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8. THE DIALECTS OF KEWA

8.0. INTRODUCTION

8.0.1. GENERAL COMMENTS

Empirically, the Kewa language can be defined in terms of the dialects outlined in this chapter. The evidence is basically linguistic in nature and reflects certain geographical and historical factors which are synchronically portrayed. Socially, the Kewa language does not represent any political or national group. In fact, many speakers from separate dialects will deny that they speak the same language. This, of course, means that what we define linguistically as the same speech community, and what a native speaker would intuitively define, are not always the same. There are many reasons for this. First of all, even today a large percentage of the Kewa people do not travel widely outside of their immediate areas. Those who do are usually traders or, more recently, casual laborers. Secondly, regional factionalism and warfare have tended to keep clan areas bounded in some directions by antagonistic neighbours. In many cases these boundaries are actually zones of continuous debate and therefore areas of disuse. Finally, there is a great deal of regionalism within the language so that few clans ever speak exactly the same as their neighbours. This diversity is true of most languages and although, as languages go, Kewa is small, the clan territories have preserved many regionalisms. This is often reflected in their mimicry of how a group nearby speaks, when it is different than their own. For example, if such a nearby group has vowel nasalisation and the focal group does not, the latter will deliberately nasalise all vowels, showing their recognition (in some sense) of one point of difference. This is much like someone

---

1 Chapter 8, of a forthcoming dissertation on the Kewa language. References within chapter 8 drop the first digit, e.g., §8.2.21 is referred to simply as §2.21. The capitalization of East, West, or South denotes a dialect by that name, whereas east, west, or south are geographical locations.
from the Northern U.S. imitating (also usually incorrectly) a Southern
drawl.

In the evidence presented here many factors have been considered
in arriving at the dialect boundaries, but there are also important
factors omitted. A full study would need to consider sociolinguistic
factors such as trade routes and consequent speech variation, lexical
taboo with its heavy borrowing innovation, marriage exchange patterns
between clan areas, and now mission and government policies (formal
or informal) in relation to their use of the language, outside cat-
echists and evangelists, and education. All of these have been
impossible to pursue in any satisfactory manner.

This then can only hope to be a pilot study of the Kewa language
dialects. It has been shown conclusively that geography is only one
factor reflected in linguistic diversity and that any complete dialect
survey must include sociolinguistic correlates.¹

8.0.2. LANGUAGE OR DIALECT

This is, of course, a problem which has continued to plague lin­
guists. According to the technique used, the same languages or
dialects may be classified quite differently.² In the Eastern High­
lands, for example, different techniques and assumptions lead to
either four languages with several dialects, or to seven separate
languages. On the one hand, McKaughan, in setting up dialects for
certain languages, uses both lexicostatistical and phonostatistical
criteria. Bee apparently, on the other hand, feels that grammatical
features and degrees of intelligibility warrant the classification of
the same groups as separate languages.³

¹ This omission in the Handbook of the Linguistic Geography of New Eng­
land, by Hans Kurath and others (1939), as well as later studies on
word geography in the United States, has been severely criticised by
R.G. Pickford (1964). Her suggestions for improving the research pro­
cedure involve preliminary testing, questionnaires, random sampling
(i.e. how to calculate sampling errors), and statistical formulae.
See also C.A. Ferguson (1966) for important considerations regarding
any sociolinguistic language survey.
² The problem in New Guinea has been clearly outlined by S.A. Wurm and
D.C. Laycock (1961). E. Haugen (1966) traces the historical develop­
ment of the terms 'dialect' and 'language'. He also makes the impor­
tant point that the term language is always superordinate to dialect,
i.e. every dialect is a language, but not the reverse.
³ Compare McKaughan (1964) and Bee (1965). Neither study includes
Woffa [sic], a separate related language only recently discovered
(Watson, 1964:2).
The dialects described here are each considered mutually intelligible with the other, rather than separate, closely related languages. One technique to determine this intelligibility and dialect affiliation is the so-called "ask the informant" method.\(^1\) Due to its rather informal nature this technique is understandably not given much status. However, as a speaker of the Eastern Dialect (and hence one of the informants) I have been able to test my assumptions by using this dialect in the other areas, with fairly complete understanding.\(^2\) The formal evidence given in this chapter supports and amplifies these prior assumptions.

The classification of languages in the Kewa area was originally made in detail by S.A. Wurm.\(^3\) I call his Pole the South Dialect of Kewa, while his Kewa-Pi includes part of my West and most of my East Dialect. The remainder of my West Dialect (north of the Ankura River) is included in his Mendi language. These differences are not extensive, since he realized the closeness of Kewa and Pole and using other criteria later (Cf. the reference in footnote 5) he suggests they (along with the rest of the Sub-Family) could be called one language.\(^4\) Wurm's supporting materials stem mainly from his lexical list which he has described elsewhere.\(^5\)

---

1. C.F. Voegelin and Z. Harris (1951). Another one of their techniques called "test the informant" is applied by H. Hickerson, G. Turner and N. Hickerson (1952). This same technique is amplified to demonstrate the closeness of speech communities by computing transfers of information by Joe E. Pierce (1952). He concludes that whether these speech communities are separate dialects or languages "is not relevant" (p. 208). The latest application of the Voegelin-Harris procedures has been by J.K. Yamagiwa (1967). This latter article is meant to answer criticisms of dialect testing raised by H. Wolff (1959).

2. I recognize of course that the term intelligibility is only relative and that between it and unintelligibility there is a "large twilight zone of partial comprehension" (Haugen 1966:926).

3. S.A. Wurm (1960a) and later publications by him. This builds upon A. Capell (1948-49).

4. I disagree, but leave the argument here. At some later time I hope to do formal intelligibility tests.

5. Wurm and Laycock, op.cit. and also Wurm, (1960b). His first list provided for 244 forms but now is extended to 292. It includes fifteen assumed cultural terms which are not counted when computing cognate percentage relationships between language groups.
8.0.3. PRESENTATION

Diagnostic forms are presented in terms of an underlying form upon which dialectal realisations are based. If the underlying form has not been observed in any dialect it is starred. The rules which operate on the underlying forms are strictly-ordered such that each rule is applied to each form with the result noted in the appropriate dialect column, before proceeding back to the form again. Because certain rule applications are ordered for a particular dialect these are enclosed in square brackets as a sub-set. The forms are grouped (when possible) according to those which show sound changes and word geography diagnostic of a particular dialect. The form considered basic is in the left-hand column, as are any variant forms, the English gloss, and the particular rule which applies.\(^1\)

In most cases the rule format is similar to that used in transformational grammar for morphophonemic rewrite rules. Here, unless capitalised and thus otherwise noted, the input on the left-hand side of the arrow are phonemes rather than morphophonemes.\(^2\)

Finally, some grammatical and cultural diagnostic particulars are also given as well as some general comments on particular problems such as nasalisation and word taboo. All maps follow the main body of the paper.

The villages where diagnostic lists have been collected are assigned sets of numbers according to their location within a given dialect, sub-dialect, or separate language. All sound change rules and lexical distribution rules refer to particular villages, or village areas as determined by their code number in Table 1. The village sites where data were collected are shown by numbers in Map 1 but specific details are assigned to Appendix A.\(^3\)

\(^1\) Dialect rules begin and are explained in detail in §2.21(§8.2.21).
\(^2\) See, for example, A. Koutsoudas (1964).
\(^3\) In some cases I used materials collected before I had devised or narrowed-down the diagnostic list. Between 1958 and 1962 the author and his wife lived in Muli (village 4 on Map 1) for periods totalling 30 months under the auspices of the Summer Institute of Linguistics. At that time I had access to several short lists of words supplied to me by Rev. N. Imbock of the Lutheran Mission (village 50 of the West dialect) and to a pedagogical grammar prepared in EraVe (village 30) by W. and J. Rule of the Unveangelised Fields Mission. During May 1967-February 1968 we lived in Usa (village 57) where I have been a Research Scholar for the Australian National University. I spent one week of this time in EraVe gathering materials and have also had an informant from EraVe in Usa for a week of extensive comparison. Other (continued on next page)
Village Numbers on Map | Areas Represented
--- | ---
1-29 | Eastern Dialect
30-49 (45-49) | Southern Dialect (Southeastern Sub-dialect)
50-89 (70-89) | Western Dialect (Northwestern Sub-dialect)
90-100 | Separate Languages

**TABLE 1**

The diagnostic list was devised after a preliminary comparison of materials collected in Muli (the East) with those from other areas. The final list, upon which many of the conclusions set forth here are based, has been designed to elicit linguistic forms which show variations in sound or lexical structure. It contains 100 lexical items and twelve grammatical structures.

However, additional materials have been gathered at one main point in each major dialect: Muli (4, East), Usa (57, West), and Erave (30, South). The materials include a three-dialect dictionary of almost 1800 stems, about 100 verb paradigms, and extensive phonological materials. For the East and West extensive materials are in hand, including concordances of native texts.

8.1. **OVERVIEW**

8.1.1. **BOUNDARIES**

(1) The Kewa language consists of three major dialects and at least two minor sub-dialects, as outlined in Map 2.

(2) The Kewa language is bounded by the following mutually

(3) (continued from previous page)
shorter trips have been taken to outlying areas.

I am indebted to C.L. Voorhoeve, T.E. Dutton, and S.A. Wurm for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this chapter and especially to the Department of Geography, A.N.U., for the preparation of the maps.
unintelligible languages: northwest and west by Mendi (West Central Family); north and northeast by Medlpa (Central Family); east by Wiru (West Central Family); southeast by Polopa (Mikaruan Family); south by Sau (West Central Family); southwest (perhaps) by Poe (Kutubuan Family); west by Magi (West Central Family). ¹

Geographically, one major river network drains the whole Kewa area. To the northwest, the Mendi River flows south into Kewa territory just south of the town of Mendi and some nine miles later it is joined by the Ankura, a swift-flowing river draining the southern slopes of Mt. Giluwe to the north west. Three miles later the Ankura is joined from the east by the Kagua which drains the southern slopes of the Vakari range and the Kagua valley. This river (now called the Ankura) also drains the Lai and Nemi to the west before it becomes the Erave. The Sugu River drains the valley by the same name and originates some twenty miles east before joining the Erave River. The Erave extends southeast, south and east for another 125 miles or so before it becomes the Purari and flows into the Gulf.

The only other river complex of major consequence which is environmental to the Kewa area is the Iaro and its tributaries, originating in the Giluwe slopes of the Ialibu basin, running south and then east, and eventually joining the Erave.

The Kewa are part of the Highland community which extends from the Strickland divide at the west to the Kainantu shelf at the east.² The altitude of the area varies from 6,300' around Ialibu to

¹ Polopa and Magi have never been reported before and have been discovered in this survey. Polopa appears to be related to the Mikaruan language on the basis of data supplied by George MacDonald of the S.I.L. He in turn previously discovered a language called Kewah which appears to be in an area around the junction of the Tua and Erave Rivers. Preliminary comparison yields the following shared percentage relationships (based on the S.I.L. list): Kewah-Mikaru 40%; Polopa-Kewah 45%; Polopa-Mikaru 25%; Polopa-Kewah or Wiru 5% or less. More recently, by comparing word lists in the Territory of Papua Annual Reports between 1900-25, I find several languages related to Polopa and Mikaruan. A new Family designated as Mikaruan is therefore necessary; other details will appear in my "Languages of the Gulf District" (to appear).

² The general ecology of the Highland area can be found in H.C. Brookfield, (1964).
3,500' in the Erave valley and the land includes swamp areas around Ialibu and Kuare, short grasslands in the Kagua, and Sugu valleys, as well as limestone ridges in the Southern areas.

Malaria has probably restricted population south of the Sugu River area and southeast, but it would seem to be limited to that area and farther south. Malaria is, in fact, often called Pole Yanya, i.e., a sickness from Erave (Pole), and this fear of sickness has undoubtedly inhibited more migration into the area.

(5) The East Dialect is bounded north by the base of Mt. Giluwe (14,000' and extending across the western end of the Ialibu basin), east by the wooded slopes of Mt. Ialibu (11,000') and the Poru Plateau (where the Wiru generally live east of the Wiwi River), south by the Kuare River and swamp area, as well as the ridges north of the headwaters of the Sugu River, and west by the vast wooded Vakari range and the range between the Kagua and Sugu valleys.

(6) The South Dialect extends north from Erave across the Erave valley and river to the Kuare area, southeast into dense bushland (mainly the Southeastern Sub-dialect), and west to the headwaters of the Sugu River.

(7) Although the West Dialect has a natural river boundary to its west and south, beginning near Mendi and extending beyond the junction of the Sugu River, the Northwestern Sub-dialect straddles the area westward for an undetermined distance. This sub-dialect shows considerable influence from the adjacent Mendi language. It goes as far south as the Sugu River, always remaining close to the Mendi-Ankura Rivers. It also follows the Ankura River east for a distance but generally is north of this same river.

8.1.2. POPULATION FIGURES

The Census Districts represented below in Table 2 are from the "Reference Book to enable Polling Officials to Identify Voter's Domicile", issued by the Chief Electoral Office, Port Moresby (January, 1964). In this book electorates are arranged alphabetically, Kewa language areas being included in the following electorates: Ialibu (pp. 85-6), Kutubu (pp. 102-5), and Mendi (pp. 152-5).
The census figures are doubtlessly low in that they range in chronology from July/August, 1965 until only as recent as December, 1966.  

I am indebted to Mr D.R.M. Marsh, former District Commissioner of the Southern Highlands District, for access to these figures. I would also like to thank Mr J. Hicks, A.D.O. Erave, Mr R. Andrews, A.D.O. Kagua, and Mr N. Cavenah, A.D.C. Kagua for their cooperation in this survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census District</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Languages</th>
<th>Dialects</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undiri</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,611</td>
<td>Mendi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,950</td>
<td>Magi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kambiri</td>
<td>4,812</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>3,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Kagua</td>
<td>4,490</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>West</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Kagua</td>
<td>3,959</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>3,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>East</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Sugu</td>
<td>3,585</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>4,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Kagua</td>
<td>4,347</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>East</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuare</td>
<td>2,974</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>East</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kari-Tiburu</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>870</td>
<td>Wiru</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fore-Tsimberigi</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>524</td>
<td>Sau</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerabi</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>661</td>
<td>Sau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,577</td>
<td>Polopa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbong'gu</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>East</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12,145</td>
<td>Medipa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kewabe</td>
<td>6,949</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>East</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiru</td>
<td>2,090</td>
<td>Kewa</td>
<td>East</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14,197</td>
<td>Wiru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2
A summary of the figures in Table 2 yields 39,453 Kewa speakers with dialect totals as follows: 17,921 in the West Dialect, including 6,864 in the Northwestern Sub-dialect; 17,758 in the East Dialect; 3,774 in the South Dialect, including 404 in the Southeastern Sub-dialect. There are 3,652 speakers located on the putative boundary of the East and South, all of which are classed as East Dialect speakers. This line actually represents an imagined transition zone between the two dialects.

8.2. PHONOLOGY

In this section we deal with regional phonetic details before setting forth the phonemic system and sound changes operating in each dialect area.

8.2.1. PHONETIC DETAILS

The maximum phonetic representation for our defined Kewa Language area includes the following variations (see Map 1 for geographical sites of villages indicated here by numbers).

8.2.11. STOPS

In general, within Kewa all stops tend to be prenasalised. Two notable exceptions are /t/ and usually /g/. In one area (46) the word for 'tree root' is pinta, rather than the expected pita. This may be due to the feature of vowel nasalisation gradually actualising as the prenasalisation of stops in certain areas of the South (for specific details see §2.3). Throughout the East and West (except at the extreme northern tip of the Northwest Sub-dialect, which borders the Mendi language) /g/ is never prenasalised. The change to prenasalisation is one of the defining characteristics of the South (Cf. §2.23).

There is also evidence that certain forms have simple bilabial voiced stops in one area which become prenasalised in others. Two examples are saluba, an alternate form for 'sweet potato' found only in areas of the West (especially 60-62) which becomes salumba farther toward the south (65, etc.) and arobo 'father's sister', mainly only in the Erave area, which is aroombo everywhere else.

The palatal stop /tʃ/ does not occur in any dialect area except the East. In one area bordering the East (5) words which would normally be expected to employ this sound use the variant [tʃ], as for
example in [tʰa] 'to hit', and [pʰai tôa] 'to sleep'. There is a tendency for the same sort of variation in other areas, e.g., (74). In other areas corresponding words employ either [t] or [l].

In the South the variant of /t/ word initially, but not following pause, is often prenasalised as [n̩t].

8.2.12. FRICATIVES

Although all fricatives in Kewa tend to be voiced inter-vocalically (Franklin and Franklin, 1962a:32), there are areas where other phonetic qualities are also displayed.

One common phonetic quality of /k/ is variation as [kx] or [x] in the Kuare River area (11-15), or even as [h] at (45). In the latter case the area borders the Sau language, where in the South cognates /k/ often does become /h/. In one area (35) a phonetic characteristic of /k/ is heavy aspiration word initially.

Examples of /p/ recorded phonetically as [pʰ] have also been noted in area (12), e.g., [pʰa] 'water'.

The phoneme /s/ shows considerable phonetic variation at diverse points, from direct sound changes (e.g., §2.21) to qualities of affrication. The fronted phonetic quality of [ʃ] causes the preceding central vowel /a/ to manifest the variant [aː], as noted in §2.15.

8.2.13. LIQUIDS

Retroflexion is the most common phonetic quality of either /r/ or /l/ throughout Kewa. Usually this is slight, but in one area (11) it is strong enough to mark distinctly the idiolect of any speakers from the region. Some examples are: [leː] 'eye'; [wiː] 'nose' and [yaː] 'cassowary'.

Throughout Kewa /r/ is [tɹ] word initially and tends toward [tʃ] following the front vowel /i/. Toward the Northwest and in areas of the extreme South this initial phonetic quality becomes more of a direct aspirated stop [tʰ], which actualises as an /r/ in rapid speech. However, it is never fronted and thus clearly distinguishable from /t/.

In the Southeast area /r/ has at times been noted as having a phonetic trill quality.

1 The diacritic * indicates a fortis quality; - indicates fronting; and a period beneath the consonant indicates retroflexion.
8.2.14. **NASALS**

Whenever /ŋ/ occurs it is fronted, as is common with all alveopalatal sounds in Kewa. In one area of the West /ŋ/ does not occur (see Map 6 and also §2.22).

8.2.15. **VOWELS**

There are two major vowel features which help to distinguish Kewa regions. One is vowel nasalisation (Cf. §2.3) and the other is a tendency to de-voice certain vowels word finally, if the words are in isolation and of more than one syllable. The former is widespread and becomes a phonemic feature in the South; the latter is confined to the Northwest and areas of the West bordering it, and is phonetic in nature. However, the phonetic de-voicing of certain vowels is of importance historically because the Mendi language contains many words of closed syllable structure which are doubtless a reflection of the loss of final vowels. Some examples of voiceless vowels (area 57) are: [aːkʰ] 'chest', [nogO] 'girl', [eːf] 'flea', and [oːf] 'rope'.

Another regional but historically important characteristic of vowels is the glide from central position to higher and farther toward the front, i.e., [aː] or [aː] in the Northwest Sub-dialect. Both appear to be equivalent to the vowel /æ/ in Mendi. A more careful and detailed study of this glide and vowel length (or alternately that the low central vowel may also occur as a geminate cluster) should help explain why Kewa has five vowels and Mendi has seven.

8.2.16. **TONE**

Tone is outlined elsewhere (Franklin and Franklin, 1962b:34-5; J. Franklin, 1965) and will not be mentioned or written here because most forms when illicitid in isolation fail to portray basic stem tones in any satisfactory manner due to tone morphophonemics (Cf. Ch. 2).

8.2.2. **PHONEMICS**

The maximum non-existent phonemic system\(^1\) for the Kewa dialects is

---

\(^1\) Maximum and minimum non-existent phonemic systems for the West-Central Family, of which Kewa is a member, were outlined by Wurm (1964:16). He also did the same for the Mendi Sub-Family (p. 15), based on the inventories of Mendi, Kewapi (our Kewa), Pole (our South Kewa) and Sau. His inventory of Kewa (p. 14) should include /g/ or /əɡ/ but not both.
as follows (patterned after Franklin and Franklin, 1962b:30):

CONSONANTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstruents</th>
<th>labial</th>
<th>alveolar</th>
<th>palatal</th>
<th>velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>ñ</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>mb</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>ng</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fricatives</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sonorants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nasals</th>
<th>m</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>ñ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-nasals</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOWELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vowels</th>
<th>front</th>
<th>central</th>
<th>back</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>i</td>
<td></td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>å</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glides</td>
<td>ae</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ai</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUPRASEGMENTALS-

Nasalisation: all vowels, except the sequence ae.
Tone: high and low.

In this maximum system /g/ and /Ng/, /ae/ and /ai/, and nasalisation or its absence, are each mutually exclusive, according to the particular dialect involved.

We will now deal with observed sound changes which are diagnostic of certain areas and have therefore been used as criteria in establishing dialect boundaries.

8.2.21. THE EAST DIALECT

The unidirectional relation of many forms, so that the West has forms which can be mapped from the East but the reverse is not possible, suggest that it is simpler to describe the East first. It may also suggest a time factor such that the East and West are not equal descendants from an earlier form of speech.

One unique phoneme of the East dialect, the voiceless alveopalatal dental stop (/ty/), corresponds to either a voiceless dental stop /t/, a voiceless or voiced prenasalised alveolar stop /nt/ or /nd/, or a voiced lateral /l/ in the other dialects. Examples of this change (portrayed on Map 3) are 68, 69 and 71 below (where the number of the
form is irrelevant, but is maintained as a reference to its sequence on the original diagnostic list).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>pitya 'root'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ty → (\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>tya 'to hit'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ty → (\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>matya 'to carry in a bag'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ty → (\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
<td>(\text{Xt} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rule for form 68 'root' should be read as follows: pitya occurs everywhere in the East except in area 10 where ty becomes t; in the South ty occurs at 32 only, and never in the West. In the South ty elsewhere becomes t, except that in the Southeast it becomes nt instead; ty becomes t everywhere in the West.

There are two additional features to be noted: (a) the initial vowel (actually a phonetic glide [a]) tends to be nasalised in the South; (b) the village area 5 (E) is unique, ty corresponding to /l/ in the case of 68 and to [t\(\text{sl}\)] in examples 69 and 71.

We now turn to sounds which occur in the East (and usually) the South, but which are lost in the West. The first set of examples illustrates the loss of the voiceless velar stop /k/ word initially:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>kaa'l 'banana'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k → (\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>kumba 'star'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k → (\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
<td>(\text{k\text{a}l} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

The symbol X means that the form derived from the rule occurs everywhere in that particular dialect, except at village areas marked elsewhere in the same column. If a village area is preceded by +, this form must be read as an addition to the more general rule above. In some cases more than one form has been elicited; this is shown by a tilde following the number. Orthographically ty corresponds to 5; a to 3; and aa to a.
34. kura 'skirt'  X  X  -  
   k ----> ø  18  -  X  
35. kamaa 'outside'  X  X  -  
   k ----> ø  18  -  X  
37. kira 'to cook'  X  X  -  
   k ----> ø  18  -  X  
   r ----> s  2,3  -  -  
39. kaana 'stone'  X  X  -  
   k ----> ø  -  -  X  
40. kope 'vine'  X  X  -  
   k ----> ø  -  -  X  

Map 4 traces the isoglosses involved in this sound change. It should be noted that:
(a) Exceptions to the norm tend to occur, as would be expected, along the borders of dialects or within sub-dialect areas.
(b) Variants which occur in sub-dialect areas are often related to adjacent separate languages, although in other cases the variants may be the direct result of innovations due to word taboo (See §5.21).
(c) The substitution of s for r (phonetically [d] in these particular environments) in example 37 also occurs in other forms of this same general area and elsewhere in the language area when word initially. For example:
55. rekere 'pearl shell'  X  -  -  
   #r ----> #s  -  X  X  
   umaku  -  -  70,71  

The alternate form at 70 and 71 has also been recorded as omaku and mumaku in these areas. It seems to be borrowed directly from the Mendi language.

In the following example there is almost a direct dialect or geographical reversal of the usually loss of k:
24. kala 'give him'  
   N. of Iaro  -  X  
   R. and 5  -  X  
   k ----> ø  X  X  -  

In a further extensive comparison of 236 stems beginning with k in the East, 36% of them (85) lost the sound in the West. In 151 stems k occurs in both the East and West. In one stem in the West, kagena 'row of garden' is agena in the East.
The loss of the bilabial semi-vowel /w/ word initially occurs less frequently between dialects and often occurs in a particular area of a given dialect.

31. weno 'forehead'  
\[ w \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
[10,13-17]  
[34]  
X  
NW, 54, 66, 67  
\[ n o \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
-  
33, 35^*  
-  

83. werepe 'later'  
\[ e \rightarrow o \]  
-  
X  
\[ w \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
+10, 13-17  
+34-35  
+X  

85. were 'wife'  
\[ e \rightarrow o \]  
-  
X  
\[ w \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
+10, 13-17  
+34  
+X  
[\textit{var. of woman}]  
-  
35-, 45, 47  
67  
SE  

26. waraa  
\[ w \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
-  
X  
\[ #a \rightarrow o \]  
-  
-  
+70  
\[ 0 \rightarrow r \]  
-  
-  
53, 71  

Map 4 also traces only the isoglosses involved in the loss of w, i.e., it omits all other changes.

The final type of sound loss (Cf. Map 4) involves the loss of voiced laterals /l/ plus, if syllable final, the vowel which follows it. In examples given later /l/ is replaced by /n/ or /ny/ [ɾ].

45. waall: 'sugar cane'  
\[ l \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
-  
X  

47. ali 'man'  
\[ l \rightarrow \emptyset \]  
-  
X  

49. lema 'lice'  
\[ e \rightarrow l \]  
-  
X  

An example of phoneme substitution between the East and the West is form 54:

\[ \text{Double arrows represent metathesis.} \]
There is evidence of another type of sound change cross-cutting the West-South boundary. This is most clearly seen in the following examples (Cf. Map 6):

78. bakua 'Bird of Paradise'  
    k —> s  
    barra  

The form bauwa was recorded at 47 (extreme Southeast) and also at 34 as a variant.

8.2.22. THE SOUTH DIALECT

The skewing of the voiced velar stop /g/ to either /Vg/ or /Bg/ is a sound change which is characteristic of the South dialect and also of the extreme northern part of the Northwest dialect (closest to Mendi). The following examples are summarised on Map 5:

51. lega 'embers'  
    l —> Ø  
    g —> Ø  
    rita  

52. nogo 'girl'  
    o —> ō  
    g —> Ø  

53. agaa 'mouth'  
    #a —> #ā  
    g —> Ø  

54. paga 'to hear'  
    a —> ā  
    g —> Ø  

Where a tilde over any vowel indicates nasalisation in the text; on maps it is represented by a hook under the appropriate vowel.
In many instances when recording the above forms the choice between a prenasalized velar stop or a nasalised vowel preceding a velar stop is indeterminate. The village areas involved are 34, which is on the border of East and South; 45, at the border of the South-eastern area; and 32, at the border of the South and West.

Map 5, which traces the distribution of the choices based on the underlying velar stop, points out:

1. A middle belt of nasalisation criss-crossing the southern part of the East and West dialects and occurring throughout the South;
2. The absence of vowel nasalisation in any part of the northern Kewa area.

There are also a number of simple lexical items which are diagnostic of the South dialect and are presented in Section 4 on word geography.

8.2.23. THE WEST DIALECT

We have already presented (in §2.21) evidence for the dialect boundary between the West and the remainder of the Kewa language. There are in addition a number of diagnostic sound changes which occur within the West and, in some cases, the Northwest.

The most frequent is the substitution of \(/n/\) (representing a morphophoneme) for \(/i/\) of the East and South, as shown in the following examples (Cf. Map 6 and see also note 1 of the next page):

3. **ile 'eye'**
   \[\begin{array}{ccc}
   1 & \rightarrow & \langle n \rangle \\
   \rightarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
   X & X & X \\
   \end{array}\]
   - & - & -
   - & - & NW and S.
   of Kagua R; 50,63,X

44. **kaale 'ear'**
   \[\begin{array}{ccc}
   k & \rightarrow & \varnothing \\
   \rightarrow & \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\
   X & X & - \\
   \end{array}\]
   - & 18 & X
   +X
   +50-1,54-5, 63,71

In addition to the above rules, a generalised rule of nasalisation is needed throughout the South, and also in several areas of the West (for details see Table 3, §2.3).
48. *kuli 'bone'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>34-</th>
<th>52-5,60,63</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

wini

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>70-1-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>+X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exception at 70 and 71 can be accounted for by reconstructions between Mendi and Kewa, rather than in terms of dialect rules.

52. aali 'husband'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50,52-6,60,63</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>+X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Southeast the initial vowel tends to be nasalised.

Example 41 follows the same pattern, with additional rules to account for vowel changes and prenasalised stops:

41. *yog'le 'skin'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>32,33;31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10,14,15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are also examples of variation between /M/ in the West corresponding to either /n/ or /ny/ elsewhere. In such cases it is accompanied by a high front vowel:

65. yanya 'sick'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50,57-9,65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The considerable fluctuation from area to area regarding the phonemic status of /ny/ reflects the fact that this isogloss is not fixed in the West dialect.¹

¹ In Usa, village 57, there is fluctuation between speakers, although it seems all of the younger generation use the sequence ni in slow speech. This whole problem suggests the possibility of a quantitative approach of the type performed by William Labov (1964, 1965). This particular sound fluctuation could be set up as a variable to check degrees of palatalisation. It would satisfy the requirements for a linguistic variable mentioned, namely frequency, immunity from conscious suppression, a unit of a larger structure, and quantifiable on a (continued on next page)
There are also regular changes between the West and the rest of Kewa where a verb stem ending in the vowel sequence _ua_ elsewhere often has the _u_ → _i_ in the West, e.g., _wandua_ 'to miss' → _wandia_.

Several other examples reflect changes which occur mainly in isolated areas of the West:

11. tapanda 'men's house'  
   _t_ → _k_  
   _atanda_  
   _70,71_  

42. endaali 'bow'  
   _li_ → _Ø_  
   _nd_ → _t_  
   _except NW, 66-7_  

64. supi 'saliva'  
   _s_ → _t_  
   _[u_ → _Ø]_  
   _[i_ → _e]_  
   _[s_ → _r]_  
   _+55,71,76_  
   _70,71_  

This rule accounts for _s_ being represented either by _t_ or _r_, by including the latter as a final step after the vowels are changed throughout the dialect, while the former is an initial rule without vowel change, and applies only in the Southeast area.

Another dominant vowel change is the replacement of the vowel _a_ in the remainder of the West by _e_ in the area of 70 and 71. Some examples are: _onasa_ 'rat' → _onesa_; _yagi_ 'kunai' → _yengi_; _raguna_ 'hat' → _rengi_; _aipa_ 'salt' → _ae_; _aal_ 'banana' → _ae_; _mera_ 'yam' → _mebera_; _passa_ 'shoulder' → _pesaa_; _masaa_ 'back' → _mesaa_; _sapi_ 'sweet potato' → _sepi_; _rai_ 'axe' → _re_ or _re_.

The examples of _ai_ → _ae_ suggest that the phonemic interpretation of this as a two vowel sequence may be more realistic than setting up a separate phoneme æ, with a very limited distribution.  

(1) (continued from previous page)

linear scale (1964:166). It is possible that such a technique would also reveal socio-linguistic attitudes such as group membership, age level, and style, although only to a minor degree when compared to Labov's findings regarding vowel centralisation and its correlates in Martha's Vineyard (Mass., U.S.A.) and the New York City area.

1 This is basically a historical problem and can only be solved by comparing Mendi and Kewa dialect correspondences. In preliminary comparisons the sequence _ai_ in Kewa is often reflected by the separate vowel æ in Mendi.
One other vowel change which was noted is *repōna* 'tree' in the East and South, but *repōna* throughout the West. At the extreme corners of the Southeast and Northwest the forms *ria* and *ri* respectively are used.

An example which seems to clearly indicate metathesis is for 'armpit', the distribution of which is as follows:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>aatōrā</em> 'armpit'</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>16,17</td>
<td>30-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aa#</td>
<td>āā</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variant form *aatāaro* was recorded at 13 (East).

### 8.2.3. NASALISATION

Although in previous examples we have chosen as basic the velar stop and then added the features of prenasalisation and/or vowel nasalisation, historically it may be more accurate to choose the prenasalised velar form as basic.

Some of the forms with phonemic vowel nasalisation in the South historically probably reflect the loss of prenasalised velar stops suffix initially which, with one exception (example 86, below), have been lost in Kewa. These suffixes in genetically related languages (e.g. throughout Sau, and also in a more limited way in Enga and Kyaka) mark a set of inalienable words, mainly consisting of body parts.

The only form noted which retains this suffix in some areas of Kewa is the following:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>aage</em> 'leg'</td>
<td>14,15,17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aa</td>
<td>āā</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ka</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>32,34-5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ge</td>
<td>Ø</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aa</td>
<td>āā</td>
<td>+12,13,16</td>
<td>+50,58-60, 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of these changes is outlined on Map 7.

As a general feature prenasalisation accompanies the voiced bilabial and alveolar stops, although there is evidence in alternates of some forms that this has been replaced by a plain stop in some areas:
50. alembo 'afternoon'  X   X   –
   mb ➔ p
   le ➔ Ø
   a ➔ aa

Another example is the word mbira 'yam' which, though generally prenasalised, tends to be a simple bilabial stop in the Southeast and extreme South.

Table 3 summarises nasalisation of vowels found on forms of the diagnostic list, other than those nasalised vowels contiguous to nasal sonorants or before those stops which are realised in some area of the language as prenasalised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forms</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>r'í  'drum'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>pe̞u  'nose'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wële  –</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>āsumba  'head'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>orā̞a  'palm'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>pasā̞a  'shoulder'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>ko̞u  'back'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kāu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>45-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kuōu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>Itā  'to hit'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.</td>
<td>kī  'hand'</td>
<td>11, 15 as var.</td>
<td>except 31-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.</td>
<td>yō  'leaf'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34 as var.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.</td>
<td>rā̞a  'bush'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>roā̞ape  'calf of leg'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>aarotā̞a  'armpit'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>sū  'thumb'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>except 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.</td>
<td>toā  'I will talk'</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34, 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTALS: 14 Forms 2 as var. except 32-4 all S. of Kagua R. except 75-6

TABLE 3
Although there is phonemic vowel nasalisation throughout the South dialect, differentiating such pairs as kɨ 'hand' and kɨ 'four', or sū 'thumb' and sū 'ground', in our data only fourteen forms out of 112 show nasalisation and seven of these forms are represented in only one village each.

It is also worth noting that phonemic vowel nasalisation is present in the following border languages: Wiru, Sau, Polopa and Foe so that some nasalisation may be an influence from these areas.¹

8.3. GRAMMAR

In this section grammatical differences which are diagnostic of dialect areas are illustrated. Although few grammatical categories were sampled over the total Kewa area, notes on the verb classification system in the three major dialects are included. Certain miscellaneous observations are also included in this section.

8.3.1. NARRATIVE PAST ENDINGS

Items 79-81 were included to elicit first person singular remote (or narrative) past endings for three verbs: 'to go', 'to hit', and 'to talk', so chosen because they represent three distinct verb classes in the East. Map 8 traces the distribution of these forms and their suffixes (with the provisional note that only regular past endings are used in the Southeast area).

In most cases verb stems undergo morphophonemic changes upon their combination with tense suffixes (except, in the regular past). The final vowel or syllable either changes or is replaced, according to the particular dialect and tense allomorph involved.²

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>'to go' + 1st Sg NP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pu</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>puka</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pakua</td>
<td>11-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pasua</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pisu</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pisua</td>
<td>63-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Wurm (1962:1962) mentions this as a typological feature of the area. While this is so, Polopa and Foe belong to language families only remotely related to the West-Central Family. Nasalisation is an areal feature, but it does not correspond to the general grouping postulated by Wurm.

2 Specific details for the East dialect may be found in Franklin, 1964 and 1965a; for the West in an earlier chapter which includes verb morphology.
80. 'to hit' + 1st Sg NP\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>luka</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lisua</td>
<td>11-18</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tisua</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tisua</td>
<td></td>
<td>63-66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

81. 1a 'to say' + 1st Sg NP\(^2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>luka</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lisua</td>
<td>11-18</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>su</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sua</td>
<td></td>
<td>60,63-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isu</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3.2. SUBJECT IDENTITY

Four sentences were included in the dialect survey to elicit forms which mark the identity of the subject as the same or different between two verbal actions. If the subjects are different between the clauses the forms remain remarkably constant throughout Kewa; if, on the other hand, the subject is the same, then the forms vary considerably as shown below (See also Map 9):

104. 'sit and ...'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pirumea</td>
<td>11,14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piruma</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pirua</td>
<td></td>
<td>55-6, NW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

105. 'look and ...'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>andomea</td>
<td>11,14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>andoma</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>andoa</td>
<td></td>
<td>55-6,NW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1 The dialect varieties of 'to hit' are: tya (E), ta (W), and lia (S). (Cf. §2.21, word 69). The basic tones of the verbs of 80 and 81 are different, resolving most ambiguities.

2 The sound changes in 79 and 81 are typical and can be accounted for most simply by positing forms such as *pVsuA, *TVsua and *IVsua respectively. The rules must also be ordered for the particular dialects, e.g.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>l&gt;Ø</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>s&gt;Ø</td>
<td>1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>v&gt;</td>
<td>11-18 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>a&gt;Ø</td>
<td>+50-9,61-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.3.3. FREE PRONOUNS

Two forms were elicited: 'yours (pl)' and 'we all', with variations as follows:

66. 'yours (plural)'

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NW,57-8,61-</td>
<td>2,65-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32-4,16-18;</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13;31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

67. 'we all'

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52,55,60,</td>
<td>65-7,70-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.3.4. VERB CLASSIFICATION

Verb stems in Kewa may be partitioned on the basis of their combinatory potential with two sets of suffixes. Stems which occur only with the set of suffixes depicting tense plus a particular type of benefaction are stative stems. Phonologically, such stems invariably end in /aa/. Verb stems which combine with either of the two sets of suffixes are active stems.

The two sets of suffixes contrast: set I, which has been elsewhere called Egocentric or Personal Benefactive, combines with active verb stems such that certain morphophonemic changes of the stem accompany certain tense allomorphs; set II, elsewhere called Altocentric or Non-Personal Benefactive, combines with any verb stem. If the stem is active, the final vowel is lengthened. Although there are other details, both sets of affixes signal person-number-tense, but the set chosen (coupled with the verb stem) signals the types of benefaction.

1 There also is considerable fluctuation between n/ny, perhaps re-illustrating the morphophonemic quality of the sound (Cf. §2.23).
2 The final vowel on this form is often nasalised in the areas mentioned previously (Cf. §2.3 for details).
The complete affix sets were sampled in one area of each major dialect 4 (E), 30 (S), and 57 (W). The similarities and differences of set I are:

(1) The past tense has but one allomorph in each dialect:
    na 'to eat' + 1st Sg Pa = na-wa (E,S,W).

(2) The future tense has up to three allomorphs for each person-number distinction:
    na 'to eat' + 1st Sg Fu = na-lua (E,W); no-la (S).
    pira 'to sit' + 1st Sg Fu = pi-tua (E,S); pira-lua (W).
    yala (W,E) or wila (S,E) 'to yell' + 1st Sg Fu = ya-toa or wi-toa.

(3) The present tense in all dialects has only one allomorph in the 1st and 2nd Sg, but up to three for other person-number distinctions:
    na 'to eat' + 1st Sg Pr = na-lo (E,S,W)
    + 2nd Sg Pr = na-le (E,S); na-e (W).
    pira 'to sit' + 3rd Sg Pr = pi-tya (E); pi-ta (S), pira-la (W).

(4) In each dialect the NP has different representations, the 1st Sg begin very distinctive in the East (Cf. §3.2):
    na + 1st Sg NP = nu-ka (E); ni-sua (S); ni-su (W).

(5) The Perfect tense in the West has a set of allomorphs which are alien to the East or South:
    ria 'to carry' + 1st Sg Pf = ri-li (E,S); ri-tu (W).

In addition to these differences a special form for the 1st Sg Fu occurs in the Northwest area: instead of the expected toa 'I will talk', the form tauwa occurs. It is possible that this could be represented phonemically either as -tua or -toua, both of which correspond more closely to other allomorphs of 1st Fu morpheme, viz., -tua or -lua.

Tense suffixes of set II are more similar across the three dialects. The only differences noted are:

(1) The Past tense, where forms differ in several instances in the West:
    1st Sg Pa = -ripu (E,S); -ru (W);
    3rd Sg Pa = -ripa (E,S), -ria (W);
    2nd Di Pa = -pa (E,S), -ripa (W).

(2) In the 2nd Sg Pf, where the West has the form -eye, rather than the the -ele used in the East and South.
8.4.  WORD GEOGRAPHY

8.4.1.  LEXICAL DISTRIBUTION

Lexical isoglosses which help distinguish Kewa dialects are now discussed. First, representative forms for each major dialect are given and illustrated with maps. Secondly, the problem of word taboo is shown to relate to lexical skewing.

8.4.11.  THE EAST

The forms 'one' (1), 'father' (2), 'hat' (19), 'tomorrow' (84), and 'to do' (93) have been chosen as representative of the East and their ranges of distribution are diagrammed on Map 12.

There are also forms which depict a unique area, corresponding somewhat to the zone between the East and West (see Map 10). However, there do not seem to be enough words to mark this than more than a regional area which we may call the Kuare River area. In other cases words which are typical of the East extend through the Kuare area and dip well into the South (see Map 15).

8.4.12.  THE SOUTH

For this dialect the forms 'fish' (28), 'to be sorry' (38), 'leaf' (90), 'bush' (92), and 'tomorrow' (84) have been chosen as representative (see Map 13). When other lexical isoglosses cross over into the West they are marked by phonological rules previously outlined.

Forms typical of the Southeast sub-dialect are also included on Map 13. Here one of the words 'axe' (75) skews into the central South area as well as having phonological variations in the extreme South-eastern tip.

8.4.13.  THE WEST

In the West (see Map 14) the forms 'smoke' (6), 'drum' (10), 'tomorrow' (84), and 'fence' (100) illustrate boundaries.

The form 'smoke', although included on Map 14 as typical of the West, has an additional lexical variant which parallels other forms depicting the Northwest (see also Map 14 for the Northwest).

Certain lexical isoglosses suggest an area with unique features around the Sugu River - Mt. Sumi region. We have outlined these on Map 15. Both this area and the Kuare River area (East) will need to be studied more carefully.
8.4.2. LEXICAL DISTORTIONS

There are several factors to consider when a string of lexical variants occurs across a large area in Kewa. The problem centres around several varieties of word taboo and consequent borrowing.\(^1\)

Word taboo is a deliberate lexical substitution observed in the following instances:

1. during ceremonial rites involving the men's cult;
2. at marriage;
3. after a death.

We will examine only the first two.

8.4.21. THE ARGOT OF THE MEN'S CULT

One ceremony which is widespread throughout the Kewa area is called the Andalu Rimbu 'the long spirit Rimbu', actually a reference to either the cult itself, or to the sacred magical stones which have played an important part in many Kewa ceremonies. The ceremony, which is for men (including boy initiates), includes a sacred vocabulary. The selection of alternate lexical forms is conditioned by cultural factors such as sacrificed parts of pig, objects used in the cult activities, and so on. The head men may receive words of the argot from the area where they have imported the cult, or new lexical forms may be coined by the cult leaders. In other cases, terms of everyday usage, such as body parts, are declared sacred during cult ceremonies. In any instance, once selected, the forms are taboo outside of the ceremonial area and anyone breaking the taboo must pay a pig or a pearl shell, or face the consequence (such as having a pig stolen or his house burned). The taboo forms do manage to drift outside somehow, often, perhaps, when lesser tabooed items, such as names of certain types of wood used in building a spirit house, are gradually re-introduced back into the general vocabulary without fear. Usually this means the ceremony in question is no longer in vogue or that it has been passed on to another area.

The argot has been sampled in the East, West and South dialect areas. The participants claim that the ceremony was introduced from

---

\(^1\) Name taboo is, of course, not unusual. Sir James Frazer many years ago (1927) summarised how personal names, names of relatives, names of the dead, names of gods, names of sacred persons, and even common words (by 'modern' civilisations and people, such as Scottish fishermen) are tabooed in many diverse cultural areas of the world.
the Southwest, particularly the Imani area (to the West), from the West, particularly the Tiri area (to the East), and from the North, particularly the Tibiri and Sumbura area (to the South). The terminology is well developed in the West, and much less (as far as I can now determine) in the South. Table 4 gives a few examples in each dialect.

**SAMPLE CULT ARGOT TERMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English gloss</th>
<th>East normal</th>
<th>East argot</th>
<th>South normal</th>
<th>South argot</th>
<th>West normal</th>
<th>West argot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'water'</td>
<td>ipa</td>
<td>erawe</td>
<td>('') u tali</td>
<td>('')</td>
<td>sekelate-mea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'pipe'</td>
<td>sogo pe</td>
<td>sogo-ta</td>
<td>('') miru-pe</td>
<td>('')</td>
<td>poanda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'container'</td>
<td>pe</td>
<td>aa-uni</td>
<td>('') kenange</td>
<td>('')</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'pig'</td>
<td>mena</td>
<td>andasa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>kugua</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'flute'</td>
<td>remo</td>
<td>remo</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>remo</td>
<td>ema</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>agale</td>
<td>inyalu</td>
<td></td>
<td>agaa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

("") means the form is the same as in the East.

A deviation in the East from this standard practice occurred in 1960 when the clan at Muli imported a new spirit called Natome, again from the West. In this case the lexical forms which were tabooed were from the everyday man's vocabulary. In their place new alternate forms (many from the West dialect) were introduced by the cult members.

It can be seen that over a span of several years the same item may, in fact, be given several alternate taboo names, depending upon the cult and area involved. There are several words on our list which seem to indicate this fact: 'moon' (8), 'nose' (17), 'hat' (19), 'blood' (4), 'head' (25), 'tongue' (30), and 'back' (61). Although all of these are known to have been taboo in one area or another, there are also at least two others which can be assumed to have been taboo: 'rat' (7), and 'drum' (10). Several of these taboo words are illustrated on Maps 16-19.
Whenever a Kewa man marries he changes his name. He changes his name because he enters into taboo relationships with affines who either cannot speak his name, or, in some cases, whose names cannot be spoken. To overcome this restriction it is necessary for a newly married male to receive a new name; in addition, his old name must not be spoken or heard by certain relatives. Because Kewa names usually have a real-world semantic referent the overall effect of marriage name taboo in a clan may result in considerable lexical skewing. For example, if the taboo affines of a man named kiri 'to laugh' or andanyi 'I should see' are near, a speaker must resort to circumlocution to express these concepts. It follows that synonyms are necessary and available for most words, and that alternate names are vital.

Name taboo is between Ego and his affines and involves the following relatives:

1. All the wife's clan consider Ego as their imaa niti, that is, one whose name is taboo. On the other hand, he considers only those affines whom he called ameke (no. 25 in Appendix B) as his name taboo;
2. Of all affinal relations arising through marriage by members of Ego's collateral kin, only his yaake (no. 11, Appendix B) are taboo to him, and none consider him as a name taboo;
3. For any affine related to Ego by marriages of his children, he considers only his daughter's husband (no. 15) as his name taboo. None of his descendants consider him a name taboo.

In summary, the name taboos for a male Ego are any member of the same sex who is related through his own, his daughter's or his sister's marriage.

For a female Ego the name taboo is simpler:
1. She considers only her daughter's husband (no. 15) as a name taboo, and this is not reciprocal.
2. Only her brother's wife (no. 11) considers her a name taboo, and this is also not reciprocal.

1 See K. Franklin (1967), Kewa Names and Aliases for how the men or their wives select alternate names.
2 Terms here are from the West, but the system has been checked and is the same in all dialects.
8.4.3. MISCELLANEOUS OBSERVATIONS

The form 'what time' (word 21) was elicited throughout Kewa. The East and West have the closest equivalents (aarambo and aarambu) but there is a separate expression in the transitional area between East and South (Map 10). The expression throughout the South is andapele.

Undoubtedly the most complex item elicited was the expression for 'things' (word 53). Speaking in generalities the form is some version of olemole in the East and South and oyaeyae in the West, but note also: olele (areas 2, 3, 10); ölemôle (much of the South); ale or alemole (much of Kuare River area); aleapole (34); aleralere (47); oaleole (6, 33); walewale (5, 17, 18); omo (64, 67); owaewae (67); oyeye (75); oyapayae (54, 71).

Historically the form may be postulated as walewale in East and South, the distribution of this at 17 and 18 corresponding to the same areas as age 'foot' (Cf. Map 7 and §2.22).

8.5. CULTURE

Certain differences in culture have been observed between dialect areas. In this section we:

(1) trace the distribution of a large ceremonial house built in connection with Kewa (and nearby) festivals;
(2) examine more closely the kinship and counting systems in an area representative of each major dialect;
(3) make some observations on possible semantic shifts due to cultural focus.

8.5.1. CEREMONIAL HOUSES

There are two main types of houses built in preparation for Kewa festivals. The most significant is the neanda (East and South), or yaweanda (West), a series of up to six long houses (usually at least 100 yards long) built in the vicinity of the dance grounds. The second type of house is the rakua or rasua, a larger gabled house which is mainly a meeting house for strangers who come to observe and take part in the festivities. The distribution of the latter house is exclusive to the West or its borders and areas which have or have had this house are shown on Map 11.

It is outside the scope of this paper to describe the ceremonies,
but many studies within the culture area have appeared.¹

8.5.2. COUNTING SYSTEMS

There are two main systems employed in the East, one involving the naming of body parts as count units and the other based on counting fingers and thumbs (Franklin and Franklin, 1962a). As an analogy, the system which uses fingers and thumbs based on multiples of four may be compared with cardinal numbers; the body part system as ordinal numbers. In the latter case all named body parts are one in a sequence of a total finite unit, namely the counting cycle called a paapu.

The West also uses both systems, although in the body part system certain parts are different from those in other dialects. In addition the four base system is known, but is not used extensively.

In the South the body part system shows the least development, but its use of the four base system is the same as in the East.

The body part system for each of the three main dialects is presented in composite form in Table 5. Following this we summarise the similarities and differences.²

A complete cycle around the named body parts begins with the little finger of the left hand and ends with the same finger of the right hand. After the mid point is passed (rikaa for the East and West, meaning literally 'middle'; yangaa 'jaw' in the South) each additional body part corresponding to the opposite side of the body is qualified with mendaa 'another of the same'. The cycle totals 47 in the East and West, but only 35 in the South.

¹ The most complete list of references to anthropological materials for the Highlands is in J.B. Watson (ed.), 1964. Authors of special note for our area are: Paula Brown, R.N.H. Bulmer, R.M. Glasse, Louis J. Luzbetak, M.J. Meggitt, D.J. Ryan, and G.F. Vicedom and H. Tischner.
² The East Kewa materials are from Franklin and Franklin (1962a:188).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>East Gloss</th>
<th>West Gloss</th>
<th>South Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>kegali 'little finger'</td>
<td>egata (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>engali (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>kegali yame 'ring finger'</td>
<td>laapo (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>engali ame (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>andaa ki 'middle finger'</td>
<td>repo (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>andaa ki (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>maala 'index finger'</td>
<td>maala (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>maala (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>su 'thumb'</td>
<td>supu (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>su (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>su mindi 'heel of thumb'</td>
<td>oraapu 'palm'</td>
<td>su mindi (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>waraa 'palm'</td>
<td>kerepo 'wrist'</td>
<td>waraa (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>kerepo 'wrist'</td>
<td>palaa ki 'forearm'</td>
<td>kerepo (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>palaa ki 'forearm'</td>
<td>noae 'upper fore-arm'</td>
<td>noe (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>noe 'large arm bone'</td>
<td>noae re pambu 'inside elbow'</td>
<td>noe repa (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>noe luambu 'small arm bone'</td>
<td>koma 'lower upper arm'</td>
<td>koma (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>koma 'above elbow'</td>
<td>pini 'mid upper arm'</td>
<td>wena ropa (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>winya ropa 'lower upper arm'</td>
<td>pini re pambu 'upper upper arm'</td>
<td>ali ropa (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>ali ropa 'upper upper arm'</td>
<td>pasaa 'lower shoulder'</td>
<td>pasaa (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>pasaa 'shoulder'</td>
<td>pasaa re pambu 'mid shoulder'</td>
<td>pasaa mindi (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>pasaa kuli 'shoulder bone'</td>
<td>kalambe 'top shoulder'</td>
<td>kalambe (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>pasaa mindi 'neck muscle'</td>
<td>ipa lo 'low neck'</td>
<td>maa 'neck'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>maa 'neck'</td>
<td>maa (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>yanga 'jaw'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>yaga 'jaw'</td>
<td>peae 'back of cheek'</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>kale 'ear'</td>
<td>peae re pambu 'temple'</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>pae 'cheek'</td>
<td>aane 'ear'</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>le 'eye'</td>
<td>ini (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>paki 'inside corner of eye'</td>
<td>paki (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>rikaa 'between eyes'</td>
<td>rikaa 'bridge of nose'</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unit</td>
<td>paapu 'around the body parts'</td>
<td>paapu (&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>paapu (&quot;&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 5**

The symbol (""") means that the gloss and sequence is the same as that of the East; (*) means the gloss and sequence is the same as the West.
An attempt to quantify the counting system comparisons can be done in at least two ways:

1. by considering those with an identical sequence in the counting unit as the same;
2. by disregarding the sequence and the gloss and comparing only the linguistic forms.

The first comparison procedure (Table 6) is probably unrealistic because it distorts the actual closeness of the East and West in terms of the same number of units in the counting cycle. It also makes the West and South appear much closer than other evidence. The reason for the apparent low similarity between East and West is because the former names an extra part at 6 which neither of the other dialects names. This then throws the East one step behind the West until they are the same again at 18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERCENTAGE OF SAMENESS BETWEEN SEQUENCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E - W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counting 24 parts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6**

Part of this difficulty is solved when only linguistic forms are compared (Table 7). The results conform to other evidence that the East and South are closer in their relationship to each other than either is to the West.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERCENTAGE OF SAMENESS BETWEEN LINGUISTIC FORMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E - W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exactly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 7**
There are, in addition, several things of interest in Table 7:

(1) In the West the first five referents and glosses are the same as those in the East, but the alternate forms laapo 'two' and repo 'three' occurring in the West are used only in the four base system in the East and South. The sequence of ear and then cheek is also reversed between the East and West.

(2) The difference between glosses for noe (E) and noae (W) is arbitrary. The large arm bone is the noe (or noae); the part pointed to is the upper forearm.

(3) The suffix -pu 'continuing on' is optional on all parts and is not counted as a difference in either comparison.

The system employed at Imani (76) in the Northwest is identical with that of the West, with the following exceptions:

(1) After pasaa re pambu 'mid shoulder - 15', the sequence is neck, below the ear, ear, cheek, eye, base at side of nose, bridge of the nose (middle), for a total of 43 named parts.

(2) kalambe denotes below the ear, rather than the shoulder (West and South).

(3) paki refers to the indentation at the side of the nose, rather than inside corner of the eye.

From the total number of body parts used in either counting system, seven were elicited throughout Kewa. These terms with their distribution and any sound changes are as follows:

(1) 'one', that is, the first number of the four base counting system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>pamenda 'one'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>komea</td>
<td>except</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pandane</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In one case (area 76) the form egata 'little finger' was preferred; this may be a mistake in understanding. In another instance (area 15) measi was elicited.

(2) 'palm', form 26, which has been outlined on page 15 and Map 4.

(3) 'little finger', the first body part enumerated in the body parts system:
Here we present only the results of comparing kinship terms between the three major dialects, as well as scattered observations from other areas.

(1) Although both the East and South have separate terms for affines related through Father's Sister (form 8 in Appendix B) as opposed to affines related, for example, through siblings of the same sex (kin term 11), in the West one term is used (kin term 8) for both relationships.

(2) Kinship terms 1, 1a, 3a, 15, 17-19, 21-2, 24, as well as 5 and 7 exemplify sound changes across dialects as described earlier in this chapter.

(3) lla is the only kinship term completely different in each dialect; all others are the same or almost the same in at least two. The alternate term for lla (19) is the same in the East and South, with a sound change in the West.

(4) The term previously listed as imaa (1965c:412, number 26) is extended here to include additional taboo relationships. One spelling correction has also been made in Appendix B (number 8, yaake, instead of yage).

(5) The result of comparing the kinship terms can be seen in Table 8.
PERCENTAGE OF SAMENESS BETWEEN KINSHIP TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E-W</th>
<th>E-S</th>
<th>W-S</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exactly
By regular rules
TOTALS

TABLE 8

Only seven kinship terms were elicited throughout the Kewa area. The terms and their distribution are as follows:

(1) 'husband', the distribution of which has already been stated on page 18.

(2) 'wife', which is outlined on Map 4 and page 15. The alternate terms are pamo in the Southeast and rena in the Northwest area adjacent to Mendi.

(3) 'grandfather', which is outlined on Map 6.

(4) 'mother', which is ama throughout Kewa, except that in two instances (areas 54 and 76) it was recorded as amale.

(5) 'sibling of opposite sex', which is either bali (with l → n/ny in the West; Cf. form 48, §2.23) as a term of reference (3a) or anya (with ny → in; Cf. 65, §2.23) as a term of address (17). In the South and in many other parts of the West anya is the preferred term, either for address or reference.

The distribution of other terms is as follows:

2. maya 'father' (kin term 18) X - -

y \rightarrow \langle ny \rangle - SE -

m \rightarrow \emptyset - - +58,76

aapa (kin term 2) 11-18;X- X X
There are several forms which suggest regional cultural focus:

1. The word for mountain is exclusively kaari in the East and South of the Kuare River into the South dialect. Here, however, where mountains in much of the area are sharp limestone pinnacles, the word for mountain is kaana, which here and elsewhere in Kewa means 'stone'. This form is also found in scattered areas such as 52-3, 62, 64 (West, but $k \rightarrow \emptyset$). Another alternate term is rata (area 67) which generally in Kewa means 'precipice'.

Throughout the West the term is generally pore, but in some areas (53,63) rundu is preferred. Generally, the latter term denotes only a hill, or a flat-shaped smaller mountain.

2. A second word which is problematic is garden. Generally, any garden may be a maapu but if repeated plantings have depleted the soil or only greens are initially planted it becomes an e. In any case an e generally does not contain sweet potato, but only green vegetables. In some areas of the Southeast and extreme South any garden is an e. In other areas throughout Kewa any garden may be called an e-maapu.

3. Generally throughout Kewa the word for sand is mu or ipa mu (ipa 'water'), and the word for shale or gravel deposits is rote. The quartz deposits which sparkle along the river bed are kilikili (West, kilili). However, in the Southeast the latter equivalent refers to sand only (or to both) and in two areas (6, 34) rote also means sand.

4. The word for 'to marry' is generally lamua, which may be a fossilised form of la 'to say' and mua 'to get and ...'. In the Northwest at 70-1 rumaa is used for 'to marry', but elsewhere in Kewa this denotes the actual distribution of the bride price. In the extreme corner of the Southeast mea 'to get' is used and kea is also known in scattered areas of Kewa.
There are doubtlessly cultural and sub-cultural implications in all terms, but except for these which are quite striking, no attempt has been made to classify and study them.

8.5.5. STABLE FORMS AND MEANING

Certain items are represented throughout Kewa by the same linguistic form and by an identical semantic referent.

The following have variants only in the bilingual area 70, adjacent to Mendi:

1. 'cloud', which is yaa throughout. A variant at 70 is saa, but s —> y is a regular sound correspondence between Kewa and Mendi.
2. 'native salt', which is aipa, except again at 70 where ai —> ae. The borrowed word from Police Motu, tanena, is also in use at 30 and 46.
3. 'flea' is ete except at 70 where it is erete.
4. 'calf of leg', which is roaape throughout.
5. 'to chop' which is poa everywhere except 70 where the variant po also occurs.

8.6. CONCLUSIONS

8.6.1. DIALECTAL LEXICOSTATISTICS

Because our diagnostic list was designed primarily to elicit differences it has not been used solely to establish percentage relationships between dialects. Rather we have, in addition, compared a lexicon of stems collected from a central village in each major dialect area (East, 4; South, 30; West, 57). The tabulations are set forth in Tables 9 and 10. In Table 10 words which were found in one dialect but not the other were mainly names for local varieties of flora and fauna. All figures are rounded to the nearest percent.
## DIALECT RELATIONSHIPS
### (Diagnostic List)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E - S</th>
<th>E - W</th>
<th>W - S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 9

## DIALECT RELATIONSHIPS
### (Lexicon)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E - S</th>
<th>E - W</th>
<th>W - S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1588</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>1475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E - S</th>
<th>E - W</th>
<th>W - S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1259</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>1225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152 (12%)</td>
<td>220 (16%)</td>
<td>193 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835 (66%)</td>
<td>710 (50%)</td>
<td>520 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272 (22%)</td>
<td>486 (34%)</td>
<td>512 (43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 88% | 84% | 85% | % of Sameness |

### TABLE 10
A few observations are pertinent to Table 10:

(1) The percentage of words which do not require rule changes for mapping between dialects will undoubtedly affect the degree of mutual intelligibility. Between the West and South 42% of the words are exactly the same, while between the East and South the figure climbs to 66%. As this implies, intelligibility is (impressionistically) greater between the East and South. Our impressions on degrees of closeness of mutual intelligibility between dialects will have to be formally tested and proven.

(2) As a corollary, the number and kind of rules necessary to map words from one dialect to the next will also affect intelligibility. Thus, for example, it is not only important that between the East and West 34% of the words require rule mapping but it is also important that often more than one rule is required. Although lindi (E, S) 'leech; story' is an obvious cognate with iti in the West following two regular sound changes (l → E; nd → t), the latter is infrequent enough so that native speakers classify them (intuitively) as different words.

(3) It would also be possible to assign phonemes in cognates numerical values according to dimensions of rank, and sum these as a means of determining degrees of phonological difference. This has been done in the Eastern Highlands but the results have shown no more or no less than other statistical comparative methods. 1

(4) Regardless of the criteria used, the evidence points to three main dialects. The East and South are the more closely related and these in turn are similarly related to the West.

8.6.2. POPULATION MOVEMENTS

If we are correct in following Dyen (1956) in his theory of diversity constituting a homeland for languages genetically related, the Enga language area can be assumed the homeland for the West-Central Family. There are reported to be twelve dialects of Enga, comprising over 100,000 speakers (Wurm, 1959). In addition Kyaka is of such close linguistic relationship that it can be safely considered a dialect depicting an Enga movement to the East.

There probably have been two distinct movements into the Kewa area. The first came from the Enga area and went as far south as where the Sau

---

1McKaughan, (1964:102-9), following Grimes and Agard in methodology (1959) and E. Pike's criteria of phonological rank (1954).
language (around Samberigi) is now found. This is postulated for several reasons:

(1) Proto-Engan apparently had a fully developed set of suffixes which marked a particular set of Nouns, Numerals and Adjectives. The suffix had the shape of \*-kV and this reflects quite consistently in present day Sau. It is less fully retained in present day Enga and (except for one or two examples) does not exist in Kewa. Although Kewa and Sau have a close lexical relationship (see Table 11), this is to be expected because Kewa now completely seals off Sau to the north.

(2) Pre-Kewa movements (Stage II, Map 20) went initially into the present day East and South area. The South alone has a full series of prenasalised stops retained, the velar missing in other areas of Kewa. The belt of nasalisation beginning in the southernmost part of the East and West dialects is postulated to reflect the more fully developed prenasalised velars in the South. The northernmost part of the East may still constitute a relic area with a few words retaining sounds completely lost in corresponding words elsewhere in Kewa.

(3) It is unclear at this point exactly from which area the Proto-Engan movement came. It seems natural to postulate that it came down the Mendi-Erave River valley. The movement into the Mendi valley area came at a separate date inferred from evidence such as the loss of final vowels in Mendi which are retained elsewhere in the language family. Further study is needed at this point.

There were also several other movements, many of them minor:

(4) At some point in Pre-Kewa a group moved down into the southeastern corner of the South (Stage II). This is a dense bush-land area making mobility and survival difficult, accounting for the low population and unique linguistic features. This area is, in fact, referred to as the Yankuri language by South Kewa speakers.

An initial movement into the West from the East may have followed one of the valleys (such as where the Mambu River lies) to the Mendi-Erave River and followed this north until it met the Mendi people on the one hand, and South until it met formidable limestone terrain around Urida on the other. This movement also crossed the Mendi-Erave River and followed the Nembí River valley for some distance. This whole area now constitutes a sub-dialect of the West (our Northwest) and shows influences from the southernmost movement of the Mendi people.
(5) The bulk of the movement into West Kewa came from the East but around the same time (or later) a movement pushed up the Erave River area into the West. Thus, although most sound losses and certain changes follow the north-south vertical boundary between the West and the other two dialects, certain sound changes lie in the area between the Erave and River areas of the West.

(6) Some very early contact was made in the Lake Kutubu area by (apparently) pre-Kewa speakers. A positive lexical relationship of 10% can be demonstrated between Kewa-Fasu. Up to 16% can be found, but at this higher level plausible cognates are also included.

(7) The East Kewa have a strong cultural (and hence lexical) influence upon the Wiru to the East. There has been a great deal of borrowing (H. Kerr, personal communication) so that the position of Wiru as an aberrant Sub-Family of the West-Central Family (Wurm, 1961) must be re-examined. There have been no regular sound correspondences observed between Kewa and Wiru in a preliminary examination. There is also no apparent relationship between Wiru and Medlpa, Polopa, Foe or Fasu.

We now present in tabular form the lexicostatistical relationships of Kewa and surrounding languages. All figures are based on the standard S.I.L. list of 170 words, unless otherwise noted. The dialect(s) used for the comparison are also noted. The range percentage varies from positive cognates (the lower figure) to possible or apparent cognates (the higher).

---

1 I am indebted to the following for word lists: Medlpa, Ruth Pitt of S.I.L.; Foe and Huli, W.M. Rule (1965); Fasu, J. May and E. Loeweke of S.I.L.; Wiru, H. Kerr of S.I.L.; Enga, O. Hientze of Lutheran Mission (Missouri Synod); Wala, V. Schlatter of Apostolic Christian Mission; C. and S. Mendi, Margaret Reeson of Methodist Overseas Mission. All Kewa materials as well as Polopa and Magi are my own. I have also collected supplementary materials in Wiru, Medlpa, and dialects of Mendi.
Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>East</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medlpa (Ialibbu)</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polopa</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Poe
| Fasu                 | 10-16 | 13-16 | -     |
| Wiru                 | 12-17 | 13-17 | -     |
| Enga (Yaramanda)     | 35-40 | -     | 33-39 |
| Hull                  | -     | 41    | 42-44 |
| Wala (W. Mendi)      | -     | -     | 53-61 |
| Sau                   | 56-59 | 59-61 | -     |
| C. Mendi             | -     | -     | 65-70 |
| Magi                  | -     | -     | 73-76 |
| S. Mendi             | -     | -     | 75-78 |

8.6.3. SUMMARY

In this chapter we have presented phonological, grammatical, cultural, and lexical evidence showing that there are three distinct dialects in Kewa. Two of these dialects in turn have areas unique enough to be classified as sub-dialects. All dialects are mutually intelligible but the West is the most diverse of the three.

Internal movements have, in general, spread outward from the East toward the West and from the South to the southwest. The movement into South and East has been at a close point in time.

Three variations of sound loss, six sound changes involving consonant shift between dialects, as well as several within dialects, occur in our 112 words and phrases of the diagnostic list used throughout Kewa. Disregarding vowels, few other sound changes of importance have been noted.

Kewa is most closely related to Mendi and then Sau, but none are

1 (a and b) Swadesh's 100 word list.
2 245 words from Wurm's list.
intelligible with each other. Future comparative work will reconstruct Proto-Mendic (the dialects of Kewa, Mendi [including Magi], and Sau). These in turn can then be compared with Proto-Hulic and Proto-Engic (and others at a similar horizon, if necessary) to arrive at Proto-Engan. Only then will the possibility of including Wiru, Fasu, Foe (or others) within the Family be known for certain.
APPENDIX A

The following list corresponds to Map 1 and gives the village area name(s) for each code numeral:

1. Ialibu  
2. Kumbeme-Pagluga  
3. Keia-Mambi  
4. Mulu  
5. Mendo  
6. Tumbarere  
7. Wangai-yate  
8. Mondanda  
9. Warababe  
10. Timali  
11. Kuare-Kilibimi  
12. Karanda  
13. Waruanda  
14. Puri  
15. Ida-Alenda  
16. Kuari-Lombo  
17. Kagua-Marili  
18. Porane-Yame  
30. Erave  
31. Tibiri  
32. Sumbera  
33. Kaitaloma  
34. Tagu  
35. Batri  
45. Tebi  
46. Waru  
47. Kerab  
50. Wabi-Tibiru  
51. Tiri-Mt. Utomi  
52. Aisasa  
53. Yebi  
54. Mambu  
55. Wariaputi-Ipia  
56. Puti  
57. Usa-Pawayamo  
58. Aboba  
59. Uma  
60. Magura-Lakira  
61. Bata  
62. Sumi  
63. Pira  
64. Kira  
65. Ragu-Sari  
66. Sugiri  
67. Waima  
70. Umbimi-Moisa  
71. Rutuma-Lumbi  
72. Yore  
73. Mogunda  
74. Poroma  
75. Imani  
76. Urida  
90. Pegai-Agu  
91. Epapini  
92. Keba  
93. Koperi-Sambergi  
94. Megi-Del  
95. Mend  

The names of informants and their clans are omitted. At the border areas (90-5) longer lists were collected, based on a word-finder designed for comparative purposes. In all cases except one the informants were men who had lived in their clan areas all of their life. The exception was a woman informant from area 31.
Initially, Pidgin English was tried as a vehicle of elicitation. However, so little Pidgin is spoken well in the area that this approach was abandoned (the assumption had been that responses would not be as conditioned if Pidgin was used) and the vernacular used. This was done by:

1. describing an item and asking questions about it, e.g., "the things in net aprons which itch" = fleas;
2. pointing and asking, e.g., body parts;
3. giving a choice, e.g., "of the words A, B, C, ... for dog, do you use one of these, or do you have (in addition) another one?"
The kinship terms used in the three major dialects are given below, along with a reconstructed form. East Kewa materials can be found in K. Franklin (1965b:411-12). Here we give only a generalized English gloss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numeral and Gloss</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>Pre-Kewa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Husband</td>
<td>aali</td>
<td>aali</td>
<td>aani</td>
<td>*aaNi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a: Wife</td>
<td>were</td>
<td>were</td>
<td>ore</td>
<td>*were</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Father</td>
<td>aapa</td>
<td>aapa</td>
<td>aapa</td>
<td>*aapa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a: Mother</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>ama</td>
<td>*ama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (male speaking only): Brother</td>
<td>ame</td>
<td>ame</td>
<td>ame</td>
<td>*ame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a: Sibling of opposite sex</td>
<td>mbali</td>
<td>anya or bali</td>
<td>mbani</td>
<td>*mbaNi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (female speaking only): Sister</td>
<td>aaki</td>
<td>aaki</td>
<td>aaki</td>
<td>*aaki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Parallel Uncle</td>
<td>mai</td>
<td>mae</td>
<td>mae</td>
<td>*mae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Parallel Aunt</td>
<td>papa</td>
<td>papa</td>
<td>papa</td>
<td>*papa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Cross Aunt</td>
<td>arombo</td>
<td>arobo</td>
<td>arombo</td>
<td>*arombo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: Cross Uncle</td>
<td>yaake</td>
<td>yaake</td>
<td>yaake</td>
<td>*yaake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: Cross Uncle</td>
<td>awa</td>
<td>awa</td>
<td>awa</td>
<td>*awa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: Cross Cousin</td>
<td>kaai</td>
<td>kaani</td>
<td>aai</td>
<td>*(?)kaani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: Sister-in-law</td>
<td>kaate</td>
<td>kaaleke</td>
<td>yaake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a: (female speaking only): Sister-in-law</td>
<td>pamenda</td>
<td>mele</td>
<td>undupa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: Brother-in-law</td>
<td>paase</td>
<td>baase</td>
<td>paase</td>
<td>*paase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: Son</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>*si</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14: Daughter</td>
<td>wane</td>
<td>wane</td>
<td>wane</td>
<td>*wane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15: Grandfather or Grandson</td>
<td>kakua</td>
<td>kasua</td>
<td>akua</td>
<td>*kakua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16: Grandmother or Granddaughter</td>
<td>ayya</td>
<td>ayya</td>
<td>ayya</td>
<td>*ayya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17: Equivalent to 3a</td>
<td>anya</td>
<td>anya</td>
<td>alna</td>
<td>*alna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18: Equivalent to 2</td>
<td>maya</td>
<td>manya</td>
<td>alna</td>
<td>*alna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19: Equivalent to 11a</td>
<td>pili</td>
<td>pili</td>
<td>pini</td>
<td>*pini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20: Equivalent to 10</td>
<td>kiwape</td>
<td>kiwape</td>
<td>kiwape</td>
<td>*kiwape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21: Equivalent to 12</td>
<td>pali</td>
<td>pali</td>
<td>pani</td>
<td>*pani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22: Someone's Mother</td>
<td>agi</td>
<td>angi</td>
<td>agi</td>
<td>*angi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23: Someone's Father</td>
<td>aaraa</td>
<td>aaraa</td>
<td>aaraa</td>
<td>*aaraa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24: Co-wife</td>
<td>kundipa</td>
<td>kundipa</td>
<td>undipa</td>
<td>*kundipa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25: Co-affine</td>
<td>popeke</td>
<td>popeke</td>
<td>ameke</td>
<td>*ameke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26: Taboo</td>
<td>imaa</td>
<td>imaa</td>
<td>imaa</td>
<td>*imaa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA COLLECTION AREAS (see Appendix A for village names)
Map 2

KEWA LANGUAGE AREA
Map 3

REPRESENTATIVE PHONOLOGICAL ISOGLOSSES
Map 4

PHONOLOGICAL ISOGLOSSES SHOWING EAST-WEST BOUNDARY
Map 5

PHONOLOGICAL ISOGLOSSES SHOWING EAST-SOUTH BOUNDARY
Map 6

PHONOLOGICAL ISOGLOSSES SHOWING AREA WITHOUT /ny/
Map 7

VOWEL NASALISATION ISOGLOSSES FOR FOOT (86)
ISOGLOSSES DEPICTING STEM + REMOTE PAST SUFFIX
Map 9

ISOGLOSSES REPRESENTING SAME SUBJECT SUFFIXES
Map 10
ISOGLOSSES DEPICTING KUARE RIVER AREA
MAP 11

DISTRIBUTION OF CEREMONIAL HOUSE
LEXICAL ISOGLOSSES REPRESENTATIVE OF THE EAST
Map 13

LEXICAL ISOGLOSSES REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SOUTH AND SOUTHEAST
Lexical Isoglosses Representative of the West and Northwest
Map 16
BLOOD (4)
Map 17

NOSE (17)
Map 18

HAT (19)
POSTULATED POPULATION MOVEMENTS IN KEWA AREA
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