Type of manuscript: Original article

Title: Racial discrimination and socioemotional and sleep problems in a cross-sectional survey of Australian secondary school students

Authors: Naomi Priest PhD (corresponding author),^{1, 2} Shiau Chong PhD^{1, 2}, Mandy Truong PhD^{1, 2}, Oishee Alam PhD³, Kevin Dunn PhD³, Meredith O'Connor DEdPsych^{1, 2, 5}, Yin Paradies PhD⁴, Andrew Ward PhD¹, Anne Kavanagh PhD⁵

Author affiliations: ¹ANU Centre for Social Research and Methods, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia; ²Population Health, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia; ³Western Sydney University, ⁴Deakin University, ⁵University of Melbourne.

Address correspondence to: Associate Professor Naomi Priest, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2600 Australia. Email: naomi.priest@anu.edu.au. Phone: +61 2 6125 4849.

Word count: 2500

Abstract: 250

Tables/Illustrations: 4

References: 32

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the prevalence of direct and vicarious racial discrimination experiences from peer, school, and societal sources, and examine associations between these experiences and socioemotional and sleep outcomes.

Methods: Data was analyzed from a population representative cross-sectional study of $n=4,664$ school students in Years 5-9 (10-15 years of age) in Australia. Students reported direct experiences of racial discrimination from peers, school and societal sources; vicarious discrimination was measured according to the frequency of witnessing other students experiences of racial discrimination. Students self-reported on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, with the total difficulties, conduct, emotional, and prosocial behavior subscales examined. Sleep problems included duration, latency, and disruption.

Results: 41.6% (95% CI 36.1, 47.2) of students reported experiences of direct racial discrimination; Indigenous and ethnic minority students reported the highest levels. 70.1% (95% CI 63.8, 75.8) of students reported vicarious racial discrimination. Direct and vicarious experiences of racial discrimination were associated with socioemotional adjustment (e.g., for total difficulties, total direct racism: $\text{Beta}=3.77$, 95% CI 3.11, 4.44; vicarious racism: $\text{Beta}=2.51$, 95% CI 2.00, 3.03). Strong evidence was also found for an effect of direct and vicarious discrimination on sleep (e.g. for sleep duration, total direct: $\text{Beta}=-21.04$, 95% CI -37.67, -4.4; vicarious: $\text{Beta}=-9.82$, 95% CI -13.78, -5.86).

Conclusions: Experiences of direct and vicarious racial discrimination are common for students from Indigenous and ethnic minority backgrounds, and are associated with socioemotional and sleep problems in adolescence. Racism and racial discrimination are critically important to tackle as social determinants of health for children and adolescents.

Keywords: racism; discrimination; race; ethnicity; Indigenous; mental health; sleep.

INTRODUCTION

Racism is a fundamental cause of health and health inequalities globally.(1) Racism is a system that stratifies, devalues and disempowers groups considered inferior and differentially allocates opportunities and resources within society.(2) Racial discrimination is the behavioral manifestation of racism, with well-documented health impacts in adults.(1, 3) A recent American Academy of Pediatrics statement highlights that children and adolescents are vulnerable to racism's harms and the urgent need to address racism as a determinant of child and adolescent health.(4)

Effects on child and adolescent mental health have been most commonly examined, with associations observed between racial discrimination and negative and positive mental health.(5) Racial discrimination can influence mental health via psychological and behavioral responses such as hypervigilance, rumination, heightened threat perception and situation avoidance, and through dysregulation of biological stress responses.(6) Links between racial discrimination and child and adolescent sleep are also emerging.(7) However, evidence is limited to small community samples ($n \leq 350$) in the United States (8-15), and one larger sample of Indigenous Australian children using a single item carer-reported sleep measure.(7) Accumulating evidence of links between child and adolescent sleep and cardiometabolic risk highlight its importance.(16)

Increased attention to the complex ways racism can influence the lives of children and young people is recommended.(4) As well as direct experiences of racial discrimination, experiences can occur vicariously; that is, witnessing discrimination directed at others.(5, 17) However a recent systematic review found only three studies that examined effects of witnessing racial discrimination on child and adolescent health, all utilizing small community

samples.(17) Racial discrimination can also arise in a range of developmental contexts and from a range of sources, including from peers, school, and the wider society. As children and adolescents develop and increasingly operate outside of the family, these contexts become progressively more salient for self-esteem and identity.(18) Greater attention to direct and vicarious discrimination across sources and settings is needed to avoid underestimating the burden of exposure, capture their potentially different health consequences, and inform optimal targeting of interventions.(3)

This study aims to examine the prevalence of direct and vicarious racial discrimination experiences from peer, school, and societal sources according to Indigenous status and ethnicity. We also aim to estimate the association between these experiences with two contrasting outcomes: 1) socioemotional outcomes, a well-established sequelae of discrimination, and 2) sleep outcomes, an emerging area of concern for children experiencing discrimination. This study capitalizes on data from a large-scale cross-sectional population representative survey of school students. The setting of this study was Australia, where racism has been deeply embedded in the foundations and systems of society since colonization. Indigenous peoples make up 3.3% of the Australian population,(19) and experience some of the most profound health inequities globally.(20) Australia's migrant population also faces considerable racism and is growing quickly, with over a quarter of the population overseas born.(19) Europe and Asia are the most common source regions, though migration from the Middle East and Africa is increasing.(19)

METHODS

Data source

The Speak Out Against Racism (SOAR) student survey is a large-scale, population representative cross-sectional study of $n=4,664$ school students in Years 5-9 (10-15 years of age) in two Australian states: New South Wales and Victoria. Responses were collected across 23 schools in metropolitan and regional areas over a ten week period in 2017. Full details are documented elsewhere (21) but in brief, a list of government schools and their characteristics was obtained from state education departments and a balanced stratification sampling method used. Weights (applied herein) were created to adjust the sample to be representative of the government school student population in each state and to account for clustering at the school level. Ethics approval was obtained from the Australian National University and from each state government education department, and permission was obtained from each participating school principal, with parent opt out consent and student assent.

Measures

Outcomes

Socioemotional outcomes. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) is a brief questionnaire assessing child and youth psychological outcomes.(22) The youth-reported (11-17 years) SDQ consists of 25 items across five subscales. We examined the total difficulties, conduct and emotional scales, the latter of which were taken as an indicator of externalizing and internalizing problems, respectively.(23) We also examined child strengths in relation to prosocial behavior. While cut-points have been developed for the SDQ these have not been validated for ethnic minority youth; continuous scores are analyzed herein.

Sleep problems. Sleep duration was calculated as the difference between student self-reported sleep time and wake-up time, separately for school and non-school days. Analysis was

restricted to sleep durations between 2.5 hours and 20 hours(24) which included 99.7% of observations. Sleep latency was measured using the item “During the last four weeks, how long did it usually take for you to fall asleep”, categorized as 0–30, 30–60, or >60 mins.(25) Sleep disruption was measured using the item ‘During the past four weeks, how often did you awaken during your sleep time and have trouble falling back to sleep again?’, rated as none/a little, some/a good bit, most/all. These items have previously been used with children and adolescents from diverse ethnic backgrounds.(25)

Exposures

Direct experiences of racial discrimination. Student reports of racial discrimination experiences were measured using 10 items drawn from the Adolescent Discrimination Distress Index (ADDI)(26) together with two items used previously with diverse Australian school students;(27) full details in Appendix 1. Items assessed discrimination by peers at school (4 items), school personnel (3 items) and others in society (5 items). Each discrimination item was then followed by the attribution (“because of...”) with “your race/ethnicity/cultural background” being one of three non-mutually exclusive options. “Culture” is commonly used to refer to race or ethnicity in Australian community vernacular so was included in the attribution following previous approaches.(27) Frequency was reported from 0=‘this did not happen to me’, 1=‘once or twice’, 2=‘every few weeks’, 3=‘about once a week’, to 4=‘several times a week or more’. Following previous approaches(26) sub-scales were created for each source of discrimination (peer, school, societal) by calculating the mean frequency rating for relevant items. A total score was calculated by taking the average of responses to all 12 items (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.92$).

Vicarious racial discrimination. Student report of vicarious discrimination was measured using five items drawn from previous studies (full details Appendix 1).(27) Students were asked how often they had seen other students treated unfairly e.g. treated with less respect by other students because of their race/ethnicity/cultural background (4 items), and how often they had seen “other students being picked on or treated with less respect by teachers at this school because of their race/ethnicity/cultural background?” (1 item). Response options were 0=never, 1=hardly ever, 2=sometimes, 3=most of the time, and 4=always. A vicarious discrimination scale was derived by taking the mean of responses across these five items ($\alpha=0.86$).

Covariates

Ethnicity was measured using a self-reported variable with categories developed for the study. Other than Indigenous background self-reported race/ethnicity is not routinely collected in Australia so a standard classification is not available. Students were asked to select their racial/ethnic group/s from a list developed based on the most common categories in the Australian population, which included an open-ended ‘other’ free text field. Response options to ‘other’ were later back coded to the original racial/ethnic categories (e.g. Thai was coded as South-East Asian). Students could select more than one ethnicity. A prioritization method was used to create mutually exclusive categories allocating children to the category with the highest level of stigmatization, in the following order (Indigenous, Pacific Islander/Maori, Middle Eastern, African, Latinx (Latin American), South Asian, East Asian, South East Asian, European and Anglo (white)).(21) Five per cent of students had missing ethnicity data due to ‘don’t know’, unintelligible, or missing responses to this question. Rather than excluding these students from the analysis, a ‘Missing’ category was included within the ethnicity variable subsequently analysed. Estimates for students in this ‘Missing’

ethnicity group are not reported as meaningful interpretation was not possible. Due to very small numbers (n=35) estimates for Latinx students are not reported. Students self-reported their country of birth (coded as born overseas/born in Australia), gender (boy, girl, other) and school year level (year 5-9). Socioeconomic position at the school level was measured using the Index of Community Socio-Educational Advantage (ICSEA), a composite of average parent occupation and education across students, school geographic location, and proportion of Indigenous students.(28)

Statistical analysis

Regression models were fitted to estimate the prevalence of key study variables by ethnicity. To examine associations between racial discrimination and socioemotional and sleep outcomes a series of regression models were run for each outcome. Linear regression models were fitted for socioemotional outcomes (continuous total difficulties, conduct, emotional, and prosocial scores) and sleep duration (continuous duration of sleep in minutes), and multinomial regression models were fitted and parametrized in terms of relative risk ratios for sleep latency (0–30 mins vs 30–60 and 60mins) and sleep disruption (none/a little vs some/a good bit, and most/all trouble falling back to sleep). Unadjusted models examined the crude association between each racial discrimination exposure and each outcome. Next, models were further adjusted for gender, ethnicity, country of birth, year level and school socioeconomic background. As evidence suggests that sleep problems may be on the causal pathway between discrimination and mental health,(29) sleep was not included as a covariate in the socioemotional outcomes models.

There were small amounts of missing data on exposure measures (4% direct racial discrimination, 6% vicarious racial discrimination) and covariates (<1%), and for outcomes

was minimal in the socioemotional domain (2%) and greater for sleep measures (10-12%); Supplementary Table 1. The higher level of missingness for sleep data is likely related to question ordering whereby placement at the end of the survey impacted on participant fatigue. Indigenous and ethnic minority participants were more likely to have missing data for exposures and for outcomes. Analyses were run using both complete case and multiple imputation as alternative methods to address missing data. Multiple imputation by chained equations was implemented by generating fifty imputed data sets and combining results using Rubin's rules.(30) These methods yielded very similar results indicating that the approach to missing data did not alter substantive conclusions. Results from complete case are presented throughout with multiple imputation findings presented in Supplementary Tables 2-4. All analyses were conducted in Stata version 15(31) using the 'svy' commands to accommodate sampling weights and account for clustering at the school level.

RESULTS

The study sample (n=4,664) was highly diverse across Indigenous background and ethnicity, reflective of the Australian population.

Overall, a substantial proportion (41.6%; 95% CI 36.1, 47.2) of students reported experiences of direct racial discrimination, including direct experiences from peer (32.4%; 95% CI 28.6, 36.43), school (12.9%; 95% CI 10.8, 15.48) and societal 27.5% (95% CI 23.8, 31.59) sources. Across all sources, far greater proportions of students from Indigenous and ethnic minority backgrounds reported direct racial discrimination than those from Anglo and European backgrounds (Table 1). 70.1% (95% CI 63.8, 75.8) of students reported vicarious racial discrimination.

There was strong evidence that direct experiences of racial discrimination across sources was related to socioemotional outcomes, after adjusting for socio-demographics (Table 2). For example, each increase in frequency of total direct racial discrimination was associated with an increase of 3.77 (95% CI 3.11, 4.44) in total difficulty scores. This effect was seen across both socioemotional difficulties and strengths. An effect was also seen for vicarious racial discrimination on all socioemotional outcomes examined except prosocial behaviors.

Strong evidence was also found for an effect of direct and vicarious racial discrimination across sources on sleep duration, sleep latency greater than 60 minutes, and sleep disruption, after adjusting for socio-demographics (Table 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

Findings from the current study show that direct and vicarious forms of discrimination are common experiences for many Australian young people, with Indigenous and marginalized ethnic groups bearing the greatest burden. In a novel contribution to the literature, we have documented the harmful effects of direct racial discrimination experiences across multiple sources and of vicarious racial discrimination on socioemotional outcomes and sleep problems for children and adolescents.

We found strong evidence that direct experiences of racial discrimination from peer, school and societal sources were associated with socioemotional outcomes. This was not restricted to socioemotional difficulties across the internalizing and externalizing spectrums, but also included child strengths. This reinforces a large body of existing evidence about the detrimental impact of racial discrimination on positive and negative mental health outcomes.⁽⁵⁾ Notably the results were consistent regardless of the source (peer, school or

societal), reinforcing the need to consider racial discrimination experiences across settings to fully capture its potential health consequences.

We also found strong and consistent evidence of a relationship between racial discrimination and sleep problems. Some studies have similarly reported associations between racial discrimination and sleep, including short sleep duration (13, 14), lower sleep quality (7, 9, 10, 13-15), and objectively measured sleep latency and disturbance using wrist actigraphy.(11) Other studies have found racial discrimination and sleep duration unrelated(10), or only evident for adolescents with marginalized cultural orientations.(8) The current findings add to this growing literature by providing evidence of an association using high quality measures of both exposure and sleep problems.

A further contribution of this study are the findings that vicarious racial discrimination was associated with increased socioemotional difficulties and shorter sleep duration, longer sleep latency and increased sleep disturbance. This is counter to a previous study that found vicarious racism and depression and anxiety were unrelated, perhaps because this study only examined experiences arising online.(17)

Limitations and future directions

The analysis was limited to child and adolescent self-report of exposures and outcomes, and some measurement error is likely. The vicarious discrimination measure only captures witnessing experiences of other students, and is likely to underestimate vicarious exposure. Future research would benefit from objective sleep measures and more comprehensive vicarious racism assessment, and replication in different countries and contexts. Examining the extent to which associations between Indigeneity, ethnicity and racial discrimination, and

between racial discrimination and outcomes, are modified by factors such as gender and age is also important.

Given the cross-sectional data, it is also possible that poor mental health and sleep influenced children's reporting of discrimination experiences (e.g., through hypervigilance and greater attention to negative events), contributing to the associations observed. Longitudinal analyses using population representative samples would provide stronger evidence of causal relations. It would also allow for examination of potential mediating effects of sleep on relationships between discrimination and mental health. This would provide additional insights into opportunities to attenuate the impact of racial discrimination. Even more important than opportunities to attenuate impact is fundamentally addressing racism.(4, 6)

Conclusion

Using data from a large cross-sectional population representative sample of diverse Australian school students we show experiences of direct racial discrimination are common for Indigenous and ethnic minority students. Students from all backgrounds reported high levels of vicarious racial discrimination. Racial discrimination was associated with socioemotional outcomes and sleep problems in adolescence. Racism and racial discrimination are critically important to tackle as social determinants of health for children and adolescents.

What is already known on this subject?

- Considerable evidence documents racism's harmful effects on a wide range of adult health outcomes across populations and contexts
- Children and adolescents may be particularly vulnerable to the negative health impacts of racism
- Effects of racial discrimination on child and adolescent mental health have been documented, with sleep identified as an important area of concern requiring investigation

What this study adds?

- In a large cross-sectional survey of Australian adolescents, there are high levels of direct and vicarious racial discrimination
- Direct and vicarious racial discrimination were associated with socioemotional adjustment and sleep problems
- Health practitioners, policy makers and researchers must continue to name, examine and address racism as key determinants of child and adolescent health

Acknowledgements: SOAR was funded by the Australian Research Council LP140100413 in partnership with the New South Wales and Victorian education departments and the Australian Human Rights Commission. NP is supported by a NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (APP1123677). The study sponsors had no role in study design; the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; the writing of the report; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The authors would like to thank all schools and students participating in SOAR. We thank Tania King for her work during the early stages of SOAR and research staff (Rebecca Moorhead, Sharon Moorhead, Brandi Fox, Meiliasari Meiliasari and Emma Whatman (Victoria); and Oishee Alam, Alexia Derbas, Katie Blair, Rosalie Atie and Zarlisht Sarwari (New South Wales)) who were involved in data collection. We acknowledge the support of the Social Research Centre with data collection.

Author Contributions: Priest conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript, conducted analyses, and reviewed and revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. Chong conceptualized and designed the study, conducted analyses, contributed to interpretation of data, and critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. Truong and Alam collected data, conceptualized and designed the study, contributed to interpretation of data, and critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. Dunn, O'Connor, Paradies, Ward, and Kavanagh conceptualized and designed the study, contributed to interpretation of data, and critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Data availability statement: Data is not publicly available; please contact Naomi Priest (naomi.priest@anu.edu.au) for data access queries or requests.

Potential conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Phelan JC, Link BG. Is Racism a Fundamental Cause of Inequalities in Health? *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2015;**41**:311-30 Online.
2. Williams DR. Racism and health. In: Whitefield KE, ed. *Closing the gap: Improving the health of minority elders in the new millennium*. Washington DC: Gerontological Society of America 2004:69-80.
3. Paradies Y, Ben J, Denson N, *et al*. Racism as a Determinant of Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015;**10**:e0138511 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0138511 [published Online First: 2015/09/24].
4. Trent M, Dooley DG, Dougé J. The Impact of Racism on Child and Adolescent Health. *Pediatrics*. 2019;**144**:e20191765 doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-1765 [published Online.
5. Priest N, Paradies Y, Trenerry B, Truong M, Karlsen S, Kelly Y. A systematic review of studies examining the relationship between reported racism and health and wellbeing for children and young people. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2013;**95**:115-27 Online.
6. Priest N, Williams DR. Racial Discrimination and Racial Disparities in Health. In: Major B, Dovidio J, Link B, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of Stigma, Discrimination, and Health* 2017:163.
7. Shepherd CCJ, Li J, Cooper MN, Hopkins KD, Farrant BM. The impact of racial discrimination on the health of Australian Indigenous children aged 5–10 years: analysis of national longitudinal data. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2017;**16**:116 doi: 10.1186/s12939-017-0612-0 [published Online.
8. Zeiders KH, Updegraff KA, Sally I, Kuo C, Umaña-Taylor AJ, McHale SM. Perceived discrimination and Mexican-origin young adults' sleep duration and variability: the moderating role of cultural orientations. *J Youth Adolescence*. 2017;**46**:1851–61 doi: 10.1007/s10964-016-0544-9 [published Online.

9. Goosby BJ, Cheadle JE, Strong-Bak W, Roth TC, Nelson TD. Perceived Discrimination and Adolescent Sleep in a Community Sample. *RSF*. 2018; Online.
10. Zeiders KH. Discrimination, daily stress, sleep, and Mexican-origin adolescents' internalizing symptoms. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*. 2017;**23**:570 Online.
11. Yip T, Cheon YM, Wang Y, Cham H, Tryon W, El-Sheikh M. Racial Disparities in Sleep: Associations With Discrimination Among Ethnic/Racial Minority Adolescents. *Child Development*. 2019;**0** doi: 10.1111/cdev.13234 [published Online.
12. El-Sheikh M, Tu KM, Saini EK, Fuller-Rowell TE, Buckhalt JA. Perceived discrimination and youths' adjustment: sleep as a moderator. *Journal of sleep research*. 2016;**25**:70-7 doi: 10.1111/jsr.12333 [published Online.
13. Huynh VW, Gillen-O'Neel C. Discrimination and Sleep: The Protective Role of School Belonging. *Youth & Society*. 2013;**In press** doi: 10.1177/0044118x13506720 [published Online.
14. Majeno A, Tsai KM, Huynh VW, McCreath H, Fuligni AJ. Discrimination and Sleep Difficulties during Adolescence: The Mediating Roles of Loneliness and Perceived Stress. *J Youth Adolescence*. 2018;**47**:135–47 doi: 10.1007/s10964-017-0755-8 [published Online.
15. Yip T. The Effects of Ethnic/Racial Discrimination and Sleep Quality on Depressive Symptoms and Self-Esteem Trajectories Among Diverse Adolescents. *J Youth Adolescence*. 2014:1-12 doi: 10.1007/s10964-014-0123-x [published Online.
16. Quist JS, Sjodin A, Chaput JP, Hjorth MF. Sleep and cardiometabolic risk in children and adolescents. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2016;**29**:76-100 doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2015.09.001 [published Online First: 2015/12/20].

17. Heard-Garris NJ, Cale M, Camaj L, Hamati MC, Dominguez TP. Transmitting Trauma: A systematic review of vicarious racism and child health. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2017;1-11 Online.
18. Eccles JS, Roeser RW. Schools as developmental contexts during adolescence. *Journal of research on adolescence*. 2011;**21**:225-41 Online.
19. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census. 2017.
20. Anderson I, Robson B, Connolly M, *et al*. Indigenous and tribal peoples' health (The Lancet–Lowitja Institute Global Collaboration): a population study. *The Lancet*. 2016;**388**:131-57 Online.
21. Priest N, Chong S, Truong M, *et al*. Findings from the 2017 Speak Out Against Racism (SOAR) student and staff surveys. *CSRM Working Paper Series*. Canberra: Centre for Social Research and Methods, Australian National University 2019.
22. Goodman R. Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2001;**40**:1337-45 Online.
23. Bayer JK, Ukoumunne OC, Lucas N, Wake M, Scalzo K, Nicholson JM. Risk factors for childhood mental health symptoms: National longitudinal study of Australian children. *Pediatrics*. 2011;**128**:e865-79 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2011-0491> [published Online.
24. Paine S-J, Gander PH. Explaining ethnic inequities in sleep duration: a cross-sectional survey of Maori and non-Maori adults in New Zealand. *Sleep Health: Journal of the National Sleep Foundation*. 2016;**2**:109-15 doi: 10.1016/j.sleh.2016.01.005 [published Online.
25. Kelly Y, Zilanawala A, Booker C, Sacker A. Social Media Use and Adolescent Mental Health: Findings From the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2018;**6**:59-68 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.12.005> [published Online.

26. Fisher CB, Wallace SA, Fenton RE. Discrimination distress during adolescence. *Journal of Youth & Adolescence*. 2000;**29**:679-95 Online.
27. Priest N, Perry R, Ferdinand A, Paradies Y, Kelaher M. Experiences of racism, racial/ethnic attitudes, motivated fairness and mental health outcomes among primary and secondary school students. *J Youth Adolescence*. 2014;**43**:1672-87 Online.
28. Australia Curriculum AaRA. What does the ICSEA value mean? 2015.
29. Slopen N, Lewis TT, Williams DR. Discrimination and sleep: a systematic review. *Sleep Medicine*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2015.01.012> [published Online.
30. Rubin D. Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. New York, NY: Wiley; 1987.
31. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: Stata Corp LP 2018.

Table 1. Key study variables overall and by Indigenous status and ethnicity.

	Overall	Indigenous status and ethnicity								
	M (SD) or % (95% CI)	Indigenous	Pacific/ Maori	Middle Eastern	African	M (SD) or % (95% CI) South Asian	East Asian	S East Asian	European	Anglo
Overall % (95% CI)		4.67 (2.67, 8.04)	3.69 (2.11, 6.36)	5.52 (3.62, 8.33)	3.19 (2.13, 4.75)	5.5 (3.3, 9)	7.7 (4.7, 12.4)	8.7 (3.0, 22.6)	15.4 (12.4, 18.9)	39.5 (30.7, 49.2)
Sociodemographic characteristics										
Born overseas	16.78 (13.26, 21)	5.2 (1.74, 14.52)	29.57 (11.09, 58.56)	34.8 (22.59, 49.39)	50.4 (36.66, 64.07)	53.98 (39.52, 67.79)	34.6 (18.66, 54.96)	28.9 (13.21, 52.04)	9.38 (7.16, 12.19)	3.86 (2.63, 5.62)
Female	51.03 (47.54, 54.51)	51.71 (48.12, 55.28)	45.21 (37.8, 52.83)	55.17 (39.52, 69.87)	43.59 (39.5, 47.77)	49.23 (39.64, 58.87)	49.58 (38.43, 60.77)	60.4 (47.31, 72.15)	50.18 (46.17, 54.19)	53.92 (47.35, 60.35)
School year	6.5 (1.4)	6.2 (1.2)	6.1 (1.7)	6.6 (1.6)	6.9 (1.3)	6.4 (1.4)	6.3 (1.5)	6.7 (1.4)	7.4 (1.2)	6.4 (1.4)
Racial Discrimination										
Direct - Peer	0.27 (0.6)	0.49 (1.15)	0.32 (0.65)	0.40 (0.73)	0.36 (0.55)	0.35 (0.59)	0.42 (0.64)	0.37 (0.68)	0.21 (0.48)	0.17 (0.49)
Direct - School	0.14 (0.49)	0.27 (0.95)	0.21 (0.7)	0.26 (0.59)	0.34 (0.71)	0.13 (0.36)	0.16 (0.43)	0.24 (0.64)	0.09 (0.4)	0.08 (0.37)
Direct - Societal	0.2 (0.51)	0.39 (1.05)	0.24 (0.69)	0.34 (0.55)	0.40 (0.7)	0.26 (0.47)	0.33 (0.47)	0.34 (0.63)	0.14 (0.42)	0.11 (0.39)
Direct - Total	0.21 (0.49)	0.40 (1)	0.28 (0.63)	0.34 (0.53)	0.37 (0.57)	0.26 (0.41)	0.32 (0.46)	0.33 (0.61)	0.15 (0.36)	0.12 (0.39)
Direct - Any Peer	32.4 (28.6, 36.43)	42.7 (38.9, 46.49)	45.8 (40.2, 51.49)	44.9 (36.4, 53.71)	49.3 (34.9, 63.83)	45.2 (37.8, 52.77)	47.8 (38.8, 56.95)	45.5 (34.3, 57.09)	31.3 (23.2, 40.64)	20.3 (17.4, 23.63)
Direct - Any School	12.9 (10.8, 15.48)	20.7 (17.1, 24.81)	16.8 (6.9, 35.49)	25.3 (18, 34.43)	31.7 (21.3, 44.42)	21.9 (17.2, 27.46)	18.9 (13.6, 25.67)	20 (15.2, 25.87)	8.0 (5, 12.8)	6.4 (4.7, 8.5)
Direct - Any Societal	27.5 (23.8, 31.59)	37.0 (33.4, 40.65)	32.9 (21.3, 46.9)	46.3 (32, 61.2)	46.4 (32, 61.46)	45.7 (39.2, 52.23)	55 (42.6, 66.84)	43.6 (34.4, 53.3)	21.1 (14.3, 29.92)	13.6 (11.2, 16.5)
Direct - Any	41.6 (36.1, 47.2)	50.14 (43.55, 56.71)	60.97 (53.8, 67.69)	61.27 (51.59, 70.13)	58.03 (38.87, 75.05)	61.87 (55.32, 68.00)	67.05 (54.18, 77.79)	58.74 (45.7, 70.66)	38.21 (27.78, 49.86)	32.02 (24.51, 40.58)
Vicarious	0.78 (0.77)	0.94 (1.26)	1.10 (0.85)	0.82 (0.89)	0.87 (0.66)	0.89 (0.77)	0.82 (0.74)	0.74 (0.74)	0.70 (0.68)	0.73 (0.72)
Vicarious - Any	70.15 (63.83, 75.78)	68.07 (61.4, 74.08)	71.68 (59.4, 81.4)	77.22 (68.31, 84.21)	66.43 (57.97, 73.95)	82.83 (72.32, 89.91)	82.2 (68.39, 90.78)	69.39 (61.71, 76.13)	67.42 (53.4, 78.89)	70.52 (57.83, 80.67)
Socioemotional outcomes										
Total difficulties	11.86 (6.32)	13.94 (9.38)	12.95 (5.94)	11.28 (5.33)	10.81 (6.73)	9.16 (5.32)	11.22 (5.19)	11.99 (5.22)	11.83 (6.52)	11.8 (6.24)
Emotional symptoms	3.41 (2.36)	3.35 (2.3)	3.61 (3.2)	3.5 (2.54)	3.27 (2.21)	3.14 (2.25)	2.59 (2.26)	3.58 (2.07)	3.8 (2.08)	3.46 (2.48)
Conduct problems	3.41 (2.36)	1.89 (1.79)	2.74 (2.99)	2.51 (1.87)	2 (1.52)	1.74 (1.8)	1.54 (1.47)	2.06 (1.94)	2.1 (1.69)	1.96 (1.81)
Prosocial behavior	3.41 (2.36)	7.91 (1.63)	7.45 (2.95)	7.8 (2.11)	7.82 (2.01)	7.62 (2)	7.84 (1.76)	7.5 (1.92)	7.27 (1.93)	7.86 (1.7)
Sleep latency										
0-30 min	63.28 (60.93, 65.57)	59.16 (52.84, 65.2)	61.34 (45.45, 75.13)	70.58 (64.71, 75.83)	62.53 (47.63, 75.38)	77.7 (64.62, 86.92)	68.94 (62.73, 74.54)	68.16 (61.62, 74.06)	52.48 (46.72, 58.18)	63.36 (59.86, 66.71)
>30-60 min	22.27 (19.88, 24.86)	20.67 (13.77, 29.84)	19.02 (12.89, 27.17)	18.35 (11.04, 28.91)	21.46 (13.25, 32.82)	15.9 (10.34, 23.68)	21.83 (15.5, 29.85)	15.31 (12.07, 19.22)	29.51 (25.05, 34.41)	22.39 (19.38, 25.71)
>60 min	14.45 (13.02, 16)	20.17 (16.75, 24.08)	19.64 (10.27, 34.29)	11.08 (6.76, 17.64)	16.01 (10.3, 24.04)	6.4 (2.77, 14.12)	9.23 (5.25, 15.7)	16.53 (10.8, 24.46)	18.01 (15.5, 20.82)	14.26 (10.99, 18.3)
Sleep disruption										

	Overall	Indigenous status and ethnicity								
	M (SD) or % (95% CI)	Indigenous	Pacific/ Maori	Middle Eastern	African	South Asian	East Asian	S East Asian	European	Anglo
None/A little	54.29 (51.51, 57.05)	41.52 (31.19, 52.65)	35.26 (24.67, 47.52)	57.54 (43.66, 70.32)	59.03 (47.8, 69.39)	62.42 (48.45, 74.6)	64.01 (53.31, 73.47)	51.43 (45.46, 57.37)	53.46 (47.98, 58.86)	55.19 (51.71, 58.62)
Some/A good bit of the time	25.93 (24.88, 27)	23.76 (17.3, 31.69)	19.15 (8.5, 37.63)	27.16 (19.94, 35.82)	18.95 (10.34, 32.17)	26.24 (16.06, 39.82)	20.02 (16.72, 23.78)	30.25 (27.87, 32.75)	27.04 (23.75, 30.61)	26.95 (22.85, 31.48)
Most/All of the time	19.78 (17.29, 22.54)	34.73 (26.89, 43.48)	45.6 (24.09, 68.88)	15.31 (9.37, 24.01)	22.02 (14.56, 31.87)	11.34 (8.72, 14.61)	15.98 (8.86, 27.11)	18.31 (13.08, 25.04)	19.49 (14.64, 25.48)	17.86 (14.56, 21.71)
Sleep duration school day (min)	549.84 (85.38)	563.58 (139.19)	552.9 (97.74)	522.46 (94.21)	556.23 (83.38)	557.93 (73.16)	528.88 (84.44)	511.04 (82.99)	550.57 (80.66)	560.57 (75.98)
non-school day (min)	583.15 (113.99)	571.77 (203.67)	583.28 (135.38)	563.08 (115.3)	583.58 (100.01)	594.26 (102.6)	574.43 (93.97)	581.34 (104.08)	580.77 (105.56)	586.7 (107.48)

Table 2. Estimates from linear regression models showing associations between self-reported racial discrimination and socioemotional outcomes (n=4480).

Racial Discrimination	Total difficulties		Emotional symptoms		Conduct problems		Prosocial behavior	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]
	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)
Peer	2.91 (2.5, 3.33)	2.93 (2.64, 3.22)	0.7 (0.5, 1)	0.8 (0.6, 1)	0.8 (0.6, 1)	0.7 (0.6, 0.9)	-0.2 (-0.3, -0.1)	-0.1 (-0.2, 0.0)
School	2.78 (2.11, 3.46)	2.62 (1.7, 3.55)	0.6 (0.5, 0.8)	0.7 (0.4, 0.9)	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.9 (0.5, 1.2)	-0.4 (-0.6, -0.1)	-0.3 (-0.5, 0.0)
Societal	3.29 (2.67, 3.91)	3.37 (2.65, 4.09)	0.9 (0.7, 1.1)	1 (0.8, 1.2)	1.0 (0.6, 1.3)	0.9 (0.6, 1.2)	-0.3 (-0.5, -0.1)	-0.2 (-0.4, 0.0)
Total direct	3.75 (3.18, 4.31)	3.77 (3.11, 4.44)	1 (0.8, 1.2)	1 (0.8, 1.2)	1.1 (0.8, 1.5)	1 (0.7, 1.3)	-0.3 (-0.5, -0.2)	-0.2 (-0.4, -0.1)
Vicarious	2.52 (2.02, 3.02)	2.51 (2.00, 3.03)	0.7 (0.6, 0.8)	0.7 (0.6, 0.8)	0.7 (0.5, 0.8)	0.7 (0.5, 0.8)	-0.2 (-0.3, -0.1)	0 (-0.1, 0.1)

[#]Adjusted for ethnicity, gender, school year, country of birth, ICSEA.

Table 3. Estimates from linear regression models showing associations between self-reported racial discrimination and sleep duration (n= 3997).

Racial Discrimination	Sleep duration (minutes)			
	School day		Non-school day	
	Unadjusted Beta (95% CI)	Adjusted Beta (95% CI) [#]	Unadjusted Beta (95% CI)	Adjusted Beta (95% CI) [#]
Peer	-15.51 (-23.0, -8.01)	-13.55 (-21.32, -5.78)	-9.37 (-18.52, -0.22)	-6.02 (-16.62, 4.59)
School	-21.61 (-39.01, -4.22)	-16.94 (-35.61, 1.74)	-8.39 (-15.18, -1.61)	-2.71 (-8.96, 3.53)
Societal	-25.43 (-41.8, -9.07)	-21.4 (-37.91, -4.89)	-9.7 (-18.36, -1.04)	-5.77 (-15.34, 3.81)
Total direct	-25.13 (-40.81, -9.46)	-21.04 (-37.67, -4.4)	-11.39 (-20.73, -2.05)	-6.19 (-16.84, 4.47)
Vicarious	-9.55 (-14.2, -4.9)	-9.82 (-13.78, -5.86)	-9.26 (-14.95, -3.58)	-9.15 (-15.05, -3.25)

[#]Adjusted for ethnicity, gender, school year, country of birth, ICSEA.

Table 4. Multinomial logistic regression showing associations between self-reported racial discrimination and sleep difficulties (n=4118).

Racial Discrimination	Sleep latency				Sleep disruption			
	>30-60 min vs 0-30 min		>60 min vs 0-30 min		Some/A good bit vs None/A little		Most/All of the time vs None/A little	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]	Unadjusted	Adjusted [#]
	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)
Peer	1.2 (1.07, 1.35)	1.32 (1.17, 1.5)	1.48 (1.26, 1.73)	1.57 (1.34, 1.85)	1.62 (1.25, 2.1)	1.75 (1.27, 2.4)	1.73 (1.5, 1.99)	1.83 (1.54, 2.18)
School	1.02 (0.88, 1.18)	1.12 (0.95, 1.31)	1.34 (0.96, 1.88)	1.34 (1.00, 1.79)	1.59 (1.12, 2.26)	1.72 (1.12, 2.65)	1.86 (1.3, 2.65)	1.9 (1.17, 3.11)
Society	1.09 (0.95, 1.26)	1.21 (1.06, 1.39)	1.63 (1.33, 2)	1.71 (1.42, 2.05)	1.79 (1.29, 2.5)	1.95 (1.31, 2.9)	2.19 (1.57, 3.04)	2.3 (1.53, 3.47)
Total direct	1.14 (1.02, 1.28)	1.30 (1.14, 1.47)	1.62 (1.29, 2.02)	1.70 (1.39, 2.08)	1.95 (1.31, 2.9)	2.2 (1.34, 3.62)	2.31 (1.62, 3.32)	2.5 (1.55, 4.04)
Vicarious	1.11 (1, 1.23)	1.14 (1.04, 1.26)	1.31 (1.12, 1.54)	1.33 (1.14, 1.55)	1.35 (1.13, 1.62)	1.38 (1.13, 1.68)	1.75 (1.46, 2.09)	1.71 (1.46, 2.02)

[#] Adjusted for ethnicity, gender, school year, country of birth, ICSEA.