

Gravitational waves from  
accreting neutron stars and  
Cassiopeia A

by  
Karl William Wette

A thesis submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
of  
The Australian National University



November 2009



# Declaration

This thesis is an account of research undertaken between March 2005 and October 2009. The research was conducted within the Centre for Gravitational Physics at the Australian National University, and during visits to the LIGO Hanford Observatory, the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), the University of Melbourne, and the Pennsylvania State University.

The research described in this thesis is my own work, except where otherwise indicated. Research that has been conducted in collaboration with colleagues is explicitly acknowledged as such. Appropriate references to the work of others are included throughout.

To the best of my knowledge, the work presented in this thesis is original, and has not been submitted for a degree at any university.

Karl W. Wette  
November 2009



# Acknowledgments

I am grateful for the support I have received, throughout my Ph.D., from an Australian Postgraduate Award, an ANU Vice-Chancellor's Supplementary Scholarship, and from the Australian Research Council through grants DP0451021, SR0567380, and DP0770426. I am also grateful for the support I have received from the LIGO Hanford Observatory, the Pennsylvania State University, the University of Melbourne, and the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute).

It has been a pleasure and a privilege to have had the opportunity to work with so many talented scientists from around the world. Thank you to my supervisory panel, Susan Scott and David McClelland, for encouraging my work, and for shouldering the unenviable task of perpetual grant-writing which ensured I was never without funding for an all-expenses-paid trip to somewhere. Thank you to Greg Mendell for getting me started in gravitational wave data analysis, and for your kind hospitality during my long sojourn in Hanford. Thank you to Ben Owen for guiding me through the Cas A search, for interesting discussions on all aspects of this work, and for your continued support and encouragement. Thank you to Andrew Melatos for working with me on magnetic mountains, for your continued enthusiasm and encouragement of my work, and patience with my uneven rate of progress. Thank you to Mike Ashley for help wrestling with grid certificates, to Joseph Betzwieser for answering questions about upper limits, and to Matthias Vigelius for answering questions about ZEUS-MP. Thank you to Maria Alessandra Papa and Bruce Allen for taking an interest in my work, and for inviting me to the Albert Einstein Institute which greatly assisted the completion of much of this work. Thank you to Chris Messenger for many helpful discussions and guidance on numerous finicky data analysis issues. Thank you to Mike Landry, Keith Riles, and Graham Woan for your leadership of the LSC Continuous Wave Group, and for organising innumerable telecons. Thank you to Teviet Creighton, Fred Raab, and Peter Shawhan for carefully reviewing the Cas A work.

Thank you to Ra Ina, David McClelland, Andrew Melatos, Greg Mendell,

Ben Owen, Reinhard Prix, Susan Scott, Antony Searle, and Gerhard Wette for timely and helpful comments on thesis drafts. Thank you to my Ph.D. examiners for their careful reading of this thesis, and for their many helpful comments and suggestions. Any remaining errors or omissions are, of course, the responsibility of the author.

Special thanks to all the people I've met over the last five years, who have helped to distract me from the many trials and frustrations of a Ph.D. life. Most especially, thanks to my wonderful family for your support and encouragement during my many years as a student, for the letters and parcels from home to wherever I was in the world, and for counselling me through the dark and difficult times.

*In loving memory of my grandparents:*

*Nana Joan and Poppa Jack,*

*Oma Erna und Opa Jobst*





# Abstract

This thesis is concerned with the mysteries of neutron stars and the quest for gravitational waves. Rapidly-rotating neutron stars are anticipated sources of periodic gravitational waves, and are expected to be detectable within the next decade using kilometre-scale laser interferometry.

We first perform ideal-magnetohydrodynamic axisymmetric simulations of a magnetically confined mountain on an accreting neutron star. Two scenarios are considered, in which the mountain sits atop a hard surface or sinks into a soft, fluid base. We quantify the ellipticity of the star, due to a mountain grown on a hard surface, and the reduction in ellipticity due to sinking. The consequences for gravitational waves from low-mass x-ray binaries are discussed.

We next present two approaches to reducing the computational cost of searches for periodic gravitational waves. First, we generalise the PowerFlux semi-coherent search method to estimate the amplitudes and polarisation of the periodic gravitational wave signal. The relative efficiencies of the generalised and standard methods are compared using simulated signals. Second, we present an algorithm which minimises the number of templates required for a fully coherent search, by using lattice sphere covering to optimally place templates in the search parameter space. An implementation of the algorithm is tested using Monte Carlo simulations.

Finally, we present a coherent search for periodic gravitational waves targeting the central compact object in the supernova remnant Cassiopeia A, using data from the fifth science run of the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory. The search parameter space is determined by the sensitive frequencies of the detectors, by the age of the compact object, and a range of braking indices. No gravitational wave signal is detected. We set an upper limit on the strength of gravitational waves from the compact object in Cassiopeia A, which surpasses the theoretical limit based on energy conservation. Cassiopeia A is thus one of only a few astronomical objects, to date, where gravitational wave observations are beginning to constrain astrophysics.



# Contents

<b>Declaration</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Author contributions and publications . . . . .	3
<b>2 Neutron stars and gravitational waves</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 The last hundred years . . . . .	7
2.2 Neutron stars . . . . .	9
2.3 Gravitational waves . . . . .	12
2.4 Periodic gravitational waves from spinning neutron stars . . .	17
2.5 Summary . . . . .	20
<b>3 A method of building stable mountains with sinking</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1 Magnetic burial . . . . .	21
3.2 Growing a realistically sized mountain by injection . . . . .	23
3.2.1 Previous work . . . . .	23
3.2.2 Outline of the method . . . . .	24
3.2.3 Initial setup . . . . .	27
3.2.4 Injection procedure . . . . .	29
3.3 Summary . . . . .	31
3.A Additional material . . . . .	31
3.A.1 Matching a fluid base to a Grad-Shafranov mountain .	31
3.A.2 Custom injection procedure . . . . .	32
<b>4 Sinking of a mountain on an accreting neutron star</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1 Simulations of magnetic mountains with sinking . . . . .	35
4.1.1 Verification . . . . .	37
4.1.2 Illustrative example . . . . .	40

4.2	Comparison of mountains grown on hard and soft bases . . . . .	41
4.2.1	Sinking scenarios . . . . .	46
4.2.2	Magnetic line tying . . . . .	48
4.2.3	Mass quadrupole moment . . . . .	51
4.3	Comparison with Choudhuri & Konar . . . . .	53
4.4	Implications for gravitational waves . . . . .	54
4.5	Summary . . . . .	54
<b>5</b>	<b>Gravitational wave data analysis</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1	Summary of gravitational waves searches . . . . .	57
5.1.1	Compact binary coalescences . . . . .	58
5.1.2	Bursts . . . . .	59
5.1.3	The stochastic background . . . . .	60
5.1.4	Periodic gravitational waves . . . . .	60
5.2	Periodic gravitational wave data analysis . . . . .	61
5.2.1	The signal model . . . . .	62
5.2.2	The $\mathcal{F}$ statistic . . . . .	67
5.2.3	Computational cost . . . . .	72
5.3	Summary . . . . .	74
<b>6</b>	<b>Parameter estimation using generalised PowerFlux</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1	Semi-coherent data analysis . . . . .	75
6.2	A derivation of the PowerFlux method . . . . .	76
6.3	Generalisations of PowerFlux . . . . .	77
6.3.1	Estimation of $A_+^2$ and $A_\times^2$ . . . . .	78
6.3.2	Estimation of $A_+^2$ , $A_\times^2$ , and $\psi$ . . . . .	79
6.4	Comparison of the methods . . . . .	80
6.4.1	Parameter distributions . . . . .	83
6.4.2	Detection efficiencies . . . . .	84
6.5	Summary . . . . .	84
<b>7</b>	<b>Template bank generation using optimal lattices</b>	<b>85</b>
7.1	Lattices and sphere coverings . . . . .	85
7.1.1	Lattices . . . . .	86
7.1.2	Sphere coverings . . . . .	87
7.2	The parameter space metric . . . . .	89
7.2.1	The metric of the $\mathcal{F}$ statistic . . . . .	91
7.3	A template bank generation algorithm . . . . .	92
7.3.1	Iteration over the parameter space . . . . .	93
7.3.2	The lattice generator . . . . .	97
7.3.3	Number of templates required for coverage . . . . .	101

7.4	Implementation . . . . .	102
7.4.1	Testing the implementation . . . . .	103
7.5	Summary . . . . .	105
<b>8</b>	<b>A search for gravitational waves from Cassiopeia A</b>	<b>107</b>
8.1	The central compact object . . . . .	107
8.1.1	Motivation for a gravitational wave search . . . . .	109
8.2	Indirect upper limits . . . . .	110
8.2.1	Uncertainty in the upper limits . . . . .	112
8.3	Choice of analysis method and time span of data set . . . . .	113
8.4	The frequency and spindown parameter space . . . . .	113
8.4.1	Application of the template bank algorithm . . . . .	115
8.5	Sensitivity of the search . . . . .	119
8.6	Computational cost and expected upper limits . . . . .	123
8.7	The expected largest $2\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	123
8.7.1	Number of statistically independent templates . . . . .	125
8.8	Summary . . . . .	128
<b>9</b>	<b>Upper limits on gravitational waves from Cassiopeia A</b>	<b>129</b>
9.1	The LIGO S5 science run . . . . .	129
9.1.1	Selection of data for the search . . . . .	130
9.2	The search pipeline . . . . .	134
9.3	Vetoing of spuriously large $2\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	134
9.3.1	Contamination of the $\mathcal{F}$ statistic by lines . . . . .	135
9.3.2	Identification of narrow line features . . . . .	137
9.3.3	Application to the search results . . . . .	138
9.4	The largest $2\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	145
9.5	Upper limits . . . . .	147
9.5.1	Analytic estimation of upper limits . . . . .	149
9.5.2	Upper limits on $h_0$ and $\epsilon$ . . . . .	150
9.6	Summary . . . . .	153
9.A	Additional material . . . . .	153
9.A.1	Known instrumental lines . . . . .	153
<b>10</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>159</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>163</b>



# Figures

2.1	Periods, period derivatives, and surface magnetic fields of known pulsars . . . . .	11
2.2	Perturbation of a ring of test masses due to plus-polarised and cross-polarised plane gravitational waves . . . . .	13
2.3	Schematic of a Michelson interferometer . . . . .	15
3.1	Diagrams illustrating schematically three mountain growth scenarios . . . . .	25
3.2	The accretion rate, and the initial mass-flux distribution . . . . .	29
4.1	Radial component of the magnetic field along $\theta = 0$ . . . . .	38
4.2	Accreted mass, and masses in the stellar atmosphere and fluid base . . . . .	39
4.3	Kinetic energy normalised by the magnetic energy . . . . .	40
4.4	Contours of the absolute, normalised divergence of $\mathbf{B}$ of mountain $\mathcal{H}(10^3)$ . . . . .	41
4.5	Hydromagnetic structure of hard-surface mountain $\mathcal{H}(10^2)$ : contours of accreted density . . . . .	42
4.6	Hydromagnetic structure of hard-surface mountain $\mathcal{H}(10^2)$ : contours of magnetic flux . . . . .	43
4.7	Comparison of the hydromagnetic structure of hard- and soft-surface mountains: contours of accreted density . . . . .	44
4.8	Comparison of the hydromagnetic structure of hard- and soft-surface mountains: contours of magnetic flux . . . . .	45
4.9	Shaded contours of the absolute, normalised difference in total density between $\mathcal{S}(r_{\min}, 10)$ and $\mathcal{S}(R_{\star}, 10)$ . . . . .	47
4.10	Angle between the magnetic field and the radial unit vector . . . . .	49
4.11	Ellipticity as a function of time, for $M_a/M_c = 1, 10, 10^2$ , and $10^3$ . . . . .	51
4.12	Ellipticity as a function of $M_a$ for $\mathcal{H}$ , $\mathcal{S}(r_{\min})$ , and $\mathcal{S}(R_{\star})$ . . . . .	52

5.1	Transformation from the wave frame to the detector frame, via the celestial sphere frame . . . . .	65
5.2	Optimal signal-to-noise ratio $\rho^2$ as a function of the observation time, and the resultant probability distributions of $2\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	71
6.1	Injected versus detected normalised squared amplitudes for linear, circular, and generalised PowerFlux . . . . .	81
6.2	Detections efficiencies versus the normalised injected amplitude for linear, circular, and generalised PowerFlux, and Stack-Slide . . . . .	82
7.1	The hexagonal lattice and its covering spheres. . . . .	86
7.2	Edges effects at the boundary of a two-dimensional parameter space . . . . .	95
7.3	Transformation of the the original hexagonal lattice generator to the final generator for a 2-dimensional template bank . . . . .	98
7.4	Testing the <code>FlatLatticeTiling</code> implementation of the template bank algorithm . . . . .	104
8.1	Histograms of the probability distributions of the upper limits on the ellipticity $\epsilon$ , assuming $f = 100$ Hz, and the strain $h_0$ . . . . .	112
8.2	Visualisation of the Cas A search parameter space . . . . .	114
8.3	$\dot{f}$ - $\ddot{f}$ cross-section through the template tiling of the Cas A parameter space, at $f = 200$ Hz . . . . .	116
8.4	$f$ - $\dot{f}$ cross-section through the template tiling of the Cas A parameter space, at $\ddot{f} = 8.38 \times 10^{-19}$ Hz s <sup>-2</sup> . . . . .	117
8.5	Cumulative number of templates required to cover the Cas A search parameter space . . . . .	119
8.6	False alarm rate $p_\alpha$ versus detection threshold $2\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ , and false dismissal rate $p_\beta$ versus sensitivity $\Theta$ . . . . .	122
8.7	Expected upper limits obtainable by the Cas A search . . . . .	122
8.8	Distribution of the largest $2\mathcal{F}$ , in each search job frequency band, and for the entire search . . . . .	126
8.9	Fractional difference between the theoretical distribution of the $\mathcal{F}$ statistic and the distribution of the $\mathcal{F}$ statistic as implemented by <code>ComputeFStatistic_v2</code> . . . . .	127
9.1	Figure of merit $F(t_0)$ for all 12-day intervals containing SFTs before GPS 861000000 . . . . .	132
9.2	Spectrograms of the H1 and L1 SFTs comprising the Cas A search data set . . . . .	133



9.3	Largest $2\mathcal{F}$ found in each search job frequency band, before post-processing . . . . .	135
9.4	The action of a spectral running median on a discrete spectrum containing lines . . . . .	136
9.5	Histograms of the StackSlide power of the Cas A search SFTs	137
9.6	Times during which pulsar hardware injections were injected into L1 and H1 . . . . .	140
9.7	Veto bands triggered by pulsar hardware injections no. 3 and no. 8. . . . .	141
9.8	Veto bands triggered by probable instrumental lines in L1 at $\sim 139.5$ Hz and $\sim 209.3$ Hz . . . . .	144
9.9	Largest $2\mathcal{F}$ found in each search job frequency band, after post-processing . . . . .	146
9.10	The search job band containing the largest non-vetoed value of $2\mathcal{F}$ . . . . .	146
9.11	The largest value of $2\mathcal{F}$ found by the Cas A search, and its expected distribution . . . . .	147
9.12	Confidence of the upper limits in each upper limit band as determined by software injections . . . . .	149
9.13	Upper limits at 95% confidence on the strain of gravitational waves from Cas A and the ellipticity of Cas A . . . . .	151
9.14	Veto bands triggered by instrumental lines at $\sim 119.9$ Hz, $\sim 128.0$ Hz, and $\sim 179.8$ Hz . . . . .	154
9.15	Veto band triggered by instrumental L1 line at $\sim 139.2$ Hz . .	155
9.16	Veto band triggered by instrumental L1 line at $\sim 144.8$ Hz . .	155
9.17	Veto band triggered by instrumental L1 line at $\sim 185.6$ Hz . .	156
9.18	Veto band triggered by instrumental L1 line at $\sim 193.0$ Hz . .	156



# Tables

3.1	Conversion of physical quantities into dimensionless variables in the simulations . . . . .	28
4.1	Simulations of magnetic mountains presented in this chapter .	36
4.2	Important physical parameters of accreting neutron stars, and a summary of the results of the simulations . . . . .	37
7.1	Thicknesses of a selection of lattice sphere coverings, in up to the 6th dimension . . . . .	88
9.1	Data quality flags applied to the L1 and H1 science data . . .	131
9.2	Vetoed frequency bands identified by the threshold on $\mathcal{P}(f)$ .	138
9.3	Doppler parameters of the two pulsar hardware injections strong enough to be seen by the Cas A search . . . . .	140



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

This thesis is concerned with two exciting arenas of modern physics: neutron stars, and gravitational waves. Since the beginning of the twentieth century, experiments have been conducted, with increasing precision, to probe the nature of gravity, and to test the predictions of its most successful theory: the general theory of relativity. Gravitational waves, predicted by the theory, are the next frontier of experimental gravity, and a first direct detection is widely anticipated within the next decade. Meanwhile, the existence of neutron stars has been confirmed by the discovery of pulsars, which have been studied in detail over the last half-century. Neutron stars are important gravitational wave sources; in turn, gravitational wave astronomy offers the possibility of gaining further insights into neutron star physics. Chapter 2 introduces the physics of neutron stars and gravitational waves, and discusses one important link between them.

Chapters 3–4 are concerned with the physics of accreting neutron stars. Specifically, we investigate the formation by the accreted matter of a mountain on the stellar surface, held in a stable equilibrium by the star’s powerful magnetic field. The burial of the magnetic field by the accreted mountain can explain why the magnetic field of a neutron star reduces as the star accretes, which is important for models of the evolution of binary pulsar systems. Magnetic mountains are also a plausible means of generating gravitational radiation from low-mass x-ray binaries. The effect of the magnetic mountain sinking into the neutron star crust has not been accounted for in previous work, and is the key advance of the work presented here.

In Chapter 3, we review previous work on this problem, and present a numerical procedure capable of building magnetic mountains with realistic masses. In Chapter 4, we present a detailed comparison of two scenarios, where the magnetic mountain either sits atop a hard surface or sinks into a soft, fluid base. We discuss the evolution of a magnetic mountain during

accretion, and compare the hydromagnetic structures of mountains grown on hard and soft bases. We allow the mountain to sink in two different but theoretically identical scenarios, and confirm that the resultant equilibria are equivalent. We quantify the ellipticity of the neutron star, due to a mountain grown on a hard surface, and the reduction in ellipticity due to sinking. Finally, we compare our simulations to the model of Choudhuri & Konar (2002), and discuss the consequences for gravitational waves from low-mass x-ray binaries.

Chapters 5–9 are concerned with gravitational waves, and the challenge of analysing the output of kilometre-scale laser interferometric detectors in search of their signatures. In Chapter 5, we summarise results from the searches for gravitational waves conducted to date. We then review the analysis of periodic gravitational waves, which are anticipated to be generated by rapidly rotating neutron stars. We present the analytic model of the periodic gravitational wave signal, the coherent matched filtering technique used to search for them, and discuss the computational cost of such searches. We then explore, in Chapters 6–7, two different approaches to lowering the computational cost of periodic gravitational wave searches.

In Chapter 6, we consider semi-coherent search techniques. Compared to fully coherent matched filtering, these techniques are less sensitive, but are also less computationally intensive; as a result, they can achieve greater overall sensitivity by searching longer stretches of data than would be computationally feasible using a coherent method. We consider the PowerFlux semi-coherent method, and present an alternative derivation to that of Dergachev & Riles (2005). We then generalise the PowerFlux method to estimate the amplitudes of the plus and cross polarisations, and the polarisation angle of the periodic gravitational wave signal. Using simulated signals injected into Gaussian noise, we compare the parameter estimation and detection efficiencies of the generalised and standard PowerFlux methods.

In Chapter 7, we present an algorithm which generates a bank of templates for a coherent search over a given template parameter space. The algorithm is designed to minimise the number of templates required to cover the parameter space, thus minimising the computational cost of the search, while ensuring that any potential signal will still be closely matched by some template in the bank. The algorithm uses sphere coverings on optimally thin lattices to position the points in the parameter space, and a metric on the parameter space to ensure the correct spacing. Particular care is taken to generate extra templates along the edges of the parameter space to ensure that they are completely covered. The chapter introduces the necessary background material, presents the algorithm, discusses how to estimate the number of templates the algorithm requires for coverage, and tests the per-

formance of an implementation of the algorithm.

Finally, in Chapters 8–9, we present a search for periodic gravitational waves targeted at the central compact object in the supernova remnant Cassiopeia A. The compact object is likely the youngest known neutron star, and has been widely studied by astronomers since its discovery a decade ago. No pulsations are observed from the compact object, and it therefore has no known spin frequency. The search uses data from the fifth science run (S5) of the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO), and is the first gravitational wave search to target a known non-pulsing neutron star. An indirect upper limit on the strength of gravitational waves from the compact object can be beaten, over a range of frequencies, using a coherent search of 12 days of LIGO S5 data. Cassiopeia A is therefore one of the few periodic gravitational wave sources which could conceivably be seen by LIGO at its present sensitivity.

In Chapter 8, we review electromagnetic observations of Cassiopeia A, and the motivation for a gravitational wave search. We derive the indirect upper limit on the compact object, and present details of the proposed search, including the choice of analysis method and the time span of the data set, the parameter space of frequencies and frequency derivatives to be searched, and its estimated sensitivity. We confirm that the search will beat the indirect upper limit, and is computationally feasible. Chapter 9 presents the implementation of the search, which includes the selection of data from the LIGO S5 run, the execution of the search pipeline, and post-processing procedures to remove false candidates arising from instrumental noise. After performing these steps, we find that there is no evidence for the detection of a gravitational wave signal from Cassiopeia A. We then determine upper limits on the strength of gravitational waves from Cassiopeia A which, as expected, beat the indirect limit over the range of frequencies searched. Cassiopeia A is one of only a handful of astronomical objects for which this has been achieved.

Chapter 10 summarises the thesis and considers possible directions for further research.

## 1.1 Author contributions and publications

While this thesis is substantially the work of the author, it also includes work that was done in collaboration with colleagues. This section describes in full the contributions made by the author to the research presented in each chapter, and any publications on which the chapter is based.

**Chapter 2** reviews background information relevant to the thesis as a whole.

**Chapters 3–4** present work done in collaboration with Andrew Melatos and Matthias Vigelius (University of Melbourne). The two chapters are closely based on the following publication:

Wette *et al.* (2010):

K. Wette, M. Vigelius, and A. Melatos, 2010. Sinking of a magnetically confined mountain on an accreting neutron star. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 402, 1099.

**Chapter 5** reviews background information relevant to Chapters 6–9.

**Chapter 6** presents work done in collaboration with Gregory Mendell (LIGO Hanford Observatory). The chapter is closely based on the following publication:

Mendell & Wette (2008):

G. Mendell and K. Wette, 2008. Using generalized PowerFlux methods to estimate the parameters of periodic gravitational waves. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 25(11), 114044.

**Chapter 7** presents the work of the author, and has not been published.

**Chapters 8–9** present work conducted within the LIGO Scientific Collaboration (LSC). The LSC works alongside the LIGO Laboratory to undertake the science of LIGO. This includes operating the Observatory’s twin gravitational wave detectors during data acquisition, monitoring the detectors’ performance to ensure the acquired data is of science quality, the correct calibration of the raw detector output, the generation of derived data products from the raw output, authoring the scientific software used in the analysis of the data, and the operating and maintaining of large-scale computer clusters on which the analyses are performed.

*Author contributions* The author participated in the acquisition of LIGO data by serving as a science monitor at the LIGO Hanford Observatory. The author held primary responsibility for conducting the search for periodic gravitational waves from the supernova remnant Cassiopeia A presented in Chapters 8–9. This included deciding on the various parameters of the search, the design and authoring of the template bank generation algorithm described in Chapter 7, authoring scripts to manage the search pipeline, submitting and managing search jobs on computer clusters, designing and executing the post-processing of the results, and determining the upper



limits. The author greatly benefitted from discussions, at regular teleconferences and at face-to-face meetings, with colleagues in the Continuous Wave (CW) Working Group of the LSC. The author was also responsible for presenting the search to the CW Review Committee, which approved the presentation of preliminary results at the 8th Edoardo Amaldi Conference on Gravitational Waves. The same results are reproduced in this thesis.

*Publications* Chapters 8–9 expand upon the following publications:

Wette *et al.* (2008):

K. Wette, B. J. Owen, B. Allen, M. Ashley, J. Betzwieser, N. Christensen, T. D. Creighton, V. Dergachev, I. Gholami, E. Goetz, R. Gustafson, D. Hammer, D. I. Jones, B. Krishnan, M. Landry, B. Machenschalk, D. E. McClelland, G. Mendell, C. J. Messenger, M. A. Papa, P. Patel, M. Pitkin, H. J. Pletsch, R. Prix, K. Riles, L. S. de la Jordana, S. M. Scott, A. M. Sintes, M. Trias, J. T. Whelan, and G. Woan, 2008. Searching for gravitational waves from Cassiopeia A with LIGO. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 25(23), 235011.

Abadie *et al.* (2010):

J. Abadie *et al.* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), 2010. First search for gravitational waves from the youngest known neutron star. *The Astrophysical Journal* in press.

*Disclaimer* The search for Cassiopeia A is, at the time of writing, under internal review within the LSC; the material presented in Chapters 8–9 is therefore subject to change. The views and opinions expressed in this thesis regarding the Cassiopeia A search are those of the author, and do not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the LSC.

*Acknowledgements* The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the United States National Science Foundation for the construction and operation of the LIGO Laboratory, and the support of the National Science Foundation, the Australian Research Council, and many other funding agencies for the research by members and member institutions of the LSC.