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## CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this thesis is to examine and describe the phonology of the dialect of Grottaminarda ${ }^{1}$. Special attention will be given to the interplay between the mid-orders of the vocalic system and the nominal morphology.

The investigation will be conducted on both diachronic and synchronic axes, and an attempt will be made to present the phonemic inventory in generative terms.

### 1.2 The Dialect

The dialect of the village of Grottaminarda is known locally as 'Rutés' (<grotténse<(lĭngua)cryptēnse). Situated in South-Central Italy, in the Province of Avellino in Campania, the village is on the main highway route from Naples to Bari (in Puglia) - a route which is now part of the national 'autostrada' network - and is 36 kilometres East of Avellino. A settlement of over seven thousand people (1971 census figures), it is nestled on the slopes of the Úfita River valley at an altitude of approximately 400 metres above sea level on the Western side of the Apennines. The area is lightly populated and being of rather rugged terrain, presents few expanses of arable land. Prior to completion of the 'autostrada' some 10 years ago, the villagers seemed primarily to have been occupied in little better than

[^0]
subsistence farming in addition to tending small flocks of sheep and pigs. With the improved lines of communication to the bigger centres, tourism contributes partially to the villagers livelihood as well as production of sheeps'-milk cheese and prepared sausages. As with many other less prosperous villages of Southern Italy, 'Rotə' (local name) has lost families by emigration. The last movement appears to have been in the late fifties when one group went to Canada and another to Australia. For all this the population has largely remained stable. It appears that income from expatriate EEC workers has arrested further departures.

### 1.3 The Informant

Our account is based almost entirely ${ }^{2}$ on the speech of Mrs Maria Salza (née Guarino) aged 35, who came to Canberra from her native village 16 years ago. Up to that time she spent all her life in the village, had minimal education (primary) and did not and does not speak Italian, although of course she understands it completely. Both her parents and grandparents were natives of the village (only her mother survives) and spoke only dialect. On her arrival in Australia, she stayed several years with an uncle and aunt from the village and married a man from a village 7 km . distant from Grottaminarda. They speak dialect at home although both are quite fluent in English. There are more than 6 families from the village in Canberra who are in constant contact. The informant has never been back to Italy although other Grottaminardans here have made several visits there.

[^1]
#### Abstract

1.4 Elicitation Procedure

Latin word lists were compiled containing words with all the possible Latin vowel phonemes (long and short, free and checked, stressed and unstressed). The cognate Italian reflexes were then sought and the informant was asked the Rutés equivalent of these Italian words. In some cases the Rutés and Italian words were not cognate and the Proto-form (and thus proto-phonemes) was re-constructed from the modern reflex. In other cases the Italian word had no direct equivalent in Rutes and the informant asked for the English gloss - from which she supplied the dialect form, j.f one existed. The consonant phoneme inventory was obtained incidentally, as it were, from the vowel-oriented lists.


### 1.5 Italian Dialects : Place of Rutés within them

Dante was the first to recognise distinctions between the regional varieties of the Italian vernacular sufficient to divide the country into fourteen dialectal regions. In more recent times, scholars ${ }^{3}$ have drawn more general boundaries and the majority make three major divisions although there is some disagreement as to the membership within these groups. In all accounts, however, there is mention made of a distinct Northern Italian group. Other common headings are Southern, Central and Tuscan. Further evidence to support the separation of the Northern dialects from the rest is offered by Walther von Wartburg's (1967) 'La Spezia-Rimini'

[^2]hypothesis ${ }^{4}$. The remainder of peninsular Italy may be divided into the Tuscan dialect region, characterised by extreme conservatism, especially in the consonantism, with paradigmatic ${ }^{5}$ diphthongisation of the free stressed lower mid vowels (see below), and the Central and Southern groups. The latter heading, designated Area $C$ on Map 1, oversimplifies the picture somewhat for expositional purposes ${ }^{6}$ as further elaboration would be beyond the scope of this paper. Our interest lies in the group we have called Central and identified on Map 1 as Area B - it coincides basically with Lausberg's Neapolitan type, - wherein Rutés falls. We classify dialects as Central when they satisfy two basic conditions, concerned with the reflexes of Latin vowels:-
i) a 4 degree stressed vowel paradigm
ii) the raising of higher-mid and diphthongising of lower-mid stressed vowels under conditions to be explicated below ${ }^{7}$.

1) i.e.,

a
high
higher-mid
lower-mid
low
4. The Northern or so-called Gallo-Italian dialects typically retain final -s of Latin Accusative Plural as plural marker for nouns, and voiceless intervocalic stops undergo lenition. Together with French, Spanish, Provençal, Portuguese, Catalan and Rheto-Romance they form Western Romance. South of this line fall the tongues he calls Eastern Romance.
5. Often called unconditioned (see Meyer-LUbke).
6. An exhaustive treatment of the Southern dialects is to be found by consulting the works of Lausberg and Rensch (see biblio.)
7. Some dialects show a conditioned change in the low vowel also - see Roh1fs, I. p. 16.

1.6 The Consonantism of Central Dialects: Some General Traits
The whole of this region is characterised by lag assimilation of voiced stops to homorganic nasals ${ }^{8}$, i.e., mb>mm and nd $>\mathrm{nn}$. This change has diffused also to the southern area of the Tuscan region and the northern area of the Southern dialect region. A similar assimilatory change, affecting again the manner of articulation with assimilation being only partial, occurs with voiceless stops preceded by nasals. The territorial diffusion of this habit is less extensive than that of the preceding one (see Map 2 and comments under Rutés consonantism). A third feature of a large part of the region, the southern half (see Map 2), is one it shares with the Southern group. Latin voiceless stop plus lateral clusters all merge into a sound we transcribe as $[t]^{9}$, and shall discuss further below (Sect. 6.2.1).
[^3]
## CHAPTER TWO

DIACHRONY I - CONSONANTS: RUTES REFLEXES OF LATIN PHONEMES

### 2.1 Initial

2.1.1 Labials:


Note: There are a few words which retain initial [b] and we refer to them in Section 6.3 below.

| /f/ | /f/ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| éggo fắciō | iə fats | I do/make |
| fŏcu | fuək 10 | fire |
| vōtu | /v/ |  |
| vōce | vut (/votI/) | voc |
| vĕrme | verm | voice |

[^4]|  | Latin | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /m/ | /m/ |  |
|  | mittere | met | to place |
|  | mănu | man | hand |
|  | /p1/ | /5/ |  |
|  | plèna | ten | full |
|  | plŭmbu | tumm (/tommI/ $)^{10}$ | lead |
|  | /bl/ | /j/ |  |
|  | blōnda | jonn | blonde |
|  | blancu | jank | white |
|  | /f1/ | /j/ |  |
|  | flumára | jumár | river |
|  | flātu | jat | breath |
|  | flŏcca | jok | flake |
|  | /pr / | /pr / |  |
|  | pressbyter | priəvət ${ }^{10}$ | priest |
|  | /br/ | /vr/ |  |
|  | bracchiu *brŏ́cculu | vrats <br> vruəkə1 ${ }^{10}$ | arm <br> broccoli |
|  | /fr/ | /fr/ |  |
|  | frígidu | frid | cold |
| 2.1 .2 | Dentals: /t/ | $\|t\|$ |  |
|  | terrra | ter | earth |
|  | tĕmpu | tiomb ${ }^{10}$ | time |
|  | *沽'1u | tuer $1^{10}$ | yolk |



Latin

$$
/ k /+i, e
$$

címice cedere
$\mid g /+a, o, u$
gatta
ga11u
*gubitu(<c $\left.\frac{1}{u} b i t u\right)$ ganeóne

Rutés
Gloss
/c/
ciməc bedbug
cer to yield
$\phi>/ v /$
at
(v) al
vut ${ }^{10}$
va人ón
cat
rooster
elbow
boy

Note: The question of Latin /g/ before back vowels and its reflexes in Rutés is somewhat complicated and will be discussed in detail in section 6.3 below.

| $\mid g /+e, i$ | $/ j /$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| /d $/+i+$ vowe 1$)$ |  |
| li/ + vowel ) |  |


| géneru | jenər | brother-jn-1aw |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| diŭrnu | jurn $^{10}$ | day |
| iŏcu | juək $^{10}$ | game |

Note: i) géneru: cf. p. 723 AIS (K.33) [o yệnnərº], p. 725 [ $1^{\mathrm{u}}$ šyẹ́nərə] and Napol [jénnərə].
ii) Cases such as / đovən/(<iŭvene 'young) and /亐óvərì/
(<Iōvis die 'Thursday) seem to be borrowings from
Italian- a tendency reported by Rohlfs (I, p. 211)
as occurring sporadically throughout the 'Mezzogiorno'.

| /k1/ | / 5 / |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *clŏvu | ¢uev ${ }^{10}$ | nail (metal) |
| (e)c1ēsia | tes | church |
| /g1/ | /j/ |  |
| glacie | jac | ice |

Latin Rutés Gloss
/kr/
crŭce

> /gr/
grŏssu grŭtta(*<crŭpta)
/kr /
kroc
cross
$/(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{r} /$
ruəs big
'Grottaminarda'

Note: In predicate use the adjective requires initial /g/, whereas in attributive position it is omitted. Cf. perhaps the intermediate stage in p. 725 AIS [yrwọ́ss ${ }^{\circ}$ ].

$$
/ \mathrm{k} /+\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{o}, \quad / \mathrm{kw} /
$$

| quátuor | kwat | four |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| quánti | kwand | how many |


| /k/ $+\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}$, | $/ \mathrm{k} /(/ \mathrm{c} /)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *(e)ccu-íllu | $\mathrm{kir}^{10}$ | that |
| quētu | $\operatorname{cit}^{10}$ | quiet |

Note: We suggest that the general pattern is set by [kir], i.e., that by the time the [w] element had disappeared, the palatalisation of [k] to [c] had ceased. In the case of [cit] the [w] must have disappeared very early. Cf. Italian [kwello] and [keto].

### 2.2 Medial

### 2.2.1 Labials:


grandson
 borrowings from $\mathbb{N}$. Italy via Italian.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { /b//v/ } & \text { /v/ } & \\
\text { carbōne } & \text { karavón } & \text { coal } \\
\text { bŏve } & \text { vov } & \text { ox }
\end{array}
$$

Note: The diphthong [au] (primary or secondary) is also a source of Rutes /v/. Thus: illà auríc'la>la avríc'la>la vret. Medially there are: cálidu>cal'du>caudu>kavər(ə) with vowel epenthesis to prevent an unacceptable cluster. Roh1fs (I, p. 67) attributes the au>av tendency to Greek influence in many cases. A third source of dialect /v/ appears in such examples as: vídua>verəv(ə) 'widow', where the epenthesis of a [v] prevents hiatus (See discussion of Velars below and section 6.3).

| Latin | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /f/ | / $£ /$ |  |
| scrōfa | skrof | sow |

Note: Words containing this sound are not native to Latin, which had /b/ in 'naturalised' words; they are borrowings from the OscoUmbrian dialects, and abound in the toponymy of the area.

| /m/ | /m/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nōme | nom | name |
| /p1/ | / 5 / |  |
| cŏ́pula | kot | twin |
| /b1/ | /b | rg. with <br> + vowel) |


| fī'la | fibjə | buckle |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| nĕb'la | neん | fog |
| /f(f) $1 /$ | $/ \int /$ |  |
| sŭfflu | su $\int^{10}$ | blowing |
| /pr//br/ | metathesis |  |
| capra | krap | goat |
| fĕbre | fr\&v | fever |

> Latin
> $/ \mathrm{p} /+\breve{i}+$ vowe 1 sápio
> /b/? $\check{i}+$ vowe 1 hábeo cávea
> /mp /
> těmpu
> /mb/
> plŭmbu
> $/ \mathrm{m} /+\check{i}+$ vowe 1
> *vindimia
> /t/
> vīte
> /d/
> $\begin{gathered}\text { nīdu } \\ \text { *râdica }\end{gathered}$
> nãsu $/ \mathrm{s} /$
> nãsu $/ \mathrm{s} /$
> nãsu $/ \mathrm{s} /$
> nŏra
> dolōre
> /n/
> cane

> Rutés
> Gloss
> /c/
> sac
> ま
> af
> kaf
> /mb /
> tiəmb $^{10}$
> time
> /mm/
> tumm ${ }^{10}$
> 1ead
> / $\tilde{n} /$
> vənniñ
> grape-harvest
> 2

Latin
/t'l/
vĕt'lu
vĭtru
/sp//st/
rŏspu
tŏsta
/sk/ $+a, o, u$
frĕscu
$/ s k /+e, i$
pisce
/str/
nŏstra
$/ s^{\prime} 1 /$
misc'láre
$|r|+$ cons
fürnu
carbóne
/1/+cons.
scalpĕ́llu
dulce

Rutés
Gloss
old
metathesis
vrit ${ }^{10}$
/sp//st/
ruəsp ${ }^{10}$
tost
$/ \int k /$
(?) (See 2.3 below)
frifk ${ }^{10}$
cool
$/ \int /$
pe $\int$
|st|
nost

$$
/ \int \mathrm{k} /
$$

mifká
to mix
a) remains
furn ${ }^{10}$
stove
b) vowel epenthesis karavón coal
a) $/ \mathrm{r} /$
skarpío1 10
chisel
b) $\varnothing$ (vocalised and absorbed?)
roc
sweet
c) $>\mathrm{u}>/ \mathrm{v} /$ with epenthesis
(Cf. Labials above and Rensch §§201-6).
*calceóne
kavətsón
shoe

## Latin

dēnāriu

$$
/ 1 /+\breve{i}+\text { vowe } 1
$$

$\ln \mid+\check{i}+$ vowel
rineōne
／nt｜
dĕnte
／nd／
vĕ́ndere

## 2．2．3 Velars

$$
/ k /+a, o, u
$$

rənar
money
／人／
$\mathrm{pa} \wedge$
straw
$\mathrm{v} \varepsilon \mathrm{n}$ n
to se11
Rutés
Gloss 14－r
／n／
riñón
／nd／
rend
／nn／

pécora

$$
/ k /+i, e
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\text { sorice }}
$$

$$
|g|+a, o, u
$$

$a(u)$ gŭstu
＊fràgula
fatĭgáre

$$
\begin{align*}
& / t /+i+\text { vowel /ts/ } \\
& \text { *pŏteo } \\
& \text { /d/ }+\breve{i}+\text { vowe } 1 \\
& \text { pots } \\
& \text { vっj } \\
& \text { /s } \\
& \text { básiu } \\
& |r|+\check{i}+\text { vowel } \\
& \text { vas } \\
& \text { |r } \\
& \text { I can } \\
& \text { kiss }
\end{align*}
$$

（Roh1fs I， p．299）．

Latin
$\mid g /+i, e \quad / j /$ or $\emptyset$
fugíre
tēgĕ́11a
sūger
／k1／
pedức＇lu
／g1／
fují
to flee
tijé1
suər
poruts 10 louse

／nk／／ng／
lŏnga
＊cinque
／gn／
agnu
＊pūgna
lígna
／gr／
＊nĕ́gru
$/ k /+\breve{i}+$ vowe 1
brácchiu
fácio
Rutés
Gloss
pan（oven） cork解男

$$
|\mathrm{t}|
$$

$/ N /$（confusion with $/ 1 /+$ Y

+ vowe 1 ）
braying
hiccough
（cf．p． 723 AIS［suiuttsá］；p． 725 AIS［səんútts ${ }^{\text {u }}$ ］）
［ gk ］／nk／
lonk long
cink five
a）$/ \mathrm{in} / / \mathrm{a} \quad$（cf．18）below）
ain
lamb
b）$/ \mathrm{ni} / /\left[\begin{array}{l}+h i \\ -m i d\end{array}\right]$－ （See sect．3．4．3） púniə
fist
c）／von／
levən wood（fire）
／vər／（Cf．／gn／）
niəvər ${ }^{10}$
black
|ts/
vrats
arm
fats

I do／make

Note：This is the same reflex as Latin $/ t /+\breve{i}+$ vowel．Rensch（§228） observes that this merger occurs throughout Southern Italy．

## Latin

Rutés
Gloss

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
/ \mathrm{g} /+\underset{i}{i}+\text { vowel } & / j /(C f .(/ d /+\underset{i}{\prime}+\text { vowel }) \\
\text { corrígia } & \text { kuréj }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.3 Palatalisation of /s/ before a Voiceless Stop

In treating the phenomenon in general, the following comment by Rohlfs is of major importance for the present discussion:
"...š nel Mezzogiorno compare sempre soltanto limitatamente in zone piú grandi o piú piccole, ma mai con diffusione completa su un ampio territorio, e inoltre non sempre si trova davanti a tutte le consonanti (I, p. 258)."

We note above in section 2.2.2 that in Rutés unconditioned palatalisation occurs only non-initially before /k/.

Rohlfs (loc. cit.) states that in Campania $s>\int$ before $/ \mathrm{p} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ but not before $/ t /$. Our informant for Napoletano always gave $\int+p / k$, but sometimes gave $\int+t$ ! In Cilento (S. Campania) he says $s>\int$ especially before $/ \mathrm{k} /$, seldom before $/ \mathrm{p} /$ and never before $/ \mathrm{t} /$.

Our examination of the entries in the AIS for the points 723 and 725 reveal that the former shows palatalisation before /p/ and /k/ only, whilst the latter shows no palatalisation whatsoever.

Thus in Central Italy the minimal palatalisation environment is before ' $k$ '. It seems possible that its appearance here may be linked with the evolution of $s+k$ before 'i/e'. (see 2.2.2 above and RSpr II, p. 49).

However sporadic the phenomenon may be, wherever it occurs, excluding Rutés, there appears to be an 'all and only' condition on the functioning of the process. It is indeed puzzling that this condition does not appear to hold for Rutés. If all $\mathrm{s}>\int$ before $/ \mathrm{k} /$ we could assert plausibly that Grottaminarda is in a transition zone
between (almost) complete palatalisation to the west and Naples, and the absence of it to the east and Central Puglia. This is not the case, however, and the limitation to a non-initial environment, and then not always, seems to defy explanation. A closer examination
 - few though they are, seems to indicate a possible phonetic conditioning factor, namely the preceding front vowel. This solution would certainly account for our data, although we can only propose it tentatively, lacking more corroborative evidence.

We consider $s>\int / \ldots k 1$ (pp. 10 and 15 above) as being conditioned in the form of an anticipatory assimilation to the following ' 1 ' which appears to have palatalised in the formative years of the Romance vernaculars in much of Romania. In Rutés this '1' was either absorbed or subsequently disappeared.

## CHAPTER THREE

## DIACHRONY II - VOWELS - RUTES REFLEXES OF LATIN PHONEMES

### 3.1 The Stressed Vowels

The modern Rutés reflexes of the Latin stressed vocalic system may be expressed schematically by the following metachronic equation:
2)

> Class.Latin Central Ital i.e., Rutés






These are, of course, also the vowel phonemes of Modern Standard Italian. In some respects the evolution of the two vowel systems (Standard Italian and Central Italian) show parallel developments. If we restrict ourselves to the Central Campanian area of the Central region (using Neapolitan data at this stage) which is the emphasis of this paper, then the extreme vowels (both high and low) show the same modern reflexes:

| Latin | Italian | Napolet. | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\bar{i}$ | i | i |  |
| vīnu | vinə | vinə | wine |
| spīca | spiga | spikə | ear (of corn) |
| $\bar{u}$ | u | u |  |
| ūva | uva | uvə | grape |
| fumu | fumo | fumə | smoke |

[^5]| Latin | Italian | Napolet. | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{a} \breve{a}$ | a | a |  |
| nāsu | naso | nasə | nose |
| ăpe | ape | apə | bee |
| lăcte | latte | lattə | milk |

It is in the evolution of the mid-vowels that the two systems diverge. The following data serve to illustrate one of these divergences:

| 4) fěle | fjele | f ¢1ə | ga11 (f) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cǒr (d)e | kwore | kərə | heart (m) |
| vĕrme | v ¢rme | v ¢rmə | worm (m) |
| sererpe | sfrpe | sعrpə | snake (f) |
| rǒta | rwota | rコtə | wheel (f) |
| pětra | pj $\ell$ tra | pretə | stone (f) |
| těrra | t $\varepsilon$ rra | t¢rrə | earth (f) |
| porrta | porta | porta | door (f) |
| vět'la | v $\mathrm{v}^{\text {kja }}$ | v $k$ kkjə | old (f) |
| rǒtae | rwote | rotə | wheels (f) |
| dourmo | dormo | dフrmə | I sleep |

We note that the characteristic 'unconditioned' diphthongisation of the free lower mid (stressed) vowels of Italian (cf. French and Castilian) appears to be absent from Neapolitan.

Consider again:

| 5) Latin | Italian | Napolet. | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cŏr(d)i | kwori | kworə | hearts (m) |
| vĕt'lu | vekkjo | vjekkjə | old (m) |
| lĕctu | 1 1etto | $1 j \varepsilon t t ə$ | bed (m) |
| fŏcu | fwoko | fwokə | fire (m) |


| Latin | Italian | Napolet. | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cŏ1lu | kollo | kwollə | neck (m) |
| vĕrmi | vermi | vjermə | worms (m) |
| dŏ́rmís | dormi | dwormə | You sleep |

We see immediately that the diphthongisation pointedly absent in the Neapolitan data of 4) is here present in all the Neapolitan examples even when Italian does not allow it. If we had only the modern dialect as our source of information, the conditions under which Neapolitan has diphthongisation would be lost - the possible cause being obfuscated by the neutralisation of all word-final vowels. Although the examples in 5) are all masculine and show diphtongisation, there are two items in 4) which are also masculine but don't have diphthongs. A clue is provided by the morpheme that has allomorphs in both 4) and 5) - It. verme~vermi. The conditioning factor seems to be provided in the Proto-Language or Latin forms in the shape of the final (or last syllable) high vowels $-\bar{i}(\breve{i})$ and $-\breve{u}$, which in effect seem to exert an 'umlaut' influence.

### 3.2 Umlaut: General and Romance Manifestation

We have previously referred to 'umlaut' influence only in connection with the diphthongisation of certain stressed vowels. This is indeed a singular type of result for this type of anticipatory assimilation. Again the conditioning environments are rather unusual. Generally the phenomenon, a case of partial anticipatory assimilation at a distance, is identified with the familiar changes in the Germanic dialects, described by the term's originator,

Jakob Grimm. ${ }^{12}$
The evidence of incipient umlaut influence appears in Latin as early as Late Imperial times. ${ }^{13}$ The following examples are quoted by F. SchUrr and H. LUdtke ${ }^{14}$ and illustrate:
6)

CL VL
Gloss

| $\mathrm{f} \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{c}} \overline{\mathrm{i}}$ | fici | I made |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vēnī | vini | I came |
| bēstǐa | bistia | animal, beast |
| ostěum | ustium | door |
| 1egī | ligi | I chose, collected |
| sed $\bar{i}$ | sidi | I sat down |

These data illustrate I-Umlaut with a raising effect. The same tendency was continued (or inherited) in O1d French and in Spanish but absent from Italian, especially in the Perfect tense:

| 7) $C L$ | OF | Sp | Ital | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fēci | je fis | hice | feci | I made |
| venī | je vinc | vine | venni | I came |
| tēnuī | je tinc | $\ldots--$ | tenni | I held |

12. The phenomenon is often referred to as I-Umlaut and the assimilation is in the form of fronting or raising of stressed vowels, e.g., OHG helfan:hilfis, gast:gesti, loch:lochir (MHG 18cher), durch: durchil (MHG dUrke1). Cf. English foot:feet, man:men. Old Norse also also showed U-Umlaut (see Bloomfield 1935, p. 381) as did Old English (King 1969, p. 61). See Icelandic in Anderson (1974, p. 141 ff).
13. Compared to its appearance several centuries later, in the Middle Ages, in West and North Germanic.
14. La diphtongaison romaine", p. 119 footnote l; Die strukturelle Entwicklung der romanischen Vokalismus, p. 77. Both have taken their examples from Schuchardt's Der Vokalismus des Vulgatrlatein.

| CL | OF | Sp | Ital | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *presi | je pris | prise (OSp) | presi | I took |
| *quēsì | je quis | quise | $-\ldots-$ | I sought/ |
| loved |  |  |  |  |

The umlauted first person forms originally alternated with non-umlauted third person forms but were subsequently analogically levelled with the raised-vowel form being generalised. Portuguese, however, still shows, in some strong perfects, the developing vowel alternations to which we have alluded:
8)

CL:I Sg
III Sg
Ptg:I Sg
III Sg
fēci
fēcít
fiz
fez
pōsuí
pōsuǐt
pus
pos
*pōtuí
pōtuít
pude
pode ' to be able'

It is Portuguese again that furnishes examples of a type of umlaut influence not seen elsewhere in Western Romance as the following examples show: ${ }^{15}$
(9)

CL
Ptg.
isso
tudo
mêdo [meðu]
fôgo [foru]

Gloss
that (neut)
all, everything
fear
fire

[^6]CL
b) $\operatorname{tra}(\mathrm{ns})$ vĕ́rsu trā(ns) vĕ́rsa mort (u) u mŏrt(u) os

> Ptg.

> travêsso
> travessa [tra $\beta$ हsu]
> morto
> mortos [mortuf]

Gloss
naughty (masc)
(fem)
dead (m.sg)
" (m.p1)

Here we see that $U$-Umlaut has exerted a raising effect on the stressed vowels and taken on a morphological, although redundant, role. I-Umlaut, it appears, was limited in its influence largely to fossilised forms of the Perfect tense as shown in 7) and 8). The choice of ' $s$ ' as the plural marker (See footnote 3 above) precluded I-Umlaut assuming a wider morphophonological role - the early neutralisation of final syllable Latin 'u' and 'o' seems to have had the same negative effect for U-Umlaut in most of Western Romance. The rest of the Romance languages show some type of umlaut influence, however vestigial. In South Sardinian the process is widespread, ${ }^{16}$ many Gallo-Italian dialects show its effect and its role in the evolution of the Romanian diphthongs is far-reaching. 17

The fore-going brief sketch is an attempt to stress two points that umlaut may be described as a pan-Romance phenomenon, at least in the formative stages of the Romance languages - and that where the process is still productive, it has not been phonologised, rather the alternations it produces are redundant since the conditioning factors are still present. Herein lies the major difference, we maintain, between what we have called pan-Romance umlaut and what we shall call Neapolitan umlaut.
16. H. Lausberg, Romanische Sprachwissenschaft, I, p. 168; LUdtke, p. 89 ; Blaylock, p. 264.
17. Lausberg, I, pp. 170-1; L甘dtke, p. 97.

Our non-Neapolitan Romance data have illustrated umlaut of the raising type. We shall next furnish examples of the same process in Neapolitan.

### 3.3 Neapo1itan Upper Mid-Stressed Vowels

In 2) above we indicated that the Latin high lax and mid tense vowels merged in both Standard Italian and Neapolitan (i.e., Central Italian including Rutés). The reflexes in unconditioned environments indicate this parallel development:

| 10) | Latin | Italian | Neapol. | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | nive | neve | nevo | snow (f) |
|  | pisce | pefe | pe $\int$ o | fish (m.sg) |
|  | cèra | cera | cerə | wax (f) |
|  | crēscit | kre $\int$ e | kre $\int$ ə | he grows |
|  | nüce | noce | nocə | walnut (f) |
|  | bŭcca | bokka | vokkə | mouth (f) |
|  | carbóne | karbóne | gravónə | coal (m) |
|  | $\operatorname{cogn} \frac{1}{\text { scit }}$ | konóje | kunó ${ }^{\text {a }}$ O | he knows |

When, however, we examine data displaying umlaut environments, the results are divergent:

| pǐru | pero | pire | pear-tree (m) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| písci | pefi | pijə | fish (m.pl) |
| sēbu | sevo | sivə | suet (m) |
| genưّc'lu | tetto | finókkjo | dənúkkjə |
| plưmbu | pjombo | knee (m) |  |
| carbṓni | karbóni | gravúnə | coals (m.pl) |
| sōrsu | sorso | surtsə | sip (m) |

We may now extend the equation expressed in 2) above to include the conditioned reflexes shown in 5) and 11) above:
12)
CL Neapol. (uncond)
Neapol.
(cond)


We have renamed the modern reflexes as Neapolitan as they are the ones represented in our data so far. Our decision to do so was largely motivated by our desire to present at least some data from a dialect already investigated (and which we verified independently through our Neapolitan informant). Rutés reflexes differ only slightly in that they show a further evolution of the lower-mid stressed vowels under umlaut conditions - an evolution that may be presumed to have gone through the following stages from the evidence furnished by various neighbouring dialects:


Stage VI indicates the phase reached by some dialects of the region, throughout Puglia, Abruzzo, Basilicata and Calabria ${ }^{18}$ - a situation that as yet does not obtain in Rutés, although in allegro speech the schwa is only just audible at times.

### 3.4 Rutés Unstressed Vowels

All the unstressed vowels in the dialects under study, with the
18. G. Rohlfs, Grammatica Storica ..., I, p. 127.
exception of 'a' (<ă, $\bar{a}$ ) may weaken to the indistinct central vowel schwa - as they may in the whole area we have designated as Neapolitan. This tendency is more frequent with front rather than back vowe1s, however.

### 3.4.1 Prestressed Vowels

The four front vowels seem to merge regularly to schwa, thus:
14)
C.L.

Rute's
Gloss
i diréctu
rərit ${ }^{10}$
right
i vidére
vəre
to see
è dēnáriu
rənar
money
ě *pĕdŭ́c'lu
parut ${ }^{10}$
1ouse

There are some cases when the reflex is not a weakening and centring but rather a raised vowel 'i'. This always occurs before a palatal element and thus we attribute the seemingly exceptional evolution to anticipatory assimilation to the following high-tongue position:

| 15) | C.L. | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | disi ${ }^{\frac{1}{\text { un }}}$ | rijún | fast |
|  | s $\breve{i}^{(n)} \mathrm{g} 1 \mathrm{u}$ tiu | si人úts ${ }^{10}$ | hiccough |
|  | fatiggáre | fatijá | to work |

A further case of assimilation, both lag and anticipatory, appears to
 of a labial consonant: ${ }^{19}$
$\overline{\text { 19. C }}$ f. Rohlfs, I, p. 169.
(16)
C.L.
(um)bílículu věscíica blastŭmáre

Rutés
vulíkəl
vusík ${ }^{10}$
jastumá
nave1
Gloss
bladder
to blaspheme

The four back vowels also merge, but less often to schwa. The more frequent reflex appears to be the high back vowel 'u': ${ }^{20}$

> C.L.

Rutés
Gloss

| u mūtáre | mutá | to change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| u cưltéllu | kurtíə1 10 | knife |
| ơ dǒménica | ruménək | Sunday |

Note:

| dŏlöre | rəlor | pain |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pülmōne | pərmon | lung |

In some cases there is a tendency for these back vowels to open to 'a' - we cannot, in light of the evidence, see the reason for this change:
(18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { C.L. } \\
& \text { cŏgnátu } \\
& \text { ŏccídere } \\
& \text { uncínu }
\end{aligned}
$$

Rutés
Gloss
kainát
brother-in-1aw
acír
to kill
ancín
hook

The low vowel 'a' is the only one that is consistently preserved in pre-tonic position:
20. Cf. Romanian in Lausberg, I, p. 203.
C.L.

Rutés
Gloss
beans
windpipe

The Latin diphthong 'au' normally merged fairly early with the lower mid ' $\breve{\prime}$ ' when stressed, but in atonic position, especially when wordinitial, its evolution varied in Rutés:
20)
C.L.

Rutés
Gloss

| *aucéllu (avicĕ́llu) | aucíə1 10 | bird |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| augưstu | aúst 10 | August |
| auríc'la | vrets | ear |

In the first case the main form is from Late Latin and perhaps, as the diphthong is secondary, it appeared in dialect too late to participate in changes that the primary diphthong underwent. The second case is generally considered to be the result of dissimilation, an intermediate stage being perhaps '*agustu' and then the intervocalic stop lenites completely. The last example appears to be the result of a consonantisation of the ' $u$ ' and then perhaps a re-analysis of the form with the article from '1'avríc'la' to 'la vríc'la'. ${ }^{21}$

### 3.4.2 Poststressed Vowels

The vowels following the stressed syllable undergo complete neutralisation and are all realised as a schwa. Final vowels are almost invariably dropped completely, the schwa articulation only re-appearing as a sort of voyelle d'appui when the following word begins with a consonant:
21. See also sect. 2.2.1 (medial labials) above and sect. 6.3 below.
21)
C.L.

Rutés
Gloss

| $\bar{i}$ | feróci | faruc ${ }^{10}$ | fierce (pl) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | crédit | krer | he believes |
| e | cáně | kan | dog |
| ̄ | Mércư(ri) diè | míərkuri ${ }^{10}$ | Wednesday |
| a | códa | kor | tail |
| ō | párlō (iə) | parl | I speak |
|  | caecátŭ | cəkat | blind |

### 3.4.3 Proparoxytones

Words originally stressed on the antepenult syllable, with the loss of the final syllable, become paroxytones:
22)

> C.L. frágŭla dĕ́bitu sôrice mónachī

Rutés
fravəl
strawberry
riəbət ${ }^{10}$
debt
sorəc mouse
muənəc 10
monks

Note: pứgna > *púina > púniə (see p. 17), as a modern proparoxytone, is an exception to the statement in 3.4 .2 , and as such, does not come under 3.4.3.

## CHAPTER FOUR

THE MORPHOPHONOLOGICAL RAMIFICATIONS OF UMLAUT IN RUTÉS

### 4.1 The Nominal Sub-system

With the effacement of final -s in Eastern Romance and subsequent case syncretism, distinctive markers crystallised for both gender and number in the endings retained by the 2 major representatives Romanian and Standard Italian. The subsequent effacement of final vowels in Rutés, however, appeared to lead to the strengthening and extension of the phonetic alternations brought about by umlaut assimilations.

Eastern Romance established basically 2 nominal patterns (Declensions?) divided on the criterion of gender. To the Latin lst Declension belonged Feminine nouns - these are the -A nouns, whose singular ending may have come from Latin Nom. - $\breve{a}$ or Accus. - $\breve{a}(m)$ and whose plural in $-E$ may be a reflex similarly of Latin Nom.-ae or Accus. $-\bar{a}_{\mathrm{s}} 22$ in the Italian peninsula. The so-called 0 - or 2 nd Declension contained Masculine nouns. In Standard Italian the singular form may have come from any case except the Genitive N. $-\breve{u}(s), A c c . \breve{u}(m), D-A .-\bar{o}$. The plural in $-I$ is considered to be a reflex of either the Latin Nom. $-\bar{i}$, or Accus. $-\bar{o} s$. The latter is held to have developed through the stage $-0 i^{23}$. In our oversimplified synopsis we have excluded the heterogeneous, often called consonant, Latin 3rd Declension. In Italian the singular form, be it Masc, or Feminine, generally ends in $-E$, which may be from Latin Nom., Gen-í (s) or Accus. $-\breve{e}(\mathrm{~m})$, while the plural ends in $-I$, styled either analogically

[^7]23. Posner, loc. cit.; cf. Lausberg, II, p. 82 .
on the -0 noun plurals or from Latin Nom. - $\overline{\text { es }}$ or Accus.- $\bar{e}$ s/is (i.e., ès>ēei>i/is>ini>i) ${ }^{23}$. We will deal with the fate of the Latin Neuters by reference to individual cases in Rutés.

We thus see that potential umlaut environments are offered in both singular and plural of -0 nouns, and in the plural of the consonant (stem) nouns. We shall now examine the data from Rutés to see whether phonological evolution as reflected by umlauted or unumlauted vowels proceeded unimpaired by non-phonological pressures.

In Table I we observe that phonological pull has held sway everywhere in the data. It is also noteworthy that our singular form may have only come from either the Nom or Accus. Latin forms and thus it may be more aptly called the class U-nouns.

In Table II we have arbitrarily chosen the -ae form as the source of the plurals - it may just have easily have been the - $\bar{a} s$ form, as we have mentioned. We shall elaborate on the underlined forms when we deal with allophonic variation below (sect. 6.3).

The Latin boxed forms in Table III appear to have followed the evolution we have posited for Standard Italian with the result that we have a class of nouns with internal inflection for number in Rutés. Again phonological pull has prevailed with the -i plural becoming the plural-marker for all regular Masculine nouns.

Supposing we accept the theory that attributes the plural -i of 3rd Declension noums in Italian to a natural phonetic outcome of the Latin -ès, or sometimes -is, (see p. 32 and above) the data in Table IV show examples where this natural evolution has been inhibited, for with an underlying -i, we would again have umlaut assimilation and thus internal inflection as with the examples in Table III above. Similarly an endorsement of an analogically-formed plural in -i would yield alternating forms. Thus we would expect: illá nŭ́ce>la noc and

CL OR PROTO-NAP

| illu víduu | illi vǐdui |
| :---: | :---: |
| tēctu | *tēcti |
| mēlu | *mēli |
| furnu | fưrni |
| lupu | lưpi |
| rasōr (i)u | rasór (i)i |


| rasor(i)u | rasor(i)i |
| :--- | :--- |
| vōtu | vōti |


| lĕctu | lĕcti |
| :--- | :--- |
| vĕntu | vĕnti |
| *mĕrulu | *mĕruli |
| cultĕ́llu | cultélli |
| sóceru | sóceri |
| iŏcu | iŏci |
| cŏllu | cŏlli |


| portu | pŏrti |
| :--- | :--- |
| ŏc'lu | ơc'li |



GROTTAMINARDA
GLOSS

| $1 u$ virəv | $1 i$ virəv | ${ }^{\text {wid }}$ wer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{\text {tit }}$ | tit | roof |
| $c_{\text {mil }}$ | mil | apple |
| furn | furn | oven |
| 1 up | 1 up | wolf |
| rasúl | rasúl | razor |
| $c_{\text {vut }}$ | vut | vow |
| 11 1)t | 1iət | bed |
| viənd | viənd | wind |
|  | miər $\mathrm{l}^{\text {l }}$ | blackbird |
| kurtíəl | kurtíəl | knife |
| suəkər | suəkər | $\begin{gathered} b_{\text {brother-in- }}- \\ \text { law } \end{gathered}$ |
| juək | juəc | game |
| ${ }^{9}$ kwol | kwo 1 | neck |
| $e_{\text {puort }}$ | puərt | port |
| uət, | uət | eye |
| suən | suən | sound |
| kuək | kuəc | cool |
| $f_{\text {tiəmb }}$ | tiəmb | time |
| $f_{\text {piot }}$ | piot | chest |
| jurn | jurn | day |
| tup | tup | poplar |
| ¢uəv | yuəv | nail |
| ruəsp | ruəsp | toad |
| $f_{\text {kuərp }}$ | kuərp | body |

```
Notes to Table I a Cf. widow - Table II
    b Cf. sister-in-law - Table II
    c Formerly Neuter in Latin
    d " Feminine in Latin
    e 4th Declension in Latin
    f 3rd Declension Neuter in Latin - Plurals formerly
        -ora
    g The form "kwっl" is inhibited from progressing to
        the normal Rutés *kuəl as it would be too close
        to "kul" (<c自lu)'backside'. Thus for this entry
        we must allow PR1 and 2 to apply, but block PR3
        and 4 and then apply PR9.
```

| CL OR PROTO-NAP |  | GROTTAMINARDA |  |  | GLOSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| illà vĭ́dua | illae víduae | la verəv | rə | verəv | widow |
| aurî́c'la | auríc'lae | vret |  | bret | ear |
| gưla | gulae | gol |  | gol | throat |
| sŏ́cera | sŏ́cerae | sokər |  | səkər | sister/law |
| nơra | norrae | nจr |  | nor | daughter/law |
| rota | rŏtae | rot |  | rot | wheel |
| pĕtra | pĕtrae | pret |  | ppret | stone |
| vena | vēnae | ven |  | ven | vein |
| bǔcca | bǔccae | vok |  | bok | month |
| mela | mēlae | mel |  | mel | apple tree |
| candéla | candélae | kanné1 |  | kkanné1 | candle |
| mŏ́nacha | mŏ́nachae | mənək |  | monək | nun |

Table II - FEMININE 1ST DECLENSION (NON-UMLAUTED) NOUNS

and illà *nứci>rə *nuc, etc. This is apparently the evolution in Italian where we have: la noce ~1e noci.- gender marker thus residing in the article, or an -A class modifier, in the plural. What is striking and extremely significant for this study is that the modern Neapolitan forms seem to go back to the same proto-forms as the Italian ones, so that we have: a nocə ~ e nucə. This is not say that all our forms in Table IV would show umlauted plurals in Neapolitan - apparently only those having [e] or [o] (<Lat. $\breve{i}, \bar{e} ; \breve{u}, \bar{o}$ ) show umlaut effect (see Rohlfs, I, p. 17). Hence we would perhaps call the boxed forms of Table IV 'Proto-Grotta' rather than Proto-Nap. The fact that the open vowels $[\varepsilon],[\rho]$ (<Lat: ě, ŏ) generally do not apparently yield to umlaut influence may either indicate the i- plural was not generalised to these forms (a remote possibility) or a different chronology for umlaut diphthongisation as compared with umlaut raising (see Chapt. 5 below). Again it may be linked in some way with the fact that the plural article is undifferentiated for gender in Neapolitan, thus: e pullícə (m) 'the fleas'; e ddetə (f) 'the fingers' (Cf. Mod. French). In the major framework of Italian, or Eastern Romance generally, and Neapolitan Romance in particular, in respect to the Feminine plurals of 3rd declension nouns, therefore, the the Rutés system is unique. It may even be that Rutés generalised the 1st Declension plural ending to all Feminine nouns, i.e., $\overline{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{s})>\mathrm{ae}>\mathrm{e}$, the result would have been the same. Thus we maintain that a positive consciousness of gender inhibited 'umlaut endings', indistinguishable phonetically from typically Masculine endings, from 'encroaching' on Feminine territory, as it were (see further comments under sect. 4.4 below).

The four previous groups we have discussed seem to be open classes of nouns that are more or less productive patterns. The data of Table V forms a closed class of relics from Latin Neuter nouns. As

| (CL OR) PROTO |  | GROTTAMIN |  | GLOSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| illa ḉnere | illae cínere | 1 a cenər | ro ccen $\partial \mathrm{r}$ | ash |
| nưce | , nŭce | noc | noc | walnut |
| türre | 'tưrre | tor | ttor | tower |
| crŭce | \| cruce | kroc | kkroc | cross |
| nepōte | nepōte | nəpot | nəpot | grandaughter |
| vōce | vōce | voc | voc | voice |
| dōte | dōte | rot | dot | dowry |
| pělle | pĕ1le | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon 1$ | pp 1 | skin |
| sěrpe | sĕrpe | $s \varepsilon r p$ | sexp | snake |
| nŏcte | nŏcte | not | nจt | night |
| bŭtte | 'bưtte ' | vot | bot | barrel |

Table IV - FEMININE 3RD DECLENSION (NON-UMLAUTED) NOUNS


Table V - NEUTER '2ND' DECLENSION (UMLAUTED) NOUNS
we see, the singular becomes Masculine, that is, falls in with the data of Table I (singular) and the plural, although we have posited and underlying -a ending, would more accurately be represented with -ae, thus having the Feminine plural of Table II. All of the nouns cited, with the exception of 'hortu' and 'trŏnu', have or had -a plurals in Italian which are preceded by the Feminine plural article. The characteristics of this sub-class are the reverse of those in Table III - the singular shows umlaut effect whereas the plural shows none.

| CL OR PROTO-NAP. |  | GROTTAMINARDA |  | GLOS S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| illu (e)píscopu | illi (e)píscopi | lu ve $\int$ kəv | $1 i$ vi ${ }^{\text {d }}$ kəv | bishop |
| " mĕ́dicu | " médici | lu mer $\mathrm{l}^{\text {k }}$ | 1i miərəc | doctor |
| " mŏ́nachu | " mónachi | 1u monək | $1 i$ muənəc | monk |
| " magĭstru | magistri | lu maéstr | li maístr | teacher |
| " sŏciu | " soucii | 1u soc | $1 i$ suəc | associate |
| " $\operatorname{sp} \bar{o}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{su}$ | " $\mathrm{spo}^{-1}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{si}$ | 1u spos | $1 i$ spus | husband |
| " présbyte(r) | " *prĕsbyti | lu prevət | $1 i$ priəvət | priest |
| illa pira | illi piri | la per | $1 i$ pir | pear |
| illu pilu | " pili | 1u pel | $1 i \mathrm{pil}$ | hair |
| " $\mathrm{pe}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{su}$ | " $\mathrm{pe}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{si}$ | 1u pes | $1 i \mathrm{pis}$ | weight |
| " cěrvu | " ceurvi | 1 u cer $\partial \mathrm{v}$ | $1 i$ ciərəv | deer |
| " *piper ${ }^{\frac{1}{\circ}} 1$ u | " *piperóli | 1u pəpərol | $1 i$ pəpərul | hot chili |
| " phase ${ }^{\frac{1}{o}} 1 \mathrm{u}$ | " phaseóli | Iu fasól | 1 i fasúl | bean |
| " dĕ́bitu | " * dĕbiti | 1u rebət | $1 i$ riəbət | debt |

Table VI - MASCULINE 2ND DECLENSION (IRREGULAR)
We shall discuss each of these items one by one in order to try to establish why the singular forms do not show umlaut influence.
'řesbyte': this word is included in this list although it more resembles a 3rd Declension than 2nd noun. We suggest the form, a Nominative rather than Oblique one, reflecting a Vocative function, which is fairly common with nouns 'capaci di presentarsi come soggetto agente' says Rohlfs (II, p. 6) and which are likely to be in frequent use in forms of address (cf. Rutés: patəmə 'mio padre' matəmə 'mia madre' fratəmə 'mio fratello' sorəmə 'mia sorella'). 24 Our 2nd Declension model would be 'puer'.
'magístru': this form may also disguise what is really a modified Vocative. Perhaps '*magistre' would be a better underlying form, where the Nominative form 'magister' has undergone metathesis of the two final phonemes.
'epíscopu', 'mĕ́dicu', 'mónachu: these forms are more easily accounted for if we posit an underlying Vocative, on the model of 'mūrus~mūre' so that we may eliminate the umlaut environment from the singular. 'sŏ́ciu': Superficially we may easily include this noun with the preceeding three. The Vocative was 'sǒci' however, which still presents umlaut conditions. We must therefore posit an analogical Vocative '*sǒcie' to provide a possible explanation for the alternation.
'spō(n)su': We treat this noun separately from the others, although it also presents the Vocative possibility, since the form 'la spos' also exists. The plural 'li spus' seems generally to mean 'newlyweds' rather than 'bridegrooms'.
'pira': is a special case on its own. It is the crossing of two separate nouns. The original name for a pear-tree was 'pirus' and was Feminine, as were all fruit-trees, (it was 4 th Declension) and

[^8]on being identified with the 2 nd Declension (0-nouns) became Masculine. The pear was 'pirum' Neuter, giving 'pira' pears. The plural became identified with the A-nouns, which were Feminine, and was used as the singular. It then 'borrowed' a Masculine plural form. 'débitu': this noun was Neuter in Latin, and, based on its plural in -a, became Feminine in the modern Romance Languages (cf. French 'la dette', Ital. 'la detta'). If we posit*debita as the underlying singular form, then no umlaut would, of course, occur in Rutés, as is indeed the case. This leaves the reason for an umlauted plural unexplained. It is probable not a native word, as it is only partly assimilated phonologically $(\mathrm{d}>\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{b} \nmid \mathrm{v})$ and perhaps was borrowed with 'mixed' forms.

For remaining five nouns, it seems impossible to account for their internal inflection by means of the explanations proposed for the 'person' nouns. They should belong to the class of Table I above - i.e., unimpaired phonological evolution would have yielded metaphonised forms both in singular and plural. There is no apparent semantic link between these nouns that may suggest a special class be set up. We would like to suggest tentatively that the data of Table III represents the dominant, even productive, type of Masculine noun which either is attracting or has attracted some Masculine nouns of the 2nd Declension type. We would thus propose that we have here another example of the 'regularising' effect of morphological pull.

### 4.2 Adjectives

There are two classes of adjective in Rutés. The major class embraces the Latin 1 st and 2 nd Declension type. It has marking for gender. The other class reflects the 3rd Declension type and marks

| CL or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | Grotta | CL or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | Grotta |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plēnu/i | tin | plēna/ae | ten | full |
| *eccu-í1lu/i | kir | *eccu-ílla/ae | ker | that |
| *eccu-ístu/i | kist | *eccu-ísta/ae | kest | this |
| frēscu/i | frifk | frēsca/ae | fre $\int k$ | cool |
| apĕrtu/i | apíart | apĕrta/ae | apért | open |
| cürtu/i | kurt | cürta/ae | kort | short |
| bŏnu/i | buən | bŏna/ae | bon | good |
| grŏssu/i | (g)rues | grŏssa/ae | (g)ros | big |
| lŏngu/i | 1uənk | lŏnga/ae | 1כnk | long |
| *mortu/i | muərt | *mŏrta/re | mっrt | dead |
| *nĕgru/i | niəvər | *nĕgra/ae | nevər | black |
| nơstru/i | nuəst | noustra/ae | nost | our |
| nŏvu/i | nuəv | nơva/ae | nวv | new |
| *plŏtu/i | tuet | *plŏta/ae | tot | fat |
| (ro) tưndu/i | tunn | (ro) tưnda/ae | tonn | round |
| russu/i | rus | rüssa/ae | ros | red |
| sǐccu/i | sik | sicca/ae | sek | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { thin } \\ \text { dry } \end{array}\right.$ |
| sō1u/i | sul | sōla/ae | sol | alone |
| spŏrcu/i | spuərk | spŏrca/ae | spork | dirty |
| stơrtu/i | stuərt | störta/ae | stort | crooked |
| dưi | ruj | duae | roj | two |
| strǐctu/i | strit | strícta/ae | stret | straight |
| sürdu/i | surd | sürda/ae | sord | deaf |
| *tenéru/i | conir | *tenêra/ae | caner | soft |
| tǒstu/i | tuəst | tŏsta/ae | tost | hard |


| MAS CUL | P P1．） | FEM．（Sg．and P1．） |  | GLOSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CL or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | GROTTA | CL or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | GROTTA |  |
| ＊ze $1^{\frac{1}{0}}$ su／i | Folus | ＊zelolosa／ae | キəlos | jealous |
| vět＇lu／i | viəts | vět＇la／ae | $v \varepsilon$ t | old |
| ＊blōndu／i | junn | ＊blōnda／ae | jonn | blonde |

Table VII $n^{-}$ADJECTIVES：1ST AND 2ND DECLENSIONS

| CL or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | GROTTA | CL or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | GROTTA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dulce | roc | ＊dulci | ruc | sweet |
| forrte | fort | ＊fơrti | fuərt | strong |
| feróce | fəroc | *feroci | foruc | fierce |
| ＊crupt $\frac{1}{\text { ense }}$ | rutés | ＊crupténsi | rutís | inhabitant of Grotta |
| viride | verd | ＊víridi | vird | green |
| iưvene | 孔ovən | ＊iừ ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | チuvən | young |

Table VIII－ADJECTIVES：3RD DECLENSION
number. We illustrate above in Tables VII and VIII. These data do not in themselves add anything new to our comments concerning umlaut. It may be noted that phonological evolution has proceeded everywhere unimpeded by morphological constraints. The salient feature of the system we have tentatively called the Masculine (dominant and/or productive) class seems to be emphasised by the examples in Table VII - the phonetic diphthongs [iə] and [uə] are striking gender markers.

### 4.3 Verbs

Although again the verbal morphology does not contribute any new phonological information to this discussion, it does offer further examples of the extent of umlaut influence whilst at the same time providing an interesting resolution of the four Latin conjugations which we have not observed elsewhere in the literature consulted. We shall restrict our examination to the Present Tense. See Table IX. We consider three points worthy of comment:
a) the four Latin conjugations are reduced to two in the following manner:

$$
\text { -A Conjugation >-a } \quad-\stackrel{\breve{E}}{ },-\bar{I} \text { Conjugations }>-i
$$

b) Latin infinitival ending -re is lost everywhere. 25
c) umlaut effect is shown in both conjugations in 2nd Pers. Sing. and 3rd Pers. Plur.

The third point merits a more detailed examination. Latin presented the following pattern in these persons for the four conjugations: 23)

25. Cf. Romanian and North Italian dialects; see Lausberg, III/2, p. 174.

## A-Conjugation

| 1. spəra "sperare" | 2. parlá "parlare" | 3. truvá "trovare" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 iə sper | parl | trov |
| 2 tu spir | parl | truəv |
| 3 issə sper | parl | trov |
| 1 nuj spəram | parlám | truvám |
| 2 vuj spərat | parlát | truvát |
| 3 lor spirənə | parlənə | truəvənə |

I-Conjugation

| 4. vəre "vedere" | 5. krer "credere" |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Latin II) | (Latin III) |
| 1 iə ver | krer |
| 2 tu $\underline{\text { vir }}$ | $\underline{\text { krir }}$ |
| 3 issə ver | krer |
| 1 nuj vərim | krərim |
| 2 vuj vərit | krərit |
| 3 | lor virənə |

6. perd "perdere"
(Latin III)

1 iə perd
2 tu piərd
3 issə perd
1 nuj perdim
2 vuj perdit
3 lor piərdənə
7. rurmí "dormire"
(Latin IV)
rorm
ruərm
rorm
rurmím
rurmít
ruərmənə

Table IX - VERB CONJUGATIONS IN RUTÉS
Note: These forms are in phonetic transcription (including the infinitives). A phonemic representation would require additional P Rules involving the shifting stress patterns in verbal paradigms - we do not consider such details germane to the present discussion.

The boxed forms alone would have produced umlauted vowels. Thus the 4 th Conjugation ending must have been generalised throughout for the 2nd Singular and the 3rd Conjugation ending for the 3rd Plural to yield the Rutes forms. Whilst we note that the 2nd Singular innovation is common also to Standard Italian and it appears to be so in all Eastern Romance including the Italian dialects, the 3rd Plural innovation, although common also to Rhaeto-Romance, ${ }^{26}$ French and Provençal, 27 seems only to undergo umlaut influence in the dialect under study and a restricted part of Central Basilicata where Latin -ant is also replaced by -unt. ${ }^{28}$ In the remainder of Central and Southern Italy where -ant is retained, -ŭnt has umlaut effect only in the extreme south of the Marche, in the Abruzzi, Lazio from Rome south, and of course, Central Basilicata. ${ }^{29}$ Grotta seems to fall on the northern limit of the sphere of influence of the latter zone.

```
4.4 Feminine Plural Nouns - A Counterpart to Masculine Umlaut?
    A superficial glance at the morphology of the noun in Rutés
would seem to suggest that what we have called the dominant Masculine
pattern, that of Table III, has clear number marking whereas the
Feminine nouns don't. We suggest that this seeming discrepancy is
more apparent than real. We have assembled in Table X the underlined
26. E. Bourciez, Eléments de linguistique...., p. 215.
27. Lausberg, III/2, p. 188.
28. Rohlfs, I, p. 19.
29. Roh1fs, op. cit, and LUdtke, pp. 117-8.
```

| GL or PROTO-NAP. | GROTTA | CL or PROTO-NAP. | GROTTA | GLOSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| illu *denức 1 u | lu renuts | illa(e) *denức'1a | rə denot | knee |
| dígitu | rit | dígita | det | finger |
| *gưbitu | vut | *gŭbita | bot | elbow |
| bracchiu | vrats | bracchia | brats | arm |
| * cŏrnu | kuərn | * corna | kkərn | horn |
| *tronu | truən | *trónora | ttronələ | thunder |
| cerebĕ11u | cərəviəl | cerebella | ccərəvع1 | brain |
| illa (a)uríc'la | vret | illae (a)uríc'lae | brets | ear |
| gatta | at | gattae | gat | cat (f) |
| * vampa | vamb | *vampae | bamb | flame |
| bŭcca | vok | bǔccae | bok | mouth |
| bưte | vot | bưtte | bot | barrel |
| dōte | rot | dōte | dot | dowry |
| gunnĕ́lla | unél | gunnĕ́11ae | vuné1 | skirt |
| cínere | cenər | cinere | ccenər | ash |
| * cěrquia | certs | * cĕrquiae | ccerrts | loak |
| tegě̌11a | tijé1 | tegĕ́llae | ttijé1 | ovenpan |
| turre | tor | tưrre | ttor | tower |
| candéla | kannél | candélae | kkannél | candle |
| *capa (<caput) | kap | * capae | kkap | head |
| cavea | kaf | caveae | kkaj | cage |
| crüce | kroc | cruce | kkroc | cross |
| perlle | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon 1$ | pelle | pp 1 | skin |
| pěnna | pen | pěnnae | $p p \varepsilon n$ | feather |
| zĕppa(Lomb.) | tsep | *zĕppae (?) | $t \mathrm{tsf}$ | wedge |
| clave | tav | clave | ちちav | key |

Table X - FEMININE PLURALS
forms from Tables II, IV and V above with some additions. We have seen above (pp. 4-9) that the Latin voiced stops underwent some transformations in Rutés, be it lenition, as in the cases of the labial and velar before back vowels, or change to a flap as the dental. We see that the original (Latin) values are 'retrieved', as it were, when word initial and preceded by the Feminine plural article 'rə'. Whilst the speaker is quite conscious of this alternation, he is not aware of any differences involving the voiceless stops or affricates. Rohlfs says 'l'articolo femminile plurale produce raddoppiamento della consonante che segue..' ${ }^{30}$ The same phonomenon is described by Devoto and Giacomelli 31 as 'rafforzamento' produced 'per ragioni di fonetica sintattica.' Although the phenomenon described by these observers appears to apply to all initial consonants, our investigations would seem to indicate that it is restricted to the stops and affricates in Rutés. Rohlfs further notes that 'per effetto del raddoppiamento 'v' diviene 'bb', la fricativa ' $\gamma$ ' diventa 'gg', e 1 'r' derivante da 'd' si trasforma in 'dd'. ${ }^{32}$ Thus all surface 'v's become 'bb'. Rutés differs here in that only where surface /v/ is from an etymological (i.e., Latin) [b], does 'rafforzamento' produce [b]. There are three apparent exceptions in our data. The first 'bamb' (<*vampae) is itself a neologism. It appears to be a crossing of Latin 'lampa' and 'vapor' 33 and we would attribute the 'b' to folk etymology. We may also also explain 'vut' (<*gưbitu) becoming 'bot' similarly (see sect. 6.3
$\overline{30 . I I, ~ p . ~} 107$.
31. I dialetti delle regioni d'Italia, p. 113.
32. II, p. 107, n. 5.
33. Avviamento alla etimologia italiana, p. 450.
below) although the fact that it is of 'mixed' gender may play' some role. The third example 'bret', also a false etymology, may be 'constrained' to retrieve 'br' as 'vr' did not occur in Latin. Thus Rutés/v/, from Latin /v/, e.g., voc<vōce, does not retrieve [b] in the Plural. Rutés agrees with the general process with regard to /r/ (from etymological [d]) becoming [d]. The only exception 'dənoty' is based, as indicated, on a form '*denuc'la'. The innovation in the initial consonant is not peculiar to Rutés and Rohlfs ${ }^{34}$ suggests it may be due to an ancient dissimilation. We defer discussion of the velar, which is rather complex, to section 6.3 below.

It is the opinion of Rohlfs and others cited by him ${ }^{35}$ that this 'raddoppiamento' may be explained on purely phonetic grounds. Thus a hypercorrect '*illēs' (<*illaes) may have produced a re-analysis so that Feminine consonant-initial plural nouns then began with a consonant cluster of which the first sound was 's' which by assimilation to the following consonant, re-enforced or doubled it. Inscriptions contain examples of this hypercorrection and the solution explicit in the aforementioned hypothesis seems quite acceptable. We suggest, however, that this innovation, at first really phonetic, took on morphophonemic significance in, at least, the Rutés nominal sub-system. We suggest our hypothesis is further supported by the change of ' 1 ' to ' $r$ ' in the Feminine plural article in Rutés. This change, a feature of limited geographical distribution, ${ }^{36}$ seems to add further weight to a morphological basis for specially marking the Feminine plural. We
$\overline{34 . I I, ~ p . ~} 108$.
35. loc. cit. He mentions Schuchardt, Meyer-LUbke and Merlo.
36. Rohlfs, II, p. 110, only mentions Trevico (prov. Avellino), which we were able to confirm as it is $p .725$ of the AIS.
submit that as Masculine nouns are typified by the phonetic diphthongs, so Feminine nouns are typified always by a special article, and sometimes by consonantal re-enforcement or 'retrieval' in their plurals.

### 4.4.1 Feminine Singular Lenition

A further peculiarity of the consonantism of Feminine nouns was noted when forms were elicited with initial voiceless stops and affricates. In the singular there seemed to be lenition occurring with the effect that these voiceless phonemes were becoming voiced. Thus we heard the last twelve forms in Table X as having voiced initial sounds. This was apparently a subconscious process to the speaker who insisted that the sounds in question were voiceless.

Thus it appears we have a further morphologically conditioned change which increases the distinction between singular and plural in this sub-class. We were unable to detect any parallel process affecting the fricative-initial lexical items, but as our examination was less than exhaustive in this aspect a closer study needs to be made before the whole pattern can be discovered.

If we do not accept the morphological motivation of this lenition, but instead seek a phonetic conditioning, it would appear very difficult to account for the fact that Masculine nouns or indeed all word-initial intervocalic voiceless stops and afficates are not affected.

## CHAPTER FIVE

## RUTES UMLAUT AND ROMANCE DIPHTHONGISATION

It is beyond the scope of the present study to treat the topic of our heading in depth - we shall restrict our discussion to a brief review of the positions of some previous investigators. SchUrr (1956) attributes all Romance diphthongisation to a generalisation of that conditioned by umlaut. At the other end of the spectrum we have Romeo's paradigmatic explanation, ${ }^{37}$ according to which all four degree vowel systems, due to structural pressures, underwent dipthongisation in the lower (and sometimes upper) mid orders. Where diphthongs no longer appear in four degree systems, it is because they have undergone a re-monophthongisation process. He does not even acknowledge, therefore consider, dipthongisation through umlaut. As though a compromise between the two extremes we have the contributions of Lausberg (1947) and Lüdtke (1956) who would attribute what we may call umlaut-induced diphthongisation (as seen in Rutes) to a structural pressure to avoid the merger of a phonetic [e] and [o] (caused by umlaut closing influence on $/ \varepsilon /$ and $/ \rho /$ ) with a phonological $/ e /$ and /o/ (<Latin $\breve{i}, \bar{e}$ and $\breve{u}, \bar{o}$ respectively). We assume that umlaut diphthongisation systems and diphthongisation of the Tuscan (Italian or unconditioned) type are mutually exclusive and that the former, an earlier innovation, precludes development of the latter type?

The question of the relative chronology of umlaut-induced changes and spontaneous diphthongisation has never been satisfactorily answered although some clues are provided by evolutionary evidence from some South Italian dialects. We have already noted that there was evidence of umlaut influence in Latin Imperial times (sect. 3.2 above). Rohlfs (I, p.14) notes that secondary 'i' and 'u' (from
umlauted Latin $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{o}$ resp.) take part in all changes that affect primary 'i' and 'u' (from Latin $\underset{i}{ }$ and $u \bar{u}$ ). There is no early evidence however, of the effect of umlaut on the mid open vowels ' $\varepsilon$ ' (<ĕ) and ' $\partial$ ' (< O$)$, hence the Lausberg-Lüdtke hypothesis, although Rensch (pp. 26, 30) does prove that what he calls umlaut (i.e., raising) is earlier than diphthongisation (conditioned by umlaut) in the dialects he reports on.

The apparent counter-examples to what we have called the mutual exclusiveness of conditioned vs. unconditioned dipthongisation (of the Italian type), offered by Rensch (pp. 25-6), are admittedly not phonetically conditioned, but the very fact that the 'irregularity' is restricted to a part of a morphological sub-class seems to us to point to a morphological conditioning.

## CHAPTER SIX

## SYNCHRONY


#### Abstract

6.1 Underlying Forms in the Rutés Lexicon

There are two possible ways to represent the lexicon of a language - either by surface (i.e., phonetic) forms, or by more or less abstract forms. The only reasonable justification for the latter method, it seems, is when such forms simplify in some way the grammar of the language. We feel that the vocalic and consonantal alternations (primarily) of the substantival sub-system of Rutes can best be represented by excluding these predictable (allomorphic) variants from the phoneme inventory and having recourse to historical motivation for such variations. Thereby structural relationships that otherwise may have been obfuscated will be made apparent. In the case of Masculine nouns (and verbal paradigms) we shall show the internal inflections to be conditioned by an umlauting vowel (see PR 1 and 2) and a 'reinforcing' determiner (the definite article) for Feminine plurals. The base for Class I adjectives (Table VII) would thus be the Feminine, that of Class II (Table VIII) the Singular form.


### 6.2 The Phonemes of Rutés

Rutés has a total of nineteen consonant phonemes, including the doubtful $/ \mathrm{g} /$. There are seven vowel phonemes in stressed position which we increase to eight with the inclusion of the unstressed / / / . In Table XI we classify these phonemes using articulatory parameters.

I

## CONSONANTS

|  | Lab | Dent | Pal | Ve1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Stops }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Vls} \\ \mathrm{Vd} \end{array}\right.$ | p <br> [b] | $\begin{gathered} t \\ {[d]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} t \\ {[d,]} \end{gathered}$ | k <br> g |
| $\text { Affric }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathrm{Vd} \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ts } \\ {[\mathrm{dz}]} \end{gathered}$ | 于 |  |
| $\text { Fric }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{V} 1 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathrm{Vd} \end{array}\right.$ | f <br> v | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ {[\mathrm{z}]} \end{gathered}$ | j |  |
| Nasal | m | n | n | [0] |
| Liquid |  | 1, r | $\lambda$ |  |

II VOWELS

| Stressed |  |  |  | Pre-stressed | Post-stressed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Front |  | Back | Front | Back |  |
| High | i |  | u | High | i | u |$|$

Notes: i) Forms shown in square brackets are allophones of certain phonemes (See Sect. 6.3).
ii) $/ c /=\left[t \int\right] ; / F /=[d ろ]$

Table XI - RUTES PHONEMES

### 6.2.1 The Phoneme /t/

As mentioned above (Chapter 2) this phoneme is the reflex of the merger of three Latin consonant clusters. We are proposing here that the resulting sound is an innovation and not the absorption of two sounds by a third.

It has been generally accepted by Romance scholars and Italian dialectologists that $a[k j](<c 1)$ absorbed $a[p j](<p l)$ and $a[t j]$ (<t'1) (see our Map 2; Devoto and Giacomelli p. 115; Bourciez p. 48; Pei p. 157; Tekavčić $I, ~ p .73)$. All investigators, however, do not agree on the exact nature of the resultant sound. Those just mentioned identify it completely with the Latin 'cl' (and 't'1') reflex in Italian, namely $[\mathrm{kj}]$. The authors of the AIS invent a new symbol [c"] for the sound in question which they describe as a "stimmloser mediopalataler Quetschlaut' (Jaberg and Jud, Der Sprachatlas...., p. 27). Roh1fs (I, p. xxxv), the AIS investigator for Southern Italy, describes it as an 'affricate mediopalatale sorde (simile al suone iniziale di 'chiamo' = 'camo)'. Roh1fs' eminent student Lausberg gives the only description of [ $[$ c ] with explicit articulatory parameters, saying it is produced by 'der Verschluß der Vorderzunge mit dem Vordergaumen' (RSpr. I, pp. 86-7). He adds that often the sound is realised as an affricate when the release is not quick enough - the stop being followed by the palatal fricative [ç]. Rensch indicates that the sound is definitely a palatalised velar in the area he investigated (personal communication).

Our informant produces a sound we would describe as a voiceless alveolo-palatal stop. The auditory impression was clearly distinct from a palatalised alveolar stop [t], the palatoalveolar affricate [t] ], the palatal stop [c] and a palatalised velar [k]. On the rare occasions when we detected a fricative release (word lists normally yield a more guarded pronunciation) we would transcribe it as [6]. We chose therefore not to continue the tradition of using [ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ] since we feel it identifies a medio-palatal sound. Thus, in using the symbol $/ \mathrm{t} /$, we do not intend to indicate a palatalised 't'. We have attempted to locate the place and illustrate the manner of articulation
of this phoneme in Figure 1. We have compared it with the sound $/ \mathrm{c} /$ designated by the superimposed broken line. It will be noted that our /t/ is laminal, whereas /c/ is essentially apical.


Figure 1. Tongue Position of Grottaminarda/t/ compared with that of $/ \mathrm{c} /$.

### 6.3 Allophones and Anomalies

The voiceless consonant phonemes /ts, $t, s, f /$ each have a voiced allophone when they follow a nasal. Examples were rather sparse and, in the case of the fricatives, the result of a peculiarly South Italian fusing process:

seems to undergo neutralisation with etymological'g'when post-nasal in final position, thus:

| 24) cinque | cink | [cink $]$ | 'five' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sangue | sank | $[$ sank $]$ | 'blood' |

As these examples illustrate /n/ has a velar allophone before a velar stop. The situation with the voiced consonants /v, r, g/ is less straightforward. The phones [v] and [b] are in complementary distribution, as are $[r]$ and [d]. The first partner of each pair appears appears in the less restricted environments and thus is our choice as phoneme. [b] only appears after nasals (see also sect. 4.4 above) and [d] only after nasals or $[r]$. There appear to be no exceptions for $/ r /$, but /v/ does appear to have the following counter-examples:

| 25) | Napol. | Ital. | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bel | bbjéllə | béllo | beautiful |
| buən | bbwónə | bwóno | good |
| barba | bbarbə | bárba | beard |
| barbét | varvə | ménto | chin |

These are all words of common occurrence and there seems no phonological explanation for the initial Latin /b/ not to have undergone lenition. From dialectal evidence, however scarce, supported by toponyms, it seems that these forms are borrowed from Italian and not indigenous. ${ }^{38}$ 'bel' is immediately suspect as we would expect an umlaut-induced diphthong. The forms for $p .723$ AIS for 'barba' and its derivative - [varv ${ }^{a}$ ][varvétt ${ }^{a}$ ] - show normal S. Italian evolution. Rohlfs 39
38. Rohlfs. I, p. 195.
39. I, p. 196.
notes that this Italianisation of dialectal vocabulary where /v/ rebecomes [b] is common in all the dialects of S. Italy.

The velar /g/ is of doubtful status - we posit it on the strength of just three occurrences:
26)

| Rutés | Ital. | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| goc | goccia | drop |
| gol | gola | throat |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\text { lagənə } & \text { (lazáñe) } & \text { lasagna } \\ \text { làgənatúr } & \text { (matteréllo) } & \text { rolling-pin }\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |

The first is suspect as native since normally Latin - (t)tia>ts in Rutes (goccia<güttia). The second is suspect on lexical grounds as Southern dialects generally use a form or compound of 'canna' - cf. Napol. ncanna 'throat. 40 The third example and its derivative seem to be indigenous.

Generally etymological /g/ $(+a, o, u)$ is very unstable (see sects. 2.1 .3 and 2.2 .3 above) and either disappears completely or 'changes into' /v/ in initial position. Intervocalically, as already shown, it may become $\emptyset, / j /$ or $/ v /$ - statistically the last is more frequent. Dialects in the region seem to indicate that Latin /g/first lenited to $[\gamma] .^{41}$ The step from there to $[v]$ is not clear. Rohlfs sees it as the 'inserzione di un suono di transizione.....(in) posizione (di) iato....' (I, p. 208). Thus he sees the process as: $g>\gamma>\phi>v$. Rensch notes ( $\S$ 127) numerous cases of $v, \beta>\gamma$ and says the reverse 'Austausch' of $\gamma>v, \beta$ is just as common. It appears therefore that perhaps we have a case of confusion of two sounds. We list our total corpus of
40. See also Rensch, p. 248.
41. Cf. Rensch, pars. 126 and 194; Rohlfs, I, pars. 155 and 217.
words having Latin or prior initial /g/:
27)

| Latin | Rutés Sg. | Rutés P1. | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *gưbitu | 1u vut | re bot | elbow (Table X) |
| gatta | 1 a at | rə gat | cat (f) " |
| gumnélla | 1a un'1 | rə vuné1 | skirt " |
| ga114 | lu al | $1 i$ val | rooster |
| (gur) gǔtia | la vots |  | goiter; crop |
| *galeóne | Iu vakón | 1i vaイún | boy |
| $\text { (<gane } \frac{\text { óne }}{}$ | (Cf. p. 725 | AIS [wañónə]) |  |

The first example in 27) has already been cited and, as a case of what Rohlfs calls 'false ricostruzioni', may be handled under the phoneme /v/ (the former [g] being purely of historic interest). In the same passage ( $I$, 208) Rohlfs cites a concrete example of what we have called 'confusion' - at Monte di Procida (nr. Naples) we have: a vatt 'la gatta', rə ggatt or rə bbatt 'le gatte'. As with 'lu vut' so 'la vots' and 'lu vakón' may be assigned to $/ \mathrm{v} /$. The remaining examples ' 1 a at, 'la unél' and 'lu al' must be marked as having anomalous plurals. The only example of correct etymology in the case of Feminine plural 'ro gat' is unfortunately insufficient to establish a generalisation similar to those for $/ r /$ and $/ v /$. A deeper investigation would perhaps shed more light on the matter and clarify the status of $/ \mathrm{g} /$.

### 6.4 Syllabic Canonical Form and Sequential Constraints

A syllable in Rutés can take any one of 12 shapes which we schematise as:
(C) (C) (C) $V(C)(C)$

That is, a syllable may start with a cluster of one, two, three, or no consonants and may end with a cluster of one, two, or none, the
only obligatory segment being the vowel.

Sequential possibilities:
V is any vowe 1
-in $\left(C_{1}\right) V\left(C_{2}\right)$ sequences (the subscripts are for identificational purposes), $C_{1}$ is any $C$ except $/ \tilde{\mathrm{n}} /$ or $/ \mathrm{N} /$, and $C_{2}$ is any $C$ except $/ \mathrm{g} /$.
-in $C_{1} C_{2} V \ldots$ sequences a) if $C_{1}$ is/p,t,k,f or $v / C_{2}$ is $/ r /$
b) if $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is $/ \mathrm{s} /$
$C_{2}$ is /p,t, or k/
c) if $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is $/ \mathrm{m} /$
$C_{2}$ is /f/ $/ \mathrm{s}$ or $k /$
-in $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} V$...sequences $C_{1}$ is $/ \mathrm{s} /, C_{2}$ is $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}$ or $\mathrm{k} /$ and $C_{3}$ is $/ \mathrm{r} /$
-in...$V C_{1} C_{2}$ sequences the following combinations occur:
a) $C_{1} / \mathrm{s} /$
$1 \int /$
b) $\mathrm{C}_{1} / \mathrm{r} /$
c) $\mathrm{C}_{1} / \mathrm{m} /$
/n/
$\mid \mathbf{n} / \rightarrow[0]$
$C_{2} / p$ or $t /$
/k/
$C_{2} / r(\rightarrow[d]), m, n, 1, p, t$ or $k /$
$\mathrm{C}_{2} / \mathrm{v} /(\rightarrow[\mathrm{b}])$ or $/ \mathrm{m} /$
$/ r /(\rightarrow[d])$ or $/ n /$
/k/

The most common syllable type appearing in our data is CVC.
Note: We did find one example of \$VCCC\$ in the word maéstr 'teacher' but this is suspect as native since all other Rutes words reduce the Latin cluster 'str' to 'st' when word final, e.g., Lat. nǒstra>nจst, Lat. fenĕstra>fənधst (Sect. 2.2.2 above). Napoletano also shows cluster reductien and has maéstə.

In the corpus examined, the maximum medial consonant cluster observed (that is across syllable boundary clusters) was CC.

Words reached a maximum of 4 syllables in length - we had two examples of this maximum kàraunár 'coalman' and làgənatúr 'rolling-pin' - although the most common word length is monosyllabic.

The maximum vowel sequence observed was $X V-V Y$, where $X$ and $Y$
represent any or no $C$ segments. The sequence was not very common and the following combinations were noted:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+\text { é (2), } a+i(2), a+\stackrel{( }{u}(2), i+ə(2) \\
& i+a ́(1), u+ə(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The parenthetical numbers refer to the number of instances of each.


#### Abstract

6.5 Word Stress (see Appendix for illustrative examples)

Stress is phonologically significant in Rutés as its placement is not predictable. We make this observation without reservation for diand tri-syllabic words and extrapolate to four syllable words because, as indicated above, our corpus only contains two four syllable words. The most common stress pattern for trisyllabic words is oxytonic. In our citation forms we mark (primary) stress with an acute accent on the stressed vowel, only on polysyllabic words containing two or more stressable vowels (see Table XI). It will be remembered that /o/ cannot carry stress.


We have observed secondary stress only in compound words such as those referring to the days of the week still containing the reflex of the Latin word diès 'day' and the two four-syllable words, which arealso compounds. We have marked this secondary stress with a grave accent.

### 6.6 Distinctive Feature Matrices of Rutés Phonemes

In Table XII we have attempted to analyse the Rutés phonemes in generative phonological terms.

We have here included the phoneme /g/although our discussion above (pp. 58-9) would perhaps suggest its omission, especially as we are unable, due to paucity of data, to integrate its (potential) alternations in our rules below. Thus we have enclosed it with a broken lined box in Table XII.


Table XII - RUTÉS DF MATRICES

### 6.7 Some Phonological Rules

PR1
Umlaut 1.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+\operatorname{sy} 11 \\
+\mathrm{hi} \\
+ \text { mid }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow
$$

 $C_{1}\left(V C_{1}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { tsyli } \\ +h i \\ -m i d\end{array}\right]$
非

Umlaut $2 b$ - Stress Advancement

Umlaut 2c - Vowel Reduction
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { +sy } 11 \\ \text { tmid }\end{array}\right] \rightarrow$

Final Vowel Reduction/Deletion

PR6
Voice Assimilation


PR7
De-spirantisation


PR8
De-sonorantisation


PR9
Devocalisation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
+ \text { sy } 11 \\
+\mathrm{hi} \\
+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { sy11 } \\
- \text { cons }
\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { cons } \\
+ \text { hi } \\
+b a \\
- \text { voic }
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{l}
+s y 11 \\
+\mathrm{ba}
\end{array}\right]
$$

PR10

> Velarisation (Optional)


Note: PR1 and 2 contain the segment $\left[\begin{array}{l}+s y 11 \\ +h i \\ -m i d\end{array}\right]$. This is our abstract underlying umlaut-inducing vowel which we have represented as 'I' in Chapt. 2 above (see note 10).

## A Sample Derivation

|  | PR2 | PR3 | PR4 | PR5 | PR7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| témvI: | tí́mvI | tí́mvI | tíəmvI | tíəmv(ə) | tíəmb 'time' |

We note that PR1O，an optional rule，may in some way help to explain the＇jump＇from［y］to［v］．It is obvious that［w］provides the feature and／or phonetic link between labial and velar points of articulation．

## 6．8 Two Morphophonological Rules ${ }^{42}$

Under PR7 and 8 we have also covered the phonetic change that takes place in the initial consonant of certain Feminine plurals （we avoid saying Feminine noums as there are those which we have called mixed gender－sect． 4.4 above）．It would be convenient to be able to include these nouns as being subject to the said $P$ rules．It appears impossible to state the environment in purely phonological terms，however．The only alternative is to refer to morphological classes，thus：

MR 1


MR 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
- \text { sy11 } \\
- \text { son } \\
- \text { cont } \\
- \text { voic }
\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
{[+ \text { long }]} \\
{[+ \text { voic }]}
\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { ra非 } \\
1 \text { a非 }
\end{array}\right] \quad[⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 \quad \text { Class X noun base } 43
$$

42．Anderson（1974）discusses three different types of rules which he says are to be found in the phonology of a language－morpholexical rules，phonological rules and phonetic rules（p．xiv）．Our P rules include the last two types and we feel our $M$ rules are perhaps of his first type．

43．Those of Table X above．
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List of Rutés words appearing in above text phonemically transcribed. The Roman numerals following some entries refer to the chart in which these entries appear and thus the declension type.

| Rutés | Gloss | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| af (iə) | have (I) | fornI (I) | oven |
| áin | 1 amb | fort (VIII) | strong |
| al | rooster | fraval | strawberry |
| anţát | swollen | frerI | cold |
| ancín | hook | fre $\int \mathrm{k}$ (VII) | cool |
| apért (VII) | open | $\mathrm{fr} \varepsilon \mathrm{v}$ | fever |
| ará人 | braying | fují | to flee |
| at (X) | cat |  |  |
| acír | to kill | gol (II) | throat |
| aóstI | August | goc | drop |
| aucé1I | bird | $\ddagger ə 10 s$ (VII) | jealous |
| bárba | beard | Jovən (VIII) | young |
| barbét | chin | jank | white |
| $\mathrm{b} \varepsilon 1$ | beautiful | jastumá | to blaspheme |
| bon (VII) | good | jat | breath |
| butón (III) | button | jac | ice |
| fasól (VI) | bean | jenər | son-in-1aw |
| fatijá | to work | jok | flake |
| fats (iə) | do (I) | jokI (I) | game |
| faroc (VIII) | fierce | jonn (VII) | blonde |
| fibjə | buckle | jornI (I) | day |
| fjor (III) | river | jumár | river |
| fokI | fire | kaf (X) | cage |


| Rutés | Gloss | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kainát | brother-in-1aw | làgənatúr | rolling-pin |
| kan | dog | lagənə | lasagne |
| kanarón | windpipe | $1 \varepsilon$ tI (I) | bed |
| kannél (II/X) | candle | levən (V) | firewood |
| kap (X) | head | 1 Onk (VII) | long |
| kàraumár | coalman | lopI (I) | wolf |
| karavón (III) | coal | maéstr (VI) | teacher |
| kavər | hot |  |  |
| kavətsón (III) | shoe | man | hand |
|  |  | me1 | apple tree |
| ker (VII) | that |  |  |
| kest (VII) | this | m\&rək (VI) | doctor |
|  |  | merə 1I (I) | blackbird |
| kJ1I (I) | neck |  |  |
| kJkI (I) | cook | melI (I) | apple |
|  |  | mérkurì | Wednesday |
|  |  | mes (III) | month |
| kor | tail |  |  |
| korn (v) | horn | met | to put |
|  |  | melon (III) | melon |
| korpI (VII) | body | mfonn | deep |
| kort (VII) | short |  |  |
|  |  | mfosI | wet |
| kot | couple | mif ká | to mix |
| krap | goat |  |  |
| krer | to believe | mənək (II) | nun |
|  |  | mənək (VI) | monk |
| kroc (IV/X) | cross | mort (VII) | dead |
| kuanr | how many? | mutá | to change |
| kuat | four |  |  |
| kulór (III) | colour | nas | nose |
| kuréj | belt | n $\varepsilon$ 人 | fog |
| kurté1I (I) | knife | nesper | medlar |


| Rutés | Gloss | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | black | pe $\int$ (III) | fish |
| nəpot (III) | nephew | $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon \mathrm{tI}$ (I) | breast |
| nəpot (IV) | niece | p ¢tən (III) | comb |
| nir | nest | pəpərol (VI) | chili |
| nom | name | pərmon (III) | lung |
| nจ r | sister-in-1aw | pərotI | fleas |
| nost (VII) | our | picón (III) | pigeon |
| noc (IV) | nut | poləc (III) | louse |
| not (IV) | night | portI (I) | port |
| nจv (VII) | new | pots (iə) | $\operatorname{san}$ (I) |
| nsen I | lap | povər | poor |
|  |  | pret (II) | stone |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{m}$ ( III) | man |  |  |
|  |  | prevət (VI) | priest |
| osI (V) | bone | púniə | fist |
| ortI (V) | garden |  |  |
| 2tI (I) | eye | rarək | root |
| วvI (V) | egg | rasólI (I) | razor |
|  |  | rebot (VI) | debt |
| pants | be11y | ren (III) | kidneys, back |
| pak | straw |  |  |
| parlá | to speak | renr (III) | tooth |
|  |  | retI (V) | finger |
| pekər | sheep | rəlor (III) | pain |
| $\mathrm{p} \varepsilon 1$ ( $\mathrm{IV} / \mathrm{X}$ ) | skin |  |  |
|  |  | rəmor (III) | noise |
| pel (VI) | hair | rənar | money |
| $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{n}$ ( X ) | feather |  |  |
| p¢r (III) | foot | rənoti (V) | knee |
|  |  | rəretI | right |
| per (VI) | pear | riñón (III) | kidney |
| $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{rr}$ | to lose |  |  |
| pes (VI) | weight | ric | to say |


| Rutés | G1oss | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rijtm | fast | soc (VI) | associate |
| roj (VII) | two | sporá | to hope |
| ros (VII) | red | spork (VII) | dirty |
| ros (VII) | big | spos (VI) | husband |
| $\operatorname{rot}(\mathrm{IV} / \mathrm{X})$ | dowry | stort (VII) | crooked |
| rot (II) | whee1 | stret (VII) | straight |
| roc (VIII) | sweet | suer | corktree |
| rospI (I) | toad |  |  |
| rumén $\mathrm{k}^{\text {k }}$ | Sunday | $\int$ kaf | box on ears |
| rurmí | to sleep | $\int \mathrm{kum}$ | foam |
| rutés (VIII) | Grottamin, | temvI ( I ) | time |
| sank | blood | t $¢ \mathrm{r}$ | earth |
| sac (iə) | know (I) | tetI (I) | roof |
| sem (III) | seed | tije1 (X) | ovenpan |
| sek (VII) | dry; thin | tonn (VII) | round |
| serp (IV) | snake | tor (IV/X) | tower |
| si人úts | hiccup | tor 11 | yolk |
| skarpé1I | chisel | tost (VII) | hard |
| skə1 | school | tronI (V) | thunder |
| skrof | sow | truvá | to find |
| soker (II) | sister-in-1aw | tsep $p$ ( $x$ ) | wedge |
| sokərI (I) | brother-in-law |  |  |
| sol (VII) | alone | thav (X) | key |
| sorəc (III) | mouse | ten (VII) | full |
| sonI (I) | sound | ¢es | church |
| sorr (VII) | deaf | ¢0mmI | 1ead |
| so $\int \mathrm{I}$ | breath | ¢opI (I) ¢̧ot (VII) | poplar fat |


| Rutés | Gloss | Rutés | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢0vI | nail | vit | vine |
| cenər (IV/X) | ash | vəj | today |
|  | as | vok (II/X) | mouth |
| cer | to yield |  |  |
|  |  | voskI | wood |
| c\&rəv (VI) | deer |  |  |
| cet I |  | vot (IV/X) | barre1 |
| cet 1 | quiet |  |  |
| certs (X) | oak | votI (I) | vow |
|  |  | votI (V) | elbow |
| cec (III) | chickpea |  |  |
| cokat | blind | vots | crop |
|  |  | voc | voice |
| c əner (VII) | tender | voc |  |
| c ər əvย1I | brain | vov (III) | ox |
|  |  |  | arm |
| cimac | bedbug | vrats |  |
| cink | five | vret, (II/X) | ear |
|  |  | vretI | glass |
| unć1 (X) | skirt | vrokə1I | broccoli |
| vakón (III) |  | vulíkə 1 | nave1 |
|  |  | vusík | bladder |
| vas | kiss |  |  |
| ven (II) | vein |  |  |
| v ¢ n n | to se11 |  |  |
| venrI (I) | wind |  |  |
| v Erm (III) | worm |  |  |
| verr (VIII) | green |  |  |
| verəv (II) | widow |  |  |
| verəvI(I) | widower |  |  |
| ve $\int$ kəv (VI) | bishop |  |  |
| $v \varepsilon t$ | old |  |  |
| vev | to drink |  |  |
| vənniñ | grape-har |  |  |
| vəré | to see |  |  |


[^0]:    1. Reference will also be made from time to time to data recorded in the AIS (see bibliography) from the two closest villages to Grotta, p. 723 , Montefusco, 13 km . west and p. 725, Trevico, 22 km . east. (See Maps 1 and 2). Data will also be presented from Napoletano, most of which was obtained from Mrs. Rosa Maria Rossi.
[^1]:    2. We have also used data collected at Edmonton, Alberta in 1971 during interviews with Pina Clericuzio who at that time was 23 years old and had been in Canada for 12 years. They spoke only dialect at home. We taped several of these sessions.
[^2]:    3. Authors consulted were: Bertoni passim; Grandgent pp. 6-7; MeyerLUbke p. 12; Wiese p. 148.
[^3]:    8. Attributed by sub-stratists such as von Wartburg to the influence of 'osco-umbrian' speech habits.
    9. Cf. Portuguese: chuva<plứvia, chave<cláve (also chama<flamma) $\mathrm{ch}=\left[\int\right]$, and Spanish: 1luvia<pluvia Ilave<clave (1lama<flamma)-11 = [ K$]$ where merger occurs only in word initial position.
[^4]:    10. Our citation forms are presented in phonetic rather than phonemic transcription. Sometimes the two are identical. When they differ we have given some sample phonemic representations. The remainder may be established by reference to chapters III and VI below and are listed in phonemic form in the Appendix.
[^5]:    11. We intend in no way to give the impression that these changes are unique to our Central Italian region, indeed, they represent the Vulgar Latin stage of development embodying the mergers that set the stage for further developments in all Western Romania.
[^6]:    15. Data from 9)a) are taken from C. Blaylock's "Hispanic Metaphony", p. 265, and 9)b) from Schürr p. 203. Metaphony is a term, fully explained in Blaylock's article, generally used by Romance linguists writing in languages other than German to refer to Umlaut in Romance.
[^7]:    22. See Lausberg, III pt.1, p. 19 and R. Posner, The Romance Languages, p. 137.
[^8]:    24. Cf. also the avoidance of word final/tr/ or/str/ clusters in 2.2.2 above.
