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APPENDICES
APPENDIX I

Freetrade and Protectionist MsLA, 1887-1892: Political Complexion

Note:

(1) References to NSWPD and newspapers are abbreviated thus:- (XXVII, 2573); (DT, 6.6.91,6).

(2) Radical or conservative associations to which MsLA belonged are included in abbreviated form in brackets after member's name.

(3) Other abbreviations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Legislative Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hrs</td>
<td>eight-hour working day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payment MPs</td>
<td>payment of members of parliament</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) FREETRADERS

(a) Some Prominent Radical Liberal Freetrade MsLA

For the views of most of the following on the subject of direct taxation, see ch.3, nn.29-33.

A. ALLEN (FTLREC, 1893): pro - payment MPs (XXVII, 2573);
elective LC (SMH, 28.2.87,11);
8 hrs (XXXI, 3396-97);
anti - revenue tariff (ch 2, n.100).

J. H. CARRUTHERS (FTLREC, 1893): pro - elective LC (SMH, 4.2.87,11); property tax and national bank (ibid);

A. CAMPBELL: pro - elective LC, labour legislation, free education (DT, 12.6.91,6); 8 hrs (LIV, 2672-3).

G. CLUBB: pro - payment MPs (XXXIX, 2240); elective LC, land tax (DT, 6.7.94,5).

J. CURLEY: pro - 8 hrs (XLVIII, 4231).
G. DONALD: pro - single tax (DT, 4.7.91,7)

F. FARNELL: pro - payment MPs (XXVII, 2573); 8 hrs (XXXI, 3418);
anti - revenue tariff (SMH, 6.2.89,8)

C. L. GARLAND (STL, 1889-91): pro - payment MPs (XXVII, 2573);
direct taxation (XXXIII, 5289; DT, 1.2.89,10).

J. GARRARD: pro - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXXIX, 2240); 8 hrs
(ADB, vol. 4, 234); direct taxation.

J. GILLIES: pro - 8 hrs, payment MPs (DT, 4.7.91,7); elective
LC (DT, 11.6.91,3).

J. S. HAWTHORNE: pro - 8 hrs (DT, 9.6.91,6; XLVIII, 4232); direct
tax (DT, 9.6.91,6); anti-payment MPs (SMH, 28.2.87,11; XXVI, 1841-42 BUT XXXIX,2240).

J. HAYNES (FTLRL, 1894): pro - payment MPs (XXVI, 1828-30, 1841;
XXXIX, 2240); anti - revenue tariff (FTLA Conference, 47); pro - direct tax-
ation.

E. LONSDALE (FTLREC, 1893): champion of labour, pro - 8 hrs,
direct tax (DT, 4.7.91,7). See
SMH, 24.5.94,6 (E. Pulsford).

S. W. MOORE: pro - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573); direct
tax, but anti - 8 hrs (XXXI, 3400).

J. C. NEILD (FTLREC, 1893): pro - 8 hrs (DT, 4.7.91,7; XXXI, 3418);
factories legislation (DT, 4.7.91,7); direct tax (DT, 1.2.89,9); anti - el-
ective LC (SMH, 3.7.94,6).

J. NOBBS: pro - elective LC, payment MPs (DT, 5.2.89,5); 8 hrs
(DT, 6.2.89,5; 9.6.91,6; XXXI, 3418); direct tax, re-
moval of tariff anomalies, labour legislation (DT,
9.6.91,6; ch 2, n.100)

D. O’CONNOR (FTLREC, 1893): pro - abolition LC (DT, 1.2.89,9);
payment MPs (XXXII, 2240); direct tax.

J. SEAVER: strongly pro - direct tax (XXXVII, 370; SMH, 28.2.87,
12), refused to follow Parkes - said he had been 'false
to freetrade' (DT, 12.2.89,5), and contested St
Leonards against Parkes in 1891. anti - payment MPs
(XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573). anti - revenue tariff
(ch. 2, n.100).

F. J. SMITH: pro - land tax, but anti - payment MPs (XXVII, 2573).

S. SMITH: pro - payment MPs (XXVII, 2573-4; XXXIX, 2240); 8 hrs
(XLVIII, 4228 = 30; LIV, 2514 - 15, 2517, 2524).

R. W. THOMPSON: pro - elective LC (SMH, 28.2.87,11); doctrinaire
freetrader: anti-revenue tariff (SMH, 30.1.89,10);
pro - direct tax (XXXIII, 5389).

J. E. TONKIN (FTLREC, 1893): pro - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII
2573; XXXIX, 2240); elective LC
(SMH, 28.2.87,11); 8 hrs (LIV,2515,
2525); trade unions (B.Dickey, 'Trade
Unions', 201). "His politics have a
decided tinge of radicalism, ...".
(DT, 17.7.94,5).
B.R.WISE (FTLREC, 1893): See chapters 2,3.

P.WOODWARD: pro - payment MPs (XXVII, 2573); elective LC, 8 hrs, labour legislation, direct tax (SMH, 28.2.87,12; DT, 29.1.89,3).

(b) Some Prominent Moderate Freetrade MsLA

F.ABIGAIL: pro - property tax (SMH, 3.2.87,6); payment MPs (XXXIX, 2240); anti - 8 hrs (XXXI, 3394).

J.N.BRUNKER: anti - payment MPs (SMH, 28.2.87,11; XXVII, 2573; XXXVIII,1955); pro - 8 hrs (LIV, 2520, 2680).

W.MCCOURT: anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841); pro - direct taxation (SMH, 28.2.87,11; DT, 12.6.91,6).

P.H.MORTON: pro - removal tariff anomalies (FTLA Conference, p.47); payment MPs (XXXIX, 2240); anti - 8 hrs (LIV, 3161).

G.H.REID: See ch.4, n.270.

(c) Some Prominent Conservative Liberal and Conservative Freetrade MsLA

W.C.A'BECKETT: anti - payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955)

J.ABBOTT: anti - payment MPs (DT, 1.2.89,10; XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240); 8 hrs (LIV, 2675-6).

"Inclined to Conservatism on such questions as that of land taxation ..." (DT, 23.6.94,9). See A.W.Martin, Political Developments, pp.266-68.

E.J.BALL: anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573; XXVIII, 1955).

R.J.BLACK (NA, 1893-4)

A.BOWMAN: anti-payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573); pro-indirect taxation (DT, 1.2.89,5).

H.H.BROWN: anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573; XXXVIII, 1955).

S.BURDEKIN: on executive committee of The Land Owners' Defence League, 1894 - to combat land tax (G.C.Hunt to Parkes, 16 May 1894. PC, A923, p.652, ML). Retrenchment before taxation (SMH, 28.2.87,11); anti - land tax (DT, 10.7.94,6).

J.F.BURNS: pro - laissez-faire (SMH, 4.2.87,11; J.A.Ryan, B.R.Wise, 232); pro - indirect taxation (see ch.2); anti - direct taxation (see ch.3; SMH, 24.5.94,3); anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573; XXXVIII, 1955).

M.CHAPMAN: anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573; XXXIX, 2240).
C. COLLINS: anti - land tax (DT, 10.7.94,6). See A.W. Martin, Political Developments, pp. 266-68.

W.R. CORTIS (NA, 1894): anti - elective LC, payment MPs (XXVII, 2573), direct tax.

J.F. CULLEN: anti - payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240); 8 hrs (LIV, 3161; XLVIII, 4231); land and direct tax (SMH, 2.4.89,4; LXXIV, 2258-9); pro - laissez-faire (LXX, 2328-9). See A.W. Martin, Political Developments, pp. 75-6, 266-68.

W.P. CULLEN: anti - land tax (DT, 12.6.91,6); 8 hrs (LIV, 3161).

T.G. DANGAR: anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573; XXXIX, 2240).

J.C. ELLIS: pro - indirect taxation (LXXVII, 6749); anti - direct taxation (XXXV, 636; LXXV, 4421; LXXVIII, 7001). See A.W. Martin, Political Developments, pp. 74, 266-68.

G.W. FULLER: anti - payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240); direct tax (SMH, 27.6.94,6).

T. GARRETT: pro - indirect taxation (DT, 6.2.89,5); anti - direct tax (J.A. Ryan, B.R. Wise, 233); 8 hrs (XXI, 3397).

F.J. GIBBES: pro - laissez-faire (SMH, 4.2.87,6); anti - payment MPs (XXXVII, 2573; SMH 28.2.97,11).

A.J. GOULD: pro - indirect tax (DT, 11.6.91,3); anti - direct tax (DT, 22.6.94,6); payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240); 8 hrs.

N. HAWKEN: anti - payment MPs (SMH, 28.2.87,11); direct tax (DT, 4.7.94,6).

W.H. HOLBOROW: anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841; XXVII, 2573; XXXVIII, 1955).

J. INGLIS: pro - indirect tax to make working-class 'contribute a fair share' (DT, 12.6.91,6); anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841).

I.E. IVES (NA, 1893-4): pro - indirect tax (SMH, 31.1.87,6); anti - payment MPs (XXVI, 1841); direct tax.

C.E. JEANNERET: anti - 8 hrs (XXXI, 3400; LIV, 2528); land tax (DT, 30.6.94,5).

A. LAMB: pro - indirect tax (SMH, 1.2.89,3); anti - payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240).

C.A. LEE: anti - direct tax (LXXVI, 4468). See A.W. Martin, Political Developments, pp. 266-68.

J. McELHONE (NA, 1893-4): pro - indirect taxation (SMH, 28.2.87,12); anti - 8 hrs (XXXI, 3395-6); direct tax.

W. McMillan: See chapters 2,3.

J. MARKS (NA, 1894)

H. PARKES: See chapters 2,3.

W.H. PAUL: anti - 8 hrs (DT, 29.1.89,3; 11.6.91,3).
A.B.Smith (NA, 1894): See chapters 2,3.

J.R.Street: anti-payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955); direct tax (DT, 30.1.89,6); pro - indirect tax.

J.Wheeler (NA, 1894): anti-payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240); 8 hrs (XLVIII, 4231).

R.B.Wilkinson: anti-payment MPs (XXVI, 1841); 8 hrs (LIV, 3161).

J.T.Wilshire: anti-payment MPs (XXXVIII, 1955; XXXIX, 2240); member 'Law and Order' party (XXXVII, 343).

J.H.Young: pro - laissez-faire (LXX, 2323).

(2) PROTECTIONISTS

The following table is merely a summary of the conclusions arrived at in chapter 4, and it is based solely on the evidence used to reach those conclusions. The list does not include every protectionist MLA between 1887 and 1892, but only the more prominent members of the parliamentary party.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservative</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Radical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J.P.Abbott</td>
<td>J.F.Barnes</td>
<td>R.Barbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.E.Abbott</td>
<td>W.Brown</td>
<td>A.Chapman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.Alison</td>
<td>J.M.Chanter</td>
<td>J.Creer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.J.Allen</td>
<td>T.Colls</td>
<td>W.P.Crick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.Barton</td>
<td>H.Copeland</td>
<td>J.Gormly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.Brown</td>
<td>W.Edmunds</td>
<td>J.G.Gough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.Clarke</td>
<td>T.Ewing</td>
<td>W.Grahame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.Copland</td>
<td>A.Ryrie</td>
<td>J.Hayes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.A.Cruickshank</td>
<td>J.M.Toohye</td>
<td>J.P.Howe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.Dalton</td>
<td>T.Waddell</td>
<td>H.C.Hoyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.R.Dibbs</td>
<td>W.C.Wall</td>
<td>A.Hutchison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.S.Dowel</td>
<td></td>
<td>J.A.Mackinnon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Radical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.G. Fitzgerald</td>
<td></td>
<td>H. McKinnon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Fletcher</td>
<td></td>
<td>N. Melville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Garvan</td>
<td></td>
<td>G. T. Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.H. Hassall</td>
<td></td>
<td>E.W.O'Sullivan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. Hogan</td>
<td></td>
<td>T. Rose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Kidd</td>
<td></td>
<td>W. F. Schey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Lakeman</td>
<td></td>
<td>R. Stevenson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.H. Levien</td>
<td></td>
<td>W.H. Traill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.J. Lyne</td>
<td></td>
<td>R.M. Vaughn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. McFarlane</td>
<td></td>
<td>T. Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. McRae</td>
<td></td>
<td>W.N. Willis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.B. Nicoll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.S. Perry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Ross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. See</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Slattery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Stokes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.B. Suttor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Wilkinson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.A. Wright</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX II
Platform of the LPA, 1889

(1) 6 March 1889. PC, vol. 23, A893, pp.15-16, ML.

"Messrs McMillan, Brunker, Abigail, Lee, Carruthers & Garrett, the sub-committee selected to prepare proposed Platform of the Liberal Party met yesterday and beg to submit the following for the consideration of the Organising Committee.

1st. Fiscal Policy.
   1. Freedom of Trade.
   2. Direct Taxation.
   3. Retrenchment of Expenditure.
   4. The administration of the Public Works Act & Railways Act in their integrity & the abolition of political patronage & preference in the Civil Service in order to secure economy of administration.

2nd. Land Policy.
   1. Laws to provide bona fide settlers with the most practicable, expeditious & liberal means of settlement.
   2. The enactment of laws to regulate the occupation of Crown Lands for pastoral purposes upon a fair & liberal basis including special provisions to meet the conditions of the Great Western area of the Colony.

3rd. Mining Policy.
   1. Laws to regulate mining on private property.
   2. Encouragement to bona fide mining on Crown Lands & the enforcement of the conditions of leases.
3. Special laws to regulate Coal Mining in order to remedy existing grievances.

4th. Agricultural Policy.
2. Improvement of internal communications to facilitate the carriage of produce to market.
3. Establishment of Agricultural Schools & Model Farms in order to afford special instruction in Agriculture.

5th. National Policy.
1. Establishment of Local & Divisional Government throughout the Colony by means of a liberal & comprehensive Law.
2. Electoral Reform providing for an Elective Upper House & for the reduction of the number of members of the Legislative Assembly to a reasonable limit.
3. The Federation of Australia.

6th. The Encouragement of local industries by any legitimate means not imposing a tax upon the many for the benefit of the few as by -
1. Water Conservation etc. to assist farmers.
2. Cheap and speedy communication for producers to & from markets.
3. Technical Education to improve the skill and knowledge of those engaged in special pursuits.
4. Liberal laws to enable mining and other industries to be carried on with more security & bona fides.

(2) SMH, 28 March 1889, p.5.
As amended by the Organising Committee and distributed at a meeting of the LPA, 27 March.
"The proposed platform is as follows:-
1. **Financial Policy.**
   1. Freedom of Trade;
   2. direct taxation, including a tax on the unimproved value of the freehold in land, with reasonable exemption;
   3. economy in the public expenditure;
   4. efficient and economical control of the public services.

2. **Land Policy.**
   1. Laws to provide bona-fide settlers with the most practicable, expeditious, and liberal means of settlement upon the Crown land;
   2. laws to regulate the occupation of Crown lands for pastoral purposes upon an equitable and liberal basis, including provision adapted to meet the conditions of the Western Division.

3. **Mining Policy.**
   1. Laws to regulate mining on private property;
   2. encouragement to legitimate mining on Crown lands;
   3. establishment of mining colleges.

4. **General Internal Policy.**
   1. Local self-government;
   2. improvement of internal communication by the extension of our railway system and the opening up of harbours and rivers;
   3. comprehensive system of water conservation and irrigation;
   4. establishment of agricultural schools and model farms, and generally the extension of technical education;
   5. forestry laws to regulate the use, preservation, and growth of timber.

5. **Encouragement of local industries** by any legitimate means not imposing a tax upon the many to benefit the few.

6. **Electoral and Parliamentary Reform.**
7. Law reform.

(3) SMH, 2 April 1889, p.4; DT, 2 April 1889, p.6.

As amended by a meeting of the LPA on 1 April.

The proposed platform of 27 March was not altered with the exception of the following clauses:

1. Financial Policy.

2. Direct taxation. (all words after 'taxation' were eliminated).

3. Changed to "Efficient control of public service and judicious economy in public expenditure".

4. Incorporated into 3.

5. Encouragement of local industries was amended to follow, "by the abolition of all existing duties on raw material".

8. Sanitary Reform was added.
1. One man one vote. Single member electorates.
2. Elective Upper House.
3. Registration of voters, provision being made for the transfer of votes, so as to enable the working population to record their votes in any one electorate of the colony. Abolition of public hustings nominations.
5. Local self-government and decentralisation.
6. Control by the State or local governing body of such works or undertakings as railways, tramways, water supply, public lighting, or other necessary works for the general good of a community, which, if vested in private owners, might create a monopoly prejudicial to the best interests of the people.
7. A Workshop and Factories Act, dealing specifically with the sweating system, and providing for the registration and proper supervision of all such premises.
8. The regular inspection of land boilers and machinery by competent practical men.
9. Amendment of the Trades Mark Act, providing for the stamping or marking of all manufactures to show where they are made.
11. Amendment of the Trades Union Act.
12. A tax on land values irrespective of improvements.
of mines and metallurgy works by the State.

14. Establishment of agricultural schools and model farms, and generally the extension of technical education.

15. Comprehensive national system of water conservation and irrigation.

16. Federation of the colonies, on a broad just and national basis.

(SMH, 23 May 1891, p. 7)
"Mr E.W. O'Sullivan, after having stated at length the reasons why a new political party should be formed in New South Wales, moved the following resolution:- 'That this conference hereby establishes the Australian National League, open to both native and adopted citizens, with the view of achieving the following objects - the fostering of an Australian sentiment, and to uphold the interests of Australia in preference to those of any other country; the following to be the programme in so far as the interests of New South Wales are concerned:—A judicious and discriminating protective tariff, a liberal and equitable land law, water conservation and irrigation, decentralisation, an elective Upper House, payment of members, registration of voters and abolition of property qualification for votes, abolition of the differential railway rates, restrictive laws against all coloured races likely to endanger our civilisation, protective shipping laws, a Department of Agriculture with a Ministerial head, local self-government, legatisation of the eight-hour system, Workshops and Factories Act, and discontinuing sectarianism at elections'. Mr Colls seconded the motion, which was supported by Mr. J. J. Miller. The programme was unanimously adopted, with the addition of the Department of Agriculture, moved by Mr. J. J. Miller".

(SMH, 26 July 1888, p.8)
APPENDIX V
Platform of the National Democratic Association, 1890

1. Protection for all articles that can be grown or manufactured within the colony, and admission free of all other articles, save luxuries.

2. The prohibition of the sweating system.

3. Electoral reform, including single electorates, the abolition of plural voting, and registration of votes.

4. The extension of the franchise to members of the police and permanent forces, and to seamen.

5. Reform of the Upper House.

6. A Local Government Bill.

7. The amendment of the Railway Act, limiting the powers of the Commissioners for making contracts, and for other purposes.

8. The abolition of differential railway rates.


10. Legalisation of the eight-hour system.

11. A Factory and Workshops Act, with competent men to be appointed as inspectors.

12. The repeal of the Agreements Validating Act, and invalidation of labour covenants made outside the colony.


14. The right of the colony to coin silver and bronze.

15. The right of the colony to be consulted in the selection of its Governor.


(SMH, 28 October 1890, p.6)
### APPENDIX VI
The Electorates of New South Wales, 1887-1891

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY TO MAPS</th>
<th>ELECTORATE</th>
<th>MEMBERS RETURNED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>ALBURY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>ARGYLE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>BALMAIN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>BALRANALD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>BATHURST</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>THE BOGAN</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>BOOROWA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BOURKE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>BRAIDWOOD</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>CAMDEN</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>CANTERBURY</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>CARCOAR</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>CENTRAL CUMBERLAND</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>THE CLARENCE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>DURHAM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>EAST MACQUARIE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>EAST MAITLAND</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>EAST SYDNEY</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>EDEN</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>FORBES</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>THE GLEBE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY TO MAPS</td>
<td>ELECTORATE</td>
<td>MEMBERS RETURNED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>GLEN INNES</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>GLOUCESTER</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>GOULBURN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GRAFTON</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>GRENFELL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>GUNDAGAII</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GUNNEDAH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>THE G WyDIR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>HARTLEY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>THE HASTINGS AND MANNING</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>THE HAWKESBURY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>THE HUME</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>THE HUNTER</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>ILLAWARRA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>INVERELL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>KIAMA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>THE MACLEAY</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>MOLONG</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>MONARO</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>MORPETH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>MUDGEE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>THE MURRAY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>THE MURRUMBIDGEE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>THE NAMOI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>THE NEPEAN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY TO MAPS</td>
<td>ELECTORATE</td>
<td>MEMBERS RETURNED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>NEWCASTLE</td>
<td>2 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NEW ENGLAND</td>
<td>2 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>NEWTOWN</td>
<td>3 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>NORTHUMBERLAND</td>
<td>3 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>PADDINGTON</td>
<td>3 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>PARRAMATTA</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>PATRICK'S PLAINS</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>QUEANBEYAN</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>REDFERN</td>
<td>4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>THE RICHMOND</td>
<td>2 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>SHOALHAVEN</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>SOUTH SYDNEY</td>
<td>4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>ST LEONARDS</td>
<td>2 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>TAMWORTH</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>TENTERFIELD</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>TUMUT</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>THE UPPER HUNTER</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>WELLINGTON</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>WENTWORTH</td>
<td>2 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>WEST MACQUARIE</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>WEST MAITLAND</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>WEST SYDNEY</td>
<td>4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>WOLLOMBI</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>YASS PLAINS</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY TO MAPS</td>
<td>ELECTORATE</td>
<td>MEMBERS RETURNED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>YOUNG</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>STURT) Part of WENTWORTH</td>
<td>- 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>WILCANNIA) in 1887</td>
<td>- 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>124 137 141</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL ELECTORATES:**

- 1887 72
- 1889,1891 74
SYDNEY SUBURBAN ELECTORAL DIVISIONS
1887, 1889, 1891
APPENDIX VII

Office-Bearers and Council Members of the FTA, LPA and FTLA, 1885-1891

Note:

MLA - MLA at any time between 1887 and 1891.
SCC - Member, Sydney Chamber of Commerce, 1885-1890.
STL - Member, Single Tax League, 1889-1891.
TLC - Member, Trades and Labour Council of New South Wales, 1885-1891.
LEL - Parliamentary candidate of Labor Electoral League of New South Wales, 1891-1894.
FTLREC - Member, Free Trade and Land Reform Electoral Committee, 1893-1894. (pro-direct taxation).
FTLRL - Member, Free Trade, Land and Reform League, 1894 (pro-direct taxation).
NA - Member of, or association with, National Association of New South Wales, 1893-1894 (anti-direct taxation, conservative).
LODL - Member, Land Owners' Defence League, 1894 (anti-land taxation).


Membership of or association with NA: based on SMH, 11 April 1893, p.6; 10 May 1893, p.8; 21 March 1894, p.5; 7 July 1894, p.7; John Baptist Papers, Uncat. MSS Set 162, Item 4, ML.

Membership of TLC: based on Trades and Labour Council of New South Wales. General Meetings - Minutes, December 1881 - February 1891, A3830-A3831, ML.

Association with LEL: based on reports in SMH and DT during general elections of 1891 and 1894.

Membership of FTLRL: based on Armidale Chronicle, 8 September 1894.

Membership of LODL: See ch.3, n.37.
Membership of the STL: based on SMH, 24 January 1889, p.6; 20 April 1889, p.9; 27 April 1889, p.8; 29 April 1889, p.9; 20 January 1890, p.4; 30 January 1890, p.4; 5 March 1890, p.5; 29 January 1891, p.7; 1 April 1891, p.8; 2 April 1891, p.4.

Association with and membership of FTLREC: based on SMH, 26 April 1893, p.4; 29 April 1893, p.10; 4 May 1893, p.2; 22 July 1893, p.5; 27 July 1893, p.4; 3 August 1893, p.6; 8 August 1893, pp.5-6; 14 August 1893, p.6; 23 August 1893, p.8; 31 August 1893, p.6; 19 September 1893, p.6; 16 January 1894, p.6; 22 May 1894, p.6.

Other information concerning members, especially their occupations, is based on: A.W. Martin and P. Wardle, Notes; ADP, vols 3 and 4; Sands' Sydney Directory, 1885-1889.

(1) FTA

FTA 1885-6

SMH 18 August 1885, p.4; 29 August 1885; DT 18 August 1885, p.6; SMH 3 October 1885, p.10.

G. PILE (Vice-President, Treasurer) NA
G. N. GRIFFITHS Griffiths and Weaver (Stock and Station agents) SCC, NA
E. PULSFORD (Secretary) SCC
D. HOGARTH .
G. M. MERIVALE Gibbs, Bright and Co. (shipping) SCC, NA
W. MCMILLAN MLA A. Mc Arthur and Co. (warehouse) SCC
J. H. YOUNG MLA Commission Agent
A. T. EDWARDS Importer
J. HENDERSON
G. MUNRO A. McArthur and Co. (warehouse) SCC
J. H. GOODLET (President) Goodlet and Smith (importers) SCC
W. MAINER Building contractor NA
J. HAYNES MLA Journalist FTLRL
B. R. WISE MLA (Vice-President) Barrister FTLREC

The above fourteen men comprised the original executive council of the FTA.

J. BURNS Burns, Philp and Co. (shipping) SCC, NA
A. J. SMITH SCC
F. J. THOMAS (Vice-President) Manager, Hunter River New Steam Navigation Co.
R. J. BROWNING Barrister
H. HUDSON (Vice-President) Hudson Brothers (Timber merchants and engineering) SCC
G. WALLACE Solicitor
W. H. JENNINGS Contractor
R. W. CONWAY Manager, Metropolitan Mutual Permanent Building and Investment Association
W. H. MACKENZIE W. H. Mackenzie and Co. (insurance) SCC
A. KETHEL MLA Timber merchant

This was the full composition of the FTA Council by the end of August 1885, for it was reported on 29 August that the council "now numbers 25". (SMH, 29 August 1885, p.13).

H. A. PERKINS
W. G. MURRAY A. Murray and Co. (tea merchants) SCC
J. S. JAMIESON Prince, Ogg and Co. (warehouse) SCC
W. CLARKE MLA Banker
W. C. PROCTOR Solicitor
J. NOBBS MLA Newspaper proprietor
Office-bearers

B. R. WISE President FTLREC
J. H. GOODLET Vice-President SCC
G. MUNRO Vice-President SCC
J. INGLIS Vice-President SCC
G. PILE Treasurer NA
E. PULSFORD Secretary SCC

Additions (Only those not previously on FTA council are listed)

J. INGLIS (Vice-president) MLA James Inglis and Co. (tea merchants) SCC
R. TEECE Manager A.M.P. Society NA
E. SMITHURST
D. CORMACK Mercantile agent NA
S. T. WHIDDON STL, FTLREC
F. BENNETT Importer
F. B. LARK Lark Sons and Co. (merchants) SCC, NA
C. H. HAYES Secretary, Sydney Exchange SCC, NA
N. B. DOWNING STL
R. G. VALLACK W. Gardiner and Co. (warehouse) SCC
J. T. WILSHIRE MLA (1889)
J. HEDGES NA
J. THORNE Shipbroker
C. M. K. SMITH C. M. K. Smith and Co. (insurance brokers)
W. H. VIVIAN MLA (1890) Estate agent
W. MUSTO
A. J. DRAYTON (probably a labour representative. DT, 6 December 1886, p.5) TLC(?)
R. HALL
S. A. JOSEPH Montefiore, Joseph and Co. (merchants) SCC
Office-bearers

W. McMILLAN President SCC
J.H. GOODLET Vice-president SCC
W. GILLESPIE Vice-president TLC
H. HUDSON Vice-president SCC
G. MUNRO Vice-president SCC
G. PILE Treasurer NA
E. PULSFORD Secretary SCC

(no list of the Council for this year was contained in the reports of the second annual general meeting. SMH, 27 September 1887, p.4; DT, 27 September 1887, p.5).

Additions

H. ATKINSON . Manager, Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States
P.A. BRENNAN
J. BEVERIDGE George Griffiths and Co. (merchants) SCC
W. CHURCH Manager, Australian Mutual Fire Insurance Society
W. DAVIES Manager, N.S.W. Provident and Medical Assurance Association
R.J. DUNFORD
E.W. FOXALL  STL
S.W. FOXALL
W. FOUNTAIN
A. LEE
T. MIDLETON
W. T. MONTGOMERY
A. J. RILEY  MLA  A. J. Riley and Co. (warehouse)  SCC
R. RICHARDSON
A. RICKARD
S. SMITH  TLC
C. E. WIGZELL  Importer
R. WILLIAMS  FTLREC
J. WHEELER  MLA  (1889)  Manager Newcastle-Wallsend
Coal Co.  NA
T. W. WARREN  Warren and Rown (merchants)  SCC
FTLREC

Added during March-April 1889

MOORE
W. NEIL  W. H. Neil and Co. (importers and merchants)
F. WALSH  STL,  FTLREC
E. WEST
H. HARRIS  Harris and Ackman (auctioneers)  SCC
S. J. LAW  LEL,  FTLREC
A. B. WOOD  STL
C. F. MICHELMORE  STL
PARR
J. F. BURNS  MLA
J. A. DOBBIE  STL,  LEL,  FTLREC

In May 1889 it was reported that the size of the FTA
council was "about 60 members"  (SMH, 1 May 1889, p. 7).
LPA Organising Committee

Original Organising Committee, appointed c.25 February 1889.

W. McMillan
F. J. Smith
J. N. Bruncker
S. Smith
S. Burdekin
J. H. Carruthers
F. Abigail

The first report of the Organising Committee envisaged that "a Council of twenty members with a President and two Vice Presidents [would] be elected to act as a Provisional Council .... Such Committee to be composed equally of members of the Legislature and private electors". (PC, Vol. 14, A884, p.357, ML). This latter condition, however, does not seem to have been either fulfilled or retained in the LPA rules. The Association's official rules simply stated that the LPA's "officers shall be a president, two vice-presidents, and a council of 20 members, who shall elect from among themselves a secretary and a treasurer". (SMH, 28 March 1889, p.5). It would seem that the full LPA council or Organising Committee was composed almost exclusively of MsLA.

During March the LPA Organising Committee was expanded to "about 26" members (SMH, 1 May 1889, p.7), and, although the additional members were not named, further analysis seems to reveal their identity. The council of the FTLA, between May 1889 and the Association's general meeting in July, comprised the 60 members of the FTA council and the 26 members of the LPA Organising Committee (ibid). Those MsLA, therefore, who were active on the FTLA council between May and July 1889, and who were members of neither the FTA Council or the original LPA Organising Committee, were probably among those added to the original seven-member LPA executive to bring its number to twenty-six. They were:-

H. H. Cooke (also member LPA delegation to negotiate with FTA re amalgamation)
C. A. Lee (also member of LPA Platform sub-committee and LPA delegation)
SIR HENRY PARKES
J. GARRARD

(For their participation on the FTLA Council prior to July, see:- SMH, 8 May 1889, p.5; 3 June 1889, p.4; 22 June 1889, p.8).

Other parliamentary freetraders on the expanded LPA Organising Committee were probably:-

- A.J. GOULD (member Rules sub-committee)
- T. GARRETT (member Platform sub-committee)
- R.W. THOMPSON (member Rules sub-committee and LPA delegation)
- E.W. TURNER (member LPA delegation)
- W. McCOURT (member LPA delegation)
- P.H. MORTON (member LPA delegation)

(PC, vol. 23, pp.15-19, ML; SMH, 11 April 1889, p.4)

A further four MsLA on the LPA Organising Committee were probably:-

- J.S. HAWTHORNE
- C.L. GARLAND
- G. CLUBB
- B. SMITH

(all four were elected to the FTLA Council at its general meeting in July, and none had been on the old FTA Council).

On the basis of lists in the press, it would appear that only four non-parliamentary freetraders could have been members of the LPA Organising Committee:- the single-taxers, W.E. Johnson and P. Meggy; TLC member, T.E. Colebrook; and the secretary of the Manly FTA, H.E. Farmer (SMH, 29 March 1889, p.4). All four appear as members of the FTLA Council between May and July 1889 (SMH, 8 May 1889, p.5; 3 June 1889, p.4; 22 June 1889, p.8), but none appear to have had any association with the FTA.

(3) FTLA

FTLA, May-July 1889

Office-bearers - office bearers of the FTA, elected in August 1888, retained their positions (SMH, 1 May 1889, p.7)
Council - fusion of 60-member FTA Council and 26-member LPA Organising Committee (ibid)

FTLA, 1889-1890

SMH, 23 July 1889, p.5; 17 August 1889, p.9; 25 March 1890, p.6; 23 September 1889, p.8.
DT, 23 July 1889, p.6.

Office-bearers
A.GARRAN President
S.BURDEKIN Vice-president
J.H.GOODLET Vice-president
H.HUDSON Vice-president
W.GILLESPIE Vice-president
G.PILE Treasurer
E.PULSFORD Secretary

Council (elected 22 July 1889)
(The full list of FTLA Council members, including office-bearers is given. Prior membership of the FTA Council or LPA Organising Committee is indicated in brackets; all connections with other relevant associations or bodies are indicated for all members; occupational details are only given where they have not already appeared in this Appendix).

(1) Parliamentary Freetraders
(a) MsLA at time of election to FTLA Council
S.BURDEKIN (LPA) LODL
J.T.WILSHIRE (FTA)
J.INGLIS (FTA) SCC
W.McMILLAN (FTA-LPA) SCC
J.H.CARRUTHERS (LPA) FTLREC
C.A.LEE (LPA)
S.SMITH (LPA)
F.J.SMITH (LPA)
J.GARRARD (LPA)
J.N.BRUNKER (LPA)
F.ABIGAIL (LPA)
J.S.HAWTHORNE (LPA)
C.L.GARLAND (LPA) STL
J.F.BURNS (FTA)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Wheeler</td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir Henry Parkes</td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Clubb</td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Smith</td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) MsLA at any time, 1887-1891

- B. R. Wise       (FTA) FTLREC
- W. H. Vivian     (FTA)
- A. J. Riley      (FTA) SCC
- J. C. Neild      (FTA) FTLREC

(of these 22 MsLA, 9 were radicals, 11 conservatives and 2 moderates. See Appendix I).

(2) Non-parliamentary Freetraders

- A. Garran
- W. Gillespie (FTA) TLC
- J. H. Goodlet (FTA) SCC
- H. Hudson (FTA) SCC
- G. Pile (FTA) NA
- R. Hall (FTA)
- R. Teece (FTA) NA
- D. Hogarth (FTA)
- W. Musto (FTA)
- E. W. Foxall (FTA) STL
- J. Beveridge (FTA) SCC
- J. Henderson (FTA)
- T. W. Warren (FTA) SCC FTLREC
- G. N. Griffiths (FTA) SCC NA
- W. E. Johnson (LPA) (?) STL LEL
- A. J. Drayton (FTA) TLC (?)
- R. J. Dunford (FTA)
- R. Williams (FTA) FTLREC
- F. Cotton TLC STL LEL
- P. Meggy (LPA) (?) STL
- T. E. Colebrook (LPA) (?) TLC
- J. Farrell STL
- Laurence (FTA) TLC
- J. T. Lingen
Additions (after July 1889)

N. HARPER
H. E. FARMER (LPA)?
G. C. TAYLOR
R. H. REYNOLDS
H. RAMSEY
J. C. RANES
R. H. CLIFFORD
T. B. WALKER
P. WAKEFIELD  STL
W.C.GODDARD SCC NA W.C.Goddard and Co. (insurance)
W.HARDING FTLREC
J.P.T.CAULFIELD STL
T.F.WALLER SCC
A.RIDDELL STL
H.DA HMS STL FTLREC
A.CARD STL

Of the 69 non-parliamentary members of the FTLA Council, enough is known of 47 to make a basic division of the Council:
Radicals 30
Conservatives 17

FTLA, 1890-1891
SMH, 23 July 1890, p.4
DT, 23 July 1890, p.6

Office-bearers
J.H.GOODLET President
S.BURDEKIN Vice-president
W.GILLISPIE Vice-president
H.HUDSON Vice-president
J.GARRARD Vice-president
G.PILE Treasurer
E.PULSFORD Secretary

Council (elected 21 July 1890)

(1) Parliamentary Freetraders (Full List)
(a) MsLA at time of their election

On previous FTLA Council:
S.BURDEKIN LODL
J.GARRARD
F.ABIGAIL
J.F.BURNS
J.N.BRUNKER
J.H.CARRUTHERS FTLREC
G.CLUBB
C.L.GARLAND STL
J.S.HAWTHORNE
J.INGLIS SCC
C. A. LEE  
W. McMillan SCC  
SIR HENRY PARKES  
B. SMITH NA  
F. J. SMITH  
S. SMITH  
W. H. VIVIAN  
J. WHEELER NA  
J. T. WILSHIRE  

New members:  
J. F. CULLEN  
J. CURLEY  

(b) MsLA at any time, 1887-1891  
On previous FTLA Council:  

J. C. NEILD FTLREC  
B. R. WISE FTLREC  
A. J. RILEY SCC  

New members:  
J. EVE  
C. E. JEANNERET  

(Of these 26 MsLA, 10 were radicals, 14 conservatives, and 2 moderates).  

(2) Non-parliamentary Freetraders  
(Additions to first FTLA Council only)  

R. BENNETTS  
D. CORMACK (FTA) NA  
J. M. DAVIS  
J. Mcg. DUNN SCC James Dunn and Co. (importer)  
F. S. DYER STL  
H. J. FLETCHER STL  
J. HEDGES (FTA) NA  
C. HELMRICTH  
J. KING STL  
V. KELLY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>SCC</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. MACLEAN</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>P. and O. S.N.Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. S. MARSHALL</td>
<td>FTLREC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. RAINFORD</td>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Harrison and Attwood (importers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX VIII

Members and Presidents of the Sydney Chamber of Commerce, 1883-1891
(Only those of relevance to the thesis have been included).

Note:
Information concerning members' occupations is based on ibid; Sands' Sydney Directory, 1885-1889; A.W. Martin and P. Wardle, Notes; ADB, vols 3 and 4.

MEMBERS

J. ABBOTT, MLA Director of Goldsborough, Mort and Co. (Woolbrokers)

J. BEVERIDGE George Griffiths and Co. (Merchants)

R. BINNIE

J. BURNS Burns, Philp and Co. (Shipping Agents and Merchants)

J. McG. DUNN James Dunn and Co. (Importers)

J. C. ELLIS, MLA Shipping agent and merchant

W. C. GODDARD W. C. Goddard and Co. (Insurance agents)

J. H. GOODLET Goodlet and Smith (Importers of building materials)

G. N. GRIFFITHS Griffiths and Weaver (Stock and station agents)

H. HARRIS Harris and Ackman (Auctioneers)

C. H. HAYES Sydney Exchange

H. HUDSON Hudson Bros., Ltd (Timber merchants; Engineering and Construction)

J. INGLIS, MLA James Inglis and Co. (Tea merchants)

I. E. IVES, MLA Argyle Bond (Bonded stores)

J. S. JAMIESON Prince, Ogg and Co. (Warehousemen; softgoods merchants)

S. A. JOSEPH Montefiore, Joseph and Co. (Merchants)
R.J. KING, MLA  George King and Co. (Merchants)
A. LAMB, MLA  Alfred Lamb and Co. (Shipping Agents and Merchants)
F. B. LARK  Lark Sons and Co. (Merchants)
G. A. LLOYD  G.A.Lloyd and Co. (Merchants)
F. MACLEAN  P. and O. Steam Navigation Co.
W. McMILLAN, MLA  A.McArthur and Co. (Warehousemen; softgoods importers)
W. F. MARTIN, MLA  Commission agent
G. M. MERIVALE  Gibbs, Bright and Co. (Shipping agents and merchants)
J. MITCHELL, MLA  Mitchell and Woolcott-Waley (Shipowners)
E. W. MOLESWORTH, MLA  Wall and Molesworth (Shipping and customs-house agency)
G. MUNRO  A.McArthur and Co. (Softgoods importers and merchants)
W. G. MURRAY  A.Murray and Co. (Tea and general merchants)
B. B. NICOLL, MLA  G.W.Nicoll (Shipowners)
J. S. PERRY, MLA  W.Perry and Co. (Warehousemen)
R. M. PITT  Pitt, Son and Badgery Ltd (Produce merchants)
E. PULSFORD
R. T. REMINGTON  Tea importer
A. J. RILEY, MLA  A.J.Riley and Co. (Warehousemen)
A. J. SMITH
J. R. STREET, MLA  Managing director, Perpetual Trustee Co.
J. SUTHERLAND, MLA  Insurance company director
J. M. TOOHEY, MLA
F. H. TROUTON
R. G. VALLACK  W.Gardiner and Co. (Warehousemen)
T. F. WALLER  Wool broker
T. W. WARREN  Warren and Rown (Merchants)
J. WATSON  Harrison and Attwood (Importers)

PRESIDENTS

1883  S.A.JOSEPH
1885  W.G.MURRAY
1886  W. Mc MILLAN
1887-88-89  S. A. JOSEPH
1892-93-94  J. INGLIS

Committee to promote freetrade
local organisation.
Appointed 1.3.89
20-30 MsLA; 20-30
non-parliamentarians.

Platform and Rules presented
to full meeting for approval
26.3; 1.4.89.

Rules Sub-committee
3 MsLA

Platform Sub-committee
6 MsLA

organises

organises

first report recommends
(c. 27.2.89) formation
of 'Liberal Association'.
Later expanded to 26
in accordance with
LPA Rules.

organises

Meeting 50 MsLA,
c. 25.2.89

Decides to extend organisation-
create executive committee of
MsLA to form new Association,
18.2.89.

Freetrade Parliamentary
Election Executive, 1889.
7 or 9 MsLA

LIBERAL AND POLITICAL ASSOCIATION
OF NEW SOUTH WALES.
Inaugurated, 1.3.89.
MsLA and non-parliamentary freetraders

organises

organises
APPENDIX X

Effect of Protectionist Endorsement: 1889 General Election.

The effect of endorsement in enhancing candidates' chances of electoral success is measured by analysing the election results in all electorates in which endorsed candidates were opposed by unendorsed candidates.

(A) Results
(* Sitting Member)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electorates</th>
<th>Seats</th>
<th>NPA3 Endorsees</th>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Elected</th>
<th>Unendorsed Protectionists</th>
<th>Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>W. Alison</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>J. C. Ryrie</td>
<td>1281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G. E. Cass</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. E. Kelly*</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourke</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>T. Waddell*</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>A. O' Grady</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Davis</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>W. Daniell</td>
<td>501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. N. Willis</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Innes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F. A. Wright</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>A. Hutchison</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. J. Fergusson</td>
<td>479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gundagai</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>J. F. Barnes</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>J. McLaughlin</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartley</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>J. Caulfield</td>
<td>537</td>
<td></td>
<td>R. J. Inch</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F. Jones</td>
<td>420</td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Wiley</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. Lysaght</td>
<td>814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macleay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P. Hill</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
<td>F. Panton</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Hogan</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O. Dangar</td>
<td>1145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. Salmon</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E. Rudder</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpeth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>J. W. Bowes*</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
<td>M. McRae</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electorates</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Endorsed</td>
<td>Unendorsed</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Elected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudgee</td>
<td></td>
<td>W.C.Wall*</td>
<td>G.Townsend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T.deCourcy Browne*</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murrumbidgee</td>
<td></td>
<td>G.R.Dibbs*</td>
<td>D.Copland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.Gormly*</td>
<td>2711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td></td>
<td>H.Copeland*</td>
<td>J.Givney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>459</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W.C.Proctor</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown</td>
<td></td>
<td>R.T.Bellemeys</td>
<td>J.Angus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>1604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.F.Smith</td>
<td>1722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td></td>
<td>T.Dalton*</td>
<td>J.Torpy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redfern</td>
<td></td>
<td>J.P.Howe*</td>
<td>W.F.Schey*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2896</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T.Williamson</td>
<td>2429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td></td>
<td>T.Ewing*</td>
<td>J.Perry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2862</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B.Nicoll</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>W.Bourke</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>644</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturt</td>
<td></td>
<td>W.Brown</td>
<td>C.O'Neill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>644</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Hunter</td>
<td></td>
<td>R.Fitzgerald*</td>
<td>T.Hungerford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>925</td>
<td>620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W.E.Abbott</td>
<td>831</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td></td>
<td>J.A.Mackinnon*</td>
<td>J.Miller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>919</td>
<td>487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.Gough</td>
<td>931</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albury</td>
<td></td>
<td>G.Day*</td>
<td>J.Wilkinson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>445</td>
<td>472</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(B) **Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NPA3 Endorsees</th>
<th>Unendorsed Protectionists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of candidates</strong></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of seats won</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of votes obtained</strong></td>
<td>47,586</td>
<td>18,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average number of votes per candidate</strong></td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Excluding sitting MsLA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NPA3 Endorsees</th>
<th>Unendorsed Protectionists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of candidates</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of seats won</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage</strong></td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of votes obtained</strong></td>
<td>22,951</td>
<td>17,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average number of votes per candidate</strong></td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX XI
Platform of the Newcastle Labour and Protectionist Parliamentary League, 1891

"The platform comprises the following 'planks':-
Electoral reform, one man one vote, extension of the franchise to all classes by means of the registration of votes, free education of all kinds, protection of native industry, all Government contracts to be executed in the colony, eight hours to be a day's work, new Workshops and Factories Act, amended Mining Act, and other Acts in connection with labour, formation of a labour department, a national bank, election of justices of the peace by the people, an elective Legislative Council, local government and decentralisation, Australian Federation and not Imperial federation, an income tax on incomes over £500 per annum, a progressive land tax to abolish big estates, law reform, and reform of the Railway Act, a liberal Merchant Shipping Act, and the advocacy of any measure calculated to elevate and improve the working classes".

(SMH, 8 May 1891, p.5)
APPENDIX XII

Effect of Protectionist and Freetrade Endorsement: 1891 General Election.

The effect of endorsement in enhancing candidates' chances of electoral success is measured by analysing the election results in all electorates in which endorsed candidates were opposed by unendorsed candidates.

(i) PROTECTIONISTS

(A) Results

(* Sitting Member)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electorates</th>
<th>NPPEC Endorsees</th>
<th>Elected Protectionists</th>
<th>Unendorsed Protectionists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bairanaid</td>
<td>A.Lakeman*</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>A.Cameron 545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogan</td>
<td>W.Wilkinson</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>T.Richardson 843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G.E.Cass*</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boorowa</td>
<td>T.Slattery*</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>W.Burns 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourke</td>
<td>W.N.Willis*</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>X W.W.Davis* 870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H.Langwell</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>X T.Waddell* 1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.P.Howe*</td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>X A.J.O'Grady 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braidwood</td>
<td>A.Ryrie*</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>X A.Chapman 586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>J.Kidd*</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>X J.Hanrahan 288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.Walters</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W.M.Richardson</td>
<td>705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Sydney</td>
<td>W.Bradley*</td>
<td>1328</td>
<td>E.Barton 3535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden</td>
<td>H.Clarke*</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>X R.Crabb 362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J.P.Garvan*</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electorates</td>
<td>Seats</td>
<td>NPPEC Endorsed Name</td>
<td>Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Innes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F.A. Wright*</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. Hutchison*</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goulburn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A. Lansdowne</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>J. Pritchard</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macleay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P. Hogan*</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaro</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>H. Dawson*</td>
<td>1117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G. Miller*</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpeth</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>J. W. Bowes</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murrumbidgee</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>J. Gormly*</td>
<td>2718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Copland*</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G. Dibbs*</td>
<td>1790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newcastle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A. Brown*</td>
<td>2533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Grahame*</td>
<td>2707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>W. Blacket</td>
<td>1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. T. Bellemey</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redfern</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>H. C. Hoyle</td>
<td>2982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. F. Schey*</td>
<td>2768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. P. Howe*</td>
<td>2632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Hunter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>R. G. Fitzgerald*</td>
<td>1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellington</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T. H. York*</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yass Plains</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T. H. Collins*</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(B) **Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NPPEC Endorsees</th>
<th>Unendorsed Protectionists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of candidates</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seats won</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of votes obtained</td>
<td>46,758</td>
<td>20,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of votes per candidate</td>
<td>1,336</td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Excluding sitting MsLA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NPPEC Endorsees</th>
<th>Unendorsed Protectionists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of candidates</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seats won</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of votes obtained</td>
<td>11,997</td>
<td>16,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of votes per candidate</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FREETRADERS

(A) Results

(* Sitting Member)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electorates</th>
<th>ELECTIONS</th>
<th>FTFC Endorsees</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
<th>Elected</th>
<th>Unendorsed</th>
<th>VOTES</th>
<th>Elected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balmain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>J.Garrard*</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td></td>
<td>R.B.Cropley</td>
<td>660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J.Hawthorne*</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F.J.Smith*</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G.Clabb*</td>
<td>1734</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R.Booth</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F.Conder</td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W.C.A Beckett*</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>W.McCourt*</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>J.L.Campbell</td>
<td>925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J.G.Morris</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W.Cullen</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>J.H.Carruthers*</td>
<td>7231</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>J.Eve</td>
<td>4344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J.Wheeler*</td>
<td>4349</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G.Russell-Jones</td>
<td>3690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>J.Nobbs*</td>
<td>2435</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>J.Ferguson</td>
<td>740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td></td>
<td>R.Ritchie*</td>
<td>2491</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>J.Forsyth</td>
<td>964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F.Farnell*</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>T.W.Taylor</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D.Dale*</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Sydney</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>W.McMillan*</td>
<td>3713</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>G.H.Reid*</td>
<td>2946</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V.Parkes</td>
<td>3343</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glebe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>M.Chapman*</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td></td>
<td>J.Meeks</td>
<td>581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B.Smith*</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F.Walsh</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electorates</td>
<td>FTFC Endorsed</td>
<td>Elected</td>
<td>Unendorsed Freetraders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Votes</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenfell</td>
<td>G.H. Greene*</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>T. Bembrick</td>
<td>226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkesbury</td>
<td>A. Bowman*</td>
<td>802 X</td>
<td>W. Morgan</td>
<td>749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpeth</td>
<td>W. Arnold</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>W. Martin</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown</td>
<td>E.W. Molesworth*</td>
<td>2136 X</td>
<td>J. Salmon</td>
<td>1576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N. Hawken*</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>H. Clarke</td>
<td>759</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Abbott*</td>
<td>2173 X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parramatta</td>
<td>H. Taylor*</td>
<td>664 X</td>
<td>T. Moxham</td>
<td>459</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redfern</td>
<td>W. Stephen*</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td>W. C. Coombes</td>
<td>724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G. Anderson</td>
<td>2629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Beveridge</td>
<td>2184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W. Manvell</td>
<td>2279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Leonards</td>
<td>H. Parkes*</td>
<td>2510 X</td>
<td>J. Seaver*</td>
<td>494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. F. Cullen*</td>
<td>2359 X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. F. Burns*</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Maitland</td>
<td>R. W. Thompson*</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>J. Gillies</td>
<td>670 X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Sydney</td>
<td>D. O'Connor*</td>
<td>2493</td>
<td>F. Waley</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Abigail*</td>
<td>2326</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T. Playfair*</td>
<td>2535</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young</td>
<td>J. Gordon</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>W. Lucas</td>
<td>654</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(B) **Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FTFC Endorsees</th>
<th>Unendorsed Freetraders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of candidates</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seats won</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of votes obtained</td>
<td>84,687</td>
<td>20,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of votes per candidate</td>
<td>2,117</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding sitting MsLA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of candidates</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seats won</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of votes obtained</td>
<td>22,279</td>
<td>17,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of votes per candidate</td>
<td>2,025</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note

All page references to the sixty volumes of the Parkes Correspondence (A871-A930) in the Mitchell Library, Sydney, conform to the original system of pagination. The Library's recent project to replace the volumes of the original correspondence with bound volumes of photo-copied reproductions for general reference has resulted in the eradication of the imperfections of the 'old' system of page numbering, and the creation of a 'new' system of pagination. The original page numbers are hand-written and are usually located in the top right-hand corner of each photo-copied page of the original correspondence; the 'new' page numbers are in large print and are located in the bottom right-hand corner of each photo-copy.
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2. For example, at least thirteen men were directly associated with two of the five organisations, at least three were involved in three of them, and a further three men were members of four out of the five bodies. In 1886, B.R. Wise commented that "I have now had some experience of protectionist meetings, and have found that they all have a remarkably [sic] family likeness. The same faces turn up over and over again ..." (DT, 8 November 1886, p.5).

The membership lists of the five organisations were compiled from the following sources:

- **WMDA**: SMH, 14 June 1877, p.5; 17 October 1877, p.5; EN, 26 June 1877, p.3; Echo, 15 January 1878, p.6; 21 January 1878, p.5.
- **PRA**: The Sydney Magazine, 1878, pp. 76-80.
- **PRL**: Echo, 24 January 1878, pp. 3,6; 15 March 1878, p.2.
- **PRU**: Echo, 31 August 1878, p.10; 19 November 1878, p.3; EN, 26 November 1879; SMH, 13 January 1880, p.1.
- **LECI**: SMH, 25 March 1880, p.1; 29 March 1880, p.3.


4. DT, 28 May 1894, p.6 ('The First Freetrade Association', including the first declaration issued by the FTA in 1864); Free Trade Association, printed circular, 17 November 1864. Parkes Papers - Miscellaneous, vol. IV, A 983, [no page numbers], ML.; SMH, 4 February 1887, p.7 (speech by W. Pritchard).

5. The FTA was formed in February 1864 (DT, 28 May 1894, p.6). Its two founders appear to have been W. Pritchard (ibid.; SMH, 4 February 1887, p.7) and Samuel Bennett (ibid.). Other involved in the organisation were F.A. Bell, J.L. Montefiore, Dr. J.D. Lang, G. Hill, J.M. Leigh, W. Perry, G. King, M. Holroyd, W. Hezlett, E. Lowther and S.A. Joseph (ibid.; Sands' Sydney Directory, 1865, p.382; Free Trade Association, printed circulars, 17, 19 November 1864. Parkes Papers - Miscellaneous, vol. IV, A983, [no page numbers], ML; SMH, 2 February 1887, p.5 ('Chamber of Commerce', speech by S.A. Joseph).

6. Sir John Robertson later hinted that the FTA had been disbanded after the general election of 1864-65. "After that battle was won", he claimed, "there was no appeal for more money to continue associations". (SMH, 15 March 1887, p.4).
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8. SMH, 15 March 1887, p.4.


12. DT, 8 November 1886, p.5. The Herald made the same point when, in 1877, the last year of a 'boom' period in the colony, it commented that "The protectionist party has been considerably discouraged in New South Wales of late; everything has been against it - the prosperity of the colony, the superabundance of revenue, the general well-being, the continuous advance in industry ..., and the higher rate of wages ..., were all so many discouragements to asking for protection". (SMH, 25 August 1877, p. 4).


14. SMH, 14 December 1881, p.5; 5 May 1883, p.9.

15. Between 1883 and 1886, the PPRL made overtures to the TLC in an attempt to commit organised labour to the cause of protection. See, Trades and Labour Council of New South Wales. General Meetings - Minutes, 1881-1888, A3830, pp. 205-06, 448-49, 587, ML. (Hereafter:- TLC Minutes); below, ch. 4, p.124; ch. 5, p. 144.

16. SMH, 7 February 1883, p.9.

17. See below, ch. 5, pp. 145-46.

18. SMH, 18 December 1886, p.13. B.R. Wise revealed the numerical weakness of the LIA when he likened its Sydney meetings to "a stage army, whose regiments crowd the stage for many minutes by the simple expedient of going out at one side and coming in again upon the other". (DT, 8 November 1886, p.5).
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19. SMH, 24 November 1884, p.7; 22 July 1885, p. 9; 27 August 1885, p. 5. Also see below, ch. 5, p.144; ch. 5, nn. 17, 18.

20. OT, 8 November 1886, p. 5. Wise's assessment was borne out by the attempts of the PPRL to open a local branch in Ballina during 1882. In June, PPRL secretary, R.C. Luscombe, wrote to a prominent Ballina protectionist, William Clement, enquiring "what efforts if any are being made to form a branch of the League in your district". (R.C. Luscombe to W. Clement, 22 June [1882]. Clement Papers, UNE Archives.). Soon after, it was reported that a branch of the PPRL had been established in Ballina (TCJ, 29 July 1882, p. 207). The branch, however, soon became extinct, and Luscombe again wrote to Clement, condemning his "neglect of ... protectionist principles ...". (R.C. Luscombe to W. Clement, 15 February 1883. Clement Papers, UNE Archives.). This sharp criticism spurred Clement to make "another attempt ... to rouse the people here from their apathetic state", but he reported that "nothing less than a Salvation Army or some such violent commotion will effect this ...". He went on to say that "the few whose minds are thoroughly imbued [sic] with the soundness of Protection" were so scattered throughout the district that "it is difficult or impossible to centralise any movement". (W. Clement to R.C. Luscombe, 19 February 1883. ibid.).


22. ibid., pp. 21, 26.

23. ibid., p. 24. The delegate from Narrabri stated that he was unable to convey the views of his branch, "a discussion on Protection of any kind not having been made in their meetings". (ibid., p. 25). John Flanagan, of Gunbar, made the same point. (ibid.).

24. ibid., p. 27.' See also, ibid., p. 25 (Sampson).

25. ibid., pp. 25, 28.

26. SMH, 3 November 1885, p. 9.

27. SMH, 10 May 1882, p. 5.


29. SMH, 9 December 1882, p. 11.
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30. In 1883, R.C. Luscombe stated that there had been only "three or four protectionists in Parliament" prior to the 1882 general election. (SMH, 22 September 1883, p. 9). He had previously named them as N. Melville, L.F. Heydon and J. Roseby. (R.C. Luscombe to W. Clement, 22 June 1882. Clement Papers, UNE Archives).

31. Luscombe again named them: E. Combes, L.F. Heydon, W.S. Targett, D. Buchanan, R. Barbour, A. Ross, R.M. Vaughn, N. Melville, W.T. Coonan and J. Sutherland. (R.C. Luscombe to W. Clement, 15 February 1883. ibid.). Ninian Melville later claimed that only eight protectionists had been elected in 1882. (SMH, 28 February 1884, p. 5). By September 1883, Luscombe's estimate had risen to "twelve to fourteen" protectionist MLA. (SMH, 22 September 1883, p. 9). This figure undoubtedly included himself, since he had entered the Assembly in May as the result of a by-election.

32. LIA Conference, p. 25.

33. SMH, 3 November 1885, p. 9.

34. Discussing Forsyth's campaign, the Telegraph remarked that he "did not so much as touch on the topic of protection in his nomination speech". (DT, 8 November 1886, p. 4).

35. SMH, 3 November 1885, p. 9. The Telegraph later pointed out that "The few protectionists who were successful were frank enough to admit that the popular majority was against them, and to ask for votes on the ground of their other Parliamentary qualifications". (DT, 8 November 1886, p. 4).

36. SMH, 3 November 1885, p. 9.

37. Of the unsuccessful protectionist candidates, it would seem safe to assume that those who relied most directly on the fiscal issue for their election were those most closely connected with the existing protectionist associations. Three of these may be chosen to illustrate the validity of the Herald's contention: (1) R.C. Luscombe, secretary of the PPRL and sitting protectionist MLA for Northumberland. He was chosen and supported by the PPRL in his candidature for Northumberland. (SMH, 7 October 1885, p. 7). Luscombe failed to secure election, finishing last out of 5 candidates with only 176 votes and losing his deposit money. (SMH, 23 October 1885, p. 7).
(2) William Richardson, president of the PPRL and protectionist candidate for South Sydney, chosen and supported by the PPRL. (SMH, 7 October 1885, p. 7; 23 October 1885, p. 7). Richardson failed to secure election, finishing at the bottom of the poll with 252 votes and losing his deposit. (ibid.).

(3) George Broun, protectionist candidate for East Sydney, chosen and supported by the PPRL (SMH, 7 October 1885, p. 7; 23 October 1885, p. 7). In a contest in which almost 17,000 votes were cast, Brown managed to poll only 435, finishing last and losing his deposit. (ibid.).

38. In determining the number of avowed protectionists elected in October 1885, only the utterances of candidates during the election campaign and their prior connections are taken into account. The sixteen protectionists elected in October 1885 were A. Forsyth, J. Garrard, S.H. Hyam, M. Burke, P. Hogan, J. Shepherd, A. Stokes, A. Ross, E.W. O'Sullivan, L.F. Heydon, N. Melville, R.M. Vaughan, W.S. Targett, H. Clarke, R. Barbour and W. Coonan. Of these sixteen men, there is no doubt about the protectionist proclivities of the first twelve listed: all, except Melville, made direct statements in support of protection during the election (SMH, 31 October 1885, pp. 9-10); of the six who had sat in the eleventh parliament, four (Melville, Vaughan, Heydon and Ross) were claimed as protectionists in 1883 (See ch. 1, n. 31); four (Heydon, Melville, Vaughan and O'Sullivan) were mentioned as being protectionists in October 1885 in E.W. O'Sullivan, From Colony to Commonwealth. Half a Century's Reminiscences, handwritten MS, B595, pp. 172-73, ML. (Hereafter:- From Colony to Commonwealth). There is some doubt about the commitment to protection of the remaining four men: H. Clarke and W.S. Targett made rather equivocal fiscal statements during the election campaign (SMH, 31 October 1885, pp. 9-10), but Targett was claimed as a protectionist by Luscombe in 1883, and Clarke was claimed by O'Sullivan as being a protectionist in October 1885 (E.W. O'Sullivan, From Colony to Commonwealth, p. 172), although he had sat in the eleventh parliament without being classified as such; Barbour and Coonan made no comments on the fiscal question during the election campaign, but both had been claimed as protectionists in 1883 (See ch. 1, n. 31), and both had been connected with the early protectionist organisations, the PRL and PRA. (Echo, 15 March 1878, p. 2; The Sydney Magazine, 1878, p. 76).

39. During the early life of the twelfth parliament, this lack of group identity and cohesion was amply demonstrated. See below, ch. 1, p. 12.

40. Forsyth, Hyam, Burke, Hogan and O'Sullivan.
41. SMH, 3 November 1885, p. 9.

42. N.B. Nairn points out that it was this vast land revenue that was "the chief prop of the traditional colonial free trade policy". N.B. Nairn, 'The Political Mastery of Sir Henry Parkes: New South Wales Politics, 1871-1891', JRAHS, vol. 53, pt 1 (March 1967), p. 11. (Hereafter: 'The Mastery').


44. ibid., p. 281.

45. ibid., p. 129.


48. ibid., p. 132.

49. ibid., p. 133.

50. NSWPD, vol. XIX, pp. 1152-64, especially pp. 1158-60.


52. A.W. Martin, Political Groupings, p. 260.

53. See above, ch. 1, p. 2.


55. The two, named by Robertson years later, were James (SMH, 15 March 1887, p. 4).

56. ibid.

57. [Colony of New South Wales] 29 Vict., No. 3. (20 June 1865).

58. [Colony of New South Wales] 34 Vict., No. 21. (22 May 1871).

59. NSWPD, vol. XIX, p. 1161, 6 April 1886 (Quoted by Sir Patrick Jennings).

60. DT, 8 November 1886, p. 5. Also see SMH, 5 August 1886, p. 8.
61. Copeland's proposal of 21 February 1884 to impose ad valorem duties was moved as an amendment upon a motion by George Dibbs that the Assembly resolve itself into a Committee of Ways and Means to debate his financial statement. *NSWPD*, vol. XI, pp. 1948-58.

62. Ellis, Gould and Abigail spoke in favour of Copeland's amendment (*ibid.*, pp. 1964-70, 21 February 1884; pp. 2045-47, 27 February 1884). Ellis and Smith voted for the amendment in the ensuing division, and Abigail and Gould were paired in support of it (*ibid.*, vol. XII, p. 2196, 5 March 1884).


64. *SMH*, 28 February 1884, p. 5.


67. Robertson's ministry was in office from 22 December 1885 until 25 February 1886.


70. *SMH*, 21 October 1905, p. 5 ('Behind the Scenes in Parliament', V, by 'A Spectator', [E.W. O'Sullivan]).


73. *SMH*, 3 February 1887, p. 7. In May 1886, the PPRL severely chastised a number of "protectionist members", who voted against Clarke's motion (*SMH*, 6 May 1886, p. 9).

74. *SMH*, 3 October 1885, p. 10; see Appendix VII.

75. "When he found that such a tax [i.e. his proposed duties on agricultural produce] would involve the country in a general system of protection his course became perfectly clear, ...". *SMH*, 3 February 1887, p. 7 (Speech by W. Clarke).

76. R.C. Luscombe to W. Clement, 15 February 1883. Clement Papers, UNE Archives.

77. *SMH*, 31 October 1885, pp. 9-10.
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79. SMH, 30 June 1886, p. 9.

80. SMH, 27 October 1885, p. 5.


82. ibid.

83. SMH, 15 October 1885, p. 8.

84. SMH, 7 April 1883, p. 11.


86. SMH, 7 January 1886, pp. 5-6.

87. See below, ch. 5, p. 164.

88. SMH, 18 July 1885, p. 10.

89. SMH, 11 August 1885, p. 7.

90. SMH, 15 March 1887, p. 4 (B.R. Wise).

91. The LIA "resolved to welcome the formation of a Free-trade League, as the friction with that body would give considerable prominence to the ideas of the Alliance". (SMH, 20 August 1885, p. 9). The protectionist propagandist, H.S. Bond, saw the formation of the FTA as "healthy" for the development of the fiscal conflict into a major political issue, and he looked forward to the day when "we shall be arranged on opposite sides - protectionists ... versus so-called free-traders ...". (SMH, 11 August 1885, p. 7).

92. SMH, 27 September 1884, p. 11 ('Fiscal Protection', by H.S. Bond).

93. SMH, 18 April 1882, p. 4.

94. SMH, 27 September 1884, p. 11.

95. DT, 5 February 1898, p. 4. (W.H. Traill, 'Protection and Democracy', I).

96. SMH, 8 January 1886, pp. 6-7.

97. SMH, 2 February 1886, p. 7.
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98. SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 8 (speech by B.R. Wise).
100. NSWPD, vol. XIX, p. 1158.
101. ibid., p. 1161.
102. ibid.

103. The four men were G.A. Lloyd, James Inglis, W. Clarke and J.F. Burns. Lloyd was a merchant and a prominent member of the SCC (see Appendix VII), and he also lent his support to the FTA (SMH, 29 July 1886, p. 9); Inglis, a prominent tea merchant, became a vice-president of the FTA and president of the SCC (see Appendices VII and VIII); Clarke, prominent banker and businessman, was a member of the council of the FTA (see Appendix VII); and, J.F. Burns claimed to have been "about the third member" of the FTA (SMH, 15 March 1887, p. 4), and was elected to the council in 1889 (see Appendix VII). Although impecunious when he died, Burns, in 1886, was an active member of Sydney's mercantile class as a director of the Australasian Steam Navigation Company. He himself claimed to be "a capitalist" (J.F. Burns to Parkes, 2 July 1891, PC, A919, p. 450, ML), and was reputed to have "large commercial interests" (SMH, 20 March 1911, p. 5). Certainly his commercial activities, even if unprofitable, were varied; after 1886, he became managing director of a life assurance company, trustee of a building society, and the chairman of directors of a coal mining company. (Sands' Sydney Directory, 1885, 1887; AOB, vol. 3, pp. 304-05, 419-20; vol. 4, pp. 457-8; A.W. Martin and P. Wardle, Members of the Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, 1856-1901: Biographical Notes, Canberra, 1959. (Hereafter:- Notes); M. Rutledge, 'John Fitzgerald Burns - Colonial Treasurer', JRAHS, vol. 55, pt 3 (September 1969), pp. 264-70. (Hereafter:- 'J.F. Burns'). All four men criticised Jennings' proposals as protectionist in nature:- NSWPD, vol. XIX, pp. 1589 (J.F. Burns), 1594 (G.A. Lloyd), 29 April 1886; pp. 1705 (W. Clarke), 1713-16 (J. Inglis), 5 May 1886. For the connections between the FTA and SCC, see below, ch. 5, pp. 164-65.

104. SMH, 18 May 1886, p. 4.
105. ibid.
107. SMH, 21 October 1905, p. 5 ('Behind the Scenes in Parliament', V, by 'A Spectator' [E.W. O'Sullivan]).
108. SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9 (speech by Parkes).
109. SMH, 4 February 1887, p. 5 (speech by Nelson). See also:– SMH, 4 February 1887, p. 12 (T. Walker); 7 February 1887, p. 5 (W.J. Lyne); NSWPD, vol. LV, p. 3390, 8 December 1891 (G.H. Reid).

110. ibid., vol. XIX, p. 1840.

111. ibid., p. 1650.

112. ibid., p. 1843.


115. ibid., p. 1897, 12 May 1886.

116. ibid., vol. XX, p. 2067.

117. ibid., p. 2746, 17 June 1886.

118. ibid., vol. XXI, p. 3177, 8 July 1886.


120. NSWPD, vol. XX, p. 2065.

121. ibid., vol. XXI, p. 3174.

122. ibid., The number of admitted protectionists in the Assembly during the life of the twelfth parliament is difficult to gauge accurately, since the number underwent considerable change as a result of Parkes's polarisation campaign. In November 1886, the list of admitted protectionists contained men, who had previously made no such avowal. My own analysis of the 1885 general election puts the figure at 4 doubtful and 12 definite protectionist MLAs (see ch. 1, n. 38), though E.W. O'Sullivan later wrote that, at the time he entered parliament in 1885, he was "one of [only] five Protectionists ... in the Legislative Assembly ...". (E.W. O'Sullivan, From Colony to Commonwealth, p. 172). Contemporary assessments of protectionist parliamentary strength during 1886 consistently put the figure at between eight and twelve: Ninian Melville claimed that "there were only eight or ten protectionists" (NSWPD, vol. XXV, p. 133, 11 March 1887), and again that "there were not more than twelve protectionists in the House ...". (ibid., p. 131); George Dibbs stated that "the late Assembly had eleven protectionists, ...". (ibid., p. 202, 15 March 1887). Loveday and Martin's estimate of "a hard core of about twenty protectionists" (A.W. Martin and P. Loveday, PFP, p. 132) would appear to be something of an exaggeration.
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123. SMH, 2 March 1886, p. 5 (R.C. Luscombe).
124. NSWPD, vol. XXI, p. 3174, 8 July 1886.
125. ibid., p. 3175.
126. The Telegraph made direct reference to the government's "protectionist masters". (DT, 13 July 1886, p. 4). See also: SMH, 9 July 1886, p. 6.
127. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 4.
129. P.N. Lamb, The Financing, p. 82.
The substance of the resolutions, moved by Parkes on 11 May 1886, the occasion on which he first raised the fiscal issue, embodied this alternative approach, and almost exactly foreshadowed the policy on which he fought the general election of 1887. The resolutions stated that "fresh taxation" would be "inexpedient at the present time", that there was need for "a rigid scheme of retrenchment", and that, should further taxation be necessary, it should be in the form of a direct property tax, stamp duties and specific or excise duties, but not ad valorem duties. (NSWPD, vol. XIX, p. 1840). Parkes summed up this approach when he stated that "The struggling people of the country ought not to be called upon to bear new taxation at the present time until after the most vigorous effort was made to reduce the extravagant expenditure". (ibid., p. 1848).
130. A.W. Martin, Political Groupings, p. 277.
131. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 4.
132. A prominent member of the SCC told a meeting of that body that "Sir Henry Parkes [had] made one of the most statesmanlike speeches that had yet been made in reference to the fiscal policy of the Government. It was very much to be regretted that Sir Henry Parkes was at present in a minority, for the principles he had enunciated ought to be carried". (SMH, 18 May 1886, p. 4.).
133. NSWPD, vol. XXI, p. 3175, 8 July 1886.
134. In Sydney, at Granville, Redfern, Ashfield, Prospect, Parramatta, Liverpool, St Leonards, Botany, Central Sydney, South Sydney, Ryde, Pyrmont, Waverley, Leichhardt, Glebe, Randwick, Paddington, Marrickville, Newtown, Waterloo, Petersham, and Balmain; in the country, at Bulli, Bowral, Campbelltown, Singleton, Windsor, St Mary's, Wingham, Morpeth, West Maitland, Crookwell, Young, Lithgow, Goulburn, Molong, Walgett, Murrumburrah, Kempsey, Penrith, Kiama, Mittagong, and Bowraville. (SMH, 22, November 1886, p. 4). See also:- N.B. Nairn, 'The Mastery', p. 13.
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135. For example, SMH, 2 November 1886, p. 5, at Goulburn; 13 November 1886, p. 8, at Botany.

136. The report of a meeting at Petersham stated that "the name of Sir Henry Parkes incidentally mentioned by the speaker, was received with prolonged applause, and at the call of Alderman Cubitt three cheers were given for the veteran statesman". (SMH, 5 November 1886, p. 5). See also:- SMH, 2 November 1886, p. 5, at Waverley, Redfern and Goulburn; 5 November 1886, p. 6, at Pyrmont; 13 November 1886, p. 8, at Botany.

137. SMH, 22 November 1886, p. 4.

138. The chief context in which the fiscal issue was raised was in accusing the government of treachery: the government "had pledged themselves to a free trade policy, but they had reversed this policy, and had adopted measures entirely protective". (SMH, 5 November 1886, p. 5, at Pyrmont); or, the government had "openly violated" their "hustings' pledges", resulting in "the interference in the policy of the Empire ...". (SMH, 22 November 1886, p. 4).

139. SMH, 5 November 1886, p. 5, at Petersham.

140. SMH, 13 November 1886, p. 8, at Botany.


Chapter 1


146. *ibid.*, p. 194.


148. "Ours is a system of government by majorities, but not a system of government by parties, in the sense of party being based on definite political principles". (*SMH*, 7 December 1874, quoted in A.W. Martin, 'The Legislative Assembly of New South Wales, 1856-1900', *AJPH*, vol. 2, no. 1 (November 1956), p. 60. (Hereafter: 'The Legislative Assembly').

149. *NSWPD*, vol. VI, p. 1597, 14 October 1881.


152. *SMH*, 27 December 1878, p. 4. The same point was stressed frequently throughout the 1870s and 1880s. In 1874, the Herald stated that political divisions "do not represent, as they have done in England, distinctly marked differences of political principle and tendency, ...". (*SMH*, 7 December 1874, quoted in A.W. Martin, 'The Legislative Assembly', p. 60); in 1881, William Forster sneered that "Honourable members constantly talk of supporting the present Government; but what do they mean? They do not mean adhering to any particular party, because I have yet to learn what distinction there is in point of principle between one side and the other". (*NSWPD*, vol. VI, p. 1597, 14 October 1881); and, in 1887, the Herald commented that "The personal element has long been too prominent in the politics of the country. The struggle has too long been between the followers of this man and the followers of that man; and it is well known that political principles have been cast aside for the purpose of forming combinations to keep one man out". (*SMH*, 18 January 1887, p. 6).


155. In 1887, William McMillan described the system and its effects on parliament: "..., there had been too much of the vulgar principle of 'Scratch my back and I will scratch yours'. The result of the contention of members to-day with one another, for certain things for their separate constituencies ... had been the
degradation of members of Parliament". (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5). Sir Charles Dilke also stated that members were "too apt to make the 'New-Bridge' the price of their vote upon the measures before the House". (C. Dilke, Problems of Greater Britain, vol. 4th ed., London, 1890, p. 288. (Hereafter:- Problems)).

156. SMH, 7 December 1874, quoted in A.W. Martin, 'The Legislative Assembly', p. 60.


158. ibid.
The whole question of the stability of allegiance during the operation of the faction system has recently been under close review, and has given rise to a difference of opinion. Whilst N.B. Nairn emphasises the independence of MsLA that "approached irresponsibility", and "automatically involved weak government and disorderly parliament" (ibid.), Loveday and Martin lay emphasis on those "members who had regular voting patterns in Parliament" (P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, 'The Politics of New South Wales, 1856-1889: A Reply', HS, vol. 13, no. 50 (April 1968), p. 228. (Hereafter:- 'A Reply')), concluding that "the faction system, ..., provided a relatively stable politics". (N.B. Nairn, Review Article on P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, _PFP, Politics_, vol. 1, no. 2 (November 1966), p. 156. (Hereafter:- 'Review, _PFP_')). The presence of independence and "independent members" is undisputed (P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, 'A Reply', p. 228); the point at issue simply seems to be to what degree the belief in independence was an integral part of the faction system: whether it was a political theory inherent in and "Inextricably entangled with the faction system" (N.B. Nairn, 'The Mastery', p. 6), or whether it was an external factor, which "made political life difficult for the faction leaders", and otherwise upset the stability of an intrinsically stable political system (P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, 'A Reply', p. 228).

Both points of view have merit. The dogma of individualism and independence was basic to mid-nineteenth-century British liberalism (A.W. Martin, 'The Legislative Assembly', p. 59), and, while it was not popular with the faction leaders, trying as they were to mould stable groups around them, it was commonly accepted to affect radically the operation of the faction system. Dickey correctly points out that there remained "a persistent proportion of the members of the Assembly who ostentatiously adhered to the dogmas of independence", often in sufficient numbers "to threaten the stability of governments". (B. Dickey (ed.), Politics in New South Wales, 1856-1900, Melbourne, 1969, pp. 79-80. (Hereafter:- Politics in NSW)). The enormous effect of independence on the stability of government between 1856 and 1891 has been analysed by N.B. Nairn ('Review, _PFP_, p. 156).
Even during the period of far more stable fiscal politics after 1886, the traditional liberal emphasis on independence was still conspicuous; it was particularly forceful when challenged. After a stable lull in 1887-88, it staged something of a revival during 1889 and 1890. It was this revival that negated the efforts of elements in both fiscal parties to create an effective system of party discipline (see below, ch. 6).


161. SMH, 24 December 1878, p. 4.


163. A.W. Martin, Political Groupings, p. 70. J.S. Farnell provided an example of this procedure in 1880: "Mr. Fitzpatrick: My honourable friend, the member from St Leonards [Farnell], has differed from me, and I need hardly say ... his defection from our side of the House is a matter for regret. Mr. O'Connor: He has gone over to the majority."

164. SMH, 2 August 1880, p. 3. 'The Premier at Ashfield', (speech delivered by Parkes at a banquet given in his honour by the electors of Canterbury).


167. The success of the Parkes-Robertson coalition in this regard was considerable: 61% of all public bills, initiated in the Assembly, were under ministerial supervision. During the Robertson ministry of 1875-77, the comparative figure was only 33%. (See G.C. Morey, Parkes-Robertson Coalition, Appendix IV).

168. SMH, 30 December 1878, p. 7.

169. SMH, 2 August 1880, p. 3. 'The Premier at Ashfield'.
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171. Loveday and Martin's analysis of factional allegiance, based mainly on censure motions and ministerial measures (PFP, p. 39), shows the percentage of 'independents' and 'changers' in the two parliaments prior to the advent of the coalition as 33% and 30½% respectively (ibid., p. 41). My own analysis of all divisions on all ministerial measures, during the entire life of the coalition, shows the percentage of independence (41½-64% allegiance) at 24.3% (G.C. Morey, Parkes-Robertson Coalition, Appendices I and II). Cf. PFP, p. 41.


174. Loveday and Martin's analysis of the two parliaments between the fall of the coalition and the formation of Parkes's first freetrade ministry shows the percentage of 'independents' and 'changers' at 40% in both (PFP, p. 41).


It would seem that the Governor, Lord Carrington, was also concerned about the chaotic state of politics. Parkes recollected that, during an interview with him, "Lord Carrington said that he had suffered much pain from the confused and unsatisfactory condition of Government business and the proceedings of Parliament during his year of office. He mentioned the fact of four Governments in about fifteen months as disgraceful ...". (Letters to The Hon. Saul Samuel, C.M.G., A 55, p. 33, ML. (Hereafter:- Letters to Saul Samuel)).


177. ibid., p. 12.


181. ibid., p. 638.

Also: "Parliamentary government, as such, is the government of the country by definite parties". (NSWPD, vol. XXXVI, p. 1540, 11 January 1889 (Sir Henry Parkes)).
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182. SMH, 16 June 1894, p. 9.


184. SMH, 16 June 1894, p. 9.

185. SMH, 4 August 1880, p. 4.

186. Parkes stated in 1887 that "... he would as steadily as he could copy the example of England in all that related to the working out of our Parliamentary institutions". (SMH, 2 February 1887, p. 5).


189. H. Parkes, Fifty Years, p. 265. In 1887, Parkes declared that what should be known was whether a political aspirant "had thought out any code of principles on which to act. If he had, he could have declared he would act with others who agreed with him in principles". (SMH, 10 January 1887, p. 3).

190. SMH, 19 December 1889, p. 8.

191. SMH, 4 November 1884, p. 2.

192. Parkes was elected as member for Argyle at a by-election on 31 March 1885, but parliament did not meet thereafter until 8 September, when it reconvened for the sixth session of the eleventh parliament.

193. When parliament met, on 8 September 1885, Alexander Stuart successfully moved a motion, condemning Parkes's resignation letter to his constituents as "a gross libel on this House". In a short reply, Parkes concluded by impudently proclaiming, "I snap my fingers at the motion, and I appeal from you to your masters, the electors of the country". (H. Parkes, Fifty Years, pp. 423-24).

194. On receiving his commission to form a ministry in December 1885, Sir John Robertson invited Parkes to join this ministry, leaving Parkes to choose his own office. (ibid., p. 429). Parkes, however, refused to join the ministry, ostensibly because of his objection to Robertson's choice of J.F. Burns as Colonial Treasurer. (NSWPD, vol. XVIII, p. 726, 10 February 1886; AOG, vol. 3, p. 305).
In his autobiography, Parkes makes no mention of any objection to Burns, claiming that his refusal to join Robertson was attributed to his objection to Thomas Garrett, who was not even included in the ministry. (Fifty Years, pp. 429-30). As to his stated reasons for not joining Robertson, Parkes later wrote that "I had some time before determined ... not to take office, and I had come to this determination for reasons which appeared to me then, and appear to me now, perfectly sound and justifiable". (ibid., p. 429). It is, therefore, quite possible that, at the time, Parkes really did see himself as the one man who could 'do good', and that he had determined to bide his time and await an opportunity to emerge as an unfettered reforming influence on the Assembly. Certainly, N.B. Nairn has pointed to Parkes's "devouring belief in his leadership role" in the process of achieving "his vision of orderly reform". (N.B. Nairn, 'A New Look', p. 13).

In 1887, Parkes invited an audience at St Leonards to "turn from personal prejudice, turn from personal preference, and look steadily at principle". (SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 10).

Discussing, in 1889, the nature of parties, Parkes stated that "the more definite they are the better; the more indefinite they are, the worse for the country; ...". (NSWPD, vol. XXXVI, p. 1540, 11 January 1889).
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206. SMH, 2 February 1889, p. 12.

207. SMH, 10 January 1887, p. 3; 19 February 1887, p. 7; DT, 2 February 1889, p. 6.

208. SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9.

209. SMH, 25 January 1887, p. 3. Also:—SMH, 26 January 1887, p. 10 (Parkes's manifesto to the electors of St Leonards).

210. ibid.

211. ibid.

212. Parkes to Lord Carrington, 7 April 1887. PC, vol. 6, A876, p. 127, ML.


214. Parkes to Lord Carrington, 7 April 1887. PC, vol. 6, A876, p. 128, ML.

215. Parkes to W. Clarke, 2 May 1887. Letters from Sir H. Parkes, vol. 1, A931, [no page numbers], ML.

216. Parkes to Lord Carrington, 7 April 1887. PC, vol. 6, A876, p. 128, ML.

217. Parkes to W. Clarke, 2 May 1887. Letters from Sir Henry Parkes, vol. 2, A931, [no page numbers], ML. The existing letter appears to be a draft copy, and is directly addressed only to William Clarke. Beneath Parkes's signature, however, there appears the following:

"My dear Mr Abigail
Sutherland
Salomons
Garrett
Inglis
Roberts
Burns
Foster
Clarke".

218. Parkes to Lord Carrington, 7 April 1887. PC, vol. 6, A876, pp. 128-29, ML.


220. SMH, 23 August 1882, p. 5.

221. As evidenced by the defeat of Dibbs's short-lived ministry in December 1885.
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224. In October 1885, O'Sullivan, Forsyth and Heydon had declared their opposition to the Dibbs ministry (SMH, 31 October 1885, pp. 9-10), and during the life of Robertson's 1885-86 ministry all three sat with the government, along with Henry Clarke, J. Garrard and A. Stokes. (SMH, 28 January 1886, p. 9).

225. The long-standing avowed protectionists, who now came out in support of Jennings were Forsyth, Heydon, O'Sullivan, Hyam, Burke, H. Clarke, Garrard, and Stokes. (See ch. 1, n. 38). Men like W.C. Wall, J.P. Abbott, N.G. Bull, T. Dalton, T. Ewing and J.M. Toohey, all of whom supported the Protection Union in November 1886, were not long-standing protectionists, but some of the first protectionist 'converts' achieved by Parkes's successful fiscal polarisation campaign.


227. Trickett had been Postmaster-General and Minister of Public Instruction in Stuart's 1883-85 ministry, and Minister of Public Instruction in Dibbs's 1885 ministry. Reid had been Minister of Public Instruction in the Stuart ministry.


230. ibid., p. 1924, 12 May 1886.

231. See ibid., vol. XVIII, pp. 727-28, 10 February 1886 (Sir John Robertson).

232. Inglis indicated his factional allegiance to Robertson when he stated: "I have followed with the utmost fidelity and goodwill the lead of the hon. member for Mudgee, ...". (ibid., vol. XIX, p. 1907, 12 May 1886).

233. ibid.

234. J. Inglis, J.H. Young, R.M. Vaughn, S. Burdekin, C. Garland, F.J. Gibbes, F. Tait, W. Henson and W.H. Holborow were some of them (ibid., p. 1924).
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235. ibid., p. 1907.

236. SMH, 19 June 1886, p. 11.
C.E. Lyne (Parkes, p. 463) substantially agrees with this interpretation of Robertson's resignation. P. Loveday and A.W. Martin (PFP, p. 132) claim that "age and ill health" forced Robertson's retirement, but this would appear to be a cover for the real reason.

237. SMH, 19 June 1886, p. 11.


239. R.B. Smith, H. Stephen, J.S. Hawthorne, E.A. Baker, J. Kidd, A. Bowman, J. Davies, T. de Courcy Browne were some of them.

240. The preceding faction and fiscal analysis is based on the following sources:--

241. J. Garrard, J.S. Hawthorne, A. Bowman and R.B. Wilkinson supported ad valorem duties in 1886, although all later became staunch freetraders; R.M. Vaughn and A. Ross, declared protectionists, supported Parkes in 1886, but later altered their allegiance.


243. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 4 (Speech by Dibbs).

244. DT, 5 February 1898, p. 4 (W.H. Traill).

245. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 4 (Speech by Dibbs).
C.E. Lyne agrees with Dibbs and Traill: The Jennings ministry resigned from office "ostensibly through a disagreement between Sir Patrick Jennings and Mr. Dibbs, but largely owing to fiscal differences between the head of the Government and some of his colleagues" (Parkes, pp. 465-66). For further corroboration, see SMH, 15 January 1887, p. 11; 21 January 1887, p. 6. Although he claimed that "differences between myself and one of my colleagues led to my resignation" (NSWPD, vol. XXIV, p. 45, 20 January 1887), Jennings hinted at the underlying reason when he stated that some of his colleagues favoured "a policy of protection, and
that as events developed themselves this tendency on their part became more decided". (ibid., p. 44). He went on to state that "although some members of the Government were in favour of a protective policy and some were in favour of free-trade, their views on these subjects were subordinated whilst we had together for the common object we had in view - the placing of finances of the country on a sound footing". (ibid., p. 47. Underlining my own). The implication is that, once the Customs Duties Bill has been passed, the ministry was no longer united because of the developing fiscal conflict.

246. Confidential dispatch, Lord Carrington to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, 20 January 1887. CO 201/606, f. 11, NLA.

247. SMH, 7 February 1887, p. 4 (speech by W. Lyne).

248. C.E. Lyne, Parkes, p. 466. William Lyne estimated Jennings' majority at "something like 30" (SMH, 7 February 1887, p. 4), and E.W. O'Sullivan put it at thirty-three (From Colony to Commonwealth, p. 198).

249. SMH, 7 February 1887, p. 4. See also:— H. Parkes Fifty Years, p. 449.

250. In his life of Edmund Barton, Reynolds writes: "The Governor, Lord Carrington, was believed to have urged Parkes to take over in order to restore the sagging confidence of the financial interests in England, who had invested heavily in the colony during recent years". (J. Reynolds, Edmund Barton, Sydney, 1948, p. 24-25). Parkes recollected that, during the interview at which he received his commission, the first words uttered by Lord Carrington were: "Well, I suppose you have no doubt that you can pull the country through". (Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, p. 29, ML).

251. At the same time as commissioning Parkes to form a ministry, Carrington granted him a dissolution at his request "in case he ... [was] unable to carry on the business of the country with the present Parliament". (C.E. Lyne, Parkes, pp. 466-67; Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, p. 30, ML). When this proved to be the case and an election became inevitable, Carrington wrote: "I have every reason to believe that a stable Government is about to administer the affairs of the Colony". (Confidential dispatch, Lord Carrington the Secretary of State for the Colonies, 20 January 1887, CO 201/606, ff. 11-12). Parkes recalled that Carrington had expressed the hope that "I should be able to construct a strong ministry with a good majority at its back", and his "concurrence in my appealing to the country whenever I judged it most expedient". (Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, p. 33, ML).
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255. SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9.

256. Discussing, in 1889, the formation of his ministry, Parkes stated that "The gentlemen who joined me two years ago were necessarily inevitably to some extent comparative strangers to me. There had been such a breaking up of parties that it was impossible for me at that time to have formed any government without taking in some gentlemen who were comparative strangers to me". (NSWPD, vol. XXXVI, p. 1539, 11 January 1889).

257. Regarding these five men, see P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, PFP, p. 133; Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, pp. 47, 52, ML.

258. Regarding Wisdom, Lloyd and Neild as ministerial possibilities, see ibid., pp. 46, 55-57.

259. See ibid., pp. 46-47, 51-53, 55-58. Thomas Garrett spoke of "his junction with the head of the Government" in consequence of the ex-Robertsonians' decision "to sink all small or party or occasional differences that might have arisen" in the past. (SMH. 3 February 1887, p. 7).

260. Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, p. 55, ML.

261. ibid., p. 35 (Parkes to G.H. Reid, 15 January 1887).

262. ibid., p. 47.


264. NSWPD, vol. XXIV, p. 44, 20 January 1887 (E.W. O'Sullivan). C.E. Lyne (Parkes, p. 469) reduced the number to "three reputed protectionists", but four names have commonly been mentioned in regard to the accusation. Jennings specified J. Sutherland, W. Clarke and C.J. Roberts (NSWPD, vol. XXIV, p. 44); Loveday and Martin name the same three men (PFP, p. 187, n. 53), but elsewhere A.W. Martin specifies Clarke, C.J. Roberts and F. Abigail. ('McMillan', p. 206, fn. 18).
Abigail's remarks also apply to Sutherland. Both Abigail and Sutherland voted against the Resolution of Ways and Means, containing Jennings' tariff proposals. (NSWPD, vol. XX, pp. 2149-50, 20 May 1886); Abigail cast his vote against the second reading of the Customs Duties Bill (VPNSULA, 1885-86, vol. I, p. 355, 1 July 1886).

Clarke voted against the Resolution of Ways and Means, and the second reading of the Customs Duties Bill.

Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, p. 48, ML.

See Appendices VII and VIII.

SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9.

SMH, 9 February 1887, p. 6. Roberts did not vote in either the Resolution of Ways and Means, or the second reading of the Customs Duties Bill divisions.

George Dibbs claimed that Parkes, having obtained office by raising up "a bogie", was compelled to "make it a hustings cry, and quell it". (SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 4). On the contrary, I believe that Parkes made the fiscal issue 'a hustings cry' in order to perpetuate it as the dominant source of political division.


SMH, 25 January 1887, p. 3.

ibid.

At the conclusion of Parkes's speech, Archibald Forsyth rose "to ask the meaning of Sir Henry Parkes's action in calling this House together in order to disclose his policy". (ibid.) The Herald, in announcing Parkes's intention to disclose to the Assembly his government's policy, mused: "Why Sir Henry Parkes has pursued this unusual course can only be conjectured". (SMH, 22 January 1887, p. 11).

282. The six trips were as follows:


(3) Sydney—Goulburn—Sydney (one day). **SMH**, 11 February 1887, p. 4. Electorate of Argyle.

(4) Sydney—Wallsend/Newcastle—Sydney (one day). **SMH**, 14 February 1887, p. 3. Electorate of Northumberland.
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1. SMH, 21 January 1887, p. 7.
3. ibid.
4. ibid.
5. A. Forsyth, L.F. Heydon, E.W. O'Sullivan, S.H. Hyam, M. Burke, N. Melville, H. Clarke, P. Hogan, R. Barbour, W.T. Coonan and W.S. Targett. (See above, ch. 1, p. 35; ch. 1, nn. 38, 225). R.M. Vaughn, a long-standing protectionist, had opposed the imposition of ad valorem duties in 1886, but had since changed sides, sacrificing his factional allegiance in favour of his fiscal beliefs; Jacob Garrard and A. Stokes had done the opposite, deserting their 1886 'protectionist' colleagues to support Parkes. (See above, ch. 1, p.35; ch. 1, nn. 225, 226).
7. For example:- J.M. Chanter (SMH, 27 January 1887, p.4; 28 February 1887, p. 12); H. Stephen (SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 11); T. Jones (SMH, 10 February 1887, p. 3; 28 February 1887, p. 12); D.A. Ferguson (SMH, 28 February 1887, p. 12); T.H. Hassall, G. Day and J. McFarlane (SMH, 28 February 1887, p. 11); J. Fletcher. Fletcher demonstrated that the basis for fiscal conversion involved no fundamental alteration of fiscal opinion, but simply a willingness to accept an artificial label, when he stated that, if his support of ad valorem duties "constituted a protectionist, he was willing to be placed in that category". (SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 7).
8. J.H. WANT declared that "He was not even a modified protectionist, and had always been a thorough freetrader and always would be". (SMH, 4 February 1887, p. 11); G.R. Dibbs declared himself "an uncompromising freetrader" (SMH, 17 February 1887, p. 3), and he "strongly condemned protection as inimical to the best interests of the colony". (SMH, 28 February 1887, p.12); R.G. Fitzgerald "declared himself in favour of freetrade" (SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 10; 28 February 1887, p. 12; 29 January 1887, p. 9). Also:- T. Slattery (SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 3; 28 February 1887, p. 11); J.A. Mackinnon (SMH, 11 February 1887, p. 3; 15 February 1887, p. 4; 28 February 1887, p. 12); J. Hayes (SMH, 28 February 1887, p. 11).
9. J. Gormly "also stated that he was not a protectionist, ...": (SMH, 9 February 1887, p. 6; 28 February 1887, p.12); R.B. Smith (SMH, 15 February 1887, p. 4).
10. John See specifically referred to his disagreement with protection when he stated that he called "the views he held 'fairtrade'. Other people might call him a protectionist, but he did not believe in prohibition, and there were many things in the platform of the protectionists which he confessed he could not bring himself to support". (SMH, 7 February 1887, p. 4). R.H. Levien also adopted the term 'fairtrader' (SMH, 28 February 1887, p. 12), thus making him what J.H. Young described as "a sort of political hermaphrodite". (SMH, 18 February 1887, p. 3). Also: J.W. Bowes (SMH, 21 February 1887, p. 4; 28 February 1887, p. 12); T.C. O'Mara (SMH, 15 February 1887, p. 3).

11. A. Ryrie and W.S. Dowel accepted no fiscal label at all (SMH, 28 February 1887, pp. 11-12), Dowel declaring that the fiscal issue was nothing more than "a red herring drawn across the trail". (SMH, 16 February 1887, p. 5).

12. SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 11.

13. SMH, 10 March 1887, p. 9.
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214. McMillan supported Parkes in both divisions.
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217. ibid., pp. 1643-44.

218. P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, PFP, p. 145.

219. ibid.

220. See, for example, his interjection during McMillan's speech (NSWPD, vol. XXXVI, p. 1587, 16 January 1889).

221. ibid., pp. 1587-88.

222. ibid., p. 1605. Parkes certainly saw the issue in this light, stating that "I did what appeared to me my constitutional duty". (ibid., p. 1581, 15 January 1889). See also:- N.B. Nairn, 'Review, PFP', p. 159.


224. ibid.


Parkes could not prevent the exertion of continued radical pressure for change and reform, but he did manage to hold in check any further open radical rebellion until October 1891. After his abandonment of free-trade party leadership the following month, however, the pretence of cohesion fell away and the divisions, which had torn at the party's unity since 1808, burst forth under the new leader, G.H. Reid. What resulted was bitter power struggle within the party that was only finally resolved in 1895 (See below, ch. 4, pp.139-40). Until October 1891, however, Parkes was able to paper over the cracks, although he was constantly aware that internal strife could erupt at any time. His task in preserving basic unity was not easy: the radicals continued to be troublesome, and the lack of factional subservience among his 1889-91 ministerial colleagues caused clashes between the old autocrat and the younger, doctrinaire ministers, and, indeed, between the ministers themselves (See below, ch. 6, pp. 191-97).


236. H. Parkes, Meeting of Ministerial Supporters held on June 3rd 1891, in the Council Chamber of the Colonial Secretary's Office, Sydney, 1891, p. 3. (329.991/P ML). "That letter was not made known at the time, but it was my wish that it should have been made known at the time; ...". (Sir Henry Parkes). (Hereafter:- Meeting, 1891).

237. SMH, 23 February 1889, p. 10.
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239. McMillan to Parkes, 19 February 1889, pp. 185-86; Parkes's Diary, 1889, A1017, 22 February, ML.


241. Parkes's Diary, 1889, A1017, 22 February, ML.


244. Parkes's Diary, 1889, A1017, 6,7 March, ML.

245. As previously pointed out, McMillan had staunchly defended Parkes and the ministry during the radical rebellion of December 1888 - January 1889, and Parkes acknowledged that he had "intelligently, loyally and consistently supported me". (*NSWPD*, vol. XXXV/19 p. 1591, 16 January 1889).
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253. See below, ch. 3, p. 94, and especially ch. 3, n. 125.


255. Several incidents during the life of the 1889-91 ministry show that McMillan remained Parkes's first lieutenant: in April 1889, Parkes mentioned him as his possibly successor (Parkes to Lord Carrington, 23 July 1889. *PC*, vol. 46, A915, p. 162, ML); Parkes used McMillan to pass on instructions and messages to other members of the ministry (e.g. Parkes to W. McMillan, 17 August 1889. W. McMillan Papers, MSS
1885/2, pp. 77-79, ML); and, in 1890, McMillan acted as government spokesman during Parkes's recovery from a broken leg (N.B. Nairn, 'A Note On A Colonial Treasurer's Resignation', HS, vol. 13, no. 49 (October 1967), p. 94. (Hereafter:- 'A Note').
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257. The three radicals were Sydney Smith, J.H. Carruthers and D. O'Connor, the last two of whom had voted with Parkes during the radical rebellion of December 1888-January 1889.
1. A.W. Martin, 'The Legislative Assembly', p. 59. Bruce Smith assented to this concept when he told his constituents in 1889 that he would support any measures, "which he considered good and wholesome and for the welfare of the community". (DT, 26 January 1889, p. 10). The high-priest of traditional liberalism, John Bright, was strongly opposed to the representation of class interests in any form (H.J. Hanham (ed.), Constitution, p. 230). For Parkes's agreement with Bright's brand of liberalism, see: Parkes to J. Bright, 15 August 1878. Bright Papers, Add. MSS 43389, f. 285, BM.


4. C.E. Lyne, Parkes, p. 513. For Parkes's admiration of Gladstone, see: Parkes to W.E. Gladstone, 5 June 1874. Gladstone Papers, Add. MSS 44443, f. 276, BM. For later correspondence between the two, see ibid., Add. MSS 44449, f. 256; 44461, f. 70; 44483, ff. 222, 277; 44490, f. 160; 44508, f. 77, BM.
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Within the British Liberal party, the dominance of the conservatives was much less secure. By means of their powerful extra-parliamentary machine, the National Liberal Federation, the radicals were able to conduct an unceasing agitation in support of their views and ideals. Whenever conflict erupted within the governing Liberal party, radical pressure was effectively brought to bear on hesitant or wavering members. (I. Jennings, *Party Politics*, vol. II, p. 162). By such pressure, Gladstone's government was prevailed upon to pass the 1884 Reform Bill (ibid., p. 168). It was the pressure exerted by an established, influential and radical-dominated extra-parliamentary machine, so conspicuously lacking in New South Wales until after 1891, that prevented total conservative dominance of the parliamentary party, forced the consideration of major issues of principle and reform, and succeeded in at least securing compromises. See also, ch. 3, n. 140.

Wise later wrote that the land tax was advocated "more as a potent instrument of social reform than as a measure of finance". (Reverie, p. 87).


ibid., p. 88.
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At least three freetrade radicals seem to have adhered to George's theory. Alfred Allen declared himself to be "a firm believer in the one-tax principle enunciated by Henry George, ..." (NSWPD, vol. XXXVII, p. 367, 2 April 1889); Charles Garland was the president of the Single Tax League between 1889 and 1892 (SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 6; 30 January 1890, p. 4; 29 January 1891, p. 7); and G. Donald also declared himself a single-taxer (DT, 4 July 1891, p. 7).


31. ibid., vol. XXXIII, p. 5289, 6 June 1888.

32. J. Seaver (ibid., vol. XXXV, p. 744; vol. XXXVII, p. 370; SMH, 19 February 1889, p. 8); J. Haynes (NSWPD, vol. XXXII, pp. 4456-57); F. J. Smith (SMH, 19 February 1889, p. 8; 24 January 1890, p. 3); Sydney Smith (SMH, 19 February 1889, p. 8); J. Nobbs (DT, 5 February 1889, p. 5; 6 February 1889, p. 5; 9 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, 19 February 1889, p. 8); G. Clubb (SMH, 24 January 1890, p. 3); J. S. Hawthorne (SMH, 19 February 1889, p. 7; DT, 9 June 1891, p. 6); F. Woodward (SMH, 28 February 1887, p. 12; 19 February 1889, p. 8; DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3).


33. In April 1893, there was formed the Free Trade and Land Reform Electoral Committee, an organisation devoted to the cause of land taxation, and described by Wise as "the rallying point for the democratic Free-traders". (Reverie, p. 88). Associated with this body were such pre-1892 MSA as J.H. Carruthers, J.C. Naiid, J.E. Tonkin, D. O'Connor (SMH, 8 August 1893, p. 5; E. Lonsdale (SMH, 31 August 1893, p. 6) and A. Allen (SMH, 5 September 1893, p. 6). Wise was president of the FTLREC (SMH, 27 July 1893, p. 4).

John Haynes was associated with the formation of its successor, the FTLRL (Armidale Chronicle, 8 September 1894).

34. See above, ch. 2, pp. 57-58 and nn. 98-100.

35. See above, ch. 2, pp. 54-56.
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36. NSWPD, vol. LXX, p. 2323, 17 April 1894 (J.H. Young). The radical, J. Seauer, did nothing to allay these fears when he stated that a system of direct tax would "counteract the hardship ... on the lower classes, and ... [would] affect the pockets of the richer classes, ...". (ibid., vol. XXXVII, p. 370, 2 April 1889).

37. ibid., vol. XXV, p. 498, 30 March 1887; SMH, 4 February 1887, p. 11; J.A. Ryan, B.R. Wise, p. 232. A number of freetrade M.L.A displayed their antagonism towards direct taxation by joining, or associating with, organisations devoted to prevention of direct taxation. One such body was the National Association, formed in 1893 and with which a number of pre-1892 M.L.A became associated: Bruce Smith (SMH, 7 July 1894, p. 7), I.E. Ives (SMH, 21 March 1894, p. 5), R.J. Black (SMH, 11 April 1893, p. 6; 7 July 1894, p. 7), J. Wheeler (SMH, 11 April 1893, p. 6; 10 May 1893, p. 8), J. Marks (SMH, 28 June 1894, p. 3), W.S. Cortis (SMH, 28 June 1894, p. 3) and J. McElhone (SMH, 10 May 1893, p. 8). Another anti-direct taxation organisation was the Land Owners' Defence League, of whose executive committee S. Burdekin was a member (G.C. Hunt to Parkes, 16 May 1894. PC, A923, p. 652, ML). For the objects of the league, see:- SMH, 21 April 1894, p. 9; 25 April 1894, p. 8; DT, 23 April 1894, p. 6; 3 May 1894, p.3.


39. J.F. Burns rejected direct taxation as Treasury policy, telling his audience that "the idea that the Government were about to introduce new taxation to a large amount was without foundation". (SMH, 4 February 1887, p. 11); Thomas Garrett rejected the idea that property taxation had anything to do with a freetrade policy (J.A. Ryan, B.R. Wise, p. 233); and William Clarke saw salvation in a revenue tariff (SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 7).

40. Thomas Walker claimed that the reference to a property tax "was thrown out at the time as mere bait to catch the votes of the working-class ... . I say that this is one of the birds which the Premier put in the Government nest to whistle and warble in order to decoy the people". (NSWPD, vol. XXV, p. 749, 13 April 1887). George Dibbs declared: "Would they have dared to say to the working men, whose votes they had by the thousand, 'When we get into the House with a working majority of two-thirds, ..., we will betray you; we will make the incidence of taxation fall on your backs, you fools!'". (ibid., p. 662, 6 April 1887).

41. ibid., vol. XXXVII, p. 370, 2 April 1889.
42. J.F. Burns stated: "... I shall do all I possibly can to prevent the necessity for a property-tax arising. I believe that by a re-adjustment of our [indirect] taxation as we propose, and by a sound system of retrenchment we shall be able to reduce the expenditure ... . It seems to me that the prospect of imposing a property-tax is vanishing day by day, and I shall do my best to prevent a property-tax; ...". (ibid., vol. XXV, p. 893, 20 April 1887).

43. Burns quite clearly stated that the "new tariff, though not framed on a strictly scientific basis, will include no article selected for other than purposes of revenue". (ibid., p. 502, 30 March 1887). Parkes gave an equally clear description: "our tariff ... [is] raised for revenue purposes only. We call our tariff a revenue tariff". (ibid., p. 833, 14 April 1887).

44. ibid., vol. XXXVII, p. 370, 2 April 1889.

45. See above, ch. 2, p. 56. The new and increased excise duties on colonial beer, spirits and tobacco (see above, ch. 2, p. 48) were further encouragement to the importers at the expense of colonial manufacturers, and the tobacco manufacturers sent deputations to Treasurer Burns in protest (SMH, 17 June 1887, p. 4). Even the merchant-dominated FTA concluded that "a severe blow would be struck at those engaged in ... colonial manufacture". (SMH, 11 May 1887, p. 6).
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49. See, for example, the speeches of T. Walker (ibid., pp. 749-50, 13 April 1887) and E.W. O'Sullivan (ibid., pp. 788-89, 14 April 1887). On 20 April, Henry Copeland unsuccessfully moved a censure motion against the ministry for failing to honour its pledge to impose a property tax. (ibid., pp. 872-73, 20 April 1887; pp. 952-53, 21 April 1887).
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53. ibid., p. 952, 21 April 1887.
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56. During the second reading debate on the Property-Tax Bill, Wise referred to himself as "one who knows something of the facts connected with the preparation of this bill - who knows the care with which this bill was prepared, ...". (ibid., vol. XXXIV, p. 6149, 5 July 1888).

57. In April 1889, Jonathan Seaver claimed that "when the hon. member, Mr Burns, brought into the House a bill for the purpose of taxing land, he had no intention that it should ever become law ...". (ibid., vol. XXXVII, p. 543, 10 April 1889). George Dibbs made a similar observation when he stated, during the introduction of the Land-tax bill, that Parkes "has kept ... [his] pledge by introducing this bill, while praying to God that it may not become law". (ibid., vol. XXXIII, p. 5279, 6 June 1888).
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114. ibid., p. 6564.
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134. ibid.

135. On the day after the executive had decided to extend its organisation, Parkes told McMillan, "Now, that the Elections are over (in which I have done my utmost for our Party) it seems right that I should lose no time in acquainting our political friends that I have decided not to seek nor accept the position of Leadership in the new Parliament". (Parkes to W. McMillan, 19 February 1889. W. McMillan Papers, MSS 1885/2, p. 17, ML).
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140. SMH, 19 February 1889, p. 7; see above, p. 94.

It was here that the activities of the free trade radicals in attempting to formulate a specific party programme diverge from the methods previously adopted by their British counterparts. In 1885, the British Liberal radicals, using their own already-established extra-parliamentary machine, formulated a "definite and practical programme for the Radical party", The Radical Programme. (Joseph Chamberlain's description, quoted in I. Jennings, Party Politics, vol. II, p. 163). In so doing, they acted independently of the conservatives in the parliamentary party, thus widening the gulf between the two groups, rather than attempting to bridge it. As a result, the party leader, Gladstone, was under constant pressure, and was forced to seek compromises, and finally to choose between the two hostile wings of the party. In 1891, the radicals issued a further programme, the Newcastle Programme, to which Gladstone finally gave a qualified approval, thereby sacrificing his conservative support. (ibid., p. 198; H.J. Hanham (ed.), Constitution, p. 202).

In New South Wales, the radical freetraders did not have an established extra-parliamentary organisation (the FTA was dominated by conservative merchants. See below, ch. 5, pp.164-66), and, in attempting to create one (the LPA), they envisaged an organisation that would be representative of the whole freetrade party. As a result, the conservatives were free to participate, and their influence had the effect of sabotaging and undermining radical action on such matters as party policy. Only when the radicals
learned by experience that they must act independently, using what organisations they had to hand and creating others to formulate a distinct radical programme and apply pressure to the parliamentary leadership, did they make any headway. This new independent action was only begun in mid-1891 (See below, ch. 3, p.103; ch. 3, n. 212), and was continued with vigour during 1893 with the creation of the FTILREC. The successful pressure thus applied to the party leader, G.H. Reid, was demonstrated by his acceptance of a number of radical proposals.


142. ibid. McMillan, S. Smith, J.N. Brunker and S. Burdekin were definitely on both the LPA organising committee and the 1889 parliamentary freetrade election executive; F.J. Smith, F. Abigail, and J.H. Carruthers were on the LPA organising committee. It is the apparent close connection between the election executive and the LPA committee that would indicate that F.J. Smith, F. Abigail and Carruthers had been on the election executive.

143. A telegram from Parkes to Wise at Bowral, dated 4 February 1889, two days after Wise's defeat for South Sydney, would indicate that Wise's instinctive reaction to defeat had been to retire into political seclusion. Parkes wrote: "Have written to you fear letter will not reach. remember Gladstone Bright Cobden Burke all have stood defeat. believe you ought to come to Sydney at once. seat can be easily obtained ...". (B.R. Wise Papers, MSS 1327, vol. 2, p. 165, ML. (stops inserted)). It was probably in reply to this communication that Wise specifically declared his desire "to keep out of Parliament for a while", despite his own report of an invitation to contest a further seat at West Macquarie. (B.R. Wise to Parkes, n.d. [5 February 1889]. PC, vol. 42, A912, pp. 330-31, ML). Finally, however, Wise consented to contest West Macquarie, but his desire to go into political seclusion must surely have been reinforced by his further defeat there at the hands of W.P. Crick on 16 February.

144. Draft LPA Platform, 6 March 1889. PC, vol. 23, A893, p.15, ML.
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These were later described as "practical matters, which concern administration rather than principle, ..."). (DT, 7 October 1889, p. 4).
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This decision was put into effect. At a meeting of the PPRL on 30 November, the secretary reported that all correspondence had been sent on to the PU secretary. (SMH, 1 December 1886, p. 9).
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131. Twenty-one PU selections failed to materialise as candidates in twenty electorates:— P. Hogan (Richmond); Fagan (Wollombi); Jennings (Hastings and Manning); M.J. Conlon and W. Crispin (Glebe); F. Punch (East Sydney); T. Symons (Balmain); M. Burke (Tamworth); J.V. Wiley (Central Cumberland); R. Scott Ross (Molong); E. Rudder (Clarence); R.C. Luscombe (Kiama); E.W. Doyle (Durham); Dalveen (Morpeth); Ferris (Nepean); J. McLachlan (Orange); J.P. Wright (Inverell); R.T. Bellemey (Neutron); G. Coleman (Murrumbidgee); W.T. Poole (South Sydney); F. Ward (Shoalhaven).


133. Canterbury, Richmond, Mudgee, Hastings and Manning, Glebe, Carcoar, Balmain, Central Cumberland, Orange, Newtown, East Macquarie.

134. See, for example, SMH, 16 February 1887, p. 5 (Speech of J. Gale, Murrumbidgee); 12 February 1887, p. 8 (Speech of H. Dawson, Monaro); 4 February 1887, p. 6 (Speech of J.F. Smith, Newtown).

135. They were W. McCourt (Camden), J. Davies (South Sydney), W.G. Judd (Canterbury), J. Gormly (Murrumbidgee), R.G. Fitzgerald (Upper Hunter), T. Waddell (Bourke), T. Slattery (Boorowa), J. Hayes (Hume), and J.A. Mackinnon (Young).

136. SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5 (W.G. Judd); 1 February 1887, p. 5 (McCourt); 8 February 1887, p. 4 (Waddell).

137. SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 5.


139. SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9.

140. SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 5.

141. The final figures for the electorate were:—
F. Jago Smith (freetrade) 340
W.P. Crick (PU) 252
J. Hughes (PU) 109.

142. SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9.

143. SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 7.
The final figures for the electorate were:–

J. Hurley (freetrade) 619
R.J. Inch (protectionist) 333
J. Young (PU) 245
B. Doyle (protectionist) 184.

Splits in the protectionist vote also occurred in Northumberland and South Sydney.

See also:– SMH, 3 May 1887, p. 7 (Redfern branch); 26 May 1887, p. 7 (Shoalhaven branch); 29 July 1887, p. 5; 27 August 1887, p. 11 (mentions branches at West Sydney, Lithgow, Parramatta, Granville, Windsor and Richmond).

A. Forsyth and S.H. Hyam were defeated in South Sydney and Balmain respectively, and L.F. Heydon did not seek re-election. This left only the MsLA, E.W. O'Sullivan and J.M. Toohey, on the council.

After Rose had resigned, J.S. Skeet was appointed acting secretary of the PU (SMH, 2 February 1887, p. 7), and he was elected permanent secretary in May (C.R. Hall, Manufacturers, p. 48).
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163. SMH, 22 December 1886, p. 7; TLC Minutes, A3830, p. 645, 27 January 1887, ML; EN, 1 November 1887, p. 3.

164. DT, 31 October 1887, p. 6; EN, 1 November 1887, p. 3.

165. SMH, 8 October 1887, p. 11.

166. For Norton's connection with the TLC, see N.B. Nairn, Civilising, p. 26.

167. EN, 23 April 1888, p. 3.

168. SMH, 8 October 1887, p. 11.

169. Although initially called "the National Protection League" (ibid.), the new organisation was thereafter referred to by its correct name (SMH, 1 November 1887, p. 7; EN, 1 November 1887, p. 5).

170. SMH, 8 October 1887, p. 11.

171. ibid.

172. DT, 31 October 1887, p. 6.

173. EN, 1 November 1887, p. 5; SMH, 1 November 1887, p. 7.

174. EN, 23 April 1888, p. 3.

175. EN, 1 November 1887, p. 5.

176. SMH, 1 November 1887, p. 7; 2 November 1887, p. 9.

177. EN, 12 April 1888, p. 3.

178. Although the NPA2 made "a struggle for existence" (SMH, 1 November 1887, p. 7) and adopted a formal constitution (SMH, 30 November 1887, p. 9), it soon passed out of existence.

179. SMH, 26 July 1888, p. 8; see also, ch. 4, p. 130; and Appendix IV.

180. AS, 8 August 1888, p. 3.

181. SMH, 23 August 1888, p. 3.

182. SMH, 24 August 1888, p. 4.

183. Ibid. O'Sullivan's proposed committee included Heydon, Forsyth, Crispin, Milne and Nelson of the CM; radical MSLA, J.P. Howe and O'Sullivan; conservative MSLA, J.M. Toohey (also a member of the CM) and T.H. Hassall; W. Richardson, R.C. Luscombe, G.F. Garton, J. Colbron and J. Caulfield of the PPRL; J.R. Talbot and T. Symons of the TLC; and Watkin, Thorpe, Holdsworth, Kirby, O'Connell and Burns.
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184. SMH, 16 November 1887, p. 9.

185. During the by-election for Paddington in January 1888, the influence of the parliamentary party on the organisation was strong, acting at first in conjunc-
tion with the PU (SMH, 2 January 1888, p. 7; 4 January 1888, p. 4), and soon coming to dominate and determine what was to be done (SMH, 5 January 1888, p. 5). Under the leadership of Ninian Melville and James Fletcher, the parliamentary party was fully in control of protectionist organisation during the Central Cumberland by-election of March 1888 (SMH, 6 March 1888, pp. 4, 7; 7 March 1888, p. 9).

186. AS, 11 April 1888, p. 5.

187. The one MLA on the executive would have been counter-
balanced by four office-bearers and six other members of the executive who were members of the CM (ibid.).

188. The eight MLA involved were G.R. Dibbs, John See, J.P. Garvan, J.P. Abbott, W.J. Lyne, T.M. Slattery, H. Copeland and James Fletcher (ibid.).

189. EN, 23 April 1888, p. 3.


191. SMH, 18 July 1885, p. 10.

192. SMH, 22 July 1885, p. 4. Reviewing the formation of the FTA some time later, B.R. Wise emphasised the freetrade reaction to protectionist activity as a basic reason for the formation of the Association (SMH, 25 January 1886, p. 9). See also: J.A. Ryan, B.R. Wise, p. 215; P. Loveday and A.W. Martin, PFP, p. 126.

193. SMH, 11 August 1885, p. 7.

194. SMH, 18 August 1885, p. 4.


196. SMH, 18 August 1885, p. 4. For the full composition of the original executive council, and all later additions to the FTA council, see Appendix VII.

197. See ch. 2, p. 66; ch. 2, n. 169.

198. B.R. Wise, Reverie, p. 69.

199. See Appendices VII and VIII.

200. SMH, 29 August 1885, p. 13.
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203. Sydney Chamber of Commerce. Minutes of Quarterly General Meetings and Special Committee Meetings, 1879-1892, special committee meeting, 16 April 1886. (handwritten MS in the possession of the Sydney Chamber of Commerce, Commerce House, Sydney).

204. ibid., committee meetings, 8 July, 4 August 1887.

205. **SMH**, 2 February 1887, p. 5.


207. B.R. Wise, Reverie, pp. 68-69. The two men were George Munro, of A. McArthur and Co., and J. Jamieson, of Prince, Ogg and Co. See Appendices VII and VIII.

208. **DT**, 26 August 1885, p. 4.


210. See Appendix VII.

211. See Appendix VII. For the radicalism of Wise, Haynes and Nobbs, see Appendix I. Both Whiddon and Downing were later associated with the radical Single Tax League (**SMH**, 5 March 1890, p. 5; 1 April 1891, p. 8), and Whiddon was a vice-president of the FTLREC during 1893 (**SMH**, 3 August 1893, p. 6; 8 August 1893, pp. 5-6; 31 August 1893, p. 6). For the addition of the TLC representatives to the council, see ch. 2, n. 169.

212. **SMH**, 11 August 1885, p. 7.

213. **DT**, 18 June 1894, p. 5. Smith was added to the FTA council in November 1886 (**DT**, 8 November 1886, p. 3).

214. **SMH**, 18 August 1885, p. 4; 29 August 1885, p. 13; 3 October 1885, p. 10; 29 July 1886, p. 9. See Appendix VII.


216. **SMH**, 18 August 1885, p. 4; 29 July 1886, p. 9; **DT**, 8 November 1886, p. 3.

217. **SMH**, 3 October 1885, p. 10.

A.W. Martin presents an alternative view. He claims that Parkes, "Ignoring the Association men, ... constructed his Cabinet with an eye on the old factional groupings, ...". ('McMillan', p. 206). Parkes did acknowledge factional allegiances (See above, ch. 1, p. 39), but this did not exclude those MsLA in the FTA from ministerial consideration.

Parkes to J.H. Young, 19 January 1887. Letters to Saul Samuel, A55, pp. 57-58, ML.

SMH, 3 October 1885, p. 10.

SMH, 23 July 1889, p. 5.

Also:— "From the first the Association has never professed to be anything more than a modest body of men anxious that the general public should rightly understand the principles upon which the country can be successfully and prosperously governed". (SMH, 15 March 1887, p. 7).

DT, 8 November 1886, p. 4.

SMH, 29 July 1886, p. 9. In September 1885, the FTA printed and circulated 25,000 copies of its manifesto, 100,000 leaflets and 20,000 pamphlets. These, and all subsequent FTA publications, were directed towards the freetrade education of the colony. Secretary, Edward Pulsford, was the Association's chief publicist, and his pamphlets included Freedom in New South Wales versus Oppression in Victoria (1887) and Freetrade and Protection — An Answer to Forsyth's Pamphlet (1885). (SMH, 29 July 1886, p. 9; DT, 27 September 1887, p. 5). Many of the FTA leaflets and pamphlets have been bound and deposited in the Mitchell Library, Sydney (337.2/ F,ML). In 1886, the FTA also undertook the publication as a pamphlet of the speeches of Parkes and G.H. Reid delivered during the second reading debate on Jennings' Customs Duties Bill, and a copy may be found in the NLA.
232. Balmain (DT, 8 November 1886, p. 3); Wollombi (DT, 6 November 1886, p. 5); Bathurst (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 7); and Armidale (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5).

233. DT, 8 November 1886, p. 5.

234. SMH, 10 January 1887, p. 7.

235. SMH, 24 January 1887, p. 7.

236. SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9.

237. SMH, 27 September 1887, p. 4.

238. SMH, 23 July 1889, p. 5; DT, 23 July 1889, p. 6.

239. The correspondence between Parkes and Wise during 1886 demonstrates that Wise was closely associated with Parkes and "the party". See:- B.R. Wise to Parkes, 5 March 1886. PC, A930, pp. 133-36, ML; Parkes to B.R. Wise, 5 March 1886. B.R. Wise Papers, MSS 1327, vol. 2, pp. 95-97, ML; Parkes to B.R. Wise, 8 November 1886. ibid., pp. 107-109.

240. A.W. Martin presents an alternative view. He claims that a "feeling of distrust" and a "division of purpose" existed between Parkes and the parliamentarians, and the FTA. (The Emergence, p. 22; Political Groupings, p. 278). The result, he and his co-author, P. Loveday, claim, was that "Parkes and his more prominent followers took no part in the deliberations of the [FTA] Council, ..." (PEP, pp. 136-37). This, he further maintains, was deliberate aloofness, for Parkes had been invited to attend the council meeting at which it was decided to undertake the organisation of the election. (The Emergence, p. 22 and fn. 37; Political Groupings, p. 278 and fn. 1; PFP, pp. 136 and 188, n. 60). The evidence used by Martin to conclude that Parkes had been invited to the meeting "to discuss election arrangements" is a letter from council member, G.N. Griffiths, to Parkes, dated 27 January 1887 (PC, vol. 16, A886, pp. 113-14, ML). An alternative interpretation of that letter would suggest that no invitation to the meeting was extended, and that the subject of electoral organisation was not even broached. On 21 January, Griffiths had been appointed to a sub-committee to organise the holding of a free-trade banquet; the sub-committee was to report back to the council at the next meeting, viz. 28 January. (SMH, 24 January 1887, p. 7). It would appear that it was simply in connection with the banquet that Griffiths wrote to Parkes, and the invitation mentioned in the letter seems to refer to the intended invitation to Parkes to attend the banquet. Griffiths's desire to see or hear from Parkes by the following day...
would appear to relate little more than to his obligation to report to the council that evening on the banquet arrangements. The full text of the letter is:

"The Council of the Free Trade Association contemplate arranging for a Banquet and a meeting is to be held tomorrow night to finally decide. As the desire is to invite you and the other Ministers and to make it [i.e. the banquet] a success by grouping together all those candidates who are coming forward on Free Trade principles I have been asked to confer with you on the matter and to learn your views and wishes as to the time - place etc. and if you are disposed to accept the invitation. I shall be glad to call on you if you can give me an appointment today or tomorrow morning - or if too busy to see me you might advise the Free Trade Council of your sentiments on this matter".

It was, then, scarcely surprising that Parkes did not attend the FTA council meeting on 28 January, and that FTA president, B.R. Wise, occupied the chair. Rather than remaining aloof, it is more likely that Parkes was already aware of what the meeting would decide, and that he was not opposed to the decision, for he and the FTA seem to have worked in harmony together throughout the election. Further, Griffiths's letter would seem to indicate a definite working relationship between Parkes and the FTA, and Parkes's participation, interest and influence in FTA activities was confirmed by further correspondence on the subject of the banquet. (E. Pulsford to Parkes, 21 February 1887. PC, A926, p. 579, ML; see below, p.176).


For Parkes's previous use of electoral agents, see A.W. Martin, 'Electoral Manipulation', pp. 108-25.
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250. See ch. 5, n. 246.
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256. N.B. Downing to Parkes, 9 March 1887. PC, A921, p. 651, ML; SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 5.

257. ibid.

258. See ch. 1, n. 282.

259. SMH, 14 February 1887, p. 4.

260. ibid., see ch. 1, n. 282.

261. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 5.

262. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 5; 9 February 1887, p. 7; 11 February 1887, p. 4. J.H. Young, in supporting the candidature of F. Woodward, told the electors of Illawarra that he "appeared before them at the request of the Freetrade Association, ...". (SMH, 18 February 1887, p. 3).

263. SMH, 9 February 1887, p. 7; 11 February 1887, p. 4.

264. On 31 January, the Association reported that it had already had printed "nearly 400,000 leaflets, pamphlets, etc.", and that it anticipated "distributing nearly a million before the elections are all over". (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5). By 2 February, the number of pamphlets and leaflets printed had risen to 700,000 (SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 5), and, by 4 February, the number ordered for printing had risen to "close upon 1,000,000". (SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9).

265. In January 1889, the Herald confirmed that "At the last general election, ... the selection of candidates in the free-trade interest was left entirely in the hands of the Free Trade Association". (SMH, 21 January 1889, p. 8). Wise also stated that "The Free-trade Association is the only body which acts as a central committee in the interests of free trade, ...". (SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 11).

266. SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 5; 5 February 1887, p. 9; 7 February 1887, p. 4; 9 February 1887, p. 7.

267. SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 5.

268. SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 5.

269. N.B. Downing to Parkes, 9 March 1887. PC, A921, p. 651, ML.

270. SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 5.
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273. SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9; 8 February 1887, p. 5.
274. SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 5.
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276. SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9.
277. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 5.
278. SMH, 9 February 1887, p. 7.

279. The eleven electorates were Forbes, Molong, South Sydney, Monaro, Albury, Braidwood, Yass Plains, Murrumbidgee, Eden, Young and Murray. (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5; 1 February 1887, p. 5; 3 February 1887, p. 5; 8 February 1887, p. 5; 9 February 1887, p. 7; 11 February 1887, p. 4; 15 February 1887, pp. 3, 4).

280. SMH, 14 February 1887, p. 4.
281. SMH, 11 February 1887, p. 4. On 13 February, a telegram was sent by the Association to J.M. Purves, asking him to oppose Smith, but the message did not reach him (SMH, 14 February 1887, p. 4), and the electorate was uncontested by a freetrader.

282. SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9.
283. SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5.
284. SMH, 4 February 1887, p. 5.
285. SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9.
286. SMH, 9 February 1887, p. 8.
287. SMH, 8 February 1887, p. 5.
289. SMH, 3 February 1887, p. 5; 5 February 1887, p. 9.
290. SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9; H. Taylor to Parkes, 7 February 1887. PC, vol. 40, A910, p. 316, ML.

291. Canterbury, Paddington, West Sydney, Central Cumberland, Glebe, Gloucester, Upper Hunter. In a further four electorates, there were more freetrade candidates than available seats, but there were no protectionist candidates to take advantage of the situation (Hawkesbury, Namoi, Nepean, Wollombi).
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313. In Grafton, the sitting member was so personally popular that it was stated that "The question of free trade or protection will not affect his election in any way". (SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 9). A public meeting in the electorate of Wentworth
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rejected a proposal that the fiscal views of J.P. Abbott be ascertained before granting him support; Abbott's fiscal views were unimportant in comparison to his power to "show Parliament what the necessities of this district were". (SMH, 7 February 1887, p. 5). In Grenfell, it was reported that "Besides the protectionists, many freetraders are supporting Mr. Vaughn, the local man". (SMH, 10 February 1887, p. 3). See also:- SMH, 24 January 1887, p. 6 (editorial).

For example, see:- SMH, 12 February 1887, p. 8 (J.P. Garvan); 14 February 1887, p. 3 (W. Smith); 26 January 1889, p. 7 ('As You Like It').

Branches of the FTA were formed at Marrickville, St Peters, Kogarah, Hurstville and Tempe, all in the Canterbury electorate (SMH, 29 January 1887, p. 10; 5 February 1887, p. 9); and in the electorates of Shoalhaven (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5), Wollombi (SMH, 5 February 1887, p. 9), Young (SMH, 10 February 1887, p. 3), and South Sydney (SMH, 2 March 1887, p. 9). Local branches whose existence was mentioned during the course of the election campaign were those at Bathurst, Bingara (Guydir electorate) (see above, pp.173-74), Armidale (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5), Forbes and Newcastle (SMH, 20 January 1887, p. 4), and Port Macquarie (SMH, 1 February 1887, p. 7).
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The six were Wise, McMillan, J.F. Burns, J. Inglis, W. Clarke and A. Kethel. All, bar Wise, were active in the world of commerce, and all, except Wise and Burns, were members of the SCC. (See ch. 2, n. 85; ch. 1, n. 103; Appendices VII and VIII).
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The nine were F. Abigail, G.H. Reid, H.H. Cooke, S.W. Moore, G. Withers, G. Merriman, A. Wilson, D. O'Connor and V. Parkes. Reid and Abigail had worked with the FTA during the election and, together with Cooke, were also in attendance at the Association's second annual general meeting.
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15. See above, ch. 1, p. 32.


19. **Ibid.**

20. Parkes claimed that "no cause can live if it is to be treated as this cause [i.e. freetrade] has been treated in my person". (ibid., p. 1591, 16 January 1889).
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22. F. Jago Smith to Parkes, 15 December 1888. PC, vol. 39, A909, p. 64, ML.


25. H. Parkes, Meeting, 1891, p. 3. Although he expressed this complaint in June 1891, it was specifically related to the years 1887 to 1889. See also: NSWPD, vol. XXXVI, pp. 1540-41, 11 January 1889.

26. Parkes to W. McMillan, 21 November 1888. W. McMillan Papers, MSS 1885/2, pp. 5-7, ML.
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51. NPA central endorsements were either listed or positively announced for forty-eight electorates: Argyle, Balmain, Bathurst, Balranald, Bogan, Boorowa, Bourke, Carcoar, Clarence, East Macquarie, East Sydney, Eden, Forbes, Glebe, Gloucester, Grenfell, Gundagai,
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In six other electorates NPA endorsement was either claimed or very strongly implied: Braidwood (SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7; 29 January 1889, p. 4); Glen Innes (SMH, 9 February 1889, p. 9; 12 February 1889, p. 7); Kiama (SMH, 20 January 1889, p. 7; DT, 5 February 1889, p. 5); Albury (SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 7); Redfern (DT, 1 February 1889, p. 5); and Wentworth where J.P. Abbott was elected unopposed, presumably still carrying the NPA endorsement given to him for his unsuccessful challenge to freetrade supremacy in East Sydney.

In accordance with the NPA selection rule that the selections of local leagues "be accepted as the Candidates of the party" (SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 4), it must be assumed that in electorates in which the NPA made no moves to intervene at all the candidates selected by local associations were regarded as official party candidates, despite the lack of any NPA confirmation. There were nine such electorates: Canterbury, Central Cumberland, Goulburn, Hastings and Manning, Inverell, Murrumbidgee, Newtown, Paddington, and St Leonards.

The eleven electorates in which there was no form of endorsement whatever were: Camden, Durham, East Maitland, Grafton, Cunnebah, Gwydir, Molong, Nepean, Tamworth, West Macquarie and Newcastle.

In several of the electorates for which no endorsement was made, the executive certainly displayed signs of weakness and uncertainty, and an unwillingness to make a definite choice. In Camden, for instance, it was announced on 1 February that the executive was "still undecided as to their candidates" (SMH, 1 February 1889, p. 7) and, despite a subsequent announcement that they hoped "to complete their list for that electorate this morning" (SMH, 2 February 1889, p. 11), no endorsements were ever forthcoming. A similar weakness was evident in the electorates of Durham, Newcastle and West Macquarie, and in East Maitland, they allowed the sitting freetrader to be re-elected unopposed. In electorates where there was either no superfluity of protectionist candidates, or no freetrade opposition offering, their inaction was more excusable (Gwydir, Molong, Grafton, Cunnebah, Tamworth, Nepean).

52. SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 5.
53. DT, 25 January 1889, p. 3.
54. DT, 1 February 1889, p. 5.
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55. DT, 4 February 1889, p. 7.
56. ibid.; SMH, 2 February 1889, p. 12. The vote was 49 for Pritchard to 41 for Wallace.
57. SMH, 9 February 1889, p. 9.
58. DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5.
59. SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 9.
60. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 9.
61. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 4.
63. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3.
64. A.W. Martin and P. Wardle, Notes, pp. 87-88.
66. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 6; SMH, 29 January 1889, pp. 4, 5.
67. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 9. Despite the lateness of its appearance in the Sydney press, the report of the Harden league's selection was despatched from Harden on 26 January, thus indicating that the selection meeting was held on 24 or 25 January.
68. SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7.
69. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 4 (Marengo); DT, 23 January 1889, p. 6; 29 January 1889, p. 3; SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 4 (Murrumburrah); DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3 (Cootamundra).
70. DT, 1 February 1889, p. 6; SMH, 1 February 1889, p. 7.
71. SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 8.
72. SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 8.
73. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 5.
74. See ch. 7, n. 32.
75. DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5 (Orange).
76. DT, 24 January 1889, p. 6.
77. DT, 28 January 1889, p. 5; 29 January 1889, p. 3.
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78. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3.
79. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3; 31 January 1889, p. 8; DT, 1 February 1889, p. 6.
80. See above, p. 215.
81. DT, 6 February 1889, p. 6.
82. DT, 7 February 1889, p. 5.
83. Ibid.
84. SMH, 8 February 1889, p. 6.
85. SMH, 8 February 1889, p. 5.
86. SMH, 30 January 1889, p. 9.
87. DT, 28 January 1889, p. 5; SMH, 30 January 1889, p. 9.
88. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3; SMH, 30 January 1889, p. 9.
89. DT, 31 January 1889, p. 5. The same procedure also took place in Carcoar. Yet a further case occurred in Hawkesbury where, after much uncertain local organisation, the NPA finally endorsed a local protectionist, G.S. Yeo (DT, 7 February 1889, p. 5; SMH, 7 February 1889, p. 7), only to alter its choice the following day (SMH, 8 February 1889, p. 8) after Yeo had retired in favour of Thomas Rose, already defeated at Argyle but anxious to try again. (DT, 9 February 1889, p. 6).
90. In the city and suburban electorates, NPA activity was more assured. In West Sydney, two of the executive's initial 'bunch' of four endorsees (SMH, 23 January 1889, p. 9) retired unexpectedly (SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7), but the executive quickly and efficiently took the initiative to plug the gaps. (DT, 31 January 1889, p. 5). Only in the free trade citadel of East Sydney did the NPA exhibit uncertainty and failure. The NPA made an early announcement of two endorsements for the four-member electorate (SMH, 23 January 1889, p. 9), and two days later added a third, a Dr Cox, also stating that "the fourth candidate" would be selected on the following day. (SMH, 25 January 1889, p. 7). Not only was a fourth candidate never selected, but Dr Cox retired from the contest (SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3) and no man was ever chosen to take his place.
91. SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 5.
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92. For example:— East Macquarie (Flood and Hughes retired in favour of F.B. Suttor); Glebe (Davison for Conlon); Gloucester (Readett for R.A. Price); Grenfell (Whelan for Vaughan); Kiama (Cameron for Roseby. DT, 5 February 1889, p. 6). Only candidates who retired specifically in favour of the endorsed candidate have been included in this list. The list is not exhaustive.

93. See above, p. 214. Similar compromises were worked out in West Maitland and Carcoar.

94. SMH, 9 February 1889, p. 9.

95. SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7.

96. DT, 26 January 1889, p. 11.

97. Ibid.

98. SMH, 26 August 1889, p. 4.


100. SMH, 1 February 1889, p. 5.

101. DT, 1 February 1889, p. 6.

102. DT, 5 February 1889, p. 5; SMH, 9 February 1889, p. 9.

103. DT, 13 February 1889, p. 5.

104. See above, p. 216.

105. SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 7; DT, 31 January 1889, p. 5. Mackinnon's endorsement was later confirmed (SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 7), and he and Gough were described as the "candidates supported by the National Protectionist party". (SMH, 8 February 1889, p. 5; 9 February 1889, p. 9).

106. SMH, 2 February 1889, p. 12.


108. SMH, 2 February 1889, p. 12.


110. DT, 6 February 1889, p. 6.

111. SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 7; 20 February 1889, p. 8; DT, 6 February 1889, p. 6.
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113. See above, pp. 215-16.

114. DT, 30 January 1889, p. 3.

115. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3; 2 February 1889, pp. 5, 6; SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 8.

116. DT, 5 February 1889, p. 5. For a discussion of McLaughlin's motives in agreeing to contest Gundagai, see B.E. Mansfield, 'Party Organization', pp. 67-68.


118. SMH, 9 February 1889, p. 9.

119. SMH, 12 February 1889, p. 7.

120. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 8.

121. SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 7.

122. DT, 2 February 1889, p. 5.

123. Ibid.

124. DT, 2 February 1889, p. 6; 4 February 1889, p. 7; SMH, 4 February 1889, p. 5.


126. SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7.

127. Ibid. In its Manifesto to the electors of New South Wales, first published on 31 January, the NPA stated that intransigent unendorsed candidates "are traitors to the cause they profess, and deserve to be excluded from the National Party in future elections". (SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 10; Bulletin, 2 February 1889, supplement).

128. DT, 2 February 1889, p. 5; SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 8.

129. The most obvious benefit was financial assistance, but this appears to have been almost entirely lacking, although there is some evidence to suggest that it was supplied in one or two cases. The report of the nomination proceedings in South Sydney stated that, at their commencement, Archibald Forsyth, chairman
of the NPA executive, "came forward and tendered £160, made up in four parcels, each of £40, which he said were [the deposits] for Messrs Toohey, Traill, Wright, and Edmunds". (SMH, 1 February 1889, p. 3; DT, 1 February 1889, p. 5). In Hartley, the intransigent unendorsed protectionist, R.J. Inch, hit out at the NPA, stating that "his £40 [deposit money] was as good as any of theirs", thus implying the provision of financial assistance. (DT, 13 February 1889, p. 5). The election reports, however, contain no further reference to NPA financial assistance.

130. SMH, 7 February 1889, p. 7.
133. DT, 12 February 1889, p. 5; SMH, 12 February 1889, p. 8.
134. DT, 11 February 1889, p. 6.
135. SMH, 8 February 1889, p. 5.
136. SMH, 8 February 1889, pp. 4–5.
137. SMH, 9 February 1889, p. 9.
138. SMH, 14 February 1889, p. 8.
139. Bogan, Bourke, Glen Innes, Hartley, Illawarra, Macleay, Morpeth, New England, Redfern, Wellington and Young.
140. Albury, Grafton, Gundagai, Richmond, Sturt, Upper Hunter.
141. SMH, 26 February 1889, p. 3. They were:- Bogan, Hartley, Illawarra, New England and Redfern. Forsyth's estimates appear to have been substantially correct.
For example:—

(1) **New England (2 Seats)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. Copeland</td>
<td>endorsed protectionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Inglis</td>
<td>freetrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.C. Proctor</td>
<td>endorsed protectionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Givney</td>
<td>unendorsed protectionist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) **Hartley (1 Seat)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Hurley</td>
<td>freetrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Caulfield</td>
<td>endorsed protectionist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.J. Inch</td>
<td>unendorsed protectionist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forsyth's estimate is open to serious doubt only in Redfern, where he claimed the unendorsed W.F. Schey's inclusion in the field robbed the protectionists of two seats.

142. **Bulletin, 2 February 1889 (supplement).**

143. See Appendix X.

144. **SMH, 26 August 1889, p. 4.**

145. **SMH, 18 January 1889, p. 5.**

146. **DT, 12 January 1889, p. 5.**

147. **SMH, DT, 18 January 1889, pp. 5.**

148. **DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5.**

149. **ibid.; 21 January 1889, p. 5.**

150. **DT, 22 January 1889, p. 5.**

151. **SMH, 19 January 1889, p. 11; DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5.**

152. **ibid.**

153. **SMH, 19 January 1889, p. 11.**

154. **DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5.**

155. See above, ch. 3, p. 94.

156. The freetrade MLA, John Hurley, offered the executive "his rooms in Norwich - chambers, Hunter-street" (DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5), into which the executive moved until 22 January, when it transferred to "commodious rooms" in 8 Tattersall's Chambers, Hunter Street (SMH, 23 January 1889, p. 9). At no stage did the FTA elections committee occupy the same offices as the parliamentary executive.

157. **DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5.**

158. **DT, 21 January 1889, p. 5.**
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159. SMH, 21 January 1889, p. 8.

160. ibid.; DT, 21 January 1889, p. 5.

161. DT, 21 January 1889, p. 5.


163. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 5.

164. DT, 22 January 1889, p. 5.

165. DT, 24 January 1889, p. 5.

166. DT, 22, 23 January 1889, pp. 5.

167. DT, 22 January 1889, p. 5.

168. SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4.


170. ibid.

171. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 5; 25 January 1889, pp. 4, 5.

172. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 5.

173. Balmain (SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4; DT, 21, 25 January 1889, pp. 5); South Sydney (DT, 24 January 1889, p. 5; 26 January 1889, p. 9); Newtown (DT, 24 January 1889, p. 5); St Leonards (DT, 25 January 1889, p. 6; 5 February 1889, p. 5); Central Cumberland (DT, 24 January 1889, p. 6).

174. Parramatta (DT, 7 February 1889, p. 5).

175. DT, 19 January 1889, p. 5.

176. ibid.; 24 January 1889, p. 5; SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 6.

177. SMH, 4 February 1889, p. 8.

178. DT, 26 January 1889, p. 9.


180. Newcastle (DT, 23 January 1889, p. 6; 25 January 1889, p. 5); Orange (SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 6); Carcoar (DT, 25 January 1889, p. 3); West Maitland (ibid.).

181. Hawkesbury (SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 8).

Formal local free trade organisation took place, then, in only eleven out of the seventy-four electorates.
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183. SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 7.

184. SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 5.


186. DT, 1 February 1889, p. 6; SMH, 2 February 1889, p.12.

187. FTLA Conference, p. 29.

188. See ch. 7, n. 203.

189. SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 6.

190. SMH, 21 January 1889, p. 8.

191. SMH, 19 January 1889, p. 11.


193. DT, 26 January 1889, p. 11.


195. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 5.

196. SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4 (Bathurst); DT, 25 January 1889, p. 5 (Bogan).

197. DT, 23 January 1889, p. 5.


199. Riddell became a prominent member of the STL (SMH, 20,30 January 1890, pp.4), and also president of the North Sydney LEL in 1891 (DT, 6 June 1891, p. 6; AS, 9 June 1891, p. 3).

200. SMH, 26 January 1889, pp. 9 (Eden), 11 (Inverell).

201. DT, 25 January 1889, p. 5.


203. The eight electorates were Tamworth, Newcastle, Braidwood, Sturt, Murray, Morpeth, Shoalhaven and Wellington.

Press reports that discussed the work of the FTA also mentioned electoral developments in Braidwood.
and Tamworth (SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4), but did not specifically relate these to the FTA. On 19 January, it was reported that Alexander Riddell would be a candidate for Sturt (SMH, 19 January 1889, p. 11), but as the FTA later directed him to Inverell, it is possible (and assumed) that they filled the gap in Sturt caused by his departure. In the two-member electorate of Murray, the only announced freetrader at the time the endorsements were published received executive 'approval'; since it was the FTA which later arranged for a second freetrade candidate to contest the electorate (See below, p.230), it is possible that it had also arranged the first. The FTA's possible involvement in Shoalhaven and Morpeth is similarly based on surmise. The sitting member for Shoalhaven, W.F. Martin, was a member of the FTA's ally, the CM, and the Association had assisted him in 1887 (SMH, 31 January 1887, p. 5). On 22 January, it was announced that Martin would contest the electorate of Morpeth (SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4), from which he subsequently retired and did not seek re-election at all. On the basis of his previous association with the FTA, it is possible that the Association had requested him to make the otherwise unusual move of abandoning his old electorate to contest Morpeth, had filled the vacancy in Shoalhaven, and then did the same in Morpeth after Martin's retirement.

204. Orange, Newtown and Newcastle.

205. Balmain (SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4).

206. DT, 26 January 1889, p. 9.

207. Hawkesbury (SMH, 30 January 1889, p. 10; 31 January 1889, p. 8) and Camden (DT, 7 February 1889, p. 5).

208. Newtown and Balmain (local selections confirmed); Glebe and West Sydney (independent endorsements).


210. For Glebe, Michael Chapman was added (SMH, 25 January 1889, p. 7), and Alfred Lamb was added to the trio already endorsed for West Sydney (SMH, 30 January 1889, p. 9).

211. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3; DT, 30 January 1889, p.6.

212. Ibid.

213. DT, 6 February 1889, p.5.
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214. EN, 1 February 1889, p. 5. This list confirmed the previous endorsements made for West Sydney, Balmain, Paddington, Newtown and Glebe, and added initial endorsements for East Sydney, South Sydney (confirming the selections of the local FTA branch. See ch. 7, n. 173), Canterbury and Redfern.

215. See above, p.221. From the very beginning of the campaign the protectionist executive had decided that "unless ... [candidates] are adopted by the executive the protectionist electors will be called upon to ignore them ...". (DT, 22 January 1889, p. 5).

216. DT, 11 February 1889, p. 6.

217. DT, 28 January 1889, p. 6; 2 February 1889, p. 6; SMH, 2 February 1889, p. 12.

218. DT, 28 January 1889, p. 6; 29 January 1889, p. 3.

219. DT, 25 January 1889, p. 6 (Northumberland); DT, 21 January 1889, p. 5; 23 January 1889, p. 5 (Albury).

220. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 9.

221. SMH, 30 January 1889, p. 10.

222. After the announcement in Bourke that "one or two candidates will be put forward by the Freetrade Association" (SMH, 25 January 1889, p. 5), the prominent FTA council member, G.N. Griffiths, appeared in Bourke as a freetrade candidate.

223. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3.

224. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 9.

225. SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 8.


227. Quoted in DT, 21 January 1889, p. 5.

228. The imported FTA candidate in Albury recognised his slim chances of success, "particularly ... as he was opposing two local men". (DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3).

229. A. Riddell (Inverell) SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 8; J. Caro (Bogan), SMH, 7 February 1889, p. 8.

230. T.H. Martyn (Albury), A. Wilson (Wilcannia), A. Conroy (Murrumbidgee and Murray), A. Cameron (Wellington) and M. Browne (Sturt).
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231. A. Conroy (Murrumbidgee) DT, 26 January 1889, p. 9; A. Wilson (Wilcannia) SMH, 1 February 1889, p. 5.

232. T.H. Martyn (Albury), A. Conroy (Murray) and M. Browne (Sturt).

233. For example, Newcastle. See:- DT, 26 January 1889, p. 8; SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7.

234. SMH, 24 January 1889, p. 6.

235. DT, 24 January 1889, p. 5.

236. DT, 24 January 1889, p. 6.

237. ibid.

238. SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 9.

239. DT, 26 January 1889, p. 11.

240. The nomination day was not until 12 February.

241. For example, in Grafton. (DT, 26 January 1889, p. 11).

242. In nine electorates (Balranald, Boorowa, Clarence, Gwydir, Hume, Molong, Murray, Wentworth, and Yass Plains), eleven protectionists were elected totally unopposed. In a further six electorates (Albury, Grafton, Gundagai, Richmond, Sturt and Upper Hunter), the field for the nine available seats was composed entirely of rival protectionists. (DT, 23 February 1889, p. 6).

243. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 9 (Upper Hunter); SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 4 (Balranald); SMH, 12 February 1889, p. 7 (Gundagai).

244. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3 (Upper Hunter).

245. SMH, 5 February 1889, p. 8; 8 February 1889, p. 8.


247. DT, 21 January 1889, p. 5.

248. See above, ch. 2, pp. 69-70.

249. DT, 22 January 1889, p. 5; SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 4.

250. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3.

251. See above, ch. 2, pp. 69-70; ch. 2, n. 213.

252. A. Allen and J.C. Neild (Paddington), F. Farnell (Central Cumberland) and J.S. Hawthorne (Balmain).
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254. SMH, 22 January 1889, p. 5.

255. DT, 26 January 1889, p. 9; SMH, 26 January 1889, p. 7. During late 1888, Neild had expressed his frustration at the ministry's refusal to enact a freetrade policy containing direct taxation, thereby removing a heavy financial burden from the labouring classes (See above, ch. 3, p.92). This frustration was probably basic to his heretical statement that "he would support a new tariff if it took the tax off the poor man's food and placed it on the luxuries of the rich". (DT, 25 January 1889, p. 6).

256. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3; DT, 26 January 1889, p. 11; 28 January 1889, p. 5.

257. SMH, 29 January 1889, p. 3.

258. SMH, 18 June 1889, p. 8.

259. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 5.


261. DT, 31 January 1889, p. 6.

262. SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 7.

263. DT, 2 February 1889, p. 5.

264. See above, p. 231.

265. SMH, 12 February 1889, p. 7.

266. The freetrade vote was affected by a superfluity of candidates in only five electorates: Camden, Gloucester, Kiama, Paddington and St Leonards.

267. DT, 29 January 1889, p. 3.

268. DT, 31 January 1889, p. 5.

269. SMH, 28 January 1889, p. 9 (Despatch from Emmaville, dated 26 January).

270. SMH, 31 January 1889, p. 8.

271. He had been in touch early in the campaign regarding events in Tenterfield. E.R. Whareat to Parkes, 24 January 1889. PC, vol. 42, A912, p. 222, ML.

273. *ibid.*


276. C.E. Jeanneret was one of the two members for Carcoar, but at the time of the election was returning home from England (*SMH*, 22 January 1889, p. 4). For this reason "his Carcoar friends" withdrew his name from the field for that electorate (*DT*, 24 January 1889, p. 5) and it was probably only due to the executive that he contested any electorate at all.


279. See above, p. 231.

280. See ch. 3, n. 143.

281. This was specifically pointed out in the case of West Macquarie, *SMH*, 20 February 1889, p. 8. In all four electorates, the candidates provided at the last minute all suffered heavy defeats.

282. *SMH*, 14 February 1889, p. 7. Meeks's retirement brought to nine the number of FTA-provided, or executive-endorsed, candidates who were not even nominated to contest the electorate for which they were provided/endorsed.

283. For example: "The free-trade party were offering £500 and £600 as an inducement to candidates to come forward". (*DT*, 29 January 1889, p. 5. Speech by A.D. Nelson); "... the importers of Sydney had contributed £50,000 towards paying the expenses of free-trade candidates". (*SMH*, 6 February 1889, p. 8. Speech by H.W. Tilley).


287. *DT*, 25 January 1889, p. 5. Reports, such as that from Newcastle that "Thousands of handbills, issued by the Sydney Free-trade Association, were distributed at the close of Mr. Brown's address, ..." (*DT*, 26 January 1889, p. 11), indicate the successful operation...
The extent of this type of support was that seventeen free trade MsLA visited eighteen country electorates to campaign on behalf of free trade candidates. See:— SMH, 5, 6, 7 February 1889, pp. 7; 8 February 1889, p. 6; 9 February 1889, p. 9; 12, 13 February 1889, pp. 7; 15 February 1889, p. 5; 16 February 1889, p. 12.

At the close of the thirteenth parliament, the free traders numbered 80 and the protectionists 44 in an Assembly of 124 members. Of these 124 seats, during the election the free traders lost 16 in 13 electorates (Bourke, Upper Hunter, South Sydney, Redfern, Young, Wollombi, Newcastle, Glen Innes, Bogan, Gundagai, Inverell, Orange, West Macquarie) to the protectionists, and won two from them (Central Cumberland, Grenfell). The expansive clauses of the Electoral Act added 13 new seats in 13 electorates, and of these, the free traders won 5 (Central Cumberland, St Leonards, Illawarra, Balmain and Paddington) and the protectionists 8 (Macleay, Bourke, Bogan, Newcastle, Camden, Glen Innes, Sturt/ Wilcannia and Richmond).

Thus, in the new Assembly of 137 members, there were 71 free traders and 66 protectionists. See:— SMH, 18 February 1889, p. 5; DT, 23 February 1889, pp. 5-6.

SMH, 11 March 1889, p. 9. See also, for example:— SMH, 26 March 1889, p. 5 (speech of A. Hutchison); 31 October 1889, p. 5.
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300. See, for example:— SMH, 26 March 1889, p. 5 (speech of S. Smith).

301. Parkes to W. McMillan, 8 May 1889. W. McMillan Papers, MSS 1885/2, pp. 42-43, ML.

302. SMH, 26 March 1889, p. 5 (speech of S. Smith).

303. SMH, 26 August 1889, p. 4.

304. SMH, 30 March 1889, p. 8 (speech of W. McMillan).

305. J.N. Brunker to W. McMillan, 29 January 1889. W. McMillan Papers, MSS 1885/2, p. 16, ML.

306. SMH, 26 August 1889, p. 4.

307. SMH, 23 July 1889, p. 5.

308. DT, 23 July 1889, p. 6.

309. DT, 21 February 1889, p. 6.

310. SMH, 20 February 1889, p. 8. See also:— SMH, 11 March 1889, p. 9; 26 March 1889, p. 5.

311. DT, 11 February 1889, p. 5.

312. DT, 18 February 1889, p. 5.

313. Parkes to W. McMillan, 8 May 1889. W. McMillan Papers, MSS 1885/2, p. 43, ML.

314. ibid., pp. 43-44.
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1. DT, 27 September 1887, p. 5; see above, ch. 5, p. 173.

2. EN, 21 February 1889, p. 5. Also:- SMH, 9 March 1889, p. 9.

3. For example, the branch at Orange (SMH, 28 February 1889, p. 8).

4. For example, branches at Liverpool (SMH, 27 February 1889, p. 5) and Leichhardt (SMH, 28 February 1889, p. 8).

5. ibid. Some of those undergoing formation were the branches at Paddington, Redfern, Glebe, Newtown, St Leonards, Manly, Adelong, Walcha, Bulli, Scone, Picton, Cowra, Oberon, Glen Innes and Granville.

6. SMH, 2 March 1889, p. 12.


8. SMH, 26 August 1889, p. 4.
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62. Hastings and Manning (AS, 6 June 1891, p. 6; 12 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 8); Balmain (AS, 9 June 1891, p. 6; DT, 15 June 1891, p. 6).
63. AS, 3 June 1891, p. 5.
64. SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 5.
65. SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 10.
66. AS, 8 June 1891, p. 6.
67. DT, 8 June 1891, p. 5.
68. AS, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
69. SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 8.
70. ibid.
71. SMH, 25 April 1890, p. 7.
72. SMH, 15 June 1891, p. 8.
73. SMH, 5 June 1891, p. 6.
74. SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
75. SMH, 15 June 1891, p. 8.
76. SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 5.
77. SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 8.
78. SMH, 19 June 1891, p. 6.
79. ibid.; 20 June 1891, p. 10.
80. ibid.
81. SMH, 22 June 1891, p. 6.
82. SMH, 19 April 1890, p. 9.
83. ibid.; 5 June 1891, p. 6.
84. DT, 5 June 1891, p. 5.
85. SMH, 9 June 1891, p. 8.
86. SMH, 15 June 1891, p. 8.
87. SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
88. See:- SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6; 16 June 1891, p. 4.
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89. The printed advertisement of the meeting was included in a letter from the branch's secretary, C.W. Thornton, to Parkes. (C.W. Thornton to Parkes, 6 June 1891. PC, vol. 40, A910, p. 149, ML).

90. AS, 11 June 1891, p. 6.

91. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 6.

92. ibid.

93. SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6.

94. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 6.

95. ibid.


97. F.R.L. Rossi to Parkes, 11 June 1891. ibid., p. 389.

98. DT, 6 June 1891, p. 6.

99. SMH, 18 June 1891, p. 3.

100. AS, 3 June 1891, p. 6.


102. SMH, 6 June 1891, p. 9.

103. SMH, 20 June 1891, p. 10.

104. SMH, 22 June 1891, p. 5.

105. SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11.

106. SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 8.

107. The fifteen electorates were:- Carcoar (SMH, 6 June 1891, p. 7); Central Cumberland (SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6); Glebe (AS, 4 June 1891, p. 5; DT, 4 June 1891, p. 5); Goulburn (AS, 11 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6; DT, 12 June 1891, p. 6); Gundagai (DT, 9 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11); Illawarra (SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 8; 22 June 1891, p. 6); Molong (DT, 18, 19 June 1891, pp. 3; 23 June 1891, p. 6); Newtown (SMH, 5 June 1891, p. 6; 10 June 1891, p. 8; 12 June 1891, p. 8); Orange (DT, 15 June 1891, p. 3);Paddington (SMH, 19 April 1890, p. 9; DT, 30 May 1891, p. 5; 4 June 1891, p. 5; 5 June 1891, p. 5; 9 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, 9 June 1891, p. 8; 13 June 1891, p. 6);
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Queanbeyan (DT, 12 June 1891, p. 6; 13 June 1891, p. 12); Redfern (SMH, 19 April 1890, p. 11; 6 June 1891, p. 7; DT, 5 June 1891, p. 6); South Sydney (SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 3); West Macquarie (DT, 5 June 1891, p. 6); West Sydney (SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 7).

108. The twenty-one electorates were:- Argyle (SMH, 9 June 1891, p. 8); Balmain (AS, 9 June 1891, p. 6; DT, 15 June 1891, p. 6); Balranald (DT, 13 June 1891, p. 12); AS, 13 June 1891, p. 6); Braidwood (SMH, 2 June 1891, p. 5; 12 June 1891, p. 8); Carcoar (AS, 5 June 1891, p. 5; 9 June 1891, p. 6; 15 June 1891, p. 5); Central Cumberland (DT, 13 June 1891, p. 6); East Macquarie (AS, 12 June 1891, p. 6); Eden (SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 7); Glebe (AS, 6 June 1891, p. 5; 9 June 1891, p. 5); Gundagai (SMH, 12 May 1891, pp. 4, 6); Hastings and Manning (AS, 6 June 1891, p. 6; 12 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 8); Hawkesbury (SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11); AS, 9 June 1891, p. 5); Mudgee (AS, 5 June 1891, p. 5; 9 June 1891, p. 5; 15 June 1891, p. 6); Newcastle (SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11); New England (AS, 3 June 1891, p. 5; 9 June 1891, p. 5; SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 10); Newtown (SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 8; AS, 17 June 1891, p. 3); Paddington (SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11; 12 June 1891, p. 8; AS, 8 June 1891, p. 6; 13 June 1891, p. 6; DT, 8 June 1891, p. 5); Redfern (SMH, 5 June 1891, p. 6; 11 June 1891, p. 3; AS, 17 June 1891, p. 3); South Sydney (AS, 8 June 1891, p. 5; DT, 8 June 1891, p. 5); West Maitland (SMH, 5 June 1891, p. 6; 15 June 1891, p. 8); Young (SMH, 12 May 1891, pp. 4, 6).

Note that both local freetrade and protectionist branches made selections in the eight electorates of Carcoar, Central Cumberland, Glebe, Gundagai, Newtown, Paddington, Redfern and South Sydney.

109. SMH, 5 June 1891, p. 5.
110. SMH, 9 June 1891, p. 5.
111. SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 3.
112. SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 5.
113. AS, 5 June 1891, p. 5.
114. SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 5.
115. NPA Conference, p. 17.
116. FTLA Conference, p. 27.
117. NPA Conference, p. 17.
118. See above, ch. 7, pp. 214-17.
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119. See above, ch. 7, pp. 228-29.

120. AS, 4 July 1891, p. 3.

121. SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 5.

122. SMH, 8 June 1891, p. 5.

123. SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 5.

124. The NPPEC's and FTFC's first comprehensive lists appeared in DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5, with smaller additional lists and revised full lists appearing thereafter: SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6; DT, 13 June 1891, p. 5; 15 June 1891, p. 5; 16 June 1891, p. 5; SMH, 15 June 1891, p. 5; 17 June 1891, p. 5.

125. This was the case in twelve of the twenty-one electorates in which local protectionist bodies made local selections (Argyle, East Macquarie, Eden, Gundagai, Hastings and Manning, Glebe, Newcastle, Mudgee, New England, Paddington, South Sydney, West Maitland), and in eight of the fifteen electorates in which free-trade branches made selections (Carcoar, Glebe, Goulburn, Gundagai, Orange, Queanbeyan, Redfern, South Sydney). At first sight, some deviation between the central endorsement and the selection of the protectionist leagues in Glebe and Newcastle was apparent. Glebe: on 8 June, the local association chose M.J. Conlon and W. Mitchell (AS, 9 June 1891, p. 5); the NPPEC's list endorsed only Conlon (DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5). Mitchell, however, had retired from the field on 11 June. Newcastle: on 9 June, the Newcastle Labour and Protectionist Parliamentary League chose W. Graham and D. Scott as its candidates; the NPPEC endorsed only Graham and Brown (DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5). Scott, however, had been selected without his consent and he had repudiated his selection (DT, 9 June 1891, p. 7; SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6).

126. The local protectionist league in Redfern met as early as 4 June to choose its candidates for the four-member electorate, and it duly selected the sitting MsLA, Schey, Howe and Goodchap, adding H.C. Hoyle as its fourth candidate. (SMH, 5 June 1891, p. 5). On 9 June, however, Goodchap decided not to seek re-election, and the league was forced to meet again to fill the vacancy. This they did on 10 June, choosing the president of the TLC, W.H. Sharp. (SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 3). The NPPEC, therefore, endorsed Schey, Howe, Hoyle and Sharp for Redfern. (DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5). Sharp, however, stood as an official Labor candidate, and he firmly rejected the protectionist endorsement. (SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 8; 16 June 1891, p. 3). Once again the local league was forced to re-convene to fill the vacancy, and it did so on 15 June, choosing G.F. Garton. (AS, 17 June 1891, p. 3). It was, however, too late for the NPPEC to confirm the League's final choice.
127. DT, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
128. DT, 13 June 1891, p. 5; SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
129. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5.
130. AS, 17 June 1891, p. 3 (Newtown - protectionists); SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 7 (West Sydney); SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 8 (Newtown - freetraders).
131. NPA Conference, p. 17.
132. AS, 11 June 1891, p. 5.
133. SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6.
134. SMH, 12 May 1891, pp. 4, 6.
135. SMH, 2 June 1891, p. 5.
136. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5; SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
137. Central Cumberland and Braidwood.
138. AS, 13 June 1891, p. 6; DT, 13 June 1891, p. 12.
139. DT, 17 June 1891, p. 5.
140. Newton was the secretary of the Riverina Carriers' Union (SMH, 11 June 1891, p. 6), supported "all the planks in the platform of the Electoral Labor League" (DT, 29 June 1891, p. 5), and was admitted to the first caucus of the Labor party on his return to parliament (N.B. Nairn, Civilising, p. 62).
141. AS, 4 July 1891, p. 3.
142. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5; SMH, 15 June 1891, p. 5.
143. AS, 4 July 1891, p. 3.
144. DT, 5 June 1891, p. 6.
145. DT, 13 June 1891, p. 12.
146. SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
147. DT, 15 June 1891, p. 3.
148. DT, 15 June 1891, p. 6 (Balmain); 23 June 1891, p. 6 (Molong).
149. AS, 9 June 1891, p. 5; SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11.
150. See above, p. 284.
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151. SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 7.

152. See above, p. 283.

153. DT, 24 June 1891, p. 5.

154. The twenty electorates for which the FTFC made no endorsement were:— Clarence, Gunnedah, Hartley, Upper Hunter, Illawarra, Inverell, Macleay, Molong, Monaro, Mudgee, Murrumbidgee, Newcastle, Northumberland, Paddington, Richmond, Sturt, Wellington, Wentworth, Wilcannia, Yass Plains.

155. See ch. 7, n. 51.

156. The thirteen electorates for which the NPPEC made no endorsement were:— Balmain, Carcoar, Durham, Grenfell, Gunnedah, Hartley, Hawkesbury, Illawarra, Kiama, Namoi, Richmond, Shoalhaven, Tenterfield.

157. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5.

158. See above, ch. 8, pp. 242-44, 247.


For Carruthers' involvement, see:— J.H. Carruthers to Parkes, 6 October 1889. Parkes Papers, A1023, ML; J.H. Young to J.H. Carruthers, 2 April 1890. J.H. Carruthers Papers, MSS 1638, Box 14a, ML.


161. Parkes to W. McMillan, 29 May 1891. J.H. Carruthers Papers, MSS 1638, Box 14a, ML.

162. See above, p. 278.


164. DT, 9 June 1891, pp. 6-7; 10 June 1891, p. 3; SMH, 10 June 1891, p. 11; AS, 10 June 1891, p. 5.

165. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5.

166. AS, 10 June 1891, p. 5.
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171. DT, 12 June 1891. p. 5.

172. See, for example:— W.A. Harper to Parkes, 3 June 1891. PC, A923, p. 304, ML; G. Hurst to Parkes, 6 June 1891. ibid., vol. 18, A888, pp. 448-49.

173. DT, 10 June 1891, p. 6.

174. G. Watt to Parkes, 11 June 1891. PC, A930, pp. 540-41, ML.

175. SMH, 19 June 1891, p. 6.

176. SMH, 18 June 1891, p. 3.


178. J.H. Carruthers to H. Mahon, 30 June 1891. H. Mahon Papers, MSS 937, Series 1, Item 5, NLA.

179. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5.

180. DT, 13 June 1891, p. 5.

181. NPA Conference, p. 7.

182. 'National Club, List of Members' in ibid., p. 236.
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183. SMH, 17 June 1891, p. 8. See also:— SMH, 22 June 1891, p. 6.

184. FTFC: E.H. Barton (Bogan), R.P. Abbott (Glen Innes), T. Bawden (Grafton), F. Russell (Gwydir), A.F. Twine (West Macquarie), H. Mahon (Wollombi); NPPEC: W. Brown (Sturt).

In addition, one NPPEC endorsee, J. Hughes (East Macquarie), who had also been selected by the local league in the electorate, was not nominated.

185. A.F. Twine (West Macquarie) and H. Mahon (Wollombi).

186. The two protectionists were elected unopposed in Grafton and Gwydir where FTFC endorsees withdrew, and the Labor candidate in Sturt due to the withdrawal of the NPPEC endorsee, W. Brown.

187. FTLA Conference, p. 29.

188. NPA Conference, p. 17. See also:— FTLA Conference, p. 30.

189. AS, 4 June 1891, p. 6; SMH, DT, 8 June 1891, pp. 5.

190. DT, 8 June 1891, p. 5.

191. Ibid.

192. The twelve protectionists returned unopposed by a freetrader in nine electorates were:— J.P. Abbott (Wentworth), J. McFarlane (Clarence), J. See (Grafton), E.B.L. Dickens (Wilcannia), C.A. Cruickshank (Inverell), T.H. Hassall (Gwydir), T. Ewing, J.S. Perry and B.B. Nicoll (Richmond), T.H. York (Wellington), and O. O. Dangar and P. Hogan (Macleay).

193. See ch. 6, n. 163.


196. Parkes to W. McMillan, 29 May 1891. J.H. Carruthers Papers, MSS 1638, Box 14a, ML.

197. See ch. 7, n. 242.

198. In 1889, the electorates were East Maitland and Balranald (DT, 23 February 1889, p. 6); in 1891, they were Tenterfield and Sturt. In the latter, the endorsed protectionist retired, allowing the Labor candidate in unopposed.

199. AS, 12 June 1891, p. 6.
Chapter 9

200. DT, 11 June 1891, p. 5.
201. SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 5.
202. SMH, 14 June 1891, p. 5.
203. See above, ch. 5, p. 174.
204. See above, ch. 5, p. 174; ch. 7, p. 235.
205. J.M. Conroy Papers, Add. MSS 892, DL.
207. J.M. Conroy Papers, Add. MSS 897, DL. This section of the Conroy Papers is labelled "Freetrade and Federation Committee. General Election 1891", and it consists almost exclusively of details regarding FTFC finance - a balance sheet, itemised receipts for expenditure and bank deposit slips. Unless otherwise stated, all details of FTFC expenditure are taken from this source.
208. J.M. Conroy Papers, Add. MSS 892, DL.
209. J. McLean to Parkes, 2 July 1891. PC, vol. 27, A897, pp. 46-51, ML.
211. In 1889, they paid the nomination fee of several candidates. See ch. 7, n. 129.
213. AS, 9 June 1891, p. 5.
214. AS, 5 June 1891, p. 5.
215. SMH, 8 June 1891, p. 5.
216. SMH, 8 June 1891, p. 7.
217. ibid.
218. ibid.
219. DT, 9 June 1891, p. 5.
220. SMH, 8 June 1891, p. 5.
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221. _SMH_, 11 June 1891, p. 3.
222. _SMH_, 10 June 1891, p. 5.
224. _SMH_, 13 June 1891, p. 6.
225. _SMH_, 13 June 1891, p. 10.
226. _SMH_, 1 July 1891, p. 5.
228. _SMH_, 16 June 1891, p. 3.
229. _SMH_, 15 June 1891, p. 11 (J. Connolly).
231. _FTLA_ Conference, p. 27.
233. _DT_, 13 June 1891, p. 5.
234. _DT_, 15 June 1891, p. 3.
235. _SMH_, 15 June 1891, p. 11.
236. _ibid_.
237. _DT_, 15 June 1891, p. 3.
238. _Burrangong Argus_, 27 June 1891.
240. _DT_, 16 June 1891, p. 5.
241. _AS_, 17 June 1891, p. 3.
242. Final figures for the electorate were:-
   H. W. Newman .  LEL, _FTFC_ endorsements 1073
   J. Torpy .  _NPPEC_ endorsement 1045
   T. Dalton .  _NPPEC_ endorsement 1035
   P. Burke .  unendorsed protectionist 860
243. _SMH_, 15 June 1891, p. 11.
244. _SMH_, 16 June 1891, p. 8.  A further case of unsuccessful NPPEC intervention occurred in Boorowa.  See:-
   _DT_, 22 June 1891, p. 5;  24 June 1891, p. 5.
245. Final figures for the electorate were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Party Endorsement</th>
<th>Figures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Scobie</td>
<td>FTFC endorsement</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Pritchard</td>
<td>NPPEC endorsement</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Connolly</td>
<td>unendorsed protectionist</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

246. The number of announced candidates declined from 420 on 9 June (DT, 9 June 1891, p. 5), to 360 on 13 June (SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6) and to the final figure of 326, or nearly 2½ candidates for each of the available 141 seats.

247. The freetrade vote was split in sixteen electorates: Balmain, Camden, Canterbury, Central Cumberland, Glebe, Grenfell, Hartley, Illawarra, Morpeth, Newtown, Paddington, Parramatta, Redfern, St Leonards, West Maitland, Yass Plains. The protectionist vote was split in seventeen electorates: Balranald, Boorowa, Bourke, Braidwood, Camden, Carcoar, Durham, Eden, Glen Innes, Goulburn, Gunnedah, Hunter, Monaro, Morpeth, Murrumbidgee, Orange and Yass Plains. The three electorates in which the vote of both parties was split were Camden, Morpeth and Yass Plains. In a further three electorates (Madeay, Wellington and Richmond), there were too many protectionist candidates, but no freetrade opposition to take advantage of the situation.

248. See above, ch. 7, p. 222.

249. SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 5.

250. SMH, 16 June 1891, p. 4.

251. See Appendix X; above, ch. 7, p. 223.

252. See Appendix XII.

253. See AS, 13 December 1889, p. 5. For the appearance of the 'Cocktail Party' in December 1889, see above, ch. 6, p. 199.

254. See above, ch. 6, p. 204.


256. ibid., p. 4621 (E.W. O'Sullivan).

257. See ch. 6, n. 163.

258. NSWPD, vol. LI, p. 327, 28 May 1891. For the responsibility of the censure motion in bringing on the election, see above, p. 275f. The Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, J.P. Abbott, who gave his casting vote in favour of Parkes, had also been named at the end of 1889 as a member of the 'Cocktail Party'
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(see ch. 6, n. 163), but his action in saving the ministry from defeat was based on political precedent rather than party disloyalty. (See NSWPD, vol. LI, p. 327, 28 May 1891).

259. See ch. 6, n. 163.

260. DT, 1 June 1891, p. 5.

261. NSWPD, vol. XLVIII, p. 4616, 16 October 1890 (U.O. Dangar); see ch. 6, n. 206.

262. The Western Herald and Darling River Advocate, 27 May 1891.

263. DT, 1 June 1891, p. 5.

264. DT, 30 May 1891, p. 5.

265. SMH, 12 June 1891, p. 5.

266. SMH, 13 June 1891, p. 6.

267. The Western Herald and Darling River Advocate, 20 June 1891.

268. SMH, 20 June 1891, p. 10.

269. ibid.

270. AS, 6 June 1891, p. 8.

271. SMH, 19 June 1891, p. 5.

272. The final figures were:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Endorsement</th>
<th>Figure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H. Langwell</td>
<td>NPPEC endorsement</td>
<td>2091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.N. Willis</td>
<td>NPPEC</td>
<td>1886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.P. Howe</td>
<td>NPPEC</td>
<td>1727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Waddell</td>
<td>unendorsed protectionist</td>
<td>1125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.D. Millen</td>
<td>FTFC endorsement</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.W. Davis</td>
<td>unendorsed protectionist</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.J. O'Grady</td>
<td>unendorsed protectionist</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


274. SMH, 8 June 1891, p. 5.

275. Parkes to W. McMillan, 29 May 1891. J.H. Carruthers Papers, MSS 1638, Box 14a, ML.

276. ibid.

277. DT, 12 June 1891, p. 5.

278. W. McMillan to V. Parkes, 12 June 1891. Parkes Papers, A1052, ML.
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279. SMH, 15 June 1891, p. 5.

280. SMH, 3 July 1891, p. 5.
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