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Abstract
This paper presents 29 new radiocarbon dates from eight surface concentrations of shell and 10 test-pits across four shell middens at Long Point in the Coorong, South Australia. Results indicate that occupation of these sites was confined to the late Holocene period, post-2500 cal. BP. With the exception of one midden, which appears to have been used after 500–300 cal. BP, all other sites suggest continued use until the recent past. This pattern fits with a proposed period of population expansion and intensification of resource use in the Coorong, along with more general changes known to have occurred in parts of coastal Australia during the mid- to late Holocene.

Introduction
The Murray River, Coorong and Lower Lakes are the traditional ruwe (lands and waters) of the Ngarrindjeri people (Ngarrindjeri Tendi et al. 2007). Eighteenth and nineteenth century ethnographers recorded aspects of Ngarrindjeri culture and lifestyle, highlighting a successful and sustainable culture in which people utilised the open sea, as well as the more protected lagoons, bays and river mouths, in addition to terrestrial resources in the hinterland (e.g. Angas 1847a, 1847b; Beveridge 1882; Campbell 1934, 1939, 1947; Taplin 1874). This led to the suggestion that, prior to European arrival, this region was one of the most densely populated areas in Australia (Tindale 1974). Ascertainment causes for changes evident in the late Holocene archaeological record has long been the subject of debate in Australia (Bowdler 1981; Flood 1999; Hiscock 1994, 2006; Lourandos 1980, 1983, 1985, 1988; Mulvaney 1969), with intensification proposed as one explanation (Lourandos 1980, 1983). Other suggestions offered include both internal factors, such as population increase or changes in social organisation (e.g. Barker 2004; David 2002; Hughes and Lampert 1982), and external factors, such as environmental change (e.g. Beaton 1985; Rowland 1983, 1989, 1999). Prior to these discussions, Luebbers (1978, 1981, 1982) had documented an array of archaeological changes in the Coorong in the post-2000 BP period and, based on observed economic changes, suggested four main phases of occupation:

• An early settlement phase (6000–4500 BP);
• An initial coastal settlement phase (4500–2000 BP);
• An intensive settlement phase (2000–AD 1840s); and,
• A refuge phase (AD 1840s–present).

Recent studies in the Coorong have continued to build on our understanding of Ngarrindjeri occupation and habitat, focusing on research into repatriation, gendered archaeology, skeletal analysis and heritage legislation (e.g. Baric 2006; Meara 2007; Niland 2007; Wilson 2005; Wiltshire 2005; Wiltshire and Wallis 2008); these studies generally have not included the dating of archaeological sites.

In this paper we report 29 new radiocarbon dates from eight surface concentrations of shell and 10 test-pits across four middens at the Coorong that test the validity of Luebbers’ occupation model. This dating was undertaken in order to provide a robust timeline for developing a local model for late Holocene occupation in the region (cf. Ulm 2013). Details on the excavated cultural materials, and a discussion as to how these sites relate to broader occupation patterns and the intensification debate, can be found in St George (2009); manuscripts on these aspects are currently being prepared for publication.

The Study Area
The study area, known as Long Point, is located in the Coorong, a shallow saline lagoon situated landward of the Younghusband Peninsula, approximately 150 km southeast of Adelaide (Figure 1). Long Point stretches approximately 3 km along the eastern landward margin of the northern Coorong, from the shoreline up to 800 m inland. The landscape is predominately low-lying; the terrain in the north is dominated by low, undercut limestone platforms, while active Holocene sand dunes up to 20–40 m above mean sea level are prevalent in the southern study area. Many of these dunes have experienced extensive blowouts and are somewhat destabilised, are underlain by lithified Pleistocene dunes and bear a thin calcrite crust (Harvey 1981:4). The modern coastal barriers of Sir Richard and Younghusband Peninsulas developed ca 7000–6000 BP, after the transgressing sea reached its present level (Harvey 1981).

The three main sources of water into the Coorong are freshwater flows from the Murray River, marine incursion through the Murray Mouth and winter rainfall (Barnett 1995). The construction of barrages for irrigation and water regulation in the early 1900s caused severe degradation of the Coorong ecosystem and hydrology; increased sedimentation...
and constriction of the Murray Mouth has resulted in minimal marine inflows and there is little inflow of freshwater from the lakes (Fluin et al. 2007; Krull et al. 2009). Prior to water regulation, seasonal fluctuations in water levels supported a diverse array of vegetation and vertebrate and invertebrate fauna.

**Methodology**

Initiated in 2007 as a component of their broader management programme, Dapung Talkinjeri Aboriginal Corporation (DTAC) requested archaeological surveys be undertaken at Long Point. These surveys identified 21 sites, comprising 15 shell matrix sites, five historic sites and one burial (Wallis 2007a, 2007b). With permission from DTAC and Ngarrindjeri Heritage Committee (NHC), surface samples of shell from several of the recorded sites were collected and dated.

This initial survey provided the foundation for a collaborative NHC-Flinders University field-school held in 2008, during which four sites were excavated: LP4, LP9, LP11 and LP16 (Wallis and Disspain 2008). As the sites were often large and continuous, excavation trenches were not logistically feasible, and thus discrete test-pits were chosen as the means of investigating the sub-surface deposits (cf. Bowdler 1983:137, 2006:323). A total of 12 test-pits were excavated:

- Two in LP4 (Squares AA10 and AK14);
- Three in LP9 (Squares AD, AY12 and Y);
- Three in LP11 (Squares A, B and C); and,
- Four in LP16 (Squares A8, L8, A20 and L20).

In addition, one surface shell matrix site (LP8) was recorded in detail, and seven radiocarbon dates were obtained from other surface concentrations of shell (Figure 2).

**Excavation**

All test-pits were excavated by 5 cm spits (unless a stratigraphic change was encountered) until culturally sterile sediments or limestone bedrock was reached. For each spit the volume of sediment removed was recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg, and pH and Munsell soil colours were assessed. Bulk sediment samples were taken from each excavation spit, and in situ shell and charcoal samples for radiocarbon dating were collected during excavation. Stratigraphic drawings and photographs were taken of each section, along with end level photographs of each spit for each excavation square. Detailed context sheets were recorded for each excavation spit, and offset plans drawn for each site.

**Surface Recording**

Shell scatter site LP8 was gridded using 5 x 5 m squares covering a total area of 30 x 25 m. The entire grid was subject to systematic pedestrian survey by at least five team members, with the location of each individual shell, oolith and stone artefact plotted. As large quantities of shell were present and it was not feasible, nor desirable, to collect them all, the cultural material in six 1 x 1 m randomly located squares across LP8 were collected for analysis.

**Radiocarbon Dating**

Five shell samples and one charcoal sample collected from the surfaces of six sites surveyed in 2007 were dated that year by one of the authors (BK) (Wk-21215, Wk-21217, Wk-21218, ANU 3113, ANU 2637 and ANU 2638). Radiocarbon dating of an additional 23 in situ samples excavated in 2008 was undertaken by another author (CSG). Both the 2007 and 2008 sets of samples were prepared and analysed under the supervision of a third author (SF), at The Australian National University using the single stage accelerator mass spectrometer (Fallon et al. 2010). For the 23 excavated samples, charcoal was chosen in preference to shell in a bid to avoid uncertainties about reservoir correction factors (Ulm 2006a, 2006b), though shell had to be dated in lieu of charcoal in two sites (LP4, Square AA10, and LP11, Square B).

Charcoal was treated following standard ABA methods (Brock et al. 2010). Carbon dioxide recovered from charcoal and shell was purified and converted to graphite following Santos et al. (2004). The quoted uncertainty on the radiocarbon age is reported in Table 1, using the convention of Stuiver and Polach (1977). Conventional radiocarbon ages of both the shell and charcoal samples were calibrated to calendar years BP using CALIB® v5.0.1 software, employing the SHCal04 atmospheric curve, and the Marine04 curve for 14C variations between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Hughen et al. 2004; McCormac et al. 2004) at a 2σ age-range, 95.4% probability. A reservoir correction factor of 725.55 was applied to the shell samples to account for local marine reservoir effects (Ulm 2006a).

**Results**

The radiocarbon dates obtained from the four excavated sites are listed in Table 1. Stratigraphic sections and plans for each of these sites are presented in the online supplementary material.

**Site LP4**

LP4 is a low density, shallow midden approximately 50 m in diameter, situated on a north-facing limestone cliff, into which two 1 x 1 m test-pits were excavated (Squares AK10 and AK14). Three radiocarbon dates were obtained from this site: a general surface date (collected in 2007), and basal dates from the two test-pits. The surface shell sample returned an age estimate of 491–271 cal. BP. The basal date in Square AK14, located near the edge of the midden, returned an age estimate of 321 cal. BP–modern, while in Square AA10 shell associated with the lowest cultural material produced an age estimate of 947–673 cal. BP.

**Site LP9**

LP9 is an extensive shell midden that stretches ca 500 m in a 30 m wide band, approximately 30 m from the current lagoon shoreline. Three 1 x 1 m test-pits (Squares AY12, Y and AD) were excavated at LP9, from which eight radiocarbon dates were obtained. A surface sample of Donax deltoides collected during 2007, and thus unrelated to a specific test-pit, returned an age estimate of 1501–1227 cal. BP. The basal age for Square AD was 2121–1897 cal. BP, while a second sample from 20–30 cm below surface (bs)—where cultural material was densest—returned an age estimate of 1816–1569 cal. BP. A sample from the uppermost 5 cm of Square AD returned a modern date. The basal age for Square AY12 was 1822–1570 cal. BP and a sample obtained 5–10 cm bs returned an age of 439 cal. BP–modern. A basal sample from Square Y returned an age estimate of 2455–2134 cal. BP, and another from 25–30 cm bs (again where cultural material was densest), returned an age estimate of 2306–1951 cal. BP.
Figure 1 Location of Long Point, Coorong, South Australia.
## Figure 2

Location of dated sites at Long Point.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Number</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Spit</th>
<th>Depth Below Surface (cm)</th>
<th>Sample Material</th>
<th>$D^{13}C$</th>
<th>Uncalibrated Age (BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated Age (cal. BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wk-21215</td>
<td>LP4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Surface 0</td>
<td>$D. deltoides$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>813±36</td>
<td>491–271</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6614</td>
<td>LP4</td>
<td>AK14</td>
<td>4 20</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-29±3</td>
<td>235±45</td>
<td>321–modern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6616</td>
<td>LP4</td>
<td>AA10</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td>$D. deltoides$</td>
<td>-22±3</td>
<td>1345±45</td>
<td>947–673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-21218</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Surface 0</td>
<td>$D. deltoides$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1865±36</td>
<td>1501–1227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6619</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-26±3</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6620</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>4 20</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-23±3</td>
<td>1825±40</td>
<td>1816–1569</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6621</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>8 40</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-29±2</td>
<td>2100±40</td>
<td>2121–1897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6623</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>AY12</td>
<td>2 10</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-20±3</td>
<td>250±45</td>
<td>439–modern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6625</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>AY12</td>
<td>24 140</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-21±3</td>
<td>1840±40</td>
<td>1822–1570</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6617</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5 25</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-24±3</td>
<td>2175±45</td>
<td>2306–1951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6618</td>
<td>LP9</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>10 50</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-26±4</td>
<td>2340±55</td>
<td>2465–2134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 3113</td>
<td>LP11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Surface 0</td>
<td>$D. deltoides$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1385±40</td>
<td>993–700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6629</td>
<td>LP11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4 20</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-23±2</td>
<td>380±40</td>
<td>490–318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6630</td>
<td>LP11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>11 55</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-20±3</td>
<td>995±50</td>
<td>995–748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6632</td>
<td>LP11</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3 15</td>
<td>$D. deltoides$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1335±40</td>
<td>930–671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6633</td>
<td>LP11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5 25</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-23±3</td>
<td>405±45</td>
<td>499–322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6631</td>
<td>LP11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11 55</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-22±4</td>
<td>1780±50</td>
<td>1810–1525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 2637</td>
<td>LP16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Surface 0</td>
<td>$D. deltoides$</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>680±40</td>
<td>415–modern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 2638</td>
<td>LP16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Surface 0</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>870±40</td>
<td>895–672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6626</td>
<td>LP16</td>
<td>A8</td>
<td>9 45</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-23±3</td>
<td>735±50</td>
<td>720–558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 6627</td>
<td>LP16</td>
<td>L8</td>
<td>5 25</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>-18±4</td>
<td>350±50</td>
<td>491–298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Radiocarbon dates from excavated middens LP4, LP9, LP11 and LP16.
Site LP11
LP11 is a moderately dense shell midden measuring ca 26 x 20 m, in which four 1 x 1 m test-pits (Squares A, B and C) were excavated and from which six radiocarbon dates were obtained. A sample collected during the 2007 survey produced an age estimate of 993–700 cal. BP, though this cannot be meaningfully related to those from the excavations as it was collected from an exposed section. The basal age estimate for Square A was 955–748 cal. BP, while an age estimate obtained from 20–25 cm bs (where cultural remains were densest) was 490–318 cal. BP. Owing to the lack of in situ charcoal in Square B, a shell sample associated with the lowest cultural material in this test-pit was dated, indicating initial site occupation at 930–671 cal. BP. The basal age for Square C was 1810–1525 cal. BP, with a sample from 25–30 cm bs in this same square returning an age estimate of 499–322 cal. BP.

Site LP16
LP16 is a low density shell midden covering ca 26 x 20 m, in which four 1 x 1 m test-pits were excavated (Squares A8, A20, L8 and L20). Four radiocarbon dates were obtained for LP16, along with basal dates for Squares A8 and L8, and a paired sample of charcoal and shell from the surface; no dates were obtained for Squares A20 and L20. The shell surface sample returned an age estimate of 415 cal. BP–modern (ANU 2637), while the paired charcoal surface sample returned an age estimate of 895–672 cal. BP. A basal sample from Square A8 returned an age estimate of 720–558 cal. BP, and in Square L8 an age of 491–298 cal. BP was obtained from Spit 5.

Surface Samples from Other Sites
Table 2 lists the dates obtained from the surface of a further eight sites recorded at Long Point in 2007. Of these, samples from sites LP1, LP7 and LP8 all returned modern age estimates, while the other five samples all dated to within the last 2000 years.

Summary
Based on the available radiocarbon age estimates, Long Point has an occupation history spanning ca 2500 years, with the oldest site, LP9, dating from 2455 cal. BP. The three other excavated middens in the locale indicate occupation younger than 2000 years BP:

- LP11 was deposited over a ca 1500 year period commencing 1810–1525 cal. BP;
- LP4 accumulated over a ca 950 year period commencing 947–673 cal. BP; and,
- LP16 accumulated over a ca 700 year period commencing 895–672 cal. BP.

With the exception of site LP11, which appears not to have been occupied after 500–300 cal. BP, all of the Long Point sites suggest continual use from ca 2000 cal. BP until relatively recently, providing empirical evidence supporting Ngarrindjeri assertions for their longevity and continued occupation in the region (Ngarrindjeri Tendi et al. 2007).

The surface dates obtained from the other eight shell matrix sites recorded in 2008 but not excavated also demonstrate occupation within the last 2000 years. As these age estimates are based on near-surface samples only, it is possible that initial occupation of these sites is older, though given the excavation results this is considered unlikely.

The discrepancy noted between the shellfish and charcoal dates is thought to be associated with shellfish absorbing old carbon from the limestone landscape, resulting in a significantly older age. Based on the paired shellfish and charcoal samples from LP16 (ANU 2367 and 2368, respectively), this discrepancy is estimated to be ca 500 years. All shell samples are considered likely to be affected by this phenomenon. While it is not discussed further here, analysis into an appropriate reservoir correction factor for shellfish from this region is recommended, though it was beyond the scope of this project.

Intrasite variability in occupation is also evident, though not unexpected, as the four excavated middens range in size from ca 400 m² (LP11) to 15,000 m² (LP9). One such example is LP11, where Square C indicates occupation commencing ca 900 years earlier than Square A (Table 1). Site LP9 is similar, with basal samples for Square Y (at 50 cm bs) and Square AD (at 40 cm bs) returning age estimates of 2455–2134 cal. BP and 2121–1897 cal. BP, respectively, while Square AY12 (at 140 cm bs) dated to 1822–1570 cal. BP (Table 1). Square AY12 is situated on a distinct mounded feature within the site (ca 1 m high) and its younger basal age indicates that the establishment of the mound occurred at a later date than use of the areas where Squares AD and Y were situated.

Despite the extensive excavation and dating programme at Long Point, no sites were located which were older than 2500 cal. BP. The late Holocene occupation of Long Point indirectly lends support, albeit in the form of an absence of evidence (which is not without issue), for Luebbers’ hypothesis that, prior to 2000 BP, population densities in the Coorong were lower and marine resources were not as intensively exploited. Based on the results from the LP9 site, the commencement time for Luebbers’ proposed intensive settlement phase could

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Number</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sample Material</th>
<th>$^{13}$C</th>
<th>Uncalibrated Age (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated Age (cal. BP) (95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wk-21213</td>
<td>LP1</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>2130±36</td>
<td>1810–1474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-21214</td>
<td>LP3</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>0.4±0.2</td>
<td>593±35</td>
<td>237–modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-21216</td>
<td>LP7</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>617±34</td>
<td>282–modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-21217</td>
<td>LP8</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td>610±35</td>
<td>276–modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 3314</td>
<td>LP10</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>-5.2±2.7</td>
<td>1120±35</td>
<td>719–513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 2717</td>
<td>LP13</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>-3.8±2.1</td>
<td>900±30</td>
<td>543–309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 2714</td>
<td>LP14</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>-1.1±3.1</td>
<td>1525±40</td>
<td>1172–860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANU 2639</td>
<td>LP15</td>
<td>D. deltoides</td>
<td>-2.3±2.0</td>
<td>1600±35</td>
<td>1230–938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Radiocarbon dates from surface collections.
be refined to begin 500 years earlier than initially posited. The significance of the dated evidence for a change in this date is being prepared for publication elsewhere.

Significant archaeological changes occurred across much of Australia during the mid- to late Holocene. For example, in New South Wales, over half of all sites dated fall within the last 2000 years (Attenbrow 1999). Similar changes have been recorded further north, with Ulm (2006b) documenting distinct phases of occupation throughout the Holocene, akin in timing and nature to those of Luebbers. However, these patterns are not universal, as in both Victoria and some parts of Queensland there is a more even distribution of dated sites throughout the Holocene (e.g. Barker 1991; Bird and Frankel 1991; Faulkner 2009; O’Connor 1999). Developing local models of occupation independently from broader continental models is an essential component to ensuring that local variability and complexity are not lost on a broader scale (Frankel 1995; Ulm 2006b, 2013). Further analysis and radiocarbon dating of other deposits in coastal parts of Ngarrindjeri rewe, and further afield in adjacent coastal areas, would assist in determining if this chronology is replicated along the coast, and thus assist in refining our understanding of occupation of the Coorong during the Holocene.
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Online Supplementary Material
- Stratigraphic sections for each excavation square (n=10).
- Site plans for four excavated sites and one surface concentration of shell.
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