Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors in adolescents and young adults
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Abstract

Evidence associating serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors is inconsistent and studies have largely been conducted in adult populations. We examined the prospective associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors from adolescence to young adulthood in the West Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations, BMI, homoeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), TAG, HDL-cholesterol and systolic blood pressure (SBP) were measured at the 17-year (n 1015) and 20-year (n 1117) follow-ups. Hierarchical linear mixed models with maximum likelihood estimation were used to investigate associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors, accounting for potential confounders. In males and females, respectively, mean serum 25(OH)D concentrations were 73·6 (sd 28·2) and 75·4 (sd 25·9) nmol/l at 17 years and 70·0 (sd 24·2) and 74·3 (sd 26·2) nmol/l at 20 years. Desesonalised serum 25(OH)D3 concentrations were inversely associated with BMI (coefficient −0·01; 95 % CI −0·03, −0·003; P=0·014). No change over time was detected in the association for males; for females, the inverse association was stronger at 20 years compared with 17 years. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were inversely associated with log-HOMA-IR (coefficient −0·002; 95 % CI −0·003, −0·001; P<0·001) and positively associated with log-TAG in females (coefficient 0·002; 95 % CI 0·0008, 0·004; P=0·003). These associations did not vary over time. There were no significant associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and HDL-cholesterol or SBP. Clinical trials in those with insufficient vitamin D status may be warranted to determine any beneficial effect of vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance, while monitoring for any deleterious effect on TAG.
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CVD is the leading cause of deaths related to non-communicable diseases, and improving the detection and treatment of CVD is a major goal of clinical medicine(1). Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentrations are frequently reported in populations worldwide(2–7), and there are a number of potential mechanisms implicating low vitamin D status in cardiometabolic risk. There appears to be a role for vitamin D in the development of insulin resistance: vitamin D receptors are strongly expressed in pancreatic β-cells(8), and the active form of vitamin D (1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D) may protect against insulin resistance in peripheral tissues such as skeletal muscle(9). Animal and in vitro studies suggest that 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D is a potent negative regulator of renin gene expression and may prevent the over-stimulation of the renin–angiotensin system(10) – a regulatory pathway that plays an essential role in blood pressure.

A recent review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses found that highly convincing evidence of a clear role of vitamin D does not exist for CVD, hypertension or type 2 diabetes(11). However, the epidemiological evidence associating serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk has been largely cross-sectional in design and focuses on adult populations, with conflicting results. Using data from the 17- and 20-year follow-ups of the Western Australia Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study, we investigated the prospective associations
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between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors including BMI, insulin resistance, HDL-cholesterol, TAG and systolic blood pressure (SBP). To our knowledge, this is the first prospective study examining associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors in adolescents and young adults.

Methods

Participants

The Raine Study is a prospective, population-based, longitudinal study and its methodology has been described previously. In brief, a total of 2000 pregnant women from the public antenatal clinic at King Edward Memorial Hospital and surrounding private clinics in Perth, WA, were recruited between May 1989 and November 1991, and they gave birth to 2868 live children. These children underwent assessment at birth and at ages 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 14, 17 and 20 years. Recruitment and all follow-ups were approved by the ethics committees of King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women and the Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, Perth, WA. Informed and written consent was obtained from the participant and/or their primary caregiver at all follow-ups.

Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations

Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were determined at the 17- and 20-year follow-ups. Venous blood samples were collected from an antecubital vein after an overnight fast and stored at −80°C until analysis. Serum 25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3 concentrations were measured using isotope-dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS; RDDT), according to published methodology. The method for the quantification of vitamin D metabolites (25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3) in serum/plasma used hexa-deuterated 25(OH)D3 as an internal standard oneither the API 4000 QTRAP system (AB Sciex) or the Agilent 6410 QQQ LC-MS/MS system (Agilent Technologies). Serum Calibration Standards containing nominal amounts of 25(OH)D2/25(OH)D3 from Chromsystems (PM Separations) were used to generate calibration curves. Tri-level quality control samples containing 25(OH)D2/25(OH)D3 designated as level low, level 1 and level 2 were purchased from UTAK Laboratories (Aligent Technologies). Serum Calibration Standards containing nominal amounts of 25(OH)D2/25(OH)D3 from Chro12s have been described previously(12). The method for the quantification of vitamin D metabolites (25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3) in serum/plasma used hexa-deuterated 25(OH)D3 as an internal standard on either the API 4000 QTRAP system (AB Sciex) or the Agilent 6410 QQQ LC-MS/MS system (Agilent Technologies). Serum Calibration Standards containing nominal amounts of 25(OH)D2/25(OH)D3 from Chromsystems were used to generate calibration curves. Tri-level quality control samples containing 25(OH)D2/25(OH)D3 designated as level low, level 1 and level 2 were purchased from UTAK Laboratories (PM Separations). At 17 years, the CV for 25(OH)D2 was 7.1% at 27 nmol/l, 5.0% at 75 nmol/l and 5.3% at 164 nmol/l, and the CV for 25(OH)D2 was 8.8% at 23 nmol/l, 6.7% at 66 nmol/l and 6.7% at 150 nmol/l. At 20 years, the CV for 25(OH)D2 was 5.8% at 28 nmol/l, 5.2% at 80 nmol/l and 9.2% at 188 nmol/l, and the CV for 25(OH)D2 was 7.9% at 25 nmol/l, 6.6% at 75 nmol/l and 10.4% at 185 nmol/l.

Cardiometabolic risk factors

Participants at 17 years were weighed to the nearest 100 g using a Wedderburn Digital Chair Scale, and height was determined to the nearest 0.1 cm using a Holtain Stadiometer. At 20 years, weight was measured to the nearest 100 g using Personal Precision scales UC-321 (A&D Company), and height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted Seca 202. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Fasting blood samples at the 17- and 20-year follow-ups were analysed for serum glucose, HDL-cholesterol and TAG, determined enzymatically using an Architect c16000 Analyser (Abbott Laboratories) (intra-assay CV were 1.0% for glucose, 2.0% for HDL-cholesterol and 1.9% for TAG). Serum insulin was determined using an Architect i2000SR Analyser (intra-assay CV 1-78%) (Abbott Laboratories). The homoeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) score was calculated as follows: HOMA-IR score = (fasting insulin (µU/ml)× fasting glucose (mmol/l))/22.5. Resting blood pressure at 17 and 20 years was obtained using an oscillometric sphygmomanometer (Dinamap ProCare 100; Soma Technology). After 5 min of quiet rest, six automatic recordings were taken every 2 min with subjects in the supine position and with an appropriate cuff size. The averages of the last five readings of SBP were calculated. At 17 and 20 years, physical activity was assessed using a self-reported questionnaire based on exercise outside of school hours per week, with exercise defined in three categories as activity causing breathlessness or sweating (≥4 times/week, 1–3 times/week and <once per week). At 20 years, physical activity was assessed using a self-reported questionnaire that recorded time spent in moderate or vigorous physical activity (≥4 times/week, 1–3 times/week and <once per week).

A baseline measure of family income was available at the 17-year follow-up, completed by the primary caregiver, with twelve categories ranging from <$1–$8000/year to ≥$104,000/year (Australian dollars). At the 17- and 20-year follow-ups, the participants answered the question ‘do you currently smoke cigarettes’. At the 17- and 20-year follow-ups, the use of hormonal contraception (HC) (yes/no) was reported by the participant. A self-reported, semi-quantitative FFQ developed by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation in Adelaide, Australia(15), was used at the 17-year follow-up to assess alcohol intake (g/d). This 212-item FFQ assesses usual dietary intake over the previous year, collecting information on the frequency of consumption of individual foods, mixed dishes and beverages, along with information on usual serving sizes in relation to a standard serving size (in household units). Participants were asked how often they usually drank six types of alcohol (low-alcohol beer, regular beer, alcoholic soda, wine/champagne, sherry/port/liqueur and spirits). Frequency was recorded as never, rarely, times per month, times per week or times per day.

At the 20-year follow-up, alcohol intake (g/d) was assessed using a self-reported, semi-quantitative FFQ developed by the Anti-Cancer Council of Victoria for use in the ethnically diverse Australian population(16). The questionnaire includes three questions on alcohol consumed over the last 12 months. Participants were asked to report how often they drank beer, wine and/or spirits, with six types of alcohol specified (low-alcohol beer, full-strength beer, red wine, white/sparkling...
wine, fortified wine, spirits/liqueurs) and ten frequency choices ranging from ‘never’ to ‘every day’. A second question asked how many glasses of beer/wine and/or spirits they usually drank on days they were drinking (total number of glasses per day, ranging from one to ten or more). A third question asked about the maximum number of glasses of beer/wine and/or spirits they drank in 24 h (maximum number of glasses per 24 h ranging from one to two to nineteen or more).

**Addressing potential seasonal effects**

Models investigating HDL-cholesterol, TAG and HOMA-IR were not adjusted for season as these biomarkers change relatively quickly over time, and the blood samples for these biomarkers were collected on the same day as that for the measurement of serum 25(OH)D concentrations. Similarly, SBP changes relatively quickly over time, and it would not be appropriate to adjust for season if serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP measurements were taken on the same day. Although 95 % of participants had blood samples for serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP measurements taken within 31 d of each other, approximately 5 % of the participants had measurements taken >31 d apart. In order to determine whether the time difference between measurement of serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP influenced the association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP, we deseasonalised the serum 25(OH)D concentrations using sine and cosine curves and moved the projected date back to match the day SBP was measured. This technique has previously been reported by Lucas et al. For models investigating BMI, which changes relatively slowly over time, we used deseasonalised serum 25(OH)D concentrations.

**Statistical analyses**

Characteristics of the participants for whom full data for cardio-metabolic risk factors and HC were available were described at both the 17- and 20-year follow-ups. A three-level variable for sex (to distinguish females not using HC, females using HC and males) was used to assess the interactive effects of HC use on the relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and all outcomes, except BMI. For BMI, there was no difference between females not using HC and females using HC. Additional power was required to demonstrate statistically significant differences between females and males; therefore, for the BMI model, we used a two-level variable for sex. HOMA-IR, TAG and HDL-cholesterol were not normally distributed, and therefore log-transformations were applied.

Hierarchical linear mixed models with maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) were used to investigate associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardio-metabolic risk factors (BMI, HOMA-IR, TAG, HDL-cholesterol, SBP) over time. All models included adjustment for sex and BMI (excluding the model with BMI as an outcome) and investigated possible interactions between time, serum 25(OH)D concentrations and each of sex or BMI. The hierarchical structure accounted for potential correlation between a small number of siblings.

Additional covariates (race, physical activity, family income, smoking status, alcohol intake and month of birth) were then included individually to determine whether a significant association with the outcome was detected or whether the covariate influenced any relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and the outcome. Sample sizes were held fixed for these comparisons based on the sample without missing data for each covariate in turn. Owing to considerable missing data for some covariates, models utilising MLE for covariates (structural equation models in Stata) were also used to investigate possible bias the loss of sample may have introduced. These models were not longitudinal models but rather approximations achieved by identifying repeated measures on the subject as a per person cluster, resulting in an adjusted, robust variance. The sibling adjustment was not made in these models. These models were used to determine whether multiple imputation was necessary.

Although some of the additional covariates were significantly associated with the outcomes, the analysis determined that these associations were independent of the relationship with serum 25(OH)D concentrations. The significance of the relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and the outcome was unaltered by the inclusion of the additional covariates, and variations in the magnitude of the relationships (the coefficient) were considered to be minimal (online Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Hence, it was determined that multiple imputation to facilitate inclusion of variables that had no effect on the relationship of interest was unnecessary.

Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Release version 19.9.9.1 (IBM SPSS Inc., 2010) and StataCorp 2011 *Stata Statistical Software: Release 12* (StataCorp LP). Statistical significance was defined as two-tailed P<0.05. The regression tables are reported per 1 nmol/l change in serum 25(OH)D concentrations and as log-transformations of HOMA-IR, TAG and HDL-cholesterol.

**Results**

**Participant characteristics**

Full data for outcome variables and HC were available for 1015 participants at the 17-year follow-up and for 1117 participants at the 20-year follow-up. A CONSORT flow diagram is shown in Fig. 1, and participant characteristics for males and females are shown in Table 1. Mean serum 25(OH)D concentrations were 73.6 (sd 28.2) and 75.4 (sd 25.9) nmol/l at the 17-year follow-up in males and females, respectively and were 70.0 (sd 24.2) and 74.3 (sd 26.2) nmol/l at the 20-year follow-up in males and females, respectively. At the 17-year follow-up, only four participants had detectable serum 25(OH)D concentrations, ranging from 5.44 to 8.12 nmol/l. At the 20-year follow-up, thirteen participants had detectable serum 25(OH)D concentrations, ranging from 5.24 to 7.07 nmol/l.

**Deseasonalised serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D\textsubscript{3} concentrations and BMI**

In a univariate analysis, deseasonalised serum 25(OH)D\textsubscript{3} concentrations were inversely associated with BMI (coefficient −0.02; 95 % CI −0.03, −0.02; \( P<0.001 \)) and the association...
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Discussion
This study has shown that serum 25(OH)D concentrations are inversely associated with BMI and HOMA-IR in an adolescent and young adult population. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were positively associated with TAG in females only. There were no significant associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and HDL-cholesterol or SBP.

In a univariate model, serum 25(OH)D concentrations were positively associated with log-TAG (coefficient = 0.02; 95\% CI: 0.0004, 0.002, P=0.03). A significant interaction between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and sex indicated that the relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and log-TAG differed in males compared with females (P=0.016). There was a positive association in females not using HC after adjusting for BMI (coefficient 0.0023; 95\% CI: 0.0008, 0.0038, P=0.003) (Table 4), as well as a similar effect in females using HC. Coefficients from the model estimate that a 1 SD increase in serum 25(OH)D concentrations was associated with a 6\% increase in TAG in females. There was no significant association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and TAG in males (P=0.738).

Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and HDL-cholesterol
Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were positively associated with log-HDL-cholesterol in a univariate model (coefficient 0.0005; 95\% CI: 0.0002, 0.0008, P=0.004). However, there was no association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and HDL-cholesterol after adjusting for BMI (online Supplementary Table S3), and no significant interactions were found between time, serum 25(OH)D concentrations and each of sex or BMI.

Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and systolic blood pressure
There was no difference in the relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP when we moved the projected date of blood measurement back to match the day SBP was measured. Therefore, we did not deseasonalise serum 25(OH)D concentrations or adjust for season in models with SBP as the outcome measure. An inverse association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP (coefficient =0.02; 95\% CI: −0.04, −0.003; P=0.023) in a univariate analysis was not significant after adjusting for BMI (online Supplementary Table S4). No significant interactions were found between time, serum 25(OH)D concentrations and each of sex or BMI.

Discussion
This study has shown that serum 25(OH)D concentrations are inversely associated with BMI and HOMA-IR in an adolescent and young adult population. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were positively associated with TAG in females only. There were no significant associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and HDL-cholesterol or SBP.

The inverse association between deseasonalised serum 25(OH)D3 concentrations and BMI was consistent between 17 and 20 years in males, but increased over time in females. Similarly, an inverse association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and waist circumference was identified by Gagnon et al. (20) in a prospective study of 4164 adults participating in The Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle (AusDiab) Study and by Ganji et al. (21) in a cross-sectional study of 5867 adolescents participating in the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).
We found a significant inverse association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and HOMA-IR, a result that is supported by a number of other studies in children and adolescents, including the study by Ganji et al. The prospective study by Gagnon et al. also found that serum 25(OH)D concentrations were inversely associated with HOMA-IR in adults. However, Nam et al. found no significant associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and insulin resistance or fasting glucose in a cross-sectional study of 1504 children and adolescents participating in the Korean NHANES, after adjusting for age, sex, physical activity, alcohol consumption and supplement use. Although a 6-month trial supplementing 4000 IU/d (100 μg/d) of vitamin D in thirty-five obese adolescents found significant improvements in HOMA-IR compared with placebo, vitamin D supplementation trials in adults have shown mixed results on glycaemic status, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity.

There may be associations between vitamin D responsiveness, vitamin D-receptor (VDR) gene polymorphisms and insulin resistance. Associations between SNP and responses in insulin sensitivity to vitamin D supplementation of 4000 IU/d (100 μg/d) were determined in eighty-one South Asian women. The finding was supported by a recent study which found that serum 25(OH)D concentrations and TAG in females. Other observational studies have shown an inverse association, or no association, between circulating 25(OH)D concentrations and TAG. However, our finding was supported by a recent observation.

### Table 1. Characteristics of the Raine Study participants for whom full data on outcome variables and hormonal contraceptive use were available (Numbers and percentages; mean values and standard deviations; medians and interquartile ranges (IQR))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Caucasian)</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum 25(OH)D (nmol/l)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>120.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>110.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment for insulin resistance; SBP, systolic blood pressure; NR, not reported.
randomised-controlled trial in 200 hypertensive adults with low serum 25(OH)D concentrations: Pilz et al.\(^{(44)}\) showed that vitamin D supplementation significantly increased TAG compared with placebo. Although the authors hypothesised that this result was a chance finding, they noted that further validation from additional studies is warranted. In contrast, a trial in 200 healthy overweight subjects participating in a 12-month weight-reduction programme found that, compared with the placebo group, vitamin D supplementation lowered TAG but increased LDL-cholesterol\(^{(45)}\). We found no statistically significant associations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and HDL-cholesterol, which is supported by the studies by Nam et al.\(^{(27)}\) and Gagnon et al.\(^{(19)}\). A recent review of vitamin D supplementation and lipid profile found that most randomised-controlled trials showed no effects, or even adverse effects, on serum lipids\(^{(46)}\).

We found no independent association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP. This finding conflicts with a systematic review and meta-analysis of twenty-two cross-sectional studies and eight prospective studies (largely in adult populations), which showed that circulating 25(OH)D concentrations were inversely associated with risk of hypertension\(^{(47)}\). However, the results of vitamin D supplementation trials and SBP are equivocal. A meta-analysis of sixteen randomised trials on the effects of vitamin D supplementation on blood pressure in adults showed an overall non-significant reduction in both SBP and diastolic blood pressure\(^{(48)}\). The trial by Pilz et al.\(^{(44)}\) showed that vitamin D supplementation had no significant effect on SBP.

There is growing interest in the health effects of sun exposure beyond the synthesis of vitamin D, and it is plausible that serum

---

**Table 2. Adjusted associations between deseasonalised serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D\(_3\) (25(OH)D\(_3\)) concentrations and BMI (Coefficients and 95 % confidence intervals)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficient*</th>
<th>95 % CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deseasonalised 25(OH)D(_3) (nmol/l)</td>
<td>-0.01†</td>
<td>-0.03, -0.003</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time 20-year follow-up</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.08, 2.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.94, 1.61</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time × 25(OH)D(_3)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02, -0.0002</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (20 years)</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-2.02, 0.39</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex × 25(OH)D(_3)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.03, 0.005</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time × sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (20 years)</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-2.02, 0.39</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex × 25(OH)D(_3)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.03, 0.005</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time × sex × 25(OH)D(_3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.002, 0.03</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>23.52, 25.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimated difference in BMI from the reference category of categorical variables or per 1 unit increase of continuous variables.
† Shown in the Table is the coefficient for females, 17-year follow-up. Females, 20-year follow-up: coefficient = -0.03; 95 % CI = -0.04, -0.02; P < 0.001. Males, 17-year follow-up: coefficient = -0.03; 95 % CI = -0.04, -0.01; P < 0.001. Males, 20-year follow-up: coefficient = -0.02; 95 % CI = -0.03, -0.01; P = 0.001.

---

**Fig. 2.** A graphical representation of the three-way interaction in the BMI model showing an inverse association between deseasonalised serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D\(_3\) concentrations and BMI that does not change over time in males, but is stronger in females, at 20 years compared with 17 years. 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

---

We found no independent association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and SBP. This finding conflicts with a systematic review and meta-analysis of twenty-two cross-sectional studies and eight prospective studies (largely in adult populations), which showed that circulating 25(OH)D concentrations were inversely associated with risk of hypertension\(^{(47)}\). However, the results of vitamin D supplementation trials and SBP are equivocal. A meta-analysis of sixteen randomised trials on the effects of vitamin D supplementation on blood pressure in adults showed an overall non-significant reduction in both SBP and diastolic blood pressure\(^{(48)}\). The trial by Pilz et al.\(^{(44)}\) showed that vitamin D supplementation had no significant effect on SBP.

There is growing interest in the health effects of sun exposure beyond the synthesis of vitamin D, and it is plausible that serum
25(OH)D concentrations are merely a biomarker of previous sun exposure. Recent in vivo experiments indicate that chronic skin exposure to low-dose UV radiation (UVR) limits the development of obesity and signs of the metabolic syndrome in mice fed a high-fat diet. Skin exposure to UVR induces several confounders, we cannot rule out the possibility of residual weaknesses of our study include the measure-

25(OH)D concentrations using LC-MS/MS methods at both follow-ups, this laboratory was not participating in an external quality control scheme at the time of analysis. Laboratories using LC-MS/MS methods have been shown to give higher results than those certified to the international standard reference method developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology and Ghent University, which may have partly contributed to the relatively high serum 25(OH)D.

Furthermore, our assessment of physical activity did not differentiate between indoor and outdoor activities. The non-significance of physical activity in relation to cardiometabolic risk factors may reflect the limitations of the measurement, rather than the true absence of an association between physical activity and the outcome. In light of the evidence associating genetic factors with responses in insulin resistance to vitamin D supplementation, it is possible that genetic variation may modify the association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors. In our study, no data were available for genetic factors relating to vitamin D. In light of the evidence associating genetic factors with responses in insulin resistance to vitamin D supplementation, it is possible that genetic variation may modify the association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors. In our study, no data were available for genetic factors relating to vitamin D.
concentrations in our population. Given that our population of adolescents/young adults has relatively high vitamin D status in comparison with those in other countries,[52] our findings may not be generalisable to all populations. Furthermore, although the availability of data from two cohort follow-ups in adolescence and young adulthood enabled us to examine changes over time, we cannot infer causality in the relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and cardiometabolic risk factors.

Our results show that serum 25(OH)D concentrations are independently associated with a number of cardiometabolic risk factors in adolescents and young adults, with differential effects on BMI with time between sexes. In particular, the finding that serum 25(OH)D concentrations were inversely associated with BMI and insulin resistance would suggest a cardio-protective benefit, but this may be offset by the positive association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and TAG. Well-designed clinical trials in those with insufficient vitamin D status may be warranted to determine any causal, beneficial effect of vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance in adolescents and young adults, while monitoring for any deleterious effect on TAG.
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