

Does post-mining rehabilitation on the Weipa bauxite plateau restore bird habitat values?

by

Susan F. Gould

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
of the Australian National University
February 2010



THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Candidate's Declaration

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. To the best of the author's knowledge, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text.

Susan F. Gould

Date: 1 June 2009

Dedication

This work was inspired by love and gratitude for the birds of the Weipa bauxite plateau, who make the forests ring with song, but have no voice in shaping the plans of mankind for their forest homes. This thesis is dedicated to them.

Acknowledgements

It has been a privilege and a pleasure to be mentored by people who are experts in their respective fields, clear thinkers, and fine people to boot. I thank all of the members of my supervisory panel for their generosity in mentoring me through this process: Professor Brendan Mackey (chair) - Fenner School of Environment and Society at ANU, Professor Henry Nix - Fenner School of Environment and Society at ANU, Dr John Ludwig - CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems Tropical Forest Research Centre at Atherton, David Tongway - Visiting Fellow Fenner School of Environment and Society at ANU, and Dr Penny Olsen - Visiting Fellow Botany and Zoology at ANU. Dr Ross Cunningham also provided early advice on research design before retiring from ANU.

Conducting this research was made possible through financial support from an ANU Graduate School Scholarship. Rio Tinto Aluminium Weipa provided substantial financial and in-kind support. Thanks are due to Neale Dahl, Sinead Kaufman, Owen Fisher, Flo New, Sandy Eldridge, Des Land and Haakon Nielsen from the Rio Tinto environment team. Thanks too, to the crew leaders, superintendents and operators in the mine who provided direction and practical assistance as needed. CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems – Tropical Forest Research Centre at Atherton also supported this research by providing affiliated researcher status, supervision and library assistance.

Special thanks are due to the team of volunteers who assisted with vegetation sampling under arduous conditions making the work not only bearable but enjoyable: Rod Thorn, Merinda Nash, Amelia Elgar, Michael Barnett, David Wingrove, Nyree Gay, John Doherty, Chris Masters and Owen Pittman generously volunteered their time. Sandy Eldridge and Flo New from Rio Tinto also provided valued assistance with field work.

Thanks to the many other people who provided assistance in various ways during the course of this research: Emlyn Williams and Bob Forrester (ANU Statistical Consulting Unit); Colin Pain (GeoScience Australia); Peter Thompson and Aaron Crosby (CYPDA-Sustainable Fire Project); Gary Wilson, John Clarkson, John Neldner, Rosemary Niehus and Paula Peeters (Queensland EPA); Clive Hilliker, Steve Leahy and Karl Nissen (ANU Fenner School of Environment and Society); the support staff at the Fenner School; and the ANU library staff who provided a very efficient off-campus document delivery service. Conversations with other Fenner school students were also very helpful. Thanks to Adam Leavesley, Nicola Munro and Julian Reid.

Finally, special thanks are due to Henry and Katharine Nix who generously shared their home and family, and even provided a dog to walk and cuddle when I was visiting Canberra. None of this would have been possible without the extraordinary support of my husband Rod.

Abstract

Rehabilitation is seen as a key strategy for minimising biodiversity losses. Although most rehabilitation strategies aim to provide habitat for fauna, they usually focus entirely on establishing vegetation. Successful vegetation establishment, however, does not necessarily provide habitat to the same species that are threatened by habitat loss. Improved understanding of faunal response to rehabilitation is required if rehabilitation techniques are to be refined and deliver the hoped for biodiversity outcomes. This study aimed to assess to what extent post-mining rehabilitation on the Weipa bauxite plateau has restored the bird habitat values of the pre-mining native forest.

Bird assemblages, vegetation, and landscape functionality were compared between: (1) *Eucalyptus tetradonta* open forest reference sites representative of the pre-mining native forest; (2) two reference land units of *Eucalyptus tetradonta* tall woodland that have previously been nominated as ecologically appropriate analogues for the post-mining landscapes; and (3) a chronosequence of post-mining rehabilitation sites up to 23 years old.

Bird species richness and mean bird abundance increased with rehabilitation age. Bird species composition also changed and became more similar to native forest bird assemblages with increasing age. Significant differences remained, however, in mean bird abundance and composition of the bird assemblages between the oldest age class of mine rehabilitation and reference native forest land units. The mean bird species shortfall index in the oldest age class of mine rehabilitation was 63%, compared to a mean species shortfall index of 27% for pre-mining native forest sites. There were also significant differences in vegetation composition and structure between reference native forest land units and post-mining rehabilitation sites. Most importantly, the framework plant species that dominate the native vegetation community occurred at much lower densities in mine rehabilitation than in reference land units. Site detection rates of birds were strongly related to vegetation composition and structure.

It is concluded that mining and post-mining rehabilitation on the Weipa bauxite plateau has so far resulted in habitat conversion rather than habitat restoration. It therefore contributes to the causes of biodiversity decline. Post-mining rehabilitation created new habitat for 18 bird species not sourced from the pre-mining native forest. It also provided partial habitat for many of the generalist native forest bird species recorded, although their presence in the landscape remained dependent on access to native forest. However, rehabilitation did not provide the habitat resources that are required by habitat specialists and foraging specialists. This study found that the native forest bird species most sensitive to habitat loss, and most in need of habitat restoration, may be the last to return to rehabilitation if they return at all. The findings of this study have implications for rehabilitation practices, biodiversity conservation on the Weipa bauxite plateau, as well as broader implications for policies that rely on the assumption that rehabilitation can offset biodiversity losses.

Table of Contents

Candidate's Declaration	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Research rationale and aim	1
1.2 Research questions	3
1.3 Thesis outline	3
Chapter 2: The study area	6
2.1 Location.....	6
2.2 Geology	7
2.3 Climate	9
2.4 Hydrology	12
2.5 Vegetation	12
2.6 Fire in the tropical savannas.....	15
2.6.1 <i>Effects of fire on vegetation in the tropical savannas</i>	17
2.7 Birds of the <i>Eucalyptus tetradonta</i> open forest.....	18
2.8 Mining on the Weipa bauxite plateau	20
2.9 Conclusion.....	24
Chapter 3: Bird-environment relationships	26
3.1 Introduction	26
3.2 The amount of habitat resources	27
3.2.1 <i>Plant productivity, habitat resources and bird species richness</i>	27
3.2.2 <i>Area</i>	30
3.3 The variety of habitat resources	31
3.3.2 <i>Environmental heterogeneity and bird species richness</i>	32
3.3.3 <i>Habitat diversity and bird species richness</i>	33
3.3.4 <i>Bird-habitat relationships in Eucalyptus formations</i>	34
3.3.5 <i>Vegetation structural complexity and bird species richness</i>	36
3.3.6 <i>The effect of disturbance on environmental heterogeneity</i>	39
3.4 Partitioning of habitat resources.....	41

3.4.1	<i>Stability of habitat resources</i>	41
3.4.2	<i>Stability of habitat resources and Australian bird assemblages</i>	42
3.5	Habitat resources: a conceptual framework	46
3.5.1	<i>The habitat templet</i>	47
3.5.2	<i>The effects of spatial and temporal scale on pattern and process</i>	48
3.6	Conclusion.....	50
Chapter 4: Succession in post-mining rehabilitation.....		52
4.1	Introduction	52
4.2	Vegetation succession	52
4.2.2	<i>Succession and mine rehabilitation</i>	57
4.3	Bird assembly in successional environments	59
4.3.1	<i>Bird species richness</i>	60
4.3.2	<i>Turnover in bird species composition</i>	60
4.3.3	<i>Changes in bird community structure</i>	62
4.4	Habitat value of rehabilitation plantings	62
4.5	Bird succession in post-mining rehabilitation	65
4.5.1	<i>Birds in post-mining rehabilitation at Weipa</i>	66
4.6	Limitations of previous research	68
4.6.1	<i>Research design</i>	68
4.6.2	<i>Definitions and inconsistencies in terminology</i>	69
4.7	Conclusions and relevance to this study	70
Chapter 5: Research design and methods		72
5.1	Introduction	72
5.2	Assumptions of the research design	72
5.3	Research design.....	73
5.3.1	<i>Grain and extent of the study</i>	73
5.3.2	<i>Selection of native forest reference sites</i>	76
5.3.3	<i>Selection of mine rehabilitation sites</i>	84
5.4	Sampling procedures	86
5.4.1	<i>Bird assemblages</i>	86
5.4.2	<i>Vegetation composition and structure</i>	90
5.4.3	<i>Landscape functionality</i>	93
5.5	Data analysis	97
5.5.1	<i>Analysis of bird data</i>	97
5.5.2	<i>Analysis of landscape function and vegetation data</i>	100
5.6	Conclusion.....	102
Chapter 6: Bird results.....		103
6.1	Introduction	103

6.1.1	<i>Bird survey completeness</i>	103
6.2	Bird assemblages of the pre-mining native forest.....	106
6.3	Comparison of bird assemblages.....	109
6.3.1	<i>Mean bird abundance</i>	110
6.3.2	<i>Species richness</i>	111
6.3.3	<i>Composition of bird assemblages</i>	112
6.3.4	<i>Site species shortfall index</i>	112
6.3.5	<i>Comparison by individual species</i>	113
6.3.6	<i>Comparison by foraging group</i>	121
6.4	Temporal patterns in bird succession.....	122
6.4.1	<i>Temporal patterns in individual bird species</i>	122
6.4.2	<i>Temporal patterns in bird community structure</i>	124
6.4.3	<i>Bird species associated with mature framework trees</i>	127
6.5	Bird response to vegetation and landscape.....	128
6.5.1	<i>Bird response to vegetation structure</i>	128
6.5.2	<i>Bird response to vegetation composition</i>	132
6.5.3	<i>Foraging group response to vegetation structure</i>	136
6.5.4	<i>Bird response to landscape variables</i>	139
6.5.5	<i>Effect of proximity to remnant native vegetation</i>	143
6.5.6	<i>Native forest bird response to variation in vegetation structure</i>	143
6.5.7	<i>Highly correlated variables</i>	148
6.6	Summary of bird results.....	149
Chapter 7: Vegetation and landscape functionality results		151
7.1	Introduction.....	151
7.2	Reference vegetation and landscape functionality values.....	151
7.2.1	<i>Pre-mining native forest - Land unit 2B</i>	151
7.2.2	<i>Post-mining landscape analogue - Land unit 2C</i>	152
7.2.3	<i>Post-mining landscape analogue - Land unit 5K</i>	153
7.2.4	<i>Summary of native forest reference values</i>	156
7.3	Vegetation and landscape functionality in mine rehabilitation sites.....	164
7.3.1	<i>Mine rehabilitation - 1 to 2 years old</i>	164
7.3.2	<i>Mine rehabilitation - 3 to 4 years old</i>	164
7.3.3	<i>Mine rehabilitation - 5 to 8 years old</i>	165
7.3.4	<i>Mine rehabilitation - 9 to 16 years old</i>	166
7.3.5	<i>Mine rehabilitation - > 16 years old</i>	166
7.4	Comparison of landscape functionality.....	170
7.4.1	<i>Stability index values</i>	171
7.4.2	<i>Infiltration index values</i>	172
7.4.3	<i>Nutrient cycling index values</i>	174

7.5	Comparison of vegetation composition.....	177
7.5.1	<i>Plant species composition.....</i>	177
7.5.2	<i>Density of framework species</i>	179
7.5.3	<i>Density of destabilising species</i>	180
7.6	Comparison of vegetation structure	182
7.6.1	<i>Vegetation height</i>	182
7.6.2	<i>Canopy volume.....</i>	183
7.6.3	<i>Basal area</i>	185
7.6.4	<i>Vegetation layering.....</i>	187
7.6.5	<i>Confounding of variables.....</i>	189
7.7	Summary of vegetation and landscape function results	189
Chapter 8: Discussion of results		191
8.1	Introduction.....	191
8.2	Temporal patterns in bird assemblages	191
8.2.1	<i>Bird species richness and abundance</i>	192
8.2.2	<i>Rate of change.....</i>	194
8.2.3	<i>Succession and 'specialisation'</i>	194
8.2.4	<i>Bird community structure</i>	196
8.3	Bird assemblages, vegetation and landscape.....	199
8.3.1	<i>Composition of bird assemblages</i>	199
8.3.2	<i>Habitat-specific bird assemblages</i>	201
8.3.3	<i>The landscape context of rehabilitation.....</i>	203
8.4	The trajectory of post-mining rehabilitation	204
8.4.1	<i>Development of landscape functionality.....</i>	205
8.4.2	<i>Vegetation development</i>	208
8.4.3	<i>Is mine rehabilitation likely to restore habitat similar to that of pre-mining native forest?.....</i>	215
8.5	Conservation implications of habitat conversion for the native forest birds.....	217
Chapter 9: Conclusions		224
9.1	Introduction.....	224
9.1.1	<i>Birds as indicators of rehabilitation success</i>	224
9.2	Findings in relation to key research questions	225
9.2.1	<i>How do the bird assemblages of post-mining rehabilitation compare with native forest bird assemblages?.....</i>	225
9.2.2	<i>What is the temporal pattern of bird succession in post-mining rehabilitation on the Weipa bauxite plateau?.....</i>	226
9.2.3	<i>What environmental factors determine bird occupancy in pre-mining native forest and post-mining rehabilitation on the Weipa bauxite plateau?.....</i>	226
9.2.4	<i>Is the post-mining rehabilitation process likely to restore habitat similar to that of pre-mining native forest on the Weipa bauxite plateau?.....</i>	227

9.3 Overall conclusions.....	228
9.4 Implications of findings	230
9.4.1 <i>Implications for rehabilitation practices</i>	230
9.4.2 <i>Biodiversity management implications for the Weipa bauxite plateau</i>	231
9.4.3 <i>Broad policy implications</i>	232
9.5 Limitations of this study and directions for future research.....	233
References.....	236
Appendix 1 Land units of the Weipa region.....	263
Appendix 2 Birds of the eucalypt forests and woodlands of Cape York Peninsula..	265
Appendix 3 Conservation significance of the birds of CYP eucalypt forest.....	278
Appendix 4 Mine rehabilitation seed mix used at Weipa.....	285
Appendix 5 Variables that may affect post-mining rehabilitation plots.....	289
Appendix 6 Native forest reference sites.....	290
Appendix 7 Mine rehabilitation sites	291
Appendix 8 Soil surface assessment indicators¹	294
Appendix 9 Bird response variables.....	295
Appendix 10 Landscape context and vegetation variables	296
Appendix 11 Unrecorded species.....	297
Appendix 12 Bird summary values by site	298
Appendix 13 Bird Abundance ANOVA Results	300
Appendix 14 Pairwise differences in bird species composition	302
Appendix 15 Foraging groups	303
Appendix 16 CCA Site bird detection rates and vegetation structure.....	305
Appendix 17 Correlations between vegetation structure and composition	308
Appendix 18 CCA Site bird detection rates and vegetation composition.....	310
Appendix 19 CCA of foraging group abundance and vegetation structure.....	313
Appendix 20 CCA site bird detection rates and landscape variables	315

Appendix 21 CCA Site bird detection rates and vegetation structure (mine rehabilitation sites only)	318
Appendix 22 CCA Site bird detection rates and vegetation structure (native forest sites only)	321
Appendix 23 Mean canopy volume¹ of woody species m³/ha by land unit	324
Appendix 24 Mean canopy volume¹ of grasses (m³/ha) by land unit	326

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Location of study area.....	6
Figure 2.2 Exposure of the bauxite profile.....	8
Figure 2.3 Cyclone tracks near Weipa over the last 50 years.....	10
Figure 2.4 Monthly mean rainfall at Weipa	11
Figure 2.5 Monthly mean temperature at Weipa.....	11
Figure 2.6 Distribution of <i>Eucalyptus tetradonta</i> open forest (R.E. 3.5.2)	14
Figure 2.7 Fire scar map of the Weipa locality	16
Figure 2.8 Distribution of bauxite mine leases and minerals exploration leases.....	21
Figure 2.9 Mining sequence.....	22
Figure 2.10 Undulations in the post-mining land surface	24
Figure 4.1 Factors influencing the effectiveness of rehabilitation as habitat	64
Figure 5.1 Location of study sites	75
Figure 5.2 Variation in pre-mining native forest reference sites - 1.....	79
Figure 5.3 Variation in pre-mining native forest reference sites - 2.....	80
Figure 5.4 Variation in pre-mining native forest reference sites - 3.....	81
Figure 5.5 Post-mining landscape analogue land units	82
Figure 5.6 Vegetation sampling	92
Figure 5.7 Patches and inter-patches created by bank-trough structures.....	96
Figure 6.1 Individual- and sample-based rarefaction curves.....	104
Figure 6.2 Increasing bird abundance with age of site.....	110
Figure 6.3 Increasing species richness with age of site.....	111
Figure 6.4 Decreasing species shortfall index with age of site.....	113
Figure 6.5 Profile graph of percentage of sites in which shared species were recorded	119
Figure 6.6 Profile graph of mean detection rates of shared species	120
Figure 6.7 Mean foraging group abundance by age class and land unit.....	126
Figure 6.8 Ordination graph of birds and vegetation structure (sites).....	130
Figure 6.9 Ordination graph of birds and vegetation structure (species).....	131
Figure 6.10 Ordination graph of birds and vegetation composition (sites)	134
Figure 6.11 Ordination graph of birds and vegetation composition (species).....	135
Figure 6.12 Ordination graph of foraging groups and vegetation structure (sites).....	137
Figure 6.13 Ordination graph of foraging groups and vegetation structure (foraging groups).....	138
Figure 6.14 Ordination graph of birds and landscape context (sites)	141
Figure 6.15 Ordination graph of birds and landscape context (species).....	142
Figure 6.16 Detection rate of banded honeyeater in native forest sites.....	145
Figure 6.17 Detection rate of grey shrike-thrush in native forest sites.....	146
Figure 6.18 Detection rate of bar-shouldered dove in native forest sites	147
Figure 7.1 Pre-mining native forest land unit 2B	154
Figure 7.2 Post-mining landscape analogue land units	155
Figure 7.3 Causes of spatial variation in native forest reference sites.....	157
Figure 7.4 Young mine rehabilitation sites	167
Figure 7.5 Mine rehabilitation sites - 1	168
Figure 7.6 Mine rehabilitation sites - 2	169
Figure 7.7 Regression of stability index values against age of site.....	171
Figure 7.8 Regression of infiltration index values against age of site.....	173
Figure 7.9 Regression of nutrient cycling index values against age of site.....	175

Figure 7.10 Dendrogram of sites based on relativised canopy volume of woody species	178
Figure 7.11 Canopy volume of framework, desirable and other species.....	180
Figure 7.12 Contributions of main plant genera to canopy volume	181
Figure 7.13 Regression of vegetation height against age of site	183
Figure 7.14 Regression of canopy volume against age of site	184
Figure 7.15 Regression of basal area against age of site	186
Figure 7.16 Percent foliage cover of vegetation layers by land unit	188

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Description of the bauxite profile ¹	7
Table 3.1 Landscape attributes related to bird distributions.....	31
Table 3.2 Partitioning of bird assemblages in temperate eucalypt forests ¹	37
Table 3.3 Eucalypt structural attributes related to bird distributions.....	38
Table 3.4 Hierarchical scale effects on species richness ¹	49
Table 4.1 Expression of disturbance at various levels of ecological organisation ¹	54
Table 5.1 Study overview.....	73
Table 5.2 Stratification of native forest sites.....	78
Table 5.3 A comparison of conditions at Gove and Weipa.....	95
Table 6.1 Breakdown of the number of bird species recorded	103
Table 6.2 Indicator species in native forest and mine rehabilitation	107
Table 6.3 Community level bird statistics by age class and land unit ¹	108
Table 6.4 ANOVA results for bird abundance data	109
Table 6.5 MRPP results (bird species composition) ¹	112
Table 6.6 Bird species recorded exclusively in native forest reference sites ¹	115
Table 6.7 Bird species recorded exclusively in mine rehabilitation sites ¹	116
Table 6.8 Bird species recorded in both native forest reference sites and mine rehabilitation sites ¹	117
Table 6.9 Mean abundances of foraging groups	121
Table 6.10 Species detection rates by age class	123
Table 6.11 Number and richness of foraging groups by age class ¹	125
Table 6.12 Mean detection rates of species associated with big framework trees	127
Table 6.13 Correlation coefficients ¹ between vegetation structure variables and ordination axes.....	128
Table 6.14 Correlation coefficients ¹ between vegetation composition variables and ordination axes.....	132
Table 6.15 Correlation coefficients ¹ between vegetation structure variables and ordination axes.....	136
Table 6.16 Correlation coefficients ¹ between landscape variables and ordination axes.....	139
Table 6.17 Linear regressions between bird summary values and landscape variables ¹	143
Table 6.18 Comparison of regressions of highly correlated variables	148
Table 7.1 Individual vegetation layer statistics by land unit	160
Table 7.2 Total values for woody vegetation by age class and land unit	161
Table 7.3 Landscape function indices by age class and land unit	162
Table 7.4 Summary statistics for framework and desirable species.....	163
Table 7.5 ANOVA results for LFA indices in mine rehabilitation	170
Table 7.6 MRPP results (plant species composition).....	177
Table 7.7 MRPP results (density of framework species)	179
Table 7.8 ANOVA results for summary vegetation values.....	182
Table 8.1 Native forest birds absent from or significantly less abundant in mine rehabilitation	219