appendix A1.

Moreover, on the basis of the results obtained by Suchkov, Allen & Heckman (1993) for starbursting environments (see their fig. 1), this ionization rate would keep the GC molecular gas at a temperature of $\gtrsim 50$ K, again precisely in the range required by the data (also see Yusef-Zadeh, Wardle & Roy 2007; Dogiel et al. 2009b). On the other hand, given this temperature, the kinetic pressure in the GC region would then imply that the molecular material would typically be at $\sim 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$, a number density higher than that found (or allowed) by our fitting (cf. Fig. 11), which is typically much more tenuous.

A compelling resolution of these facts is that the molecular gas exists, in fact, in two phases that are in pressure equilibrium at $\sim 5 \times 10^8$ K cm$^{-3}$: dense cores of $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ and relatively tenuous envelope material. Note that in this scenario pressure equilibrium is established between the kinetic pressure of the core material and the virial pressure implied by the turbulent motions of the tenuous gas. Also note that for a typical GC gas turbulent velocity dispersion of 20–40 km s$^{-1}$, pressure equilibrium implies the tenuous material has a density in the range 50–200 cm$^{-3}$, comfortably within the range given by our modelling. Finally, both phases will be heated by CR ionization to similar kinetic temperatures assuming the low-energy heating/ionizing CRs can actually penetrate into the cloud cores. As we have already seen, however, such penetration is extremely unlikely. This fact, together with the higher cooling efficiency of the dense core material (see fig. 1 of Suchkov et al. 1993), suggests that it should have a lower kinetic temperature than the envelope material. This is all precisely in line with the phenomenology discussed in Appendix A1: the GC molecular gas does indeed seem to be in a two-temperature distribution (Oka et al. 1998; Rodríguez-Fernández et al. 2001; Goto et al. 2008; Oka et al. 2005; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2007) with warm, low-density gas enveloping cooler, denser cores.

The exclusion of CRs from the densest molecular core regions suggests CRs do not significantly heat or ionize the ambient, dense gas where stars actually form. This is an interesting conclusion to reach in the context that the GC be considered a true starburst analogue; it has recently been argued that CR heating and ionization of molecular gas in starburst environments radically alter the conditions for star formation (away from those encountered in more quiescent star-forming regions) thereby leading to a top-heavy IMF (cf. Papadopoulos 2010; Papadopoulos et al. 2011). This mechanism would seem to be excluded in the GC. Whether it might still operate in ‘true’ starbursts (which, e.g., are dense enough that calorimetry is putatively achieved; Thompson et al. 2006) we cannot yet say. We do note, however, that turbulent velocities typical for the most luminous starbursts (which may exceed $200$ km s$^{-1}$; Downes & Solomon 1998) may imply advection time-scales into molecular clouds similar to $t_{\text{wind}}$ in these systems (cf. the GC case; Section 4.6), potentially implying CRs in these clouds do reach into the dense gas (cf. Contini & Goldman 2010).

5.2 GeV emission from the GC

It is clear from the broad-band spectrum presented in Fig. 5 that we explain $\lesssim 10$ per cent of the observed $\gamma$-ray emission at $\sim 5$ GeV energies. This is to be expected given, as remarked above, that we only have access to total flux data from Fermi and that these receive a substantial contribution from discrete sources in the GC (Chernyakova et al. 2011) and from both discrete and diffuse emission sources along the line of sight.

5.3 Explaining DNS/GC lobe radio emission

The observational study by Law (2010) revealed that the $\sim 5$ GHz spectral index of the GC lobe steepens with increasing distance (both north and south) of the Galactic plane. This constitutes strong evidence for synchrotron ageing of a CR electron population transported out of the GC, entirely consistent with the wind scenario we have been postulating here. Also of note is that the vertical extension of the GC lobe is very similar to the DNS. We previously postulated (Paper II), therefore, that the DNS and GC lobe are essentially identical structures synchrotron-illuminated by CR electrons advected...
from the inner GC (essentially the HESS region) on a wind (cf. Seaquist & Odegard 1991, on the radio halo of M82).

Three basic conditions must be satisfied in order that electrons escaping from the HESS field go on to pervade the DNS region and generate most of its synchrotron emission at \(\sim\)GHz wavelengths, viz.: (i) the spectrum of the electrons leaving the HESS region (i.e. the steady-state distribution in that region as given by equation 4) must match the spectrum at injection required for the DNS electrons; (ii) the power in electrons leaving the HESS region must be enough to support the DNS electron population and (iii) the time to transport electrons over the extent of the DNS must be less than or of the order of the loss time over the same scale. As shown in Paper II, all these conditions are well-met within our scenario. First, previous modelling by some of us (Crocker et al. 2010) shows that electrons are injected into the DNS with a spectral index in the range 2.0–2.4, perfectly consistent with the spectrum of electrons leaving the HESS region. Secondly, the same modelling demonstrated the total power required to sustain the steady-state electron population of the DNS is \(\sim\)a few \(\times 10^{37}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), again consistent with the power advected away by HESS region electrons. Thirdly, we have also shown previously that the magnetic field of the DNS region has a \((2\sigma)\) lower limit at 50 \(\mu\)G and a probable value of \(\sim 100\) \(\mu\)G. Requiring that electrons can be transported over the entire vertical extent of the DNS (\(\sim 1\) from the plane, corresponding to 140 pc) implies a wind speed of a least a few hundred km s\(^{-1}\) (see fig. 1 of Paper II), again consistent with the wind speed predicted by the modelling of the HESS region. More detailed modelling of electron transport out of the central HESS region and the attendant synchrotron cooling in order to match the detailed, position-dependent radio spectrum of the lobe [cf. the studies by Zirakashvili & Völk (2006) and Heesen et al. (2009a) of NGC 253] we leave to future work.

5.4 Explaining the WMAP ‘haze’ and Fermi ‘bubbles’

From detailed morphological and spectral analysis of large angular scale maps of microwave and \(\sim\)GeV \(\gamma\)-ray emission produced, respectively, by the WMAP and Fermi instruments, Finkbeiner and co-workers (Finkbeiner 2004; Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008; Dobler et al. 2010; Su et al. 2010) have made the interesting claim that there is evidence for anomalous, non-thermal ‘hazes’ of emission centred on the GC. This emission is apparently also coincident with extended soft X-ray emission traced by ROSAT (Snowden et al. 1997). The most recent analysis (Su et al. 2010) shows the anomalous \(\sim\)GeV emission to resemble two bilateral bubbles of emission centred on the core of the Galaxy and extending to around 10 kpc above and below the Galactic plane.

As has been explored at length elsewhere (Paper I), the WMAP haze and the Fermi bubbles are naturally explained within our model as due to the population of relic CRs protons and heavier ions (not CR electrons as has mostly been explored in the literature), injected in association with the high areal density star formation in the Galactic centre. In this scenario, the secondary electrons created by the hadronic collisions of these ions – occurring within the rarefied wind environment – naturally supply the synchrotron emission putatively detected by WMAP. The accompanying neutral meson decay (with a sub-dominant contribution from the IC emission by the secondary electrons), on the other hand, naturally explains the Fermi emission. This explanation requires that these CR hadrons (i) carry off a power of at least \(10^{38}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) and (ii) have been injected over an extremely long time-scale, at least 5 Gyr (the latter in order that pp energy losses in the extremely rarefied outflow, \(n_H \sim 0.01\) cm\(^{-3}\) put the local CR ion population into saturation).

An important result of this current work – in support of the explanation of the large-angular-scale microwave and GeV emission we advocate – is the demonstration that objects inhabiting or processes occurring within the HESS region do, indeed, accelerate \(\gtrsim 10^{39}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) in CRs (cf. Fig. 10). We have made the case here that GC supernovae can supply the power required to sustain the acceleration of this non-thermal particle population but note that this determination (that \(\gtrsim 10^{39}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) is injected into CRs) is independent of uncertainties surrounding the supernova rate, efficiency of SNRs as CR accelerators in the GC environment, etc. Note, in fact, that we cannot exclude, in principle, the possibility that other sources in the region might ultimately supply the power required by the CRs; the super-massive black hole Sgr A* is an obvious candidate (Aharonian et al. 2006) particularly as recent analysis (Chernyakova et al. 2011) of the GeV and TeV point sources coincident with it suggest that it, too, roughly supplies of the order of \(10^{39}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) in CRs.

The long time-scale of injection required to explain the haze/bubbles would – were it associated with any other Galactic source of structure – immediately call this explanation into question. The morphology of the observed bubbles (Su et al. 2010), however, unambiguously associates them with the GC, probably the only structure in the Galaxy for which spatially localized star formation over such a long period is credible (Serabyn & Morris 1996; Figer et al. 2004).

Another interesting aspect of this scenario is that the wind of plasma and CRs leaving the GC should neither escape to infinity nor fall back to the Galactic plane. In fact, given a wind speed of a few hundred km s\(^{-1}\), it is probable that the wind injected flow out of the GC stalls at a height of a few kpc – just as required – as has recently been investigated at length by Rodríguez-González, Raga & Cantó (2009, see Appendix C4).

5.5 Galactic bulge positrons

A nuclear wind could also help disperse positrons into the Galactic bulge (cf. Dermer & Skibo 1997; Cassé et al. 2004; Prantzos 2006; Totani 2006; Higdon et al. 2009; Gebauer & de Boer 2008; Dobler et al. 2010), potentially explaining the morphology of the observed 511 keV emission line (Knödlseder et al. 2005; Weidenspointner et al. 2008) as we also explain in Paper I. It should be noted, however, that this postulate does not address the problem of where the positrons come from in the first place.

A prime candidate process to supply the requisite, low-energy positrons is inverse beta decay of radioisotopes injected by GC Type 1a supernovae. It has been claimed, however, that GC SN1a can only supply 10 per cent of the positrons required by observations (Schanne et al. 2007). This determination assumes 3.3 per cent of the positrons from \(^{56}\)Ni decay escape the Type 1a envelope. This fraction, however, obtained from normalizing to a statistical sample of optical light curves (Milne, The & Leising 1999) is model-dependent and depends, in particular, on assumptions about the magnetic field strength and topology and the state of the gas in the expanding remnant (Milne et al. 1999; Higdon et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2010). Indeed, higher values for it have been countenanced (Higdon et al. 2009) and direct upper limits are at the \(\gtrsim 12\) per cent level for a number of disc SNRs (Martin et al. 2010). In any case, the highly porous and, in parts, extremely rarefied GC environment may allow many more positrons to escape the envelopes of GC SN Ias than typical for disc-type environments (cf. Parizot et al. 2005; Higdon et al. 2009; Martin et al. 2010). This consideration may extend to other classes of SN in the GC environment.
The many massive, young stars in the GC also constitute a compelling source population for an unusual sub-class of SN Type 1c, thought to originate in Wolf–Rayet explosions and exemplified by GRB-associated SN 2003dh, that have been speculated (Cassé et al. 2004) to be sources of the bulge positrons, as have GRBs more generally (Bertone et al. 2006; Parizot et al. 2005). Another possibility is that processes unique to the GC may be acting to supply positrons (e.g. tidal disruptions of massive stars by the SMBH: Cheng, Chernyshov & Dogiel 2006; Totani 2006) as, indeed, may the population of MSPs (Wang, Pun & Cheng 2006) that the GeV spectrum of the region indicates may be present. We leave investigation of these speculations to further work.

As a final note in this section, Churazov et al. (2010) have recently concluded (on the basis of a spectral study of SPI/INTEGRAL data on the circum-GC 511 keV line emission) that the annihilating positrons are injected into a hot (∼10^8 K), volume-filling ISM plasma phase which undergoes radiative cooling. The initial temperature of this gas is somewhat cooler than favoured by our analysis but we do note that, broadly, this scenario would seem to be consonant with our picture. It is also of interest that the prior modelling by Rodríguez-González et al. (2009) of a star formation driven GC outflow with initial temperature ∼10^7 K shows that the plasma cools to ∼10^5 K by the time it has reached a height of ∼1 kpc. According to the modelling of Churazov et al. (2010) at such a temperature the positrons may annihilate so, again, the combination of these two ideas would seem to point to a model that matches the observed ∼kpc extension of the 511 emission.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have modelled in detail the high-energy astrophysics of the inner ∼200 pc of the Galaxy with the aim of explaining a number of observations including the region’s non-thermal radio and ∼TeV γ-ray emission. On the basis of our modelling/analysis we determine the following.

(i) Supernovae occur in the inner 1.6 (in diameter) of the Galaxy at a rate of approximately 1 per 2500 years and release ∼1.3 × 10^49 erg s^-1 in mechanical power (which is supplemented by massive stellar winds at the ∼10 per cent level; see Appendix B1). This is precisely enough to drive the fast outflow (∼10^3 km s^-1) from the region and support the region’s non-thermal particle population. Given the data, moreover, are consistent with the current star formation and supernova rates being close to their long-term average values, a system in quasi-steady-state is suggested.

(ii) Despite the GC resembling a starburst in many ways, a number of the circumstances Thompson et al. (2006) claim for starbursts apparently do not pertain in the GC.

(a) We confirm that, in general, ‘calorimetry’ fails in the GC: ∼95 per cent of the power injected into non-thermal particles is advected from the system, viz. ∼10^49 erg s^-1. This despite the high surface mass density of gas and the relatively high magnetic field amplitude (cf. Thompson et al. 2006). Also of note is the fact that particle transport is overwhelmingly advective; given, presumably, the strong, turbulent magnetic fields of the region, particle diffusion time-scale are much longer than either advection or energy-loss time-scales for both protons and electrons. This severely limits the role of the super-massive black hole on larger angular scales consistent with the determination that supernovae and stellar winds can drive the high-energy activity (setting aside the γ-ray point source coincident with Sgr A*).

(b) Despite the high volumetric-average gas density, secondary electrons probably play only a minor role in generating the observed radio emission from the GC. Both pure leptonic and pure hadronic scenarios for explaining the broad-band emission of the HESS region are rejected with reasonable confidence, mirroring the conclusions of Crocker et al. (2007a) on the Sagittarius B region, one of the largest giant molecular complexes in the CMZ.

(c) The large-scale magnetic field of the GC is bounded by 60 ∼ B ∼ 400 μG. While certainly strong with respect to the typical disc field amplitude and, in fact, comparable to the field strengths determined for the central regions of nearby starbursts (e.g. NGC 253; Hessen et al. 2009b), this is short of the strength required, by itself, to establish either electron calorimetry or hydrostatic equilibrium (though, as has been noted, hydrostatic equilibrium does not seem to pertain in any case given the existence of the wind – driven by the combined pressure due to all ISM components).

In this context, one should keep in mind that the extent to which Thompson et al.’s starburst model pertains in reality to genuine starbursts is contested. The recent TeV γ-ray detection of NGC 253, for example, has been interpreted by the HESS collaboration, on the one hand, as indicating this system falls well short of being calorimetric (The HESS Collaboration: F. Aceron 2009) but Lacki et al. (2010b) reach a different conclusion (though the latter authors also grant that the system does fall short of being fully calorimetric). We also note with interest a very recent paper by Lacki & Thompson (2010) investigating the high-energy astrophysics of a number of starburst-like environments including the GC (in the context of evaluating the contribution of electron synchrotron to the diffuse, soft X-ray emission detected from these systems; the authors find a negligible contribution in the GC case, a conclusion with which we agree; cf. Fig. 5).

(iii) The GC magnetic field is also significantly weaker than the ∼mG amplitude predicted by the analysis of the NTFs (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1987; Morris & Yusef-Zadeh 1989). On the other hand, it is likely very close to energy equipartition pressure equilibrium with the very hot plasma (and turbulent motions of the dense molecular gas). The best-fitting magnetic field also falls very close to the value required to establish the phenomenologically expected (Thompson et al. 2006) scaling U_B ∼ 2U_{ISRF}. These facts tend to suggest the field is generated locally in the GC ISM through, e.g., a turbulent dynamo.

(iv) The modelling tends to favour regions of parameter space where the wind is driven approximately equally by the pressures ascribable to thermal (plasma) and non-thermal (magnetic field and CRs) ISM phases (cf. Zirakashvili & Völk 2006, on NGC 253). The wind has an effective density of n_0 ∼ 0.03 cm^-3, a characteristic speed near the mid-plane satisfying 100–1200 km s^-1 and most probably 400–800 km s^-1 and advects about 0.02–0.03 M_⊙ yr^-1 of gas from the GC (see Appendix B5).

(v) Given the total power implied by our modelling and the region’s SN rate, the simplest – though somewhat surprising – global explanation of the GC’s non-thermal emission is that the region’s SNRs are the dominant source of CRs which they accelerate with at least typical efficiency. This despite the much larger volumetric-average higher-density ambient medium which would be naively expected to significantly alter the evolution of the SNRs (Spergel & Blitz 1992). This is consistent with the picture that the GC supernovae are exploding into a highly porous medium (Reynolds et al. 2008; Ksenofontov, Völk & Berezhko 2010) excavated in part.
by the progenitor star’s wind (Völk & Biermann 1988; Biermann & Cassinelli 1993), by stellar winds in general and/or by previous supernovae (cf. Westmoquette et al. 2009).

On the other hand, it should be borne in mind that the evidence associating GC SNRs with acceleration of CRs is only circumstantial and, in fact, any process proportional to the supernova rate and producing an average $\sim 10^{50}$ erg per SN in non-thermal particles satisfies the phenomenology (cf. Völk, Klein & Wielebinski 1989; Völk et al. 1990). Thus, it remains possible that at least some acceleration takes place, e.g., on general GC ISM turbulence injected by the collective activities of supernovae and stellar winds (Drury et al. 2001).

It is also interesting that – given the play afforded by observational uncertainty – we have no strong evidence from the overall energetics and the FIR luminosity for (or against) any strong biasing of the IMF of the GC stellar population (cf. Persic & Rephaeli 2010; Papadopoulos 2010; Papadopoulos et al. 2011).

- CR electrons accelerated in the HESS region lose $\lesssim 10$ per cent of their power to synchrotron emission there, generating thereby the diffuse, non-thermal radio emission detected across the same region.
- Protons lose $\lesssim 1$ per cent of their power to pp collisions on ambient gas in the inner few degrees. These collisions explain the diffuse, TeV emission detected across the CMZ (electrons only making a minor contribution to this emission). Interestingly, these pp interactions occur in a relatively low-density gas phase (despite the fact that most ambient gas finds itself at much high density) in the cores of giant molecular clouds. This is consistent with the picture that diffusive transport time-scales in the GC (in this case, into the clouds) are much longer than advective ones.
- The Coulomb collisions of the low-energy members of the population (both protons and electrons) can inject the heat to maintain the temperature of the anomalously hot, relatively low-density molecular gas and supply much of the unusually high ionization power required by various observations. On the other hand the molecular cores are at a lower kinetic temperature probably as a combination of their higher cooling efficiency and, presumably, the fact that even high-energy CRs do not penetrate them during the time they remain in the region. This seems to rule out a role for CR-modification to the region’s dense gas chemistry (cf. Papadopoulos et al. 2010; Papadopoulos et al. 2011).
- Protons lose $\lesssim 1$ per cent of their power to pp collisions on ambient gas in the inner few degrees. These collisions explain the diffuse, TeV emission detected across the CMZ (electrons only making a minor contribution to this emission). Interestingly, these pp interactions occur in a relatively low-density gas phase (despite the fact that most ambient gas finds itself at much high density) in the cores of giant molecular clouds. This is consistent with the picture that diffusive transport time-scales in the GC (in this case, into the clouds) are much longer than advective ones.

In summary, the following picture emerges from the studies described here and in the preceding Papers I and II: the gradual accretion of gas into the Galactic nucleus – presumably under the action of the Galactic stellar bar, possibly assisted by dynamical friction and magnetic viscosity effects (Binney et al. 1991; Blitz et al. 1993; Serabyn & Morris 1996; Morris & Serabyn 1996; Beck et al. 1999; Stark et al. 2004) – over timescales approaching the age of the Galaxy – has led to sustained star formation inside a region circumscribed by the Galaxy’s inner Lindblad resonance. Inside this radius lie the vast (but dynamic) gas reservoir represented by the CMZ and the nuclear stellar population resulting from the persistent star formation. Concomitant with this star formation activity are supernovae, whose remnants accelerate the GC CR population and heat the region’s hot plasma; the tell-tale, diffuse TeV $\gamma$-rays and X-rays produced by these two extend across the same inner region of the Galaxy.

Another by-product of the star formation is the large-scale super-wind that emerges from the GC. This acts to keep the energy density of the various GC ISM components in check, in particular, advecting CRs to very large scales above and below the Galactic plane. Acting to also advect the magnetic field, the wind may well also have a crucial role in forming the NTFs (Shore & LaRosa 1999; Boldyrev & Yusef-Zadeh 2006) which, intriguingly, are also only detected over the same inner region of the Galaxy as the phenomena referred to above. In the picture of Shore & LaRosa (1999), the NTFs do not trace a global poloidal field topology, but instead are dynamic structures, akin to cometary plasma tails, formed from the interaction of the large-scale, magnetized plasma outflow draping the region’s dense molecular clouds. Consonant with the above, the
latest NIR polarimetry measurements (Nishiyama et al. 2010) apparently reveal a global field topology that is toroidal in the plane (for $|l| < 2/0$, $|b| < 0.4$, closely corresponding to the HESS region), bending to almost perpendicular for $|b| > 0.4$, presumably in the wind zone.

Finally, although it should be remembered that, of course, some stochastic variation in the background SFR is expected, we have pointed out here that the current SFR in the GC seems to be close to its long-term average value. This apparent stability suggests the operation of self-regulation or feedback mechanisms in which many of the actors discussed here – the magnetic field, the CR population, the wind – undoubtedly play some part, but we leave the detailed working-out of this to further work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge useful conversation or correspondence with Csaba Balázs, Rainer Beck, Nicole Bell, Joss Bland-Hawthorn, Valenti Boschin-Ramon, Michael Brown, Sabrina Casanova, Masha Chernyakova, Roger Clay, John Dickey, Ron Ekers, Katia Ferri`ere, Stanislav Kel’ner, Mitya Khangulyan, Casanova, Masha Chernyakova, Roger Clay, John Dickey, Ron Ekers, Katia Ferri`ere, Stanislav Kel’ner, Mitya Khangulyan, C. G. T. Haslam et al., 1982, A&AS, 47, 1

REFERENCES

Abdo A. A. et al., 2009, Sci, 325, 848
Aharonian F. A. et al., 2006, Nat, 439, 695
Breitschwerdt D., 2008, Nat, 452, 210
Breitschwerdt D., 2008, Nat, 452, 210
Breitschwerdt D., 2008, Nat, 452, 826
Breitschwerdt D., 2008, Nat, 452, 826
Breitschwerdt D., 2008, Nat, 452, 826
Diehl R. et al., 2006, Nat, 439, 45
Dogiel V. A. et al., 2009a, PASJ, 61, 1099
Dogiel V. A. et al., 2009b, PASJ, 61, 901
Fukui Y. et al., 2006, Sci, 314, 106
Haslam C. G. T. et al., 1982, A&AS, 47, 1
Hill et al. (for...
APPENDIX A: THE GC ISM

A1 Gas distribution

The ISM throughout the GC region consists of a number of coexisting phases with very different physical parameters which we now partially describe. For full reviews – particularly of the H I and relatively cooler plasma phases not described below – the reader is referred to the work of Ferrière et al. (2007) and Higdon et al. (2009).

A1.1 Molecular gas

In its molecular phase, the inner ∼500 pc of the Galaxy contains ∼5 per cent of the Galaxy’s allocation of molecular hydrogen (a total mass of a few times 10^9 M⊙; Ferrière et al. 2007), mostly within the asymmetric condensation along the Galactic plane known as the CMZ (Morris & Serabyn 1996). The overall geometry of the CMZ structure – seen in projection – is very difficult to reconstruct. Much of the dense molecular gas seems to lie on a 180 pc radius ring around the GC with a relatively tenuous interior (see Morris & Serabyn 1996; Launhardt et al. 2002; Liszt 2009, and references therein), akin to the nuclear star-forming rings commonly observed in barred spiral galaxies nominally similar to our own. Inside this radius a number of giant molecular cloud complexes are to be found, including Sgr B2, the most massive in the Galaxy (see Crocker et al. 2007a), and references therein).

Aside from these morphological issues, the CMZ is characterized by:

(i) unusually high inter- and intra-molecular clump velocity dispersions of 15–30 km s^{-1} (Oka et al. 1998; Gusten et al. 2004), ranging to even higher values >50 km s^{-1} in particularly active regions like Sgr B2 (see e.g. Crocker et al. 2007a, and references therein); cf. typical disc cloud velocity dispersions of ∼5 km s^{-1}.

(ii) unusually high molecular densities: a typical H_2 molecule will find itself in an ambient density of ∼10^4 cm^{-3} (Paglione et al. 1998); cf. typical disc cloud densities of ∼10^3 cm^{-3} – though it should be emphasized that the filling factors of the extremely dense molecular cloud cores are extremely small, ∼0.01 (Oka et al. 2005), and the gas distribution is likely highly fragmented (rather akin to the situation within luminous starbursts; e.g. Westmoquette et al. 2009).

(iii) unusually high kinetic temperatures (molecular material is typically found with temperatures in the range 50–70 K but coexisting phases with temperatures of up to 300 K are detected; cf. typical disc cloud temperatures of ∼15 K) and virial pressures of (∼5 × 10^5 K cm^{-3}) – cf. that typical for the Galactic disc, ∼2 × 10^4 K cm^{-3}; Spergel & Blitz 1992).

(iv) pervasive shocks, as revealed by thermal SiO emission (Martin-Pintado et al. 1997; Huetteemeister et al. 1998) and – as revealed by surveys of molecular lines and dust continuum emission at mm and sub-mm wavelengths (Oka et al. 1998; Tsuboi & Miyazaki 1998; Pierce-Price et al. 2000; Oka et al. 2010) – filaments, arcs and shells, indicative of local, turbulent sources (Tanaka et al. 2007) and explosive events.

In addition to the dense molecular cores, in the last few years evidence for another, comparatively diffuse (∼100 cm^{-3}) and hot (∼250 K) molecular phase has emerged from comparison of the intensity of different emission lines of ^12CO (Oka et al. 1998), from other molecules (Rodríguez-Fernández et al. 2001), and from spectroscopic observations of line absorption by H_2^+ (Oka et al. 2005; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2007; Goto et al. 2008) at infrared wavelengths. This environment, which represents ∼30 per cent of the total molecular gas by mass (Ferrière et al. 2007), appears to be unique within the Galaxy to the GC and requires a distributed heating mechanism. The large H_2^+ columns observed towards the GC furthermore imply an ionization rate of ≥10^{-5} s^{-1}, much higher than typical in the diffuse ISM of the Galactic disc (∼3 × 10^{-11} s^{-1}). These same observations, moreover, show – contrary to the expectation from chemical model calculations – that the relative number density of H_2^+ is much higher in diffuse clouds than in dense clouds towards the GC. In contrast to the gas temperature, dust temperatures throughout the CMZ are anomalously low at around 20 K (Pierce-Price et al. 2000), suggesting that a mechanism for gas-heating is required for the CMZ unrelated to ultraviolet radiation.

A1.2 Hot plasma and X-ray observations

In its plasma phase, X-ray continuum and Fe line observations apparently reveal a two-temperature plasma containing ‘hot’ (∼1 keV) and (mysteriously) ‘very hot’ (6–9 keV) components (Koyama et al. 1989; Yamauchi et al. 1990; Kaneda et al. 1997; Muno et al. 2004; Suchkov et al. 2009, and references therein). The X-ray emission from the putative very hot component is strongly concentrated within the inner ∼150 pc...
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A2. The GC magnetic field

The amplitude of the large-scale magnetic field threading the GC region has remained uncertain for about 25 years (see Ferrière 2009, for a recent review). Three different scales of field amplitude have been suggested in the light of different analyses.

(i) mG field: ~GHz radio observations of the region reveal the presence of so-called ‘NTFs’ (see Bicknell & Li 2001, for a review of these fascinating structures), long and thin magnetic flux tubes that run predominantly perpendicular to the Galactic plane through the central ~200 pc (diameter) and which are illuminated by synchrotron emission from relativistic electrons. The rigidity of the NTFs requires that they are suffused by magnetic fields of ~mG amplitude (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1987). A countervailing external pressure is then required lest the NTFs dissipate over short time-scales given their large, internal magnetic pressures and, given observational constraints, this can only be supplied by an external magnetic field also of ~mg strength. This implies an ~mG field pervades the region (Morris & Yusef-Zadeh 1989).

(ii) 100 μG field: alternatively, the assumption of pressure equilibrium between the various phases of the GC ISM (including turbulent molecular gas, the contest (Revnivtsev et al. 2009) ‘very hot’ plasma and the magnetic field) suggests fields of ~100 μG over ~400 pc (diameter) size scales (Spiegel & Blitz 1992).

(iii) 10 μG field: given their detection of the DNS source alluded to above, LaRosa et al. (2005) have invoked the ‘equipartition’ condition (minimizing the total energy in magnetic fields + CRs, incidently predicting rough equipartition in energy density between these two; Burbidge 1956; Beck & Krause 2005) to arrive at a large-scale field (over the central ~800 pc in diameter) amplitude of ~10 μG within which CR electrons are synchrotron-radiating to produce the observed, non-thermal radio emission.

As remarked above, some of us (Crocker et al. 2010) were recently able to show – with reference to the higher-frequency extension of the DNS radio spectrum in concert with GeV γ-ray data – that magnetic field amplitudes lower than 50 μG are excluded over the central ~800 pc (in diameter). This work also calls into question the assumption of equipartition which may fail in the GC as well as in other star-forming regions (Thompson et al. 2006). Other pieces of evidence – as described below – support the notion that the real DNS field is ~100 μG. (This still implies an energy density more than 100 times larger than that typical for the field in the Galactic disc.)

A2.1 Magnetic field required for equipartition with light field

A magnetic field of ~60 μG would be required were equipartition with the volumetric-average $U_{\text{ISRF}} \approx 90 \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$ over the HESS region to be satisfied. In the Galactic plane – and many other astrophysical environments (see e.g. Thompson et al. 2006) – it can be inferred that the magnetic field and ISRF globally satisfy $U_B \approx 2U_{\text{ISRF}}$. A close-to-constant ratio between the two quantities certainly seems to be necessary to maintain the linearity of the FRC (Lisenfeld, Voelk & Xu 1996). There are dynamic, local mechanisms that have been put forward to explain why this scaling should apply. These rely on the notion that magnetic fields arise in turbulent dynamo action with the ultimate source of such turbulence being the local, massive stellar population which injects turbulence via stellar winds and SN explosions (Lisenfeld et al. 1996) or, more directly, through radiation pressure (in starburst environments; Thompson 2008).

A2.2 Magnetic field required for hydrostatic equilibrium

A magnetic field exceeding that required to establish hydrostatic equilibrium, $B_{\text{eqm}}$, should lead to the development of the Parker (1966) instability and thus $B_{\text{eqm}}$ might be considered an upper limit within the scenario explored by Thompson et al. (2006) and Lacki, Thompson & Quataert (2010a). The field required for hydrostatic equilibrium can be estimated as $B_{\text{eqm}} = \sqrt{2 \times \rho_{\text{gas}} \times \rho_{\text{star}}}$ mg where the gas and total surface mass densities are given in g cm$^{-2}$ (Thompson et al. 2006). The average surface mass density in gas over the HESS and DNS regions can be estimated on the basis of the work of Ferrière et al. (2007) to be 0.07 and 0.01 g cm$^{-2}$, respectively. The total surface mass density – dominated by stars – we infer from the work of Launhardt et al. (2002) is to be around 21 times the gas surface density for the HESS region and 36 times for the DNS region. Hydrostatic equilibrium therefore requires fields of ~600 and ~200 μG for the HESS and DNS regions. It is noteworthy, then, that our previous work points to a field approaching this strength in the DNS. Interestingly, a Parker-like instability is suggested by mm-wave observations (Fukui et al. 2006) of molecular filaments of several hundred parsec length within ~1 kpc of the GC (these measurements also suggest a ~100 μG field amplitude). These observations have independently been interpreted as suggesting a ~100 μG field (Fukui et al. 2006; Crocker et al. 2010).

A2.3 Magnetic field in GC molecular clouds

Measurements of the topology and amplitude of the magnetic field interior to the GC’s giant molecular cloud population have been...
obtained via sub-millimetre polarimetry (Novak et al. 2003; Chuss et al. 2005) and Zeeman mapping of the H\textsc{i} line in absorption (Crutcher et al. 1996). These measurements show that the cloud-interior fields are drawn out along a direction following the ridge of molecular and thermal radio emission, predominantly parallel with the Galactic plane. Such a configuration might arise naturally from the shearing action of the tidal field at the GC on the orbital motion on the molecular gas. Field amplitudes, moreover, reach \textasciitilde mG levels within, e.g., the massive molecular envelope surrounding the dense Sgr B2 star-forming cores (Lis & Goldsmith 1989; Crutcher et al. 1996) with lower limits on larger scales at the \textasciitilde 150 \mu \text{G} level (Novak et al. 1997; Chuss et al. 2005).

A2.4 Magnetic field topology

The determination of the GC magnetic field’s global topology is an area of active research. The geometry of the NTFs implies not only that the field is strong, but also that it is regular and poloidal (Morris 2007; Ferri\`ere 2009, and references therein). On the other hand, the field in dense molecular material as revealed by the techniques mentioned above is predominantly parallel to the plane, at right angles to the large-scale poloidal field structure apparently traced by the NTFs. Most recently, NIR polarimetry measurements (Nishiyama et al. 2010) – in principle, sensitive to magnetic field structure in both dense and rarefied ISM phases – have apparently revealed a global magnetic field structure that is parallel to the plane for Galactic latitudes |b| < 0.4 but bends to run perpendicular at higher latitudes.

A3 Volume of the source regions

For the purposes of our modelling, motivated by the TeV and RC observations, for the HESS region we assume a cylindrical volume (seen in projection) which implies a volume of $9.7 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$. We assume the diffuse non-thermal source (DNS) emission volume to be an elliptical spheroid with circular cross-section in the Galactic plane (i.e. its greatest line-of-sight extent is equal to its width along the plane). This implies a total volume of $\sim 3.0 \times 10^6$ cm$^3$.

APPENDIX B: STAR FORMATION AND SUPERNOVAE IN THE GC

For an initial estimate of the SFR in the GC, we turn to the work of Figer et al. (2004). From this we infer that the HESS field is responsible for \textasciitilde 2 per cent of the Galaxy’s massive star formation [Figer (2008) nominates the region inside $r = 500$ as responsible for 10 per cent of the Galaxy’s star formation]. Given the SFR of the entire Galaxy is $\sim 4 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Diehl et al. 2006), the HESS field SFR is $\sim 0.08 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

B1 GC star formation rate

B1.1 Star formation rate from FIR emission

A more accurate handle on the SFR is potentially given by its FIR emission. On the basis of the work of Kennicutt (1998) we have

$$\text{SFR} = \frac{L_{\text{TIR}}}{2.2 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \tag{B1}$$

where the total infrared (TIR) emission is given by $L_{\text{TIR}} \simeq 1.75 L_{\text{FIR}}$; $L_{\text{FIR}} = L_{60 \mu \text{m}} (1 + S_{100 \mu \text{m}}/(2.58 S_{60 \mu \text{m}}))$; and $L(d) = 8 \text{ kpc} h_{0,65} \mu \text{m} \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} S_{60 \mu \text{m}}/(10^8 \text{ Jy})$.

On the basis of IRAS data (Launhardt et al. 2002) the $L_{\text{TIR}}$ of the DNS source region is $2.8 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and that of the HESS field is $1.6 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, implying SFRs of 0.12 and 0.08 M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for the DNS and HESS regions, respectively, and consistent with the upper-limit on the SFR within the inner 400 pc quoted by Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2009) of 0.15 M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ (obtained by taking the stellar content of the region and an assumed age of 10$^{10}$ yr; also see Schanne et al. 2007). (Note that young, massive main-sequence stars are the predominant contributors to the luminosity of both regions; see Launhardt et al. 2002).

B1.2 Interstellar radiation field

We obtain the ISRFs required by our modelling in the following fashion: (i) for calculations concerning the DNS region, we employ the full ISRF determined for the Galaxy’s inner 500 pc (Porter, Moskalenko & Strong 2006). This has an energy density of $\sim 19 \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$. It is to be noted that – as a check on self-consistency – taking the volumetric average of the radiation energy density due to a point source of luminosity equal to $L_{\text{TIR}}$ we find $17 \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$, tolerably close to the result obtained from the work of Porter et al. (2006) (obtained for a somewhat different volume). For ISRF of the HESS region, again using the $L_{\text{TIR}}$ of the region given above, we find a volumetric-average $U_{\text{ISRF}} \simeq 90 \text{ eV cm}^{-3}$ over the HESS field; we assume the shape of the HESS ISRF spectrum is the same as that determined by Porter et al. (2006) for the inner 500 pc of the Galaxy.

B2 Supernova rate

A first approximation to the supernova rate is given by the consideration that the HESS field is responsible for \textasciitilde 2 per cent of the Galaxy’s massive star formation and that the Galaxy experiences \textasciitilde 2 SN per century (Diehl et al. 2006) so that we expect around 0.04 SN per century in the HESS field. This simple estimate neglects effects like a possibly biased IMF in the GC; the detailed and independent arguments we set out below, however, confirm a central value for the SN rate of 0.04 per century with an uncertainty of a factor of \textasciitilde 2. It is significant for our purposes (as we explain below) that the estimate we derive for the SFR from the FIR output of the region (which traces the current SFR) is consistent with other measures.

B2.1 Supernova rate from FIR emission

We infer on the basis of the work of Thompson et al. (2007) that – as both the supernova rate and the TIR luminosity are proportional to the SFR – one may relate the supernova rate to the $L_{\text{TIR}}$ via

$$\frac{R_{\text{SN}}}{\text{ century}} = 8.9 \times 10^{-11} \beta_{17} \frac{L_{\text{TIR}}}{\text{ L}_\odot} \tag{B3}$$

where $R_{\text{SN}}$ is the number of SNe per century. We note that the observed value of $L_{\text{TIR}} = 4.2 \times 10^{8} \text{ L}_\odot$ for the HESS region is very close to the expectation generated by the total amount of H$_2$ in the system ($8.7 \times 10^8$ M$_\odot$ as inferred from the data of Ferri\`ere et al. 2007) and the rough empirical correlation between a given amount of (strictly, dense) H$_2$ and the IR emission of a system established by Mangum et al. (2008):

$$\log \left( \frac{L_{\text{IR}}}{\text{ L}_\odot} \right) \simeq 2.0 + 1.0 \log \left( \frac{M_{\text{H}_2}}{\text{ M}_\odot} \right)$$

on the basis of H$_2$CO observations of starburst galaxies.
where $\dot{N}_{\text{SN}} \sim 1$ is described by Thompson et al. (2007). With $L_{\text{SN}} \approx 4.2 \times 10^{37} \text{L}_\odot$ for the HESS field, we again determine a supernova rate of 0.04/century.

### B.2.2 Supernova rate from stellar composition

The Type Ia supernova rate in the GC region defined by $r < 250 \text{ pc}$ and height $<50 \text{ pc}$ has recently been estimated by Schanne et al. (2007) employing the scaling relations that have been determined (Scannapieco & Bildsten 2005) to relate the supernova rate to the SFR, $M_*$ and total stellar mass of external galaxies, $M$:

$$ R_{\text{SN1a}}(t) = \frac{A M_*(t)}{10^{10} \text{M}_\odot \text{Century}} + B \frac{M_*(t)}{10^{10} \text{M}_\odot \text{Gyr}^{-1}} \tag{B4} $$

where $A = 0.044 \pm 0.015$ and $B = 2.6 \pm 1.1$ (Schanne et al. 2007). Adopting now the stellar mass of the Galactic nuclear bulge ($r < 300 \text{ pc}$) determined by Launhardt et al. (2002) of $1.4 \times 10^{10} \text{M}_\odot$, we can calculate the (central) value for the expectation of the SN1a rate in this region of the Galaxy to be 0.04/century (where we also assume a formation time of 10 Gyr; Figer et al. 2004). For an estimate of the core-collapse SN rate, we incorporate the fact (Mannucci et al. 2005) that the SN Ia rate is $0.35 \pm 0.08$ of the core-collapse rate in young stellar populations. Setting the first term in equation (B4) to zero, we determine that the expected core-collapse supernova rate in the nuclear bulge is 0.11/century (again taking central values) implying an overall rate of 0.08/century for all supernovae in the $r < 250 \text{ pc}$ region.

This result must now be scaled to the region under consideration (somewhat smaller than the nuclear bulge as defined above). On the basis of the data presented in table 7 of Launhardt et al. (2002), there are two ways to do this: (i) we can scale the above rate by the ratio of the inferred bolometric luminosity of the HESS field to that of the larger $r < 250 \text{ pc}$ region; $4 \times 10^4 \text{L}_\odot / 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{L}_\odot = 0.2$; alternatively we can scale by the ratios of the inferred stellar masses of the two regions: $8 \times 10^6 \text{M}_\odot / 1.4 \times 10^8 \text{M}_\odot = 0.6$. This implies a total supernova rate in the HESS field of 0.02–0.08/century.

Similar considerations to the above allow us to calculate that the SN rate within the entire DNS region (a volume $\sim 30$ times larger than the HESS region) is only 1.5–2.0 times larger than in the HESS region alone. The SN activity is thus highly centrally peaked and, for simplicity, in our analysis we ignore the energy and particles injected by SNRs occurring in the DNS region but outside the HESS region.

### B.2.3 SN rate upper limit from GC pulsar population

The rates found above are consistent with that found by Lazio & Cordes (2008) and Denena, Cordes & Lazio (2009) from their radio studies of pulsars and radio point source pulsar candidates in the inner 1° of the Galaxy, viz. that the GC SN rate has a rough upper bound at 0.1 per century (for a continuous – rather than bursting – star formation history, as we favour; see below).

### B.2.4 SN rate lower limit from X-ray emission

We can arrive at a lower limit to the SN rate by requiring that there be enough power to support (only) the radiative losses of the plasma(s) and if we adopt the figure of 1 per cent of SN kinetic energy lost into plasma heating from Kaneda et al. (1997). With the total X-ray luminosity over the central region $\sim 4 \times 10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (Belmont & Tagger 2006), this consideration defines a lower limit of 0.01/century.

### B.2.5 Gas turbulence

The turbulent motions of the molecular gas throughout the region also represent a reservoir of energy which can be estimated to dissipate at a rate (Bradford et al. 2005) $L_{\text{gas}} \approx 0.42 \nu_{\text{turb}}^3 / \Delta_{\odot}$ where $\Delta_{\odot}$ is a typical size scale for the turbulent structures. Estimating $\nu_{\text{turb}} \sim 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{\odot} \sim 10 \text{ pc}$ we find $L_{\text{gas}} \sim 4 \times 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ over the $\sim 9 \times 10^3$ solar masses of $\text{H}_2$ found in the HESS region.

### B.2.6 High-velocity compact clouds

A large-scale CO $J = 3–2$ survey of the CMZ with the Atacama Submillimetre-wave Telescope Experiment (Oka et al. 2010) detects an unusual population of high-velocity ($\Delta v \geq 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) compact clouds (HVCCs) in the GC. The kinetic power of the HVCCs launched by explosive events in the HESS field is $3.8 \times 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, where the expansion velocity of each HVCC supplies the appropriate time-scale to render a power from that HVCC’s kinetic energy.

### B.2.7 Combined constraint; thermalization efficiency

Considering the power required to sustain both gas turbulence and the HVCCs in the region, we can place a lower limit on the supernova rate in the region of $\sim 0.02$ per century assuming $\sim 100$ per cent of the energy released per supernova goes into these two. Turning these considerations around, again with the requirement that both turbulence and HVCCs be sustained and adopting the maximum supernova rate found above of 0.08/century we arrive at a conservative estimate of the minimum overall thermalization efficiency for GC supernovae of $\eta_{\text{therm}} = 0.4$ (where, in this instance, thermalization refers to all power lost into heating or moving the GC ISM rather than radiatively$^9$). This is somewhat larger than the $\sim 10$ per cent thermalization efficiency determined for normal or quiescent star-forming galaxies (see e.g. Strickland & Heckman 2009), but this parameter is a function of local ISM conditions with, in particular, higher gas densities tending to imply larger radiative losses (and, hence, smaller thermalization values). It is then somewhat surprising, a priori, that, in the molecular-gas-rich milieu of the GC, the thermalization is rather high. In fact, further consideration reveals the high thermalization is plausible: supernovae explode into the low-density cavity created by their massive progenitor star’s wind and UV output (Chevalier 1999). Moreover, in a starburst-like environment, one must consider the effect of previous supernovae which will tend to have rendered the local ISM highly porous – riven with regions of high temperature, low density plasma – through their own gas heating (Heckman, Armus & Miley 1990). In any case, a thermalization efficiency of $\eta_{\text{therm}} \geq 0.4$ is in the range recently determined by Strickland & Heckman (2009) for the M82 starburst.

### B.2.8 Final estimate of SN rate

We have, then, an estimate for the total supernova rate in the HESS field of 0.02–0.08/century, translating to a total kinetic power due

---

$^9$ Below, for purposes of determining the maximum and minimum star formation driven super-wind speeds we conservatively adopt $\eta_{\text{therm}}^{\text{max}} = 1.0$ and $\eta_{\text{therm}}^{\text{min}} = 0.1$ where, in this particular instance, we are concerned with only that proportion of the mechanical power injected into the ISM that accelerates the overall outflow.
to supernovae of \(L_{SN} = 1.3_{-0.8}^{+1.7} \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) (adopting the fiducial \(10^{50} \text{ erg per supernova into relativistic particles decided above}).

### B3 Supernova mass injection

We have previously estimated (Paper I) the hot mass injected into a potential super-wind – that includes both supernova ejecta and the swept-up mass – on the basis of the study of Zirakashvili & Volk (2006) of the nearby starburst NGC 253. On the basis of our previous study and adopting the central value of the supernova rate, 0.04/century, one determines a mass injection rate due to supernovae in the HESS field of \(\Delta M_{SN} \simeq 0.01 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\).

### B4 Winds off massive stars

Though expected to make only a subdominant (\(\sim 10\) per cent) contribution to the total mechanical power starbursts in general, stellar winds may supply up to half of the mass returned to the ISM (Leitherer, Robert & Drissen 1992; Leitherer & Heckman 1995; Strickland & Heckman 2009). This expectation is thought to be borne-out in the GC (Muno et al. 2004). Chevalier (1992) originally calculated the wind power due to the massive (dominantly Wolf–Rayet) stars in the central pc of the Galaxy to be \(\sim 5 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) for a mass-loss rate from He I emission line stars of \(3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\) and an assumed wind velocity of 700 km s\(^{-1}\). Over the entire central 5 pc, the total mass loss rate from the Central stellar cluster is around \(3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\) (Fryer et al. 2007) and that from the Arches and Quintuplet clusters have been determined to be \(4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\) and \(3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\), respectively (Lang et al. 2005). Given that Paschen \(\alpha\) studies (Wang et al. 2009) indicate that about half of the GC’s massive stars are located outside these three clusters, we expect that stellar winds launch a total \(\Delta M_{wind} \simeq 0.01 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}\). Assuming a typical wind speed of 700 km s\(^{-1}\), this implies a total kinetic power of \(1.3 \times 10^{49}\), consistent with the fiducial 10 per cent of SN power expected.

### B5 Total mass and power budgets

Given the above, supernovae and (sub-dominantly) stellar winds inject, together, a mechanical power into the HESS region of \(\sim 1.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\), uncertain by a factor of \(\sim 2\).\(^{10}\)

The mass lost off massive stars is approximately equal to the mass returned to the ISM in supernovae and these components sum to give a total mass return of

\[
\Delta M_{SN} + \Delta M_{wind} = \Delta M_{tot} \simeq 0.02 - 0.03 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}. \tag{B5}
\]

This estimate is close to the range 0.03–0.1 M\(_{\odot}\) yr\(^{-1}\) estimated by Figer et al. (2004) to be lost into a thermally driven wind (over a somewhat larger region). Also note that, on the basis of Section B1, the mass injected by supernovae and stellar winds per SFR is \((0.02–0.03) \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}/(0.08 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = (0.25–0.38)\) close to the range of \((0.19–0.31)\) arrived at by Strickland & Heckman (2009) in their detailed modelling of the starburst system M82.

### B6 Other sources of power

For completeness, we mention that other sources of power considered in the GC context include (i) pulsars, in particular MSPs (Wang et al. 2006) which are very difficult to detect in the GC environment because of pulse broadening of \(\sim 100\) s at \(\sim\)GHz in the turbulent plasma of the GC (Cordes & Lazio 1997; Lazio & Cordes 2008) but which are generically expected to contribute around 10 per cent of the kinetic power produced by SN; (ii) tidal disruption of stars which approach too close to the central SMBH, a process predicted to occur at a frequency \(\sim 10^{-4}\) to \(10^{-3}\) yr\(^{-1}\), each event releasing \(10^{51–52}\) erg (Strubbe & Quataert 2009), possibly leading to important radiative consequences (Dokugil et al. 2010); (iii) magnetic reconnection (Tanuma et al. 1999); (iv) the gravitational energy of the region’s giant molecular cloud tapped via the heating induced by the MHD waves excited by these clouds’ passage through the (hypothesized) very hot (and highly viscous) plasma (Belmont & Tagger 2006).

### APPENDIX C: INDICATIONS AND EXPECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF A GC OUTFLOW

There is considerable evidence – reviewed here – for a rather fast GC outflow that would be expected to advect non-thermal particles from the system. Most of our discussion below will focus on a global GC outflow beyond the central region (<10 pc) surrounding Sgr A*. However, it should be noted that modelling of this environment (e.g. Chevalier 1992; Ruffert & Melia 1994) has itself provided compelling evidence for a substantial mass outflow from the inner few lightyears of the Galaxy.

### C1 Direct evidence for a GC outflow

The strongest evidence in support of the existence of an outflow from the GC comes from observations of a \(\sim 10\) (or \(\sim 140\) pc) tall and diameter \(<130\) pc shell of emission rising north of the Galactic plane called the GC lobe. This structure was first identified in 10 GHz RC emission (Sofue & Handa 1984) and has been interpreted as evidence for a previous episode of either starburst (Chevalier 1992; Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003) or nuclear activity (Kundt 1987; Melia & Falcke 2001) or as due to magnetodynamic effects (Uchida, Sofue & Shibata 1985). RC emission from the lobe’s eastern part has H\(_{\alpha}\) absorption that clearly puts it in the GC region (Lasenby, Lasenby & Yusef-Zadeh 1989).

The coherent nature of the GC lobe was suggested by the discovery (Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003) at mid-infrared (MIR) wavelengths of filamentary structures coincident with the lobe’s entire radio structure.\(^{11}\) Modelling (Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003) of the MIR emission from shock-heated dust point to outflow masses and kinetic energies of \(5 \times 10^{-1} \text{ M}_{\odot}\) and \(\sim 10^{34}\) erg, respectively, with an associated formation time-scale of \(\sim 10^7\) yr.

Most recently, Law et al. (2008) and Law (2010) have demonstrated the coherent nature of the lobe on the basis of its very

\(^{10}\) There are a number of other sources of mechanical power in the system which, while ranging from the virtually assured to the speculative, are all rather poorly constrained empirically. One of the results of our global analysis of the system’s particle astrophysics is that there is no evidence that power above and beyond that injected by supernovae (and stellar winds) is required in explaining the region’s non-thermal emission at radio and TeV energies (the GeV spectrum may indeed, however, be dominated by the contribution of MSPs as discussed below). For completeness we list other potential sources of power below (Section B6).

\(^{11}\) Another suggestive association is between the Radio Arc – the brightest and most dramatic of the GC’s non-thermal radio filaments – and the eastern extension of the lobe (Sofue & Handa 1984; Yusef-Zadeh & Morris 1988; Pohl, Reich & Schlickeiser 1992).
similar morphologies in RC, radio and optical recombination lines, and the MIR and Law et al. (2009) demonstrated that its ionized gas is of high metallicity, consistent with a GC origin. This multi-wavelength analysis shows, in addition, that the entire structure has a similar spectral index at ~GHz frequencies and that the structure is composed of multiple nested shells showing from, outside to inside, respectively, (i) MIR emission from entrained dust and poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, (ii) synchrotron emission at RC wavelengths [diameter ~110 pc and with an equipartition (Beck & Krause 2005) field in the range 40–100 µG] and (iii) optical and recombination line emission from ionized material (which data also demonstrate the structure is located at the GC rather than being local). Also of note is that the detailed analysis of Law (2010) considerably revises downwards (with respect to the estimate of Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen 2003) the energetics of the structure to ~5 × 10^{45} erg and finds that the formation of the Lobe is consistent with currently observed pressures and rates of star formation in the central a few × 10 pc of the Galaxy.12

C2 Hints from external galaxies

In the case of external starburst systems and active galaxies the evidence for outflows is compelling (e.g. Veilleux, Cecil & Bland-Hawthorn 2005). Even for less luminous, star-forming systems akin the Milky Way (e.g. NGC 891) the evidence for outflows from the central regions is strong (Dahlem, Dettmar & Hummel 1994; Breitschwerdt 2008). For star formation surface densities exceeding $\Sigma_{crit} \equiv 4 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}$, empirical studies (Heckman et al. 1990; Strickland & Heckman 2009) suggest that supernovae and massive stars act in concert to drive a mass-loaded ‘super-wind’ out of the starburst nucleus. (Alternatively, though not independently given the existence of the Schmidt star formation law, galaxies that exceed a critical surface density of gas of $\Sigma_{crit} \equiv 0.05 g cm^{-2}$ are observed to drive super-winds: Heckman et al. 2000; Lacki et al. 2010a).

In general, super-winds comprise multiple phases of varying density and velocity (Strickland & Heckman 2009). As revealed by observations of external, star-forming galaxies like M82, the ‘wind fluid’ itself is a low-density and extremely hot plasma with an initial temperature in the range $10^3$–$10^4$ K.

In any case, the areal SFR within the HESS region is $\Sigma_* \sim 2 M_\odot yr^{-1} kpc^{-2}$, exceeding $\Sigma_{crit}^{\alpha}$ by almost two orders of magnitude. From the point of view furnished by studies of other galaxies, then, the expectation is that the GC should be driving an outflow.

C2.1 Expected characteristics of a GC super-wind

As in external, star-forming galaxies, the mechanical power and mass injected collectively into the ISM by GC supernovae and massive stellar winds might be expected to generate a ‘super’ wind with the following characteristics.

(i) The asymptotic speed of such a wind (which the outflow quickly reaches; e.g. Völk et al. 1990) should scale as $v_{wind} \sim \sqrt{E/M}$ which evaluates to 800–1000 km s$^{-1}$ $M$ range nominated above (0.02–0.03 $M_\odot yr^{-1}$ and a total mechanical power of $1.4 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and assuming thermalization of 100 per cent). More accurately, the asymptotic speed of an outflow powered by the thermalized energy injected by supernovae and other sources in the disc of a starburst galaxy can be rendered as (e.g. Zirakashvili & Völk 2006)

$$u_\infty \simeq 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\eta_{therm}}{\beta_{load}}} \left( \frac{E}{1.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \frac{M}{0.03 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (C1)$$

where $E$ and $M$ are the total energy and mass production rates and $\eta_{therm}$ parametrizes the thermalization efficiency of the overall super-wind.

(ii) The central temperature of the wind-launching region can be rendered as (Strickland & Heckman 2009)

$$T_c = 0.4 \frac{\mu m_p \eta_{therm} E}{k_B \beta_{load} M} \simeq 2 \times 10^8 K \frac{\eta_{therm}}{\beta_{load}} \mu \left( \frac{E}{1.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \frac{M}{0.03 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (C2)$$

where $\mu$ is the mean particle mass (in units of the proton mass) normalized to the expected value for an H plasma and $\beta_{load} \geq 1$ parametrizes the degree of mass-loading of the outflow.

(iii) The central pressure of the wind-launching region can be rendered as (Strickland & Heckman 2009):

$$P_c = 0.118 \frac{\eta_{therm}^{1/2} \beta_{load}^{3/2} \xi^{1/2} E^{1/2}}{k_B R_c^2} \simeq 3.3 \times 10^6 K cm^{-3} \eta_{therm}^{1/2} \beta_{load}^{5/2} \xi \times \left( \frac{E}{1.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \times \left( \frac{M}{0.03 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{R_c}{65 \text{ pc}} \right)^{-2}, \quad (C3)$$

where $R_c$ is the radius of the starburst region which we have normalized to the ~65 pc radius of the GC Lobe (Law 2010) (and consistent with the extent of the bright X-ray plasma region) and the $\xi \sim 1$ is the participation factor defined by Strickland & Heckman (2009) which parametrizes the fraction of total star formation that is actually involved in driving the super-wind.

(iv) Lastly, the central density of the wind-launching region can be rendered as (Strickland & Heckman 2009)

$$\rho_c = 0.296 \frac{\xi^{3/2} \beta_{load}^{3/2} M^{1/2}}{\eta_{therm}^{1/2} E^{1/2} R_c^2} \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-2} cm^{-3} \eta_{therm}^{-1/2} \beta_{load}^{3/2} \xi \times \left( \frac{E}{1.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left( \frac{M}{0.03 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{R_c}{65 \text{ pc}} \right)^{-2}, \quad (C4)$$

Note that even taking the previously claimed lower limit on the total energy associated with the outflow of $>10^{50}$ erg, the mechanical power, $1.4 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, supplied by GC supernovae occurring at the rate we have claimed can potentially supply this over the claimed formation timescale ~10^7 yr.
C3 Additional evidence in support of the existence of GC outflow

C3.1 Gamma-ray deficit in inner Galaxy

A longstanding problem in CR studies (Strong & Mattox 1996; Breitschwerdt, Dogiel & Völk 2002) concerns why the $\gamma$-ray intensity across the inner Galaxy increases (relative to local) so much more slowly (for decreasing Galactic radius) than expected given the increase in areal density of plausible CR sources (and also given the growth in the density of ambient gas) towards the centre of the Galaxy.

This has a natural explanation (Suchkov et al. 1993; Breitschwerdt et al. 2002) if particle escape times also decline in proportion to the increase in source density and this, in turn, is naturally explained if the same objects that are the ultimate sources of power for the non-thermal particles also serve to drive an increasingly fast wind to advect particles out of the Galactic plane (also cf. Blitz et al. 1993). Following this logic, GC CR density should roughly satisfy

$$n_{\text{CR}} \propto \frac{\Sigma^*}{v_{\text{wind}}},$$

with $\sim 2$ per cent of the Galaxy’s star formation occurring in the inner 300 pc (diameter), the overabundance of CRs inside 300 pc with respect to the value in the Galactic disc should then be

$$\frac{n_{\text{CR}}^{\text{GC}}}{n_{\text{CR}}^{\text{disc}}} \sim 0.02 \left(\frac{15 \text{ kpc}}{150 \text{ pc}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{v_{\text{disc}}}{v_{\text{wind}}^{\text{GC}}}\right) \approx 20 \left(\frac{900 \text{ km s}^{-1}}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-1},$$

where the (diffusive) escape of CRs from the disc is parametrized via an effective $v_{\text{disc}} \equiv 10$ km s$^{-1}$ (Suchkov et al. 1993) and we normalize to a wind speed of the order of the gravitational escape speed (as observed for external galaxies; e.g. Heesen et al. 2009a).

Implicitly, here we find additional evidence that, in fact, a wind of at least a few 100 km s$^{-1}$ is required in the GC: $\gamma$-ray studies at $\sim$GeV energies of the GC that failed to detect emission associated with some of the massive molecular clouds (Sagittarius B, C or D) belonging to the CMZ (Mayer-Hasselwander et al. 1998) require that the GC CR energy density be no more than a few times larger than the local value in the few GeV energy range. Note, however, that this constraint requires that CRs in this energy range sample all the mass of the ambient molecular clouds, including, in particular, the material in the cores of the clouds – and theoretical (Dogiel & Sharov 1990; Gabici et al. 2007) and empirical studies (Protheroe et al. 2008; Fujita et al. 2009)$^{13}$ show this may not be true, potentially weakening this argument.

C3.2 Evidence of GC outflow on larger scales

Across the electromagnetic spectrum, there is considerable evidence of GC outflows on scales much larger than the $\sim 1^\circ$ extension of the GC lobe, up to the order of tens of degrees. This evidence includes the following.

(i) The North Polar Spur (NPS), a thermal X-ray/radio loop extending $\sim 80^\circ$ north of the Galactic plane first identified by Sofue (1977, 2000) and Sofue & Hanada (1984) and interpreted by them as evidence for a $\gtrsim 10^{55}$ erg nuclear outburst $\sim 15$ Myr ago. Note, however, that there are also strong arguments to suggest the NPS is a local ISM feature produced by the continuous effects of supernovae and stellar winds from the Sco Cen association (see e.g. Miller et al. 2008).

(ii) On scales of up to $\sim 25^\circ$ north of the GC, the GC spur identified (Sofue, Reich & Reich 1989) in 408 MHz (Haslam et al. 1982) and 1408 MHz (Reich, Reich & Fuerst 1990) data.

(iii) In general, ROSAT X-ray observations covering the central regions (inner few kpc) of the Galaxy (Sofue 2000; Almy et al. 2000; Yao & Wang 2007; Everett et al. 2008; Breitschwerdt 2008) seem to indicate a nuclear or bulge outflow of plasma with a temperature a few $\times 10^8$ K. The detailed analysis of Everett et al. (2008) suggested a Galactic wind towards the centre of the Galaxy asymptoting to 760 km s$^{-1}$ in the halo and strongly suggested a role for CRs in helping to launch this wind (interestingly, however, these authors find that – assuming constant ISM conditions fixed to match the local ones – a wind cannot be launched inside $\sim 1.5$ kpc due to the growth in the Galaxy’s gravitational potential).

(iv) Radio polarimetry measurements (Duncan et al. 1998) which show a plume of emission extending $\sim 15^\circ$ north of the Galactic plane, roughly centred on the GC, with weaker evidence for a mirroring southern structure.

(v) From 21 cm observations, Lockman (1984) determined that the inner Galaxy seems to be relatively depleted in H$_1$, plausibly attributed to clearing of the neutral gas from the region $|z| > 500$ pc, $R \lesssim 3$ kpc by a nuclear outflow.

(vi) The infrared dust observations by Bland-Hawthorn & Cohen (2003) indicate an outflow structure on very large scales, approaching the size of the NPS.

(vii) A number of studies find evidence (in UV absorption features of various background objects) of high-velocity material plausibly associated with a large-scale, Galactic nuclear outflow. Keeney et al. (2006) find evidence (in sightlines towards two high-latitude active galactic nuclei) of material both escaping from and falling towards the centre of the Galaxy with a typical speed of 250 km s$^{-1}$, the latter feasibility indicating the fall-back of material in a bound Galactic wind or ‘fountain’ (see below). Zech et al. (2008) report the detection of high velocity clouds (HVC) of, significantly, supersolar metallicity along the sightline of star at a distance $\sim 7.5$ kpc. In their view, the probable close proximity of the HVC material to the GC, its complex, multiphase structure, its high metallicity and its large velocity all indicate an association with a Galactic nuclear outflow or fountain.

(viii) Though the connection to the GC is more circumstantial, it has long been recognized that a flow of hot gas from the Galactic disc to the halo is necessary to sustain the latter (see e.g. Shull 1996). Prime candidates for the requisite gas conduits are the so-called chimneys of gas that have been observed to form above the ‘super-bubbles’ around OB associations in external galaxies and also, apparently, the Galactic disc (Normandeau, Taylor & Dewdney 1996). Given the massive stellar population of the GC mirrors that found in such associations, it seems not unlikely that, by analogy, a chimney-like structure should form above the GC especially given the detection of super-bubble like structures associated with the GC massive stellar clusters (see Rodríguez-Fernández et al. 2001, and references therein).

Finally, we note the existence of other less-direct indications of a GC outflow includes the following.

(i) One explanation (Shore & LaRosa 1999) of the non-thermal radio filaments (NTFs) posits that they are, in fact, akin to cometary plasma tails formed from the interaction of a hypothesized,

\footnote{Also see Jones et al. (2010).}
large-scale, magnetized, $\sim 1000\text{ km s}^{-1}$ Galactic wind ‘draping’ dense molecular clouds in the GC region.

(ii) Lu, Yuan & Lou (2008) report the detection of 10 X-ray filaments in the inner $\sim 15$ pc around GC which they interpret as pulsar wind nebulae whose tails, mostly pointing outwards, again suggest a GC wind of velocity $\geq 400\text{ km s}^{-1}$. Markoff (2010) summarizes evidence from Chandra for bi-polar lobes of hot gas extending over similar scales around Sgr A$^*$. Mid-infrared observations of two cometary structures on arcsecond scales around Sgr A$^*$ (Mužič et al. 2007) have recently been interpreted (Mužič et al. 2010) as indicating the presence of a collimated outflow of at least a few 100 km s$^{-1}$ driven either by the SMBH or by stars in its vicinity.

(iii) Extreme Doppler-broadening of the 1.8 MeV line from $^{26}$Al decay is evident in emission detected around the GC and indicates the decaying nuclei have a (non-thermal) velocity of $\sim 500\text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Naya et al. 1996), consistent with a wind advecting the material out of the Galactic plane.

**APPENDIX D: RADIO DATA**

Observational data used to derive the spectrum for the HESS and DNS regions identified in the main text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu$ (GHz)</th>
<th>Telescope</th>
<th>Beam (arcsin)</th>
<th>Flux (HESS)</th>
<th>Density (DNS, Jy)</th>
<th>Error (per cent)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.074$^a$</td>
<td>VLA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>16 200</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>LaRosa et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>GBT</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2545</td>
<td>18 000$^b$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LaRosa et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>EBG</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>7300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reich et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.417</td>
<td>PKS</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Duncan et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.695</td>
<td>EBG</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reich et al. (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.35$^c$</td>
<td>GBT(ES)</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Langston et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>NOB</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Handa et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.35$^c$</td>
<td>GBT(ES)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Langston et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$At 74 MHz the large-angle Galactic plane synchrotron background/foreground flux contribution is not measured (and hence not accounted for) due to the interferometric nature of the VLA observation.

$^b$Total flux (measured with Greenbank single dish) supplied by Dr Crystal Brogan (private communication).

$^c$Greenbank Earth Station fluxes are lower limits. Key: VLA = Very Large Array; GBT = Greenbank telescope; EBG = Effelsberg; PKS = Parkes; GBT(ES) = Greenbank Earth Station; NOB = Nobeyama.

In addition to the radio data employed in the paper, in Table D1 we also list 8.35 and 14.35 GHz data (Langston et al. 2000). Unfortunately, the data at these frequencies were processed with a median filtering algorithm that artificially attenuates the flux density of sources larger than $\sim 34$ arcmin so that these flux densities strictly represent lower limits. Given the strong concentration of the 8.35 GHz emission into structures on size scales less than $\sim 0.5$, however, we treat the 8.35 GHz flux as a datum to be fit by our $\chi^2$ procedure. The 14.35 MHz datum shows the expected (Finkbeiner et al. 2004) up-break above 10 GHz due to the rising contribution from spinning dust and is, therefore, not adopted as a constraint in our fitting.

**APPENDIX E: DETAILED JUSTIFICATION OF ASSUMPTION THAT PARTICLE TRANSPORT IS ENERGY-INDEPENDENT**

In our modelling, we assume that the non-thermal particle transport time-scale is energy-independent, a situation that is realized if, for instance, the removal of particles from the region is affected by their being advected away on a wind (rather than diffusing in an energy-dependent fashion by scattering on magnetic turbulence). This implies that transport does not alter the slope of the injection spectrum (of either relativistic electrons or protons over the energy range where its associated time-scale is the least). Also note that, as the pp cross-section is, to a fair approximation, also energy independent – the steady-state, $\text{in situ}$ proton spectrum has the same shape as the injection distribution (cf. the situation for the steady-state, $\text{in situ}$ electron spectrum where the different energy dependencies of the various electron cooling processes certainly can introduce spectral features).

Although an energy-independent time-scale is an assumption, we believe this to be physically well-motivated for a number of reasons.

(i) There is no spectral evidence for diffusion processing of the spectrum. The spectral index of the diffuse $\sim \text{TeV}$ emission is 2.2–2.3. It is first difficult to reconcile such a hard spectrum with any diffusion processing having occurred; in general, the least steepening, $\Delta \gamma = -1/3$, occurs for a Kolmogorov spectrum of turbulence. In this case, the injection spectral index must be very hard, 1.9–2.0.
(Any other spectrum of turbulence would require an even harder injection spectrum.) Given that – as we explain at length below – we expect that supernova remnants accelerate the protons and primary electrons needed to explain the non-thermal emission detected from the region and that the local CR spectrum (also due mostly to acceleration by SNRs in the Galactic disc) has a spectral index $\gtrsim 2.7$, the most natural explanation is that both GC and local CRs have the same spectrum at injection (in the same class of astrophysical object) but, whereas the local CRs have been diffusion steepened, the GC CRs have not. The only escape clauses to this argument would seem to be:

(a) the system is out of steady-state with insufficient time having elapsed since an assumed, single injection event for the particle distribution to have been steepened (cf. the interpretation adopted by Aharonian et al. 2006, that a single CR-injection event $\sim 10^4$ yr ago at the GC explains the observed diminution in the $\gamma$-ray to molecular column ratio beyond $|l| \sim 1^\circ$). As argued above, however, all the evidence is consistent with the system being in or close to steady-state.

(b) the diffusive transport time, $t_{\text{diff}} \equiv r^2/(4D)$, is actually close to the rectilinear escape time $t_{\text{esc}} \equiv r/c$ for a region size $r$. In this case, the diffusive escape time must become energy-independent so that the steady-state, in situ spectrum must flatten back to that at injection (Aharonian & Neronov 2005). An exploration of this scenario is beyond the scope of the present work but note, at least as far as the protons are concerned, this situation still realizes an effectively energy-independent transport time as we have modelled. For an application of this idea to the GeV/TeV point source coincident with Sgr A* see Chernyakova et al. (2011).

A related point is that, within the admittedly large statistical errors, the spectral index of the diffuse $\sim$TeV emission is constant over the solid angle of the emission region. There is thus no evidence for steepening of the CR hadron distribution as the particles are transported – and age – away from their putative discrete sources.

(ii) The radio spectral information is also highly suggestive of energy-independent transport in the HESS field. According to the modelling results of Lisenfeld & Völk (2000), radio spectral indices $\alpha \lesssim 0.7$ (for $S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$) indicate such and a power-law fit to the radio data from the HESS field for all radio data 1.4–10 GHz finds a spectral index of $\alpha \lesssim 0.54$, corresponding to an emitting electron population (for $F_\nu \propto E^{-\gamma}_e$) with spectral index $\gamma \simeq 2(\alpha + 1) \lesssim 2.1$ (inclusion of the 74 MHz datum leads to an even harder spectra but this has been affected by free–free absorption).

(iii) Diffusive transport has been shown to be very slow in the GC by direct modelling of particle trajectories (Wommer, Melia & Fatuzzo 2008).

(iv) All the evidence from starbursts – to which we have argued the GC is closely analogous – is that CR transport is overwhelmingly advective (Suchkov et al. 1993; Dogiel & Breitschwerdt 2009). Theoretically, this is expected in light of the fact that CR scattering produced by self-excited Alfvén waves should be very effective in starbursts, leading to marked reductions in the predicted diffusion coefficient (Ptuskin et al. 1997; Zirakashvili & Völk 2006). On the empirical side, in the well-known starburst system M82, for instance, CR escape is probably dominated by advection out of the gas midplane in a starburst-driven wind (Thompson, Quataert & Waxman 2007; Klein, Wielebinski & Morsi 1988; Seaquist & Odegard 1991).
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