Correspondence to:

Professor M.G. Humphrey

Research School of Chemistry

Australian National University

Canberra, ACT 0200

Australia

T: +61 2 6125 2927

F: +61 2 6125 0760

E: Mark.Humphrey@anu.edu.au

Contribution to the Special Issue of the Journal of Organometallic Chemistry on Concepts for the Structure and Bonding of Organometallic Compounds, celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Mike Mingos

Mixed-Metal Cluster Chemistry. 35. Syntheses and Structural Studies of
 $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$, **$\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$**
and $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$

Junhong Fu, Graeme J. Moxey, Marie P. Cifuentes, and Mark G. Humphrey^{*}

Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia.

^{*} Correspondence author. Ph: +61 2 6125 2927; Fax: +61 2 6125 0750; email:
Mark.Humphrey@anu.edu.au

[#] Dedicated to Professor Mike Mingos, an inspirational chemist.

Abstract

The reaction of $\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ with $\text{Mo}_2\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_7(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ affords a complex mixture from which three structurally-characterized products were obtained following thin-layer chromatography. $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**) is Effective Atomic Number (EAN) Rule EAN-precise and possesses an edge-bridged trigonal bipyramidal core with the face-capping oxo ligand located in a Mo_2Ir_2 butterfly cleft in the structure. $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ (**2**) possesses four electrons less than the Polyhedral Skeletal Electron Pair Theory (PSEPT)-expected count for a capped pentagonal bipyramidal cluster with the apical atoms within bonding distance. $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (**3**) possesses a tetracapped tetrahedral core and has six electrons less than the PSEPT-predicted electron count. The core geometries exhibited by clusters **2** and **3** have previously only been observed for clusters including significant group 10 and group 11 metal content.

Keywords: Crystal structures; Molybdenum; Iridium; Cluster; Cyclopentadienyl

1. Introduction

Transition metal clusters occupy the regime between monometallic complexes and nanocrystalline materials. The challenge of rationalizing the stability of such species has spurred the development of theory, one key example of which is the polyhedral skeletal electron-pair theory (PSEPT, also known as the Wade-Mingos rules) [1,2]. The field of transition metal carbonyl clusters increased explosively following the advent of routine single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies that were needed to conclusively establish the identity of these clusters [3], to the extent that the field can now be considered mature. Notwithstanding the now-well-established nature of this area, it is still the case that mixed-metal clusters incorporating disparate metals remain comparatively underexploited, despite the practical advantages that locating two differing metals in the one cluster may afford, such as enhancing the prospects of controlling chemistry via metal- or bond- selectivity for substrates, labelling vertices to establish ligand fluxionality pathways, and controlling the spatial disposition and connectivity of heterobimetallic species in subsequent catalytic processes [4].

We have reported comprehensive studies of the syntheses and chemistry of tetranuclear mixed molybdenum/tungsten-iridium carbonyl clusters [5] and have recently extended these studies to embrace pentanuclear examples [6]. On modifying the reaction conditions employed for the syntheses of one of the pentanuclear clusters, we observed

the unexpected formation of several higher-nuclearity species, the syntheses and structural characterization of which are reported herein.

2. Experimental

2.1. General experimental conditions and starting materials

The reaction was performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques. The product clusters proved indefinitely stable in air as solids and for at least short periods of time in solution, and thus no special precautions were taken to exclude air in their work-up. The toluene reaction solvent was AR grade and was distilled under nitrogen over sodium benzophenone ketyl. Literature procedures were used to synthesize $\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ [6] and $\text{Mo}_2\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_7(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ [7]. All other solvents and other reagents were obtained commercially and were used as received. Petrol refers to a fraction of boiling range 60 – 80 °C. The cluster products were purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on a 20 × 20 cm glass plate coated with Merck GF₂₅₄ silica gel (0.5 mm). IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer using a CaF₂ solution cell and AR grade *n*-hexane solvent.

2.2. Synthesis of $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**), $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ and $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (**3**)

$\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (16.0 mg, 43.2 μmol) was added to a red solution of $\text{Mo}_2\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_7(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (46.0 mg, 46.4 μmol) in toluene (30 mL), and the resultant

solution was heated at reflux for 90 min, the extent of reaction being monitored by IR spectroscopy. The solution reaction mixture was taken to dryness *in vacuo*, and the crude residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of CH₂Cl₂ and applied to a silica preparative TLC plate. Elution with CH₂Cl₂/petrol (9:1) afforded 6 bands. The contents of the first ($R_f = 0.93$, yellow), second ($R_f = 0.75$, orange) and fourth ($R_f = 0.50$, red) bands were in trace amounts and the products contained therein could not be identified. The contents of the third band ($R_f = 0.68$, dark yellow) were extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and reduced in volume, producing a dark yellow solid (ca. 0.5 mg), identified as Mo₃Ir₃(μ_3 -O)(μ -CO)₃(CO)₈(η^5 -C₅H₅)₃ (**1**) by a single-crystal X-ray crystallography study [IR: $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2025 w, 2002 s, 1969 s, 1935 sh, 1886 w, 1721 w cm⁻¹]. The contents of the fifth band ($R_f = 0.43$, brown) were extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and reduced in volume to afford a black solid identified as Mo₂Ir₃(μ -CO)₃(CO)₆(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂(η^5 -C₅HMe₄) (23.8 mg, 18.6 μ mol, 31%) by IR spectral comparison to an authentic sample [6]. The contents of the sixth band ($R_f = 0.31$, brown) were extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and reduced in volume, producing a brown solid. Crystallization from *n*-hexane afforded co-crystallized Mo₄Ir₄(μ -CO)₄(CO)₉(η^5 -C₅H₅)₄ (**2**) and Mo₃Ir₅(μ -CO)₃(Cl)(CO)₆(η^5 -C₅H₅)₃(η^5 -C₅HMe₄) (**3**) (ca. 0.5 mg) [IR: $\nu(\text{CO})$ 2025 m, 1997 s, 1969 m, 1944 sh, 1886 w, 1837 w, 1706 w cm⁻¹].

2.3. X-ray structural studies of Mo₃Ir₃(μ_3 -O)(μ -CO)₃(CO)₈(η^5 -C₅H₅)₃ (**1**) and Mo₄Ir₄(μ -CO)₄(CO)₉(η^5 -C₅H₅)₄ (**2**), Mo₃Ir₅(μ -CO)₃(Cl)(CO)₆(η^5 -C₅H₅)₃(η^5 -C₅HMe₄) (**3**)

Crystals of **1** and **2,3** suitable for the X-ray structural analyses were grown from *n*-hexane solutions. Intensity data were collected using an Enraf-Nonius KAPPA CCD diffractometer at 200 K with MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7170$ Å). Suitable crystals were immersed in viscous hydrocarbon oil and mounted on a glass fibre that was mounted

on the diffractometer. Using psi and omega scans N_t (total) reflections were measured, which were reduced to N_o unique reflections, with $F_o > 2\sigma(F_o)$ being considered “observed”. Data were initially processed and corrected for absorption using the programs DENZO [8] and SORTAV [9]. The structures were solved using direct methods, and observed reflections were used in least squares refinement on F^2 , with anisotropic thermal parameters refined for non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were constrained in calculated positions and refined with a riding model. Structure solutions and refinements were performed using the programs SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-2013 [10] through the graphical interface Olex2 [11], which was also used to generate the figures. Crystal data for **1**: $C_{26}H_{15}Ir_3Mo_3O_{12}$, $M = 1383.80$, brown block, $0.09 \times 0.09 \times 0.07$ mm³, triclinic, space group $P-1$ (No. 2), $a = 10.690(2)$, $b = 11.239(2)$, $c = 11.950(2)$ Å, $\alpha = 98.22(3)$, $\beta = 91.51(3)$, $\gamma = 91.60(3)^\circ$, $V = 1419.8(5)$ Å³, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 3.237$ g/cm³, $F_{000} = 1248$, $\mu = 15.35$ mm⁻¹, $2\theta_{max} = 56.6^\circ$, 30544 reflections collected, 7033 unique. Final $GoF = 1.01$, $R1 = 0.040$, $wR2 = 0.097$, R indices based on 5591 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on F^2), 397 parameters, 3 restraints. Crystal data for **2,3**: $C_{33}H_{20}Ir_4Mo_4O_{13} \cdot C_{33}H_{28}ClIr_5Mo_3O_9$, $M = 3629.87$, brown plate, $0.08 \times 0.07 \times 0.01$ mm³, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14), $a = 20.459(4)$, $b = 17.297(4)$, $c = 22.038(4)$ Å, $\beta = 100.56(3)^\circ$, $V = 7667(3)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $D_c = 3.145$ g/cm³, $F_{000} = 6496$, $\mu = 16.75$ mm⁻¹, $2\theta_{max} = 52.8^\circ$, 120726 reflections collected, 15673 unique. Final $GoF = 0.96$, $R1 = 0.055$, $wR2 = 0.131$, R indices based on 10419 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on F^2), 950 parameters, 285 restraints. *Variata*. In **1**, anisotropic displacement parameter restraints were applied to carbonyl ligand C121-O121. In **2,3**, disordered lattice solvent could not be successfully modelled, and was therefore removed from the refinement using PLATON SQUEEZE [12]. Anisotropic displacement parameter restraints and constraints were applied to cyclopentadienyl

ligands C1-C5, C6-C10, C11-C15, C21-C25, and carbonyl ligands C4A-O4A, C34A-O34A. Crystallographic data for the structural analyses have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC numbers 1033254 (**1**) and 1033255 (**2,3**). Copies of this information may be obtained, free of charge, from the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1E2, UK (fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail: deposit@ccd.cam.ac.uk or www: <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk>).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis of $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**) and $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ (**2**), $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (**3**)

The reaction between $\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (43.2 μmol) and $\text{Mo}_2\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_7(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (46.4 μmol) in refluxing toluene (30 mL) for 90 min afforded a complex mixture of products that were separated by thin-layer chromatography (Scheme 1). The major product $[\text{Mo}_2\text{Ir}_3(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)]$, 31%] has been previously obtained in a significantly higher yield (68%) from the reaction between the same reagents, but under different conditions, varying the molar ratio, quantity of solvent, and reaction duration $[\text{Ir}(\text{CO})_2(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (28.9 μmol) and $\text{Mo}_2\text{Ir}_2(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_7(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ (19.3 μmol) in refluxing toluene (7 mL) for 1 h] [6]; thus, the reaction outcomes are highly sensitive to the specific reaction conditions. In the present case, several minor bands in essentially trace amounts were separable. Attempts to crystallize the contents afforded suitable single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies from two of the bands (the third and sixth, as described in the Experimental Section).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**), $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ (**2**) and $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (**3**)

3.2. X-ray structural study of $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**)

The structural study of the crystal obtained from the contents of the third band from the TLC plate revealed its identity to be $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**). An ORTEP diagram of **1** is shown in Fig. 1, while selected bond lengths are collected in the figure caption.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_3\text{-O})(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ (**1**), with thermal ellipsoids set at the 40% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. The thick bonds depict the edge-bridged trigonal bipyramidal cluster core. Selected bond lengths (Å): Mo1-Ir2 2.7435(10), Mo1-Ir3 2.6817(11), Mo1-Mo4 3.1062(13), Mo1-Ir5 2.8660(11), Ir2-Ir3 2.7546(8), Ir2-Mo4 2.7929(11), Ir2-Ir5 2.7437(9), Ir2-Mo6 2.8947(11), Ir3-Mo4 2.7625(10), Ir3-Ir5 2.7410(9), Ir5-Mo6 2.6904(10), Mo1-C121 1.953(8), Ir2-C121 2.479(8), Ir2-C221 1.909(9), Ir2-C222 1.870(10), Ir3-C341 2.284(9), Ir3-C331 1.911(9), Ir3-C332 1.865(8), Mo4-C441 2.001(9), Mo4-C341 1.974(8), Ir5-C561 2.596(9), Ir5-C551 1.859(9), Ir5-C552 1.882(8), Mo6-C661 2.000(10), Mo6-C561 1.979(9), Mo1-O156 2.005(6), Ir5-O156 2.078(5), Mo6-O156 2.095(5).

Cluster **1** possesses an edge-bridged trigonal bipyramidal core with the three iridium atoms defining one face of the trigonal bipyramid and a molybdenum atom bridging an apical Ir – equatorial Ir vector. The molybdenum atoms are each ligated by a η^5 -cyclopentadienyl ligand, and the cluster contains eleven carbonyl ligands (three edge-

bridging, eight terminally-ligated). A μ_3 -oxo ligand, assumed to derive from cleavage of a CO ligand, completes the coordination sphere (note that we cannot discount the possibility that it derives from oxidation on work-up); its assignment as O rather than C is consistent with its refinement behavior (more plausible thermal parameters) and chemical precedent (see below: a face-capping residue is far more likely to be an oxo than a carbido ligand, particularly in situations such as this where a higher metal loading is possible). The Ir-Ir [2.7410(9)-2.7546(8) Å], Mo-Ir [2.6817(11)-2.8947(11) Å], and Mo-Mo [3.1062(13) Å] bond distances lie within the range of literature precedents. The three bridging CO ligands all bridge Mo-Ir vectors and are all formally semi-bridging, being displaced significantly towards the group 6 metal [Curtis semi-bridging parameters [13,14] $\alpha = 0.27$ (CO121), 0.16 (CO341), 0.31 (CO561)]. The cluster possesses $3 \times 6(\text{Mo}) + 3 \times 9(\text{Ir}) + 4(\text{O}) + 11 \times 2(\text{CO}) + 3 \times 5(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5) = 86$ CVE, which is EAN precise for an M_6 cluster with 11 M-M bonds.

The edge-bridged trigonal bipyramidal core geometry is relatively uncommon, precedent examples including $\text{Ru}_3\text{Au}_3(\mu_3\text{-}\eta^3\text{-C}_{12}\text{H}_{15})(\text{CO})_8(\text{PPh}_3)_3$ [15], $\text{Ru}_4\text{Cu}_2(\mu_3\text{-H})_2(\text{CO})_{12}\{\text{P}(\text{CH}_2\text{Ph})_3\}_2$ [16,17], $\text{WRu}_5(\mu_3\text{-H})(\mu\text{-H})_3(\mu_4\text{-C})(\mu_3\text{-CCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{CO})_{12}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)$ [18], $\text{W}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_4\text{-}\eta^2\text{-CO})(\mu\text{-CO})(\text{CO})_{10}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{Me})_3$ [19], and $\text{W}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_4\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph})(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-C=CHPh})(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ [20]. The carbido ligand in $\text{WRu}_5(\mu_3\text{-H})(\mu\text{-H})_3(\mu_4\text{-C})(\mu_3\text{-CCH}_2\text{Ph})(\text{CO})_{12}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)$ sits in a butterfly cleft formed by the edge-bridging Ru and a WRu_2 face of the WRu_4 trigonal bipyramid and, while this cluster is the closest structural analogue to **1**, the μ_4 -coordination of its carbido ligand in the analogous environment to the μ_3 -atom in **1** lends further support to assignment of the latter as an oxo ligand. The core atom disposition in **1** is analogous

to those in $\text{W}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_4-\eta^2\text{-CO})(\mu\text{-CO})(\text{CO})_{10}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{Me})_3$ [19] and $\text{W}_3\text{Ir}_3(\mu_4-\eta^2\text{-C}_2\text{Ph})(\mu-\eta^2\text{-C=CHPh})(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_8(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ [20], in both of which a group 6 metal atom M bridges an Ir-Ir bond and the multiply-bridging ligand sits in the resultant M_2Ir_2 butterfly cleft.

3.3. X-ray structural study of $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ (**2**), $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (**3**)

The structural study from the crystal obtained from the contents of the sixth band from the TLC plate revealed a co-crystallized mixture of two new octanuclear clusters: $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ (**2**) and $\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_3(\text{Cl})(\text{CO})_6(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_3(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_4)$ (**3**). ORTEP diagrams of **2** and **3** are shown in Figs 2 and 3, respectively, while selected bond lengths are collected in the relevant figure caption.

Figure 2. Molecular structure of $\text{Mo}_4\text{Ir}_4(\mu\text{-CO})_4(\text{CO})_9(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_4$ (**2**), with thermal ellipsoids set at the 40% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. The thick bonds depict the capped pentagonal bipyramidal cluster core. Selected bond lengths (Å): Mo1A-Ir2A 2.8068(18), Mo1A-Ir5A 2.8573(16), Mo1A-Mo6A 2.8910(19), Mo1A-Ir7A 2.7124(15), Ir2A-Mo3A 2.8158(16), Ir2A-Mo6A 2.7175(16), Ir2A-Ir7A 2.7347(9), Mo3A-Ir4A 2.8460(17), Mo3A-Mo6A 2.895(2), Mo3A-Ir7A 2.7129(17), Ir4A-Ir5A 2.7211(12), Ir4A-Mo6A 2.7264(14), Ir4A-Ir7A 2.6952(10), Ir4A-Mo8A 2.8248(15), Ir5A-Mo6A 2.7227(16), Ir5A-Ir7A 2.6994(10), Ir5A-Mo8A 2.8404(15), Mo6A-Ir7A 2.7322(14), Ir7A-Mo8A 2.7227(14), Mo1A-C12A 1.953(17), Mo1A-C15A 2.024(17), Ir2A-C12A 2.505(16), Ir2A-C2A 1.904(18), Ir2A-C22A 1.885(19), Ir2A-C23A 2.50(2), Mo3A-C23A 1.97(2), Mo3A-C34A 1.983(18), Ir4A-C34A 2.461(16), Ir4A-C4A 1.919(17), Ir4A-C44A 1.862(18), Ir5A-C15A 2.418(16), Ir5A-C5A 1.83(2), Ir5A-C55A 1.82(2), Ir7A-C77A 1.876(17), Mo8A-C8A 1.961(16), Mo8A-C88A 1.938(19).

Cluster **2** possesses a capped pentagonal bipyramidal core, with iridium and molybdenum atoms at the vertices of the Mo_3Ir_4 pentagonal bipyramid and a molybdenum atom capping the unique triiridium face. The distance between the apices [Mo6A-Ir7A 2.7322(14) Å] is consistent with a bonding interaction, and thereby the description of the cluster core as consisting of five fused tetrahedra defining the pentagonal bipyramid fused to a sixth, capping, tetrahedron or, alternatively, as a fragment of an Ir7A-centered distorted icosahedron. The molybdenum atoms are each ligated by a η^5 -cyclopentadienyl ligand, and the cluster bears an additional thirteen CO ligands (four edge-bridging, nine terminally ligated). The Ir-Ir [2.6952(10)-2.7347(9) Å], Mo-Ir [2.7124(15)-2.8573(16) Å], and Mo-Mo [2.8910(19)-2.895(2) Å] distances

are not unusual, while the bridging CO ligands, as with **1**, all bridge Mo-Ir bonds and are all formally semi-bridging and displaced towards the Mo atoms [$\alpha = 0.28$ (CO12A), 0.19 (CO15A), 0.27 (CO23A), 0.24 (CO34A)]. The cluster possesses $4 \times 6(\text{Mo}) + 4 \times 9(\text{Ir}) + 13 \times 2(\text{CO}) + 4 \times 5(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5) = 106$ CVE, which is four less than the PSEPT-expected count for a capped pentagonal bipyramidal cluster with the apical atoms within bonding distance [2,21].

Previous examples of this cluster core are platinum- or gold-rich: $\text{Ru}_3\text{Pt}_5(\mu\text{-CO})_6(\text{CO})_6(\text{PBU}^t_3)_3$ [21], $[\text{MoAu}_7(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_7](\text{OH})$ [22,23], $\text{PtAu}_5\text{Hg}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_6(\kappa^2\text{-O,O'-NO}_3)_2$ [24], $[\text{RhAu}_7(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_7](\text{NO}_3)_2$ [25], $[\text{WAu}_7(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_7]\text{PF}_6$ [26], and $[\text{CoAu}_7(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_7](\text{PF}_6)_2$ [27]. Larger gold clusters are often fragments of centered icosahedra for which the bonds to the central gold atom are stronger than those between the peripheral gold atoms [22]. In the present case, for which Ir7A could be considered the center atom of an incomplete and somewhat distorted icosahedron, the bonds to Ir7A (ave. 2.716 Å) are significantly shorter than bonds between “peripheral” (other) Ir and Ir/Mo atoms (ave. 2.788 Å). This is due to the much shorter average Ir7A-Mo bonds (2.715 Å) compared to the average “peripheral” Ir-Mo bond length (2.795 Å). For the latter group, the “peripheral” Ir-Mo6A bonds (ave. 2.722 Å) are much shorter than other “peripheral” Ir-Mo bonds (ave. 2.832 Å), reflecting the decreased ligation and higher M-M connectivity of Mo6A compared to other molybdenum atoms. Considering Ir7A as the center atom of an incomplete icosahedron links **2** with the aforementioned heptagold clusters $[\text{MoAu}_7(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_7](\text{OH})$ [22,23], $[\text{RhAu}_7(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_7](\text{NO}_3)_2$ [25], $[\text{WAu}_7(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)_7]\text{PF}_6$ [26], and

[CoAu₇(CO)₂(PPh₃)₇](PF₆)₂ [27] for which Mo, Rh, and Co, respectively, are found in similar locations.

Figure 3. Molecular structure of Mo₃Ir₅(μ-CO)₃(Cl)(CO)₆(η⁵-C₅H₅)₃(η⁵-C₅HMe₄) (**3**), with thermal ellipsoids set at the 40% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. The thick bonds depict the tetracapped tetrahedral cluster core. Selected bond lengths (Å): Ir1B-Ir2B 2.6892(10), Ir1B-Ir3B 2.7228(9), Ir1B-Mo5B 2.6595(13), Ir1B-Ir6B 2.5869(11), Ir1B-Ir7B 2.6458(9), Ir1B-Mo8B 2.6885(15), Ir2B-Ir3B 2.6911(9), Ir2B-Mo4B 2.6819(14), Ir2B-Ir6B 2.6033(9), Ir2B-Ir7B 2.6619(12), Ir2B-Mo8B 2.6576(14), Ir3B-Mo4B 2.6704(15), Ir3B-Mo5B 2.6756(15), Ir3B-Ir6B 2.5922(9), Ir3B-Ir7B 2.6451(9), Mo4B-Ir6B 2.6927(14), Mo5B-Ir6B 2.7110(14), Ir6B-Mo8B 2.6876(14), Ir1B-C11B 1.854(15), Ir1B-C18B 2.577(15), Ir2B-C22B

1.867(18), Ir2B-C24B 2.523(16), Ir3B-C33B 1.861(15), Ir3B-C35B 2.515(15), Mo4B-C24B 2.011(19), Mo4B-C44B 1.997(16), Mo5B-C35B 1.958(16), Mo5B-C55B 1.92(2), Ir6B-Cl66 2.340(4), Mo8B-C18B 1.987(17), Mo8B-C88B 1.966(15).

Cluster **3** possesses a tetracapped tetrahedral core. The central tetrahedron is defined by four iridium atoms, and the four caps comprise three cyclopentadienyl-ligated molybdenum atoms and a tetramethylcyclopentadienyl-ligated iridium atom; as such, **3** is the only identified product in the present study to bear a Ir(η^5 -C₅HMe₄) vertex derived from the Ir(CO)₂(η^5 -C₅HMe₄) precursor. The cluster contains nine carbonyl ligands (three edge-bridging and six terminally ligated). The coordination geometry is completed by a terminal chloro ligand. The source of the chlorine is as yet unclear: a similar terminal chloro ligand was identified in W₃Ir₃(μ_4 - η^2 -C₂Ph)(μ - η^2 -C=CHPh)(Cl)(CO)₈(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂ [20] and assumed to derive from trace amounts of HCl in the CH₂Cl₂ solvent. In contrast to the present study, the chloro ligand in W₃Ir₃(μ_4 - η^2 -C₂Ph)(μ - η^2 -C=CHPh)(Cl)(CO)₈(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂ is bound to one of the group 6 metal atoms. The Ir-Ir [2.5869(11)-2.7228(9)] and Mo-Ir [2.6576(14)-2.6927(14)] bond lengths are, on average, short compared to literature norms. The three bridging CO ligands all bridge Mo-Ir vectors and are all formally semi-bridging, being displaced significantly towards the group 6 metal atom [α = 0.30 (CO18B), 0.25 (CO24B), 0.28 (CO35B)]. The cluster possesses 3 x 6(Mo) + 5 x 9(Ir) + 1(Cl) + 9 x 2(CO) + 4 x 5{(η^5 -C₅H₅)/(η^5 -C₅HMe₄)} = 102 CVE, which is six less than the PSEPT-predicted electron count [2,29].

Tetracapped tetrahedral core geometries are uncommon, previous examples being $\text{Ni}_8(\mu_4\text{-Se})_6(\text{PPr}^i_3)_4$ [28], $\text{Os}_4\text{Pd}_4(\text{CO})_{12}(\text{PBu}^t_3)_4$ [29], $[\text{Ag}_8(\mu_4\text{-H})\{\mu_4\text{-}\kappa^2(\text{S},\text{S}')\text{-S}_2\text{P}(\text{OR})_2\}_6](\text{PF}_6)$ ($\text{R} = \text{Et}$ [30], Et , Pr^i [31]), $[\text{Cu}_8(\mu_4\text{-H})\{\mu_4\text{-}\kappa^2(\text{S},\text{S}')\text{-S}_2\text{CNR}_2\}_6](\text{PF}_6)$ ($\text{R} = \text{Et}$, Pr^n [32]). Thus, as with **2**, the literature precedents are group 10 or group 11 metal-rich, emphasizing the unusual nature of cluster growth in the group 6-group 9 mixed-metal system for which cluster build-up at core tetrahedra rather than octahedra has thus far been seen [6,19,20,33,34].

Acknowledgements

We thank the Australian Research Council (ARC) for support of this work. J.F. is the recipient of a China Scholarship Council ANU Postgraduate Scholarship and M.P.C. holds an ARC Australian Research Fellowship.

References

[1] D.M.P. Mingos, *Nature* 236 (1972) 99.

- [2] D.M.P. Mingos, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 17 (1984) 311.
- [3] See: P. Braunstein, L.A. Oro, P.R. Raithby, *Metal Clusters in Chemistry*. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1999.
- [4] S.M. Waterman, N.T. Lucas, M.G. Humphrey, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 46 (2000), 47.
- [5] M.D. Randles, R.D. Dewhurst, M.P. Cifuentes, M.G. Humphrey, *Organometallics* 31 (2012) 2582, and references therein.
- [6] M.D. Randles, P.V. Simpson, V. Gupta, J. Fu, G.J. Moxey, T. Schwich, A.L. Criddle, S. Petrie, J.G. MacLellan, S.R. Batten, R. Stranger, M.P. Cifuentes and M.G. Humphrey, *Inorg. Chem.* 52 (2013) 11256.
- [7] N.T. Lucas, M.G. Humphrey, D.C.R. Hockless, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 535 (1997) 175.
- [8] Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, *Methods Enzymol.* 276 (1997) 307.
- [9] R. H. Blessing, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr.* 51 (1995) 33.
- [10] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr.* 64 (2008) 112.
- [11] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 42 (2009) 339.
- [12] P. van der Sluis, A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Fundam. Crystallogr.*, 46 (1990) 194.
- [13] M.D. Curtis, W.M. Butler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 155 (1978) 131.
- [14] R.J. Klingler, W.M. Butler, M.D. Curtis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 100 (1978) 5034.
- [15] M.I. Bruce, O.b. Shawkataly, B.K. Nicholson, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 275 (1984) 223.

- [16] P.J. McCarthy, I.D. Salter, K.P. Armstrong, M. McPartlin, H.R. Powell, J. Organomet. Chem. 377 (1989) C73.
- [17] C.J. Brown, P.J. McCarthy, I.D. Salter, K.P. Armstrong, M. McPartlin, H.R. Powell, J. Organomet. Chem. 394 (1990) 711.
- [18] W.-J. Chao, Y. Chi, C.-J. Way, I.J. Mavunkal, S.-L. Wang, F.-L. Liao, L.J. Farrugia, Organometallics 16 (1997) 3523.
- [19] E.G.A. Notaras, N.T. Lucas, M.G. Humphrey, J. Organomet. Chem. 631 (2001) 139.
- [20] G.T. Dalton, L. Viau, S.M. Waterman, M.G. Humphrey, M.I. Bruce, P.J. Low, R.L. Roberts, A.C. Willis, G.A. Koutsantonis, B.W. Skelton, A.H. White, Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005) 3261.
- [21] R.D. Adams, E.M. Boswell, B. Captain, L. Zhu, J. Cluster Sci. 19 (2009) 121.
- [22] G. Beuter, J. Strähle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27 (1988) 1094.
- [23] G. Beuter, J. Strähle, J. Organomet. Chem. 372 (1989) 67.
- [24] L.N. Ito, A.M.P. Felicissimo, L.H. Pignolet, Inorg. Chem. 30 (1991) 387.
- [25] S.G. Bott, H. Fleischer, M. Leach, D.M.P. Mingos, H. Powell, D.J. Watkin, M.J. Watson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1991) 2569.
- [26] T.G.M.M. Kappen, A.C.M. van den Broek, P.P.J. Schlebos, J.J. Bour, W.P. Bosman, J.M.M. Smits, P.T. Beurskens, J.J. Steggerda, Inorg. Chem. 31 (1992) 4075.
- [27] M. Holzer, J. Strähle, G. Baum, D. Fenske, Z. anorg. Allg. Chem. 620 (1994) 192.

- [28] D. Fenske, H. Krautscheid, M. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 31 (1992) 321.
- [29] R.D. Adams, E.M. Boswell, B. Captain, *Organometallics* 27 (2008) 1169.
- [30] C.W. Liu, H.-W. Chang, C.-S. Fang, B. Sarkar, J.-C. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* 46 (2010) 4571.
- [31] C.W. Liu, H.-W. Chang, B. Sarkar, J.-Y. Saillard, S. Kahlal, Y.-Y. Wu, *Inorg. Chem.* 49 (2010) 468.
- [32] P.-K. Liao, C.-S. Fang, A.J. Edwards, S. Kahlal, J.-Y. Saillard, C.W. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.* 51 (2012) 6577.
- [33] S.M. Waterman, M.G. Humphrey, D.C.R. Hockless, *Organometallics* 15 (1996) 1745.
- [34] A.J. Usher, N.T. Lucas, G.T. Dalton, M.D. Randles, L. Viau, M.G. Humphrey, S. Petrie, R. Stranger, A.C. Willis, A.D. Rae, *Inorg. Chem.* 45 (2006) 10859.