

Suitable Accommodation for Seasonal Worker Programs

Rochelle Bailey In Brief 2018/24

Accommodation is one of the largest expenses incurred by seasonal workers participating in Australia's Seasonal Workers Program (SWP) and New Zealand's Recognised Seasonal Employer scheme (RSE). The cost of accommodation varies depending on the employer and availability in the area. The provision of 'suitable' accommodation is a condition of the pastoral care policy of both programs and is the responsibility of employers, not the workers. Workers must pay for this provided accommodation with deductions taken from their pay regardless of whether they are earning an income or not. There has been <u>public criticism</u> about accommodation for seasonal workers in Australia and New Zealand. Reports have shown that some Pacific workers have been placed in overcrowded and substandard lodgings with inadequate facilities and rates set too high (Bailey 2009:117-18).1 This In Brief looks at some of the challenges as well as changes that have recently occurred in relation to accommodation standards in the RSE and argues that such changes should also be considered in the SWP. More research is recommended as well as better oversight from governments.

RSE and SWP accommodation

Seasonal workers are employed in regions where accommodation is often scarce, especially in peak seasons. Many growers, in particular small-scale operations, consider it burdensome to provide adequate accommodation and pastoral care for their labourers (Bailey 2009:41). RSE employer surveys reveal that suitable and reasonably priced accommodation for workers to live in for periods of up to nine months remains one of the most challenging aspects of pastoral care for some employers (Hedditch et al. 2014:24–27).

There have been <u>many unsubstantiated claims</u> that accommodating RSE workers have inflated rental prices in various regions, such as Marlborough in New Zealand, and as RSE worker numbers increase the scarcity of housing is becoming apparent. Growers have responded in various ways; Hotus Ltd, RSE employers based in Blenheim, recently built

customised accommodation for their workers. The owner of Hotus, Aaron Jay, was also influential in 2016 when the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) was considering the self-auditing of accommodation for RSE workers. He argued this could lead to 'dodgy contractors' exploiting workers in overcrowded accommodation.

'Suitable' accommodation

What is deemed suitable accommodation in both schemes needs further clarification. For example, how many workers sleeping in a room is acceptable in terms of health standards, and workers' sleep quality and comfort? While many employers in both schemes offer accommodation with two or less to a room for NZ\$100-130 per week, other employers who were the subject of the author's research (2009; 2014b) are accommodating six to eight men to a small room with three to four double bunks. Each worker pays NZ\$110 per week (covering room and power supply), which means that the hosts are receiving up to NZ\$880 per room per week for accommodation. Workers are not satisfied; as one seasonal worker, George, said, 'There are now seven men in my bedroom. It's too much, all snoring and farting and we can't open the window because it's too cold. I brought myself a mattress, I'm moving to the lounge' (Bailey 2009:162).

Given the expense and overcrowded conditions, with advice from local community members, workers looked at renting properties elsewhere. However, because of the conditions of their visas and needs of employers, this never eventuated. Nevertheless, the main questions are: is it fair to have six workers to an average-sized room? Should there be guidelines about living and sleeping arrangements? How often are inspections undertaken?

When I asked an accommodation host about this problem in Central Otago in 2008, I was told, 'that is how they live in the Pacific, so it is not a problem for them here'.² At Vanuatu's Labour Mobility Summit in March 2018, an agent for the SWP raised with Australia's Department of Jobs and Small



Business that up to six of her workers were living in the lounge room. When the department's team investigated, the agent received a similar reaction to that voiced by the New Zealand accommodation hosts quoted above. There have also been reports of SWP workers living in overcrowded, unventilated shipping containers and cramped caravans. Such dubious arrangements undoubtedly affect the health and well-being of workers and have the potential to impact negatively on the productivity and hygiene regimes on farms, as well as generate reputational damage for these seasonal worker programs.

Monitoring

New Zealand's MBIE and local district councils are now enforcing an existing guideline that RSE workers must have 4.5 m² of bedroom floor space per worker.³ As Horticulture NZ national seasonal spokesperson Jerf Van Beek noted, since 20 June 2018, MBIE has increased enforcement for RSE workers and has 'been pretty hard-nosed about it. If you don't have the right space you won't get the approval to recruit' (Sharpe 2018). He also questioned the 4.5 m² rule: 'A New Zealander can stay in accommodation approved by council with around 3 m² of floor space' (ibid). Although this issue has generated considerable tension with accommodation providers and approved RSE employers, this particular pastoral care policy needs to be addressed by MBIE labour inspectorates. It should also be a matter of discussion for Australia's SWP scheme and the new Pacific Labour Scheme, initiated in July 2018.

Sourcing 'suitable' accommodation has always been an issue with seasonal worker programs, both locally and internationally. Like other pastoral care responsibilities, finding suitable accommodation can be a disincentive for employers as it is not a requirement for other seasonal workers. Sourcing and building accommodation for seasonal worker program employees has been widely criticised and in many cases with good reason.

There are many examples of employers making the effort to invest in and improve accommodation standards for their workers and, as noted, MBIE is enforcing appropriate accommodation conditions for RSE workers, working closely with accommodation hosts and employers. However, as these regional schemes increase in numbers, the need to address this problem is becoming more pressing. If Australia and New Zealand are to maintain their reputations of being good neighbours to the Pacific and Timor-Leste — facilitating development through labour mobility and leveraging their reputation of good international labour practices to promote exports (see Bailey 2014a) - then they also must ensure that accommodation for workers is of an acceptable standard.

Author notes

Rochelle Bailey is a Research Fellow in the Department of Pacific Affairs at The Australian National University.

Endnotes

- 1. SWP agent, March 2018. Port Vila. Personal communication.
- 2. Accommodation host, March 2008. Central Otago. Personal communication.
- 3. For example, Marlborough District Council's see Accommodation Guidelines for Seasonal Workers.

References

Bailey, R. 2009. Unfree Labour: Ni-Vanuatu Worker in New Zealand's Recognised Seasonal Employer Scheme. MA thesis, Department of Anthropology, University of Canterbury.

Bailey, R. 2014a. Working the Vines: ni-Vanuatu Labour, Central Otago Pinot, and Economic Development in Vanuatu. In Howland, P.J. (ed). Social, Cultural and Economic Impacts of Wine in New Zealand. London: Routledge, 71-85

Bailey, R. 2014b. Working the Vines: Seasonal Migration, Money and Development in New Zealand and Ambrym, Vanuatu. PhD thesis, Department of Anthropology and Archaeology, University of Otago.

Bedford, C. 2013. Picking Winners? New Zealand's Recognised Seasonal Employer (RSE) Policy and its Impacts on Employers, Pacific Workers and the Island-Based Communities. PhD thesis, University of Adelaide.

Hedditch, J., M. Johnson and P. Sutton 2014, RSE Monitoring 2014 Employers Survey. Working report prepared by Research New Zealand for MBIE.

Sharpe, M. 10/10/2018. Minimum Bedroom Space Rule Applies to Some Seasonal Workers but not Others. www. stuff.co.nz













