1 Tests of predictions associated with temporal changes in Australian bird populations

- 2 David B. Lindenmayer^{1, 2, 3}
- 3 Peter Lane¹
- 4 Martin Westgate¹
- 5 Ben C. Scheele^{1, 2}
- 6 Claire Foster¹
- 7 Chloe Sato¹
- 8 Karen Ikin¹
- 9 Mason Crane^{1, 3}
- 10 Damian Michael^{1, 2}
- 11 Dan Florance^{1, 3}
- 12 Philip Barton¹
- 13 Luke S. O'Loughlin¹
- 14 Natasha Robinson^{1, 2}
- 15 ¹Fenner School of Environment and Society, The Australian National University, Canberra,
- 16 ACT 2601
- 17 ² Threatened Species Recovery Hub, National Environmental Science Program, Fenner
- 18 School of Environment and Society, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT
- 19 2601
- 20 ³Sustainable Farms, Fenner School of Environment and Society, The Australian National
- 21 University, Canberra, ACT 2601
- 22
- 23
- 24 **Running Head**: Tests of predictions associated with temporal changes in bird populations

25 ABSTRACT

26 Global biodiversity loss is the cumulative result of local species declines. To combat 27 biodiversity loss, detailed information on the temporal trends of at-risk species at local scales 28 is needed. Here we report the results of a 13-year study of temporal change in bird occupancy in one of the most heavily modified biomes worldwide; the temperate woodlands of south-29 30 eastern Australia. We sought to determine if temporal changes in bird species were different between three broad native vegetation types (old-growth woodland, regrowth woodland and 31 32 restoration plantings) and between species traits (body size, migratory status, rarity, 33 woodland dependency, or diet). We found evidence of decline for over a quarter of all bird 34 species for which we had sufficient data for detailed analysis (30 out of 108 species). In 35 contrast, only 14 species increased significantly. Temporal change of birds was linked to life-36 history attributes, with patterns often being habitat-dependent. Nectarivores and large-bodied 37 birds declined across all vegetation types, whereas small-bodied species increased, 38 particularly in restoration plantings. Contrasting with patterns documented elsewhere, 39 resident but not migratory species declined, with this trend strongest in restoration plantings. 40 Finally, our analyses showed that, as a group, common birds tended to decline whereas rare 41 birds tended to increase, with effects for both most pronounced in restoration plantings. Our 42 results highlight the benefit of targeted restoration planting for some species, but also 43 demonstrate that many common species that have long-persisted in human-dominated 44 landscapes are experiencing severe declines.

45

Keywords: Woodland birds, south-eastern Australia, time-series data, life-history traits,
species declines and increases, conservation

48 INTRODUCTION

49 The earth is facing a major global extinction crisis with significant species losses forecast for 50 many groups of biota (Pimm et al. 2014; Ceballos et al. 2017). For example, data from the 51 (IUCN 2016) suggest that 13 % of the world's bird species might be facing extinction in the 52 next 50 years (Tilman et al. 2017). Global patterns of species extinction are, of course, 53 underpinned by local and regional trends in populations (e.g. Sanderson et al. 2006; Inger et 54 al. 2014), and detailed temporal studies of local and regional species are essential to 55 understand broader biodiversity changes. Such information is also critical to help better 56 determine those species in need of conservation interventions (Muir 2010) and, in turn, guide 57 associated investments in appropriate land use management such as landscape restoration 58 (Crouzeilles et al. 2016) or expanded reservation (Pringle 2017). 59 Globally, landscapes converted to agricultural land uses have suffered significant losses of 60 biodiversity (Tilman et al. 2017), including birds. The effects of agriculture on biodiversity 61 has been particularly profound in Australia (Williams and Price 2011) with Australian bird 62 biota under considerable pressure (Ford 2011). Detailed long-term studies are required to 63 determine which species are declining and/or are under threat in agriculture-dominated 64 environments. Yet, there are relatively few large-scale, long-term studies within the vast 65 majority of Australian agro-ecosystems that encompass many species (Lindenmayer et al. 66 2014). This shortfall inhibits examination of patterns of temporal change in Australian 67 avifauna, thus limiting our ability to identify species declines, or conversely, examples of 68 species recovery.

Here we report the results of a 13-year time series study of temporal change in bird
populations within the endangered temperate box gum grassy woodlands of south-eastern
Australia. This is an agriculture-dominated biome containing one of the most heavily
modified natural ecosystems globally, with more than 85% cleared or altered to create

73 grazing pastures and croplands for agriculture (Benson 2008). Yet, it maintains high 74 biodiversity value supporting over 170 bird species including more than 20 of conservation 75 concern (Lindenmayer et al. 2016b). There are long-held concerns about the status of 76 Australia's woodland birds (Ford 2011) due, in part, to substantial historical and ongoing 77 changes to their habitat. Extensive revegetation efforts through restoration plantings 78 (Lindenmayer et al. 2016a), as well as natural regeneration (Sato et al. 2016), have been 79 among the management responses to past losses of woodland cover. Native vegetation cover 80 in the Australian temperate woodland belt is now comprised of three broad structural kinds of 81 vegetation - old-growth woodlands, naturally regenerated regrowth woodland, and 82 restoration plantings. These kinds of vegetation are spatiotemporally dynamic, exhibit 83 marked differences in structure (Ikin et al. 2015) and represent different kinds of habitat for 84 distinct assemblages of birds (Lindenmayer et al. 2012a). On this basis, one of the objectives 85 of this investigation was to compare and contrast temporal changes in bird species in these 86 three broad structural vegetation types.

There is an increasing body of work relating patterns of landscape change to the functional traits of species (Barnagaud et al. 2014). Some of this work has revealed that taxa with particular kinds of attributes will respond more or less favourably over time to landscape change in human-modified landscapes (e.g. Newbold et al. 2013; Lindenmayer et al. 2015). We therefore tested a series of predictions about what life-history traits of species were associated with temporal changes in birds. Specifically, we tested the following five predictions:

94 Prediction 1. *That large-bodied species are more likely to decline than small-bodied species.*95 Several studies elsewhere around the world have indicated that large-bodied species are more
96 extinction prone than small-bodied species in human-modified environments (e.g. Haddad et
97 al. 2015; Tilman et al. 2017). However, elsewhere in Australian woodlands, large-bodied

birds are more strongly associated with heavily modified and degraded agricultural
landscapes (Lindenmayer et al. 2012b) with several studies in Australia suggesting that many
birds of conservation concern are small-bodied taxa (Reid 1999; Montague-Drake et al.
2009). On this basis, we tested whether the temporal patterns in birds of different body size in
our long-term study were consistent with global patterns.

Prediction 2. *That migratory species are more likely to decline than resident species*. Several studies have found that migratory species are at risk of decline and extinction due to a range of factors including habitat loss (including along migration routes), climate change, and hunting (Runge et al. 2015; Gilroy et al. 2016). By testing this prediction, we sought to determine if the temporal patterns we observed were broadly consistent with those documented in other parts of the world.

109 **Prediction 3.** *That rare species are more likely to decline than common species.* Many 110 studies of extinction proneness suggest that rare species are at particular risk of decline 111 (reviewed by (reviewed by Lindenmayer and Fischer 2006)) in part due to small population 112 sizes but also because they are often habitat specialists disadvantaged by human-induced landscape change (Colles et al. 2009). However, recent work in Europe found the opposite 113 114 effect with more common species undergoing declines (Inger et al. 2014). Given this, we 115 tested whether the patterns of temporal change we observed mirrored those quantified 116 elsewhere such as in Europe.

We also tested a further two predictions that relate specifically to Australian temperatewoodlands and particular kinds of bird species in those environments

119 **Prediction 4**. *That insectivores are more likely to decline than other dietary groups.*

120 Widespread land clearing associated with agricultural development in the temperate

121 woodland ecosystems of south-eastern Australia has been associated with changes in insect

populations and communities (Gibb and Cunningham 2010; Barton et al. 2016). Many of the
bird species in temperate woodland ecosystems are insectivores (Higgins 1991-2006) and on
this basis, insectivorous birds as a distinct dietary group may also be subject to greater levels
of change relative to birds in other broad dietary categories (see Lindenmayer et al. 2012b).
We therefore sought to determine if there were relationships between diet and temporal
patterns of change in birds and test the prediction that insectivores would be more susceptible
to decline than species that consume other kinds of food.

129 **Prediction 5**: *That woodland bird species are more likely to decline than open-country*

130 species. Land-clearing removed large areas of temperate woodland vegetation leaving remnant woodland patches and "open-country" cleared paddocks and croplands which now 131 132 comprise 70-85% of the land area in this region (Cunningham et al. 2014). The terrestrial bird 133 species inhabiting our study region can be broadly classified as being woodland associated or 134 open-country associated (i.e. not associated with woodland). Given the predominance of 135 cleared areas in our study, we predicted a temporal decline in woodland-associated species 136 and an increase in open-country species. Earlier work in a comparable landscape made long-137 term predictions of bird occurrence based on the availability of woodland versus cleared 138 pasture environments and habitat selection for open-country and woodland habitats (Fischer 139 et al. 2010). This study provided an opportunity to test those earlier predictions.

140 METHODS

141 Study area

Our study region was an 18,000 km² agricultural area within the South-west Slopes region of
New South Wales, south-eastern Australia (Figure 1). The South-west Slopes region was
formerly dominated by temperate native woodland, but has been cleared of an estimated 85
% of its original cover to facilitate livestock grazing and cereal cropping (Benson 2008). In

146 response to conservation concerns, the region has been the target of major restoration 147 planting programs (Cunningham et al 2014). There has also been significant natural 148 regeneration of temperate woodlands over the past 10 years in our study region (Sato et al. 149 2016). Thus, there are three broad structural kinds of woodland vegetation cover: actively 150 replanted or restored woodland (termed restoration plantings), naturally regenerated 151 woodland (termed regrowth woodland), and old-growth woodland. Our study comprised 203 152 sites, including 65 restoration plantings, 66 patches of regrowth woodland, and 72 patches of 153 old-growth woodland. Importantly, different assemblages of birds are associated with these 154 different kinds of woodland habitats (Lindenmayer et al. 2012a), and it was therefore 155 appropriate to explore temporal trends in relation to these three broad kinds of vegetation 156 cover.

157 Restoration plantings were areas of planted native vegetation characterized by a mix of local 158 endemic and exotic ground cover, understorey and overstorey plant species. Woody plants 159 were typically spaced 2 m apart, but there was not a standard set of spacing and plant species 160 composition protocols applied in revegetation efforts. All restoration plantings were at least 161 seven years old at the start of this investigation in 2002, and many were 10-20 years old. 162 Restoration plantings were established to mitigate problems associated with soil erosion 163 and/or salinity, and provide on-farm habitat for wildlife (Lindenmayer et al. 2016a). 164 Regrowth woodland refers to existing living trees recovering after disturbance by fire, 165 clearing or both; or regeneration of trees from seeds germinating after being dropped by 166 overstorey trees. Old-growth woodland was dominated by large old scattered trees was 167 typically 200 or more years old.

For the analyses reported here, we focused on a size range of patches of old-growth
woodland, regrowth woodland, and restoration plantings that were broadly similar to avoid
the potential for confounding between patch size and vegetation type. Within-group

171	variability in patch attributes (size, shape, aspect etc.) exceeded between group variation (Ikin
172	et al. 2015). Notably, differences in key attributes such as stand height and stem density
173	between the three broad vegetation types were much greater than within-group variability
174	(Ikin et al. 2015; Lindenmayer et al. 2016a).
175	In addition to vegetation type, we used satellite data to calculate a measure of the extent (ha)
176	of native vegetation (termed "vegetation extent) in an area of 500m radius around the
177	centroid of the permanent transect established at each site. The mean value was 5.0 ha and the
178	median value was 2.25 ha, with values ranging from 0 (19% of the values) to 53 ha. The
179	amount of surrounding vegetation was slightly lower around plantings (mean = 3.6 ha) than
180	regrowth (mean = 4.7 ha) and old growth woodland (mean 6.6 ha).

181 Bird surveys

182 We completed all bird surveys in spring; however, due to farm access and other logistical

183 restrictions, not all sites could be surveyed in all survey years. For restoration plantings, we

184 completed counts at 39 sites in 2002, 46 in 2004, and 65 in 2006, 2008, 2009 and 2011, 61 in

185 2013, and 58 in 2015. This resulted in a total of 464 site-by-year observations (hereafter

termed "surveys"). For old-growth woodland, we surveyed 68 sites in 2002, 72 in 2004,

187 2006, 2008 and 2009, 70 in 2011, and 69 in 2013 and 2015, giving 564 site-by-year surveys.

188 For regrowth woodland, we surveyed 61 sites in 2002, 66 in 2004, 2006, 2008 and 2009, 64

189 in 2011, 61 in 2013 and 60 in 2015, giving 510 site-by-year surveys.

190 We gathered bird data using repeated five-minute point-interval counts (sensu Pyke and

191 Recher 1983) at 0 m, 100 m and 200 m along a fixed transect at each site. Bird species seen

192 or heard within 50 m of each point were recorded. Detections >50 m from the count point

193 were not included in our analyses. In any given year and season, each site was surveyed by at

194 least two observers on different days, giving a minimum of six point-interval counts in each

survey. We conducted surveys for up to four hours from dawn on a given day. We did not undertake surveys during poor weather (rain, high wind, fog, or heavy cloud cover). A site surveyed early in the morning on the first day of counts was surveyed later in the morning on second day of counts. These protocols reduced the effects of observer heterogeneity, time of day, and day of survey effects (Lindenmayer et al. 2009). The total dataset for our study comprised 9377 point counts.

201 Bird life history and other attributes

We constructed an extensive database of life history attributes for all birds recorded in our study region over the past 13 years. Body mass, movement, habitat (open-country versus woodland) and dietary data were derived from compilations of bird biology and ecology published in various ornithological monographs (see Luck et al. 2012). Birds identified as those of conservation concern followed (Reid 1999; Montague-Drake et al. 2009).

207 We assigned each bird species to one of two categories of movement status: migrants (68 208 species) and residents (40 species). Resident species were those which did not undertake 209 large-scale movement, including latitudinal migration, elevational migration or nomadic 210 movements. We used body mass as a proxy for size, which we analysed as a continuous 211 variable, which we log-transformed (base e) in all models. However, we present our results at 212 three representative size categories: small ($\bar{x} = 12$ g, range 6-25 g, n = 46 species, e.g. Striated 213 Pardalote); medium ($\bar{x} = 55$ g, range 26-114 g, n=34 species, e.g. Brown Songlark); and large 214 $(\bar{x} = 245 \text{ g}, \text{ range } 118-790 \text{ g}, n = 28 \text{ species}, e.g. \text{ Australian Hobby}): 28 \text{ species}. We$ 215 categorised species based on habitat preferences as either a 'woodland' species (those birds 216 associated only with, or mostly with woodland) or 'open-country' species (remaining birds 217 that do not have any preference for woodland habitat). Recent research has determined that 218 this classification does represent an ecological meaningful grouping, and 'woodland birds' 219 are not simply a collection of species united by shared traits (Fraser et al. 2017). We defined

rare species as those with less than 1 % occurrence on average in the 2002 and 2004 surveys (n=57), moderately common species as those with 1-10 % occurrence on average (n=35), and common species as those with 10 % or more occurrence on average (n=15). Finally, we assigned birds to one of four broad diet categories: those that primarily consumed (1) invertebrates, (2) nectar, (3) seeds, and (4) vertebrates. We acknowledge that many species in categories 2, 3 and 4 supplement their diet with invertebrates.

We checked the correlation between the four trait variables we investigated, and found that no correlation was greater than 0.42 in magnitude (Appendix Table 1), with the exception of a correlation of 0.56 between the linear effect of body size and the contrast between birds that consume vertebrates.

230 Statistical analyses

We recorded a total of 177 individual species over the 13-year duration of this study. Of
these, 22 were waterbirds and were excluded from the study. A further 47 species were
excluded because there were insufficient detections to facilitate robust statistical analyses.
We tested our five predictions using data from the 108 individual bird species detected in at
least four of the eight survey years (Appendix 1).

We took a two-stage approach to our analysis. We began by investigating individual species trajectories over time, accounting for differences in occurrence between our three broad vegetation types. We followed this by a set of assemblage-wide analyses to investigate the association between species traits, site-level covariates (i.e. patch type) and time.

240 We used generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) in GenStat (Release 18.1) for both sets

of analysis. Our response variable for all analyses was the proportion of times each species

242 was observed at a site (out of a possible 6 point counts) in each survey year. All models were

243 fit using a binomial distribution with over-dispersion (Wedderburn 1974) and the logit link

function (Cunningham et al. 2014). For presentation purposes, we summarized the effects of
interest using predictions adjusted for all the other variables in the model (Lane and Nelder
1982): specifically, predictions were calculated at the mean values of continuous variables
(such as body mass), and averages were calculated over the predictions at each level of
categorical variables (such as diet), with equal weights for each level.

249 For our analysis of individual species trajectories, we first fitted a GLMM for each species

that included a fixed effect of time (linear on the logit scale) and a random effect of site, and

then added fixed effects of broad vegetation type and its interaction with time (see

Appendices 1 and 3).

253 For our analysis of trait responses to environment, we included all species in a single model, 254 and allowed for the different relative occurrences of species by including random effects for 255 species and site, assuming Normal distributions for the variation of mean percentage 256 occurrence on the logit scale. We fitted fixed effects for broad vegetation type and time 257 (again linear on the logit scale); for four trait variables: migratory vs resident species, body 258 size, woodland versus open-country, and invertebrate vs nectar vs seed vs vertebrate diets. 259 We also allowed interactions between vegetation types, time and each of the trait variables 260 (but no interactions between trait variables), summarized as follows:

Logit(% presence) = time * vegetation type* (migratory status + body size + habitat)
We fitted a separate model to investigate the interaction of rareness of species with other
variables: this included the effects listed above plus the effect of rareness, but excluding the
effects of the remaining trait variables:

265 Logit(% presence) = time * vegetation type* frequency class

266 As part of preliminary data analyses, we fitted a model that included vegetation extent

267 corresponding to the amount of native vegetation surrounding each site. We found no

268 significant interaction between vegetation extent and broad vegetation type, between 269 vegetation extent and time, or vegetation extent and both time and broad vegetation type. In 270 addition, the inclusion of vegetation extent made no significant difference to the model with 271 life history traits. On this basis, and to facilitate presentation of the most parsimonious 272 models, we elected to exclude vegetation extent from subsequent statistical analyses. 273 We did not conduct detectability/occupancy analyses for a number of reasons. Most 274 importantly, past analyses on the topic of detection/occupancy (e.g. Welsh et al. 2013) 275 suggest that the current statistical methods for detection/occupancy may not improve model 276 fit and in some cases can make the outcomes worse. Moreover, it is currently not possible to 277 determine when detection occupancy analysis improves model fit and when it does not 278 (Welsh et al. 2015).

279 **RESULTS**

280 *Temporal trajectories*

281 Averaged over all three vegetation types, our analyses revealed a significant decline (P < -

282 0.05) in 30 species and a significant increase in 14 species between 2002 and 2015 (Figure 2).

283 Below we provide examples of birds that decreased and increased, first for those of

284 conservation concern, then common species, and finally exotic taxa. We present deviance

statistics that reflect measures of model fit in Appendix 4

286 Of the 30 species that exhibited a statistically significant decline, four are of conservation

287 concern (Dusky Woodswallow Artamus cyanopterus [Supplementary Figure 1a], Eastern

- 288 Robin Eopsaltria australis, Black-chinned Honeyeater Melithreptus gularis and Masked
- 289 Woodswallow Artamus personatus), eight are common (> 10% occurrence on average
- 290 during the first two years of surveys) (White-plumed Honeyeater *Lichenostomus penicillatus*
- 291 [Supplementary Figure 1b], Crested Pigeon Ocyphaps lophotes, Red-rumped Parrot

292 Psephotus haematonotus, Striated Pardalote Pardalotus striatus, Magpie Lark Grallina 293 cyanoleuca, Noisy Miner Manorina melanocephala, Galah Eolophus roseicapilla, and Grey 294 Shrike-thrush Colluricincla harmonica) and three are exotic species (House Sparrow Passer 295 domesticus, Common Blackbird Turdus merula and European Goldfinch Carduelis 296 carduelis). Of the 14 species that significantly increased, three are of conservation concern 297 (Diamond Firetail Stagonopleura guttata, [Supplementary Figure 1c], Rufous Whistler 298 Pachycephala rufiventris and White-winged Triller Lalage tricolor) and two were considered 299 common (Superb Fairy-wren Malurus cvaneus and Rufous Songlark Megalurus mathewsi) 300 (Figure 2).

301 *Differences in trajectory between broad vegetation types*

302 We found that 94 species were characterized by similar temporal trends in occurrence across 303 all three vegetation types (Appendix 1, with graphs shown in Appendix 3). There were, 304 however, species that exhibited different temporal responses across vegetation type; these 305 typically related to temporal declines or increases in restoration plantings relative to regrowth 306 or old-growth. For example, the Diamond Firetail declined in restoration plantings but 307 increased in old-growth and regrowth, respectively. The White-browed Babbler 308 (*Pomatostomus superciliosus*) and White-winged Chough (*Corcorax melanorhamphos*) 309 increased in restoration plantings but declined in old-growth and regrowth, respectively. 310 Examples of species which declined most in restoration plantings compared to the other two 311 vegetation types included the White-plumed Honeyeater (Lichenostomus penicillatus) and 312 Willie Wagtail (Rhipidura leucophrys).

313 Prediction 1: Did large birds decline more than small birds?

Averaged over time, we found that small-bodied birds were approximately twice as common in restoration plantings relative to regrowth and old-growth woodland, whereas the opposite was true for large birds (Figure 3). The interactions between vegetation type and the linear and quadratic effects of bird size were both statistically significant (P < 0.001, see Appendix 4; the fitted quadratic effects are shown in Appendix 5). The occurrence of large and medium-sized birds decreased over time in all growth types, whereas the occurrence of small birds increased in old-growth and regrowth, and to a lesser extent in plantings. The interactions between the linear effect of time and the linear and quadratic effects of bird size were significant (P < 0.001), and the three-way interaction involving vegetation type and the linear effect of time was also significant (P = 0.04; see Appendix 4).

324 *Prediction 2: Did migratory species decline more than resident species?*

325 Our analyses revealed that, initially, migratory species were less prevalent than residents, but

326 resident species declined over time, especially in restoration plantings (Fig.5b). The

327 interactions of movement status both with time and with growth type were significant (P < P

328 0.001; see Appendix 4).

329 **Prediction 3: Did common birds decline more than rare birds?**

We found that common species declined over time, especially in plantings (Fig. 5c), whereas rare species increased over time, also particularly in plantings. The interactions between occurrence categories and time and between occurrence categories and vegetation type were significant (P < 0.001). The interaction with time and vegetation type was also significant (P = 0.002).

335 Prediction 4: Did insectivorous birds decline more than birds with other diets?

Birds with predominantly invertebrate diets were characterised by a declining trend over time
in plantings, but not in old-growth or regrowth (Figure 5d). Nectarivores declined in all
growth types, with occurrence nearly halved from 2002 to 2015 in restoration plantings.
There was no evidence of change over time for granivores nor for carnivores except in
plantings where occurrence halved over the 13-year period of our study. The interactions

between time and diet and between growth type and diet were both significant (P < 0.001) (see Appendix 4), but the three-factor interaction was not significant (P = 0.87).

343 Prediction 5: Did woodland birds decline more than open-country birds?

We found that the interaction between habitat preference and time was not significant (P = 0.25) (see Appendix 4), although there was an indication that open-country birds declined over time (Figure 3). Woodland birds were less prevalent on average than open-country birds throughout the 13 years of our study (Figure 3), but they were more prevalent in regrowth sites than in old-growth or plantings. The interaction between habitat preference and growth type was significant (P < 0.001), but the three-variable interaction was not significant (P = 0.66).

351 **DISCUSSION**

We sought to quantify temporal patterns of change in birds in one of the world's most heavily 352 353 cleared and modified ecosystems, Australia's temperate woodlands (Fischer et al. 2009) and, 354 in doing so, test predictions about life-history attributes associated with species trajectories in 355 this ecosystem. Our analyses contained evidence of a significant decline in 30 species (over a 356 quarter of those analyzed). However, temporal changes in occurrence were not consistent 357 across bird biota as we found evidence of positive changes in a small number of species, 358 including species of conservation concern and some rarer species. Some patterns we 359 documented were not consistent with predictions derived from patterns uncovered for 360 particular kinds of bird species (e.g. migratory versus resident taxa) elsewhere around the 361 world (e.g. Both et al. 2010; Runge et al. 2015; Gilroy et al. 2016). In the remainder of this paper we discuss the overall temporal trends we identified and then explore associations 362 363 between those trends and life history attributes that featured in the predictions we tested. We

364 conclude with commentary on the conservation and land-management implications of our365 empirical study.

366 Overall temporal changes

367 Much has been written about the major losses of biodiversity at both a global and population 368 level (e.g. Ceballos et al. 2017; Tilman et al. 2017). For the intensively monitored ecosystem 369 in the study reported here, we found that the majority of species (64 of 108) showed no 370 evidence of significant change over the 13 years of this investigation, illustrating the relative 371 stability of these bird populations. However, there was also evidence of a significant decline 372 in 30 species, more than double the number of significantly increasing bird species (14 373 species). Declining species included not only species of conservation concern (such as the 374 Dusky Woodswallow, Eastern Yellow Robin, Black-chinned Honeyeater and Masked 375 Woodswallow) but also many common species that are likely, because of their abundance, to 376 play key role in ecosystem functions such as pollination and insect pest control. Declines in 377 such common species may have serious consequences for the long-term integrity of 378 temperate woodland ecosystems.

379 The reasons for the significant decline in many bird species remain unclear, as our study was 380 not the kind of targeted experiment required to determine causation (Caughley and Gunn 381 1996). The variety of species exhibiting declines suggest there may be multiple drivers of the 382 observed patterns of change. A wide range of functional types of species exhibited declines 383 including (among others) those that were large-bodied, residents, and nectar feeders. Patterns 384 of declines often differed among vegetation types and included both birds of conservation 385 concern as well as common species. For example, the hyper-aggressive and overabundant 386 Noisy Miner was one common species which declined significantly over the duration of this 387 study without any direct management (such as culling). This decline could have positive 388 outcomes for the smaller-bodied bird species that Noisy Miners exclude from woodland they

389 occupy (Mac Nally et al. 2012). In addition, our empirical analyses contained evidence of 390 significant declines in three exotic species, the Common Blackbird, the House Sparrow and 391 the Goldfinch. Species such as the House Sparrow have declined in other parts of the world 392 (Vincent 2005) with the use of pesticides being one explanation for population reduction 393 (Hallmann et al. 2014). However, if the effects of pesticides were a key driver then we would 394 anticipate a range of native species with broadly similar diets to the House Sparrow and 395 Goldfinch (e.g. the Diamond Firetail) to have declined, which they did not. Another possible 396 explanation for the large number of declines we quantified is the effect of the Millennium 397 Drought which spanned the middle periods of this study (van Dijk et al. 2013). Several earlier 398 studies have revealed that many bird species have either not recovered or continued to decline 399 after the Millennium Drought (Bennett et al. 2014; Selwood et al. 2015). Similarly, some bird 400 species in our study did not recover during the marked wet period following the drought, 401 possibly as a result of extinction debts following extensive past clearing (Nimmo et al. 2016). 402 We suggest that a longer period of monitoring than the 13 year duration of this study may be 403 required for some bird species to recover following the Millennium Drought and, in turn, 404 obtain a clearer picture of long-term trends in occurrence in different types of woodland. 405 Declines in many bird species also do not appear to be associated with a loss in habitat and 406 vegetation cover because the area of plantings and natural regeneration has increased significantly in our study region over the past decade (Cunningham et al. 2014). 407 408 We found evidence for significant increase in some species of conservation concern: the 409 Diamond Firetail, Rufous Whistler and White-winged Triller (Appendix 1). Other species, 410 such as the Grey-crowned Babbler (Pomatostomus temporalis), showed substantial increases 411 but high levels of year-to-year variation in detection that may have masked evidence of 412 statistically significant temporal trends in our study (Appendix 1). Elsewhere, positive 413 temporal responses in the Grey-crowned Babbler appear to be associated with revegetation

414 programs (e.g. Robinson 2006). Both the Grey-crowned Babbler and the Rufous Whistler 415 often occupy restoration plantings and regrowth, whereas the Noisy Miner is mostly absent 416 from these areas (Lindenmayer et al. 2012a). The area of restoration plantings and natural 417 regrowth have increased substantially over the past decade in our study region (Cunningham 418 et al. 2014) as well as other agricultural regions in south-eastern Australia (Geddes et al. 419 2011). The expansion of these vegetation types over time may explain some of the temporal 420 changes in bird species occurrence that we have quantified.

421 Earlier work in agricultural landscapes relatively close to our study region (see Fischer et al. 422 2010) included predictions of future occurrence of 27 bird species based on models of the 423 tree-based habitat requirements of species and projected future patterns of tree cover. Our 424 study area supports these same bird taxa and our data provide an independent, albeit crude, 425 "test" of the accuracy of those predictions, at least in the short term. Fischer et al. (in 2010) 426 predicted that 24 of 27 species would decline and of these, 11 in this study also exhibited a 427 significant decline. We found no significant trend for a further 10 of the species predicted by 428 (Fischer et al. 2010) to decline, although it is possible that with a longer time series, evidence 429 of greater congruence between the number of species predicted to decline and those actually 430 declining might be found. Contrary to predictions by (Fischer et al. 2010), we found that 431 three of the 24 species increased (Grey Fantail Rhipidura albiscapa, Mistletoebird Dicaeum 432 hirundinaceum and Rufous Whistler), all of which are strongly associated with restoration 433 plantings (see Lindenmayer et al. 2010; Appendix 1), highlighting the value of strategically 434 revegetating areas for some elements of bird biota. (Fischer et al. 2010) also predicted a 435 future increase in three species, for which we found evidence of an increase in one (the 436 Rufous Songlark), no change for another, and a decline in a third (the Welcome Swallow 437 *Hirundo neoxena*). The Welcome Swallow is strongly associated with human infrastructure 438 such as buildings and farms dams and the reasons for its decline remain unclear.

439 Test of prediction 1: That large-bodied species are more likely to decline than smaller440 bodied species.

441 Consistent with our prediction at the outset of this study, large-bodied birds declined over 442 time and across all vegetation types, but particularly in old-growth and regrowth. Largebodied species typically have larger territories than small species (Schoener 1968) and may 443 444 require more habitat to survive thereby making them vulnerable to decline in areas extensively modified by agriculture (Haddad et al. 2015). An alternative explanation might be 445 446 that large birds favour highly modified open-country environments (see Lindenmayer et al. 447 2012b) and they may be disadvantaged by the densely stocked vegetation characteristic of 448 regrowth woodland and restoration plantings. However, this does not account for the 449 temporal declines we found for typically open old-growth woodlands. Therefore, the reasons 450 for the decline in large bodied birds remain unclear. Tilman et al. (2017) highlighted the 451 elevated risks faced by large-bodied birds in a range of regions globally, to which the 452 temperate woodland region of south-eastern Australia might also now be added. 453 In contrast to the decline in large birds, we found evidence that small-bodied bird species 454 increased over time and in all broad vegetation types. Small-bodied bird species were 455 particularly prevalent in restoration plantings, consistent with the landscape texture 456 hypothesis (Fischer et al. 2008), which predicts that small-bodied species will benefit from 457 densely spaced trees that characterize restoration plantings and provide a greater array of 458 nesting and foraging niches for these species whilst at the same time providing protection from predators. The general increase in the amount of plantings in the landscape (where small 459 460 birds are more prevalent) may have assisted small birds in colonizing old growth and 461 regrowth woodland. Again, this result highlights the value of targeted restoration plantings

462 for small-bodied birds.

463 Test of prediction 2: That migratory species are more likely to decline than resident
464 species.

465 Contrary to our initial expectations, resident – but not migratory species – declined over time 466 with the most pronounced decline being in restoration plantings. This result was particularly surprising given studies elsewhere around the world which suggest that migratory species are 467 468 at increased risk of decline and extinction (Both et al. 2010; Runge et al. 2015; Gilroy et al. 469 2016). The reasons for our findings remain unclear, but previous work has suggested that 470 migratory species are more likely to colonize restoration plantings over time (Lindenmayer et 471 al. 2016a) and it is possible that this, in turn, displaces resident birds as such restored areas 472 mature over time. The habitat suitability for resident birds may also decline as restoration 473 plantings mature. Irrespective of the underlying reasons for our findings, they suggest a need 474 to ensure that the conservation status (and thereby subsequent targeted management) of 475 resident and not just migratory species is carefully examined.

476 *Test of prediction 3: That rare species are more likely to decline than common species.*

477 We found that, as a group, common species of birds declined, whereas rare species increased; 478 however, there were inter-specific differences in these trends. These findings are broadly 479 consistent with those from work on birds in Europe which also reported declines in common 480 species (Inger et al. 2014). The decline of common taxa is important given the key ecological 481 roles abundant taxa play in ecosystems (Gaston 2010; Winfree et al. 2015) including 482 pollination and insect pest control. Evidence of temporal change was especially pronounced 483 in restoration plantings (e.g. the White-plumed Honeyeater; see Supplementary Figure 2), 484 highlighting the high level of dynamism in bird populations in these actively revegetated 485 environments.

486 Test of prediction 4: That insectivores are more likely to decline than species with other 487 kinds of diet.

488 We found little evidence of temporal changes in insectivores relative to birds that consume 489 other kinds of food resources, despite vegetation type differences in the occurrence of birds 490 with different diets. This was an encouraging result given suggestions by other researchers 491 (e.g. Ford et al. 2001) that many at-risk species are insectivores and susceptible to decline. 492 The reasons for the paucity of evidence for a decline in insectivores remains unclear. 493 However, it is possible that the additional areas of regrowth and restoration plantings in our 494 study area may provide habitat for insect biota (Gibb and Cunningham 2010; Barton et al. 495 2016) that are, in turn, food for insectivorous bird species, thereby limiting population 496 declines.

497 Nectar-feeding species exhibited the most pronounced declines in our study, with the 498 strongest effects in restoration plantings. Such results are perplexing given that our 13-year 499 study spanned both very dry and very wet periods when temporal changes in food would be 500 expected to occur and, more recently would be anticipated to have recovered.

501 Test of prediction 5: That woodland bird species are more likely to decline than open502 country species.

503 We found no evidence to support the prediction of a stronger decline in woodland birds 504 relative to open-country birds. Indeed, there was an indication that open-country birds 505 declined over time but the interaction between habitat preference and time was not 506 significant. The (albeit non-significant) trend for a decline in open-country species may be 507 associated with the increase in area over the past decade (see Cunningham et al. 2014) of 508 vegetation that is generally not habitat for these birds such as patches of natural regeneration 509 and restoration plantings. Notably, woodland birds were less prevalent than open-country 510 birds despite old-growth woodland, regrowth woodland and restoration plantings (but not

paddocks, croplands and other cleared areas) being targeted in our field studies. Areas of
native vegetation cover varies from 3-30% of the landscape cover in our study region
(Cunningham et al. 2014). Therefore, open areas dominate these landscapes and this may
explain the greater prevalence of open-country birds in our study.

515 MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS AND GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

516 Documenting temporal patterns of change in biota is critical to many key aspects of 517 conservation biology and ecology. This includes providing an overall assessment of the status 518 of biodiversity (e.g. Ceballos et al. 2017; Tilman et al. 2017) and identifying those species 519 (and broader functional groups of species) that require additional management interventions 520 such as additional habitat restoration (Crouzeilles et al. 2016) or expanded habitat protection 521 (Pringle 2017). We found that almost twice as many species have declined as have increased 522 over the past 13 years in the temperate woodlands of south-eastern Australia, one of the most 523 heavily cleared and modified biomes globally. These findings suggest that the temperate 524 woodland bird biota is at risk of becoming highly depauperate relative to what it was even 525 just a few decades ago, despite significant restoration efforts in large parts of the biome, 526 including the region where this study was focused. Other researchers (e.g. Garnett et al. 2011) 527 have suggested that species of conservation concern, like those we identified as being in 528 significant decline in this study, need targeted management. Hence, our results are important 529 as they allow a focus of management effort on species that are truly undergoing significant 530 decline. However, we also found compelling evidence for significant declines in some 531 common species, some of which are likely to play critical roles in ecosystem processes. We 532 suggest these species also need concerted conservation efforts, not only to prevent them from 533 becoming uncommon and eventually conservation management-dependent, but also because 534 their declines may represent future risks to key ecosystem processes mediated by formerly 535 abundant bird taxa (see Winfree et al. 2015).

Our analyses contained evidence of significant differences in temporal trajectories of bird species between old-growth woodlands relative to restoration plantings and natural regrowth. Indeed, restoration plantings were characterized by significant declines in nectar-feeders, large birds, common birds and residents but also significant increases in small birds and rare species. Such temporal patterns results suggest that restoration plantings in particular are highly dynamic environments in which marked changes in the functional groups of birds can take place within relatively short periods of time.

543 This underscores the importance of a suite of land management strategies that result not only

544 in the deliberate replanting programs to actively restore woodlands (Lindenmayer et al.

545 2016a) but also in the maintenance of seemingly more stable environments such as existing

old-growth woodland remnants as well as regrowth woodland.

547 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

548 The first author is the recipient of an Australian Research Council (ARC) Australian Laureate

549 funded by the Australian Government. We thank the ARC, Ian Potter Foundation, The

- 550 Vincent Fairfax Family Foundation, Murray Local Land Services, Riverina Local Land
- 551 Services, and the New South Wales Environment Trust for funding that allowed this study to

be completed. Key contributors to the completion of field bird surveys included Chris

- 553 MacGregor, David Blair, Lachlan McBurney, Thea O'Loughlin, Sachiko Okada and
- 554 members of the Canberra Ornithologists Group. Tabitha Boyer assisted in many aspects of
- 555 manuscript preparation.

556 **REFERENCES**

- 557 Barnagaud, J.-V., Barbaro, L., Papaïx, J., Deconchat, M., Brockerhoff, E.G. 2014. Habitat filtering by
- 558 landscape and local forest composition in native and exotic New Zealand birds. Ecology 95, 78-87.
- 559 Barton, P.S., Sato, C.F., Kay, G.M., Florance, D., Lindenmayer, D.B. 2016. Effects of environmental
- 560 variation and livestock grazing on ant community structure in temperate eucalypt woodland. Insect
- 561 Conservation and Diversity 9, 124-134.
- 562 Bennett, J.M., Nimmo, D.G., Clarke, R.H., Thomson, J.R., Cheers, G., Horrocks, G., Hall, M.,
- 563 Radford, J.Q., Bennett, A.F., Mac Nally, R. 2014. Resistance and resillience: can the abrupt end of
- 564 extreme drought reverse avifaunal collapse? Diversity and Distributions 20, 1321-1332.

- 565 Benson, J.S. 2008. New South Wales vegetation classification and assessment: Part 2 Plant
- 566 communities of the NSW South-western Slopes bioregion and update of the NSW Western Plains
- 567 plant communities, version 2 of the NSWVCA database. Cunninghamia 10, 599-673.
- 568 Both, B., van Turnhout, C.A., Bijlsma, R.G., Siepel, H., van Strein, A.J., Foppen, R.P. 2010. Avian
- 569 population consequences of climate change are most severe for long-distance migrants in seasonal
- 570 habitats. Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B 277, 1259-1266.
- 571 Caughley, G.C., Gunn, A. 1996. Conservation Biology in Theory and Practice. Blackwell Science,
- 572 Cambridge, MA.
- 573 Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Dirzo, R. 2017. Biological ahhihilation via the ongoing sixth mass
- 574 extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. Proceedings of the National
- 575 Academy of Sciences.
- 576 Colles, A., Liouw, L.H., Prinzing, A. 2009. Are specialists at risk under environmental change?
- 577 Neoecological, paleoecological and phylogenetic approaches. Ecology Letters 12, 849-863.
- 578 Crouzeilles, R., Curran, M., Ferreira, M.S., Lindenmayer, D.B., Grelle, C.E.V., Rey Benayas, J.M.
- 579 2016. A global meta-analysis on the ecological drivers of forest restoration success. Nature
- 580 Communications 7.
- 581 Cunningham, R.B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Barton, P., Ikin, K., Crane, M., Michael, D., Okada, S.,
- 582 Gibbons, P., Stein, J. 2014. Cross-sectional and temporal relationships between bird occupancy and
- 583 vegetation cover at multiple spatial scales. Ecol Appl 24, 1275-1288.
- 584 Fischer, J., Lindenmayer, D.B., Montague-Drake, R. 2008. The role of landscape texture in
- 585 conservation biogeography: a case study on birds in south-eastern Australia. Divers Distrib 14, 38-46.
- 586 Fischer, J., Stott, J., Zerger, A., Warren, G., Sherren, K., Forrester, R.I. 2009. Reversing a tree
- 587 regeneration crisis in an endangered ecoregion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 588 106, 10386-10391.
- 589 Fischer, J., Zerger, A., Gibbons, P., Stott, J., Law, B.S. 2010. Tree decline and the future of Australian
- farmland biodiversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 19597-19602.
- 591 Ford, H.A. 2011. The causes of decline of birds of eucalypt woodlands: advances in our knowledge
- 592 over the last 10 years. Emu 111, 1-9.
- 593 Ford, H.A., Barrett, G.W., Saunders, D.A., Recher, H.F. 2001. Why have birds in the woodlands of
- 594 southern Australia declined? Biol Conserv 97, 71-88.
- 595 Garnett, S., Szabo, J., Dutson, G. 2011. The Action Plan for Australian Birds 2010. CSIRO
- 596 Publishing, Melbourne.
- 597 Gaston, K.J. 2010. Valuing common species. Science 327, 154-155.
- 598 Geddes, L.S., Lunt, I.D., Smallbone, L., Morgan, J.W. 2011. Old field colonization by native trees
- and shrubs following land use change: could this be Victoria's largest example of landscape recovery?
- 600 Ecological Management & Restoration 12, 31-36.

- 601 Gibb, H., Cunningham, S.A. 2010. Revegetation of farmland restores function and composition of
- 602 epigaeic beetle assemblages. Biological Conservation 143, 677-687.
- Gilroy, J.J., Gill, J.A., Butchart, S.H., Jones, V.R., Franco, A.M. 2016. Migratory diversity predicts
 population declines in birds. Ecology Letters 19, 308-317.
- Haddad, N.M., Brudvig, L.A., Clobert, J., Davies, K.F., Gonzalez, A., Holt, R.D., Lovejoy, T.E.,
- 606 Sexton, J.O., Austin, M.P., Collins, C.D., Cook, W.M., Damschen, E.I., Ewers, R.M., Foster, B.L.,
- 607 Jenkins, C.N., King, A.J., Laurance, W.F., Levey, D.J., Margules, C.R., Melbourne, B.A., Nicholls,
- A.O., Orrock, J.L., Song, D.-X., Townshend, J.R. 2015. Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact
- on Earth's ecosystem. Science Advances 1, e1500052.
- 610 Hallmann, C.A., Foppen, R.P., van Turnhout, C.A.M., de Kroon, H., Jongejans, E. 2014. Declines in
- 611 insectivorous birds are associated with high neonicotinoid concentrations. Nature 511, 341-343.
- 612 Higgins, P.J., editor. 1991-2006. Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volumes
- 613 *1-6*. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.
- 614 Ikin, K., Mortelliti, A., Stein, J., Michael, D., Crane, M., Okada, S., Wood, J., Lindenmayer, D.B.
- 615 2015. Woodland habitat structures are affected by both agricultural land management and abiotic
- 616 conditions. Landscape Ecology 30, 1387-1403.
- 617 Inger, R., Gregory, R., Duffy, J.P., Stott, I., Vorisek, P., Gaston, K.J. 2014. Common European birds
- 618 are declining raoidly while less abundant species' numbers are rising. Ecology Letters.
- 619 IUCN. 2016. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- 620 Lane, P.W., Nelder, J.A. 1982. Analysis of covariance and standardization as instances of prediction.
- 621 Biometrics 38, 613-621.
- 622 Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., Tennant, P., Barton, P., Ikin, K., Mortelliti, A., Okada, S., Crane,
- 623 M., Michael, D. 2015. Richness is not all: how changes in avian functional diversity reflect major
- 624 landscape modification caused by pine plantations. Diversity and Distributions 21, 836-847.
- 625 Lindenmayer, D.B., Burns, E., Thurgate, N., Lowe, A., editors. 2014. Biodiversity and Environmental
- 626 Change: Monitoring, Challenges and Direction. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- 627 Lindenmayer, D.B., Fischer, J. 2006. Habitat Fragmentation and Landscape Change. Island Press,
- 628 Washington, D.C.
- 629 Lindenmayer, D.B., Knight, E.J., Crane, M.J., Montague-Drake, R., Michael, D.R., MacGregor, C.I.
- 630 2010. What makes an effective restoration planting for woodland birds? Biol Conserv 143, 289-301.
- 631 Lindenmayer, D.B., Lane, P.W., Barton, P.S., Crane, M., Ikin, K., Michael, D.R., Okada, S. 2016a.
- 632 Long-term bird colonization and turnover in restored woodlands. Biodiversity and Conservation 25,
- 633 1587-1603.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Michael, D., Crane, M., Okada, S., Florance, D., Barton, P., K., I. 2016b.
- 635 Wildlife Conservation in Farm Landscapes. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.

- 636 Lindenmayer, D.B., Northrop-Mackie, A.R., Montague-Drake, R., Crane, M., Michael, D., Okada, S.,
- 637 Gibbons, P. 2012a. Not all kinds of revegetation are created equal: Revegetation type influences bird
- assemblages in threatened Australian woodland ecosystems. PLOS One 7, e34527.
- 639 Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., Montague-Drake, R., Michael, D., Crane, M., Okada, S., MacGregor,
- 640 C., Gibbons, P. 2012b. Is biodiversity management effective? Cross-sectional relationships between
- 641 management, bird response and vegetation attributes in an Australian agri-environment scheme. Biol
- 642 Conserv 152, 62-73.
- 643 Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J.T., MacGregor, C. 2009. Do observer differences in bird detection affect
- 644 inferences from large-scale ecological studies? Emu 109, 100-106.
- 645 Luck, G., Lavorel, S., McIntyre, S., Lumb, K. 2012. Improving the application of vertebrate trait-
- based frameworks to the study of ecosystem services. J Anim Ecol 81, 1065-1076.
- 647 Mac Nally, R., Bowen, M., Howes, A., McAlpine, C.A., Maron, M. 2012. Despotic, high-impact
- 648 species and the subcontinental scale control of avian assemblage structure. Ecology 93, 668-678.
- 649 Montague-Drake, R.M., Lindenmayer, D.B., Cunningham, R.B. 2009. Factors affecting site
- 650 occupancy by woodland bird species of conservation concern. Biol Conserv 142, 2896-2903.
- 651 Muir, M.J. 2010. Are we measuring conservation effectiveness? Report to Conservation Measures
- 652 Partnership, http://www.conservationmeasures.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2014/05/Survey-
- 653 Report-Draft-2010-06-06_v3.pdf.
- Newbold, T., Scharlemann, J.P., Butchart, S.H., Sekerciouglu, C.H., Alkemade, R., Booth, H., Purves,
- D.W. 2013. Ecological traits affect the response of tropical bird species to land-use intensity. Proc R
- 656 Soc B 280, 805-810.
- Nimmo, D.G., Haslem, A., Radford, J.Q., Hall, M., Bennett, A.F. 2016. Riparian tree cover enhances
- 658 the resistance and stability of woodland bird communities during an extreme climate event. Journal of
- 659 Applied Ecology 53, 449-458.
- 660 Pimm, S.L., Jenkins, C.N., Abell, R., Brooks, T.M., Gittleman, J.L., Joppa, L.N., Raven, P.H.,
- 661 Roberts, C.M., Sexton, J.O. 2014. The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction,
- distribution, and protection. Science 344, 1246752.
- 663 Pringle, R.M. 2017. Upgrading protected areas to conserve wild biodiversity. Nature 546, 91-99.
- 664 Pyke, G.H., Recher, H.F. 1983. Censusing Australian birds: a summary of procedures and a scheme
- 665 for standardisation of data presentation and storage, in Davies, S.J. (editor, Methods of censusing
- birds in Australia. Proceedings of a symposium organised by the Zoology section of the ANZAAS
- and the Western Australian Group of the Royal Australasian Ornithologists Union. Department of
- 668 Conservation and Environment, Perth, pp. 55-63
- Reid, J. 1999. Threatened and declining birds in the New South Wales sheep-wheat belt. I. Diagnosis,
- 670 characteristics and management. Unpublished report to NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service,
- 671 CSIRO Wildlife and Ecology, Canberra.

- 672 Robinson, D. 2006. Is revegetation in the Sheep Pen Creek area, Victoria, improving Grey-crowned
- 673 Babbler habitat? Ecological Management & Restoration 7, 93 104.
- 674 Runge, C., Watson, J.E., Butchart, S.H., Hanson, J.O., Possingham, H.P., Fuller, R.A. 2015. Protected
- areas and global conservation of migratory birds. Science 350, 1255-1258.
- 676 Sanderson, F.J., Donald, P.F., Pain, D.J., Burfield, I.J., van Bommel, F.P.J. 2006. Long-term
- 677 population declines in Afro-Paleartic migrant birds. Biol Conserv 131, 93-105.
- 678 Sato, C., Wood, J., Stein, J.A., Crane, M., Okada, S., Michael, D., Kay, G., Florance, D., Seddon, J.,
- 679 Gibbons, P., Lindenmayer, D.B. 2016. Natural tree regeneration in agricultural landscapes: The
- 680 implications of intensification. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 230, 98-104.
- 681 Schoener, T.W. 1968. Sizes of feeding territories among birds. Ecology 49, 123-141.
- 682 Selwood, K.E., Clarke, R.H., Cunningham, S.C., Lada, H., McGeoch, M.A., Mac Nally, R. 2015. A
- bust but no boom: responses of floodplain bird assemblages during and after prolonged drought. J
- 684 Anim Ecol 84, 1700-1710.
- Tilman, D., Clark, M., Williams, D.R., Kimmel, K., Polasky, S., Packer, C. 2017. Future threats to
- 686 biodiversity and pathways to their prevention. Nature 546, 73-81.
- van Dijk, A.I., Beck, H.E., Crossbie, R.S., de Jeu, R.A., Liu, Y.Y., Podger, G.M., Timbal, B., Viney,
- N.R. 2013. The Millennium Drought in southeast Australia (2001-2009); Natural and human causes
- and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society. Water Resources Research
- **690 49**, 1040-1057.
- 691 Vincent, K. 2005. Investigating the causes of the decline of the urban House Sparrow Passer
- 692 domesticus population in Britain. De Montfort University, Leicester, United Kingdom.
- 693 Wedderburn, R.W.M. 1974. Quasi-likelihood functions, generalized linear models and the Gauss-
- 694 Newton method. Biometrika 61, 439-447.
- Welsh, A.H., Lindenmayer, D.B., Donnelly, C.F. 2013. Fitting and interpreting occupancy models.
 PLOS One 8, e52015.
- Welsh, A.H., Lindenmayer, D.B., Donnelly, C.F. 2015. Adjusting for one issue while ignoring otherscan make things worse. PLOS One 10, e0120817.
- 699 Williams, J., Price, R.J. 2011. Impacts of red meat production on biodiversity in Australia: a review
- and comparison with alternative protein production industries. Animal Production Science 50, 723-
- 701 747.
- Winfree, R., Fox, J.W., Williams, N.M., Reilly, J.R., Cariveau, D.P. 2015. Abundance of common
- species, not species richness, drives delivery of a real-world ecosystem service. Ecology Letters 18,
- 626-635.

FIGURES





- 709 Figure 2. Summary of individual species model results: estimated average percentage
- 710 change per year in odds of occurrence and 95% confidence interval, averaged over
- 711 growth types, and grouped by frequency of occurrence. Changes that are significantly
- 712 different from zero are shown in black. Bold names indicate species of conservation
- 713 concern, while * indicate exotic species. Birds are grouped by overall frequency of
- 714 occurrence (see text).

		White-plumed Honeyeater
Crested Pigeon		Bed-rumped Parrot
Striated Pardalote		Magnie_lark
Noisy Miner	÷	Galab
Grey Shrike-thrush		Galan Australian Magnia
Eastern Rosella	-	Australian Magple
Common Starling*		
Superb Fairy-wren		- Rutous Songlark
Intermediate		
House Sparrow*		Masked Woodswallow
Peaceful Dove		Noisy Friarbird
White-throated Treecreeper		Common Bronzewing
Dusky Woodswallow		Little Friarbird
Welcome Swallow		Australian Raven
Crimson Bosella		Jacky Winter
Brown Tracerooper		- Rainbow Bee-eater
Brown neecreeper		Crested Shrike-tit
Cockatier		
Red Wattlebird		White-browed Woodswallow
Laughing Kookaburra		
Pied Butcherbird		Blue-faced Honeyeater
Black-faced Cuckoo-shrike		Restless Flycatcher
Brown Songlark		Grev Butcherbird
White-winged Chough		– Australasian Pipit
Superb Parrot	-	Buff-rumped Thornbill
Red-capped Robin	-	Western Gerugone
Rufous Whistler		
Mistletoebird		Grey-clowined Babbler
Diamond Firetail		
Yellow-rumped Thornbill		
Yellow Thornbill		Grey Fantai
Para		
nale	_	
Peregrine Falcon		European Goldfinch*
Peregrine Falcon	-	European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater
Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher
Parte Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Part		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeel Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher Common Blackbird*
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Bochook		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Taway Errogrouth		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White _ paged Hopewater		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher Common Blackbird White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater
Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeel Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher Common Blackbird* Common Blackbird* Common Blackbird* Omive-backed Oriole Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Verliow-tufted Honeyeater
Paregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tex Metric		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-utifed Honeyeater Varied Sittlela Australian Hobby
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Leaden Flycatcher White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Oilve-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Sobted Pardalote
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeel Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* Common Black
Paregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeel Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Golive-backed Oriole Vellow-tufted Honeyeater Vallow-tufted Honeyeater Vallow-tufted Honeyeater Carrawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird Apostlebird
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield–s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittlella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budserian
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgeriga
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgergan Red-browed Finch
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeel Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Golive-backed Oriole Vellow-tuffed Honeyeater Vellow-tuffed Honeyeater Vellow-tuffed Honeyeater Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgerigar Red-browed Finch Brown Thornbill
Paregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeel Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittla Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgeriga Red-browed Finch Brown Thornbill
Peregrine Falcon Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill Collared Sparrowhawk		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittle Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgeriga Red-browed Finch Brown Thornbill Yellow-faced Honeyeater Stubble Quali
Peregrine Falcon Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill Collared Sparrowhawk Black-shouldered Kite		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittlella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgerigar Red-browed Finchill Yellow-faced Honeyeater Stubble Quait
Peregrine Falcon Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbier Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill Collared Sparrowhawk Black-shouldered Kite Little Raven		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-tufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgeriga Red-browed Finch Brown Thornbill Brown Thornbill
Peregrine Falcon Peregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill Collared Sparrowhawk Black-shouldered Kite Little Raven Wedge-tailed Eagle		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Oilve-backed Oriole Yellow-Lufted Honeyeater Varied Sittella Australian Hobby Pied Currawong Fairy Martin Spotted Pardalote Apostiebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgerigar Red-browed Finch Brown Thornbill Yellow-faced Honeyeater Stubble Quai
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-bellied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tawny Frogmouth White-naped Honeyeater Horsfield-s Bushlark Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Pallid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill Collared Sparrowhawk Black-shouldered Kite Little Raven Wedge-tailed Eagle Shining Bronze Cuckoo		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyeater Common Blackbird* Uhrite-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat White-fronted Chat Vellow-lutfed Honeyeater Valow-faced Honeyeater Brown-headed Honeyeater Stubble Quail
Peregrine Falcon Paregrine Falcon Fan-tailed Cuckoo Eastern Yellow Robin White-belilied Cuckoo-shrike Brown Goshawk Hooded Robin Southern Boobook Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Speckled Warbler Tree Martin Fuscous Honeyeater Southern Whiteface Little Corella Brown Falcon White-browed Babbler Palid Cuckoo Spotted Harrier Golden Whistler Striated Thornbill Collared Sparrowhawk Black-shouldered Kite Little Raven Wedge-tailed Eagle Shining Bronze Cuckoo		European Goldfinch* Little Lorikeet Dollarbird Black-chinned Honeyaeter Common Blackbird* Common Blackbird* White-fronted Chat Horsfield-s Bronze Cuckoo Olive-backed Oriole Yellow-lutfed Honeyaeter Valide-utifted Honeyaeter Spotted Pardalote Apostlebird White-browed Scrubwren Budgerigar Red-browed Finch Brown Thornbill Yellow-faced Honeyaeter Stubble Quail Silvereye Brown-headed Honeyaeter White-throated Gerygone

Mean % change in odds of occurrence (per year, 2002-2015)

- 716 Figure 3. Results from trait models: fitted mean % occurrence for 2002 and 2015 and
- 717 for each vegetation type, for body size, migratory status, frequency, diet and habitat
- 718 preference, with 95% confidence limits.

