Extensive recent wildfires demand more stringent protection of critical old growth forest
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Abstract

Old growth forests have many key values but temporal changes across their spatial extent is poorly understood, including in large parts of Australia. This is a major knowledge gap, given the extent of human and natural disturbances in the Australian forest estate over past decades. We integrated spatial data on the timing and extent of fire and logging across mapped forest and woodland cover in different Ecological Vegetation Class (EVC) groups to quantify disturbance of the old growth forest and woodland estate in Victoria since 1995, including after the 2019-2020 wildfires. We found ~77% of old growth forest and woodlands have been disturbed by fire and logging over the past 25 years. Disturbance was particularly marked in some EVCs, such as the Wet and Damp Forest and the Sub-alpine Woodlands. In contrast, relatively little of the Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland has been disturbed between 1995 and 2020 in other EVCs, such as in Plains Woodlands and Heathy Woodlands. Wildfire was the primary driver of disturbance in Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland.

We argue that a range of strategies is critical to increase protection of undisturbed old growth forest. These include re-assessing disturbance data layers to ensure that areas of old growth that have been burned at low severity are protected, and reducing the size of old growth patches to be conserved. There is also a need to increase levels of protection of young forests to enable them to grow through to an old growth state.
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**Introduction**

The high conservation and ecosystem service values of intact forests, including those dominated by old growth stands have long been recognized in many parts of the world (Bart and Forsman 1992; Franklin et al. 1981; Gibson et al. 2011; Scotts 1991; Spies and Franklin 1996; Van Pelt 2008; Watson et al. 2018). This includes in Australia (Burgman 1996; Lindenmayer et al. 2000; Office of the Conservation Regulator 2019; Wardell-Johnson et al. 2018; Woodgate et al. 1994; Woodgate et al. 1996), where a range of studies have shown that, for example: (1) old growth stands are where fire severity is lowest relative to forests of younger age (Taylor et al. 2014; Winoto-Lewin et al. 2020); (2) bird species richness and the occurrence of individual bird species is highest (Lindenmayer et al. 2019b); (3) the abundance of large old trees (which provide key nesting and denning habitat for cavity-dependent species) is greatest (Lindenmayer et al. 2000); (4) levels of carbon storage are most substantial (Dean et al. 2012; Dean and Wardell-Johnson 2010; Keith et al. 2009); and (5) levels of water production are greatest (Watson et al. 1999).

A key part of defining old growth forest is the extent to which they have been disturbed, including the time since disturbance (reviewed by Burgman (1996)) (see the following section on definitions). This is a major issue given the vast amounts of the forest estate in that have been disturbed in Australia in the past two decades, especially by wildfires (Lindenmayer and Taylor 2020), including those in 2019-2020 (Boer et al. 2020). The extent of, and time since, disturbance therefore has substantial implications for how much old growth remains. For example, in regions such as the Central Highlands region of Victoria, the impacts of past wildfires, coupled with widespread logging, have resulted in intact old growth forests dominated by Mountain Ash (*Eucalyptus regnans*) and Alpine Ash (*Eucalyptus delegatensis*) now constituting less than 1.16% and 0.47% of the regional extent of these ecosystems, respectively (Lindenmayer et al. 2019a). However, for many other forest and
woodland ecosystems in Australia, the extent to which the old growth estate has been altered
over the past few decades remains poorly known.

In the study reported here, we quantified disturbance across the extent of the old
growth forest and woodland estate in Victoria. Three key questions underpinned our study.
These were: What has been the spatial extent of disturbance across old growth forest and
woodland changed in the past 25 years? Which old vegetation types have experienced the
greatest disturbance in areas of old growth? Which factors are the key drivers of these
changes?

To answer these three questions, we used spatial data on Victoria’s vegetation
classification system that is based on Ecological Vegetation Classes (EVCs) (Department of
Environment and Primary Industries 2014; Department of Environment Land Water and
Planning 2014a) and intersected it with data on the extent of disturbances associated with fire
and logging since 1995. In discussing the findings of our spatial and temporal analyses, we
argue there is an urgent need to better protect both remaining areas of old growth forest and
woodland as well as areas of currently younger forest which could be recruited as new areas
of old growth in the future.

Background - definitions of old growth in Victoria

The term ‘old growth’ was an initially a descriptive term used in forestry employed
from 1931 to describe stands of Douglas Fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) forest > 160 years old
in north-western North America (Dargavel 1998; Franklin et al. 1981). In Australia,
increasing public interest during the 1980s and 1990s (Dargavel 1995), led to various
working definitions of old growth forest that were, in part, based on forest type and structure
(Burgman 1996; Lindenmayer and Burgman 2005; Woodgate et al. 1994; Woodgate et al.
Australian Commonwealth and State governments collectively agreed to develop criteria
defining old growth forests and woodlands, followed by assessments of forests and
woodlands for their respective old growth values.

As a signatory to National Forest Policy Statement under the Regional Forest
Agreement (RFA) process, the Victorian Government undertook its first extensive study of
‘old growth’ forest and woodland in East Gippsland, in the east of the State (Woodgate et al.
1994). This initial study was then used a basis for subsequent assessments of old growth
forest (Woodgate et al. 1996). These studies were influenced by the growth stages of
eucalypts described by Jacobs (1955). Further work conducted in Victoria expanded these
growth stages to include phases of the forest which supported senescent trees (Woodgate et
al. 1996). The Victorian Government developed the following definition following the work
by Woodgate et al. (1994):

*Old-growth forest is forest which contains significant amounts of its oldest growth
stage - usually senescing trees - in the upper stratum and has been subject to any
disturbance, the effect of which is now negligible.* (Department of Natural Resources
and Environment 1996, p. 3)

Old growth forest and woodland was identified as vegetation containing senescing
trees present in dominant, co-dominant, and sub-dominant proportions of the upper stratum.
These consisted of at least 10% of total crown cover for the stand and where regrowth was
sparse, consisting of less than 10% of the crown cover (Department of Natural Resources and
Environment 1996). Crown cover and crown form were grouped in the following stages:
juvenile, sapling, pole, early mature, mature, late mature and over-mature and used directly to
model old-growth forest (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2000). These
categories corresponded to forest growth stages initially developed by (Jacobs 1955). Three
categories were then developed: (1) undisturbed, in which there was no record of disturbance
history; (2) negligibly disturbed, where there was some disturbance, but it did not alter the
structure or floristic composition of a given Ecological Vegetation Class (EVC) group; and

(3) significantly disturbed forest, where disturbance was likely to have altered the
composition of a EVC group (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 1996).

In particular ecosystems, such as those dominated Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash
forest (which are part of the Wet Damp EVC), old growth forest was deemed to occur at the
onset of senescence of trees, especially the development of hollows (120+ years) (Ambrose
1982). Since the 1990s, regulations have precluded logging of old growth Mountain Ash and
Alpine Ash forest in the Central Highlands of Victoria (where the majority of logging occurs
in the State) (Macfarlane et al. 1995). However, changes by Victorian Government since
2013 have meant that stands of Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash trees must now be more than
250 years old to be considered to be old growth (Blair et al. 2017; Department of
Environment and Primary Industries 2013; VicForests 2013). In 2019, the Victorian
Government announced an immediate cessation of logging in old growth forest in Victoria
(Office of the Premier of Victoria 2019); an announcement that was relevant primarily to
logging of old growth forest in East Gippsland, but has implications for other parts of the

Methods

Background data used in spatial analyses

We used three old growth datasets in our assessment of old growth forests and
woodlands in Victoria. The first was the OG100 dataset (Department of Environment, Land,
Water and Planning 2014a), which identified areas of modelled old-growth forest derived
from both vector and grid based analysis. It delineated forest areas meeting the Victorian
Government’s definition of old-growth forest based on a set of modelling criteria, rules and
input datasets. It was generated from a combination of regional old growth studies, including
those by Woodgate et al. (1994), Department of Natural Resources and Environment (1996),
Department of Natural Resources and Environment (1998), and Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2000). These datasets mapped the extent of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland prior to the major wildfires of 2003, 2007 and 2009.

The old growth dataset MOG2003 (Department of Environment Land Water and Planning 2014b) identified areas of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland derived from both vector and grid-based analysis using disturbance data that post dates the source of old-growth analyses for OG100. It considered the impacts of the 2003 wildfires using Alpine Fire Severity Mapping (2003) and logging history records that conflicted with Old-Growth Forest (OG100) and these were reclassified by the Victorian Government as not being old-growth forest. Following these disturbances, MOG2003 delineated old growth forest and woodland to be those areas meeting the Victorian definition of old-growth forest, as described above (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 1996, p. 3).

The MOG2009 dataset (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2019b) contained modelled old-growth forest data that were updated by the Victorian Government in 2009 in consideration of historical timber harvesting and fire disturbances. Previous old growth base layers used different sources to establish the MOG2009 dataset. The base layer in the western part of the state was OG100 and the base layer for the eastern Victoria, other than East Gippsland Forest Management Area, was MOG2003. In the process of developing MOG2009, the Victorian Government amended previous old growth base layers based on logging history, extent of recent wildfires, and fire severity mapping for the 2006, 2007 and 2009 wildfires. Similarly to OG100 and MOG2003, old growth forest extent was identified to meet the Victorian Government’s definition of old growth forest, as described in the introduction (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 1996, p. 3).

We used the NV2005_EVCBCS dataset that mapped Ecological Vegetation Class (EVC) Groups across Victoria (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning
This dataset was designed for use at a large scale (1:25,000 to 1:100,000) and it identified combinations of Victorian bioregions and extant EVCs. The dataset underpinned the implementation of Victoria’s Native Vegetation Management Framework which defines how the Bioregional Conservation Status of an EVC is used in determining the conservation significance for biodiversity purposes (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2019a).

We identified EVCs and areas within EVCs supporting forests and woodlands. We excluded areas broadly not supporting trees, such as grasslands scrubs and shrubland. We followed the definition of forests and woodlands used under the Nationally Agreed Criteria for the Establishment of a Comprehensive, Adequate and Representative Reserve Systems for Forests in Australia (Joint ANZECC/MCFFA National Forest Policy Statement Implementation Sub-committee 1997). This has defined a forested region as being one dominated woody vegetation with a mature or potential mature stand height exceeding five metres, with forests containing an overstorey canopy cover greater than 20% and woodland supporting a canopy cover less than 20%.

We used a fire history dataset that contained information on the spatial extent of fires, primarily on public land across Victoria (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2019a). This dataset records the last time an area was known to be burnt by wildfire and represented a consecutive overlay of all fires recorded since 1900. The dataset does not contain information on fire severity. We obtained fire severity maps for the study area from the Victorian Government (Department of Environment and Primary Industries 2014). These maps were based on a fire severity index developed through digital processing of the pre-fire and post-fire imagery acquired by the SPOT 4 and 5 Satellite, with the magnitude of canopy damage compared against ground-based information (Department of Environment and Primary Industries 2014). This fire history dataset did not feature the extent of the wildfires.
burning across Victoria for the 2019-2020 wildfire season. We extracted information on the spatial extent of these fires from the Emergency Victoria database (Emergency Victoria 2020).

We sourced data on the areas disturbed by logging from a Logging History dataset for any given area within State Forest (Department of Jobs Precincts and Regions 2019). This dataset stores details of the last time a forest was known to be logged, the tree species logged, and the logging method used. It represents a consecutive overlay of all years from 1961-62 to the most recent logging seasons. We selected logging years 1995-2020 for our analysis to align with the dates for which old growth assessments were conducted across Victoria.

Spatial analysis

We assessed the extent of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland burnt by wildfire between 1995 and 2020. We selected 1995 as the start year because it aligned with the assessments of forest under the Victorian Government’s definition of old growth across the state. We combined the extent of wildfire areas burnt between 1995 and 2020. We identified areas burnt multiple times over the analysis period for the total area and for specific EVC Groups. We present our findings as the number of hectares impacted by disturbance, either through wildfire, logging or a combination of both.

Results

Approximately 833,445 ha of old growth forest and woodland (termed Modelled Old Growth forest and woodland) was identified as occurring in Victoria in 1994-2000 across five study areas: East Gippsland, Central Highlands, North East Victoria, Gippsland and South Western Victoria (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2014a). Old Growth Forest modelled in these studies equated to 11% of Victoria’s total native forest and woodland cover (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2014a) (Fig. 1). Across all EVC groups consisting of forests and woodlands, we calculated the percentage of
Modelled Old Growth for each EVC group across this time; where values ranged from 0.3% for Plains Woodlands and Forests to 28% for Heathy Woodlands (Fig. 2).

Across all EVC Forest and Woodland Groups, we found that 638,253 ha or 77% of Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland was disturbed by wildfire, logging or a combination of both from 1995 to 2019-2020 (Fig. 3). Of the disturbed area, around 236,085 ha or 28% of the Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland area has been disturbed twice or more (Fig. 4). Approximately 98% of disturbance was the result of wildfire. Logging occurred in 11,164 ha or 1.3% of the Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland area, with 74% of logging in Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland occurring in East Gippsland. Of this area in East Gippsland, we calculated 6,028ha or 73% of logging in Modeled Old Growth forest occurred in the Wet and Damp Forest EVC.

We found there has been extensive disturbance of Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland in particular EVC Groups. For example, around 85% (127,000 ha) of Modeled Old Growth Wet and Damp Forest EVC has been disturbed by either logging, wildfire or both (Fig. 4). Of this, 57,914 ha or 39% of Modeled Old Growth Wet and Damp Forest has been disturbed twice or more since 1995. Modeled Old Growth Sub-alpine Woodland has suffered extensive disturbance, with approximately 97% of this EVC Group disturbed since 1995. By contrast, the Plains Woodlands or Forests EVC and Heathy Woodlands EVC have experienced comparatively limited disturbance as a result of fire or logging (Fig. 4).

Changes to the extent of Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland through declassification

Following major wildfires in 2003, 2007 and 2009, the Victorian Government reviewed the extent of Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland previously mapped between 1994 and 2000 (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2019b). The mapped extent of Modeled Old Growth Forest and Woodland was amended to reflect
disturbances by logging operations and wildfires in 2003, 2007 and 2009 (along with the severity of those fires). Fire severity is the impact of fire on vegetation (Keeley 2009) and it was assigned to one of five classes ranging from a canopy consuming fire (the most severe) to unburnt (the lowest) (Taylor et al. 2014). The Victorian Government used its definition of old growth forest and woodland it applied in the regional old growth assessments it undertook between 1994-2000 (described in Department of Natural Resources and Environment (1996, p. 3)) to review which areas still qualified as old growth and those that did not following disturbance by wildfire and logging (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2019b).

We found that 564,588 ha or 79% of forests and woodland previously mapped in 1994-2000 as Modelled Old Growth was declassified following wildfires and logging. This included 111,130 ha (76%) of the Wet and Damp Forest EVC, 344,117 ha (82%) of the Dry Forest EVC and 21,226 ha (99%) of the Sub-alpine Woodlands EVC (Fig. 5).

In the Central Highlands Old Growth study region, a total of 12,145 ha of Modelled Old Growth was burnt by the 2007 and 2009 wildfires. The 2009 review of old growth forest removed 11,518 ha from the Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland estate (DELWP 2014). This included 1,564 ha of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland that was subject to a low severity fire with light or no crown scorch (Fig. 6). In other areas of the Central Highlands study region, we found that Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland was declassified in areas where no fire or logging had occurred.

Discussion

Old growth forests and woodlands have a wide range of key ecological and ecosystem service values (Scotts 1991) (Wardell-Johnson et al. 2018). We sought to quantify temporal changes in the spatial extent of old growth forest and woodland in different EVCs in Victoria. Our analyses revealed that, fire and/or logging have dramatically reduced the extent of the
Modelled Old Growth forest and woodland by 77% over the past 25 years. While disturbance is a critical part of a forest’s or woodland’s life cycle (Lindenmayer and Burgman 2005), it is the scale and the increased frequency of disturbance across the Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland estate in Victoria that warrants concern. Modelled Old Growth forest and woodland has been disturbed in all EVC groups, but losses have been particularly marked in some EVCs such as the Wet and Damp Forest EVC and the sub-alpine woodlands EVC (Fig. 4). In contrast, relatively little of the Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland has been disturbed between 1995 and 2020 in other EVCs such as in the Plains Woodlands or Forests EVC and the Heathy Woodlands EVC.

The primary driver of decline in Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland was wildfire. Indeed, some of these EVCs like the sub-alpine woodlands EVC wildfire has disturbed all but a small fraction (~3%) of the old growth they formerly supported just 25 years ago. Moreover, many areas in this EVC have experienced multiple wildfires in that time. Notably, those EVCs that are targeted for wood production, such as the Wet and Damp Forest EVC, also have experienced substantial disturbance (again primarily as a result of wildfire) and the area of Modelled Old Growth was substantially reduced between 1995 and 2020. Some of this loss of was due to logging (primarily in East Gippsland), but the vast majority was due to wildfire.

**Strategies to strengthen the protection of old growth**

Given our analysis showing the increasing rarity of intact old growth forest and woodland in many EVCs in Victoria, in the remainder of this article, we discuss some of the policies and management strategies that are required in response to the extensive declines in this key growth stage of forest and woodland ecosystems.
Strengthen efforts to protect remaining areas of old growth forest and woodland

The extent of losses in Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland in Victoria in the past 25 years indicate a need for greater protection of the limited remaining areas in many EVC groups. The best ways to do this will vary between EVCs. For example, carefully targeted ecological burning may be appropriate to reduce the risk of high-severity wildfire in very limited remaining areas of old growth in the subalpine woodland EVC. Similarly for Plains Woodland and Heathy Woodland (Hobbs 2002; Lindenmayer et al. 2010). However, such kinds of management interventions are inappropriate in some forest types, in particular, the Wet and Damp EVC dominated by Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash (Lindenmayer et al. 2015). Logging in this EVC may need to be reduced, especially in places close to old growth patches because logging increases the risk of high-severity wildfire in young regrowth ash-type eucalypt forests (Taylor et al. 2014; Winoto-Lewin et al. 2020).

Additional strategies to better protect remaining old growth forest and woodland are further described below such as revisiting definitions of old growth, reducing the size of patches of old growth that are protected, and reassessing the status of old growth areas that have been subject to low severity disturbances and which continue to support the key characteristics of old growth.

Revisit the definition of old growth forest and woodland in some EVCs

Protection of old growth forest and woodland is dependent on how it is defined (Burgman 1996; Lindenmayer and Burgman 2005). definition of old growth in some EVCs has changed in recent years (Department of Environment and Primary Industries 2013; Office of the Conservation Regulator 2019; VicForests 2013). For example, in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests (within the Wet and Damp EVC), a change in definition has meant less protection for advanced growth stands (i.e. those 120-250 years old), which now require an additional 130 years of growth before prescriptions to protect them can take effect (Blair et
al. 2017). This significantly weakens the protection of large old trees and, in turn, the
protection of denning habitat for animal species of conservation concern like Leadbeater’s
Possum (*Gymnobelideus leadbeateri*) and the Greater Glider (*Petauroides volans*) (Blair et
al. 2018). We argue that (Blair et al. 2017; Department of Environment and Primary
Industries 2013; VicForests 2013) old growth stands of Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash are
already rare (Lindenmayer et al. 2019a), we suggest that the Victorian Government needs to
revert to the original tree age definition of 120+ years for stands to qualify as old growth.
This change is important because trees occupied by arboreal marsupials of conservation
concern are typically 150-170+ years old (Lindenmayer et al. 2017) and hence somewhat
younger than the 250 years set out by government criteria (Department of Environment and
Primary Industries 2013; VicForests 2013). This will include forests containing old growth
attributes that are currently not included in the old growth estate and may be at risk from
logging (Fig. 7).

Consider the minimum size of old growth patches for protection

A key issue for the conservation of old growth stands is the size of patches that are
targeted for protection (e.g. from logging). In Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests, logging
was formerly excluded from patches exceeding 5 ha in size. However, in a positive move,
recent protocols have reduced this to 1 ha (Office of the Conservation Regulator 2019).
However, even at this reduced size, important attributes of old growth forest, such as
individual large old trees, may still be lost as a result of logging operations (Lindenmayer
2017). Individual large old trees are critical habitat for a wide range of species, including
arboreal marsupials and birds of conservation concern (Lindenmayer et al. 2017). There are
also strong relationships between the occurrence of cavity-dependent species like
Leadbeater’s Possum and the Greater Glider and the abundance of large old trees in otherwise
what are deemed to be regrowth stands (and where logging is permitted) (Lindenmayer et al.
On this basis, we argue the definition of the size of old growth patches needs to be further reduced from 1 ha to a scale of an individual large old tree (defined as any stem that is 120 years or older). This change to better protect individual large old trees is especially important given the rapid rate at which existing trees are collapsing in Mountain Ash forests (Lindenmayer et al. 2018). We suggest that individual trees need to be protected by a buffer of unlogged forest because logging in adjacent areas and the surrounding landscape will otherwise increase rates of collapse of these trees (Lindenmayer et al. 2018).

Reassess disturbance maps

We argue there needs to be a reassessment of mapped old growth data layers and fire severity mapping to identify those areas of forest and woodland that have been subject to low severity fire. These areas should have their protection reinstated as they retain many of the key features of old growth forests (such as the persistence of large living trees). In the O’Shannassy Water Supply Catchment, which is part of a network of catchments supplying water to the city of Melbourne (Viggers et al. 2013), the 2009 wildfires burnt large areas previously mapped as Modelled Old Growth Forest (Taylor et al 2014) (Fig. 6). The Victorian Government’s review of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodlands following the 2009 wildfires declassified large areas of previously mapped as Modelled Old Growth Forest that sustained low severity fire (Fig. 8). In this case, the large and surviving old growth trees remain in this forest despite the declassification.

Increase the transparency of the delisting process for old growth forest and woodland

The rationale for delisting areas as modelled old growth forest needs to be made more explicit as they are currently not transparent. We found that the Victorian Government reclassified areas as “not old growth” that were previously never mapped as modelled old growth. It is unclear as to the methods of analysis that the Victorian Government used and why areas previously unmapped as modelled old growth were subsequently declassified. We
argue that such decisions to delist old growth forests should be reversed where they are deemed to have been ecologically inappropriate (such as stands remaining largely intact following recent disturbances). In some EVCs, such as the Wet and Damp Forest EVC, these areas should be excluded from the register of places targeted for future logging under Timber Release Plans (VicForests 2019).

Implement medium to long-term planning to expand the old growth forest and woodland estate

Given that the current extent of old growth is limited in many EVCs, medium to long-term planning is needed for its expansion. This is necessary to meet levels of old growth protection required under the National Forest Policy Statement (Commonwealth of Australia 1995; Joint ANZECC/MCFFA National Forest Policy Statement Implementation Sub-committee 1997) and in accordance with Regional Forest Agreements (Department of Agriculture and Water Resources 2017). This will require the protection of some areas of advanced regrowth (which could be where the next nearest old growth will develop) so they have a chance to eventually reach ecological maturity. Such protection efforts should be focused on parts of landscapes which support the kinds of environmental conditions and other characteristics that have the greatest chance of escaping future high-severity wildfires and hence where old growth forest is most likely to develop (e.g. see Mackey et al. 2002).

Set targets for levels of old growth forest and woodland cover

Management agencies need to set targets for the amount of old growth that is desired for a given EVC, or ecosystem and landscape. Such targets need to be based on how much old growth might historically have occurred, how much presently occurs, and how much might be expected to be disturbed or lost through future fires. For example, in the case of Victorian Mountain Ash forests, 30-60% of the ecosystem was thought to be old growth at the time of European colonisation (Lindenmayer and McCarthy 2002). Therefore, a 29-59%
increase relative to current levels is needed to reach pre-European colonization levels.

However, far greater levels of protection will be needed than this because of the high likelihood that some of the areas will be burned in future fires.

**Concluding comments**

Our analysis of key disturbance and other data layers revealed that the extent of the old growth forest and woodland estate in Victoria has been substantially reduced in the past 25 years. This has occurred across many EVCs. Key policy and management actions are needed to protect limited remaining areas of old growth forest and woodland and, critically, significantly expand the size of the old growth estate in the future.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1 Extent of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland across all EVC groups (see text) mapped in 1994-2000 (Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning 2014a).

Fig. 2. Proportion of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland in (EVC) groups in 1994-2000.

Fig. 3. Disturbance by wildfire, logging or a combination of both in Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland between 1995 and 2020.

Fig. 4. Area disturbed and number of disturbances between 1995 and 2020 of Modelled Old Growth Forest and Woodland in different Ecological Vegetation Classes.

Fig. 5. Percentage of EVC Groups declassified as modelled old growth forest and woodland following the 2003, 2007 and 2009 wildfires.

Fig. 6. Changes to modelled old growth forest and woodland extent in the Central Highlands region of Victoria following the 2009 wildfires showing fire severity classes.

Fig. 7. An example of an area of potential old growth Mountain Ash forest that is scheduled for logging under the most recent Timber Release Plan (VicForests 2019) in the Central Highlands of Victoria.

Fig. 8. A stand of forest classified as Wet and Damp Forest EVC, previously mapped as modelled old growth in the O’Shannassy Catchment, was subject to a Class 4/5 Fire Severity
disturbance in the 2009 wildfire (in which overstorey trees remained undamaged) but was subsequently removed under the 2009 review of old growth areas by the Victorian Government.