No Warlpiri, no school? A preliminary look at attendance in Warlpiri schools since introducing the First Four Hours of English policy

Greg Dickson

Abstract

Bilingual education programs had been delivered in some Northern Territory schools for over three decades until the development of a policy in 2008 requiring all schools to teach in English for the first four hours of each school day. In justifying the policy, the Northern Territory Government claimed bilingual education programs had a negative effect on attendance and enrolment. These claims have since been challenged and human rights breaches implicit within the policy identified. Two years after the effective abolition of bilingual education, attendance and enrolment data from four Warlpiri schools show no improvement in attendance rates and in some cases attendance rates and levels of student engagement that have reduced significantly. This data provides serious challenges to Northern Territory Government claims that bilingual education programs have a negative impact on enrolment and attendance and suggests a re-evaluation of policy relating to bilingual education is required.

Language is like a tree: it makes you stand firm in country, gives you a sense of identity … I was born Warlpiri and I will die Warlpiri but if you lose language then you are gone … Language is a defence, it is kurdiji (a shield). It is strength.

(Steven Jampijinpa Patrick in Patrick, Holmes and Box 2008, p. 21)
Decades of research evidence has shown that bilingual education programs, when properly implemented, are a successful teaching methodology (see Grimes [2009] for a bibliography of recent research confirming advantages of bilingual education). When bilingual education offered to government schools in the Northern Territory (NT) in the 1970s, this new approach to schooling was embraced by many remote communities, keen to see their local school give, for the first time, their own language, culture and knowledge a valued place in their children’s education. (See Ngoonjook 16 for numerous papers that demonstrate the energy and commitment directed into bilingual education, e.g. Egan [1999], Marika [1999]).

**First Four Hours policy: development, justification and backlash**

The NT Government delivered bilingual education programs in a handful of remote schools with varying degrees of support until the end of 2008, when then NT Minister for Education, Marion Scrymgour, announced the First Four Hours of English policy. Under the policy, all NT school students are taught in English for the first four hours of every school day (NT DET 2009). This policy rendered the delivery of quality, structured bilingual education programs an impossibility, effectively resulting in the abolition of bilingual education in NT schools.

Scrymgour, and her ministerial successor Paul Henderson, claimed that bilingual education was a factor in unsatisfactory attendance and poor testing results in bush schools (ABC 2009). This, despite the fact that only 9 out of over 80 ‘very remote’ schools were designated ‘two-way’ (i.e. bilingual) schools at the time of the policy announcement. After receiving considerable backlash and criticism in the weeks following the policy announcement, Scrymgour tabled data in the NT Parliament in an attempt to justify the introduction of the First Four Hours policy. She presented statistics from two areas: enrolment/attendance and the NAPLAN national benchmark testing results and provided statistical comparisons between bilingual schools and ‘like’ non-bilingual schools (see NT DET 2008).

The evidence tabled in parliament in 2008 was subsequently shown to be flawed and somewhat misleading by Devlin (2009, 2010). Devlin pointed out selection bias within the sample of schools used in the Scrymgour data such as:

- including non-government (Catholic) schools in the data sample, yet excluding the award-winning Murrupurtiyanu School bilingual Catholic school (Devlin 2009, pp. 10-11)
- including a secondary-only school in the sample (ibid: p. 10)
- presenting NAPLAN testing data incorrectly (ibid: pp. 12-13)
In Devlin (2010), the statistical analysis methodology used by NT DET in 2008 was repeated, but instead using a more appropriate sample of schools and with data made available in 2009 via the Federal Governments MySchools website. Devlin’s re-analysis showed bilingual schools were performing much better than the NT Legislative Assembly was led to believe by the NT DET data analysis presented in November 2008.

The First Four Hours policy has also come under sustained criticism for impinging on the rights of Aboriginal students to be taught in their own language, if so desired. An AIATSIS Research Discussion Paper by Simpson, Caffery & McConvell (2009) is heavily critical of the development of the First Four Hours policy on these grounds and cites a number of relevant United Nations conventions to which Australia is signatory; most prominently the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (p. 13). In particular, Article 14 of the Declaration is being breached by the NT Government through the application of the First Four Hours policy:

14.1. Indigenous peoples have the right to establish and control their educational systems and institutions providing education in their own languages, in a manner appropriate to their cultural methods of teaching and learning, and,

14.3. States shall, in conjunction with Indigenous peoples, take effective measures, in order for Indigenous individuals, particularly children, including those living outside their communities, to have access, when possible, to an education in their own culture and provided in their own language.

As recently as August 2010, the Australian Government has received international criticism for effectively banning bilingual education in the NT. In a report from the UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), it was recommended that:

the State party adopt all necessary measures to preserve native languages and develop and carry out programmes to revitalize Indigenous languages and bilingual and intercultural education for Indigenous peoples respecting cultural identity and history. In line with the UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education, to which Australia is a party, the Committee encourages the State party to consider providing adequate opportunities for national minorities to the use and teaching of their own language. (CERD 2010, p. 6)

Two years on: revisiting the data

This paper presents a first step in the quantitative analysis of attendance and enrolment patterns in a selected cluster of bilingual schools over the two years following the introduction of the First Four Hours policy. While benchmark testing results (e.g. NAPLAN) are a major
component of such quantitative analyses, the 2010 NAPLAN data is not yet available. Instead, this paper focuses on some of the attendance and enrolment data available for the 2008-2010 period. This data is relevant considering the NT Government’s use of similar data to ‘justify’ the introduction of the First Four Hours policy at the expense of mother-tongue education.

When Marion Scrymgour tabled the evidence in parliament in 2008, she quoted attendance and enrolment figures alongside benchmark testing results as justification for the dismantling of bilingual education. The data she presented contained the following claims relating to attendance and enrolment in bilingual schools:

- Student participation rates bilingual schools (sic) were lower than (sic) non-bilingual schools across all test areas and year levels
- The student enrolment numbers across year levels indicate that students in bilingual schools are dropping out earlier and at greater rates than students in non-bilingual schools
- The attendance rates in bilingual schools are less than (sic) the attendance rates for non-bilingual schools across all year levels. 
  (NT DET 2008, p. 2)

Given that alleged inferior attendance rates at bilingual schools were quoted by NT DET as a major justifying factor for scrapping bilingual programs and introducing the First Four Hours policy, it is worth evaluating attendance and enrolment data in the two subsequent years to see how former bilingual schools are responding to the First Four Hours policy. If the Departmental claims of 2008 about the negative effects of bilingual education on enrolment and attendance are correct, then we would expect to see an increase in attendance in the former bilingual schools for the years following its abolition.

The following section of this paper presents 2008-2010 enrolment/attendance data, using the four Warlpiri schools—Lajamanu, Nyirrpi, Willowra and Yuendumu—with a particular focus on Lajamanu. I have selected only the four Warlpiri schools as it is beyond the scope of this article to analyse statistics from all former bilingual schools (and, comparable non-bilingual schools). These four schools also provide a micro-sample of what were, until 2008, bilingual schools (Lajamanu, Willowra and Yuendumu) and a non-bilingual school (Nyirrpi) which is useful for comparative purposes. The reason for the special focus on Lajamanu school is because, firstly, Lajamanu’s Warlpiri-English program has received considerable media attention over the years and secondly, recent enrolment and attendance figures for Lajamanu School show drastic reductions since the inception of the First Four Hours policy.
Lajamanu School: background

The Warlpiri-English bilingual program at Lajamanu School started in 1982 with strong community support. For example, a former principal reported ten adults working throughout 1982 without pay to develop resources for their Warlpiri-English bilingual program (Lingua Franca 1999). In 1999, Warlpiri teachers from Lajamanu proclaimed:

When a new Principal comes to a Warlpiri school they are not to come and change the Bilingual Program. Never. Lajamanu school should always teach in both Warlpiri and English. (Warlpiri Teachers at Lajamanu 1999, p. 54)

Former staff also suggest academic results showed improvement in both language domains during the height of the bilingual program (Four Corners 2009).

Lajamanu School received national media attention, being profiled in two programs produced by the ABC current affairs program Four Corners – 1986’s If we all die… No one speak language and 2009’s Going back to Lajamanu. Together, the two programs show the school at the height of its bilingual program (Four Corners 1986) and the lows felt in the school and community following the departmental quashing of Warlpiri education. The 2009 program records interviews with a number of Lajamanu residents about the demise of Warlpiri education in light of the new First Four Hours policy. Jerry Patrick Jangala said ‘Only one hour (of Warlpiri) - and that one not enough, it not enough for us’ (Four Corners 2009).

This short exchange between the ABC reporter and a Warlpiri parent indicates an ongoing community desire for Warlpiri language programs to be maintained:

Debbie Whitmont: What about your son? What do you hope for him?
Zachariah Patterson: Keep, always keep his language, you know, strong.
Debbie Whitmont: And English? You want him to learn that too?
Zachariah Patterson: No, ah for me I want him to learn Warlpiri first, then English come later. (ibid)

At the 2009 Warlpiri Education Triangle meeting, an experienced Warlpiri teacher from Lajamanu School provide some detail on the Warlpiri program after the introduction of the First Four Hours policy:

… We are not really doing much. We have all ages in a group. We can’t teach to the right age. But I am trying to do my best, to do everything for the kids and for the Yapa3 teachers, because they don’t have planning time with the Kardiya4 teacher in the class, they are not team teaching. We are trying to do everything, support English and teach Warlpiri by ourself. We only have two Kardiya staff each afternoon.
who work with us. They don’t plan with us, just come into the class to help out. No planning together. We have to do this on our own. We are trying to do this for one hour. But I think the kids are finding it hard because late in the afternoon they are tired, because they have been trying to understand English all day. They want to go home. Even for the elders, the elders don’t want to come back in the afternoon, they want to stay home have a rest. So it’s not right, you know, we are trying to do everything, but sometimes it doesn’t work out. (Warlpiri Triangle Education Workshop report 2009, p. 11).

Other Warlpiri teachers at the workshop reported a similar lack of collaboration between Indigenous and non-Indigenous teachers which had been a feature of bilingual programs:

We used to support each other and work together. But now this four hours English, it’s separate. We don’t really know what we are doing, we don’t know how to fit Warlpiri. Warlpiri is important too, for our kids, because they understand Warlpiri. They can start learning a lot of new things, school things in Warlpiri. And before it was working really well, when we had team planning, support from a teacher linguist, learning together, team teaching, all of that. Its not only Yuendumu, there’s four Warlpiri schools, Yuendumu, Lajamanu, Nyirrpi and Willowra. Sad that we are all going to be losing our Warlpiri (ibid, p. 10).

Collectively, these quotes indicate an ongoing desire for strong Warlpiri education programs and an ongoing desire for Warlpiri educators to play core roles in delivery and programming which seems to have become reduced since Warlpiri education was restricted by the First Four Hours policy.

Lajamanu School: 2008-2010 enrolment and attendance data

Lajamanu School was once cited as a model for successful bilingual programs and community engagement, but now the NT Department of Education and Training’s own data shows a significant reduction in both enrolment levels and attendance rates at this school since 2008.

The series of graphs presented below utilise data provided by NT DET on their website in the Student Enrolment and Attendance series (NT DET 2010a, 2010b, 2010c, 2010d). NT DET collates enrolment numbers and attendance rates in every NT school at eight separate data collection points throughout the school year. The data presented here is a continuation of the same data series that was used in the Data from bilingual school report (NT DET 2008) tabled in NT Parliament in 2008 as ‘evidence’ to support the dismantling of bilingual education.
Chart 1 provides a comparison of total number of enrolments at the February, March, May and June collection points over the years 2008-2010. The chart clearly shows the number of enrolled students at Lajamanu School has dropped considerably since the collection of the 2008 data, which, incidentally, was prior to the announcement of the First Four Hours policy. Note also that it is highly unlikely that the 20-25% reduction in enrolments is matched by a drop in overall number of school-age children in the general population at Lajamanu.

Chart 2 below shows the attendance rates at the same four collection points (February, March, May and June) from 2008-2010. This shows that not only are enrolments dropping but that attendance has also dropped drastically to levels consistently below 50% since the First Four Hours policy was announced.

Also note that the repeated drops in attendance shown in Chart 2 occurred despite the threat of parents and caregivers having welfare payments linked to school attendance under the measures of the NT Emergency Response, or ‘intervention’ (FAHCSIA 2009).
The third chart represents an attempt to provide a more complete depiction of community engagement with schools. To do so, I have multiplied attendance rates by the enrolment figures at each data collection point to create a comparison of the changing levels of student engagement over the three year period. In the case of Lajamanu School, which is seeing reductions in both attendance and enrolment levels, Chart 3 presents a dramatic picture of disengagement by the community with the school since the inception of the First Four Hours policy.

Although this data suggests significant deterioration in student engagement at Lajamanu School, it is not possible to conclude that the lack of a Warlpiri-English bilingual education program is the sole or even the main reason. However, given that the school was once one of the leaders in bilingual education programs and there is strong evidence of community support for Warlpiri language education, it is uncontroversial to suggest that the First Four Hours policy is a factor.

It is also interesting to compare the above charts with equivalent charts that use the data tabled in the NT Parliament in 2008 as ‘evidence’ for the abolition of bilingual education (see NT DET 2008). For Lajamanu School, at least, there is no trending decrease in enrolments or attendance from 2006-2008. This is in stark contrast to the post-First Four Hours data.
The above comparisons show that for Lajamanu School, enrolment and attendance since the inception of the First Four Hours policy has decreased consistently and significantly. This trend conflicts with claims made by NT DET and Marion Scrymgour in 2008 that non-bilingual schools outperform bilingual schools in these areas.

Data from other Warlpiri Schools: Nyirrpi, Willowra, Yuendumu

While children at Lajamanu seem to be virtually deserting their school, it is important to broaden the scope of the analysis, to determine if similar results are evident in neighbouring schools since the development of the First Four Hours policy. I have selected the other three Warlpiri schools as a sample because two of them, Willowra and Yuendumu, were also bilingual schools at the time of the policy announcement and also used in the data provided to NT Parliament. Nyirrpi however lost its bilingual program in 2004 and so this data becomes a control sample.

Below is a repeat of the graph series presented above but using data from Willowra School.
The Willowra data shows that since 2008, there has been a general upward trend in student enrolments (Chart 7). This increase may not be significant considering most remote communities have young, growing populations. Chart 8 shows a spike in attendance in early 2009 until May, but, notably, the five data collections since then show a significant
drop-off with attendance consistently below 50%. This again, counters the governmental claim of 2008 that bilingual programs result in poorer attendance. The overall picture of student engagement given in Chart 9 shows that 2010 levels are in each instance lower than the 2008 figures, despite slight increases in enrolment numbers. This again is clear evidence that the First Four Hours policy is not resulting in an increase in school engagement by children at Willowra.

Below is the equivalent graph series for Yuendumu School which delivered a Warlpiri-English bilingual program from 1974-2008.
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Like Willowra and unlike Lajamanu, Yuendumu is showing an increase in student enrolments (Chart 10) but attendance rates are generally poor, teetering around the 50% mark. Chart 11 doesn’t indicate any clear trends in attendance in 2010; the February and March figures are the lowest out of the 12 readings whereas the May reading is relatively high. Looking at the overall student engagement in Chart 12, the 2008 figures are consistently lower, indicating there are slightly higher levels of engagement at Yuendumu since the First Four Hours policy was introduced, however this is due primarily to consistent increases in enrolment, not improved attendance which appears to show no obvious trend.

Lastly, the data for Nyirrpi School, which has been an ‘English-only’ school since 2004, can be considered control data for the other Warlpiri schools which were bilingual up until 2008, is presented below:
The data from Nyirrpi shows very poor attendance in 2008 (around 40%) while operating under the now departmentally-preferred English-only model. Improvements in the following two years are evident, however again, this cannot be attributed to the introduction of the First Four Hours policy as this policy did not have a significant impact on education delivery at Nyirrpi School. The low attendances evident at Nyirrpi suggest that a re-evaluation of pedagogical approaches such as bilingual or mother-tongue education could be investigated in order to improve student engagement.

Table 1 below collates the 48 attendance readings used in this paper. Twelve of the readings were taken while bilingual programs were in place, the remaining 36 readings were from English-only Warlpiri schools or those required to adhere to the First Four Hours policy. The table shows that the worst attendance readings (below 40%) have all come from English-only or post-First Four Hours schools. It shows that 58.3% of attendance readings from English-only or post-First Four Hours schools were below 50%. In contrast, 91.7% (or 11 out of 12) readings from bilingual schools were above 50%.
### Table 1: Attendance figures in Warlpiri schools February-June 2008-2010: comparison between bilingual programs and English-only/First Four Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendance rate</th>
<th>Under Bilingual programs</th>
<th>English-only/post-First Four Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>below 40%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.1-50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1-60%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1-70%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 70%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

When it was announced in 2008 that the first four hours of teaching in all NT schools was to be delivered in English, it essentially abolished bilingual education programs such as those that were operating in Lajamanu, Willowra and Yuendumu Schools. The NT Government attempted to justify the policy with data claiming that attendance rates and enrolments in bilingual schools were less than that of equivalent non-bilingual schools. If bilingual programs were the causal factor in low attendance rates, it should then follow that after implementing the First Four Hours policy, attendance and enrolment levels in what were recently bilingual schools, should show improvement. The data from Warlpiri Schools does not demonstrate this. In fact, the opposite is happening in Willowra and in the case of Lajamanu, there has been a severe reduction in both enrolments and attendance since the First Four Hours policy was introduced. In the case of Yuendumu, the attendance figures are ambivalent, but enrolments have increased.

In November 2008, the then Minister for Education, Marion Scrymgour, tabled a document in Parliament that proclaimed:

> The attendance rates in bilingual schools are less than (sic) the attendance rates for non-bilingual schools across all year levels (NT DET 2008, p. 2).

Since that time, Warlpiri students have been denied the opportunity to receive adequate instruction in their own language in local schools. Not only is this a breach of the rights of Warlpiri (and other Aboriginal) people, but it has not resulted in the proposed and desired increase in attendance in Warlpiri Schools.

It is not possible to claim that the First Four Hours policy is the sole or main factor driving changes in attendance and enrolment figures in former bilingual schools. However the data presented in this paper gives a clear indication that the policy is not leading to improved attendance.
attendance or enrolments in the recently-bilingual Warlpiri schools. This is in distinct contrast to the claims made in 2008 by the NT Government about the negative effect of bilingual education on attendance.

It is hoped that the information presented here provides a case for ongoing evaluation of the data and a re-consideration of the potential, widely-known benefits of bilingual education. Such a re-evaluation is becoming increasingly essential, given the international human rights concerns relating to the First Four Hours policy. Indeed, the Australian Human Rights Commission has recently recommended that:

… the Government take urgent action to support the reinstatement of bilingual education approaches in schools, and safeguard the future of bilingual education through binding agreements with state and territory governments and the provision of ongoing resources to support its implementation (AHRC 2010, p. 13).
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1 NAPLAN: National Assessment Program — Literacy and Numeracy
2 Interestingly, three years earlier the NT Government had announced it was ‘putting bilingual education back on the agenda’ (Northern Territory Legislative Assembly, Debates 2005) and had published a report with findings that conflicted with Scrymgour’s 2008 tabled data. The 2005 report found bilingual schools performing favourably when compared to like non-bilingual schools presenting ‘…preliminary and provisional data to confirm that outcomes for students participating in bilingual education programs in the NT are marginally better than for students in ‘like’ non-bilingual schools.’ (NT DEET 2005: xii)
3 Yapa: Warlpiri person, Aboriginal person
4 Kardiya: non-Aboriginal person
6 See for example ABS (2008), showing increasing population at Lajamanu from 2002-2006.
7 Overall student engagement = enrolment total x attendance rate