# Improving Kangaroo Management: A Joint Statement

By John L. Read (), George R. Wilson (), Graeme Coulson (), Rosie Cooney, David C. Paton (), Katherine E. Moseby (), Melissa A. Snape () and Melanie J. Edwards ()

John L. Read is Adjunct Lecturer in School of Biological Sciences, University of Adelaide (Adelaide, SA, Australia; Email: ecological67@gmail.com). George R. Wilson is Honorarv Professor, Australian National University, and Principal, Australian Wildlife Services (Canberra, ACT, Australia). Graeme Coulson is Honorary Principal Fellow, School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne (Melbourne, VIC, Australia). Rosie Cooney is Senior Director, Conservation Research, ACT Government (Canberra, ACT, Australia). David C. Paton is Adjunct Associate Professor, School of Biological Sciences, University of Adelaide (Adelaide, SA, Australia). Katherine E. Moseby is a Researcher with University of New South Wales (Sydney, NSW, Australia). Melissa A. is Senior Ecologist, Environment, Snape Planning Sustainable Development and Directorate, ACT Government (Canberra, ACT, Australia). Melanie J. Edwards is Research Officer, Australian Wildlife Services (Canberra, ACT, Australia). This paper is part of the special issue 'Optimum management of overabundant macropods' published in Ecological Management & Restoration

**Summary** This Statement on improving kangaroo management originates from the shared experience of many peak bodies and stakeholders that existing policy and practices related to kangaroo management cause perverse outcomes for animal welfare, conservation, productivity, waste, drought resilience, climate, and the health, culture and wellbeing of Australians. The Statement was developed in consultation with delegates from two kangaroo symposia in 2019, and broadened through contributions from other ecological, Aboriginal, animal welfare and conservation stakeholders who believe reform is necessary. Positive change across all these domains requires an empathetic, science-based collaborative and coordinated approach to improve management of kangaroos in Australia, which in turn requires building a broad social mandate for reform. This manuscript presents the drivers and definitions for the Statement and key references documenting the causes and recommended solutions for improving kangaroo management, including many of the papers in this volume. An extensive inventory of conservation, agricultural, animal welfare, indigenous and scientific organisations that have endorsed the Statement is provided. We aim to inform public opinions and drive necessary change to policy, attitude and actions to appropriately value, conserve and utilise kangaroos where there is a tendency for overpopulation. Recommendations include development of a National Kangaroo Strategy that includes discussion on whether overabundant kangaroos are a legitimate sustainable resource that should be managed as such rather than being largely wasted as the by-product of pest control to protect other industries or conservation landuses.

## Implications to Managers

- Overabundant kangaroo populations, along with other herbivores, must be managed to conserve minimum forage resources such as grass cover and to enhance conservation, production and animal welfare outcomes.
- Where dingoes are not compatible with other land uses, regulated and accredited harvesting of overabundant macropods (as a resource) is

preferable to culling (and wasting) or death by starvation.

• Kangaroo populations are best managed by informed, proactive and adaptive management at property, regional and national scales, so that waste is minimised and resources are used sustainably.

#### Origins of the Statement and Who We Are

We are eight wildlife scientists with collectively over 200 years of postdoctoral experience in applied ecology, conservation biology, primary production,

veterinary science and environmental policy. We have seen the habitats and cohabpopulations iting species' that overabundant kangaroos degrade, and the drought-induced productivity declines that are accelerated and intensified by overabundant kangaroos. Ecological overabundance refers to population densities that are both higher than historic levels and that cause unsustainable herbivory in altered landscapes. We have witnessed the starvation of scores of kangaroos that have collectively amounted to millions of deaths in the last drought alone. There is an urgent need for kangaroo management reform to prevent these devastating outcomes.

186 ECOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT & RESTORATION VOL 22 NO S1 NOVEMBER 2021

Ecological Society of Australia and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,



<sup>© 2021</sup> The Authors. Ecological Management & Restoration published by

distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We have four core motivations for preparing this Statement for improving kangaroo management (outlined in Box 1):

- Challenging current kangaroo management that is leading to profoundly detrimental consequences for kangaroo welfare, landscape sustainability, biodiversity conservation, resilient agricultural production and Aboriginal health and culture.
- Providing a credible, collaborative approach to represent diverse stakeholders who have experienced challenges in individually advocating for reform.
- Challenging the viewpoint that commercial harvesting of overabundant macropods is contrary to enhancing their welfare, conservation and cultural status.
- Acknowledging that treating kangaroos as pests in order to meet conservation or production goals limits the cultural, environmental, welfare, conservation and production benefits of managing kangaroos as a resource.

In 2019, two symposia on the management of overabundant macropods (Australian Rangeland Society and Ecological Society of Australia Conferences) revealed consistent views of ecologists and other key government and non-government stakeholders regarding the management of overabundant macropods. This Statement on Improving Kangaroo Management originates from presentations and discussions at these symposia. The meetings were supported by New South Wales (NSW) Western Local Land Services and the NSW Kangaroo Management Task Force. Further discussion followed with agricultural. conservation. Indigenous and animal welfare stakeholders.

Stakeholders (Box 1) agree that kangaroo management represents a divisive 'wicked' problem, with a policy vacuum for reform. Political and corporate fear of upsetting influential interest groups or funders has led to stagnation or decline in kangaroo management. Most managers avoid setting targets for kangaroo numbers, despite informed and improved management being identified as a priority for conservation, animal welfare and productivity outcomes for over half a century (Frith & Calaby, 1969; Read *et al.* 2021).

Policymakers and managers need to do more. While they should not ignore the conflicting values of special interest groups, a science-based approach should be urgently adopted to achieve sustainable land management outcomes that best protect the diverse values of all stakeholders. This Statement will be their foundation; it provides the blueprint for informed kangaroo management, a National Strategy and a way forward.

#### **Endorsement and support**

Major agricultural, ecological, wildlife management, conservation advocacy, scientific and Indigenous groups have endorsed the 'Statement' presented in this paper (Box 1). The Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (RSPCA) Australia also acknowledges that the suffering and death of many kangaroos during drought justifies urgent management of kangaroo populations to protect the welfare of individual animals, to help conserve vulnerable native species and/or to reduce adverse impacts on human activities or the environment. RSPCA Australia supports the development of a national strategy, and ensuring that kangaroo harvesting and culling is conducted to the highest ethical and welfare standards under a single National Code of Practice.

The Statement has thereby gained widespread support from a broad crosssection of the scientific, conservation, animal welfare and agricultural communities. This paves the way for consensus on an informed and unifying new strategy to manage macropods.

# How the Statement should be used

The publication of yet another policy reform statement is not sufficient to drive the requisite change needed to avert the chronic damage and episodic welfare catastrophes characteristic of historic macropod management failures. However, we, the authors, and the endorsers of this Statement, feel that our proposed policy framework is different and timely.

States and territories are the custodians of kangaroos in their jurisdictions, and their management plans and regulations are disparate, reflecting State perspectives. However they all have the common failing of struggling to manage irrupting kangaroo populations and not taking into account competing land use priorities. The proposed Strategy would address why and how management might change in regard to these and a number of other important issues outlined in the Statement. It would enable the urgently-needed cross-jurisdictional collaboration.

A recurring theme of the 2019 symposia and subsequent deliberations was the need for collaboration, not only between Commonwealth and States, but also between environment agencies and agriculture departments. While matters that are the responsibility of environment agencies - population conservation and sustainability of harvests - are handled effectively, broad-scale overpopulation is not addressed. Nor is the land-use context in which it occurs. Agriculture agencies could be more active in promoting kangaroos as a sustainable resource by seeking to increase the value of kangaroo products and by improving product processing, branding and animal welfare.

State-level Kangaroo Management plans are prepared for the commercial harvest of kangaroos in NSW, Queensland, South Australia, Western Australia and Victoria to meet their legislative requirements and to satisfy the requirements of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act; see Box 2) and so permit harvesting of kangaroos for a period of 5 years. They describe population trends and the reproductive capacity of kangaroo populations. They meet their primary aim of ensuring commercial harvest does not threaten the species that they cover but they have the failing of being written as though kangaroos were stock to be harvested like fish or forests rather than a population competing for grazing resources. The plans make no mention of the numbers of livestock sharing the grazing nor the numbers of kangaroos culled

### **Box 1** Improving Kangaroo Management – a Joint Statement

Kangaroos (macropod family, including wallabies) are iconic native animals that are integral to Australian cultures and ecosystem function. In the past 200 years, populations of several species of kangaroos, especially in southern Australia, have increased due to greater availability of pasture, increased watering points, dingo control and less hunting<sup>1</sup>.

#### Failure to manage overabundant kangaroos leads to adverse outcomes for:

- Animal welfare: Millions of kangaroos starve to death in drought<sup>2</sup>. Under current kangaroo management, large numbers of kangaroos are also culled by non-professionals leading to increased welfare risks to individuals and dependent young<sup>3</sup>.
- *Environment protection and ecosystem conservation*: Despite being native, overabundant kangaroos directly threaten the survival of biodiversity on both private and public lands. They degrade understory, impact rare plants and compete with less conspicuous native animals for resources and habitat<sup>4</sup>.
- *Natural Resource Management*: High kangaroo populations compromise regenerative agriculture, pasture conservation, revegetation and stewardship programmes<sup>5</sup>.
- Human health and welfare: Unmanaged kangaroo populations can have significant financial impacts on landholders in terms
  of production and landscape management<sup>6</sup>. There are also potential mental health impacts due to witnessing starvation,
  vehicle incidents and having to euthanise dying kangaroos<sup>7</sup>. Indirect health impacts include increased dust pollution and
  reduced water quality as a result of erosion through overgrazing and the degradation of groundcover<sup>8</sup>.
- *Food waste:* Non-commercial culling results in millions of carcasses being left to rot in the field with implications for Indigenous values<sup>9</sup>, food waste<sup>10</sup>, invasive predator population maintenance and biosecurity<sup>11</sup>.

Avoiding extreme boom-and-bust cycles of macropods is a challenge for wildlife and land managers, agricultural agencies, regulators and industry. Management that has prescribed and measurable objectives would confer significant benefits for kangaroo conservation and welfare; rural communities; human, animal and landscape health; and food security.

#### Recommendations for managing overabundant kangaroos

- Urgently reform kangaroo management guided by principles designed to improve human and animal welfare, conservation and sustainability on both agricultural and conservation lands, and to reduce food waste.
- Establish Regional Kangaroo Management Groups, with input from land managers, ecologists, Indigenous, welfare, industry, government and conservation stakeholders to develop regional plans for kangaroo management.
- Prepare a National Kangaroo Strategy to support State and Commonwealth governments and other stakeholders in decision-making around kangaroo management.

#### Terms of Reference for a National Kangaroo Strategy should:

- Reflect and integrate the needs and priorities of all stakeholders, including Indigenous communities and private landholders, and build on existing successful regional initiatives (including those of governments) <sup>12</sup>.
- Recognise that setting and maintaining minimal forage thresholds is integral to retaining healthy landscapes, local kangaroo
  populations and sustainable production, and to ensure kangaroo densities do not cause negative environmental, welfare or
  economic impacts<sup>13</sup>.
- Identify immediate steps to prevent unsustainable post-drought kangaroo population increases through setting clear kangaroo population thresholds.
- Identify objectives, roles and responsibilities of stakeholders and priority knowledge gaps that need to be addressed by targeted research <sup>14</sup>.
- Recognise that non-lethal population management tools, such as relocation and sterilisation, are not practical at the scales
  required and that exclusion fencing alone does not prevent population build-up and has other impacts on biodiversity<sup>15</sup>.
- Ensure the highest ethical and humaneness standards and progress towards a system where all harvesting and/or culling of kangaroos is undertaken under a single National Code of Practice <sup>16</sup>.

 Consider opportunities to better integrate kangaroo harvesting into rangeland production systems by recognising that kangaroos evolved with Australia's fluctuating climate and could be grazed in a complementary way with domestic stock, producing low carbon emission, healthy meat with low impact on soils and vegetation <sup>17</sup>.

| Organisations who have endorsed this Statement   | Nature Foundation Australia SA                 |
|--|--|
| ACT Rural Landholders                            | NRM Regions Australia Ltd                      |
| Arid Recovery                                    | NSW Farmers                                    |
| Australian Association of Bush Regenerators      | NSW Kangaroo Management Taskforce,             |
| Australian Rangeland Society                     | Society for Ecological Restoration Australasia |
| Australian Mammal Society                        | Sheep Producers Australia                      |
| Australian Veterinary Conservation Biology Group | Southern Queensland Landscapes                 |
| Australasian Wildlife Management Society         | The Ecological Society of Australia            |
| Bush Heritage Australia                          | The Tribal Owners of the Coorong, Lower Lakes, |
| Conservation Council of South Australia          | River Murray and the Sea                       |
| Friends of Grasslands                            | Walgett Aboriginal Medical Services            |
| Greening Australia                               | Western Landcare NSW IncServices               |
| Indigenous Land and Sea Corporation              | Wild Deserts Corporation                       |
| Nature Conservation Society SA                   |  |

#### Key references for topics addressed in the Statement

1 Contemporary increase in macropods (Calaby & Grigg 1989; Frith & Calaby 1969; Wilson 2018; Finch et al. 2021; Coulson et al. 2021)

2 Starve in drought (Bayliss 1987; Pople et al. 2010; Wilson & Edwards 2019; Pedler et al. 2021)

3 Culling by amateurs introduces welfare risks (McLeod and Sharp 2014; Wilson & Edwards 2019)

4 Plants and animals threatened by kangaroos (Cheal 1986; Howland *et al.* 2014; Prowse *et al.* 2019; Gordon *et al.* 2021; Morgan 2021; Read *et al.* 2021)

5 High kangaroo numbers compromise regenerative agriculture (Gardiner 1986a; Gardiner 1986b; Norbury *et al.* 1993; Pople & Grigg 1999; Rees *et al.* 2017; Massy 2017; Freeman and Pobke 2021)

6 High kangaroo numbers compromise agricultural profits (McLeod 2004; Atkinson et al. 2019)

7 Mental health issues culling and witnessing starvation (KMT 2019; The Land 2018; Zanker 2021; McMurtie & Kerle 2021; Finlayson et al. 2021)

8 Erosion and contamination reducing air and water quality (Greene et al. 1994; Coulson et al. 2000; Viggers & Hearn 2005)

9 Culling affects Indigenous values (Archer 2002; Jackson & Vernes 2010)

10 Culling without harvesting represents food waste (Grigg 2002)

11 Culling represents a threat to biosecurity (Wildlife Health Australia 2018)

12 Build on existing regional programs (McLeod & Hacker 2019)

13 Minimal forage thresholds to trigger management (Portas & Snape 2018; Waters 2018; Gordon et al. 2021)

14 Identify key responsibilities and knowledge gaps (Wilson & Edwards, 2021)

15 Nonlethal tools and fencing not sufficient (ACT Parliamentary Counsel 2017; Herbert et al. 2021; Wimpenny et al. 2021)

16 Harvesting and culling should operate under same National Code of Practice (Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council 2008; Stephens 2021)

17 Benefits of kangaroo for rangeland production (Wilson & Edwards 2008; Wilson & Edwards 2021)

in damage mitigation and that are therefore not part of the commercial industry. Also the plans do not consider the impact of overabundant kangaroos on most other conservation attributes or productivity of agricultural lands.

Improving kangaroo management is an issue of national significance. We believe effective change will only occur with a coordinated fact-based approach that builds a broad social mandate for improved management of kangaroos across Australia. We are asking the Australian Government to lead on rectifying this animal welfare and environmental disaster.

We advocate preparation of a National Kangaroo Strategy through a process of extensive consultation undertaken by a National Task Group and Secretariat. The proposed Strategy would inform an overarching management plan that could potentially be registered under the EPBC ACT reform—setting clear and concise rules that deliver outcomes for the environment and enable development to continue in a sustainable way. Reforms provide a way forward that seeks to build community trust that the national environmental laws deliver effective protections, while regulating businesses efficiently. The Act in its current form achieves neither.

The Strategy would then be implemented under the direction of a Task Group working in partnership with stakeholders.

#### Discussion

Balancing the conservation, animal welfare and production threats of overabundant kangaroos has strong parallels with management challenges for wild herbivores on other continents. For example, culling of overabundant elephants in National Parks in South Africa provoked so much outcry that a moratorium on culling was imposed in 1994. The subsequent increase in the elephant population led to the rapid destruction of vegetation that endangered other animals (Scholtz 2005). The elephant moratorium demonstrates that the impact of emotive perceptions and runaway media should not prevent proactive science-based management using a range of techniques to meet considered elephant management objectives (Scholtz 2005; Thomson 2020). Similarly, improved outcomes for kangaroo management must be advanced proactively and adaptively managed according to informed National, State and Regional policies and on-ground actions by public and private land managers (McLeod & Hacker 2019).

We have demonstrated widespread support and secured credible endorsement for the immediate and profound overhaul of macropod management policy. Organisations supporting this Statement recognise that advocating for change, especially advancing proactive management that includes population control, may appear counterintuitive to some animal rights ideologies that oppose killing of healthy animals. Animal rights advocates need to be aware that starvation, which represents the most significant conservation and welfare risk to those macropod species that are prone to unsustainable population increases,

has been responsible for significantly more kangaroo deaths and serious animal welfare issues in recent decades than has lethal control. It is resource availability, even in areas ungrazed by domestic stock, that drive key risks to kangaroo populations (Portas & Snape 2018). The Australian Capital Territory has provided a template whereby resource (grass) availability should govern adaptive management of macropod populations, which should be replicated in other jurisdictions for the benefit of kangaroos and other biodiversity (Gordon *et al.* 2021).

The papers compiled and referenced in this special edition reinforce that outcomes for kangaroos and other biodiversity will be enhanced by damping populations so they do not exceed sustainable carrying capacity. Misleading and unsubstantiated claims that large kangaroo species are generally in lower densities than historic levels and that harvesting threatens them with extinction are demonstrably refuted by scientific evidence, including one of the most comprehensive long-term wildlife monitoring programmes anywhere in the world (McLeod *et al.* 2021; Finch *et al.* 2021).

Ironically, kangaroo population control is especially important within conservation areas, including National Parks (Prowse *et al.* 2019; Morgan 2021; Pedler *et al.* 2021; Freeman and Pobke 2021), Conservation Reserves (Finlayson *et al.* 2021; Gordon *et al.* 2021) and especially fenced Nature Reserves (Moseby *et al.* 2019; Coates 2021; Treloar *et al.* 2021), that are managed primarily for

### Box 2 Potential opportunities in the Commonwealth Environment Act

The EPBC Act:

- gives effect to the Commonwealth's commitment to ensure the principles of ecologically sustainable development are taken into account in policy and decision-making process
- recognises the vital role Indigenous Australians and their knowledge play in the conservation and sustainable use of Australia's environment and heritage
- · facilitates cooperative arrangements with the states and territories
- · implements international commitments on biodiversity, heritage and other relevant matters
- provides a framework for managing Commonwealth parks and reserves, and · promotes biodiversity protection and recovery

14428903,

, 202

conservation. Fertility control (Herbert *et al.* 2021; Wimpenny *et al.* 2021) and macropod fencing combined with oneway gates (Pedler *et al.* 2021) have the potential to limit macropod overabundance in some of these intensively managed areas.

In cases where apex predator control of macropods is incompatible with land uses, some Indigenous, agricultural, ecological and animal welfare stakeholders call for macropod populations to be regulated by accredited harvesting, rather than wasteful culling or abhorrent starvation and road trauma. Reinstating or introducing more kangaroo meat into diets could play a major role in 'healthy people healthy communities' (Wilson et al. 2010). Harvesting by accredited and monitored marksmen, with policy, monitoring and marketing support, should provide the optimum tool for restoring an ecological balance to kangaroo populations to prevent impacts of overgrazing and tragic mass die-offs (Wilson & Edwards 2021).

Now is the time for decisive evidencebased policy and actions.

There are many aspects to developing effective management strategies for kangaroos to prevent the adverse impacts that arise when their populations are overabundant. We have discussed some of these but other questions that require consideration include the following:

- **1** Should Australians regard kangaroos as a resource to be integrated into rangeland production systems?
- **2** What is the potential contribution of commercial use of kangaroos to economic diversification in rural communities?
- **3** Is it possible to increase the value and demand by improving quality, reliability of supply, more accurate description and better marketing of the natural attributes of kangaroos?
- 4 Should landholders be eligible for carbon and biodiversity credits from better kangaroo management?
- **5** Can they be granted custodianship or leases to kangaroos on their properties?

- 6 How can Indigenous rights, responsibilities and opportunities best be incorporated into kangaroo management?
- 7 What is the legal liability and responsibility of governments for the welfare and husbandry of wildlife?
- 8 What is the legal responsibility of government conservation agencies to protect the conservation of other animals and plants affected by high numbers of kangaroos?

#### Conclusion

This Statement seeks to succinctly present the shortcomings and outcomes of contemporary kangaroo management and to guide policy formation to address these issues.

A national, collaborative approach, featuring evidenced-based decision making and education of the public is needed to build a broad social mandate for improved management of kangaroos in Australia.

#### Acknowledgements

Fiona Garland played a pivotal role in the compilation of this statement by securing feedback and endorsement from many stakeholders.

#### References

- ACT Parliamentary Counsel (2017) Nature Conservation (Eastern Grey Kangaroo) Controlled Native Species Management Plan 2017. ACT Government, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- Archer M. (2002) Confronting crises in conservation: a talk on the wild side. *In: A Zoological Revolution: Using Native Fauna to Assist in its Own Survival* (eds D. Lunney and C. Dickman) pp. 12–52. Royal Zoological Society of NSW Australian Museum, Mosman, NSW, Australia.
- Atkinson T., Hacker R. B., Melville G. J. and Reseigh J. (2019) Land managers' and service providers' perspectives on the magnitude, impact and management of nondomestic grazing pressure in the southern rangelands of Australia. *The Rangeland Journal* **41**, 461–476. https://doi.org/10.1071/ RJ19065.
- Bayliss P. (1987) Kangaroo dynamics. *In: Kanga*roos their Ecology and Management in the Sheep Rangelands of Australia (eds G. Caughley, N. Shepherd and J. Short) pp.

119–133. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- Calaby J. H. and Grigg G. C. (1989) Changes in macropodoid communities and populations in the past 200 years, and the future. *In: Kangaroos, Wallabies and Rat Kangaroos* (eds G. Grigg, P. Jarman and I. Hume) pp. 813–820. Surrey Beatty and Sons Pty Ltd, Chipping Norton, NSW, Australia.
- Cheal D. (1986) A park with a kangaroo problem. *Oryx* **20**, 95–99. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0030605300026326
- Coates D. (2021) Growth of the Black Wallaby Growth of the Black Wallaby (*Wallabia bicolor*) population at the Cranbourne Gardens (Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria), after the implementation of fox control. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 50–53.
- Coulson G., Alviano P., Ramp D., Way S., McLean N. and Yazgin V. (2000) The kangaroos of Yan Yean: Issues for a forested water catchment in a semi-rural matrix. In: Nature Conservation 5: Nature Conservation in Production Environments: Managing the Matrix (eds J. L. Craig, N. Mitchell and D. A. Saunders) pp. 146–156. Surrey Beatty & Sons, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- Coulson G., Snape M. and Cripps J. (2021) How many macropods? A managers guide to small-scale population surveys of kangaroos and wallabies. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 75–89.
- Freeman A. and Pobke K. (2021) Macropod management is critical for recovery of Sheoak Grassy Woodlands on Eyre Peninsula, South Australia. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 44–49.
- Finch N., Pople A. and Mcleod S. (2021) Advances in aerial survey methods for macropods in New South Wales and Queensland. *Ecological Management & Restoration* 22(S1), 99–105.
- Finlayson G., Tschirner K., McCann J. and Appleby M. (2021) Kangaroo management in the South Australian rangelands: impacts and challenges for conservation management. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22** (S1), 24–34.
- Frith H. J. and Calaby J. H. (1969) Kangaroos. Cheshire, Melbourne, Vic., Australia.
- Gardiner H. (1986a) Dynamics of perennial plants in the mulga (*Acacia aneura F. Muell*) zone of Western Australia. I. Rates of population change. *The Rangeland Journal* **8**, 18–27. https://doi.org/10.1071/RJ9860018
- Gardiner H. G. (1986b) Dynamics of perennial plants in the mulga (*Acacia aneura F. muell.*) zone of Western Australia, 2. Survival of perennial shrubs and grasses. *The Rangeland Journal* 8, 28–35. https://doi.org/10.1071/ RJ9860028
- Gordon I. J., Snape M. A., Fletcher D. B. *et al.* (2021) Herbivore management for biodiversity conservation: a case study of kangaroos in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT). *Environmental Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 124–137.
- Greene R., Kinnell P. I. A. and Wood J. (1994) Role of plant cover and stock trampling on runoff and soil erosion from semi-arid wooded rangeland. Australian Journal of Soil Research **32**, 953–973. https://doi.org/10. 1071/SR9940953

- Grigg G. (2002) The impact of animals on the environment: should we be switching to kangaroos and if so, how could we? A paper to stimulate discussion. *Animal Production in Australia* **24**, 425–434.
- Herbert C., Snape M., Winpenny C. and Coulson G. (2021) Kangaroos in peri-urban areas: a fool's paradise? *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 167–175.
- Howland B., Stojanovic D., Gordon I. J., Manning A. D., Fletcher D. and Lindenmayer D. (2014) Eaten out of house and home: impacts of grazing on ground-dwelling reptiles in Australian grasslands and grassy woodlands. *PLoS One* **9**, e105966. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0105966
- Jackson S. and Vernes K. (2010) Kangaroo: A Portrait of an Extraordinary Marsupial. Allen and Unwin, Crows Nest, NSW, Australia.
- Kangaroo Management Taskforce. (2019) Kangaroos and Country. [Accessed 23 Sept 2020.] Available from URL: https://www.youtube.c om/watch?v=M1spJsWFx48.
- Massy C. (2017) Call of the Reed Warbler A New Agriculture a New Earth. University of Queensland Press, St Lucia, Qld, Australia.
- McLeod R. (2004) Counting the Cost: Impact of Invasive Animals in Australia, 2004. Invasive Animals Cooperative Research Centre, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- Mcleod S., Finch N., Wallace G. and Pople T. (2021) Assessing the spatial and temporal organization of kangaroo populations in eastern Australia using multivariate autoregressive state-space models. *Ecological Management & Restoration* 22(S1), 106–123.
- McLeod S. R. and Hacker R. B. (2019) Balancing stakeholder interests in kangaroo management – historical perspectives and future prospects. *The Rangeland Journal* **41**, 567–579. https://doi.org/10.1071/RJ19055
- McLeod S. and Sharp T. (2014) Improving the Welfare and Humaneness of Commercially Harvested Kangaroos. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- McMurtie A. and Kerle A. (2021) The dying has begun. It's a pretty rough backdrop to a job. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22** (S1), 64–65.
- Morgan (2021) Overabundant native herbivore impacts on native plant communities in south-eastern Australia. Ecological Management & Restoration 22(S1), 9–15.
- Moseby K. E., Letnic M., Blumstein D. T. and West R. (2019) Understanding predator densities for successful co-existence of alien predators and threatened prey. *Austral Ecol*ogy **44**, 409–419. https://doi.org/10.1111/ aec.12697
- Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council (2008) National Code of Practice for the Humane Shooting of Kangaroos and

Wallabies for Commercial Purposes. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

- Norbury G., Norbury D. and Hacker R. (1993) Impact of red kangaroos on the pasture layer in the Western Australian arid zone. *The Rangeland Journal* **15**, 12–23. https://doi.org/ 10.1071/RJ9930012.
- Pedler R., Read J., Moseby K. E., Kingsford R. and West R. (2021) Proactive management of kangaroos for conservation and ecosystem restoration – Wild Deserts, Sturt National Park, NSW. Ecological Management & Restoration 22(S1), 90–98.
- Pople T. and Grigg C. (1999) Commercial Harvesting of Kangaroos in Australia. Department of Environment and Heritage, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- Pople A. R., Grigg G. C., Phinn S. R., Menke N., McAlpin C. and Possingham H. P. (2010) Reassessing the spatial and temporal dynamics of kangroo populations. In: *Macropods: The Biology of Kangaroos, Wallabies and Rat-Kangaroos* (eds G. Coulson and M. Eldridge) pp. 197–210. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Vic., Australia.
- Portas T. J. and Snape M. A. (2018) Factors Influencing Sub-adult Mortality Events in Eastern Grey Kangaroos (Macropus giganteus) in the ACT. Environment Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate, ACT Government, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- Prowse T. A. A., O'Connor P. J., Collard S. J. and Rogers D. J. (2019) Eating away at protected areas: Total grazing pressure is undermining public land conservation. *Global Ecology* and Conservation **20**, e00754. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00754
- Read J. L., Coulson G. and Wilson G. R. *et al.* (2021) Introduction to special edition on overabundant macropods. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 5–8.
- Rees J. D., Kingsford R. T. and Letnic M. (2017) In the absence of an apex predator, irruptive herbivores suppress grass seed production: Implications for small granivores. *Biological Conservation* **213**, 13–18. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biocon.2017.06.037
- Samuel G. (2020) Interim Report of the Independent Review of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- Scholtz W. (2005) Animal culling: a sustainable approach or anthropocentric atrocity? Issues of biodiversity and custodial sovereignty. *Journal of International and Comparative Environmental Law* **2**, 9–30.
- Stephens T. (2021) Kangaroo management and animal welfare. Ecological Management and Restoration 22(S1), 71–74.

- The Land. (2018) Farmers see appalling scenes as roo population hits drought wall. [Accessed 18 Jun 2020.] Available from URL: https:// www.theland.com.au/story/5201186/massroo-death-shame-in-western-nsw/.
- Thomson G. (2020) The Great Elephant Balancing Act. [Accessed 23 Sept 2020.] Available from URL: http://conservationnamibia.com/blog/ b2020-elephant-balancing-act.php.
- Treloar S., Lohr C., Hopkins A. and Davis R. (2021) Rapid population expansion of Boodie (Burrowing Bettong, *Bettongia lesueur*) creates potential for resource competition with Mala (Rufous Hare-wallaby, *Lagorchestes hirsutus*). Ecological Management & Restoration **22**(S1), 54–57.
- Viggers K. L. and Hearn J. P. (2005) The kangaroo conundrum: home range studies and implications for land management. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **42**, 99–107. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01001.x
- Waters C. M. (2018) Addressing Feed Supply through Total Grazing Pressure Management. Meat and Livestock Australia Limited, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- Wildlife Health Australia (2018) National Wildlife Biosecurity Guidelines. Wildlife Health Australia, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- Wilson G. (2018) Kangaroos can be an asset rather than a pest. In: Proceedings of the Australian Veterinary Association Annual Conference, May 2018, pp. 944–946. Brisbane, Qld., Australia.
- Wilson G. and Edwards M. (2008) Native wildlife on rangelands to minimize methane and produce lower-emission meat: kangaroos versus livestock. *Conservation Letters* **1**, 119–128. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2008. 00023.x
- Wilson G. R. and Edwards M. (2019) Professional kangaroo population control leads to better animal welfare, conservation outcomes and avoids waste. *Australian Zoologist* **40**, 181– 202. https://doi.org/10.7882/az.2018.043
- Wilson G. R. and Edwards M. (2021) Options and rationale for regional property-based kangaroo production. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 176–185.
- Wilson G. R., Edwards M. J. and Smits J. K. (2020) Support for Indigenous wildlife management in Australia to enable sustainable use. Wildlife Research **37**, 255–263. https://doi.org/10.1071/WR09130
- Wimpenny C., Hinds L. A., Herbert C. A., Wilson M. and Coulson G. (2021) Fertility control for managing macropods – Current approaches and future prospects. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **22**(S1), 147– 156.
- Zanker L. (2021) Kangaroos, drought and a frustrated landowner. *Ecological Management* & *Restoration* **22**(S1), 66.