Diphenylamino-substituted Tristyryl vs. Triphenyl Isocyanurates: Improved Conjugation has Minimal Impact on Two-Photon Absorption

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Amédée Triadon,^{a,b} Alphonsine Ngo Ndimba,^{a,b} Nicolas Richy,^a Anissa Amar,^c Abdou Boucekkine,^a Thierry Roisnel,^a Marie P. Cifuentes,[†] Mark G. Humphrey,^{*b} Mireille Blanchard-Desce,^d Olivier Mongin,^{*a} and Frédéric Paul^{*a}

This contribution reports the synthesis of **2-NPh₂**, the tri(styryl) analogue of the tri(phenyl)isocyanurate **1-NPh₂** which was previously demonstrated to be an active two-photon absorber. Contrary to expectation, planarization and extension of the central π -system does not lead to a marked improvement of the two-photon absorption cross-section.

Since the seminal work of Cho,¹⁻² planar octupolar molecules have attracted sustained interest for their two-photon absorption properties; this is because of the increasing attention given to this third-order nonlinear optical (NLO) property for applications in diverse fields ranging from microfabrication to bioimaging.²⁻⁵ In this respect, we recently identified extended triazinane-2,4,6-triones such as **2-X** (Scheme 1), more commonly known as isocyanurates,⁶⁻⁷ as exhibiting large hyperpolarizabilities for molecules of their size when functionalized by peripheral donor groups (X = NH₂, NMe₂, NPh₂).⁸⁻⁹

Scheme 1. Known (**1-X** and **2-X**; X = NH₂, NMe₂, NPh₂) and Targeted (**3-NPh₂**, **4-Br**) Molecular Structures.

As previously pointed out, because of steric interactions between the oxygen atoms of the central ring and the ortho hydrogens of the peripheral phenyl groups of the molecule, the latter adopt a twisted conformation which results in a partial disruption of the π -manifold connecting the terminal electron-releasing groups to the central electron-deficient core. Given that the NLO properties are often strongly correlated with the spatial extension and good overlap of the conjugated π -manifold between donor and acceptor groups,¹⁰ we logically wondered if the 2PA performance of such molecules could be improved by inserting 1,2-ethylene groups between the central isocyanurate core and the appended phenyl rings in the most active derivative **2-NPh₂**. Such a chemical modification should planarize and slightly extend the π -manifold on each arm at the same time. To this aim, we targeted the derivative **3-NPh₂**, using a synthetic approach requiring the preliminary isolation of **4-Br**, itself accessed following a workup inspired from that used by Mormann et al. to isolate its non-functionalized analogue **4-H** in a completely different context.¹¹

Scheme 2. Synthesis of **4-Br**.

Access to **4-Br** was achieved in one step by cyclotrimerization of the corresponding styryl isocyanate **5-Br**, itself generated from its acryloyl azide precursor **6-Br** using a Curtius reaction (Scheme 2) and engaged without purification in the cyclotrimerization step. The acryloyl azide precursor **6-Br** was isolated in good yields (93%) in two steps from commercial 4-bromocinnamic acid and characterized by NMR.

The new compound **4-Br** was characterized by the usual techniques and by X-ray diffraction, providing definitive structural evidence for this intermediate (Figure 1). In line with

^a Université Rennes 1, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes), UMR 6226, 35000 Rennes (France).

^b Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601 (Australia).

^c Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mouloud Mammeri, 15000 Tizi-Ouzou (Algeria).

^d ISM, CNRS (UMR 5255), Université Bordeaux, 33400 Talence (France).

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthetic details, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, crystal structure acquisition and refinement data for **4-Br**, absorption and emission spectra, and (TD-)DFT computational data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

this solid-state structure, the ^1H NMR spectrum reveals the presence of only one isomer in solution with the classic AB pattern of *trans*-substituted double bonds in an *E* configuration ($^3J_{\text{HH}} \geq 13.7$ Hz). A striking difference with the triaryl isocyanurate derivatives previously crystallized is the overall coplanarity between the peripheral double bonds and the central heterocycle. One branch of the three presents a dihedral angle of *ca.* 45° , whereas the two others are nearly coplanar with dihedral angles of *ca.* 5° and 10° . This enforced coplanarity is certainly driven by the weak intramolecular hydrogen bonding existing between the carbonyl groups and the α - and β -hydrogen atoms on the *trans*-alkenyl spacers (and resulting in intramolecular O $\cdots$ H distances < 2.50 Å).

The extended derivative **3-NPh₂** initially targeted was then obtained in modest yield (18 %) from **4-Br** using a Sonogashira coupling reaction (Scheme 3), and was also fully characterized by the usual means. The presence of additional 1,4-phenylene-ethynylene units in the arms is clearly established by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy, and also by IR spectroscopy ($\nu_{\text{C}\equiv\text{C}}$ at 2205 cm^{-1}).

The enforced planarity of these two new tri(styryl) derivatives relative to their known triaryl analogues is confirmed by the DFT optimizations of these compounds. In CH_2Cl_2 , a fully symmetric C_{3h} structure is often slightly less stable than one with a tilted arm (C_s symmetry), whereas they have nearly the same energy in vacuum. This can be explained by the fact that the dipole moment of the fully symmetric (C_3) structure is close to zero, so the compound is not stabilized at all by the surrounding dielectric, in contrast to the C_s structure which presents a non-zero dipole moment. The relative weakness of this stabilization means that several rotamers are likely to co-exist in solution.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of **3-NPh₂**.

The UV-visible absorption and emission spectra of **4-Br** and **3-NPh₂** were subsequently recorded. When compared to their corresponding analogues without a double bond in each arm (i.e. **1-Br** and **2-NPh₂**), their first electronic transitions take place at slightly lower energies, in line with the extension and improved conjugation of their π -manifold. For instance, a 10 nm (*ca.* 735 cm^{-1}) red-shift is seen for the first absorption of **3-NPh₂** relative to that of **1-NPh₂** (Figure 2). While **4-Br**, similar to **1-Br** ($\Phi_{\text{F}} = 0.0006$), is nearly non-emissive in solution ($\Phi_{\text{F}} = \text{Olivier?}$), **3-NPh₂** possesses a fluorescence quantum yield ($\Phi_{\text{F}} = 0.61$) slightly below to that of **2-NPh₂** ($\Phi_{\text{F}} = 0.73$), with again a red shift in emission (31 nm, *ca.* 1515 cm^{-1}) that is attributable to the extension/planarization of the π -manifold in the former.

Figure 1. ORTEP representation of **4-Br** at the 50 % probability level with selected bond lengths indicated. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 2. Absorption and normalized emission spectra of **2-NPh₂** (black) and **3-NPh₂** (green) in CH_2Cl_2 (20°C).

Similar to what had been previously established for **2-NPh₂**,⁹ DFT calculations confirm that the first electronic transition in **3-NPh₂** corresponds to a LUMO/LUMO+1 $\leftarrow$ HOMO/HOMO-1 (π - π^*) transition, implying some charge-transfer (CT) from the electron-rich periphery toward the central isocyanurate core (Figure 4), the shift to lower energy and the increase in transition moment when progressing from **2-NPh₂** to **3-NPh₂** being qualitatively confirmed by TD-DFT simulations (Supporting Information).

Figure 4. Plots of the frontier molecular orbitals for **3-NPh₂** primarily involved in the main excitation underlying the first allowed transition. Contour values are ± 0.03 (e/bohr^3)^{1/2}.

We then determined the two-photon absorption (2PA) of **2-NPh₂** in the near-infrared (NIR) range (700-1000 nm) by investigating its two-photon excited fluorescence (TPEF) behavior. Contrary to our expectations based on previous examples,¹⁰ it possesses a maximum 2PA cross-section of similar magnitude (*ca.* 410 GM), but slightly bathochromically-shifted compared to that of its non-styryl analogue **3-NPh₂**

(Figure 5). The comparison of this band with its rescaled one-photon spectrum shows that, analogous to **2-NPh₂**, the 2PA takes place in the first allowed excited state (see ESI).

Figure 5. Two-photon absorption spectra of **2-NPh₂** and **3-NPh₂** in dichloromethane (20 °C) in the near-IR range.

Conclusions

This work reports the synthesis, full characterization and study of two new tristyril isocyanurate **4-Br** and **3-NPh₂**, the latter being an extended version of **2-NPh₂**, the corresponding triphenyl analogue presenting remarkable two-photon absorption properties. By comparison, the structure of **3-NPh₂** allows now for better conjugation between the three donor groups and the central isocyanurate core through the π -manifold of the peripheral arms, as indicated by X-ray structural data, electronic spectroscopy and DFT calculations. However, in spite of these changes, this new octupole (**3-NPh₂**) does not present a better two-photon absorption cross-section than that of **2-NPh₂**, at odds with expectations based on related examples cited in the literature. This unexpected (and currently un-explained) statement warrants now further studies of these tri(styril)isocyanurate derivatives to definitively settle that point and to better understand the origin of that rather uncommon phenomenon.

Experimental

The reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere using Schlenk techniques. Solvents were freshly distilled under argon using standard procedures. The 3-(4-bromophenyl)-acryloyl azide **6-Br** and the 4-ethynyl-*N,N*-diphenylaniline **7** are known compounds (see ESI for further details).¹²

1,3,5-tri(4-bromostyryl)-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione (4-Br). A solution of 3-(4-bromophenyl)acryloyl azide (**6-Br**; 4.32 g, 17.1 mmol) in anhydrous toluene (20 mL) was heated at 68 °C. To measure the progress of the reaction, tubing was attached to the top of the condenser, and connected to an inverted test tube filled with water, to collect the volume of nitrogen evolved during the reaction. After completion of the reaction (12 h) the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the crude isocyanate (**5-Br**). The latter was then solubilized in nitrobenzene (8.50 mL) and 2 mol. % of cesium fluoride (33 mg, 0.21 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred 48 h at room temperature giving in some cases a pale precipitate. A mixture of diethyl ether/hexane (1/1) was then added and the crude solid that forms was collected

by filtration on a sintered glass filter funnel, before being purified by flash chromatography on silica gel when necessary. Yield: 3.10 g (81%). HRMS (EI): m/z = 669.8971 [M+H]⁺ (calc. for C₂₇H₁₉Br₃N₃O₃: 669.8971). IR (neat): ν = 1760 (C=O, vw), 1704 (C=O, s), 1655 (C=C, w). Raman (neat): ν = 1764 (C=O, m), 1705 (C=O, vw), 1649 (C=C, vs). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ = 7.59 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 6H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 6H), 7.15 (s, 6H, C=CH). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ = 147.5, 134.4, 132.3, 129.0, 127.8, 121.8, 121.5. X-ray-quality crystals were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into a CDCl₃ solution of **4-Br**.

1,3,5-tri(4-((4-(diphenylamino)phenyl)ethynyl)styryl)-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione (3-NPh₂). 1,3,5-tri((*E*)-4-bromostyryl)-1,3,5-triazinane-2,4,6-trione (**4-Br**; 0.237 g, 0.35 mmol) and 4-ethynyl-*N,N*-diphenylaniline (**7**; 0.426 g, 1.58 mmol) were introduced into a flask under argon. Deoxygenated triethylamine (2.5 mL) was added, and then copper iodide (8.30 mg, 43.6 μ mol) and bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) dichloride (15.20 mg, 21.60 μ mol). The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 20 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the filtrate evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/heptane = 70:30, v/v). A dark yellow-green compound was obtained. Yield: 80 mg (19%). HRMS (EI): m/z = 1236.4706 [M]⁺ (calc. for C₈₇H₆₀N₆O₃: 1236.4721). IR (neat): ν = 2204 (C $\equiv$ C, m), 1772 (C=O, vw), 1707 (C=O, s), 1653 (sh, C=C, w). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 6H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 6H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H), 7.33 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 3H), 7.34-7.27 (m, 12H), 7.21 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 3H), 7.16-7.12 (m, 12H), 7.12-7.06 (m, 6H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 148.0, 147.2, 146.5, 134.2, 132.6, 131.8, 129.4, 128.6, 126.6, 125.0, 123.8, 123.6, 122.2, 119.7, 115.9, 91.1, 88.5.

Luminescence measurements. Luminescence measurements in solution were performed in dilute air-equilibrated solutions contained in quartz cells of 1 cm path-length (*ca.* 10⁻⁶ M, optical density < 0.1) at room temperature (20 °C), using an Edinburgh Instruments (FLS920) spectrometer in photon-counting mode. Luminescence quantum yields were measured according to literature procedures.¹³⁻¹⁴

Two-photon excited fluorescence measurements. TPEF cross-sections were measured relative to fluorescein in 0.01 M aqueous NaOH¹⁵ using the well-established method described by Xu and Webb¹⁶ and the appropriate solvent-related refractive index corrections.¹⁷ Reference values between 700 and 715 nm for fluorescein were taken from the literature.¹⁸ The quadratic dependence of the fluorescence intensity on the excitation power was checked for each sample and all wavelengths. A Chameleon Ultra II (Coherent) laser was used, generating 140 fs pulses at a 80 MHz repetition rate. The excitation was focused into the cuvette through a microscope objective (10X, NA 0.25). The fluorescence was detected in epifluorescence mode via a dichroic mirror (Chroma 675dcxru) and a barrier filter (Chroma e650sp-2p) by a compact CCD spectrometer module BWTek BTC112E. Total fluorescence intensities were obtained by integrating the corrected emission.

X-Ray crystallography. Data collection of crystals of **3-Br** was performed on a D8 VENTURE AXS diffractometer at 150 K, with graphite monochromatized MoK α radiation. The structure was solved by direct methods using the *SIR97* program¹⁹ and subsequently refined with full-matrix least-square methods based on F^2 (*SHELXL-97*).²⁰ The contribution of the disordered solvents to the calculated structure factors was estimated following the *BYPASS* algorithm,²¹ implemented as the *SQUEEZE* option in *PLATON*.²² A new data set, free of solvent contribution, was then used in the final refinement. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. H atoms were included in the final cycle of refinement in their calculated positions. A final refinement on F^2 with 6191 unique intensities and 295 parameters converged at $\omega R(F^2) = 0.1884$ ($R(F) = 0.0978$) for 4555 observed reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. The data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC 1511480).

Computational Details. The DFT calculations reported in this work have been performed using the Gaussian09²³ program. The geometries of all the compounds have been optimized without symmetry constraints using the MPW1PW91 functional²⁴ and the 6-31G* basis set. The solvent effects were taken into account by means of the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM).²⁵ Calculation of the frequencies of normal modes of vibration were carried out to confirm the true minima character of the optimized geometries. TD-DFT calculations were performed at the same level of theory using the previously optimized geometries. Swizard²⁶ was used for plotting the simulated spectra and GausView²⁷ was employed for the MO plots.

Acknowledgements. The CNRS (PICS program N° 7106 and LIA N° 1194) and ANR (*Isogate* Project) are acknowledged for financial support. O.M. and A.T. thank the Region Bretagne for a Ph.D. grant. M.G.H. thanks the Australian Research Council (ARC) for financial support. We acknowledge the HPC resources of CINES and of IDRIS under the allocations 2015-[x2015080649] and 2016-[x2016080649] made by GENCI (Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

‡ *Crystal data:* C₂₇H₁₈Br₃N₃O₃, $M = 672.17$, Monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $a = 19.931(3)$ Å, $b = 6.4376(9)$ Å, $c = 21.314(3)$ Å, $V = 2684.8(6)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $R = 0.0978$ (see ESI for details).

1. B. R. Cho, K. H. Son, S. H. Lee, Y. S. Song, Y. K. Lee, S. J. Jeon, J. H. Choi, H. Lee and M. Cho, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 9412-9417.
2. W. H. Lee, S. H. Lee, J.-A. Kim, J. H. Choi, M. Cho, S.-J. Jeon and B. R. Cho, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 10658-10667.

3. F. Terenziani, C. Katan, E. Badaeva, S. Tretiak and M. Blanchard-Desce, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 4541-4678.
4. G. S. He, L.-S. Tan, Q. Zheng and P. N. Prasad, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 1245-1330.
5. H. M. Kim and B. R. Cho, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 153-164.
6. R. G. Arnold, J. A. Nelson and J. J. Verbanc, *Chem. Rev.*, 1957, **57**, 47.
7. H. Ulrich, *The Chemistry and Technology of Isocyanates*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1996.
8. G. Argouarch, R. Veillard, T. Roisnel, A. Amar, A. Boucekkine, A. Singh, I. Ledoux and F. Paul, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 2409-2411.
9. G. Argouarch, R. Veillard, T. Roisnel, A. Amar, H. Meghezzi, A. Boucekkine, V. Hugues, O. Mongin, M. Blanchard-Desce and F. Paul, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 11811-11826.
10. Y. Z. Cui, Q. Fang, G. Xue, G. B. Xu, L. Yin and W. T. Yu, *Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **34**, 644-645.
11. C. Irle and W. Mormann, *Liq. Cryst.*, 1996, **21**, 295-305.
12. (a) T. Terai, M. Kohno, G. Boncompain, S. Sugiyama, N. Saito, R. Fujikake, T. Ueno, T. Komatsu, K. Hanaoka, T. Okabe, Y. Urano, F. Perez and T. Nagano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10464-10467; (b) G. Grelaud, M. P. Cifuentes, T. Schwich, G. Argouarch, S. Petrie, R. Stranger, F. Paul, M. G. Humphrey, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 65-75
13. N. Demas and G. A. Crosby, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1971, **75**, 991-1024.
14. G. R. Eaton, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1988, **60**, 1107-1114.
15. M. A. Albota, C. Xu and W. W. Webb, *Appl. Opt.*, 1998, **37**, 7352-7356.
16. C. Xu and W. W. Webb, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 1996, **13**, 481-491.
17. M. H. V. Werts, N. Nerambourg, D. Pélégry, Y. Le Grand and M. Blanchard-Desce, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2005, **4**, 531-538.
18. C. Katan, S. Tretiak, M. H. V. Werts, A. J. Bain, R. J. Marsh, N. Leonczek, N. Nicolaou, E. Badaeva, O. Mongin and M. Blanchard-Desce, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 9468-9483.
19. A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. G. G. Moliterni, G. Polidori and R. Spagna, *J. Applied Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**, 115-119.
20. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. A*, 2008, **64**, 112-122.
21. P. v. d. Sluis and A. L. Spek, *Acta Cryst.*, 1990, **A46**, 194-201.
22. A. L. Spek, *Appl. Cryst.*, 2003, **36**, 7-13.
23. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson et al., *Gaussian09*, 2015.
24. C. Adamo and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **108**, 664-675.
25. J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2999-3093.
26. (a) S. I. Gorelsky, *SWizard program*, <http://www.sg-chem.net/>, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada, 2013; (b) S. I. Gorelsky, A. B. P. Lever, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2001, **635**, 187-196.
27. R. Dennington, T. Keith and J. Millam, *GaussView version 5*, 2003.