The CC domain structure from the wheat stem rust resistance protein Sr33 challenges paradigms for dimerization in plant NLR proteins
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Plants use intracellular immunity receptors, known as nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NLRs), to recognize specific pathogen effector proteins and induce immune responses. These proteins provide resistance to many of the world’s most destructive plant pathogens, yet we have a limited understanding of the molecular mechanisms that lead to defense signaling. We examined the wheat NLR protein, Sr33, which is responsible for strain-specific resistance to the wheat stem rust pathogen, *Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici*. We present the solution structure of a coiled-coil (CC) fragment from Sr33, which adopts a four-helix bundle conformation. Unexpectedly, this structure differs from the published dimeric coiled structure of the equivalent region from the orthologous barley powdery mildew resistance protein, MLA10, but is similar to the structure of the distantly related potato NLR protein, Rx. We demonstrate that these regions are, in fact, largely monomeric and adopt similar folds in solution in all three proteins, suggesting that the CC domains from plant NLRs adopt a conserved fold. However, larger C-terminal fragments of Sr33 and MLA10 can self-associate both in vitro and in planta, and this self-association correlates with their cell death signaling activity. The minimal region of the CC domain required for both cell death signaling and self-association extends to amino acid 142, thus including 22 residues absent from previous biochemical and structural protein studies. These data suggest that self-association of the minimal CC domain is necessary for signaling but is likely to involve a different structural basis than previously suggested by the MLA10 crystallographic dimer.
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Data deposition: The protein structures and data used to derive these structures have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank, www.pdb.org (PDB ID codes 2NCG (9336-120) and 5TYV (Mia105-120)).
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N-terminal domain generally consists of either a CC domain, as in Sr33, or a Toll/interleukin-1 receptor/resistance protein (TIR) domain. Both CC and TIR domains are implicated in downstream signaling, and have been shown to be necessary and sufficient for cell death responses in a number of systems (6, 13–18).

Oligomerization is key to animal NLR activation and signaling, as demonstrated by the structural characterization of the NAP2/NLRC4 inflammasome NLR pair (19–21). Our understanding of these processes in plant NLRs is more limited. To date, effector-induced self-association of a full-length plant NLR has been demonstrated for only the TIR-NLRs, including the tobacco mosaic virus resistance protein N (22) and the Arabidopsis protein RECOGNITION OF PERONOSPORARA PARASITICA (RPP1) (23). Self-association in the absence of effector proteins has been observed in CC-NLR proteins from Arabidopsis RPS5 (24), barley (MLA10) (14), maize (RP1-D) (18), and wheat (Sr33 and Sr50) (25). However, MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 also display autoactivity when overexpressed (16, 25), suggesting autoassociation may mimic the activated state.

To date, structure-guided studies of plant NLRs have been restricted to the N-terminal TIR or CC domain (6, 14, 17, 26), with the exception of the noncanonical integrated-sensor heavy metal-associated domain from the rice NLR Pik (27). Although the plant NLR TIR domains have a conserved fold (6, 17), the structures of the two known CC domain fragments from barley MLA10 and potato Rx are strikingly different. The N-terminal amino acids 5–120 of MLA10 (designated MLA10-120) crystallized as an antiparallel homodimer adopting a helix-loop-helix fold. However, the equivalent region from Rx (Rx5-120) adopted a compact, four-helical bundle when crystallized in a 1.1-heteroaasociation with the Trp-Pro-Pro (WPP) domain from its cofactor protein RanGAP2 (26). MLA10-120 was also reported to dimerize in vitro, whereas Rx5-120 was monomeric in vitro in the absence of cofactors. A larger CC-containing fragment of MLA10 (MLA10-160) was capable of inducing cell death in planta (14), whereas studies of Rx found no cell death induction by CC domain fragments (28). Although the CC domains from Rx and MLA10 share low sequence identity in this region (<20%), both contain the EDVID motif and are classified within the CCEDVID domain class from plant NLRs (29). These studies suggested that significant structural and mechanistic variation is present among the CCEnted domains of plant NLRs.

Recently, Cesari et al. (25) found that the CC-containing fragments of MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 corresponding to MLA10 residues 1–160 induced cell death and self-associated in planta, whereas the smaller 1–120 fragments (equivalent to the MLA10 structure) did not. Thus, to better understand the role of the CC domains in NLR protein signaling, we undertook a structural and functional study of the CC region of the wheat stem rust NLR protein Sr33. Here, we present the solution 3D structure of the Sr33 CC domain (residues 6–120; Sr335–120), determined by NMR spectroscopy. The structure resembles the CC domain of Rx more closely than the CC domain of MLA10. Prompted by this finding, we carried out a detailed biophysical comparison of the CC domains of Sr33, MLA10, and Rx, which suggests that these CC-NLRs all adopt a common fold and are monomeric in solution. We also find that the minimal functional regions for MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 CC domain self-association and cell death signaling exceed the boundaries used in in vitro and structural studies to date.

Results

The NMR Structure of Sr336–120 Reveals a Compact, Four-Helix Bundle

Previous structural studies of the Sr33 ortholog MLA10 encompassed residues 5–120 of the CC domain (MLA105–120). For our investigation, we initially focused on an equivalent region within the Sr33 CC domain. Soluble Sr33 CC domain protein was produced by recombinant expression of a construct comprising residues 6–120 in Escherichia coli, and the atomic structure was determined by NMR spectroscopy.

Sr335–120 appears to be monomeric under the conditions used for the NMR structural studies. This conclusion is evidenced by the sharp line widths of the resonances. In addition, the average T2 relaxation rates of the backbone amides yield an overall rotational correlation time of the protein of ~8.7 ns. This rotational correlation time corresponds to a spherical protein with a molecular mass of ~13 kDa, compared with the theoretical monomeric molecular mass of 13.1 kDa (30) (SI Appendix, Table S1). Analysis of the assigned chemical shifts (31) revealed four distinctive α-helical regions (α1, residues 7–19; α2, residues 28–51; α3, residues 60–87; and α4, residues 99–115). Other than the termini, two small regions (comprising residues 22–23 and residues 88–91) were found to have near-random-coil chemical shifts, indicating that these regions are highly dynamic. The residues that correspond to 88–91 in the MLA105–120 crystal structure were also poorly defined; however, the residues corresponding to 22–23 appear in an ordered helical region in the MLA105–120 crystal structure. Dihedral angles derived from the chemical shift analysis were used, together with distance restraints from 15N- and 13C-edited NOESY experiments, to calculate the 3D structure of the protein. The structure shows that the protein is folded into a four-helix bundle (Fig. L4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The average root-mean-square distance (RMSD) for the amide backbone atoms (N, Ca, and C′) of residues 6–89 and 98–110 in the ensemble of the 20 lowest energy structures is 0.93 Å (SI Appendix, Table S1).

The EDVID motif is conserved within this class of CC domains and is important in mediating intramolecular domain interactions in full-length CC-NLR proteins (26, 28). In Sr335–120, the equivalent motif (residues 77–81) encodes residues EDAVD,
which reside in the α helix, with residues E77, D78, V80, and D81 all surface-exposed (Fig. 1A). Despite the high sequence similarity between Sr33<sup>5-120</sup> and MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>, these structures differ significantly and the solution structure of Sr33<sup>5-120</sup> resembles the structure of Rx<sup>1-122</sup> more closely (Fig. 1B–E).

**Biophysical Characterization Shows That Sr33<sup>5-120</sup>, MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>, and Rx<sup>1-122</sup> Are Predominantly Monomeric and Adopt Compact, Globular Conformations.** The NMR results suggested that Sr33<sup>5-120</sup> is monomeric in solution. This result differed from our expectations based on the crystal structure of MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>. We investigated this further using in vitro biophysical techniques and also included the MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> and Rx<sup>1-122</sup> constructs used in previous structure determination studies (14, 26), as well as Sr50<sup>5-123</sup>, a rye ortholog of Sr33 (4), in our analysis. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC)-coupled small-angle light scattering (MALS) experiments on these four proteins found an average molecular mass in solution very close to the predicted monomer sizes, indicating that they were all predominantly monomeric in solution, even at loading concentrations of 30 mg/mL (Fig. 2 A–D). This finding was corroborated by SEC-coupled small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) for Sr33<sup>5-120</sup>, MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>, and Rx<sup>1-122</sup> (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A–C and Table S2), which yielded molecular masses between 12 and 14 kDa for Sr33<sup>5-120</sup> and between 12 and 16 kDa for MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> and Rx<sup>1-122</sup>, varying with concentration across the elution. Averaged datasets from different fractions reflect this finding (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 D–I), but the data also suggested that the peak center fractions were suffering from some nonspecific aggregation (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 G–I), which is not present in the tail fractions. SAXS data also contain information about the shape of particles in solution. The scattering from the tail fractions of all three proteins is indistinguishable within experimental error (Fig. 3A), and their calculated properties (SI Appendix, Table S2) and real-space distributions also agree (Fig. 3B). Moreover, the experimental data are consistent with the predicted scattering of the four-helix bundle arrangement observed in the structures of Sr33<sup>5-120</sup> and Rx<sup>1-122</sup>. They are not consistent with the structures of either the dimer or individual protomers from the MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> crystal structure (Fig. 3C and SI Appendix, Table S3). The same conclusion can be drawn if one attempts to superimpose the ab initio reconstructions from the SAXS data onto the corresponding high-resolution structures (Fig. 3D). All three datasets yield compact, globular-shaped envelopes, into which the NMR structure of Sr33<sup>5-120</sup> and the crystal structure of Rx<sup>1-122</sup> can be docked within the proposed envelope. In contrast, the envelopes are clearly smaller than the extended conformation seen in the MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> crystals.

Maekawa et al. (14) found that the MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> protein coeluted from an analytical SEC column with a 25-kDa protein standard and also that treatment with the amine-to-amine cross-linker bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) jurelate (BS3) resulted in covalent dimer formation. We repeated these experiments using our own purified MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> protein and observed similar results. MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> indeed elutes at a similar volume to the 25-kDa chymotrypsin marker during SEC (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). However, this behavior was also observed for Sr33, and for Rx, which had been previously found to be monomeric (26). We also observed a similar magnitude of cross-linked dimer to that observed by Maekawa et al. (14) after incubation with BS3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). In both experiments, the majority of the MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> protein remains monomeric even after 2 h of incubation with BS3. In contrast, the flav-rust AvrM effector protein, which forms a stable dimer in solution (32), was immediately cross-linked as a dimer on the addition of BS3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Thus, these data do not support the formation of a stable MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> dimer in solution. Collectively, biophysical analysis shows that the monomer is the predominant species in solution for Sr33<sup>5-120</sup>, MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>, and Rx<sup>1-122</sup>, and that this monomer is compact, globular, and consistent with four-helix bundle structures.

**Crystal Structure of MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>.** The crystal structure of MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> reported by Maekawa et al. (14) shows a helix-loop-helix structure that forms a dimer through a large interface. The published MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> crystal structure was obtained at low pH (4.6) and high salt (2.0 M sodium formate). To investigate whether dimer formation is dependent upon the crystallization conditions, we attempted crystallization of MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> using alternative precipitants and crystallization conditions (14, 26), as well as Sr50<sup>5-123</sup>, previously found to be monomeric (26). We also observed a similar magnitude of cross-linked dimer to that observed by Maekawa et al. (14) after incubation with BS3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). In both experiments, the majority of the MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> protein remains monomeric even after 2 h of incubation with BS3. In contrast, the flav-rust AvrM effector protein, which forms a stable dimer in solution (32), was immediately cross-linked as a dimer on the addition of BS3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Thus, these data do not support the formation of a stable MLA10<sup>5-120</sup> dimer in solution. Collectively, biophysical analysis shows that the monomer is the predominant species in solution for Sr33<sup>5-120</sup>, MLA10<sup>5-120</sup>, and Rx<sup>1-122</sup>, and that this monomer is compact, globular, and consistent with four-helix bundle structures.
Extended CC Domain Fragments of Sr33 and MLA10 CC Domains Show an Increased Propensity to Self-associate. Recent in planta results indicate that the residues between 120 and 160 are required for signaling and self-association of the Sr33, Sr50, and MLA10 N-terminal domains (25). Secondary-structure predictions of these proteins predict a helix extending from residue 98 to residue 120. In some regions, the interacting residues in the two protomers are offset by approximately one helical turn relative to the previously published crystallographic dimer (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Comparison of the dimeric interface using PISA (Protein, Interfaces, Structures, and Assemblies) (33) shows that the structure presented here includes a more extensive hydrogen-bonding network between complementary residues, suggesting that the conformational dynamics of MLA10−120 permit different structural rearrangements during crystalization.

Defining the Minimal CC-Domain Signaling Unit in Sr33, MLA10, and Sr50. To identify the minimal N-terminal fragment necessary for the signaling function of these proteins, we generated six truncations of the MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 N-terminal domains at positions surrounding the predicted end of the α4 helix (Fig. S4). These truncations were transiently expressed in Nicotiana benthamiana under the control of the 35S promoter and fused to a C-terminal HA tag. Fragments truncated at, or beyond, the equivalent of MLA10 residue 142 induced cell death similar to the autoactive 1–160 fragments (16, 25), whereas shorter fragments were inactive (Fig. S4). Immunoblotting showed that the proteins were stable and accumulated to similar levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). These results demonstrate that the minimal N-terminal cell death signaling domains in MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 extend to the amino acid position equivalent to 142 in MLA10 (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).

Autoactive Fragments of MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 Self-associate in planta. To investigate if cell death induction was correlated with in planta self-association, we performed coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments using fragments of MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 equivalent to MLA10−141, MLA10−142, and MLA10−144 fused to CFP or HA tags (Fig. 5B). The CFP-fused CC fragments were all expressed (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B) and displayed equivalent cell death activity as the corresponding HA-tagged fragments (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). Expression of all proteins in the input was verified by immunoblotting using anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies (Fig. 5B). CFP-fused proteins were enriched after immunoprecipitation with anti-GFP beads, and HA-fused autoactive fragments of MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 coprecipitated with their respective CFP-fused fragment. This binding was specific, because they did not coprecipitate, or coprecipitated to a much weaker extent, with a divergent CC domain from the rice RGA4 protein (35) used as a negative control (Fig. 5B). In the case of the inactive CC domains (MLA10−144 and equivalents), these proteins showed much lower levels of self-association.

Fig. 4. Solution studies of CC domains with extended sequences of Sr33 and MLA10. Molecular mass calculations from SEC-MALS analysis for Sr33−120, Sr33−144, and Sr33−160 (A) and MLA10−120 and MLA10−144 (B). Solid gray, dark gray, and black lines represent the refractive index for the three proteins, respectively, when eluted from an inline Superdex 200 5/150 GL column, normalized to the height of the major peak for clarity. Dotted lines indicate the predicted molecular masses of both monomeric and dimeric species, and colored lines show the experimental molecular mass distributions as determined by MALS (values are shown in SI Appendix, Table S5).

Fig. 5. Minimal autoactive domains of MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 self-associate in planta. (A) MLA10, Sr33, and Sr50 protein fragments fused to HA or CFP were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana. The autoactive MLA10−146, CFP, Sr33−160, CFP, and Sr50 CFP constructs were used as positive controls. Cell death was visualized 5 d after infiltration. Equivalent results were obtained in three independent experiments. (B) Indicated proteins, transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves, were extracted 20 h after infiltration and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-HA (α-HA) and anti-GFP antibodies (α-GFP) (Input). Proteins were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP beads (IP-GFP) and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP and anti-HA antibodies. RGA4 (CC domain)CFP fusion was used as a control for specificity. Sr30−163 was used as a positive control (25). Ponceau staining of the Rubisco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) large subunit shows equal protein loading.
Therefore, these data indicate a clear correlation between self-association and in planta signaling activity.

Discussion

Conservation of Plant NLR CC Domain Structure. Before this study, the structures of two plant CC domain fragments had been reported. The structure of the CC domain from the potato NLR protein Rx, a four-helix bundle, is “strikingly different” (26) from the structure of the CC domain from the barley NLR protein MLA10, a helix–turn–helix dimer (14). Given the low sequence identity between MLA10 and Rx within the structured region (~18%) and the fact that Rx1-122 was crystallized bound to the RanGAP2 WFP domain, it has been unclear whether these structural differences may represent divergent types of CC domains or different conformational states. Hao et al. (26) noted that the identification of any representative structure for the class would require characterization of further CC_{DIV} structures. Interestingly, such variation has not been observed between structures of TIR domains, the other class of plant NLR N-terminal domains, which have a conserved fold despite low sequence identities (36).

The NMR structure of the CC region in Sr335-120, solved here, reveals a compact, four-helix bundle similar to the crystal structure of the Rx1-122 (26), rather than the dimeric arrangement in the crystal structure of MLA105-120 (14), despite the much lower sequence identity (18% versus 82%). Furthermore, biophysical characterization by SEC-MALS showed that these regions of Sr33, MLA10, Rx, and Sr50 were predominantly monomeric in solution (Fig. 2). Similarly, Sr335-120, MLA105-120, and Rx1-122 were indistinguishable by SEC-SAXS shape analysis, and these data were consistent with the four-helix bundle structures of the Sr335-120 NMR structure and Rx1-122 crystal structure, but not with the dimeric MLA105-120 crystal structure (Fig. 3). These results indicate that plant NLR CC domains likely have conserved structures, as is the case for TIR domains.

Self-Association and CC Domain Signaling. Our findings that neither Sr335-120 nor MLA105-120 self-associate in solution are consistent with recent observations that constructs of Sr33, Sr50, and MLA10 comprising residues 1–120 (or equivalent) of the CC domain do not self-associate or induce a cell death phenotype when transiently expressed in tobacco (25). In contrast, longer constructs comprising residues 1–160 are capable of both in planta self-association (based on co-IP) and cell death activity (25). Building upon these observations, we found that the minimal functional unit for cell death signaling of these proteins extends to a position slightly C-terminal to the predicted end of the last α-helix within the CC domain (Fig. 5). Co-IP experiments demonstrate that CC domain fragments that are capable of causing cell death can also self-associate when expressed in planta, whereas inactive fragments displayed strongly impaired self-association. Solution studies using these longer active constructs showed that the inclusion of additional residues at the C terminus (MLA105-144, Sr335-144, and Sr336-160) also facilitates self-association in vitro (Fig. 4), corroborating the link between self-association and signaling. It is, however, important to note that even for the longest constructs, both monomer and dimer forms of these proteins are present. Collectively, these results establish a correlation between self-association and biological activity, and suggest that CC domain self-association is the switch regulating cell death induction.

The CC Dimer. Given these findings, it is important to address the tendency of MLA105-120 to crystallize in a dimeric conformation, as well as the previously presented evidence (14) for such a dimer in solution. To interrogate the behavior of this protein in solution, it is necessary both to confirm previous experiments and to relate these experiments to the biophysical results. In their study, Maekawa et al. (14) suggested that MLA105-120 existed as a dimer in solution based on the crystal structure, the slow migration of the protein by SEC, and the appearance of cross-linked bands following incubation with a chemical cross-linking agent, and the fact that the recombinant protein was no longer soluble after the putative dimer interface was disrupted by mutations (14).

We also observed that MLA105-120, Rx1-122 [reported monomer (26)] and Sr335-120 have similar SEC elution times and that these elution times are consistent with the migration rate of a protein approximately double their monomeric molecular masses. Although migration by SEC is often used to estimate molecular masses by comparison with known protein standards, migration rates do not depend solely on molecular mass. The rate of migration is also influenced by particle shape, flexibility, composition, and rates of association and disassociation (37). In contrast, scattering techniques, such as MALS and SAXS, directly relate signal to average mass. These approaches provide a more robust and quantitative means of molecular mass measurement than migration by SEC (37, 38). In the case of MLA105-120, these methods show only a small degree of transient self-association at most. Consistent with these data, MLA105-120 remains predominantly monomeric even after extended incubation with a cross-linking agent. In contrast, the dimeric AvrM protein is rapidly and efficiently cross-linked (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Chemical cross-linking can be promoted by transient or even nonspecific interactions, and we suggest that the observed behavior is more indicative of weak reversible self-association as opposed to obligate dimer formation.

The process of crystallization selects for states that promote a highly ordered arrangement in the crystal, not necessarily the most prevalent conformation in solution. The comparison of the structure of the MLA105-120 dimer observed in the crystals to the four-helix bundle structures suggests that the monomers have undergone a domain swap during crystallization. SI Appendix, Fig. S7 shows that it is possible to superimpose two copies of the Sr335-120 four-helix bundle side-by-side onto the MLA10 dimer. Repositioning q1 and q4 in Sr335-120 to form a continuous helix would regenerate the helix–loop–helix observed in the MLA105-120 dimer, while retaining the internal hydrophobic contacts. Importantly, this analysis also explains the insolubility of the interface-disrupting mutants reported by Maekawa et al. (14), because the residues that form the dimeric interface in the crystal also form the hydrophobic core of the four-helix bundle monomer, and mutation of these residues may thus be expected to disrupt the protein fold (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).

Our results demonstrate that the region between residues 120 and 142 in both Sr33 and MLA10 is necessary for both self-association and signaling activity, and suggest that the dimeric form is responsible for CC domain signaling. However, the structure and nature of this dimer remain unknown. It remains plausible that the MLA105-120 crystal structures capture some part of the activated signaling dimer. It is noteworthy that domain swapping is common among signaling proteins (39); however, it is not trivial to reconcile this conformation with the importance of the additional C-terminal residues. The C termini are at opposing ends of the rod-shaped dimer, and an extension of the C-terminal helices would project in opposite directions. In the event that these regions folded back onto the body of the domain-swapped CC domain, the C-terminal extensions would be unlikely to interact directly. However, it is possible that these regions may further stabilize a domain-swapped CC domain dimer through interactions with other regions of the protein (SI Appendix, Fig. S9). It is equally plausible that the MLA10 conformation observed in the crystal structures is a product of the crystallization process and is not biologically relevant. In this case, the additional C-terminal residues may promote a thus far uncharacterized self-association event between the monomers in the four-helix bundle conformation. Ultimately, a full structural...
exploration of the longer, active constructs will be necessary to reveal the structural basis of the self-association and its role in CC-domain signaling.

Mechanism of Signaling by NLR CC Domains. It has been hypothesized that the self-association of the TIR domains postactivation is positively regulated via self-association of other domains from the full-length plant NLR (40). Structural studies demonstrate self-association of NB and LRR domains in animal NLRs (19–21), and this self-association has been shown to co-IP experiments for the Arabidopsis CC-NLR RPP5 (24) and TIR-NLR RPP1 (23). We propose a similar model of signaling for the Sr33, Sr50, and Mla10 CC-NLRs, in which the transient self-association of the CC domain is stabilized by the full-length NLR to achieve the activated state. These associations would presumably facilitate the recruitment of downstream signaling molecules, as is the case in animal NLRs (19–21, 41) and Toll-like receptors (42), and resemble the mechanism proposed for TIR-NLRs (5, 8).

We demonstrate that both closely and distantly related CC-NLR proteins have structurally similar CC domains, reconciling previously conflicting data and models of activation of this important domain. We show that self-association of the CC domains correlates strongly with cell death activity in the Mla10 and Sr33 CC-NLR proteins, and we define residues comprising the minimal functional unit for these proteins (both biophysically and in planta). Our data redefine the structural understanding of the CC domains from CC-NLR proteins. This work will provide a foundation for further structural studies of the more complete, signaling-competent NLR CC domain.

Materials and Methods

Details of the methods used are provided in SI Appendix, SI Methods, including cloning, protein production and crystallization, structure determination (NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography), and SEC-MALS and SEC-SAXS experiments; constructs for in planta analyses; transient protein expression; and co-IP and cell death assays in N. benthamiana. Primers and construct details are listed in SI Appendix, Tables S6 and S7.
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