Small Atomic Displacements Recorded in Bismuth by the Optical Reflectivity of Femtosecond Laser-Pulse Excitations
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Subtle atomic motion in a Bi crystal excited by a 35 fs laser pulse has been recovered from the transient reflectivity of an optical probe measured with an accuracy of $10^{-5}$. Analysis shows that a novel effect reported here—an initial negative drop in reflectivity—relates to a delicate coherent displacement of atoms by the polarization force during the pulse. We also show that reflectivity oscillations with a frequency coinciding with that of cold Bi are related to optical phonons excited by the electron temperature gradient through electron-phonon coupling.
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Studies of femtosecond laser-solid interactions where the pulse duration is shorter than the period of phonon oscillations as well as the major relaxation times present an exciting field of research in modern solid-state physics [1–13]. Such a short pulse excites only the electron subsystem while the lattice remains cold during the electron-phonon energy transfer time. There is a quest for information on the atomic motion driven by the excited electrons in nonequilibrium conditions, which would uncover the microscopic nature of material transformation on a femtosecond time scale and on a nanometer spatial scale. Since the early 1980s a number of pump-probe experiments have been performed which measured the transient reflectivity and transmission of solids. The most salient feature of these measurements was reflectivity oscillations at frequencies corresponding to the optical phonon modes of the material. A number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain those oscillations (for example, displacive excitation of coherent phonons [2] and impulsive stimulated Raman scattering [3,4]). However, a general theory explaining excitation of atomic vibrations in transparent and opaque media and their connection to reflectivity oscillations is still absent, to the best of our knowledge.

In this Letter we present experimental and theoretical studies of reflectivity oscillations of an optical probe beam reflected from a single crystal of bismuth excited to temperature exceeding that of the melting. The interconnected processes of electron heating by laser absorption, electron-electron, electron-phonon, and phonon-phonon interactions are considered. We show that atomic vibrations at the “cold” phonon frequency are excited by the electron temperature gradient during the electron-to-phonon energy transfer time. The resulting fast atomic motion affects the transient dielectric function and reflectivity via electron-phonon coupling and polarization. The theory allows recovery of the main microscopic atomic motion from the experiments for times from femtoseconds to tens of picoseconds.

The experiment was carried out in a standard pump-probe geometry by a Ti:sapphire laser system that could deliver 35 fs pulses at a 1 kHz repetition rate at 800 nm wavelength and pulse energy up to 10 mJ. The pump pulse was chopped at 500 Hz, and the probe reflectivity was measured using a digital lock-in amplifier. Our signal-to-noise ratio was $10^3$, allowing us to observe reflectivity changes $\Delta R$ with the accuracy $\Delta R/R \sim 10^{-5}$. The temporal resolution was determined by the probe pulse duration and was 35 fs. The pump and probe pulses were focused to beams $100 \mu m$ in diameter on the sample, which was a single crystal of bismuth (111)-oriented with one side polished to reduce light scattering at the surface.

Figure 1 shows the time-dependent reflectivity for two pump fluencies: 2.7 mJ/cm$^2$ and 6.7 mJ/cm$^2$. These traces contain characteristic features that were observed at all pump fluences in the range 1.5 mJ/cm$^2$ to 15 mJ/cm$^2$. For an instant the reflectivity drops below the unperturbed value before increasing above the initial level reaching a maximum about 300 fs after the excitation pulse. The reflectivity then oscillates with a fluence-dependent frequency and with amplitude, which decays nearly exponentially with time. About 10 ps after the excitation pulse the signal again drops below the unperturbed level and remains constant and below this level for $\approx 20$ ps, before slowly returning to the unperturbed value $\approx 4$ ns after excitation. This indicated that material excitation was fully reversible. The frequency of the reflectivity oscillations for a pump fluence of 2.7 mJ/cm$^2$ coincided with the value of the $A_{1g}$ optical mode of bismuth at 2.9 THz. The frequency decreased with increasing pump fluence (at 6.7 mJ/cm$^2$ it was 2.86 THz) in agreement with previous experiments [6]. A novel feature observed in these experiments was the presence of the initial sharp
drop of the reflectivity, which we could observe only when the time resolution was better than 40 fs.

Reflectivity oscillations are often related solely to the coupling of the laser field to the field associated with atomic vibrations, i.e., the impulsive Raman effect [3– 6,14]. However, there are a variety of other important processes during the laser-matter interaction that affect the material properties. We analyze them below in succession starting from the arrival of the laser pulse.

The laser pulse is shorter than the electron-phonon energy transfer time. Therefore only electrons are heated during the pulse [15]. The electron energy density absorbed in the skin layer, \( E_{el} = C_e n_e T_e = 2AF(t_p)/l_s \), reaches a maximum at the end of the pulse. Here \( A = 1 - R = 0.26 \) [16–18] is the absorption coefficient, \( F(t_p) \) is the laser fluence, \( t_p \) is laser pulse duration, \( n_e \) and \( C_e \) are electron number density and heat capacity, respectively, \( T_e \) is the electron’s temperature, and \( l_s = 2.984 \times 10^{-6} \) cm is the skin depth. The absorbed energy density equals \((0.48–1.19) \times 10^3 \) J/cm\(^3\) (for \( 2.7 \) mJ/cm\(^2\)–6.7 mJ/cm\(^2\)) and is comparable to the enthalpy of melting in equilibrium [17]. The number density of electrons excited to the conduction band by an avalanchelike process is proportional to the electron energy density: \( n_e(t_p) \propto [2AF(t_p)]/(\Delta E(t)) \) (here \( \Delta E \) is the energy required for a transition from the valence to the conduction band). The electron number density at the end of the pump pulse is proportional to the maximum electron temperature \( T_{e,max}(t_p) \). We assume that at \( t > t_p \) the above proportionality also holds, \( \Delta n_e/T_e \propto T_e(t)/T_{e,max} \), because the recombination rate for Bi is unknown, to the best of our knowledge.

Electron-electron collisions quickly lead to the establishment of a local equilibrium. Indeed, the electron-electron collision rate for strongly correlated degenerate electron gas reads [19] \( v_{ee} \propto e_{F} \), \( \omega_{p} \), \( e_{F} \), and \( \omega_{p} \) is plasma frequency). For the conditions of the experiment, \( \omega_{F} = 0.02e_{F} \), and \( \omega_{pe} = 1.3 \times 10^{16} \) s\(^{-1} \) (\( \omega_{pe} \) and \( e_{F} \) are extracted from the optical data [16–18]). Thus an equilibrium distribution for electrons is established in a period, \( t_{ee} = v_{ee}^{-1} \sim 4 \) fs.

Phonon-phonon interactions establish equilibrium in the lattice. The effective phonon-phonon collision rate reads [20,21] \( v_{pp,ph} \propto \omega_{D} T_{L}/T_{D} \), \( \omega_{D} \), \( T_{D} \), and \( T_{L} \) are, respectively, the Debye frequency, the Debye, and lattice temperature). The phonon-phonon relaxation time in our case \( \omega_{D} = 1.56 \times 10^{13} \) s\(^{-1} \); \( T_{D} = 119 \) K lies in the range \( t_{pp,ph} = (v_{pp,ph})^{-1} \sim 8–80 \) fs. The heating time for the lattice is determined by the electron-phonon energy transfer rate [21–23], \( t_{eh} = (\nu_{eh})^{-1} \sim (\hbar \omega_{D} T_{L}^{2}/T_{D}^{2} \omega_{F})^{-1} \).

In the conditions of our experiments \( t_{eh} \) varies in the range 5–20 ps. The time for cooling the skin depth of 29.84 nm is \( t_{cool} = l_{s}/D \) is 133 ps (diffusion coefficient in Bi \( D = 0.067 \) cm\(^2\)/s [17]). It is 4 times longer than the observation period (~25 ps) in our experiments, and therefore heat losses can be neglected.

The above relation between relaxation times is the rationale for using the 2-temperature approximation \( T_{e}(t), T_{L}(t) \) to describe the nonequilibrium laser-matter interaction [22,23]. We obtained \( T_{e}(t), T_{L}(t) \) from numerical solutions of coupled electron and lattice energy equations [22,23] with the material data taken from [16–18]. The maximum electron temperature (average energy per particle) at the end of the pulse is found to be \( T_{e,max} = \frac{[4e_{F}AF(t_p)/\pi_{n}l_{s}l_{t}]}{1/2}, T_{e,max} = 2825 \) K–4450 K for fluences 2.7 mJ/cm\(^2\) and 6.7 mJ/cm\(^2\), respectively. The maximum lattice temperature is reached after equilibration of electron and lattice temperatures after ~20 ps, and is, correspondingly, 701.5 K and 1273 K. The real part of the complex dielectric function can be considered as the sum of a small positive term due to the polarization change and a negative electronic term along with the electronic imaginary part, responsible for absorption, \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{pol} + \varepsilon_{ee} + i\varepsilon_{ei} \). Optical data can be described with good accuracy by the Drude model with only the electronic terms [16–18]. The real and imaginary electronic parts are [24] \( \varepsilon_{e} = 1 - \omega_{p}^{2}/(\omega^{2} + \omega_{pe}^{2}); \varepsilon_{i} = (1 - \varepsilon_{e})\nu_{ph}/\omega_{pe} \). Here \( \omega_{p}^{2} = 4\pi e^{2}n_{e}/m_{e} \), \( \omega_{me} \), and \( \nu_{ph} \) are, respectively, the plasma

FIG. 1 (color online). Comparison between the experimental [solid (dark) line] and theoretical [dashed (red) line] behavior of the transient reflectivity: (a) excitation flux 2.7 mJ/cm\(^2\) and (b) excitation flux 6.7 mJ/cm\(^2\).
frequency square, the laser frequency, and the electron-phonon momentum exchange rate. Then, the change in the electronic dielectric function due to laser excitation reads

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{r,i} = (\partial \varepsilon_{r,i}/\partial n_e) \Delta n_e + (\partial \varepsilon_{r,i}/\partial \nu_{\text{eph}}) \Delta \nu_{\text{eph}}. \tag{1}$$

The electron-phonon momentum exchange rate is proportional to phonon amplitude, $q$ [25]: $\nu_{\text{eph}} = n_{ph} q \nu_e$, in agreement with quantum [21] and kinetic [27] calculations; $\nu_e$ is the electron velocity, $n_{ph} = n_g T_g(t)/T_D$ is the number density of phonons, and $n_g$ is the atomic number density. The perturbation in the coupling rate is written assuming that the change in the electron velocity in comparison to the Fermi velocity is negligible: $\Delta \nu_{\text{eph}}/\nu_{\text{eph}} = \Delta T_L/T_0 + 2\Delta q(t)/q_0$. Here zero denotes initial room temperature and the phonon amplitude, $\Delta q \ll q_0$. The coefficients in (1) are calculated using the unperturbed optical data from [16–18].

The change of dielectric function due to polarization in accordance with the Placzek model is proportional to the atomic displacement induced by the laser field [14,21],

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pol}}^{ii} = 4\pi(\alpha_0^{ii})_0 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_{\text{pol}}} \Delta q(t) \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pol}} \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_0} \approx 0.2 \right) \text{the zero order polarizability, and } d_0 \text{ is the interatomic distance}. \text{ The forces exerted on atoms by the laser are of two types. The polarization force expressed through the above change in the dielectric function as follows [14,21]:}$$

$$f_{k}^{\text{pol}} = \frac{\partial (\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pol}}^{ii})}{\partial \Delta q(t)} \frac{E_k}{E} = 4\pi(\alpha_0^{ii})_0 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_{\text{pol}}} \Delta q(t) \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pol}} \frac{E_k}{E}. \text{ where } E \text{ is the laser electric field. In conditions of the experiments the pump beam was incident along the normal (z direction). It was linearly polarized along the surface (x direction). This force is proportional to the laser intensity } f_{k}^{\text{pol}} = 4\pi \alpha_0^{zz} I/cn_e d_0 \text{ [3,14], and its magnitude is estimated to be } \approx 10^{-7} \text{ dyne. One can estimate the atomic shift, $\Delta q$, under the action of this force during the pulse, $t_p$, $\Delta q = f_{k}^{\text{pol}} t_p^2 / 2M$, where } M \text{ is the atomic mass, and corresponding positive change in the dielectric function } \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pol}}^{xx} \approx 10^{-5}. \text{ The signs of normalization coefficients, } C_i, \text{ are defined by the unperturbed optical properties of the Bi crystal [28]. The first term in (2) is responsible for the polarization during the pulse due to the impulsive Raman effect. The second term emphasizes the increase in reflectivity due to electron excitation from the valence to the conduction band. The third term is responsible for the lattice heating, while the fourth term emphasizes polarization by the thermal force during the pulse and the atomic vibrations afterward. It follows from (2) that during the pulse the first, second, and fourth terms with the effects of opposite sign compete. The polarization components switch on from the very beginning while the number of free carriers, that is, proportional to the electron temperature, grows up during the pulse. Therefore the first negative initial drop in reflectivity should be always present early in the pulse until the second term in (2) becomes dominant. The amplitude of the initial drop is proportional to the laser intensity in agreement with the experiments. The reflectivity reaches its maximum after approximately one phonon period. The reflectivity becomes apparent in the time dependence of the reflectivity. The calculated } \Delta R/R \text{ function is presented in Fig. 1 and compared with the experimental data. There are several distinguishable features in the reflectivity behavior. First, the sharp initial drop in reflectivity was observed experimentally with a 35 fs pump probe, while with lower temporal resolution of 50 fs it was not detected that qualitatively agrees with the theory. Second, after reaching maximum, the reflectivity starts oscillating with the period corresponding to the $A_{1g}$ phonon frequency, 2.9 THz at laser fluence 2.7 mJ/cm², and 2.86 THz at 6.7 mJ/cm².
that is the mode softening observed before [6]. The damping of the oscillations, with $\gamma = 3.4 \times 10^{11}$ s$^{-1}$, results from multiphonon processes (anharmonicity) that finally terminate harmonic vibrations due to lattice temperature increase up to the melting point. Note that the duration of the period where harmonic oscillations are discernible decreases with the increase in the pump fluence in qualitative agreement with the above considerations. Third, the average value of the oscillating reflectivity drops below the unperturbed level after approximately 10 ps due to free carrier recombination and electron-to-lattice temperature equilibration. Theoretical predictions based on Eq. (2) fit well to experiments during the first 25 ps. The slight discrepancy for a longer period most probably relates to the heat diffusion from the skin layer, which was not included in the calculations.

To conclude, we demonstrated that the reflectivity oscillations measured with high temporal resolution allow recovery of the subtleties of atomic motion in a fs-laser excited solid during the period from several femtoseconds to tens of picoseconds. Atomic motion begins with a slight coherent displacement of atoms that produces a small drop in reflectivity. An impulse due to electron excitation by the laser pulse excites harmonic oscillations of the practically cold lattice, and these are imprinted into the reflectivity through electron-phonon coupling. The atomic motion becomes anharmonic at a stage when lattice temperature approaches to that for equilibrium melting and the reflectivity oscillations disappear. General relations between forces exerted on atoms during laser-matter interaction, atomic motion, and optical parameters have been established.
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[25] Electron-phonon coupling represents the interaction between the electron charge, $e$, and the dipole electric field of polarized charge created by lattice vibrations, $E_{ph} \approx eq/d_0$ [26]. The electron-phonon momentum exchange rate expressions through the energy of interaction, $\dot{n}_{e,ph} = e^2 q^2 / d_0^3$, in agreement with the quantum approach [21]: $\nu_{e,ph} = \nu_{ph} / \hbar = n_{ph} q^2 / e$, $\nu_e = e^2 / \hbar$ is the electron velocity and $n_{ph} \approx d_0^{-3}$ is the phonon’s density.


[28] $C_1 \sim A_1 = \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \epsilon} \right)_0 < 0$; $C_2 \sim A_2 = (\epsilon_{r,m} - 1) \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \epsilon_{r,m}} \right)_0 + \epsilon_{r,m} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \epsilon_{r,m}} \right)_0 > 0$; $C_3, C_4 \sim A_3 = \frac{2\epsilon_{r,m} \rho_{r,m} (\partial \rho / \partial \epsilon_{r,m})_0 + \epsilon_{r,m} \rho_{r,m}}{\epsilon_{r,m} (\partial \rho / \partial \epsilon_{r,m})_0} \times \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \epsilon_{r,m}} \right)_0 < 0$. Here $\nu$ is electron-phonon momentum exchange rate and $\omega$ is the laser frequency.