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OBJECTIVE: To determine whether trainee obstetricians intend to offer vaginal breech delivery once they become certified as specialists and to quantify their experience in vaginal breech delivery.

METHODS: This was an anonymous postal survey of all Australian trainee obstetricians. The survey inquired about experience with, confidence in, and intentions regarding planned vaginal breech delivery after trainees’ certification as specialists.

RESULTS: Surveys were sent to all 303 Australian registered trainee obstetricians. The response rate was 65%. Experience in vaginal breech delivery increased with year of training, from a median of one delivery for first-year trainees to a median of 12 deliveries for final-year trainees. Although 53% of final-year trainees reported feeling confident with vaginal breech delivery, only 11% reported an intention to offer planned vaginal breech delivery at term as a specialist.

CONCLUSION: Few of the next generation of specialist obstetricians plan to offer vaginal breech delivery to their patients.

(Obstet Gynecol 2007;110:900–3)

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE: II

During 2003 in Australia, 4.5% of fetuses were in breech presentation when born, yet only 0.4% of all births were vaginal breech deliveries.1 The rate of vaginal breech delivery in Australia fell by 60% over the last decade, and in this respect Australia is representative of many developed nations. One study from the United States found that as few as 5% of women with breech presentation at term had a vaginal delivery in the period 1991–1999.2 Recent declines in the rate of vaginal breech delivery have been linked to publication of the Term Breech Trial that reported the level of neonatal risk as higher in planned vaginal breech delivery than in planned caesarean delivery.3 Although there have been a number of critiques of the original conclusions drawn from the Term Breech Trial,4–6 obstetric bodies in the United States,7 Great Britain,8 and Australia9 have all published statements that generally endorse that study’s original recommendations. Those Colleges’ statements make it clear that planned caesarean delivery is usually preferable for term breech delivery, but that cases should be managed according to individual circumstances and with informed consent.

Some authors have called for withdrawal of the original recommendations of the Term Breech Trial,10 but such a widespread reversal of management practices would be dependent on many factors. One obvious and important factor influencing the rate of vaginal breech delivery is “availability of an experienced accoucheur.”9 Trainees in the specialty of obstetrics have reported limited experience in vaginal breech delivery for a number of years, a trend pre-dating publication of the Term Breech Trial. For example, a study of Australian and English obstetric trainees’ experience during the period 1992–1996 reported that some were exposed to as few as seven vaginal breech deliveries during their entire training.11 A survey of 319 trainees from the United Kingdom in 1994 found that 40% had performed fewer than 20 vaginal breech deliveries, and only 37% felt that they had adequate training.12 Similar findings have been reported from other countries in Europe.
and North America.\textsuperscript{13,14} No matter what the evidence shows, abandonment of the recommendations of the Term Breech Trial and widespread resurgence in vaginal breech delivery would depend upon enthusiasm from the new generation of obstetricians. To evaluate this, we have undertaken a survey of Australian trainee obstetricians to investigate their levels of experience with vaginal breech delivery and their intentions for practice once certified as specialists.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A one-page survey was developed to assess obstetric trainees’ levels of experience in, and confidence with, vaginal breech delivery. The survey asked respondents to identify their current training level (Australia has a 6-year training program), as well as their intention to practice obstetrics once certified as a specialist. To reduce the chance of creating “demand characteristics” that might influence respondents’ replies, the survey instrument inquired about trainees’ experience under the general heading “complex vaginal delivery” with other questions about forceps and rotational deliveries. Three questions relating to experience with vaginal breech delivery were posed:

1. How many vaginal breech deliveries (either singleton, or as part of a vaginal twin delivery) have you personally performed during your training to date?

2. Do you feel that you have received sufficient training and experience to confidently manage vaginal breech birth? (yes, no, or not yet).

3. In your future specialist practice, would you intend to manage planned trials of vaginal delivery in known term breech presentation? (yes, no, or not sure).

An invitation for written comments was included at the end. The survey form was included with a covering letter detailing the background to and intentions of the study, with a prepaid return envelope. The survey was anonymous and nonresponders could not be identified. Response data were entered into Stata 6.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) for analysis. All confidence intervals (CIs) are 95% intervals, and CIs for proportions were determined by exact binomial calculations. The study protocol was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Australian National University and was approved for distribution to trainees by the Continuing Professional Development Committee of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG).

RESULTS
Survey forms were posted to all 303 registered Australian trainees from July to August of 2006. A reminder e-mail was sent 3 months after initial distribution. A total of 197 replies were received by March 6, 2007, a response rate of 65%. One hundred seventy-nine respondents (91%, 95% CI 86–94%) reported an intention to practice obstetrics once they received specialist certification. Response bias is always a potential issue with any survey of this nature, but the sampling proportions (75% of first-year trainees, 63% of second years, 55% of third years, 62% of fourth years, 72% of fifth years, and 73% of final years) suggest that the surveys are representative.

As expected, the mean and median numbers of vaginal breech deliveries personally performed by respondents increased with each year of training, ranging from a median of one delivery (mean 1.5, 95% CI 0.6–2.3) for first-year trainees to a median of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Training</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondents [n]</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35*</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBDs performed</td>
<td>0–20 (1)</td>
<td>0–20 (2)</td>
<td>0–25* (4)</td>
<td>2–50 (5)</td>
<td>1–50 (10)</td>
<td>3–30 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBDs reported</td>
<td>1.9 (0.6–2.3)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.5–4.1)</td>
<td>6.1* (4.2–8.1)</td>
<td>7.2 (4.6–9.9)</td>
<td>11.3 (6.9–15.7)</td>
<td>14.6 (10.9–18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents reporting</td>
<td>14 (5–29)</td>
<td>8 (2–21)</td>
<td>8 (2–22)</td>
<td>16 (6–31)</td>
<td>48 (27–69)</td>
<td>53 (29–76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VBD, vaginal breech delivery; CI, confidence interval.
* One trainee had undertaken 250 vaginal breech deliveries overseas, excluded from this analysis.
12 deliveries (mean 14.6, 95% CI 10.9–18.4) for final-year trainees (Table 1). However, 43% of first-year respondents reported never having performed a vaginal breech delivery (18/42, 95% CI 28–59%). By their fourth year of training, all respondents had performed at least one vaginal breech delivery.

The proportion of respondents who answered “yes” to the question, “Do you feel that you have received sufficient training and experience to confidently manage vaginal breech birth?” increased by year of training (Table 1). However, 37% of respondents in their final year of training (7 of 19, 95% CI 16–62%) answered “no” to the question, and another 11% responded “not yet” (2 of 19, 95% CI 1–33%). The 10 respondents who reported that they were confident to manage a trial of vaginal breech delivery had personally performed between three and 30 vaginal breech deliveries during their training (mean 18.1, 95% CI 12–24).

In response to the question, “In your future consultant practice, would you intend to manage planned trials of vaginal delivery in known term breech presentation?” the proportion of respondents who answered “no” increased significantly with increasing year of training (P < .001, logistic regression; Fig. 1). Although the proportion of respondents who answered “yes” decreased, this trend was not significant (P = .40). However, only 11% of respondents in their final year answered “yes” (2 of 19, 95% CI 1–33%). Across all years of training, only 31% of those who reported being “confident” with vaginal breech delivery reported an intention to manage trials of vaginal breech delivery as specialists (12 of 39, 95% CI 17–48%). Of those who were “not confident,” only 9% declared this intention (7 of 77, 95% CI 4–18%), whereas for those who were “not sure,” the proportion was 25% (20/81, 95% CI 16–36%).

**DISCUSSION**

This study suggests that only a small proportion of Australian obstetric trainees, when they are in specialist practice, intend to offer their patients planned vaginal breech delivery. During their training, they receive little opportunity to undertake vaginal breech delivery, and by the end of 6 years of training, only 53% of respondents reported feeling confident to manage vaginal breech delivery. Of special note, the closer to specialist qualification the respondents were, the less likely they were to intend offering planned trials of vaginal breech delivery to women in their care. An individual’s level of confidence in undertaking a procedure does not necessarily correlate with competence, and even for experienced obstetricians, regular exposure is necessary to maintain skill. Obstetric practice in Australia is similar to that in other developed nations in North America and Europe, so these findings inspire a pessimistic outlook toward any resurgence of enthusiasm for vaginal breech delivery, at least in the near future.

There is no doubt that the Term Breech Trial and its conclusions have been very influential. Critics of that study have highlighted a number of possible flaws in its design, including imprecision and variability in subject selection criteria and labor management practices, as well as access to obstetric, surgical, and neonatal services.4–6,10 Indeed, long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes for children presenting as breech at term seem to be the same regardless of the route of delivery.15 Several retrospective reviews published since the Term Breech Trial have reported that trials of vaginal breech delivery in carefully selected women, with strict adherence to well-defined intrapartum protocols, can be a safe and reasonable option.16–19 However, an obvious and important factor for the success of vaginal breech delivery is that obstetricians are experienced, confident, and enthusiastic about managing labor and delivery in breech presentation.

The current generation of practicing obstetricians is the last to have trained during an era when vaginal breech delivery was not uncommon. This study suggests that the trainee obstetricians who will form the new generation of specialists have little exposure to, and experience in, delivering a breech infant vaginally. Few intend to manage planned trials of vaginal breech delivery once they qualify as specialists. Importantly, although levels of enthusiasm are reported...
to be relatively high at the start of their training, this study found that respondents were less likely to want to manage vaginal breech delivery the more experienced they became. The comments written on many of the survey forms shed light on the possible reasons behind this. Although many respondents expressed the wish to gain more experience in vaginal breech delivery as their training progressed and reported disappointment when this was not achieved, they also described feeling apprehensive about adverse outcomes and their potential medical–legal consequences.

In a situation as potentially complex as vaginal breech delivery, where the skill of the accoucheur is an important factor in the safe conduct of delivery, it seems that trainee exposure and confidence is insufficient to guarantee expertise, meaning that alternative training methods need to be used. Queenan20 equates the current status of vaginal breech delivery to that of cardiac arrest, where cardiopulmonary resuscitation training is mandated even though it is an infrequent event, such that when required it can be “performed almost reflexively.” He suggests training methods similar to those used for cardiopulmonary resuscitation, such as teaching films, use of mannequins, and regular compulsory instruction, be used for training in vaginal breech delivery for the same reasons. Simulation training in vaginal breech delivery has been shown to be effective in the acquisition of skills for the management of the unplanned vaginal breech delivery, with improved scores in technique and safety.21

The results of this survey suggest that calls for a widespread return of planned vaginal breech delivery are likely to fall on deaf ears for the majority of obstetricians-in-training. Glezerman,10 in a recent critique of the Term Breech Trial, suggested that the trial’s original recommendations were “gratefully accepted” by many Western obstetricians: “It is much easier to plan an abdominal delivery than a vaginal delivery, and it requires less expertise to do so.” In light of this study, that situation seems unlikely to change.
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