

**On Reading Lines in Shifting Sands:
making organisational culture relevant**

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
of
The Australian National University**

Garth Murray Britton

May 2007

Declaration

I, Garth Murray Britton, hereby declare that, except where otherwise acknowledged in the customary manner, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, this work is my own, and has not been submitted for a higher degree at any other university or institution.

Garth Murray Britton

Acknowledgment

The list of those to be thanked in producing this dissertation would necessarily be too long to reasonably include in it, and I will therefore not try to be exhaustive. However, in addition to the acknowledgements made to former colleagues and associates and to those who participated in the fieldwork described in the pages which follow, I would like to specifically highlight at this point my gratitude to my supervisors, and particularly my Principal supervisor, for their support and guidance during this research. The challenges that a project like this presents for a rather more than mature-aged student with little academic background in the previous 25 years are of a very different kind to those usually encountered by either student or supervisor, and I am grateful, in addition to the professional guidance I received, for the good humour, common-sense, and flexibility that has been shown me as I have progressed through it.

Of course, any journey as long and intense as the one which has led me here is at least as demanding on the family as the voyager. There is very little I can say which recognises sufficiently how much I have valued their ongoing support and understanding – and their ability to achieve their own successes despite my sometimes distracted presence (or absence). Thanks isn't enough – but it is very much meant!

Abstract

Despite the ubiquity of the term ‘organisational culture’ in both popular and scholarly management literature, it remains an ambiguous concept, whose practical application is recognised as being far from universally successful. Models which seem to be preferred by practitioners are often criticised as being static or mechanistic, while more dynamic scholarly approaches tend to discount the possibility of deliberately influencing organisations at the cultural level. This dissertation, instead of focussing on culture as some sort of objective or unchanging attribute of an organisation, treats it as a phenomenon emerging from social interaction and individual sense-making. It draws on, and extends, George Kelly’s Personal Construct Psychology to build a framework for understanding the production of meaning by individuals in their social context, and how this contributes to the establishment of the collective boundaries between which cultural effects are observed. This framework is applied to the case of a business school attached to a large university, which is first absorbed into its Commerce Faculty, and then dissolved into a new Department, as the overall university structure is modified. Grounded Theory methodology is used to develop an approach to the description of the cultural interaction and changes that occur, and to generate theory that goes some way to explaining how and why they do. The theory gives insight into how latent cultural distinctions become, or are made, salient and the different means by which divisions may be resolved or superseded, sometimes resulting in conflict. Implications are explored for the management of organisations undergoing change, particularly where this involves merging or restructuring organisational units, and for the training and development of managers who are to be involved in such activities.

At a theoretical level, building on a constructivist and processual ontological base, the dissertation makes contributions to the understanding of behaviour in organisations and draws on pragmatic epistemologies such as those advanced by George Herbert Mead. It brings concepts from psychology, sociology and management disciplines to bear on the problem of cultural interaction, and suggests that integrating them in this way may enhance their value in this context.

By focussing on culture as a phenomenon produced at the interface of collective constructions, the dissertation proposes that it be viewed as fundamentally dynamic – once eloquently described as ‘multiple cross-cutting contexts’ – but, nevertheless, explains how it may be recognised more through its apparent intractability than its

fluidity. Whilst rejecting managerialist approaches which would suggest that culture and, through it, people, can be manipulated at will to reliably produce desired effects, the dissertation suggests ways in which insight into cultural interactions might be generated for those who are participating in them, and options developed to influence these interactions that might otherwise not have been available. It therefore has potentially valuable implications for management practice.

A Note about the Author

I embarked on this research with a practical rather than academic intent, from the standpoint of a long time manager. Of Australian origin, I have spent most of the last 20 years working as a manager in a large Swiss multi-national company, initially in marketing, then in general management positions with both national and international scope. During this time, I have lived in Switzerland, Thailand, Malaysia, Taiwan, and France, worked extensively throughout Asia, Europe and the Middle East, and been involved in, and in some cases responsible for, several acquisition projects and change initiatives. This background, together with the fact that my undergraduate degree was in Linguistics and Anthropology, probably goes some way to explaining my interest in cultural and cross-cultural phenomena, and my belief in the central importance of language and communication.

However, much more importantly, my experience of international management has informed my position in countless ways throughout this work, even where I have not been able to make direct reference to it. Certainly, having lived the ambiguities and frustrations of managing across cultures, and making most of the mistakes and some of the successes possible along the way, has made me rather sceptical of management dogma, whether it originates in academia or the boardroom, a perspective which I am sure can be detected in the following pages. I do not in any sense, however, intend this scepticism to be read as criticism of the countless people with whom I have worked, or the organisations we belonged to. On the contrary, it has been my privilege to work with and learn from colleagues who have displayed the highest standards of professionalism and ethics, in a corporate environment which demanded nothing less.

If I am critical of anything in what follows, it is of judgements made from a safe distance, where time and selective attention have been able to work their clarifying magic. Ultimately, my greatest satisfaction will be if this work helps people who do not have that luxury, who must make the best of mess and mayhem, to make a little more sense out of it.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Motivations and Directions	1
Chapter 2: Looking at Organisations	9
2.1: Directions in ‘Organisational Culture’	9
2.1.1: Weber (1864-1920) and Taylor (1856-1915)	10
2.1.1.1: Rationality and its Consequences	10
2.1.1.2: Scientific Management	13
2.1.2: Human Relations Movement	15
2.1.2.1: Talcott Parsons (1902-1979)	17
2.1.2.2: Chester Barnard (1886-1961)	18
2.1.3: Influences – Anthropology	18
2.1.4: Influences – Psychology	20
2.1.5: Systems Thinking and its Development	21
2.1.6: New Approaches to Rationality	26
2.1.7: Organisational Culture Reinvented	31
2.1.8: Edgar Schein	34
2.1.9: Introducing Dynamism	35
2.1.10: Boundaries and Identity	38
2.2: Themes and Issues	39
2.2.1: Three Fundamental Assumptions	40
2.2.1.1: The Nature of Causes	40
2.2.1.2: The Relationship of the Individual and the Collective	43
2.2.1.3: The Nature of Reality – and how we know it	44
2.2.2: The Writer’s Perspective	45
2.2.2.1: Audience	45
2.2.2.2: Temporal Perspective	46

2.3: Conclusion	47
Chapter 3: Conceptual Foundations and Research Objectives	49
3.1: Fundamental Propositions.....	49
3.2: Choice of Perspective	49
3.3: Personal Construct Theory.....	51
3.4: From Personal to Social.....	56
3.4.1: Intersubjective Production of Meaning.....	57
3.4.2: Social ‘Objects’	59
3.4.3: Emergent Patterns and Autopoiesis	61
3.4.4: Subject, Object and Context	63
3.4.5: Power and Construal	66
3.5: From Culture to Organisational Culture	69
3.6: From Organisational Culture to Organisational Change	74
3.7: Research Objective	79
3.8: Implications.....	81
3.8.1: Nature of Theory	83
3.8.2: Constructs Define Cultures	85
Chapter 4: Overview of Methodology	87
4.1: Grounded Theory.....	87
4.1.1: Background.....	87
4.1.1.1: The Discovery of Grounded Theory	87
4.1.1.2: Strauss and Corbin	90
4.1.2: Choosing Grounded Theory.....	92
4.2: Eliciting Constructs.....	95
4.2.1: Site	97
4.2.2: Interviews.....	98
4.2.3: Analysis.....	101

4.2.3.1: Segmentation.....	101
4.2.3.2: First Stage Coding.....	101
4.2.3.2.1 Conventions	101
4.2.3.3: Second Stage Coding – Relationship Building.....	102
4.2.3.4: Third Stage Coding	103
4.2.3.5: Treatment of non-recorded interviews.....	106
4.2.3.6: Focus: the speaker.....	106
4.2.3.7: Focus: collective processes	107
4.2.4: Consolidation	108
4.2.5: Evolution of the method.....	113
4.3: Generating Theory	117
Chapter 5: Results – the Descriptive Level.....	121
5.1: Research Context	121
5.2: The Story – Structural	123
5.3: The Story – Political	128
5.4: The Story – Constructural.....	136
5.4.1: Regularisation	136
5.4.2: Interregnum.....	142
5.4.3: Integration.....	144
Chapter 6: Explaining the cultural aspects of interaction	148
6.1: Cultural Configurations	149
6.1.1: Nature of the Cultural Configuration.....	150
6.1.2: Types of Cultural Configuration.....	151
6.1.3: Dimensions of Cultural Configurations.....	152
6.1.4: Properties of Cultural Configurations.....	154
6.1.4.1: Power Differences.....	154
6.1.4.2: Centrality.....	154

6.1.4.3: Boundary Definition	154
6.2: Resolution Work	155
6.2.1: Types of Resolution Work	155
6.2.1.1: Reframing	155
6.2.1.2: Judging and Policing	156
6.2.1.3: Modelling and Influencing	156
6.3: Relationships	157
6.3.1: Considerations around Coercion	159
6.3.2: ‘Defining Constructs’	160
6.3.3: Reframing and Centrality	162
6.3.4: Reframing and Ambiguity	164
6.3.5: Reframing and History	165
6.4: Change	165
6.5: Evaluation	170
6.5.1: Descriptive Relevance	171
6.5.2: Goal Relevance	175
6.5.2.1: Intentional/Planned Change	176
6.5.3: Operational Validity and Nonobviousness	179
6.5.4: Timeliness	181
Chapter 7: Three Critiques	184
7.1: Critique 1 – Macro and Micro	184
7.1.1: The Nature of the Cultural Configuration	185
7.1.2: Identity, or the Construction of the Self	187
7.1.3: Concepts of Time	190
7.1.4: Narrative	193
7.1.4.1: Context and Constructs	195
7.1.5: Discourse Theory	198

7.1.5.1: Positioning the Research.....	200
7.1.5.1.1 ‘Theoretical/empirical research’	201
7.1.5.1.2 ‘Discourse as pattern/change’	202
7.1.5.1.3 ‘Monomodal/multimodal’ discourse and ‘Cognition/practice views of discourse’	203
7.1.5.1.4 ‘Critique/pragmatic analysis’	205
7.2: Critique 2 – Structure, Agency and Power	206
7.2.1: Empirical Observations.....	206
7.2.2: Theoretical Connections	210
7.2.2.1: Making Space for Agency	210
7.2.2.2: Relating Agency and Structure	213
7.2.2.3: Power	216
7.3: Two Clarifications	220
7.3.1: Reframing	220
7.3.2: Competing and Conflicting Configurations.....	224
7.4: Critique 3 – Misunderstanding the Nature of Culture.....	227
Chapter 8: Practical Implications.....	233
8.1: Influencing Culture	233
8.1.1: Focus on building the future rather than diagnosing the past	234
8.1.2: Focus on the specifics of the situation, and don’t be driven by general theory.	235
8.1.3: Don’t assume which boundaries matter – find out	237
8.1.4: On reframing.....	238
8.2: Planning and Control	241
8.2.1: Change isn’t planning	241
8.2.2: Alignment has costs	242
8.2.3: Paradoxes and Leadership.....	243

8.2.3.1: Reintroducing leadership (and managers) to organisations	245
8.3: Education and Development	246
8.3.1: Critical Thinking and Managers	248
8.3.2: Experiential learning and coaching.....	249
8.3.3: Closer integration of research and practice.....	250
8.4: A Concrete Example	252

Table of Figures

Figure 1: An Idealized Ecological Model of Autobiographical Remembering (Barclay 1996: 98)	65
Figure 2: Simplified and fictionalised example of individual construct system	105
Figure 3: Schematic representation of shared and distinguishing constructs derived from pilot phase	111
Figure 4: Construct Map - Regularisation	137
Figure 5: Construct Map - Post-integration	144
Figure 6: Typology of Cultural Configurations	153
Figure 7: Model of Cultural Interactions	168