

Towards a classification of modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

David Ishii Smyth

Received: 19 August 2011 / Accepted: 18 July 2012 / Published online: 7 August 2012
© Springer-Verlag 2012

Abstract The moduli space of smooth curves admits a beautiful compactification $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ by the moduli space of stable curves. In this paper, we undertake a systematic classification of alternate modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ be the (non-separated) moduli stack of all n -pointed reduced, connected, complete, one-dimensional schemes of arithmetic genus g . When $g = 0$, $\mathcal{U}_{0,n}$ is irreducible and we classify all open proper substacks of $\mathcal{U}_{0,n}$. When $g \geq 1$, $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ may not be irreducible, but there is a unique irreducible component $\mathcal{V}_{g,n} \subset \mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ containing $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. We classify open proper substacks of $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ satisfying a certain stability condition.

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 14H10 · Secondary 14H20

Contents

1	Introduction	460
1.1	Statement of main result	460
1.2	Consequences of main result	466
1.3	Outline of proof	472
2	Preliminaries on \mathcal{Z} -stability	475
2.1	Extending families of prestable curves	475
2.2	Contractions of curves	478
2.3	\mathcal{Z} -stability	481
3	Construction of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$	483

D.I. Smyth (✉)
Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
e-mail: dsmlyth@math.harvard.edu

3.1 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is open in $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ 484

3.2 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ 486

4 Classification of stable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ 488

Acknowledgements 494

Appendix A: Stable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3, \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$. . . 494

Appendix B: The moduli stack of (all) curves (by Jack Hall) 499

References 502

1 Introduction

Notation An n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a reduced, connected, complete, one-dimensional scheme of finite-type over an algebraically closed field, together with a collection of n points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in C$. The marked points need not be smooth nor distinct. A morphism of n -pointed curves always sends marked points to marked points. An n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is *smooth* if C is smooth and the points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are distinct. An n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is *nodal* if the only singularities of C are ordinary nodes and the points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are smooth and distinct. We say that a point on C is *distinguished* if it is marked or singular, and that a point on the normalization \tilde{C} is *distinguished* if it lies above a distinguished point of C . An n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is *prestable* (resp. *presemistable*) if every rational component of the normalization \tilde{C} has at least three (resp. two) distinguished points, and every elliptic component of the normalization \tilde{C} has at least one (resp. one) distinguished point. A curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is *stable* (resp. *semistable*) if $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is nodal and prestable (resp. presemistable). All these definitions extend to general bases in the standard way. Finally, Δ will always denote the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with generic point η and closed point 0 .

1.1 Statement of main result

One of the most beautiful and influential theorems in modern algebraic geometry is the construction of a modular compactification $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ for the moduli space of smooth curves [7]. The key point in this construction is the identification of a suitable class of singular curves, namely *Deligne-Mumford stable curves*, with the property that every incomplete one-parameter family of smooth curves has a unique limit contained in this class. While the class of stable curves gives a natural modular compactification of the space of smooth curves, it is not unique in this respect. There exist two alternate compactifications in the literature, the moduli space of pseudostable curves [24], in which cusps arise, and the moduli space of weighted pointed curves, in which sections with small weight are allowed to collide [12]. In light of these constructions, it is natural to ask

Problem Can we classify all possible stability conditions for curves, i.e. classes of singular marked curves which are deformation-open and satisfy the property that any one-parameter family of smooth curves contains a unique limit contained in that class?

Stable, pseudostable, and weighted stable curves all have the property that every rational component of the normalization has at least three distinguished points, and every elliptic component of the normalization has at least one distinguished point. In general, we say that an n -pointed curve with this property is *prestable*. The main result of this paper classifies stability conditions on prestable curves, i.e. we give a simple combinatorial description of all deformation-open classes of prestable curves with the unique limit property.

Stability conditions on curves correspond to open proper substacks of the moduli stack of all curves. To make this precise, let $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ be the functor from schemes to groupoids defined by

$$\mathcal{U}_{g,n}(T) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{flat, proper, finitely-presented morphisms } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \\ \text{with } n \text{ sections } \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n, \text{ and connected, reduced,} \\ \text{one-dimensional geometric fibers} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that we always allow the total space \mathcal{C} of a family to be an algebraic space. In Appendix B, it is shown that $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ is an algebraic stack, locally of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \subset \mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ denote the open irreducible substack corresponding to smooth curves, and let $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ be the unique irreducible component of $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ containing $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Note that the points of $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ correspond to smoothable curves. As we are interested in irreducible compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, we work exclusively in $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$.

Definition 1.1 A *modular compactification* of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is an open substack $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$, such that \mathcal{X} is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.

The long-term goal of this project is to classify all modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. This paper takes the first step by classifying all *stable* modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

Definition 1.2 A modular compactification $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is *stable* (resp. *semi-stable*) if every geometric point $[C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n] \in \mathcal{X}$ is prestable (resp. pre-semistable).

Remark 1.3 It is by no means obvious that there should exist strictly semistable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. After all, if a nodal curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ contains a smooth rational subcurve with only two distinguished points, then $\text{Aut}(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is not proper; in particular, $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ cannot be contained in any proper substack of $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$. A similar argument (see

Sect. 1.2.3) shows that every modular compactification of $M_{0,n}$ is stable, so the methods of this paper give a classification of *all* modular compactifications of $M_{0,n}$. By contrast, the author has constructed a sequence of strictly semistable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ [25, 26]. Thus, for $g \geq 1$, our classification of stable compactifications does not tell the whole story.

Remark 1.4 If $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a prestable curve over an algebraically closed field k , then the group scheme $\text{Aut}_k(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ has finitely many k -points. It follows that a stable modular compactification \mathcal{X} has quasi-finite diagonal and therefore admits an irreducible coarse moduli space X , which gives a proper (though not necessarily projective) birational model of $M_{g,n}$ [17].

Now let us describe the combinatorial data that goes into the construction of a stable modular compactification. If $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a Deligne-Mumford stable curve, we may associate to $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ its *dual graph* G . The vertices of G correspond to the irreducible components of C , the edges correspond to the nodes of C , and each vertex is labeled by the arithmetic genus of the corresponding component as well as the marked points supported on that component. The dual graph encodes the topological type of $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, and for any fixed g, n , there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of dual graphs of n -pointed stable curves of genus g .


We write $G \rightsquigarrow G'$ if there exists a stable curve $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with algebraically closed residue field, such that the geometric generic fiber has dual graph G and the special fiber has dual graph G' . If v is a vertex of G , and we have $G \rightsquigarrow G'$, we say that $G \rightsquigarrow G'$ induces $v \rightsquigarrow v'_1 \cup \cdots \cup v'_k$ to indicate that the limit of the irreducible component corresponding to v is the union of the irreducible components corresponding to v'_1, \dots, v'_k (see Fig. 1). More precisely, if $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a one-parameter family witnessing the specialization $G \rightsquigarrow G'$, then (possibly after a finite base-change) we may identify the irreducible components of the geometric generic fiber with the irreducible components of \mathcal{C} . In particular, v corresponds to an irreducible component $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$, and the limit of v is simply the collection of irreducible components in the special fiber of \mathcal{C}_1 . Now we come to the key definition of this paper.

Definition 1.5 (Extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$) Let G_1, \dots, G_N be an enumeration of dual graphs of n -pointed stable curves of genus g , up to isomorphism, and consider an assignment

$$G_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(G_i) \subset G_i, \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(G_i)$ is a subset of the vertices of G_i . We say that \mathcal{Z} is an *extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$* if it satisfies the following three axioms.

Fig. 1 The specialization of dual graphs induced by a one-parameter specialization of stable curves. Note that $v_1 \rightsquigarrow v'_1 \cup v'_2$ and $v_2 \rightsquigarrow v'_3 \cup v'_4$



- (1) For any dual graph G , $\mathcal{Z}(G) \neq G$.
- (2) For any dual graph G , $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ is invariant under $\text{Aut}(G)$.
- (3) For every specialization $G \rightsquigarrow G'$, inducing $v \rightsquigarrow v'_1 \cup \dots \cup v'_k$, we have

$$v \in \mathcal{Z}(G) \iff v'_1, \dots, v'_k \in \mathcal{Z}(G').$$

Remark 1.6 Axiom 2 in Definition 1.5 implies that an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} determines, for each stable curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, a certain subcurve $\mathcal{Z}(C) \subset C$. Indeed, we may choose an isomorphism of the dual graph of $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ with G_i for some i , and then define $\mathcal{Z}(C)$ to be the collection of irreducible components of C corresponding to $\mathcal{Z}(G_i)$ under this isomorphism. By Axiom 2, $\mathcal{Z}(C)$ does not depend on the choice of isomorphism.

Given an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} , we say that a curve is \mathcal{Z} -stable if it can be obtained from a Deligne-Mumford stable curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ by replacing each connected component of $\mathcal{Z}(C) \subset C$ by an isolated curve singularity whose contribution to the arithmetic genus is the same as the subcurve it replaces. We make this precise in Definitions 1.7 and 1.8 below. Note that if C is any curve and $Z \subset C$ is a proper subcurve, we let $Z^c := \overline{C} \setminus \overline{Z}$ denote the complement of Z .

Definition 1.7 (Genus of a curve singularity) Let $p \in C$ be a point on a curve, and let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ denote the normalization of C at p . The δ -invariant $\delta(p)$ and the number of branches $m(p)$ are defined by following formulae:

$$\delta(p) := \dim_k(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} / \mathcal{O}_C),$$

$$m(p) := |\pi^{-1}(p)|,$$

and we define the *genus* $g(p)$ by

$$g(p) := \delta(p) - m(p) + 1.$$

We say that a point $p \in C$ has *type* (g, m) if $g(p) = g$ and $m(p) = m$.

Definition 1.8 (*\mathcal{Z} -stability*) A smoothable n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is *\mathcal{Z} -stable* if there exists a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ and a morphism $\phi : (C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ satisfying

- (1) ϕ is surjective with connected fibers.
- (2) ϕ maps $C^s - \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$ isomorphically onto its image.
- (3) If Z_1, \dots, Z_k are the connected components of $\mathcal{Z}(C^s)$, then $\phi(Z_i) \in C$ is a single point satisfying $g(\phi(Z_i)) = p_a(Z_i)$ and $m(\phi(Z_i)) = |Z_i \cap Z_i^c|$.

For any extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} , we define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ to be the set of points corresponding to \mathcal{Z} -stable curves. The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.9 (Classification of stable modular compactifications)

- (1) If \mathcal{Z} is an extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is a stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is a stable modular compactification, then $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ for some extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} .

Proof See Theorems 3.2 and 4.1. □

Since the definition of an extremal assignment is purely combinatorial, one can (in principal) write down all extremal assignments over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ for any fixed g and n . Thus, we obtain a complete classification of the collection of stable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Before proceeding, let us consider some examples of extremal assignments, and describe the corresponding stability conditions.

Example 1.10 (Destabilizing elliptic tails) Consider the assignment defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \{Z \subset C \mid p_a(Z) = 1, |Z \cap Z^c| = 1, Z \text{ is unmarked}\}.$$

If we call an subcurve $Z \subset C$ satisfying $p_a(Z) = 1$ and $|Z \cap Z^c| = 1$ an *elliptic tail*, we may say that the assignment \mathcal{Z} is defined by picking out all unmarked elliptic tails of $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$. This defines an extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ provided that $g > 2$ or $n > 1$. The case $(g, n) = (2, 0)$ is forbidden because an unmarked genus two curve may be the union of two elliptic tails.

Assuming $(g, n) \neq (2, 0)$, Axioms 1 and 2 are obvious. For Axiom 3, simply note that if $v \in G$ corresponds to an elliptic tail, then any specialization of dual graphs $G \rightsquigarrow G'$ necessarily induces a specialization $v \rightsquigarrow v'$, where $v' \in G'$ is also an elliptic tail. Thus, $v \in \mathcal{Z}(G) \iff v' \in \mathcal{Z}(G')$ as required.

Now let us consider the associated \mathcal{Z} -stability condition. By definition, an n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable if there exists a map from a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ which is an isomorphism away from the locus of elliptic tails, and contracts each elliptic tail of C^s to a singularity of type $(1, 1)$. It is elementary to check that the unique curve singularity of type $(1, 1)$ is the cusp $(y^2 - x^3)$. Thus, an n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable for this assignment iff it satisfies:

- (1) C has only nodes and cusps as singularities.
- (2) The marked points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are smooth and distinct.
- (3) Each rational component of \tilde{C} has at least three distinguished points.
- (4) If $E \subset C$ is an unmarked arithmetic genus one subcurve, $|E \cap E^c| \geq 2$.

When $n = 0$, this is precisely the definition of *pseudostability* introduced in [24] and further studied in [13].

Example 1.11 (Destabilizing rational tails) Consider the assignment defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}(C) = \{Z \subset C \mid p_a(Z) = 0, |Z \cap Z^c| = 1, |\{p_i \in Z\}| \leq k\}.$$

If we call a subcurve $Z \subset C$ satisfying $p_a(Z) = 0$ and $|Z \cap Z^c| = 1$ a *rational tail*, we may say that the assignment \mathcal{Z} is defined by picking out all rational tails of $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ with $\leq k$ marked points. This defines an extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ provided that $g > 0$ or $n > 2k$. The case $g = 0$ and $n \leq 2k$ is forbidden because such stable curves may be the union of two rational tails with $\leq k$ marked points. If $g > 0$ or $n > 2k$, Axioms 1 and 2 are easily verified. Axiom 3 is also obvious, bearing in mind that we do not require a rational tail $Z \subset C$ to be irreducible.

Now let us consider the associated \mathcal{Z} -stability condition. An n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable if there exists a map from a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ which is an isomorphism away from the locus of rational tails with $\leq k$ points, and contracts each such rational tail to a point of type $(0,1)$ on C . It follows directly from the definition that the unique ‘singularity’ of type $(0,1)$ is a smooth point. Thus, an n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable for this assignment iff it satisfies:

- (1) C has only nodes as singularities.
- (2) The marked points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are smooth, and up to k points may coincide.
- (3) Each rational component of \tilde{C} has at least three distinguished points.
- (4) If $Z \subset C$ is a rational tail, then $|\{p_i : p_i \in Z\}| > k$.

This is equivalent to the definition of \mathcal{A} -stability introduced in [12] with symmetric weights $\mathcal{A} = \{1/k, \dots, 1/k\}$.

Example 1.12 (Destabilizing all unmarked components) Consider the assignment defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \{Z \subset C \mid Z \text{ is unmarked}\}.$$

As long as $n \geq 1$, this assignment clearly satisfies Axioms 1–3 of Definition 1.5. The corresponding \mathcal{Z} -stable curves have all manner of exotic singularities. In fact, for any pair of integers (h, m) , there exists $g \gg 0$ such that n -pointed stable curves of genus g contain unmarked subcurves $Z \subset C$ satisfying $p_a(Z) = h$ and $|Z \cap Z^c| = m$. It follows that every smoothable curve singularity of type (h, m) appears on a \mathcal{Z} -stable curve for $g \gg 0$. The corresponding moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ have no counterpart in the existing literature.

1.2 Consequences of main result

In this section, we describe several significant consequences of Theorem 1.9. First, we will show that the number of extremal assignments over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is an increasing function of both g and n by explaining how π -nef line-bundles on the universal curve $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ induce extremal assignments. We deduce the existence of many new stability conditions which have never been described in the literature. Next, we explain why \mathcal{Z} -stability nevertheless fails to give an entirely satisfactory theory of stability conditions for curves. We will see, for example, that there is no \mathcal{Z} -stability condition picking out only curves with nodes ($y^2 = x^2$), cusps ($y^2 = x^3$), and tacnodes ($y^2 = x^4$), and indicate how a systematic study of semistable compactifications might remedy this deficiency. Finally, we will show that \mathcal{Z} -stability *does* give a satisfactory theory of stability conditions in the case $g = 0$. We will see that every modular compactification of $M_{0,n}$ must be stable, so our result actually gives a complete classification of modular compactifications of $M_{0,n}$.

1.2.1 Extremal assignments from π -nef line-bundles

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ denote the moduli stack of stable curves over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ denote the universal curve. The following lemma shows that numerically-nontrivial π -nef line-bundles on \mathcal{C} induce extremal assignments. (In this context, to say that \mathcal{L} is π -nef and numerically-nontrivial simply means that \mathcal{L} has non-negative degree on every irreducible component of every fiber of π and positive degree on the generic fiber.)

Lemma 1.13 *Let \mathcal{L} be a π -nef, numerically non-trivial line-bundle on \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{L} induces an extremal assignment by setting:*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n) := \{Z \subset \mathcal{C} \mid \deg(\mathcal{L}|_Z) = 0\},$$

for each stable curve $[C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Proof We must check that the assignment \mathcal{Z} satisfies Axioms 1–3 in Definition 1.5. For Axiom 1, observe that since \mathcal{L} is π -nef and numerically non-trivial, \mathcal{L} must have positive degree on some irreducible component of each geometric fiber of π . For Axiom 2, recall that $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ is generated by line-bundles whose degree on any irreducible component of a fiber of π depends only on the dual graph of the fiber [1]. For Axiom 3, consider any specialization $G \rightsquigarrow G'$ induced by a one-parameter family of stable curves $(\mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$. We have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^s & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \end{array}$$

and after a finite base-change, we may assume that the irreducible components of \mathcal{C}^s are in bijective correspondence with the irreducible components of the geometric generic fiber, i.e. we have $\mathcal{C}^s \simeq \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_k$ with each $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \Delta$ having smooth generic fiber. Now $f^*\mathcal{L}$ has degree zero on the generic fiber of $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \Delta$ iff it has degree zero on every irreducible component of the special fiber. This is precisely the statement of Axiom 3. □

Translated into the language of higher-dimensional geometry, this lemma says that every face of the relative cone of curves $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ gives rise to a stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. In Appendix A, we give an explicit definition of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ as a closed polyhedral cone in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, and describe the stability conditions corresponding to each extremal face in the cases $(g, n) = (2, 0), (3, 0), (2, 1)$. In general, since $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ is a full polyhedral cone in a vector space of dimension $\rho(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, it is clear that the number of extremal faces of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ (and hence the number of extremal assignments over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$) is a strictly increasing function of both g and n .

1.2.2 Singularities arising in stable compactifications

While Lemma 1.13 shows that there exist many stability conditions for curves, it does not provide much insight into the following natural question:

Given a deformation-open class of curve singularities, is there a stability condition which picks out curves with precisely this class of singularities? We have already seen that the answer is yes if the class consists of nodes or nodes and cusps. In general, however, one cannot always expect an affirmative answer using only stability conditions on prestable curves. Indeed, Corollaries 1.14 and 1.15 below show that the collection of stable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is severely constrained by two features: the necessity of compactifying the moduli of attaching data of a singularity (a local obstruction) and the presence of symmetry in dual graphs of stable curves (a global obstruction).

Let us say that a given curve singularity *arises in a modular compactification* \mathcal{X} if \mathcal{X} contains a geometric point $[C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n] \in \mathcal{X}$ such that C possesses this singularity.

Corollary 1.14 *Let \mathcal{X} be a stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. If one singularity of type (h, m) arises in \mathcal{X} , then every smoothable singularity of type (h, m) arises in \mathcal{X} .*

Proof We have $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ for some extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} . If a singularity of type (h, m) appears on some \mathcal{Z} -stable curve, there exists a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ and a connected component $Z \subset \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$ such that $p_a(Z) = h$ and $|Z \cap Z^c| = m$. Since the definition of \mathcal{Z} -stability allows Z to be replaced by any smoothable singularity of type (h, m) , it follows that *all* smoothable singularities of type (h, m) arise in \mathcal{X} . □

This corollary precludes the existence of a stability condition on prestable curves picking out precisely nodes, cusps, and tacnodes. Indeed, one easily checks that the spatial singularity obtained by passing a smooth branch transversely through the tangent plane of a cusp, i.e.

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,p} \simeq k\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket / ((x, y) \cap (z, y^2 - x^3)),$$

has the same genus (1) and number of branches (2) as the tacnode. Thus, any stability condition on prestable curves which allows tacnodes must allow this spatial singularity as well.

The geometric phenomenon responsible for this implication is the existence of moduli of ‘attaching data’ for a tacnode. Unlike nodes or cusps, the isomorphism class of a tacnodal curve C is not uniquely determined by its pointed normalization (\tilde{C}, q_1, q_2) ; one must also specify an element $\lambda \in \text{Isom}(T_{q_1}\tilde{C}, T_{q_2}\tilde{C}) \simeq k^*$. As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ or ∞ , the tacnodal curve degenerates into a cusp with a transverse branch (see Fig. 2). Note, however, that in a semistable compactification, one may compactify moduli of attaching data by sprouting additional rational components (see Fig. 2). Indeed, this




Fig. 2 Two methods for compactifying the k^* -moduli of attaching data of the tacnode. In a stable modular compactification, one must compactify by degenerating to a cusp with a transverse branch. In a semistable modular compactification, one may compactify by allowing the normalization to sprout additional rational components

alternate method of compactification is used in [25, 26] to construct strictly semistable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ for every deformation-open class of genus-one Gorenstein singularities.

Since one cannot use stability conditions on prestable curves to pick out arbitrary deformation-open classes of singularities, let us consider the weaker question: Does every curve singularity appear on some stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ for suitable g and n ? Surprisingly, the answer is ‘yes’ if $n = 1$, but ‘no’ if $n = 0$. In fact, the following corollary shows that a ramphoid cusp ($y^2 = x^5$) can never arise in a stable modular compactification of \mathcal{M}_g .

Corollary 1.15

- (1) Every smoothable curve singularity arises in some stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ for $g \gg 0$.
- (2) No singularity of genus ≥ 2 arises in any stable modular compactification of \mathcal{M}_g .

Proof For (1), see Example 1.12. For (2), it suffices to prove that an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ can never pick out a genus two subcurve. If C^s is an unmarked stable curve and $Z \subset \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$ is a connected component of genus two, we obtain a contradiction as follows: first, specialize C^s so that Z splits off an elliptic bridge, i.e. an arithmetic genus one component meeting the rest of the curve at two points. Second, smooth all nodes external to the elliptic bridge. Finally, specialize to a ring of elliptic bridges (see Fig. 3). Applying Axiom 3 of Definition 1.5 to this sequence of specializations, we conclude that if D^s is a ring of $g - 1$ elliptic bridges, then $\mathcal{Z}(D^s) \subset D^s$ is non-empty. If G is the dual graph of D^s , then $\text{Aut}(G)$ acts transitively on the vertices of G , so Axiom 2 implies that $\mathcal{Z}(C^s) = C^s$. But this contradicts Axiom 1. We conclude that an extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ can never pick out a genus two subcurve. □




Fig. 3 Sequence of specializations showing that any extremal assignment which picks out a genus two tail must also pick out an elliptic bridge within a ring of elliptic bridges

1.2.3 Modular compactifications of $M_{0,n}$

In the previous section, we saw that \mathcal{Z} -stability does not give an entirely satisfactory theory of stability conditions for curves. In this section, we will see that \mathcal{Z} -stability *does* give a satisfactory theory of stability conditions when $g = 0$. In particular, we will see that every modular compactification of $M_{0,n}$ is automatically stable, so Theorem 1.9 actually classifies *all* modular compactifications of $M_{0,n}$.

The starting point of our analysis is the following classification of genus zero singularities. It turns out that any genus zero singularity with m branches is analytically isomorphic to the union of m coordinate axes in \mathbb{A}^m , and we call such singularities *rational m -fold points*.

Definition 1.16 (Rational m -fold point) Let C be a curve over an algebraically closed field k . We say that $p \in C$ is a *rational m -fold point* if

$$\hat{O}_{C,p} \simeq k[[x_1, \dots, x_m]] / (x_i x_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq m).$$

Lemma 1.17

- (1) If $p \in C$ is a singularity with genus zero and m branches, then p is a rational m -fold point.
- (2) The rational m -fold point is smoothable.

Proof (1) is elementary. For (2), one can realize a smoothing of the rational m -fold point by taking a pencil of hyperplane sections of the cone over the rational normal curve of degree m . Both statements are proved in [27]. □

Corollary 1.18 Every reduced connected curve of arithmetic genus zero is smoothable, i.e. $\mathcal{U}_{0,n} = \mathcal{V}_{0,n}$.

Proof A complete reduced curve is smoothable iff its singularities are smoothable (I.6.10, [18]). The only singularities on a reduced curve of arithmetic genus zero curve are rational m -fold points, so all such curves are smoothable. \square

Next, we study automorphisms of genus zero singular curves. If $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -pointed curve of arithmetic genus zero over an algebraically closed field k , it is convenient to define

$$\text{Aut}_k^0(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n) \subset \text{Aut}_k(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

to be the subgroup of the automorphisms which fix each component and each singular point of C . Then we have

Lemma 1.19 *Let $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an n -pointed curve of arithmetic genus zero, and let $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of C . Let $\{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the points of \tilde{C} lying above $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and let $\{\tilde{q}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the points lying above the singular locus of C , and consider $(\tilde{C}, \{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\tilde{q}_i\}_{i=1}^m)$ as an $n + m$ pointed curve. Then the natural map*

$$\text{Aut}_k^0(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k^0(\tilde{C}, \{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\tilde{q}_i\}_{i=1}^m)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof Clearly, an automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}_k^0(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ induces an automorphism $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Aut}_k^0(\tilde{C}, \{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\tilde{q}_i\}_{i=1}^m)$. Conversely, an automorphism $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Aut}_k^0(\tilde{C}, \{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{\tilde{q}_i\}_{i=1}^m)$ descends to an automorphism of $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ iff the natural map

$$\tilde{\phi}^* : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$$

preserves the subsheaf of functions pulled-back from C , i.e. if $\phi^*(\pi^* \mathcal{O}_C) = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_C$. Since the only singularities of C are rational m -fold points, $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_C \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ is simply the k -subalgebra generated by all functions vanishing at $\{\tilde{q}_i\}_{i=1}^m$, and this is clearly preserved. \square

Corollary 1.20 *Every modular compactification of $M_{0,n}$ is stable.*

Proof Let \mathcal{X} be a modular compactification of $M_{0,n}$, and let $[C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n] \in \mathcal{X}$ be a geometric point over an algebraically closed field k . Since \mathcal{X} is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_k(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ must be proper over k .

If \tilde{C} contains an irreducible component with one or two distinguished points, then Lemma 1.19 implies $\text{Aut}_k^0(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ contains a factor which

is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1, \infty)$ or $\text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1, 0, \infty)$, neither of which is proper. We conclude that each irreducible component of \tilde{C} must have at least three distinguished points. \square

In light of these remarks, we obtain the following corollary of our main result.

Theorem 1.21

- (1) If $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{U}_{0,n}$ is any open proper substack, then $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ for some extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} .
- (2) \mathcal{X} is an algebraic space.

Proof (1) follows from Corollary 1.20, Corollary 1.18, and Theorem 1.9. For (2), it suffices to show that if $[C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is any geometric point, then $\text{Aut}_k(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is trivial. Since every component of \tilde{C} has at least three distinguished points, we have $\text{Aut}_k^0(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n) = \{0\}$, so we only need to see that every automorphism of a prestable genus zero curve fixes the irreducible components and singular points. This is an elementary combinatorial consequence of the fact that every component of $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ has at least three distinguished points. \square

1.3 Outline of proof

In this section, we give a detailed outline of the proof of Theorem 1.9, which occupies Sects. 2–4 of this paper.

In Sect. 2, we establish several fundamental lemmas, which are used repeatedly throughout. In Sect. 2.1, we prove that a birational map between two generically-smooth families of curves over a normal base is automatically Stein (Lemma 2.1). We also prove that, after an alteration of the base, one can birationally dominate any family of prestable curves by a family of stable curves (Lemma 2.2). Taken together, these lemmas allow us to analyze deformations and specializations of prestable curves by studying the deformations and specializations of the stable curves lying over them.


In Sect. 2.2, we define a *contraction morphism of curves* to be a surjective morphism with connected fibers, which contracts subcurves of genus g to singularities of genus g . The motivation for this definition is Lemma 2.7, which says that a birational contraction $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ between two irreducible families of generically smooth curves induces a contraction of curves on each geometric fiber. Finally, in Sect. 2.3, we define the stability condition associated to an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} : An n -pointed curve is \mathcal{Z} -stable if there exists a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ and a contraction $\phi : (C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ with $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$. An important consequence of the axioms for an extremal

assignment is that the existence of a single contraction $\phi : (C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ with $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$ implies that $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$ for *any* contraction from a stable curve (Corollary 2.10).

In Sect. 3.1, we prove that the locus of \mathcal{Z} -stable curves is open in $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$, the main component of the moduli stack of all curves. Given a generically-smooth family of curves $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ over an irreducible base T , we must show that the set

$$S := \{t \in T \mid (\mathcal{C}_t, \{\sigma_i(\bar{t})\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is } \mathcal{Z}\text{-stable}\}$$

is open in T . It is sufficient to prove that $i^{-1}(S)$ is open after any proper surjective base-change $i : \tilde{T} \rightarrow T$. Thus, using the results of Sect. 2.1, we may assume there exists a stable curve over T birationally dominating \mathcal{C} , i.e. we have a diagram



By Sect. 2.2, the fibers of ϕ are contractions of curves. Thus, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable if and only if $\text{Exc}(\phi_t) = \mathcal{Z}(C_t^s)$. Thus, it suffices to prove that


$$\{t \in T \mid \text{Exc}(\phi_t) = \mathcal{Z}(C_t^s)\}$$

is open in T . This is an immediate consequence of Axiom 3 in the definition of an extremal assignment.

In Sect. 3.2, we prove that \mathcal{Z} -stable curves satisfy the unique limit property. To prove that \mathcal{Z} -stable limits exist, we use the classical stable reduction theorem and Artin’s criterion for the contractibility of 1-cycles on a surface. Given a family of smooth curves over the function field of a discrete valuation ring, we may complete it to a stable curve $\mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \Delta$. Using Artin’s criterion, we construct a birational morphism $\phi : \mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$, where $C^s \subset \mathcal{C}^s$ is the special fiber. The restriction of ϕ to the special fiber induces a contraction of curves $\phi_0 : C^s \rightarrow C$ with $\text{Exc}(\phi_0) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$. Thus, C is the desired \mathcal{Z} -stable limit.


To prove that \mathcal{Z} -stable limits are unique, we show that if $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \Delta$ are two \mathcal{Z} -stable families with smooth isomorphic generic fiber, then there exists (after a finite base change) a stable curve $\mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \Delta$ and birational

maps



Since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 induce contraction morphisms on the special fiber, the hypothesis that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are \mathcal{Z} -stable implies that $\text{Exc}(\phi_1) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}^s)$ and $\text{Exc}(\phi_2) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}^s)$. In particular, $\text{Exc}(\phi_1) = \text{Exc}(\phi_2)$. Since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are Stein morphisms, we conclude that the rational map $\mathcal{C}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ extends to an isomorphism.

Section 4 is devoted to the proof that any stable modular compactification $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ takes the form $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ for some extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Given a stable modular compactification $\mathcal{X} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$, Lemma 4.2 produces a diagram



satisfying

- (0) $\mathcal{U} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is an open dense substack,
- (1) T is a normal scheme,
- (2) p and q are proper, dominant, generically étale morphisms,
- (3) π^s and π are the families induced by p and q respectively,
- (4) ϕ is a birational morphism.

For any graph G , let T_G be the locally-closed subscheme over which the fibers of π^s have dual graph isomorphic to G . In addition, for any $t \in T$, let G_t denote the dual graph of the fiber $(\pi^s)^{-1}(t)$. We wish to associate to \mathcal{X} an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} by setting

$$\mathcal{Z}(G) := i(\text{Exc}(\phi_t)) \subset G,$$

for some choice of $t \in T_G$ and some choice of isomorphism $i : G_t \simeq G$. The key point is to show that the subgraph $\mathcal{Z}(G) \subset G$ does not depend on

these choices (Proposition 4.3). We then show that \mathcal{Z} satisfies Axioms 1–3 in Definition 1.5. Axiom 1 is an immediate consequence of the fact that ϕ cannot contract an entire fiber of π^s . Axiom 2 is forced by the separatedness of \mathcal{X} . For Axiom 3, consider a one-parameter family of stable curves $(\mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ inducing a specialization of dual graphs $G \rightsquigarrow G'$. Since $T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is proper, we may lift the natural map $\Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ to T , and consider the induced birational morphism of families over Δ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^s \times_T \Delta & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \mathcal{C} \times_T \Delta \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \Delta &
 \end{array}$$

After a finite base-change, we may assume that $\mathcal{C}^s \times_T \Delta = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_m$, where each $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \Delta$ is a flat family of curves with smooth generic fiber, and Axiom 3 follows from the fact that $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{\bar{\eta}} \in \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\bar{\eta}}) \iff (\mathcal{C}_i)_0 \in \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_0)$.

Once we have established that \mathcal{Z} is a well-defined extremal assignment, the fact that ϕ induces a contraction of curves over each geometric point $t \in T$ implies that each fiber $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable for this assignment. Since $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is surjective, we conclude that each geometric point of \mathcal{X} corresponds to a \mathcal{Z} -stable curve. Thus, the open immersion $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ factors through $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$. The induced map $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is proper and dominant, so $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ as desired.

2 Preliminaries on \mathcal{Z} -stability

2.1 Extending families of prestable curves

In this section, we present two key lemmas, which will be used repeatedly. Lemma 2.1 says that a birational map between two generically-smooth families of curves over a normal base is automatically Stein. Lemma 2.2 says that, after an alteration of the base, one can dominate any family of prestable curves by a family of stable curves. (Recall that an alteration is proper, surjective, generically-étale morphism.) Taken together, these two lemmas allow us to reduce questions about families of prestable curves to questions about stable curves.

Lemma 2.1 (Normality of generically-smooth families of curves)

- (1) *Suppose that S is an irreducible, normal, noetherian scheme, and that $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ is a curve over S with smooth generic fiber. Then \mathcal{C} is normal.*


- (2) Suppose that S is an irreducible, normal, noetherian scheme, and that $C_1 \rightarrow S$ and $C_2 \rightarrow S$ are curves over S with smooth generic fiber. If $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is a birational morphism over S , then $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_1} = \mathcal{O}_{C_2}$.

Proof For (1), first observe that since $C \rightarrow S$ is smooth in the generic fiber and has isolated singularities in every fiber, C must be regular in codimension one. Furthermore, since $C \rightarrow S$ is a flat morphism with both base and fibers satisfying Serre’s condition S_2 , C satisfies S_2 as well [9, 6.4.2]. By Serre’s criterion, C is normal.

For (2), $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ is a proper birational morphism of normal noetherian algebraic spaces. Since a finite birational morphism of normal algebraic spaces is an isomorphism [19, 4.7], ϕ is equal to its own Stein factorization, i.e. $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{C_1} = \mathcal{O}_{C_2}$. □

Lemma 2.2 (Extending prestable curves to stable curves)

- (1) Let T be an integral noetherian scheme, and $(C \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ an n -pointed curve over T with smooth generic fiber. There exists an alteration $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$, and a diagram



where $(C^s \rightarrow \tilde{T}, \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a stable curve, $(\tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{T}, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is the n -pointed curve induced by base-change, and ϕ is a birational map over \tilde{T} .

- (2) We may choose the alteration $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ so that \tilde{T} is normal, and the open subset $S \subset \tilde{T}$ defined by

$$S := \{t \in \tilde{T} \mid \phi \text{ is regular in a neighborhood of the fiber } C_t^s\}$$

contains every geometric point $t \in \tilde{T}$ such that the fiber $(\tilde{C}_t, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$ is prestable.

Proof The moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ admits a finite generically-étale cover by a scheme, say $M \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ([5], 2.24). Let

$$U := \{t \in T \mid (C_t^s, \{\sigma_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is stable}\},$$

and consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} M & \longrightarrow & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 U & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}
 \end{array}$$

Let \tilde{U} be any irreducible component of $U \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} M$ dominating U , and define \tilde{T} to be the closure of the image of \tilde{U} in $T \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} M$. Then $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ is an alteration satisfying the conclusion of (1).

Next, we claim that we may choose the alteration $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$, so that there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{C}^n & \\
 \phi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \phi_2 \\
 \mathcal{C}^s & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \\
 \pi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_2 \\
 \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n & \tilde{T} & \{\tilde{\sigma}_i\}_{i=1}^n
 \end{array}$$

satisfying

- (1) \tilde{T} is a normal noetherian scheme,
- (2) $(\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a nodal curve,
- (3) ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are regular birational maps over \tilde{T} .

To see this, start by taking $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ as in (1). After blowing-up \tilde{T} further, we may assume that there exists a flat projective morphism $X \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ of relative dimension one, admitting regular birational maps to both \mathcal{C}^s and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. (Apply Chow’s lemma and the flattening results of [22] to the graph of ϕ .) Let $Z \subset X$ denote the pure codimension-one subscheme obtained by taking the strict transform of the sections $\{\tilde{\sigma}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ on X . By a theorem of de Jong ([4], 2.4), we may alter $(X \rightarrow \tilde{T}, Z)$ to a nodal curve, i.e. there exists an alteration $\tilde{T}' \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ with \tilde{T}' a normal noetherian scheme, a nodal curve $(\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \tilde{T}', \{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^n & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \tilde{T}' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{T}
 \end{array}$$

such that the induced map $(\mathcal{C}^n, \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tau_i) \rightarrow (X \times_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}', Z \times_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}')$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of \tilde{T}' . In particular, \mathcal{C}^n admits regular birational maps to both $(\mathcal{C}^s \times_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}')$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \times_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{T}'$, so $\tilde{T}' \rightarrow T$ gives the desired alteration.

Now fix an alteration $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ and a diagram satisfying (1)–(3) above. Since π_1 is proper, the set

$$S := \{t \in \tilde{T} \mid \phi \text{ is regular in a neighborhood of the fiber } \mathcal{C}_t^s\}$$

is open in \tilde{T} . We must show that if $t \in \tilde{T}$ is any point such that the fiber $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$ is prestable, then $t \in S$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $(\phi_1)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^n} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^s}$ and $(\phi_2)_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^n} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}$. Thus, it suffices to show that if $E \subset \mathcal{C}_t^n$ is any irreducible component contracted by ϕ_1 , then E is also contracted by ϕ_2 . By the uniqueness of stable reduction, we have

$$\text{Exc}(\phi_1)_t = \{E \subset \mathcal{C}_t^n \mid E \text{ is smooth rational with one or two distinguished points}\}.$$

Thus, if $E \subset \text{Exc}(\phi_1)_t$ is not contracted by ϕ_2 , its image is a rational component of $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$ with fewer than three distinguished points. This is a contradiction, since $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$ is prestable. □

2.2 Contractions of curves

In Lemma 2.7, we will see that birational contractions between generically-smooth families of curves have the effect of replacing arithmetic genus g subcurves by isolated singularities of genus g . This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.3 (Contraction of curves) If $\phi : C \rightarrow D$ is a morphism of curves, let $\text{Exc}(\phi)$ denote the union of the irreducible components of C which are contracted to a point in D . We say that ϕ is a *contraction* if it satisfies


- (1) ϕ is surjective with connected fibers,
- (2) ϕ is an isomorphism on $C - \text{Exc}(\phi)$,
- (3) If Z is any connected component of $\text{Exc}(\phi)$, then the point $p := \phi(Z) \in D$ satisfies $g(p) = p_a(Z)$ and $m(p) = |Z \cap Z^c|$, where $g(p)$ and $m(p)$ are the genus and number of branches of p , as in Definition 1.7.

Remark 2.4 If C is a nodal curve and $C \rightarrow D$ is a contraction, then we have a decomposition

$$C = \tilde{D} \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k,$$

where Z_1, \dots, Z_k are the connected components of $\text{Exc}(\phi)$, and \tilde{D} is the normalization of D at $\phi(Z_1), \dots, \phi(Z_k) \in C$. This is immediate from the fact that if C is nodal, the points of $C \setminus Z_i$ lying above $\phi(Z_i) \in D$ are smooth.

Fig. 4 Two contractions of curves, each contracting an elliptic bridge




Example 2.5 (Contraction morphisms contracting an elliptic bridge) Let

$$C = C_1 \cup E \cup C_2$$

be a nodal curve with an elliptic bridge (see Fig. 4). Then there exist contraction morphisms contracting E to a tacnode ($y^2 - x^4$) or to a planar cusp with a smooth transverse branch ($xz, yz, y^2 - x^3$), since both these singularities have two branches and genus one. By contrast, the map contracting E to an ordinary node is not a contraction because the genus of an ordinary node is zero. In fact, the map contracting E to a node is the Stein factorization of the given contractions.

Proposition 2.6 (Existence of contractions) *Let $C^n \rightarrow \Delta$ a generically smooth, nodal curve over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring. If $Z \subsetneq C^n$ is a proper subcurve of the special fiber, then there exists a diagram*



such that

- (1) ϕ is proper, birational, $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{C^n} = \mathcal{O}_C$, and $\text{Exc}(\phi) = Z$.
- (2) $C \rightarrow \Delta$ is a flat family of geometrically reduced connected curves.
- (3) The restriction of ϕ to the special fiber induces a contraction of curves.

Proof Since there exists a minimal resolution of singularities $p : \tilde{C}^n \rightarrow C^n$ such that $\tilde{C}^n \rightarrow \Delta$ is still a nodal curve [20], we may assume that the total space C^n is regular to begin with. For a regular algebraic space over an excellent Dedekind ring, there is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a contraction $\phi : C^n \rightarrow C$ with $\text{Exc}(\phi) = Z$, namely if $Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$ are the irreducible components of Z , the intersection matrix $\|(Z_i \cdot Z_j)\|$ must

be negative-definite [2, 6.17]. But using the fact that $F.T = 0$, where F is the class of a fiber and T is any cycle supported on a fiber, it is easy to see that this condition holds for any proper subcurve $Z \subsetneq C^n$.

After taking the Stein factorization, we may assume that ϕ satisfies (1). By Lemma 2.1, C^n is normal, so C is as well. In particular, the special fiber C is Cohen-Macaulay and therefore has no embedded points. Since each component of C is the birational image of an irreducible component of C^s , no component of C can be generically non-reduced, and it follows that the special fiber is reduced and connected. In addition, $C \rightarrow \Delta$ is flat since the generic point of C maps to the generic point of Δ . This shows that $C \rightarrow \Delta$ satisfies (2). Finally, (3) is a consequence of the more general statement proved in Lemma 2.7 below. \square

Lemma 2.7 *Let S be an irreducible, normal, noetherian scheme, and let $\pi_1 : X \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_2 : Y \rightarrow S$ be two curves over S . Suppose that π_1 is nodal, and that π_1 and π_2 are generically smooth. If we are given a birational morphism over S*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \\
 \searrow \pi_1 & & \swarrow \pi_2 \\
 & S &
 \end{array}$$

then the induced map $\phi_s : X_s \rightarrow Y_s$ is a contraction, for each geometric point $s \in S$.

Proof By Lemma 2.1, we have $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$. Using this, we will show that ϕ_s satisfies conditions (1)–(3) of Definition 2.3. By Zariski’s main theorem, ϕ has geometrically connected fibers, so ϕ_s satisfies (1). Furthermore, ϕ is an isomorphism when restricted to the complement of the positive-dimensional fibers of ϕ , so ϕ_s satisfies (2). It remains to verify that ϕ_s satisfies (3).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $Z := \text{Exc}(\phi_s)$ is connected, and we must show that $p := \phi_s(Z) \in Y_s$ is a singularity of genus g . Since the number of branches of $p \in Y_s$ is, by definition, the number of points lying above p in the normalization, we have

$$m(p) = |\overline{X_s \setminus Z} \cap Z|.$$

To obtain $\delta(p) = p_a(Z) + m(p) - 1$, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta &= \chi(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X_s \setminus Z}}) - \chi(Y_s, \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}) \\
 &= \chi(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X_s \setminus Z}}) - \chi(X_s, \mathcal{O}_{X_s})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\chi(X_s, I_{\overline{X_s \setminus Z}}).$$

The first equality is just the definition of δ since $\overline{X_s \setminus Z}$ is the normalization of Y_s at p . The second equality follows from the fact that X_s and Y_s occur in flat families with the same generic fiber, and the third equality is just the additivity of Euler characteristic on exact sequences. Since $I_{\overline{X_s \setminus Z}}$ is supported on Z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X_s, I_{\overline{X_s \setminus Z}}) &= \chi(Z, I_{\overline{X_s \setminus Z}}|_Z) = \chi(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-Z \cap \overline{X_s \setminus Z})) \\ &= 1 - m(p) - p_a(Z), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired equality. □

2.3 \mathcal{Z} -stability

In this section, we define the stability condition associated to a fixed extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} . Using the definition of a contraction (Definition 2.3), we can recast our original definition of \mathcal{Z} -stability (Definition 1.8) as follows:

Definition 2.8 (\mathcal{Z} -stable curve) A smoothable n -pointed curve $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable if there exists a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ and a contraction $\phi : (C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ such that $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$.

We will make frequent use of the following observation: If $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable, and $\phi : (C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is any contraction from a stable curve, then $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$. (The definition of \mathcal{Z} -stability asserts the existence only of a single contraction with this property.) In order to prove this, we need the following lemma which gives an explicit description of the set of stable curves admitting contractions to a fixed prestable curve.

Lemma 2.9 Let $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an n -pointed prestable curve, and let $z_1, \dots, z_k \in C$ be the set of points which satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (1) z_i is non-nodal singularity,
- (2) z_i is a node, and at least one marked point is supported at z_i ,
- (3) z_i is a smooth point, and at least two marked points are supported at z_i .

As in Definition 1.7, set $m_i = m(z_i)$, $g_i = g(z_i)$, and let l_i denote the number of marked points supported at z_i . There exists a map

$$h : \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

with the property that a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ admits a contraction to $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ iff it lies in the image of h .

Proof In order to define h , relabel the marked points of C :

$$\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n = \{p_j\}_{j=1}^r \cup \{p_{1j}\}_{j=1}^{l_1} \cup \dots \cup \{p_{kj}\}_{j=1}^{l_k},$$

where $\{p_{ij}\}_{j=i}^{l_i}$ is the set of marked points supported at z_i , and the points $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^r$ are distinct smooth points of C . Let $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ denote the normalization of C at $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^k$, and let $\{\tilde{q}_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{m_i}$ denote the points of \tilde{C} lying above z_i . The assumption that $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is prestable implies that each connected component of $(\tilde{C}, \{p_j\}_{j=1}^r, \{\tilde{q}_{1j}\}_{j=1}^{m_1}, \dots, \{\tilde{q}_{kj}\}_{j=1}^{m_k})$ is stable. Thus, we may define h by sending

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^k (Z_i, \{p_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{l_i}, \{q_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{m_i}) \\ & \rightarrow (\tilde{C} \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k, \{p_j\}_{j=1}^r, \{p_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{l_1}, \dots, \{p_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{l_k}), \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{C} and $\prod_{i=1}^k Z_i$ are glued by identifying $\tilde{q}_{ij} \sim q_{ij}$. It is trivial to check that this map has the stated property. □

Corollary 2.10 *Let $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a \mathcal{Z} -stable curve, and suppose that*

$$\phi : (C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$$

is any contraction from a stable curve $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$. Then $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(C^s)$.

Proof By Lemma 2.9, there exists a map $h : \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$, such that $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ admits a contraction to $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ iff $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{Image}(h)$. Given $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$, let \mathcal{C}_x denote the fiber of the universal curve over $h(x)$. We have $\mathcal{C}_x = \tilde{C} \cup (\mathcal{C}_1)_x \cup \dots \cup (\mathcal{C}_k)_x$, where \mathcal{C}_i is the pull-back of the universal curve over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$.

The hypothesis that $(C, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable implies that *there exists* a geometric point $y \in \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{C}_i)_y$. To prove the corollary, we must show $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_x) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{C}_i)_x$ for every geometric point $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$. This follows easily from two applications of the one-parameter specialization property for extremal assignments: First, let $\zeta \in \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$ be the generic point, and consider a map $\Delta \rightarrow$

$\prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$ sending $\eta \rightarrow \zeta$, $0 \rightarrow y$. Applying Definition 1.5(3) to the induced family over Δ , we conclude

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_y) = (\mathcal{C}_1)_y \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{C}_k)_y \implies \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{y}}) = (\mathcal{C}_1)_{\bar{y}} \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{C}_k)_{\bar{y}}.$$

Next, let $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$ be an arbitrary geometric point, and consider a map $\Delta \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_i, m_i + l_i}$ sending $\eta \rightarrow \zeta$, $0 \rightarrow x$. Applying Definition 1.5(3) to the induced family over Δ , we see that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{z}}) = (\mathcal{C}_1)_{\bar{z}} \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{C}_k)_{\bar{z}} \implies \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_x) = (\mathcal{C}_1)_x \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{C}_k)_x. \quad \square$$

3 Construction of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$

Throughout this section, we fix an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Definition 3.1 (The moduli stack of \mathcal{Z} -stable curves) Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ be the universal curve over $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$, the main component in the stack of all curves (Sect. 1.1). We define $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ as the collection of points $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ such that the geometric fiber $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{V}_{g,n}} \bar{k}$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable.


The first main theorem of this paper is

Theorem 3.2 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is a stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

In Sect. 3.1, we will show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is Zariski-open. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ inherits the structure of an algebraic stack, locally of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Since a \mathcal{Z} -stable curve has no more irreducible components than an n -pointed stable curve of arithmetic genus g , the moduli problem of \mathcal{Z} -stable curves is bounded (see Corollary B.6). Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ inherits the structure of an algebraic stack of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, and we may use the valuative criterion to check that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. This is accomplished in Sect. 3.2. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. To see that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a stable modular compactification, simply observe that any \mathcal{Z} -stable curve is obviously prestable, since it is obtained as a contraction from a stable curve.

3.1 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is open in $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose we have a diagram*



satisfying:

- (1) T is a noetherian scheme.
- (2) $(\mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a stable curve, and $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ an arbitrary curve.
- (3) $\phi : \mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a birational morphism.

Then the set

$$S := \{t \in T \mid \text{Exc}(\phi_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}})\}$$

is open in T .

Proof Since T is noetherian, it suffices to prove that S is constructible and stable under generalization. First, we show that S is constructible. There exists a finite stratification of T into locally-closed subschemes over which the dual graph of the fibers of π are constant, say $T = \bigsqcup_G T_G$. We will prove that S is a finite union of the subschemes T_G by showing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Exc}(\phi_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}}) \text{ for one point } t \in T_G \\
 \implies &\text{Exc}(\phi_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}}) \text{ for all points } t \in T_G.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{T}_G \rightarrow T_G$ be a finite surjective map, such that $\mathcal{C}^s \times_{T_G} \tilde{T}_G = \mathcal{C}_1^s \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_k^s$, where each $\mathcal{C}_i^s \rightarrow \tilde{T}_G$ is smooth, and let $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{C}^s \times_T \tilde{T}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_T \tilde{T}_G$ be the morphism induced by ϕ . It is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}}) \text{ for one point } t \in \tilde{T}_G \\
 \implies &\text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}}) \text{ for all points } t \in \tilde{T}_G.
 \end{aligned}$$

The rigidity lemma [3, Proposition 1.14] implies that $(\mathcal{C}_i^s)_{\bar{t}} \subset \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\bar{t}})$ for one point $t \in \tilde{T}_G \implies (\mathcal{C}_i^s)_{\bar{t}} \subset \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\bar{t}})$ for all points $t \in \tilde{T}_G$. On the other hand, since the dual graph of the fibers of $\mathcal{C}^s \times_T \tilde{T}_G \rightarrow \tilde{T}_G$ is constant, we have $(\mathcal{C}_i^s)_{\bar{t}} \subset \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}})$ for one point $t \in \tilde{T}_G \implies (\mathcal{C}_i^s)_{\bar{t}} \subset \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}})$ for all points $t \in \tilde{T}_G$. It follows that $\text{Exc}(\phi_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}})$ for one point $t \in \tilde{T}_G \implies \text{Exc}(\phi_{\bar{t}}) = \mathcal{Z}(C_{\bar{t}})$ for all points $t \in \tilde{T}_G$, as desired.

Next, we show that S is stable under generization. If $s, t \in T$ satisfy $s \in \overline{\{t\}}$, there exists a map $\Delta \rightarrow T$, sending $\eta \rightarrow t, 0 \rightarrow s$, inducing a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^s \times_T \Delta & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{C} \times_T \Delta \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \Delta &
 \end{array}$$

We wish to show that

$$\text{Exc}(\phi_0) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_0^s) \implies \text{Exc}(\phi_\eta) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_\eta^s).$$

This is again an elementary consequence of the rigidity lemma. After a finite base-change, we may assume that the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}^s \times_T \Delta$, say $\mathcal{C}_1^s \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_k^s$, are in bijective correspondence with the irreducible components of \mathcal{C}_η^s . By Axiom 3 of Definition 1.5, we have $(\mathcal{C}_i^s)_0 \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_0^s) \iff (\mathcal{C}_i^s)_\eta \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_\eta^s)$. On the other hand, since each $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \Delta$ is flat and proper with irreducible generic fiber, the rigidity lemma implies that $(\mathcal{C}_i^s)_0 \subset \text{Exc}(\phi_0) \iff (\mathcal{C}_i^s)_\eta \subset \text{Exc}(\phi_\eta)$. We conclude that $\text{Exc}(\phi_0) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_0^s) \implies \text{Exc}(\phi_\eta) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_\eta^s)$ as desired. □

Theorem 3.4 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is an open substack.

Proof Since $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is an algebraic stack, irreducible and locally of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a smooth atlas $T \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$, where T is a scheme, irreducible and locally of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. If $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ denotes the corresponding n -pointed curve over T , then the required statement is that

$$S := \{t \in T \mid (\mathcal{C}_t, \{\sigma_i(\bar{t})\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is } \mathcal{Z}\text{-stable}\}$$

is open in T . Since this is local on T , we may assume that T is irreducible and of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that if $p : \tilde{T} \rightarrow T$ is any proper surjective morphism of schemes, and $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{T}, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is the family obtained by pull-back, then it is sufficient to show that

$$\tilde{S} := \{t \in \tilde{T} \mid (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i(\bar{t})\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is } \mathcal{Z}\text{-stable}\}$$

is open in \tilde{T} . By Lemma 2.2, there exists an alteration $\tilde{T} \rightarrow T$, and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^s & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \\
 \swarrow & & \nwarrow \\
 \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n & \tilde{T} & \{\tilde{\sigma}_i\}_{i=1}^n
 \end{array}$$

satisfying

- (1) \tilde{T} is a normal noetherian scheme.
- (2) $(\mathcal{C}^s, \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a stable curve.
- (3) ϕ is regular over the locus $\{t \in \tilde{T} \mid (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t, \{\tilde{\sigma}_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is prestable}\}$.

In particular, since every \mathcal{Z} -stable curve is prestable, \tilde{S} is contained in the open set

$$U := \{t \in \tilde{T} \mid \phi \text{ is regular in a neighborhood of the fiber } \mathcal{C}_t^s\}.$$

Thus, we may replace \tilde{T} by U and assume that ϕ is regular. By Lemma 2.7, the restriction of ϕ to each fiber is a contraction of curves. Thus, by Corollary 2.10,

$$\tilde{S} = \{t \in \tilde{T} \mid \text{Exc}(\phi_t) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_t^s)\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, this set is open. □

3.2 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$

To show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is proper, it suffices to verify the valuative criterion for discrete valuation rings with algebraically closed residue field, whose generic point maps into the open dense substack $\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ ([21], Chap. 7).

Theorem 3.5 (Valuative criterion for properness of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$) *Let Δ be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with algebraically closed residue field.*

- (1) (Existence of \mathcal{Z} -stable limits) *If $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta$ is a smooth n -pointed curve over the generic point $\eta \in \Delta$, there exists a finite base-change $\Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$, and a \mathcal{Z} -stable curve $(\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \Delta', \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)$, such that*

$$(\mathcal{C}', \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_{\eta'} \simeq (\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta \times_\eta \eta'.$$

- (2) (Uniqueness of \mathcal{Z} -stable limits) *Suppose that $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ are \mathcal{Z} -stable curves with smooth generic fiber. Then*

any isomorphism over the generic fiber

$$(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta \simeq (\mathcal{C}', \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta$$

extends to an isomorphism over Δ :

$$(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n) \simeq (\mathcal{C}', \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n).$$

Proof To prove existence of limits, start by applying the stable reduction theorem to $(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta$. There exists a finite base-change $\Delta' \rightarrow \Delta$, and a Deligne-Mumford stable curve $(\pi : \mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \Delta', \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{C}^s, \{\sigma_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)|_{\eta'} \simeq (\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n) \times_\eta \eta'.$$

For notational simplicity, we will continue to denote our base by Δ . By Proposition 2.6, there exists a birational morphism $\phi : \mathcal{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ over Δ such that


- (1) $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a flat family of n -pointed curves,
- (2) ϕ is proper birational with $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_0^s)$,
- (3) $\phi_0 : \mathcal{C}_0^s \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ is a contraction of curves.

Properties (2) and (3) imply that the special fiber $(\mathcal{C}_0, \{\sigma_i(0)\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable, so $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is the desired \mathcal{Z} -stable family.

To prove uniqueness of limits, we must show that a rational isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{C}, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta \simeq (\mathcal{C}', \{\sigma'_i\}_{i=1}^n)|_\eta$$

between two families of \mathcal{Z} -stable curves extends to an isomorphism over Δ . It suffices to check that the rational map $\mathcal{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ extends to an isomorphism after a finite base-change. Thus, applying semistable reduction to the graph of this rational map, we may assume there exists a nodal curve $(\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \Delta, \{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ and a diagram



where ϕ and ϕ' are proper birational morphisms over Δ . In fact, we may further assume that $(\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \Delta, \{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is stable. Indeed, any rational component $E \subset \mathcal{C}_0^n$ with only one or two distinguished points must be contracted by both ϕ and ϕ' since \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}'_0 are both prestable. Thus, ϕ and ϕ' both factor through the stable reduction $\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^s$, and we may replace by \mathcal{C}^n by \mathcal{C}^s .

Now consider the restriction of ϕ and ϕ' to the special fiber. By Lemma 2.7, $\phi_0 : C_0^s \rightarrow C_0$ and $\phi'_0 : C_0^s \rightarrow C'_0$ are both contractions of curves. Furthermore, since C_0 and C'_0 are both \mathcal{Z} -stable, Corollary 2.10 implies that $\text{Exc}(\phi) = \text{Exc}(\phi') = \mathcal{Z}(C_0^s)$. Since \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are normal (Lemma 2.1), $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}'$ as desired. \square


4 Classification of stable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

In this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Classification of stable modular compactifications) *Suppose $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is a stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Then there exists an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, such that $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$.*

Our starting point is the following lemma, which allows us to compare an arbitrary stable modular compactification to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ by regularizing the rational map between their respective universal curves.

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose that $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ is a stable modular compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Then there exists a diagram*



satisfying

- (1) X, U, \overline{M} , and T are irreducible normal schemes of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) p and q are proper birational morphisms, i and j are generically-étale finite morphisms, $\pi^s : C^s \rightarrow T$ and $\pi : C \rightarrow T$ are the families induced by $i \circ p$ and $j \circ q$ respectively.
- (3) $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \cap \mathcal{X}$ is an open dense substack of \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. The lower squares are Cartesian, and the unlabeled arrows are open immersions.

- (4) *The rational map ϕ , induced by the natural isomorphism between \mathcal{C}^S and \mathcal{C} over the generic point of T , is regular.*

Proof Since $\mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is a (non-separated) algebraic stack of finite-type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ with quasi-finite diagonal, there exists a finite, generically-étale, surjective morphism $S \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, where S is a (non-separated) scheme [10, Theorem 2.7]. We may assume that S is irreducible since $\mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is. The fiber products $S \times_{\mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \mathcal{X}$, $S \times_{\mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and $S \times_{\mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \mathcal{U}$ are separated and irreducible, and we define X , \overline{M} , and U as their respective normalizations. Finally, define T to be the normalization of the closure of the image of the diagonal immersion $U \hookrightarrow X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \overline{M}$. This gives a diagram satisfying (1), (2), and (3), but not necessarily (4), i.e. the induced rational map $\phi : \mathcal{C}^S \dashrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ may not be regular.

Since the geometric fibers of $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ are prestable, Lemma 2.2 gives an alteration $T' \rightarrow T$ such that the rational map $\mathcal{C}^S \times_T T' \dashrightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_T T'$ is regular. Now define $X' \rightarrow X$ and $\overline{M}' \rightarrow \overline{M}$ to be the finite morphisms appearing in the Stein factorizations of $T' \rightarrow X$ and $T' \rightarrow \overline{M}$ respectively. Replacing X, \overline{M}, T by X', \overline{M}', T' , and U by $U \times_{\overline{M}} T' = U \times_X T'$ gives the desired diagram. □

For the remainder of this section, we fix a stable modular compactification \mathcal{X} , and a diagram as in Lemma 4.2. We also use the following notation: For any geometric point $t \in T$, let G_t be the dual graph of the fiber $(\mathcal{C}_t^S, \{\sigma_i^S(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$, so that $\text{Exc}(\phi_t)$ determines a subgraph $\text{Exc}(\phi_t) \subset G_t$. Also, we let $\mathcal{M}_G \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ denote the locally closed substack of stable curves with dual graph G , and set $T_G := \mathcal{M}_G \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} T$.

We wish to associate to \mathcal{X} an extremal assignment \mathcal{Z} by setting

$$\mathcal{Z}(G) := i(\text{Exc}(\phi_t)) \subset G,$$

for some choice of $t \in T_G$ and some choice of isomorphism $i : G_t \simeq G$. The key point is to show that the subgraph $\mathcal{Z}(G) \subset G$ does not depend on these choices. More precisely, we will show:

Proposition 4.3

- (a) *For any two geometric points $t_1, t_2 \in T_G$, there exists an isomorphism $i : G_{t_1} \simeq G_{t_2}$ such that $i(\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_2})$.*
- (b) *For any geometric point $t \in T_G$, and any automorphism $i : G_t \simeq G_t$, we have $i(\text{Exc}(\phi_t)) = \text{Exc}(\phi_t)$, i.e. $\text{Exc}(\phi_t)$ is $\text{Aut}(G_t)$ -invariant.*

Before proving this proposition, let us use it to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1, assuming Proposition 4.3. If G is any dual graph of an n -pointed stable curve of genus g , we define a subgraph $\mathcal{Z}(G) \subset G$ by the recipe

$$\mathcal{Z}(G) := i(\text{Exc}(\phi_t)) \subset G,$$

for any choice of $t \in T_G$ and isomorphism $i : G_t \simeq G$. By Proposition 4.3, the subgraph of $\mathcal{Z}(G) \subset G$ does not depend on the choice of $t \in T_G$ or the choice of isomorphism $i : G_t \simeq G$. We claim that the assignment $G \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(G)$ defines an extremal assignment over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and that $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$.

To prove that \mathcal{Z} is an extremal assignment, we must show that it satisfies Axioms 1–3 of Definition 1.5. For Axiom 1, suppose that $\mathcal{Z}(G) = G$ for some dual graph G . Then there exists a point $t \in T$ such that $\text{Exc}(\phi_t) = C_t^s$. But since T is connected, we must have $\text{Exc}(\phi_t) = C_t^s$ for every $t \in T$, which is impossible since ϕ is an isomorphism over the generic point of T . Axiom 2 is immediate from Proposition 4.3(b). Finally, for Axiom 3, suppose that $G \rightsquigarrow G'$ is an arbitrary specialization of dual graphs, witnessed by a map $u : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Since T is proper, surjective, generically-étale, we may lift u (possibly after a finite base-change) to a map $\tilde{u} : \Delta \rightarrow T$, and we let $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{C}^s \times_T \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_T \Delta$ be the pullback of ϕ along \tilde{u} .

Since our definition of \mathcal{Z} does not depend on the choice of $t \in T$, we have $\mathcal{Z}(G) = \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\eta}})$ and $\mathcal{Z}(G') = \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_0)$. After a finite base-change, we may assume that $\mathcal{C}^s = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_m$, where each $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \Delta$ is a flat family of curves with smooth generic fiber, and we must have $(\mathcal{C}_i)_{\tilde{\eta}} \in \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{\eta}}) \iff (\mathcal{C}_i)_0 \in \text{Exc}(\tilde{\phi}_0)$. This precisely says that \mathcal{Z} satisfies Axiom 3.

Since \mathcal{Z} is an extremal assignment, Theorem 3.2 gives a stable modular compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z}) \subset \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$, and we wish to show that $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$. By Lemma 2.7, the map $\phi_t : C_t^s \rightarrow C_t$ is a contraction for any geometric point $t \in T$. Since $\text{Exc}(\phi_t) = \mathcal{Z}(C_t^s)$ by the definition of \mathcal{Z} , every geometric fiber of $(\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is \mathcal{Z} -stable. Since $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is surjective, we conclude that every geometric point of \mathcal{X} is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ and \mathcal{X} are open in $\mathcal{V}_{g,n}$, the natural inclusion $\mathcal{X} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ is an open immersion. Furthermore, this inclusion is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, since both \mathcal{X} and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ are. A proper dominant morphism is surjective, so $\mathcal{X} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(\mathcal{Z})$ as desired. \square

It remains to prove Proposition 4.3.

Proof of Proposition 4.3(a) We will prove the statement in two steps. First, we show that if a pair of points t_1, t_2 is contained in a single connected component of T_G , then there exists an isomorphism $i : G_{t_1} \simeq G_{t_2}$ such that $i(\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_2})$. Second, we will show that if a pair of points $t_1, t_2 \in T$ is contained in the fiber T_x over a geometric point $x \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, then there exists an isomorphism $i : G_{t_1} \simeq G_{t_2}$ such that $i(\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_2})$.

It is easy to see that these two statements suffice: Indeed, given two arbitrary geometric points $t_1, t_2 \in T_G$ mapping to $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, let T'_G be an irreducible component of T_G dominating \mathcal{M}_G , and let $u_1, u_2 \in T'_G$ be two geometric points lying above x_1 and x_2 respectively. By the second claim, there exist isomorphisms

$$i_1 : G_{t_1} \simeq G_{u_1} \text{ satisfying } i_1(\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{u_1}),$$

$$i_2 : G_{t_2} \simeq G_{u_2} \text{ satisfying } i_2(\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_2})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{u_2}).$$

By the first claim, there exists an isomorphism

$$i : G_{u_1} \simeq G_{u_2} \text{ satisfying } i(\text{Exc}(\phi_{u_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{u_2}).$$

Thus, $j := i_2^{-1} \circ i \circ i_1 : G_{t_1} \simeq G_{t_2}$ satisfies $j(\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_2})$, as desired.


To prove the first claim, let S be any connected component of T_G and consider the induced morphism $\mathcal{C}^S \times_T S \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_T S$ of families over S . After a finite surjective base-change, we may assume that

$$\mathcal{C}^S \times_T S \simeq \mathcal{C}_1^S \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_m^S,$$

where each $\mathcal{C}_i^S \rightarrow S$ is proper and smooth. Note that this isomorphism induces an obvious identification of dual graphs $i : G_{s_1} \simeq G_{s_2}$ for any two geometric points $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Furthermore, since one geometric fiber of $\mathcal{C}_i^S \rightarrow S$ is contracted by ϕ if and only if every geometric fiber of $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow S$ is contracted by ϕ , we have $i(\text{Exc}(\phi_{s_1})) = \text{Exc}(\phi_{s_2})$.

To prove the second claim, let $x \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be any geometric point and let T_1, \dots, T_k be the connected components of T_x . Given the first claim, it suffices to prove that there exist points $t_i \in T_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and isomorphisms $G_{t_1} \simeq G_{t_2} \simeq \dots \simeq G_{t_k}$, identifying $\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1}) \simeq \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_2}) \simeq \dots \simeq \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_k})$.

We claim that there exists a commutative diagram



satisfying

- (1) $u(\eta) = \eta_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}}, u(0) = x$.
- (2) $\tilde{u}_i(0) \in T_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

To see this, let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be the fiber of $\overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ over x , so T_1, \dots, T_k are simply the fibers of $T \rightarrow \overline{M}$ over x_1, \dots, x_k . Let $u : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be

any map satisfying (1), and consider the fiber product $\overline{M} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta$. Since $\overline{M} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is generically étale, we may assume, after a finite base-change, that the generic fiber is contained in a union of sections $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^d$. Furthermore, since the generic fiber of $\overline{M} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is dense, each point of the special fiber is equal to $\sigma_i(0)$ for some section σ_i . Reordering if necessary, we may assume that the projection $\overline{M} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta \rightarrow \overline{M}$ takes $\sigma_i(0) \rightarrow x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The sections $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ induces lifts $u_i : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{M}$, and since $T \rightarrow \overline{M}$ is proper birational, the maps $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$ lift to maps $\{\tilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ satisfying (2).

Now set $t_i := \tilde{u}_i(0)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and let $\phi_i : \mathcal{C}_i^s \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$ be the pull-back of ϕ along $\tilde{u}_i : \Delta \rightarrow T$. Since the compositions $\Delta \rightarrow T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ are identical when restricted to the generic point $\eta \in \Delta$, we obtain a commutative diagram of isomorphisms over η :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (\mathcal{C}_1^s)_\eta & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathcal{C}_2^s)_\eta & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathcal{C}_k^s)_\eta \\
 \downarrow (\phi_1)_\eta & & \downarrow (\phi_2)_\eta & & & & \downarrow (\phi_k)_\eta \\
 (\mathcal{C}_1)_\eta & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathcal{C}_2)_\eta & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathcal{C}_k)_\eta
 \end{array}$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, each isomorphism $(\mathcal{C}_i^s)_\eta \cong (\mathcal{C}_j^s)_\eta$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_i^s \cong \mathcal{C}_j^s$. Similarly, since \mathcal{X} is proper over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, each isomorphism $(\mathcal{C}_i)_\eta \cong (\mathcal{C}_j)_\eta$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_i \cong \mathcal{C}_j$. Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram over Δ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{C}_1^s & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}_2^s & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}_k^s \\
 \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & & & \downarrow \phi_k \\
 \mathcal{C}_1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}_2 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}_k
 \end{array}$$

Restricting the top row of isomorphisms to the special fiber, we obtain isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}_{t_1}^s \cong \mathcal{C}_{t_2}^s \cong \cdots \cong \mathcal{C}_{t_k}^s,$$

identifying $\text{Exc}(\phi_{t_1}) \cong \cdots \cong \text{Exc}(\phi_{t_k})$, as desired. □

It remains to prove Proposition 4.3(b). From Proposition 4.3(a), it already follows that every curve in \mathcal{X} is obtained by contracting some subcurve Z of a stable curve C^s , and that this subcurve depends only on the dual graph of C^s . We will show that the separatedness of \mathcal{X} forces the subcurve Z to be


invariant under automorphisms of the dual graph. The idea is simple: if i is an automorphism of the dual graph of C^s such that $Z \neq i(Z)$, then contracting Z or $i(Z)$ in a one-parameter smoothing of C^s gives two distinct limits in \mathcal{X} .

Proof of Proposition 4.3(b) Suppose there exists a dual graph G and a geometric point $t \in T_G$ such that $\text{Exc}(\phi_t)$ fails to be $\text{Aut}(G)$ -invariant. By Proposition 4.3(a), every geometric point $t \in T_G$ has the property that $\text{Exc}(\phi_t)$ fails to be $\text{Aut}(G)$ -invariant. In particular, since $T_G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_G$ is surjective, we may choose a geometric point $t \in T_G$ with the property that the induced map

$$\text{Aut}(C_t^s, \{\sigma_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$$


is surjective. (Simply choose a curve $[C^s, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n] \in \mathcal{M}_G$ with the property that each of its components have identical moduli, and take $t \in T_G$ to be a point lying over $[C^s, \{p_i\}_{i=1}^n]$.) Now we will derive a contradiction to the separatedness of \mathcal{X} .

Let $(C^s \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a generic smoothing of the curve $(C_t^s, \{\sigma_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$. By our choice of $(C_t^s, \{\sigma_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n)$, there exist two distinct subcurves of the special fiber $Z_1, Z_2 \subset C^s$ and isomorphisms $i_1, i_2 : C^s \simeq C_t^s$ satisfying $i_1(Z_1) = \text{Exc}(\phi_1)$, $i_2(Z_2) = \text{Exc}(\phi_2)$. By Proposition 2.6, there exist birational contractions



with $\text{Exc}(\phi_1) = Z_1$, $\text{Exc}(\phi_2) = Z_2$. We claim that the fibers of C_1 and C_2 lie in \mathcal{X} . If this is true then the maps $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{g,n}$ induced by C_1 and C_2 both factor through \mathcal{X} . But since $Z_1 \neq Z_2$, the rational morphism $C_1 \dashrightarrow C_2$ does not extend to an isomorphism, so \mathcal{X} is not separated—a contradiction.

Let $u : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the map induced by $(C^s \rightarrow \Delta, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n)$. The isomorphism i_1 induces a map $0 \rightarrow T \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta$, so we have a commutative diagram



We claim that there exists a lift $\tilde{u} : \Delta \rightarrow T$. Since $T \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is generically étale, we may assume (after a finite base change) that the generic fiber is a union of sections. Since the smoothing is generic (i.e. u sends $\eta \rightarrow \eta_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}}$), the generic fiber of $T \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is dense, and there exists a section σ such that $\sigma(0) = i_1(0)$. By construction, the birational morphism $\mathcal{C}^s \times_T \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times_T \Delta$ obtained from ϕ by pullback along σ has the same exceptional locus as ϕ_1 , so there is an induced isomorphism $\mathcal{C} \times_T \Delta \simeq \mathcal{C}_1$. It follows immediately that the fibers of \mathcal{C}_1 lie in \mathcal{X} . The argument for \mathcal{C}_2 is symmetric, replacing i_1 by i_2 . \square

Acknowledgements Joe Harris offered countless suggestions and insights throughout the course of this project, and it is a pleasure to acknowledge his great influence. I would also like to thank Jarod Alper, Maksym Fedorchuk, Jack Hall, Brendan Hassett, Sean Keel, Matthew Simpson, and Fred van der Wyck, for many helpful conversations.

Appendix A: Stable modular compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3, \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$

In this appendix, we give an explicit definition of the relative nef cone $\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and cone of curves $\overline{N}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ as rational closed convex polyhedral cones in $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})^\vee$. In the special cases $(g, n) = (2, 0), (3, 0), (2, 1)$, we enumerate the extremal faces of $\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and describe the corresponding \mathcal{Z} -stability conditions, as guaranteed by Lemma 1.13. Note that these relative cones are much simpler than the standard cones $\overline{N}_+^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, which are analyzed for these low values of (g, n) in [23].

To begin, let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ denote the universal curve over the moduli stack of stable curves over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In this setting, the \mathbb{Q} -Picard group of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is well-known: we have natural line-bundles $\lambda, \{\psi_i\}, \{\delta_{i,S}\} \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, where $\lambda = \det(\pi_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}})$, $\psi_i := \sigma_i^*\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}$, and $\delta_{i,S}$ is the line-bundle corresponding to the reduced irreducible Cartier divisor $\Delta_{i,S} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. Of course, if $i = 0$ (resp. g), then we must have $|S| \geq 2$ (resp. $|S| \leq n - 2$). Since we have a natural identification $\mathcal{C} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$, we may define elements $\omega_\pi, \{\sigma_i\}, \{E_{i,S}\} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$ by the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\pi &:= \psi_{n+1}, \\ \sigma_i &:= \delta_{0,i \cup \{n+1\}}, \quad i \in [1, n], \\ E_{i,S} &:= \delta_{i,S \cup \{n+1\}}, \quad i \in [0, g], S \subset [1, n]. \end{aligned}$$

One should think of ω_π as the relative dualizing sheaf of π , σ_i as the line-bundle corresponding to the divisor $\sigma_i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset \mathcal{C}$, and $E_{i,S}$ as the line-bundle corresponding to the irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_{i,S})$ whose

fibers over $\Delta_{i,S}$ are curves of genus i , marked by the points of S . Whenever we write $\{\sigma_i\}$, we consider the index i to run between 1 and n , and whenever we write $\{E_{i,S}\}$ we consider (i, S) to run over a set of indices representing each irreducible component of the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ once, excluding Δ_{irr} and $\Delta_{g/2,\emptyset}$.

Lemma A.1 *The classes ω_π , $\{\sigma_i\}$, and $\{E_{i,S}\}$ generate $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$. Moreover, we have*

1. *If $g \geq 2$, these classes freely generate, i.e.*

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) = \mathbb{Q}\{\omega_\pi, \{\sigma_i\}, \{E_{i,S}\}\}$$

2. *If $g = 1$, then the classes $\{\sigma_i\}$ and $\{E_{i,S}\}$ freely generate, i.e.*

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}) = \mathbb{Q}\{\{\sigma_i\}, \{E_{i,S}\}\}$$

3. *If $g = 0$, then the classes ω_π and $\{E_{i,S}\}$ freely generate, i.e.*

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}) = \mathbb{Q}\{\omega_\pi, \{E_{i,S}\}\}.$$

Proof This follows from the generators and relations for $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ described in [1]. □


Now let us recall how these generators intersect irreducible components of fibers of π (see, for example, [14]). Let $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a fiber of the universal curve $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and let G be the dual graph of $(C^s, \{p_i^s\}_{i=1}^n)$. If $Z \subset C^s$ is an irreducible component, corresponding to the vertex $v \in G$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\pi \cdot Z &= 2g(v) - 2 + |v|, \\ \sigma_i \cdot Z &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is labeled by } p_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ E_{i,S} \cdot Z &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \text{ has an edge of type-}(i, S), \\ -1 & \text{if } v \text{ has an edge of type-}(i, S)^c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we say that v has an edge of type- (i, S) if v meets an edge corresponding to a node that disconnects the curve into pieces of type (i, S) and $(g - i, S)$, and v lies on the piece of type $(g - i, S)$. Given a \mathbb{Q} -line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} := a\omega_\pi + \sum_i b_i\sigma_i + \sum_{i,S} c_i E_{i,S}, \quad \text{where } a, \{b_i\}, \{c_{i,S}\} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

Fig. 5 The zero-strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$



\mathcal{L} is nef iff, for every dual graph G and every vertex $v \in G$, we have

$$a(\omega_\pi.v) + b_i(\sigma_i.v) + c_{i,S}(E_{i,S}.v) \geq 0,$$

where $\omega_\pi.v, \sigma_i.v, E_{i,S}.v$ are defined by the expressions above. We then define the relative nef cone $\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ to be the intersection of this finite collection of half-spaces. The fact that ω_π is positive on every stable curve implies that these half-spaces have non-empty intersection, hence determine a piecewise-linear closed convex cone. Of course, the *relative cone of curves* is simply defined to be the dual cone $\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) := \overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})^\vee \subset \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})^\vee$.

Let us see how this works in practice by computing the relative cone of curves for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$, and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$, and describe the stability condition corresponding to each face: already, in these low-genus examples, one sees many new stability conditions that have no counterpart in the existing literature. Throughout the following examples, we will make repeated use of the observation that to determine whether a line-bundle is π -nef, it is sufficient to intersect it against those fibers of π which are maximally-degenerate, i.e. those which correspond to zero strata in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Example A.2 (\mathcal{M}_2) By Lemma A.1, the relative \mathbb{Q} -Picard group of the universal curve $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is given by

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2) = \mathbb{Q}\{\omega_\pi\}.$$

Thus, any numerically non-trivial π -nef line bundle on \mathcal{C} is ample, and induces the trivial extremal assignment $\mathcal{Z}(G) = \emptyset$.

In fact, it is easy to verify that there are no non-trivial extremal assignments over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ directly from the axioms. Let G_1, G_2 be dual graphs corresponding to the two zero-strata pictured in Fig. 5. By Axiom 2, any extremal assignment which picks out one vertex from G_1 (or G_2) must pick out both vertices, which contradicts Axiom 1. We conclude that $\mathcal{Z}(G_1) = \mathcal{Z}(G_2) = \emptyset$. By Axiom 3, \mathcal{Z} must be the trivial extremal assignment. In sum, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is the unique stable modular compactification of \mathcal{M}_2 .

Example A.3 (\mathcal{M}_3) By Lemma A.1, the relative \mathbb{Q} -Picard group of the universal curve $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$ is given by

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3) = \mathbb{Q}\{\omega_{\pi}, E\},$$

where $E := E_1$ is the divisor of elliptic tails in the universal curve. Intersecting the divisor $a\omega_{\pi} + bE$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$) with the irreducible components of vital stratum (1) in Fig. 5, we deduce the inequalities $a + 3b \geq 0$ and $a - b \geq 0$. One easily checks that any divisor whose coefficients satisfy these two inequalities automatically satisfies the inequalities arising from the irreducible components in strata (2)–(5). Thus, the nef cone $\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3) \subset \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3)$ is defined by

$$\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3) = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\{\omega_{\pi}(E), \omega_{\pi}(-E/3)\}.$$

Thus, the relative cone of curves has two extremal faces, namely $\omega_{\pi}(-E/3)^{\perp}$ and $\omega_{\pi}(E)^{\perp}$. One easily checks that the nef divisor $\omega_{\pi}(E)$ has degree zero on an irreducible component of a fiber of the universal curve $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$ iff this component is contained in the divisor E (i.e. if it is an elliptic tail). Thus, the extremal assignment induced by this divisor coincides with Example 1.10(2), and the corresponding moduli space replaces elliptic tails by cusps.

On the other hand, one easily checks that $\omega_{\pi}(-E/3)$ has degree zero on a fiber of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$ iff it has the form $R \cup E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$, where R is a smooth rational curve attached to three distinct elliptic tails E_1, E_2 , and E_3 . Since the unique singularity of type $(0, 3)$ is the rational triple point (i.e. the union of the 3 coordinate axes in \mathbb{A}^3), such curves are replaced in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3(\mathcal{Z})$ by curves of the form $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ where the three elliptic tails meet in a rational triple point.

Example A.4 ($\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$) By Lemma A.1, the relative \mathbb{Q} -Picard group of the universal curve $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$ is given by

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}) = \mathbb{Q}\{\omega_{\pi}, \sigma, E\},$$

where $\sigma := \sigma_1$ is the universal section, and $E := E_{1,\emptyset}$ is the divisor of unmarked elliptic tails in the universal curve. Intersecting the divisor $a\omega_{\pi} + b\sigma + cE$ ($a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$) with the three irreducible components of vital strata (1)–(3) in Fig. 5, we deduce the following inequalities for the nef cone:

<u>Stratum 1:</u>	<u>Stratum 2:</u>	<u>Stratum 3:</u>
$b \geq 0$	$a - b \geq 0$	$b \geq 0$
$a + c \geq 0$	$b + 2c \geq 0$	$a \geq 0$
$a - c \geq 0$	$b + 2c \geq 0$	$a \geq 0$




Fig. 6 Faces of the relative cone of curves $\overline{N}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$

One easily checks that this intersection of half-spaces is simply the polyhedral cone generated by the vectors $\{(1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 2, -1)\}$. Thus, the nef cone $\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}) \subset \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$ is defined by

$$\overline{N}_+^1(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}) = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}\{\omega_\pi, \omega_\pi(E), \sigma, \omega_\pi(2\sigma - E)\}.$$

It follows that the cone of curves $\overline{N}_1^+(\mathcal{C}/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$ has eight extremal faces: the codimension-one faces are given by $\omega_\pi^\perp, \omega_\pi(E)^\perp, \sigma^\perp, \omega_\pi(2\sigma - E)^\perp$, while the codimension-two faces are given by $\omega_\pi^\perp \cap \omega_\pi(E)^\perp, \omega_\pi(E)^\perp \cap \sigma^\perp, \sigma^\perp \cap \omega_\pi(2\sigma - E)^\perp, \omega_\pi(2\sigma - E)^\perp \cap \omega_\pi^\perp$.

The irreducible components of the vital strata (1)–(3) contained in these faces are displayed in Fig. 6. In addition, we have indicated the singular curves that arise in the alternate moduli functors associated to these faces: For example, associated to ω_π^\perp , we see only nodal curves, but the marked point is allowed to pass through the node. Associated to $\omega_\pi(E)^\perp$, we see the same phenomenon as well as elliptic tails replaced by cusps. Associated to σ^\perp , we see genus-one bridges being replaced by the two isomorphism classes of singularities of type (1,2), namely tacnodes and a planar cusp with a smooth transverse branch. Finally, associated to $\omega_\pi(2\sigma - E)^\perp$, we see both an unmarked rational curve replaced by a rational triple point and a marked rational curve replaced by a marked node.

Appendix B: The moduli stack of (all) curves (by Jack Hall)

The purpose of this appendix is twofold. First, to give an elementary proof that the moduli stack of all curves is algebraic. Second, to prove a boundedness result which is used in the main body of the paper and does not appear elsewhere in the literature. Let \mathcal{U} be the functor from schemes to groupoids defined by:

$$\mathcal{U}(T) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{flat, proper, finitely-presented morphisms of algebraic} \\ \text{spaces } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow T, \text{ with one-dimensional geometric fibers} \end{array} \right\}.$$

\mathcal{U} is obviously a stack over the category of schemes in the étale topology. The following theorem is well-known to experts and a proof depending on a variant of Artin's criterion appears in [6, Proposition 2.3]. Here, we give a very elementary argument, using Hilbert schemes to explicitly construct an atlas for \mathcal{U} .

Theorem B.1 *\mathcal{U} is an algebraic stack, locally of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, with quasicompact and separated diagonal.*

Proof For any integer $m \geq 1$, let H^m denote the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$ over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Let $H_{\mathcal{U}}^m \subset H^m$ be the subfunctor corresponding to those closed immersions ($\iota : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m$) satisfying:

- (a) the induced morphism $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T$ is an object of $\mathcal{U}(T)$,
- (b) for all $t \in T$, $H^1(\mathcal{C}_t, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_t}(1)) = 0$.

By Cohomology and Base Change [15, Theorem 12.11], the inclusion $H_{\mathcal{U}}^m \subset H^m$ is representable by open immersions. Thus, $H_{\mathcal{U}}^m$ is represented by a scheme, locally of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$. Set $U = \bigsqcup_{m \geq 1} H_{\mathcal{U}}^m$, then there is an induced 1-morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. By [21, Proposition 4.3.2] it suffices to show:

- (1) $R = U \times_{\mathcal{U}} U$ is representable by a scheme, locally of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$;
- (2) the two projections $R \rightrightarrows U$ are smooth;
- (3) the map $U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is surjective on geometric points;
- (4) the morphism $R \rightarrow U \times U$ is quasicompact and separated.

For (1), note that $R = \bigsqcup_{r,m \geq 0} H_{\mathcal{U}}^r \times_{\mathcal{U}} H_{\mathcal{U}}^m$. For any integers $r, m \geq 0$, the 2-fiber product $I_{r,m} := H_{\mathcal{U}}^r \times_{\mathcal{U}} H_{\mathcal{U}}^m$ is the sheaf of isomorphisms between the associated projective families of curves. Thus, $I_{r,m}$ is representable by a scheme, locally of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ [18, Theorem 1.10]. Claim (4) follows from [6, Proof of Proposition 3.3], and (3) is a consequence of [19, Theorem V.4.9] and [15, Example III.5.8]. It remains to prove (2).

Fix $m \geq 1$ and a square zero closed immersion of local Artinian schemes $S \hookrightarrow S'$ such that $\ker(\mathcal{O}_{S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S) \cong \kappa(s)$, where $\kappa(s)$ is the residue field of the closed point s of S , fitting into a 2-commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathcal{U}}^m \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ S' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}. \end{array}$$

By [9, 17.14.2], (2) will be proved if there is always an arrow making the preceding diagram 2-commute. Equivalently, the following diagram may always be completed:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}' \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_S^m & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{S'}^m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \hookrightarrow & S' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \pi \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right\} \pi' \end{array}$$

where $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{U}, \iota : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^m$ is a closed immersion satisfying $H^1(\mathcal{C}_s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_s}(1)) = 0$, and all squares are Cartesian. By [16, III.2.2.4] there is an element of the group:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}^1(\iota^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^m/S}, \pi^* \kappa(s)) &\cong H^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}(\iota^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^m/S}, \pi^* \kappa(s))) \\ &\cong H^1(\mathcal{C}_s, \iota_s^* T_{\mathbb{P}_{\kappa(s)}^m/\kappa(s)}), \end{aligned}$$

which is zero if and only if the preceding diagram may be completed. The Euler exact sequence [15, Theorem II.8.13] shows that this group vanishes, giving us the claim. □

The following Corollary is an immediate consequence of the proof of Theorem B.1.

Corollary B.2 *Fix a proper, flat, and finitely presented morphism of algebraic spaces $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow T$ with one-dimensional geometric fibers. Then, étale locally on T , π is a projective morphism.*

Remark B.3 D. Fulghesu has given an example [11, Example 2.3] of a proper, flat, and finitely presented morphism of algebraic spaces $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow T$ with one-dimensional fibers, which is not representable by schemes. In particular, the morphism π is not Zariski locally projective.

Let $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ be the stack of n -pointed reduced connected curves of arithmetic genus g .

Corollary B.4 *$\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ is an algebraic stack, locally of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, with quasicompact and separated diagonal.*

Proof Let \mathcal{U}_n denote the stack of n -pointed curves. The 1-morphism $\mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is representable by finitely presented algebraic spaces (it is the universal curve). Combining Corollary B.2 with [8, 7.9.4] and [9, 12.2.1(viii)] shows that the 1-morphism $\mathcal{U}_{g,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is representable by open immersions. For $n \geq 1$ we have that $\mathcal{U}_{g,n} = \mathcal{U}_1 \times_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{U}_{g,n-1}$, so by Theorem B.1 the claim follows. \square

The following boundedness lemma is needed to show that the substack of curves with a bounded number of irreducible components is of finite type.

Lemma B.5 *There exists an integer $D_{g,e}$, depending only on g and e , such that any reduced curve of arithmetic genus g with no more than e irreducible components admits a degree d embedding into $\mathbb{P}^{D_{g,e}}$ for some $d \leq D_{g,e}$.*

Proof It is sufficient to show that there exists an integer $D_{g,e}$ such that any reduced curve of arithmetic genus g with no more than e irreducible components admits a very ample line bundle \mathcal{L} with degree $d \leq D_{g,e}$ and $H^1(C, \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Given a curve C satisfying the hypotheses of the Lemma, let $Z \subset C$ be an effective Cartier divisor whose support meets the smooth locus of every irreducible component of C . Since C has no more than e irreducible components, we may assume that $\deg Z \leq e$. Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}(Z)$. It suffices to exhibit an integer $m := m(g, e)$, depending only on g and e , such that \mathcal{L}^m is very ample and $H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m) = 0$. Indeed, we may take $D_{g,e} = me$.

To show that \mathcal{L}^m separates points and tangent vectors, it is sufficient to show that, for any $p \in C$:

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m \otimes m_p) = H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m \otimes m_p^2) = 0.$$

Clearly, the latter vanishing implies the former. The former vanishing also implies that $H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m) = 0$. Given $p \in C$, let $\pi^{-1}(p) = p_1 + \cdots + p_r$, where $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is the normalization of C . Let $\delta(p)$ denote the δ -invariant of p . We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-2\delta(p)(p_1 + \cdots + p_r)) \longrightarrow m_p^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{E} is a coherent sheaf supported at p . Twisting by \mathcal{L}^m and taking cohomology, we obtain another exact sequence:

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-2\delta(p)(p_1 + \dots + p_r))) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m \otimes m_p^2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the projection formula:

$$\begin{aligned} &H^1(C, \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-2\delta(p)(p_1 + \dots + p_r))) \\ &= H^1(\tilde{C}, (\pi^* \mathcal{L})^m(-2\delta(p)(p_1 + \dots + p_r))), \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes for $m > 2g - 2 + 2\delta(p)r$. Since $\delta(p) \leq g + e - 1$ and $r \leq \delta(p) + 1$, we may take $m(g, e) := 2g - 2 + 2(g + e)(g + e - 1)$. \square

Denote by $\mathcal{U}_{g,n,e}$ the substack of $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ having objects those $(\pi, \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ such that the geometric fibers of π have no more than e irreducible components.

Corollary B.6 $\mathcal{U}_{g,n,e}$ is an algebraic stack, of finite type over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$, with quasicompact and separated diagonal.

Proof $\mathcal{U}_{g,n,e}$ is an open substack of $\mathcal{U}_{g,n}$ by [9, 12.2.1(xi)]. By Lemma B.5, there is an open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of genus g curves in $\mathbb{P}^{D_{g,e}}$ with degree $\leq D_{g,e}$, mapping surjectively onto $\mathcal{U}_{g,n,e}$. Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{g,n,e}$ is of finite type. \square

References

1. Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M.: Calculating cohomology groups of moduli spaces of curves via algebraic geometry. *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **88**, 97–129 (1999)
2. Artin, M.: Algebraization of formal moduli II: existence of modifications. *Ann. Math.* **91**, 88–135 (1970). *Duke Math. J.* **85**(1), 1–60 (1996)
3. Debarre, O.: *Higher-Dimensional Geometry*. Springer, New York (2000)
4. de Jong, A.J.: Families of curves and alterations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **47**(2), 599–621 (1997)
5. de Jong, A.J.: Smoothness, semi-stability, and alterations. *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **83**, 51–93 (1996)
6. de Jong, A.J., He, X., Starr, J.: Families of rationally simply connected varieties over surfaces and torsors for semisimple groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **114**, 1–85 (2011)
7. Deligne, P., Mumford, D.: The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **36**, 75–109 (1969)
8. Dieudonné, J., Grothendieck, A.: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique III*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* (1961)
9. Dieudonné, J., Grothendieck, A.: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV*. *Pub. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* (1965)

10. Eddidin, D., Hassett, B., Kresch, A., Vistoli, A.: Brauer groups and quotient stacks. *Am. J. Math.* **123**(4), 761–777 (2001)
11. Fulghesu, D.: The stack of rational curves. *Commun. Algebra* **38**(7), 2405–2417 (2010)
12. Hassett, B.: Moduli spaces of weighted pointed curves. *Adv. Math.* **173**(2), 316–352 (2003)
13. Hassett, B., Hyeon, D.: Log canonical models of the moduli space of curves: the first contraction. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **361**, 4471–4489 (2009)
14. Harris, J., Morrison, I.: *Moduli of Curves*. Springer, New York (1998)
15. Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, New York (1977)
16. Illusie, L.: *Complexe cotangent et déformations*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 239. Springer, Berlin (1971)
17. Keel, S., Mori, S.: Quotients by groupoids. *Ann. Math.* **145**(1), 193–213 (1997)
18. Kollár, J.: *Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties*. Springer, Berlin (1999)
19. Knutson, D.: *Algebraic Spaces*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin (1971)
20. Lipman, J.: *Rational Singularities*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, vol. 36 (1969)
21. Laumon, G., Moret-Bailly, L.: *Champs algébriques*. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, vol. 39. Springer, Berlin (2000)
22. Raynaud, M., Gruson, L.: Critères de platitude et de projectivité, techniques de platification d’un module. *Invent. Math.* **13**, 1–89 (1971)
23. Rulla, W.: *The birational geometry of \mathcal{M}_3 and $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$* . Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas at Austin (2001)
24. Schubert, D.: A new compactification of the moduli space of curves. *Compos. Math.* **78**(3), 297–313 (1991)
25. Smyth, D.: Modular compactifications of the space of pointed elliptic curves I. *Compos. Math.* **147**(3), 877–913 (2011)
26. Smyth, D.: Modular compactifications of the space of pointed elliptic curves II. *Compos. Math.* **147**(6), 1843–1884 (2011)
27. Stevens, J.: On the classification of reducible curve singularities. In: *Algebraic Geometry and Singularities*. *Progr. Math.*, vol. 134, pp. 383–407. Birkhäuser, Basel (1996)