

Monitoring Health Care Using National Administrative Data Collections

Christopher William Kelman

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of The
Australian National University**

The National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health

May 2000

Declaration

Except where otherwise acknowledged in the text, this thesis represents my own original work.

18 May 2000

Acknowledgments

I thank my supervisors Professors Bob Douglas and John Deeble, Drs Wayne Smith and Jim Butler for their vision in supporting my application to do this research and for their continuing advice while conducting it. In particular I would like to thank John Deeble for providing me with some invaluable assistance on a couple of occasions when continuation of the project seemed to have become impossible. I also had the pleasure of working with Robyn Attewell and Professor Niels Becker, this made statistics an enjoyable experience.

For the Canadian study I am ever grateful to Dr Erich Kliewer, who not only suggested the comparison study, but arranged a very enjoyable month for me on-site in Winnipeg.

I thank my several advisors, Drs Gabriele Bammer, Len Smith and Ric Shand who provided the right support at the right time. I also thank all those who provided invaluable input by actually reading my work, but in particular Jacqui Russell for her detailed dissection and editorial comments and Dr Dorothy Broom for her exposure of unintended sub-texts and invaluable advice on style.

I thank my parents for their support and encouragement and my children for providing healthy distraction.

I would like to thank my sponsors too. The research was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. The Medical Devices and Health Outcomes study was supported by a Project Grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council (#981563) with additional assistance from Medibank Private Ltd. At Medibank Private, I am greatly indebted to Garry Stevens and Phil Strickland, who provided endless assistance during busy times for Medibank Private.

Abstract

With the inevitable adoption of information technology into all areas of human pursuit, the potential benefits for health care should not be overlooked. In Australia, details of most health care encounters are currently recorded for administrative purposes. This results in an impressive electronic data-bank that could provide a national resource for health service evaluation.

Evaluation of health services has become increasingly important to provide indicators of the benefits, risks and cost-effectiveness of treatments. However, if administrative data are to be used for this purpose, several questions must first be addressed: Are the current data collections accessible? What outcome measures can be derived from these data? Can privacy issues be managed? Could the quality of the data be improved? Is the existing infrastructure adequate to supply data for evaluation purposes? Could the existing system provide a basis for the development of an integrated health information system?

The aims of the project were:

- To examine the potential for using administrative data to generate outcome measures and surveillance indicators.
- To investigate the logistics of gaining access to these data for the purpose of research. This to be achieved within the current ethical, political and financial framework.
- To compare the Australian health-service data system with the current international state-of-the-art.
- To develop suggestions for expansion of the present system as part of an integrated health record and information system. This system to manage patient records and provide data for quality management, treatment surveillance and cost-effectiveness evaluation as a routine activity.

The thesis is presented in two parts. In the first part, a historical cohort study is described that involved patients with implantable medical devices. The potential to evaluate outcomes was investigated using all national health-service information currently available in electronic form. Record linkage techniques were used to combine

and augment the existing data collections. Australia's national health databases are to varying degrees, amenable to such linkage and cover doctor visits, pharmaceuticals, hospital admissions and deaths. The study focused on medical devices as an illustrative case but the results are applicable to the routine assessment of all medical and surgical interventions.

For the Australian 'Medical Devices study', the records of 5,316 patients who had medical device implants in 1993-94 were selected from the archives of a major private health insurer. Five groups of medical implants were studied: heart valves, pacemakers, hips, vascular grafts and intra-optic lenses. Outcomes for these patients, including death, re-operation and health service utilisation, were compared and analysed.

A comparison study was performed using data from the Manitoba Health database in Winnipeg, Canada. Manitoba provides a very similar demographic group to that found in Australia and is an example of a prototype integrated-health-information system. One of the principal advantages for research is that *personally identified* data about medical and hospital services are collected for all patients. Selection bias is eliminated because individual consent is not required for this type of research and all selected patients could be included in the study.

The two studies revealed many barriers to the use of administrative data for health outcomes research. Service event data for the Australian cohort could be collected but only after long delays and hospital morbidity data were not available for the entire cohort. In contrast to the situation in Australia, the Manitoba data were both accessible and complete, but were lacking in detail in some areas.

Analysis of the collected data demonstrated that without the addition of clinical data only general indications of trends could be deduced. However, with minimal supplementary clinical data, it was possible to examine differences in performance between brands of medical devices thus indicating one of the uses for this type of data collection.

In the second part of the thesis, conclusions are presented about the potential uses and limitations of the existing system and its use as a basis for the development of a national

Integrated Health Record and Information System (IHRIS). The need for the establishment of a systemic quality management system for health care is discussed.

The study shows that linked administrative data can provide information about health outcomes which is not readily available from other sources. If expanded and integrated, the system that is currently used to collect and manage administrative data, could provide the basis for a national health information system. This system would provide many benefits for health care. Benefits would include the monitoring, surveillance and cost-effectiveness analysis of new and existing treatments involving medical devices, drugs and surgical procedures. An integrated health information system could thus provide for both clinical and administrative needs, while in addition providing data for research.

Unfortunately, in Australia, the use of administrative data for this purpose is not currently feasible. The principal barrier is the existence of a culture within the Australian health care system which is not supportive of research and is deficient in quality and safety measures.

Recent initiatives by both the Commonwealth and state governments have supported the introduction of measures to improve quality and safety in health care. It is argued here that an Integrated Health Record and Information System (IHRIS) would provide an essential component of any such scheme. The results of this study have important policy implications for health care management in both the administrative and clinical domains.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	ix
Preface	3
Introduction	5
Chapter One:	7
Record Linkage and the Monitoring of Health Services	
1.1 Introduction	7
1.2 Collecting health care data for the evaluation of services	8
1.3 Identifiers	9
1.4 Record-linkage	11
1.5 The need for evaluation of new health technology	22
1.6 Cost-benefit analysis of new interventions	33
1.7 Summary	34
Chapter Two:	35
The Medical Devices Study	
2.1 Aims of the project	35
2.2 Data compilation and procedures	35
2.3 Power and Sample size calculations:	36
2.4 Selection of a potential cohort	38
2.5 The national health-service data sets:	40
2.6 The Quality of Life questionnaire	41
2.7 Record linkage with the NHMDS	41
2.8 Data storage and security	42
2.9 Data collection from the institutions	42
2.10 Outcome measures and statistical approach	43
2.11 Data 'cleaning' and analysis	43
Chapter Three:	49
Selection and Recruitment of the Cohort	
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Procedures for obtaining ethics approval and patient consent	49
3.3 Cohort selection - a contradiction	50
3.4 Ethics approvals and contracts	51
3.5 Ethics approval procedures	52
3.6 Cohort and TYPE group numbers	53
3.7 Details of the cohort selection process	56
3.8 Accuracy of the NDI matches:	58
3.9 Logistics:	59
3.10 Response rates	64
3.11 Available data	67
3.12 Decoding the data:	67
3.13 Discussion	68

Chapter Four:	71
The Australian Study part A	
4.1 Introduction	71
4.2 Potential biases	71
4.3 Description of the cohort	74
4.4 The data - sources and types collected	76
4.5 The data	78
4.6 Mortality	79
4.7 Discussion	85
4.8 Post-operative deaths	85
4.9 The Quality of Life Questionnaire	86
4.10 Summary	88
Chapter Five:	91
The Australian Study part B	
5.1 Analysis of basic health-service data	91
5.2 Indicators of morbidity	91
5.3 Patient based service-rate ratios	98
5.4 Pre- and post-operative exclusion periods	100
5.5 Comparisons of pre to post-operative rates of service use	102
5.6 The effect of ageing and follow up period on service utilisation.	105
5.7 Device brand differences - subgroup analysis for hips	105
5.8 Summary	112
Chapter Six	113
The Australian Study part C	
6.1 Analysis using service codes to identify intervention outcomes	113
6.2 Logistic regression to assess the effect of brand on outcomes	116
6.3 Discussion	122
6.4 Discussion	123
Chapter Seven:	125
The Manitoba Study	
7.1 Rationale for the Canadian arm of the study	125
7.2 Gaining project approval and patient consent	127
7.3 Potential biases	127
7.4 The PHIN: A Unique Patient Identifier	128
7.5 Selection of the patients and the prostheses:	128
7.6 The patient cohort.	129
7.7 The data sets	130
7.8 Mortality	131
7.9 Post-operative deaths	136
7.10 Comparisons of service use for device types	137
7.11 Overview of service use rates.	138
7.12 Analysis using Logistic Regression	140
7.13 Discussion of service use rates	140
7.14 Discussion	141

Chapter Eight:	143
Australia-Manitoba Comparison	
8.1 Introduction	143
8.2 Comparison of Standardised Mortality Ratios	145
8.3 Early post operative deaths compared	148
8.4 Discussion	149
8.5 The research environment: A comparison between the Australian and Manitoban System	150
8.6 Conclusion	150
Chapter Nine:	155
Information for Quality in Health Care	
9.1 Introduction	155
9.2 Quality management in health care	155
9.3 Health care evaluation	159
9.4 Limitations and strengths of administrative databases for health outcomes evaluation	164
9.5 Privacy protection and the collection of health data	168
9.6 The relationship between illness and service use	170
9.7 Measures and metrics of health status	172
9.8 Discussion	173
Chapter Ten:	175
A National Health Information System	
10.1 Introduction	175
10.2 Quality management in health care: Recent initiatives	176
10.3 The vision: An Integrated Health Record and Information System (IHRIS)	178
10.4 Some possible benefits of an IHRIS	183
10.5 A change of culture required	184
10.6 Barriers to the introduction of a national integrated system	184
10.7 Review of the Australian national health datasets	186
10.8 Comments on using the existing administrative datasets for research	190
10.9 'Enabling' an integrated health information system	192
10.10 The Manitoba experience	197
10.11 Desirable system changes to support a national IHRIS	197
10.12 Conclusion	201
References	203
Glossary and Acronyms	215
Appendices:	
Appendix 1: The NDI	I
Appendix 2: Non-normal distributions	VII
Appendix 3: Sample letter	IX
Appendix 4: Sample letter	XI
Appendix 5: The Study Brochure	XIII
Appendix 6: The Consent Form	XV
Appendix 7: Flow charts	XVII
Appendix 8: Data fields	XIX

A: Data fields requested and received from the various institutions	XIX
B: National Data Collections: Contents	XX
Appendix 9: Sample case history for hip patient	XXIII
Appendix 10: SPSS syntax file	XXVII
Appendix 11: "Related" events for post-operative complications	XXXI

List of Figures:

Figure 3-1 Pilot mail-out response pattern	60
Figure 3-2 Mail-out response pattern	64
Figure 3-3 The consent process and numbers consented	66
Figure 3-4 Consented patients in each device type group	66
Figure 4-1 Months of MP membership at time of index operation	74
Figure 4-2 SMRs by age group and sex	80
Figure 4-3 Crude Survival function by device type	83
Figure 4-4 Crude Survival function by state of residence	83
Figure 4-5 Self assessed health status	87
Figure 4-6 Q1: How has your medical device affected you	87
Figure 4-7 Q2: In general how would you say your health is?	88
Figure 5-1 Average rates of monthly service use	94
Figure 5-2 Average monthly fees for services	96
Figure 5-3 Average monthly rates of days in hospital	97
Figure 5-4 Deriving the exclusion periods pre- and post-operation	101
Figure 5-5 Service use indicators for the five types of device	104
Figure 5-6 Five brands of hip compared	106
Figure 5-7 Osteonics compared with other hip prostheses	107
Figure 6-1 Mean number of early post-operative complications	115
Figure 7-1 Manitoba cohort, mortality rates by age and sex	132
Figure 7-2 Survival function by device type	134
Figure 7-3 Service use ratios for the five device types	139
Figure 8-1 Survival function comparing the two cohorts	147
Figure 8-2 Survival function for both cohorts combined, by type	148
Figure 10-1 The IHRIS within a Quality Management culture	182
Figure A7-1 Gathering the cohort, sets and subsets	XVII
Figure A7-2 The Medical Devices Study time line	XVIII

List of Tables:

Table 2-1 Detectable odds ratios according to outcome	37
Table 2-2 Data available and Custodians	40
Table 3-1 Approval dates and delays	51
Table 3-2 Annual number of patients with prostheses	54
Table 3-3 1993 Medibank Private archives: numbers in groups	54
Table 3-4 Final numbers for the combined groups	55
Table 3-5 Numbers of patients in each device subgroup	56
Table 3-6 Mail-out sequence and details of responses	63
Table 3-7 Consent rates considered by membership status	65
Table 3-8 Final cohort numbers for each type of device	67
Table 4-1. Frequency and consent rate by device type	75
Table 4-2 Frequency and consent rate by sex	75
Table 4-3 Frequency and consent rate by age-group	75

Table 4-4	Frequency and consent rate by state of residence	75
Table 4-5	The data sets: fields and available linkage variables	77
Table 4-6	Australian cohort - Crude death rates by Type	79
Table 4-7	Age-specific death rates	80
Table 4-8	Observed and Expected numbers of deaths	81
Table 4-9	SMR by type and sex	81
Table 4-10	Survival analysis using Cox regression: (n = 2077)	84
Table 4-11	Deaths within 30 days post-operation	86
Table 5-1	Statistics for pre- and post-op observation times	92
Table 5-2	Comparison of measures of morbidity	100
Table 5-3	Customised exclusion periods used, in months	101
Table 5-4	Changes in service use using Difference measures*	102
Table 5-5	Changes in rates of service use	102
Table 5-6	All types: Fee-outcomes†, crude and adjusted rates	109
Table 5-7	Hip prostheses only: Fee outcome† for brands, with adjustment	110
Table 5-8	Fee outcome†: Mantel-Haenszel analysis	111
Table 6-1	Numbers of hip patients with post-op complications	115
Table 6-2	Hip revisions†, crude and adjusted	117
Table 6-3	Hip, early post operative complications†	119
Table 6-4	Hip, early post operative complications: M-H analysis	120
Table 6-5	Late complications†	121
Table 6-7	All complications	122
Table 6-8	Sensitivity analysis for early post-op complication†	122
Table 7-1	Patient numbers by device type	129
Table 7-2	Sex and mean age at operation	130
Table 7-3	Age at time of operation by decade	130
Table 7-4	Canadian cohort - Death rates and survival times	131
Table 7-5	Age-specific death rates	132
Table 7-6	Expected deaths v observed, by sex	132
Table 7-7	Ratio of Cohort rate/Provincial rate	133
Table 7-8	Survival analysis	135
Table 7-9	Deaths within 30 days after the index operation	136
Table 7-10	Cox regression of post operative deaths	137
Table 7-11	Statistics for Manitoba	138
Table 7-12	Fee-outcomes†, crude and adjusted rates	140
Table 8-1	Description of the '28 month' subset cohort	143
Table 8-2	Comparison of crude death	144
Table 8-3	Manitoba cohort: SMR by sex and age-group†	145
Table 8-4	Ratio of SMRs, Australia / Manitoba	145
Table 8-5	Australia and Manitoba, combined cohorts	146
Table 8-6	Cox regression of post-operative deaths	148
Table A1-1	Mortality status from the NDI: 'Theoretical' and 'actual'	III
Table A1-2	NDI Performance Indices: 'Theoretical' and 'actual'	III
Table A9-1	Duration and costs of orthopaedic admissions	XXIII
Table A9-2	Service record for patient with poor outcome	XXIV
Table A11-1	'Related' events for post-operative complications	XXXI

The desire for safety stands against every great and noble enterprise
Cornelius Tactitus, AD 56-120

Anyone who has never made a mistake has never tried anything
new. Albert Einstein.

Preface

This research project was conceived during my time working within the Commonwealth Department of Health in the area of medical device regulation. As medical advisor, I witnessed the limitations of pre-market evaluation of new products, the inadequate system of ‘problem’ reporting and the challenge of implementing safety alerts and product recalls for faulty devices. When problems with a heart-valve, pacemaker-lead or intra-uterine device were detected, it was often appropriate to advise patients of the need for investigation or removal of the implant. However, since no systematic record is kept about who had what implant, contacting patients and doctors was complicated. It became apparent that a better tracking system existed for motor vehicles than for life-saving medical devices.

An implant tracking system was envisaged; there are several possible approaches available.

- A purpose designed, centralised database maintained by either the public or private sector. Registration may be either obligatory or voluntary. The database may incorporate all devices or be confined to a certain type. (An example is the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. Outside the medical device area, registries of infectious diseases are widely used.)
- Hospital based registries (ie at place of implantation). This approach has recently become a legislative requirement in the USA for selected implantable devices.
- ‘Boutique’ registries run by manufacturers. This can occur in an ad-hoc manner where patients return a warranty card as occurs for some cardiac pacemakers.
- The function may be combined with the collection of administrative data. This is the approach adopted for example in Sweden and Norway.

The Medical Devices study, which is the basis of this thesis, examines the last of these options. The original aims of the project were:

- To assess the feasibility and potential health and cost benefits of using routinely collected administrative data to monitor health outcomes of medical device implants.
- To develop a data collection and record linkage model for the routine determination of a range of intervention outcomes.

- To assess the cost benefit of the model.

In Australia, several health administrative data-sets are routinely collected. Almost all doctor visits, hospital admissions and deaths are systematically recorded across the country. The existing infrastructure could provide an appropriate means to collect the additional data needed for a medical implant register. It would probably be the most cost-effective option and would require minimum system changes. Importantly, when clinical detail is added to national administrative data-sets, a powerful resource is created that could be used for health outcomes evaluation. It is this possibility that will be examined in this thesis.

Introduction

To investigate the potential for using administrative data collections for health outcomes analysis I decided to conduct a study that would draw its information from all currently available electronic national data-sets. Health service data are collected by the Commonwealth government in the Medical Benefits, the Pharmaceutical Benefits, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the National Death Index and the National Hospital Morbidity collections. In order to provide the maximum information for analysis, these data sets would require linkage at the patient level. Detailed demographic data is collected by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). Unfortunately, all personal identifiers have been removed from the ABS collection and as a result these data were not able to be incorporated. To test the usefulness of this linked resource for health outcomes evaluation, it was necessary to select a medical intervention to examine. Implantable medical devices were chosen as a typical, well defined and widely used procedure.

In order to provide a comparison with a state-of-the-art, purpose designed health data system, it was decided to perform a parallel study in Manitoba, Canada. Manitoba Health collects service data specifically for the purpose of health care evaluation, in addition to the needs of administration. These data are available for approved research projects.

During the initial stages of the study, it became apparent that some modifications to the original aims would be required. The changes were necessary because of the limited availability of Australian data and the poor quality of data that was available. Taking this into account, I decided to conduct comparative analyses on three different 'levels' of data quality that were conceivable, if not actually available.

- The currently available Australian data
- The reasonably high quality data collected in Manitoba
- A 'simulated' data-set that might be available from an integrated health information system. This was achieved by using all Australian service data supplemented with detail from a private health insurer.

Because it involved using and evaluating almost all the relevant administration data sets, the implant study provided invaluable insight into the use of nationally collected administrative information. The thesis therefore, has two distinct parts. The first and main part, is concerned with the Medical Devices study. The second part builds from my experience in conducting these studies. It discusses the potential for expansion and integration of the existing administrative data system for the purpose of building an integrated health record and information system (IHRIS) which would be an essential component of a new health care structure based on a quality management philosophy.

In the first part, Chapter 1 describes the history and state-of-the-art of record linkage in Australia and overseas, the need for evaluation and surveillance of new medical interventions and the growing industry of health data collection. Chapter 2 presents an overview of the study methodology while Chapter 3 enlarges on the processes involved in selecting a study cohort. Chapters 4-6 describe the Medical Devices study, its implementation, results and analysis. Chapter 7 describes the Manitoba study and Chapter 8 compares the Manitoba and Australian data collections, pointing out their various advantages and limitations.

Chapter 9, which introduces the second part of the thesis, discusses in more detail the strengths and limitations of the use of administrative data for research and examines the trend towards the introduction of integrated data collections and quality management in health care. The potential for the existing administrative data system to provide a basis for an integrated health information system that caters for systemic quality management is discussed. In Chapter 10, recent initiatives supporting the introduction of a quality and safety system in Australian health care are reviewed. The importance of an IHRIS to support such a system is discussed.