Reduction of Benzoquinones to Hydroquinones via Spontaneous Reaction with Glutathione and Enzymatic Reaction by S-Glutathionyl-Hydroquinone Reductases

L. K. Metthew Lam,[†] Zhicheng Zhang,[‡] Philip G. Board,[§] and Luying Xun^{*,†}

[†]School of Molecular Biosciences, and [‡]Department of Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, United States

[§]John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

ABSTRACT: S-Glutathionyl-hydroquinone reductases (GS-HQRs) are a new class of glutathione transferases, widely present in bacteria, halobacteria, fungi, and plants. They catalyze glutathione (GSH)-dependent reduction of GS-trichloro-*p*-hydroquinone to trichloro-*p*-hydroquinone. Since GS-trichloro-*p*-hydroquinone is uncommon in nature, the extensive presence of GS-HQRs suggests they use common GS-hydroquinones. Here we demonstrate that several benzoquinones spontaneously reacted with GSH to form GS-hydroquinones via Michael addition, and four GS-HQRs from yeast and bacteria reduced the GS-hydroquinones to the corresponding hydroquinones. The spontaneous and enzymatic reactions led to the reduction of benzoquinones to hydroquinones with the concomitant oxidation of GSH to oxidized glutathione (GS-SG). The enzymes did not use GS-benzoquinones or other thiol-hydroquinones, for example, *S*-cysteinyl-hydroquinone, as substrates. Apparent kinetic parameters showed the enzymes preferred hydrophobic, bulky substrates, such as GS-menadiol. The broad substrate range and their wide distribution suggest two potential physiological roles: channeling GS-hydroquinones back to hydroquinones and reducing benzoquinones via spontaneous formation of GS-hydroquinones and then enzymatic reduction to hydroquinones. The functions are likely important in metabolic pathways with quinone intermediates.

Glutathionyl-(chloro)hydroquinone reductases (GS-HQRs) are common in bacteria, halobacteria, fungi, and plants.¹ They are related to several classes of newly discovered glutathione transferases (GST) within the cytosolic GST superfamily. Unlike other classes of the GST superfamily, the new classes have little glutathione (GSH) conjugating activity but catalyze GSH-dependent reductions. The Lambda-class GSTs perform only GSH-dependent thioltransferase reactions, and the dehydroascorbate reductases catalyze both dehydroascorbate reduction and thioltransferase reactions.² The Omega-class GSTs and GS-HQRs are more similar, catalyzing GSH-dependent thioltransferase reactions as well as the reduction of dehydroascorbate and dimethylarsinate.^{1,3,4} However, although the Omega-class GSTs reduce substrates such as *S*-(phenacyl) glutathione,⁵ they do not reduce GS-trichloro-*p*-hydroquinone (GS-TriCH).¹ In contrast, GS-HQRs can reduce GS-TriCH to TriCH at the expense of GSH. Members of the two classes share less than 20% sequence identity.¹ Structural characterization of a GS-HQR (PcGHR1) of white rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* has revealed structural differences of GS-HQRs from other GSTs.³ The enzyme has a new homodimerization mode, and its catalytic site is buried by a long N-terminal coil instead of open. Thus, GS-HQRs represent a new class of GSTs with special activities and unique structural properties. Meux et al. have proposed a new GST class xi for GS-HQRs.³

PcpF is the first characterized GS-HQR, and it plays a maintenance role in pentachlorophenol (PCP) degradation by *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* ATCC 29327.⁶ Tetrachloro-*p*-hydroquinone is a metabolic intermediate, and PcpC, a GST, converts it to TriCH and then dichloro-*p*-hydroquinone (DiCH) with concomitant oxidation of GSH to oxidized glutathione (GS-SG).^{7,8} When a Cys residue at the active site of PcpC is oxidized, the oxidatively damaged PcpC produces GS-TriCH and GS-DiCH conjugates,⁹ which are not substrates for PcpC. PcpF channels the conjugates back to TriCH and DiCH, respectively, with the coconsumption of GSH. When *pcpF* is inactivated, the *S. chlorophenolicum* mutant degrades PCP more slowly than the wild type does.⁶ GS-TriCH and GS-DiCH are expected to accumulate and to be toxic to the *pcpF* mutant.

The chlorohydroquinones are metabolic intermediates of PCP, which was first introduced into the environment as a wood preservative in the 1930s.¹⁰ Because several tested GS-HQRs all reduced GS-TriCH, they are expected to use naturally occurring GS-hydroquinones.^{1,11} Benzoquinones spontaneously react with GSH via Michael addition to form GS-hydroquinones (reduced form) (Figure 1, step 2).¹² In addition, benzoquinones and GS-benzoquinones can be reduced by NAD(P)H-dependent enzymes (Figure 1 step 1 reverse).¹³ Since benzoquinones can damage biological

Received: April 13, 2012

Published: June 11, 2012

Figure 1. The cycle of HHQ oxidation, conjugation, and reduction. Step 1 forward reaction is spontaneous and reverse reaction is catalyzed by quinone reductases. Step 2 is spontaneous via Michael addition. Step 3 is proposed to be catalyzed by GS-HQRs.

molecules by forming covalent bonds at nucleophilic sites via Michael addition,¹⁴ their spontaneous reaction with GSH and their reduction by enzymes are likely detoxifying mechanisms. Hydroquinones are subject to autoxidation (Figure 1 step 1), which is a complex process with the formation of semiquinones, superoxide, and hydrogen peroxide.¹² Because GS-hydroquinones are more prone to autoxidation than the corresponding hydroquinones,¹⁵ the conjugates are expected to generate more reactive oxygen species (ROS) and be more harmful.

Literature on the formation and toxicity of GS-hydroquinones is extensive. Rat kidney necrosis that is observed after administration of bromobenzene, *o*-bromophenol, or 2-bromo-*p*-hydroquinone is attributed to 2-bromo-*S*-(diglutathionyl)-hydroquinone, which is formed in the liver and subsequently transported to the kidney.¹⁶ Toxicity of 2-bromo-*S*-(diglutathionyl)-hydroquinone is linked to its ability to generate ROS that cause DNA damage.¹⁷ Hydroquinone is transformed to mono-, di-, tri-GS-hydroquinones in rats,¹² and the conjugates have been linked to kidney damage.¹⁸ Hydroquinone is a metabolite of benzene and phenol and is present in the smoke of cigarettes.¹⁹ Nonenzymatic formation of GS-menadione (3-glutathionyl-2-methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone or thiodione) from menadione (vitamin K3) and GSH is accompanied by ROS formation in perfused rat liver.²⁰ Thus, GS-hydroquinones may be responsible elevated ROS formation in vivo.

Mammals do not have GS-HQRs;^{1,21} however, GS-hydroquinones are pumped out of cells and carried in blood to kidney, where they are converted to *N*-acetyl-cysteinyl-*S*-conjugates (mercapturic acids) and released in the urine. GS-HQRs are common in bacteria, halobacteria, fungi, and plants, suggesting that they may convert GS-hydroquinones back to hydroquinones (Figure 1, step 3), which can be further metabolized, thus minimizing the toxicity of quinones and quinone-conjugates. A GS-HQR in *P. chrysosporium* (PcGHR1) is reported to reduce both GS-hydroquinone (GS-HQ) and GS-menadione with the coconsumption of GSH.³ In this study, we characterized the formation of several GS-hydroquinones, proved the enzymatic reduction of the conjugates by three bacterial GS-HQRs and one yeast GS-HQR, and determined apparent kinetic parameters. The results point to the general ability of GS-HQRs to reduce a broad range of GS-hydroquinones, providing clues about the physiological role of GS-HQRs.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Chemicals. All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI), Fisher Scientific Co. (Pittsburgh, PA), or Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO).

GS-HQRs and hGSTO1-1. Recombinant PcpF, EcYqjG of *Escherichia coli*, CnYqjG of *Cupriavidus necator* (formerly *Ralstonia eutropha*) JMP134, ECM4 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

(Baker's yeast) and hGSTO1-1 (human omega class GST 1) were produced in *Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3) as fusion proteins with a C-terminal His₆-Tag and purified with Ni²⁺-nitrilotriacetate-agarose resin as previously reported.¹ The purified proteins were stable for several months when stored in 40 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7) with 1 mM dithiothreitol and 10% glycerol at -80 °C.

GS-Hydroquinone Preparation. Stock solutions of benzoquinones and hydroquinones were prepared in ethanol at 100 mM, except menadione at 25 mM because of its low solubility. GSH stock was 200 mM in distilled water. GS-hydroxy-*p*-hydroquinone (GS-HHQ) was prepared with HHQ as the starting material. HHQ (100–500 μM) was autoxidized to hydroxy-*p*-benzoquinone (HBQ) in 40 mM Tris buffer (pH 8) at 23 °C in about 15 min.²² Excess (1 mM) GSH was then added to produce GS-HHQ, which occurred spontaneously and instantaneously. Immediately, NaBH₄ was added to 1 mM to prevent autoxidation of GS-HHQ. When equal volumes of 200 mM GSH and 25 mM menadione stock solutions were mixed, the reaction took about 30 min to reach more than 95% completion. The produced GS-menadiol was not stable and was autoxidized to GS-menadione in the reaction mixture. The mixture was then kept on ice and was used within 60 min. GS-menadione was added to 40 mM Tris buffer (pH 8) ranging from 5 to 200 μM, and NaBH₄ (1 M stock in distilled water) was added to 1 mM to reduce it to GS-menadiol, which was immediately used for enzyme assays. GS-hydroquinone (GS-HQ) and GS-methylhydroquinone (GS-MHQ) were readily prepared by mixing GSH with *p*-benzoquinone (BQ) or methyl-*p*-benzoquinone (MBQ) in the 40 mM Tris Buffer. The reactions were completed in less than 1 min, and GS-MHQ and GS-HQ were stable for at least 30 min at room temperature and several hours on ice with no use of NaBH₄.

UV/vis Spectrum Analysis. All reactions were done in 1 mL of 40 mM Tris buffer (pH 8) with 1 mM GSH in quartz cuvette with 1 cm light path at 23 °C. The spectra of 100 μM GS-hydroquinones were recorded, and then 50 μg of the tested GS-HQR was added. Spectra were continuously recorded at 1-min intervals. The reactions were completed within 10 min. The maximal changes after enzymatic reduction of GS-HHQ, GS-MHQ, GS-HQ, and GS-menadiol were at 305, 307, 309, and 260 nm, respectively. Thus, the enzymatic reactions were monitored by absorption changes at the wavelengths for the corresponding compounds. The absorption changes for the enzymatic conversion of 100 μM GS-hydroquinones to hydroquinones were determined and used to calculate the reaction coefficients.

Kinetic Analysis. The enzymatic reactions were monitored at 305, 307, 309, and 260 nm for GS-HHQ, GS-MHQ, GS-HQ, and GS-menadiol, respectively, in 40 mM Tris buffer (pH 8) at 23 °C. The initial rates were calculated from the absorption

Figure 2. UV/vis spectra of enzymatic reduction of GS-hydroquinones. The reactions were performed in 1 mL of 40 mM Tris buffer (pH 8) with hydroquinones and derivatives at 100 μ M and ECM4 at 50 μ g/mL when added. (A) HHQ, HBQ, GS-HHQ, and addition of ECM4 released HHQ from GS-HHQ. (B) MHQ, GS-MHQ, and addition of ECM4 released MHQ from GS-MHQ. (C) HQ, GS-HQ, and addition of ECM4 released HQ from GS-HQ. (D) Menadiol, GS-menadiol, and addition of ECM4 released menadiol from GS-menadiol.

changes. GS-hydroquinones were tested in the range of less than its K_m value to four times of the K_m value, and GSH was fixed at 6 mM for GS-HQ and GS-MHQ. When NaBH_4 was added in reactions for GS-HHQ or GS-menadiol reduction, no additional GSH was added. The K_m values for GSH were determined for the tested enzymes with 600 μ M GS-MHQ and various concentrations of GSH. The rates and substrate concentrations were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation using nonlinear regression program GraFit 5 (Erithacus, Horley, Surrey) to obtain kinetic parameters.

High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis. Authentic hydroquinones, GS-hydroquinones, and enzyme-produced hydroquinones were further analyzed by HPLC (Waters, Milford, MA) equipped with a Nova-Pak C18 column (3.9 $\times$ 150 mm, Waters). The compounds were eluted by a gradient of acetonitrile in diluted trifluoroacetic acid (0.1%) at 1 mL/min. The percentages of acetonitrile were as follows: 5 to 65%, 5-mL linear gradient; 65%, 5 mL; and 100%, 4 mL. The absorption spectra from 240 to 350 nm were monitored by a photodiode array detector operated with the Millennium 2010 program (Waters) on a personal computer. The compounds were identified by comparing their retention times and absorption spectra to those of authentic standards.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy. ^1H NMR measurements were conducted on a Varian Inova 500 spectrometer with the operation frequency of 499.85 MHz. The ^1H NMR spectra of HHQ, GS-HHQ, and HHQ produced by PcpF from GS-HHQ were recorded at 10 $^\circ\text{C}$, averaging 112 scans for each spectrum. The spectra were referenced to sodium 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-sulfonate, following the procedures reported elsewhere.²³ All the NMR samples were prepared in D_2O . HHQ (500 μ M) was prepared in 40 mM Tris, pH 8, containing 1 mM GSH and 5 mM NaBH_4 . GS-HHQ (500 μ M) was prepared by mixing 500 μ M HBQ, which was obtained from HHQ autoxidation, and 1 mM GSH in 40 mM Tris pH 8. Immediately, NaBH_4 was added to 5 mM. When 50 μ g of PcpF was added to 1 mL of GS-HHQ (500 μ M) solution, GS-HHQ was completely converted to HHQ in several minutes.

RESULTS

Nonenzymatic Formation and Enzymatic Reduction of GS-Hydroquinones. HBQ was not commercially available, so it was prepared from HHQ. HHQ had an absorption maximum at 290 nm, and it was autoxidized to HBQ with an absorption maximum at 262 nm. Upon addition of GSH, GS-HHQ was immediately formed with an absorption maximum at 305 nm. GS-HHQ was gradually autoxidized to a compound with absorption maximum at 350 nm. Addition of 1 mM NaBH_4 prevented the autoxidation for at least 30 min at room temperature and several hours on ice. ECM4 (50 μ g per ml) reduced GS-HHQ back to HHQ within 1 min (Figure 2A). The spectrum of produced HHQ was similar to that of authentic HHQ (Figure 2A). Thus, the reaction started with HHQ, which underwent autoxidation and then conjugation with GSH to form GS-HHQ, and finally, ECM4 regenerated HHQ (Figure 1).

MBQ and BQ at 100 μ M had absorption peaks at 251 and 247 nm, respectively (data not shown). When 1 mM GSH was added, GS-MHQ and GS-HQ were immediately formed after mixing (Figure 2B,C). GS-MHQ had an absorption maximum at 307 nm, and GS-HQ had the peak at 309 nm. ECM4 (50 μ g/mL) converted GS-MHQ and GS-HQ to MHQ and HQ at the expense of GSH. MHQ and HQ had similar absorption maxima at 290 nm. The absorption spectra matched with those of MHQ and HQ obtained from MBQ and BQ reduction by 1 mM NaBH_4 (Figure 2B,C).

When 100 μ M menadione was mixed with 1 mM GSH in the Tris buffer, reaction progressed very slowly. Consequently, higher concentrations of GSH and menadione were used. Directly mixing 20 μ L of 200 mM GSH with 20 μ L of 25 mM menadione allowed more than 95% completion after 30 min incubation. The produced GS-menadiol was autoxidized to GS-menadione during the conjugation, and the produced GS-menadione had an absorption maximum at 430 nm, a characteristic peak of GS-menadione.²⁴ The produced GS-menadione was added to the Tris buffer at a final concentration of 100 μ M. After addition of 1 mM GSH, there was no absorption change. Thus, 1 mM GSH did not result in any

Figure 3. HPLC chromatograms of enzymatic reduction of GS-hydroquinones. The reaction conditions were the same as described in Figure 2 legend. (A) HHQ (a), GS-HHQ (b), and HHQ released from GS-HHQ by ECM4 (c). (B) MHQ (a), GS-MHQ (b), and MHQ released from GS-MHQ by ECM4 (c). (C) HQ (a), GS-HQ (b), and HQ released from GS-HQ by ECM4 (c). (D) Menadiol (a), GS-menadiol (b), and menadiol released from GS-menadiol by ECM4 (c). The chromatograms (a, b, c) are separated by moving the y-axis for (b) and (c).

apparent reduction of GS-menadione to GS-menadiol. When 50 μg of ECM4 was added, no apparent change of absorption at 430 nm was observed, indicating the enzyme did not use GS-menadione as a substrate (data not shown). In a separate experiment, 100 μM GS-menadione was first reduced by addition of 1 mM NaBH_4 to GS-menadiol that had an absorption peak at 240 nm and a shoulder at 260 nm (Figure 2D). Then, ECM4 (50 μg) converted GS-menadiol to menadiol (Figure 2D) within 1 min. Interestingly, adding GSH to 1 mM did not stimulate the reaction rate. Since the reaction contained about 300 μM GSH originated from the GS-menadione preparation, we could not conclude whether GSH was required for the reaction. GS-menadione was subsequently prepared with equal molar ratio of menadione and GSH. Then GS-menadione was reduced to GS-menadiol by NaBH_4 . Again ECM4 converted GS-menadiol to menadiol. When GS-HQ was prepared from equal molar of GSH and BQ, ECM4 did not use it unless additional GSH or NaBH_4 was added. Thus, GS-HQRs also used NaBH_4 to convert GS-hydroquinones to hydroquinones.

On the basis of spectral analysis, PcpF, EcYqjG, and CnYqjG also used GS-HHQ, GS-HQ, GS-MHQ, and GS-menadiol as substrates (data not shown). hGSTO1-1 did not use any of the GS-hydroquinones. Other thiols were also used to react with benzoquinones, forming L-cysteinyl-hydroquinones, 2-mercaptoethanol-S-hydroquinones, and dithiothreitol-S-hydroquinones. The tested GS-HQRs did not use these conjugates as substrates in the presence or absence of 1 mM GSH.

HPLC Analysis of the Spontaneous Formation and Enzymatic Breakdown of GS-Hydroquinones. In conjunction with the spectral analysis (Figure 2), HPLC was also used to confirm the formation and the enzymatic reduction of the GS-hydroquinones. Authentic HHQ gave a retention time of 3.7 min with an absorption maximum at 288 nm (Figure 3A, chromatogram a). GS-HHQ had a retention time of 7.6 min with an absorption maximum at 301 nm (Figure 3A, chromatogram b). The hypsochromic shift in the absorption maxima from the UV/vis spectrum (Figure 2a) was possibly

due to the low pH in the HPLC running buffer containing trifluoroacetic acid. After treatment with ECM4, the peak of GS-HHQ completely disappeared, and the peak of HHQ came back (Figure 3A, chromatogram c). Nonenzymatic reduction of GS-HHQ was not detectable.

MHQ, produced from MBQ reduction by NaBH_4 , had a retention time of 5.4 min with an absorption maximum at 288 nm (Figure 3B, chromatogram a). GS-MHQ had a retention time of 6.2 min with an absorption maximum at 302 nm (Figure 3B, chromatogram b). After treatment with ECM4, the peak of GS-MHQ completely disappeared, and the peak of MHQ appeared (Figure 3B, chromatogram c). Nonenzymatic reduction was not detectable.

HQ, produced from BQ reduction by NaBH_4 , had a retention time of 3.2 min with an absorption maximum at 288 nm (Figure 3C, chromatogram a). GS-HQ had a retention time of 5.5 min with an absorption maximum at 304 nm (Figure 3C, chromatogram b). After treatment with ECM4, the peak of GS-HQ was completely gone, and the peak of HQ showed up (Figure 3C, chromatogram c). Nonenzymatic reduction was not detectable.

Menadiol, produced from menadione by NaBH_4 reduction, had a retention time of 7.9 min with a sharp peak at 243 nm (Figure 3D, chromatogram a). In the chromatogram, a small amount of menadione was eluted off the column at 9.2 min with a peak at 248 nm and a shoulder at 262 nm. GS-menadiol had a retention time of 7.2 min with an absorption shoulder at 260 nm (Figure 3D, chromatogram b). After treatment with ECM4, the peak of GS-menadiol disappeared, and the peak size of menadiol significantly increased (Figure 3D, chromatogram c). Interestingly, there was a small peak of GS-menadione at 8.6 min with absorption maximum at 251 nm in the ECM4-treated sample. We believe that GS-menadione was formed from autoxidation of GS-menadiol during incubation, and ECM4 did not use it.

NMR Spectra of HHQ and GS-HHQ. The ^1H NMR spectrum of HHQ (Figure 4A) showed three major peaks at the chemical shifts of 6.30 ppm (doublet of doublet (dd), $J_{56} =$

Figure 4. ^1H NMR spectra of HHQ and GS-HHQ. Only the aromatic region of the ^1H NMR spectra was shown. (A) $500\ \mu\text{M}$ of HHQ, showing three major peaks. (B) GS-HHQ stabilized with NaBH_4 , having two major peaks. (C) HHQ released from GS-HHQ by PcpF in D_2O , revealing two aromatic protons with deuterium undetectable.

8.5 Hz, $J_{53} = 2.7$ Hz), 6.44 ppm (doublet (d), $J_{35} = 2.7$ Hz), and 6.77 ppm (doublet (d), $J_{65} = 8.5$ Hz), corresponding to the NMR of three aromatic protons in HHQ. The obtained spectrum was in agreement with the Aldrich published ^1H spectrum of HHQ,²⁵ and more importantly, the multiplicities of individual protons were quite well explained by their positions on the aromatic ring with mutually agreed J coupling constants. The doublet-of-doublet at 6.30 ppm represented the NMR signal of the proton at position 5 of HHQ, forming from the spin–spin interactions of this proton with other two protons at positions 3 ($J_{53} = 2.7$ Hz) and 6 ($J_{56} = 8.5$ Hz), respectively. The doublet at 6.44 ppm referred to the proton at position 3. The doublet splitting was attributed to the spin–spin coupling of this proton to the proton at position 5. Because these two interacting protons were structurally distant, their spin–spin coupling was expected to be relatively weak, resulting in a small J constant, $J_{35} = 2.7$. The doublet at 6.77 ppm referred to the proton at position 6 with $J_{65} = 8.5$ Hz. This relatively large coupling constant was reasoned by the strong spin–spin interaction of this proton and its adjacent proton at position 5. Typically, such a coupling generates a J constant of 4–10 Hz.²⁶

The ^1H spectrum of GS-HHQ was shown in Figure 4B. Comparison of this spectrum to the HHQ spectrum (Figure 4A) revealed that one ^1H peak of HHQ was lost and no multiplicities existed for the remaining two ^1H peaks. The spectrum matched the effect of GS-conjugation, in which a glutathionyl group replaced the proton at position 5. Accordingly, the NMR peak of the position 5 proton (6.30 ppm) disappeared, and the remaining two protons at para-positions to each other showed no apparent spin–spin coupling. Importantly, the peak displacements of remaining protons further confirmed the above assumption. As assumed, the replacement occurred at position 5, closer to the position 6 than to the position 3. As a result, the substituting glutathionyl group should have a large effect on the magnetic environment of the position 6 proton but little on that of the position 3 proton. This was demonstrated by the fact that the peak of the position 6 proton was largely displaced to the downfield ($\Delta\delta =$

0.15 ppm), but the peak of the position 3 proton was not displaced (Figure 4A,B).

Figure 4C depicts the ^1H spectrum of HHQ produced by PcpF from GS-HHQ. As mentioned in the Experimental Procedures, because the sample was prepared in D_2O , the hydrogen at position 5 of the produced HHQ should be a deuterium after the removal of the glutathionyl group by PcpF. Therefore, no signal of the position 5 nucleus can be measured for the ^1H NMR. The obtained ^1H spectrum (Figure 4C) clearly demonstrated the above hypothesis. Two single ^1H peaks of the product (Figure 4C) were observed at the same chemical shifts as those of the starting HHQ (Figure 4A). We believe that they represented the NMR of protons at positions 6 and 3 of the produced HHQ. Again, these two protons were at the para-positions to each other, and no spin–spin splitting was observed.

Enzymatic Assay Development. As shown in Figure 2, GS-HHQ, GS-MHQ, GS-HQ, and GS-menadiol had absorption maxima at 305, 307, 309, and 260 nm, respectively. GSH did not spontaneously reduce GS-hydroquinones, and the reaction started upon adding ECM4 (Figure 5). After

Figure 5. Monitoring the reduction of GS-hydroquinones by GS-HQRs. The reactions were done in Tris buffer with the tested substrate at a target concentration of $100\ \mu\text{M}$ in 1 mL of reaction volume. The absorption was monitored without enzyme for 10–30 s, and then ECM4 was added at 50, 25, 25, and 12 μg for GS-HHQ, GS-MHQ, GS-HQ, and GS-menadiol, respectively, to start the reaction.

enzymatic reduction, the maximal decreases in absorption were at the absorption maxima for the corresponding GS-hydroquinones. The enzymatic activities were monitored for the changes at the wavelengths of absorption maxima. The rates of absorption changes gradually slowed to zero when the reaction approached completion. Thus, the enzymatic reduction of GS-HHQ, GS-MHQ, GS-HQ, and GS-menadiol were monitored at 305, 307, 309, and 260 nm, respectively. The reaction extinction coefficients were determined from the absorption changes for $100\ \mu\text{M}$ GS-hydroquinones before and after complete enzymatic reduction at the corresponding absorption maxima. The reaction coefficients were ϵ_{309} (GS-HQ) for $2.64 \pm 0.14\ \text{cm}^{-1}\ \text{mM}^{-1}$, ϵ_{307} (GS-MHQ) for $2.97 \pm 0.09\ \text{cm}^{-1}\ \text{mM}^{-1}$, ϵ_{305} (GS-HHQ) for $2.15 \pm 0.02\ \text{cm}^{-1}\ \text{mM}^{-1}$, and ϵ_{260} (GS-menadiol) for $10.3 \pm 0.45\ \text{cm}^{-1}\ \text{mM}^{-1}$. The values were averages of at least three samples with standard deviations.

Kinetic Analysis. The apparent kinetic parameters of four GS-HQRs were tested using GS-HHQ, GS-MHQ, GS-HQ, and

Table 1. Apparent Kinetic Parameters of GS-HQRs

kinetics ^a /enzyme	CnYqjG	EcYqjG	ECM4	PcpF
Various GSH with 600 μ M GS-MHQ				
k_{cat} (s^{-1})	37 ± 1.5	15 ± 0.5	7.5 ± 0.3	14 ± 0.3
$K_{\text{m,GS-H}}$ (μM)	880 ± 110	840 ± 100	350 ± 73	1200 ± 72
$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	42×10^3	18×10^3	21×10^3	12×10^3
Various GS-MHQ with 6 mM GSH				
k_{cat} (s^{-1})	31 ± 1.7	15 ± 0.6	8.4 ± 0.7	14 ± 1.1
$K_{\text{m,GS-MHQ}}$ (μM)	190 ± 26	70 ± 10	150 ± 44	34 ± 11
$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	160×10^3	210×10^3	56×10^3	410×10^3
Various GS-HQ with 6 mM GSH				
k_{cat} (s^{-1})	22 ± 1.9	14 ± 2.3	13 ± 1.6	11 ± 1.5
$K_{\text{m,GS-HQ}}$ (μM)	240 ± 70	390 ± 130	370 ± 120	430 ± 140
$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	91×10^3	36×10^3	35×10^3	26×10^3
Various GS-HHQ with 1 mM NaBH ₄				
k_{cat} (s^{-1})	4.4 ± 0.5	7.2 ± 0.8	7.1 ± 0.5	19 ± 1.5
$K_{\text{m,GS-HHQ}}$ (μM)	34 ± 9	35 ± 10	12 ± 3	130 ± 18
$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	130×10^3	210×10^3	590×10^3	15×10^3
Various GS-menadiol with 1 mM NaBH ₄				
k_{cat} (s^{-1})	13 ± 1.5	4.9 ± 0.6	14 ± 2.2	4.6 ± 0.1
$K_{\text{m,GS-menadiol}}$ (μM)	17 ± 4.5	4.0 ± 1.6	6.7 ± 3.2	3.4 ± 0.1
$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	760×10^3	1200×10^3	2100×10^3	1400×10^3

^aThe K_{m} values for GS-hydroquinones were determined for each enzyme with fixed GSH at 6 mM or NaBH₄ at 1 mM, and the K_{m} values for GSH were determined with fixed GS-MHQ at 600 μM .

Figure 6. Structures of GS-HQRs' substrates. GS-TriCH, GS-trichloro-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-DiCH, GS-dichloro-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-HQ, GS-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-HHQ, GS-hydroxy-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-MHQ, GS-methyl-*p*-hydroquinone.

GS-menadiol (Table 1). GS-MHQ and GS-HQ were stable during the assay, and NaBH₄ was not added to the reaction mixture. GSH was required for the enzymes to reduce GS-MHQ and GS-HQ. When GS-MHQ was the fixed substrate at 600 μM , the K_{m} values for GSH were 0.88, 0.84, 0.35, and 1.15 mM for CnYqjG, EcYqjG, ECM4, and PcpF, respectively (Table 1). When GSH was the fixed substrate at 6 mM, the apparent kinetic parameters for GS-MHQ and GS-HQ were determined. The K_{m} values ranged from 34 to 190 μM of GS-MHQ and from 237 to 427 μM of GS-HQ for the tested enzymes. When using fixed GS-HHQ or GS-menadiol to determine the K_{m} values for GSH, GSH was not required due to the presence of NaBH₄. The 1 mM NaBH₄ added to prevent autoxidation of GS-HHQ and GS-menadiol was able to provide the reducing power for the enzymatic reduction. Without the enzymes, NaBH₄ could not reduce GS-hydroquinones. Thus, the apparent kinetic parameters for GS-HHQ and GS-menadiol were determined without additional GSH.

DISCUSSION

GS-HQRs reduced several GS-hydroquinones at the expense of GSH or NaBH₄. The enzymatic reactions were confirmed with UV/vis spectrometry (Figure 2) and HPLC analysis (Figure 3) on the basis of retention times and UV/vis spectra in comparison with authentic standards. Further, the reduction of GS-HHQ to HHQ by PcpF was confirmed by NMR

analysis; replacement of the glutathionyl group with deuterium in D₂O unequivocally confirmed the reaction (Figure 4). The NMR results also showed that 5-GS-2-hydroxy-*p*-hydroquinone was the only detectable product from the spontaneous reaction between HBQ and GSH.

Previously, the *P. chrysosporium* GS-HQR (PcGHR1) was shown to reduce GS-menadione to menadione by HPLC analysis.³ PcGHR1 has a high K_{m} value of 2 mM toward GS-menadione, suggesting that high GS-menadione concentrations were used in reactions. In contrast, we found that GS-menadione was not a substrate of the tested GS-HQRs, but its reduced form, GS-menadiol, was the substrate. The tested GS-HQRs all had low K_{m} values of 3–17 μM for GS-menadiol (Table 1). The discrepancy may be real or PcGHR1 may have reduced trace GS-menadiol present in the reaction mixture to menadiol, which is autoxidized to menadione before or during HPLC analysis, as we showed that menadiol and GS-menadiol were readily autoxidized to menadione and GS-menadione via HPLC analysis (Figure 3D). Our evidence indicates that the enzymes are able to use GS-hydroquinones but not GS-benzoquinones.

GS-HQRs are believed to release hydroquinones and transfer the glutathionyl group to a Cys residue at the catalytic site, forming protein-glutathione mixed disulfide bond. A GSH comes in to regenerate the protein and release GS-SG to complete the reaction.^{1,3} Small thiols, such as dithiothreitol, L-

cysteine, and 2-mercaptoethanol, can replace GSH to regenerate the enzymes.¹ This is similar to the proposed mechanism by which hGSTO1-1 reduces S-(phenacyl) glutathiones.⁵ Here, we found that NaBH₄ also regenerated the enzymes. This is not a surprise since NaBH₄ is known to reduce protein-glutathione mixed disulfide bond.²⁷ However, NaBH₄ did not directly reduce the sulfur-carbon bond in GS-hydroquinones.

Because the tested GS-HQRs all use GS-TriCH as a substrate,¹ we have suggested that they can use other GS-hydroquinones. Here, we demonstrated that the four tested GS-HQRs used several GS-hydroquinones with different substitutions (Figure 6). The aromatic ring is completely substituted for GS-TriCH and GS-menadiol, whereas GS-HQ has no additional substitution. The GS-hydroquinones have different substitutions, including chlorine, methyl group (GS-MHQ), hydroxyl group (GS-HHQ), and aromatic ring (GS-menadiol). Given the diverse origins of the four enzymes from *E. coli*, *C. necator*, *S. chlorophenolicum*, and *S. cerevisiae* with sequence identity as low as 35.4%,¹ we predict that most GS-HQRs should behave similarly as the four tested GS-HQRs and be able to use a variety of GS-hydroquinones as substrates. The prediction is in agreement with the report that a GS-HQR from *P. chrysosporium* reduces GS-HQ to HQ.³ Because all the tested GS-HQRs are able to reduce GS-hydroquinones, we rename the enzymes as S-glutathionyl-*p*-hydroquinone reductases (GS-HQRs), which is also used to describe the enzyme (PcGHR1) of *P. chrysosporium*.³ Collectively, our data support the proposal of a new GST class xi for GS-HQRs.³

Kinetic data revealed the activity difference among the enzymes toward the tested substrates (Table 1). If we compare the k_{cat}/K_m values for the reactions with the same reducing power as GSH or NaBH₄, it becomes clear that the enzymes prefer hydrophobic, bulky GS-menadiol to hydrophilic, smaller GS-HHQ or prefer bulky GS-MHQ to smaller GS-HQ. However, the specific enzyme activity varied among tested enzymes.

The physiological role of PcpF in the maintenance of PCP degradation pathway in *S. chlorophenolicum* is well established,⁶ however, the physiological roles of GS-HQRs have not been demonstrated in other organisms despite their common presence in bacteria, fungi, and plants. Given the tendency of hydroquinone autoxidation and the spontaneous reaction of benzoquinones with GSH to form GS-hydroquinones, it is not hard to imagine the formation of GS-hydroquinones from hydroquinones and benzoquinones that are metabolic intermediates. Formation of GS-hydroquinones reduces the efficiency of the metabolic pathway,⁶ and GS-hydroquinones are more easily autoxidized than the corresponding hydroquinones,¹⁵ leading to elevated ROS that are detrimental to cells. PcpF and other GS-HQRs convert GS-hydroquinones to hydroquinones, which can reenter the metabolic pathway, minimizing toxic effects to the cells and maximizing the efficiency of the metabolism. Further, GS-HQRs may participate in quinone reduction (Figure 1). Benzoquinones can be reduced by quinone reductases that consume one NAD(P)H (Figure 1, step 1 reverse reaction). Benzoquinones can also react with GSH to form GS-hydroquinones that can be further reduced by GS-HQRs to regenerate hydroquinones with the production of GS-SG (Figure 1, steps 2 and 3). Glutathione reductase reduces the latter back to two GSH molecules with the consumption of one NAD(P)H. Both reactions consume a total of one NAD(P)H. HBQ is a

metabolic intermediate of 2,4,5-T biodegradation in *Burkholderia cepacia* AC1100.²⁸ It is possible that both a quinone reductase and a GS-HQR participate in its reduction to HHQ (Figure 1). Thus, GS-HQRs may play two functional roles—metabolic pathway maintenance and quinone reduction in pathways with hydroquinones or benzoquinones as intermediates.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Telephone: (509) 335-2787. Fax: (509) 335-4159. E-mail: xun@mail.wsu.edu.

Funding

The work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation Grant MCB-1021148. L.K.M.L. was partially supported by the WSU College of Science Undergraduate Student Research Minigrant. P.G.B. was funded by a grant from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ABBREVIATIONS USED

GS-HQRs, S-glutathionyl-hydroquinone reductases; GSH, glutathione; GS, glutathionyl; TriCH, trichloro-*p*-hydroquinone; DiCH, dichloro-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-HHQ, GS-hydroxy-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-MHQ, GS-methyl-*p*-hydroquinone; GS-HQ, GS-*p*-hydroquinone

REFERENCES

- Xun, L., Belchik, S., Xun, R., Huang, Y., Zhou, H., Sanchez, E., Kang, C., and Board, P. P. (2010) S-Glutathionyl-(chloro)-hydroquinone reductases: a novel class of glutathione transferases. *Biochem. J.* 428, 419–427.
- Dixon, D. P., Davis, B. G., and Edwards, R. (2002) Functional divergence in the glutathione transferase superfamily in plants. Identification of two classes with putative functions in redox homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 30859–30869.
- Meux, E., Prosper, P., Ngadin, A., Didierjean, C., Morel, M., Dumarçay, S., Lamant, T., Jacquot, J. P., Favier, F., and Gelhaye, E. (2011) Glutathione transferases of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*: S-glutathionyl-*p*-hydroquinone reductase belongs to a new structural class. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 9162–9173.
- Whitbread, A. K., Masoumi, A., Tetlow, N., Schmuck, E., Coggan, M., and Board, P. G. (2005) Characterization of the omega class of glutathione transferases. *Methods Enzymol.* 401, 78–99.
- Board, P. G., and Anders, M. W. (2007) Glutathione transferase omega 1 catalyzes the reduction of S-(phenacyl)glutathiones to acetophenones. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 20, 149–154.
- Huang, Y., Xun, R., Chen, G., and Xun, L. (2008) Maintenance role of a glutathionyl-hydroquinone lyase (PcpF) in pentachlorophenol degradation by *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* ATCC 39723. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 7595–7600.
- Orser, C. S., Dutton, J., Lange, C., Jablonski, P., Xun, L., and Hargis, M. (1993) Characterization of a *Flavobacterium* glutathione S-transferase gene involved in reductive dechlorination. *J. Bacteriol.* 175, 2640–2644.
- Xun, L., Topp, E., and Orser, C. S. (1992) Purification and characterization of a tetrachloro-*p*-hydroquinone reductive dehalogenase from a *Flavobacterium* sp. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 8003–8007.
- McCarthy, D. L., Navarrete, S., Willett, W. S., Babbitt, P. C., and Copley, S. D. (1996) Exploration of the relationship between tetrachlorohydroquinone dehalogenase and the glutathione S-transferase superfamily. *Biochem. J.* 315, 1463–1464.
- Crosby, D. G. (1981) Environmental chemistry of pentachlorophenol. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 53, 1052–1080.

- (11) Belchik, S. M., and Xun, L. (2011) S-Glutathionyl-(chloro)-hydroquinone reductases: a new class of glutathione transferases functioning as oxidoreductases. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 43, 307–316.
- (12) Hill, B. A., Kleiner, H. E., Ryan, E. A., Dulik, D. M., Monks, T. J., and Lau, S. S. (1993) Identification of multi-S-substituted conjugates of hydroquinone by HPLC-coulometric electrode array analysis and mass spectroscopy. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 6, 459–469.
- (13) Buffinton, G. D., Ollinger, K., Brunmark, A., and Cadenas, E. (1989) DT-diaphorase-catalysed reduction of 1,4-naphthoquinone derivatives and glutathionyl-quinone conjugates. Effect of substituents on autooxidation rates. *Biochem. J.* 257, 561–571.
- (14) Kumagai, Y., Koide, S., Taguchi, K., Endo, A., Nakai, Y., Yoshikawa, T., and Shimojo, N. (2002) Oxidation of proximal protein sulfhydryls by phenanthraquinone, a component of diesel exhaust particles. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 15, 483–489.
- (15) Brunmark, A., and Cadenas, E. (1988) Reductive addition of glutathione to *p*-benzoquinone, 2-hydroxy-*p*-benzoquinone, and *p*-benzoquinone epoxides. Effect of the hydroxy- and glutathionyl-substituents on *p*-benzohydroquinone autooxidation. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 68, 273–298.
- (16) Monks, T. J., Lau, S. S., Highet, R. J., and Gillette, J. R. (1985) Glutathione conjugates of 2-bromohydroquinone are nephrotoxic. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 13, 553–559.
- (17) Mertens, J. J., Gibson, N. W., Lau, S. S., and Monks, T. J. (1995) Reactive oxygen species and DNA damage in 2-bromo-(glutathion-S-yl) hydroquinone-mediated cytotoxicity. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 320, 51–58.
- (18) Kari, F. W., Bucher, J., Eustis, S. L., Haseman, J. K., and Huff, J. E. (1992) Toxicity and carcinogenicity of hydroquinone in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 30, 737–747.
- (19) Ishiguro, S., Saugawara, H., Kusama, M., Yano, S., Shimojima, N., and Sugawara, S. (1976) Glass capillary column gas chromatographic analysis of tobacco and cellulose cigarette smoke. I. Acidic fractions. *Sci. Pap. Cent. Res. Inst. Jpn. Tob. Salt Public Corp.* 118, 207–211.
- (20) Wefers, H., and Sies, H. (1983) Hepatic low-level chemiluminescence during redox cycling of menadione and the menadione-glutathione conjugate: relation to glutathione and NAD-(P)H:quinone reductase (DT-diaphorase) activity. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 224, 568–578.
- (21) Belchik, S. M., and Xun, L. (2011) S-Glutathionyl-(chloro)-hydroquinone reductases: a new class of glutathione transferases functioning as oxidoreductases. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 43, 307–316.
- (22) Bohuslavsek, J., Chanama, S., Crawford, R. L., and Xun, L. (2005) Identification and characterization of hydroxyquinone hydratase activities from *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* ATCC 39723. *Biodegradation* 16, 353–362.
- (23) Wishart, D. S., Bogam, C. G., Yao, J., Abildgard, F., Dyson, H. J., Oldfield, E., Markley, J. L., and Sykes, B. D. (1995) ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁵N chemical shift referencing in biomolecular NMR. *J. Biomol. NMR* 6, 135–140.
- (24) Nickerson, W. J., Falcone, G., and Strauss, G. (1963) Studies on quinone-thioethers. I. Mechanism of formation and properties of thiodione. *Biochemistry* 2, 537–543.
- (25) Pouchert, C. (1992) *The Aldrich Library of ¹³C and ¹H FT-NMR Spectra*, Wiley, New York.
- (26) Smith, M. (2010) *Organic Chemistry: An Acid–Base Approach*, CRC Press, New York.
- (27) Brigelius, R., Muckel, C., Akerboom, T. P. M., and Sies, H. (1983) Identification and quantitation of glutathione in hepatic protein mixed disulfides and its relationship to glutathione disulfide. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 32, 2529–2534.
- (28) Zaborina, O., Daubaras, D. L., Zago, A., Xun, L., Saido, K., Klem, T., Nikolic, D., and Chakrabarty, A. M. (1998) Novel pathway for conversion of chlorohydroxyquinol to maleylacetate in *Burkholderia cepacia* AC1100. *J. Bacteriol.* 180, 4667–4675.