Accepted Manuscript

The cellulose synthases are cargo of the TPLATE adaptor complex

Clara Sánchez-Rodríguez, Yanyun Shi, Christopher Kesten, Dongmei Zhang, Gloria Sancho-Andrés, Alexander Ivakov, Edwin R. Lampugnani, Kamil Sklodowski, Masaru Fujimoto, Akihiko Nakano, Antony Bacic, Ian S. Wallace, Takashi Ueda, Daniel Van Damme, Yihua Zhou, Staffan Persson



 PII:
 S1674-2052(17)30367-2

 DOI:
 10.1016/j.molp.2017.11.012

 Reference:
 MOLP 554

To appear in: *MOLECULAR PLANT* Accepted Date: 16 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Sánchez-Rodríguez C., Shi Y., Kesten C., Zhang D., Sancho-Andrés G., Ivakov A., Lampugnani E.R., Sklodowski K., Fujimoto M., Nakano A., Bacic A., Wallace I.S., Ueda T., Van Damme D., Zhou Y., and Persson S. (2018). The cellulose synthases are cargo of the TPLATE adaptor complex. Mol. Plant. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.11.012.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

All studies published in MOLECULAR PLANT are embargoed until 3PM ET of the day they are published as corrected proofs on-line. Studies cannot be publicized as accepted manuscripts or uncorrected proofs.

1 Molecular Plant

2 Letter to the Editor

3

4 The cellulose synthases are cargo of the TPLATE adaptor complex

5

6 Dear Editor,

7 Clathrin mediated endocytosis (CME) is an evolutionary conserved mechanism by which plasma membrane (PM)-based cargo proteins are recognized by adaptor protein complexes and internalized. 8 Apart from the canonical adaptor complex, AP-2, plant cells rely on the TPLATE complex (TPC) to 9 execute CME (Gadeyne et al. 2014). The TPC is an octameric protein complex, consisting of 10 11 TPLATE, TASH3, LOLITA, TWD40-1, TWD40-2, TML, AtEH1 and AtEH2 (Gadeyne et al. 2014). 12 As the complex components are not conserved in yeast and animal cells CME initiation appears to be regulated differently in plants (Hirst et al. 2014). This raises important evolutionary questions 13 14 concerning CME and cargo recognition across eukaryotic Kingdoms (Zhang et al. 2015). Based on in silico analysis TASH3, TPLATE, TML and LOLITA might have functions related to AP-2A, AP-2B, 15 AP-2M and AP-2S, respectively (Hirst et al 2014; Zhang et al. 2015), which may include cargo 16 17 recognition and clathrin recruitment to the PM. Indeed, similar to Arabidopsis AP2M and AP2S (Fan et al. 2013), TPLATE and TML are required for clathrin recruitment to the PM, even after AP-2 18 19 depletion (Wang et al. 2016). Therefore, we hypothesized that these proteins are involved in cargo 20 recognition in plants.

21 Loss of TPC function results in male sterility (Gadeyne et al. 2014), similar to loss-of-function mutants of cellulose synthesis (Persson et al. 2007). CME has been reported to mediate cellulose 22 23 synthase (CESA) complexes (CSCs) internalization in elongating hypocotyl cells (Bashline et al., 24 2013, 2015); supported by live cell imaging data (Supplemental Figure 1; (Miart et al. 2014). Since cellulose synthesis is essential for plant growth and the CSCs are unique to plants, it might be 25 expected that the CESAs are TPC cargo, which could explain why the TPC is maintained in plants 26 but not in animals and yeasts. To test this, we performed co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) 27 experiments, which revealed that CESA6, a component of the primary wall CSC, interacts with both 28 TML and TPLATE (Figure 1A, upper panel). We corroborated this finding by bimolecular 29

30 fluorescence complementation (BiFC) between the Arabidopsis primary wall CESAs, i.e. CESA1, 3 31 and 6 and TPLATE (Figure 1A bottom panel and Supplemental Figure 2). To confirm that the 32 detected fluorescent signals were not due to either over-expression or random collisions of the split 33 FP halves, we co-expressed each of the primary CESAs with AtEH1, another subunit of the TPC. 34 Here, we did not observe any detectable fluorescent signal from the BiFC assays (Figure 1A bottom panel and Supplemental Figure 2A and C), confirming that the TPLATE-CESA interactions are 35 36 specific in our BiFC system and suggesting a lack of direct interaction between AtEH1 and the 37 CESAs, while being connected through TPLATE. Notably, the CESA-TPLATE interactions 38 correlated with a change in CESA localization patterns; from a homogeneous and diffuse distribution to a speckled pattern (Figure 1A bottom panel and Supplemental Figure 2A), possibly indicating 39 40 internalized CSCs. To test this hypothesis, we repeated the BiFC assay between CESA6 and 41 TPLATE in the presence of the clathrin marker CLC2-mCh (Supplemental Figure 2B and D). Here, 42 the BiFC signal of the CESA-TPLATE co-localized with the clathrin marker CLC2-mCh 43 (Supplemental Figure 2B). As expected from the multiple roles of clathrin, we also observed mCherry fluorescence at cellular compartments not marked by TPLATE-CESA interactions. 44

Defects in CESA internalization are likely to result in severe cellulose deficiency. Accordingly, lines 45 altered in TML expression, pESTR: amiR-TML (Gadeyne et al. 2014), displayed defects in hypocotyl 46 47 and root elongation (Figures 1B-upper panels), concomitant with cell swelling (Supplemental Figure 48 3C), similar to what is found in seedlings impaired in primary wall cellulose synthesis (McFarlane et 49 al. 2014). Furthermore, we observed a strong reduction in cellulose content and an increase in sugars corresponding to non-cellulosic polysaccharides, mainly those of pectin-related monosaccharides 50 51 (e.g. uronic acids) compared to control seedlings (Figures 1B-bottom panel and Table S1). Plants 52 impaired in two other main steps of CME: vesicle assembly by clathrin triskelia 53 (pINTAM>>RFP-HUB) and vesicle scission (drp1a-2/rsw9-2), showed similar phenotypes and cell 54 wall composition to the *amiR:TML* line (Supplemental Figure 3 and Table S1). Interestingly, a null mutant for a subunit of the other plant early adaptor complex, the canonical AP-2 (ap2m-1), showed 55 increase in hypocotyl length, did not display defects in cell swelling and its sugar composition was 56 57 comparable to wild-type seedlings (Supplemental Figure 3, Table S1; Bashline et al., 2015).

58 Defects in CESA internalization should impact CESA dynamics at the PM. Live cell imaging

59 revealed an increase in FP-CESA6 density at the PM in amiR-TML seedlings compared to their control $(0.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ foci/}\mu\text{m}^2 \text{ in control cells versus } 1.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ foci/}\mu\text{m}^2 \text{ in } amiR-TML \text{ cells; Figure 1C left}$ 60 and upper-right panels). The increase in FP-CESA6 density could be due to either an enhanced 61 delivery or a reduced internalization rate of the CSCs to and from the PM, respectively. To differentiate 62 between these processes, we calculated the CESA delivery rate (Gutierrez et al. 2009), and found no 63 differences in CESA delivery rate to the PM between the lines (Figure 1C upper-right panel). The 64 increased CESA6 density at the PM should therefore reflect a reduction in the internalization rate of 65 66 CSC when TML activity is impaired. Moreover, the reduction of CESA6 internalization correlated 67 with an increased amount of slow moving CESA particles at the PM (indicated by less tilted traces in 68 kymographs; Figure 1C left panel). The *drp1a-2* mutant showed similar CSC homeostasis at the PM 69 as observed for *amiR-TML*; i.e., increased CESA6 density and slow-moving particles (Supplemental 70 Figure 4). As CESA movement is related to its activity this explains the reduced cellulose content in 71 the TML and DRP1A knockdown/knock-out lines. As reported previously (Bashline et al., 2015), we 72 did not observe any differences in the CSC speed at the PM between ap2m-1 and wild-type cells 73 (Supplemental Figure 4) and nor did we observe any significant differences in FP-CESA6 density at 74 the PM in ap_{2m-1} as compared to wild-type (Supplemental Figure 4B). While these data contrast with 75 previous reports (Bashline et al. 2013; 2015), they do explain the absence of cellulose and anisotropic 76 cell growth reduction in the ap2m-1 mutant as compared to the wild-type (Supplemental Figure 4; 77 Bashline et al., 2015). Our results therefore indicate that the TPC is key in the regulation of CSC trafficking and, hence, cellulose synthesis in Arabidopsis seedlings. 78

79 With the aim of exploring whether CESAs are also internalized via TPC-related CME in other plant 80 species, we performed split-Luciferase assays combining the rice TPC proteins OsTML and 81 OsTPLATE2, with OsCESA8 and OsCESA4, which are primary and secondary wall rice CESAs, respectively. We could detect clear luciferase signals from these assays, which indicate that the rice 82 83 CSCs also interact with TPC components (Figure 1D). In addition, we performed immuno-precipitation (IP) using an OsCESA4 antibody coupled with mass spectrometry (MS) to 84 85 identify OsCESA4 interactors in rice plants. Notably, we found several TPC subunits, including 86 OsTPLATE2, OsTML, OsTWD40-1, OsEH2, OsTASH3, and OsDRP2B/BC3, as well as other cellulose-related proteins in the pellet (Table S2). Our mass spec results did not identify AP-2 87

subunits in the pull-downs of any of our IP replicates. Hence, CSCs might also be CME cargo
recruited by the TPC early adaptor in rice.

90 In vivo evidence for cargo-CME co-internalization, and re-localization of cargo by the CME components via BiFC, has not been reported in plants. In addition, we were able to identify a plant 91 92 CME cargo by IP-MS, which is rare in plant biology. Hence, our results provide a foundation for 93 further elaborations of CME-mediated events in plant cells. Our in vivo interaction data between 94 TPLATE and TML with CSC, both in Arabidopsis and rice, indicate that TPLATE and TML might recognize the CSC for its internalization. TML has a muHD domain that is also present in APµ 95 subunits (e.g. AP-2M in the AP-2 complex) and in the muniscins (Hirst et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 96 2015), so it might be anticipated that TML can recognize cargo. Notably, some protein domains 97 suggest a relationship between TPLATE and AP-2B, which does not include any cargo-recognition 98 99 motif. Our in vivo interaction results between TPLATE and CSC suggest that the TPLATE subunit of 100 the TPC may have a cargo-binding capacity in plant cells.

101 Our results indicate that the TPC is the main early adaptor that recognizes CSC for its internalization 102 via clathrin. The data presented in the current work are in agreement with the fact that the TWD40-2 103 subunit of the TPC contributes to cellulose synthesis (Bashline et al. 2015), supporting a prominent 104 role of the TPC in this process. AP2-M has been shown to bind to the central cytosolic domain of the 105 primary CESAs (Bashline et al. 2013), which contain putative AP-2M binding domains ($Yxx\Phi$) in a yeast assay. Therefore, the CSC might be recognized by both plant TPC and AP-2 early adaptors, 106 possibly at different regions of its cytosolic domains. Notably, TPC and AP-2 co-localize in 107 approximately 50% of all CME events, suggesting a complementary role of these CME adaptors 108 (Gadeyne et al. 2014), plausibly relating to particular cargo or certain growth circumstances. This 109 110 might be the case under certain stress conditions, such as those experienced by the plant when the 111 TPC is not fully active (Barth and Holstein 2004; Bashline et al. 2015).

In summary, although it has been shown that several cargo proteins showed defective internalization upon depletion of TPC subunits (Gadeyne et al., 2014), our data represent the CSC as the first identified TPC-cargo interaction in seed plants, recognized by TML and TPLATE subunits, adding insights into the evolution of cargo recognition in plant CME.

116

117 Figure Legend

118 Figure 1. TPLATE and TML interact with CESAs and influence cellulose synthesis

A. Arabidopsis primary wall CESAs interact with TML and TPLATE *in planta*. (Upper panel) 119 120 Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) shows that CESA6 interacts with the TPC subunits. (Upper-left) 10 121 µL of total extract of proteins Input (I), Non-bound (Nb) and Bound (B) fractions. (Upper-right) 25 122 µL of Bound fractions. The experiment was performed twice with similar results. (Bottom panel) Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) assays showing interaction of Arabidopsis 123 124 primary wall CESAs and TPC subunits in *N. benthamiana* epidermal leaf cells. The N-terminal (YN) 125 or C-terminal (YC) part of VENUS was fused in frame with CESA6, TPLATE and AtEH1. Construct combinations are indicated in each figure panel. As a transformation control, the nuclear marker 126 127 CFP-N7 (cyan) was included in all experiments.

128 B. TML is essential for cell elongation and cellulose synthesis in Arabidopsis. (Upper-left panel) Representative images of 5-day-old etiolated seedlings expressing pESTR: amiR-TML 129 (amiR-TML) and their control grown on either EtOH- or on 5 µM beta-estradiol (in 130 131 EtOH)-containing medium. Scale bars= 1cm. (Upper-right panel) Hypocotyl length of lines shown 132 in (Upper left). Data represent the average (\pm SE) of n = 3 biological replicates, each containing 133 20-30 seedlings. (Bottom panel) Cellulose and uronic acid (UA) content of the lines shown in 134 (Upper panels), represented as µg of glucose (D-Glc) or µg of glucuronic acid (GlcA) per mg of dried alcohol insoluble residue (AIR), respectively. Data represent the average (\pm SE) of n = 3135 biological replicates, each with three technical repetitions. Student's t test; P-value **<0.01, *< 0.05. 136

137 C. Impaired TML function alters the density and dynamics of plasma membrane localized 138 **CESAs.** (Left panel) Representative images from movies obtained with a spinning disk confocal 139 microscope of tdTomato-CESA6 in *amiR-TML* background and its control at the plasmam membrane 140 (PM) in hypocotyl cells of 3-day-old etiolated seedlings. PM particle density shown in single-frame images (particles highlighted in magenta). Time averaged projections of frames acquired over 5 min, 141 142 and corresponding kymographs showing CESA6 trajectories and movement, respectively. Scale bars= 143 5µm. (Upper-right panels) Quantification of tdTomato-CESA6 density and delivery rate at the PM 144 in photo-bleached areas of cells imaged with a spinning disk confocal microscope. Data represent average (\pm SE) of at least 6 cells per treatment or genotype; Student's t test P-value *<0.05; **<0.01. 145 146 (Bottom-right panel) Histogram of CSC speeds at the PM in tdTomato-CESA6 expressing seedlings

- shown in (Left panel). Mean (\pm SE) speeds for each line or treatment are given in parentheses. $n \ge$ 589 particles; ≥ 6 cells and ≥ 6 seedlings were tracked. A graph showing the percentage of slow (0-200nm/min) and fast (>200nm/min) moving CSC is included in each panel.
- 150 **D.** Rice primary and secondary wall CESAs can interact with TML and TPLATE2 *in planta*.
- 151 Split-luciferase complementation assay showing that the primary cell wall OsCESA8 can interact with
- 152 *Os*TML (**Upper panel**) and *Os*TPLATE2 (**Middle panel**). The secondary wall *Os*CESA4 can interact
- 153 with *Os*TML (**Bottom panel**). Scale bars = 1cm
- 154

155 **Funding**

This work was supported by the Max-Planck Gesellschaft, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 31530051), the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology of Zurich (ETH-Z), the Swiss National Foundation (SNF 2-77212-15), the University of Melbourne, the Australian Research Council (CE1101007, FT160100218, DP110100410), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (24114003, 15H04382, and 17K19412), the European Research Council (ERC grant 682436), the IRRTF-RNC (no. 501892) and an USA National Science Foundation CAREER Award.

163

164 Author contributions

CSR, YZ and SP managed the project and wrote the article; CSR, YS, CK, DZ, GSA, LT, AI, ERL,
and MF carried out experiments; CSR, YZ, SP, AN, AB, and TU acquired funding and provided
supervision; KS, IW and DVD provided additional data; CSR, SP, DVD and AB reviewed and edited
the manuscript. .

169

170 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Sebastian Bednarek for sharing *drp1a-2* mutant and Jiri Friml for HUB and pINTAM lines distribution. Our thanks to Drs. Jenny Russinova, Yi Zhang, Heather McFarlane, David Ehrhardt and Jelmer Lindeboom for constructive discussions, and Anja Froelich and Norma Funke for technical support. We also thank the Biological Optical Microscopy Platform (BOMP) at University of Melbourne. No conflict of interest has been declared.

176

177 Supplemental Information

- Document S1. Materials and Methods. Supplemental Figures 1-4, Supplemental Tables 1-3, and
 Supplemental References.
- 180

Clara Sánchez-Rodríguez^{1,2*}, Yanyun Shi³, Christopher Kesten^{1,2,4}, Dongmei Zhang³,
 Gloria Sancho-Andrés², Alexander Ivakov^{1,4,5}, Edwin R. Lampugnani⁴, Kamil
 Sklodowski², Masaru Fujimoto^{6‡}, Akihiko Nakano^{6,7}, Antony Bacic⁸, Ian S. Wallace⁹,

184 Takashi Ueda¹⁰, Daniel Van Damme^{11,12}, Yihua Zhou³, Staffan Persson^{1,4}

185

¹Max-Planck-Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Muehlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam,

187 Germany

- ²Department of Biology, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland
- ³State Key Laboratory of Plant Genomics, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese
- 190 Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ⁴School of Biosciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Victoria, Australia.

⁵ARC Centre of Excellence for Translational Photosynthesis, Research School of Biology, Australian

193 National University, GPO Box 475, Canberra, ACT, 2601 Australia

⁶Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Hongo,

- 195 Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.
- ¹⁹⁶ ⁷Live Cell Super-resolution Imaging Research Team, RIKEN Center for Advances Photonics,
- 197 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan.

⁸ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Cell Walls, School of Biosciences, University of Melbourne,

- 199 Parkville 3010, Victoria, Australia.
- ⁹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, 89557
- ¹⁰Division of Cellular Dynamics, National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8585, Japan
- ¹¹Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, B-9052 Gent, Belgium
- ²⁰³ ¹²Center for Plant Systems Biology, VIB, Technologiepark 927, B-9052 Gent, Belgium
- ²⁰⁴ [‡] Present address: Laboratory of Plant Molecular Genetics, Graduate School of Agricultural and
- Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan.
- 206

207 *Correspondence: Clara Sánchez-Rodríguez (clara_sanchez@ethz.ch)

208

209 **References**

- 210 Barth M, Holstein SE (2004) Identification and functional characterization of Arabidopsis AP180, a
- binding partner of plant alphaC-adaptin. Journal of Cell Science 117: 2051-2062
- 212 Bashline L, Li S, Anderson CT, Lei L, Gu Y (2013) The endocytosis of cellulose synthase in
- 213 Arabidopsis is dependent on mu2, a clathrin-mediated endocytosis adaptin. Plant Physiology 163:
- 214 150-160
- Bashline L, Li S, Zhu X, Gu Y (2015) The TWD40-2 protein and the AP2 complex cooperate in the
- 216 clathrin-mediated endocytosis of cellulose synthase to regulate cellulose biosynthesis. Proceedings of
- the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112: 12870-12875
- 218 Fan L, Hao H, Xue Y, Zhang L, Song K, Ding Z, Botella MA, Wang H, Lin J (2013) Dynamic
- analysis of Arabidopsis AP2 sigma subunit reveals a key role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis and
- 220 plant development. Development 140: 3826-3837
- 221 Gadeyne A, Sanchez-Rodriguez C, Vanneste S, Di Rubbo S, Zauber H, Vanneste K, Van Leene J, De
- 222 Winne N, Eeckhout D, Persiau G, Van De Slijke E, Cannoot B, Vercruysse L, Mayers JR,
- 223 Adamowski M, Kania U, Ehrlich M, Schweighofer A, Ketelaar T, Maere S, Bednarek SY, Friml J,
- 224 Gevaert K, Witters E, Russinova E, Persson S, De Jaeger G, Van Damme D (2014) The TPLATE
- adaptor complex drives clathrin-mediated endocytosis in plants. Cell 156: 691-704
- Gutierrez R, Lindeboom JJ, Paredez AR, Emons AM, Ehrhardt DW (2009) Arabidopsis cortical
 microtubules position cellulose synthase delivery to the plasma membrane and interact with cellulose
 synthase trafficking compartments. Nature Cell Biology 11: 797-806
- 229 Hirst J, Schlacht A, Norcott JP, Traynor D, Bloomfield G, Antrobus R, Kay RR, Dacks JB, Robinson
- MS (2014) Characterization of TSET, an ancient and widespread membrane trafficking complex.
 eLife 3: e02866
- McFarlane HE, Doring A, Persson S (2014) The cell biology of cellulose synthesis. Annual Review of Plant Biology 65: 69-94
- Miart F, Desprez T, Biot E, Morin H, Belcram K, Hofte H, Gonneau M, Vernhettes S (2014)
 Spatio-temporal analysis of cellulose synthesis during cell plate formation in Arabidopsis. The Plant
 Journal 77: 71-84
- 237 Persson S, Paredez A, Carroll A, Palsdottir H, Doblin M, Poindexter P, Khitrov N, Auer M,
- 238 Somerville CR (2007) Genetic evidence for three unique components in primary cell-wall cellulose
- synthase complexes in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
- 240 States of America 104: 15566-15571
- 241 Wang C, Hu T, Yan X, Meng T, Wang Y, Wang Q, Zhang X, Gu Y, Sanchez-Rodriguez C, Gadeyne A,
- Lin J, Persson S, Van Damme D, Li C, Bednarek SY, Pan J (2016) Differential regulation of clathrin
- and its adaptor proteins during membrane recruitment for endocytosis. Plant Physiology 171:215-229

- 245 Zhang Y, Persson S, Hirst J, Robinson MS, van Damme D, Sanchez-Rodriguez C (2015) Change
- 246 your TPLATE, change your fate: plant CME and beyond. Trends in Plant Science 20: 41-48

