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Inequalities in Risks and Outcomes in a Health Transitioning Country: A Review of a Large National Cohort of Thai Adults

Vasoontara Yiengprugsawan¹, Sharon Friel¹, Sam-ang Seubsman¹,², Adrian C. Sleigh¹, and The Thai Cohort Study Team

Abstract
This article reviews inequalities in health risks and outcomes based on a large longitudinal cohort study of distance-learning adult students enrolled at Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University (n = 87,134). The study began in 2005 and the first follow-up was completed in 2009. Risks analyzed for health inequalities were divided into demographic, socioeconomic, geographical, behavioral, and environmental groups. Unequal risks and outcomes identified that would be amenable to policy interventions in transitional Thailand include the following: heat stress—contributing to many adverse outcomes, including occupational injury, psychological distress, and kidney disease; urbanization—unhealthy eating, sedentary lifestyles, low social capital, and poor mental health; obesity—increasingly common especially with rising income and age among men; and injury—big problem for young males and associated with excessive alcohol and dangerous transport. These substantial inequalities require attention from multisectoral policy makers to reduce the gaps and improve health of the Thai population.
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Introduction
The study of social inequalities has become important in many fields of research including economics, sociology, political science, public health, and epidemiology. Health inequalities among population subgroups have been documented in public health literature for centuries. Two famous English examples are from the work of William Farr in the 1830s when he measured and reported large geographic variations in the risk of death by districts (Farr, 1839; Whitehead, 2000) and from Edwin Chadwick in the 1840s noting large variations in life expectancies by class and occupation (Chadwick, 1842; Golding, 2006).

The study of inequalities in health in recent years has not only measured the magnitude of inequality but has also identified characteristics of those most at risk within populations (Braveman, 1998; d’Uva et al., 2008; Huisman et al., 2005; Starfield, 2002). In 2008, as part of the report by the World Health Organization’s (WHO) Global Commission on Social Determinants of Health (CSDH), global health inequalities reached center stage. The CSDH shone a spotlight on the health of the poor around the world (CSDH, 2008; Friel et al., 2008; Marmot et al., 2008). Furthermore, it documented large social gradients in health within countries and substantial inequalities for health among countries. This report helped to set the global health agenda at the start of the 21st century.

Health inequalities are driven by social and political inequalities and the way in which these drivers play out varies greatly due to differing political, economic, social and cultural contexts. These contexts produce structural factors that connect the drivers and finally result in good or bad daily living conditions that are distributed unequally across the social hierarchy (Figure 1).

Countries with different levels of socioeconomic development face different health-risk challenges. There have been a limited number of studies on inequalities in health and their determinants in middle-income economies. The main challenges for such transitioning countries include the biologic burden of disease pattern, with countries struggling to control legacy and emerging infectious diseases such as dengue, malaria, human influenza, HIV/AIDS, avian influenza, and multidrug resistant tuberculosis, while concurrently responding to the rapid growth in “new” health risks, such as chronic noncommunicable diseases (e.g., diabetes, hyperten-
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sion) and traffic injury (WHO, 2011). Thailand is such a transitioning middle-income country in Southeast Asia.

Thailand has now experienced several decades of rapid economic growth and is grappling with the problems of economic and social inequality (National Economic and Social Development Board [NESDB], 2011). Over the past few decades, Thailand has been attempting to address concerns over health inequalities, and in particular has focused on inequalities in use of health services, leading to the introduction of Universal Health Coverage in 2001 (Tangcharoensathien & Jongudomsuk, 2004; Wibulpolprasert, 2005). At present, almost all Thais are covered by health insurance but some socioeconomic and geographic inequalities still persist thus highlighting the need for continued effort in monitoring and action of an array of social determinants of health inequalities.

The aim of this article is to take advantage of the knowledge arising from the comprehensive analyses of data from a large national cohort study of the health-risk transition in Thailand (Sleigh, Seubsman, & Bain, 2008). Here we review the inequalities in health risks and outcomes identified to date in this ongoing Thai Cohort Study, noting inequalities of physical and mental health outcomes and their determinants. The objective of this article is to serve as a guide to introduce readers to a wide array of recent published health and social research from a large cohort of Thai adults. The findings for Thailand will be useful for other middle-income countries, revealing how health inequalities arise when social, economic, and health transitions occur.

The Thai Health-Risk Transition (The Thai Cohort Study)

In 2005, baseline questionnaires were mailed out to approximately 200,000 adult students enrolled at Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University (STOU) and 87,134 persons aged 15 to 87 years responded. The questionnaire was developed by a multidisciplinary team of experts in Thailand and Australia. Cohort participants were generally similar to the population of Thailand (especially in the 20-39 years age group) for sex ratio, median income, and geographical location (Sleigh et al., 2008). The self-administered baseline questionnaire covered demographic, socioeconomic and geographic information, physical and mental health status, history of doctor-diagnosed diseases, personal well-being, health service use, risk behaviors, traffic and nontraffic injuries, diet, physical activity, and family background. A 4-year follow-up was conducted in 2009 (n = 60,569, response rate = 70%) and an 8-year follow-up is currently underway in 2013.

Ethics Issues and Data Protocol

Ethics approval was obtained from STOU Research and Development Institute (Protocol 0522/10) and the Australian National University Human Research Ethics Committee (Protocols 2004/344 and 2009/570 for follow-up). Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. Data scanning and editing used Thai Scandevet software. Further data editing was completed using SQL and SPSS software. Besides descriptive results presented, an epidemiological approach was generally used for this review. The most common statistical analyses were multivariate binary logistic regression and multinomial logistic regression and reported Odds Ratios.

Following a multilevel eco-social model of health and its determinants operating to produce the Thai Health-Risk Transition (Figure 2), this review of inequalities in health and social outcomes includes five health-risk domains: demographic characteristics (age, sex, marital status), socioeconomic status (income, assets, work status), geographical influences (regions, urban-rural residence), health-related

Figure 1. Conceptual framework on risk and health inequalities. Note. Commission on Social Determinants of Health (2008, Figure 4.1).
behavior (smoking, alcohol drinking), and environment (heat stress). Table 1 summarizes and comments on the findings for the health inequalities detected in the Thai Cohort Study.

Demographic Attributes
Age, sex, and marital status were found to be strongly associated with health outcomes. For example, younger males were at high risk of road injuries and older females were at high risk of home injuries (Yiengprugsawan, et al., 2012b). Older males were more at risk of obesity, while younger females tended to be underweight (Banwell et al., 2009). Age and sex modified the inequalities in health measures. For example, reported happiness by marital status showed those separated, divorced, or widowed were the least happy, and this adverse effect was minimal among older females and maximal among younger males (Yiengprugsawan et al., 2012b).

Socioeconomic Status
High socioeconomic status is generally associated with better health outcomes but also is positively associated with obesity among older Thai males but negatively for young females in the cohort (Seubsman, et al., 2010b). In addition, smoking decreased among men with a higher level of education or income; in contrast, among women, higher incomes were associated with more smoking (Pachanee et al., 2011). Occupational-related heat stress, especially among those performing physical jobs, was found to be affecting overall health (Tawatsupa, et al., 2012b). Unemployment was also closely and negatively associated with happiness and well-being, especially notable among middle-aged and older males (Yiengprugsawan et al., 2012b).

Geographic Influences
Urbanization was shown to be a strong geographic influence on inequalities with positive outcomes (such as increase in attained height; Jordan et al., 2012) but also negative outcomes such as obesity and depression (Lim et al., 2009; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011a). Urban living associated with various health-risk behaviors, including smoking, drinking, unhealthy diets, and physical inactivity (Lim et al., 2009; Pachanee et al., 2011; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2012a). One third of cohort members resided in urban areas in 2005 and had been rural residents at age 12. They were much more likely to be in smaller households, to have less intergenerational support, much less social interaction, support, and trust—all found to produce psychological distress (Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011b; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011c).

Health-Related Behavior
Certain health-risk behaviors such as smoking and alcohol drinking were associated with adverse health outcomes such
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health-risk determinants</th>
<th>Health and social outcomes</th>
<th>Summary of health inequalities</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Obesity (Banwell et al., 2009; Seubsman et al., 2010b)</td>
<td>Obesity increased with age and men were twice as likely as women to be overweight (21% vs. 9%) or obese (23% vs. 10%); however more young females were underweight (21.8% vs. 6.2%)</td>
<td>Gender-related dysmorphia: The difference could be explained by the influence of body image perception among females and media depiction of skinny women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td>Females were much less likely to report smoking or alcohol drinking in Thailand.</td>
<td>This is a positive health protective trait among Thai women that needs to be maintained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td>Women generally reported worse self-assessed health than men.</td>
<td>Females are generally more conscious of their own health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking and drinking (Pachanee et al., 2011; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2012b)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The use of motorcycles and associated traffic injuries in young males are quite common in Southeast Asian countries. Among the elderly, impaired vision and balance contribute to falls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-assessed health (Seubsman et al., 2010a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injuries (Stephan et al., 2011; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2012b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household assets</td>
<td>Obesity (Seubsman et al., 2010b)</td>
<td>Higher socioeconomic status associated strongly with obesity—Positively for young and middle-aged males and inversely for females (&lt;40 years). The odds ratio for obesity-related income was 1.54 for males and 0.68 for females.</td>
<td>The positive association between obesity and income among males was similar to other Asian countries. Among females, higher socioeconomic status and media perception might influence the trend to be slimmer, just as in Western settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social trust and psychological distress (Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011b; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011c)</td>
<td>Cohort members with lower socioeconomic status were more likely to report lower social trust and social support, which were shown to be predictors of psychological distress.</td>
<td>Economic stress could be a main pathway between lower socioeconomic status and psychological distress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic influences</td>
<td>Unhealthy eating (Banwell et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2009)</td>
<td>There was a strong relationship between urban residence, consumption of junk food, and low intake of fruit and vegetables.</td>
<td>Urban residents reported consuming more “convenience food” such as Western-style fast food or home delivery pizza.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifecourse urbanization</td>
<td>Low physical activity (Lim et al., 2009; Banks et al., 2011)</td>
<td>Compared with rural residents, urban residents do less housework, gardening, physical activities, and spend a higher proportion of their time watching television or using computers (&gt;4 hr/day screen time).</td>
<td>More than half of the cohort members work in an office that involves mainly a sedentary lifestyle. Common to urban workers, they reported low physical activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current residence</td>
<td>Low social capital (Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011b; Yiengprugsawan et al., 2011c)</td>
<td>Urban residents generally reported less interaction with neighbors and overall reported lower social trust and social support.</td>
<td>Among the cohort, urban residents were generally living in apartments and were less likely to have intergenerational support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension (Thawornchaisit et al., 2012; Thawornchaisit et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Smoking among men was found to be one of the contributing factors of hypertension. Among females, hypertension was found to be associated with certain food choice such as roasted or smoked food that could influence blood pressure.</td>
<td>Behavioral lifestyles such as smoking and eating were found to contribute to chronic disease such as hypertension. As well, 4-year longitudinal result shows increased incidents in males and females in the cohort.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health-risk determinants</th>
<th>Health and social outcomes</th>
<th>Summary of health inequalities</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (Banwell et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Association between food choice and obesity was observed especially with fried food (OR 1.6) contributing to obesity with Population Attributable Fraction of nearly 20%.</td>
<td>Weak associations were found with Western-style fast food, instant food, and sugary drinks.</td>
<td>Frequent consumption of fried food, Western food, and sugary drinks in the cohort indicate current eating behaviors. The link to obesity is an emerging challenge in middle-income Thailand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic injuries (Yiengprugsawan et al., 2012b; Stephan et al., 2011)</td>
<td>Drink driving was more common among males and a low proportion of helmet use was more common among females. Regular alcohol drinkers were more likely to report transport injuries (OR 1.38).</td>
<td>Traffic injury prevention in Thailand requires strategies for young people and their associated health-risk behaviors (e.g., alcohol drinking, helmet use).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Poor health and well-being (Tawatsupa et al., 2010; Tawatsupa et al., 2012b)</td>
<td>Cohort members with frequent heat stress interfering with daily activities were more likely to report worse health and well-being, including low energy level (1.3 to 2.9) and emotional problems (1.5 to 4.8).</td>
<td>In tropical Thailand, heat stress is already common, especially among physical workers. In the cohort, heat stress was affecting health and well-being in daily activities especially sleep, work, and travel. Prevention measures to avoid dehydration and heat exhaustion could potentially reduce heat-related illness and associated occupational injuries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat stress</td>
<td>Kidney disease (Tawatsupa et al., 2012a)</td>
<td>Risk of kidney disease was higher among physical workers reporting heat stress in their workplace. For example, men exposed to prolonged heat stress were 2.2 times more likely to develop kidney disease compared with men without such exposure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation injuries (Tawatsupa et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Almost 20% of workers in the cohort reported experiencing heat stress at workplace that was strongly associated with occupational injuries (adjusted OR 2.12 for males and 1.89 for females).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Analyses used logistic regression reporting Odds Ratios (ORs).

*Population attributable fractions were reported to give an indication of potential public health importance.

*Analyses were based on multinomial logistic regression reporting Odds Ratios.

as hypertension among males (Thawornchaisit et al., 2013). Among females, hypertension was found to associate with eating behaviors such as roasted or smoked food (Thawornchaisit et al., 2012; Thawornchaisit et al., 2013) and obesity was strongly associated with frequent consumption of fried food and with Western food and sugary drinks (Banwell et al., 2009).

**Environment**

In tropical Thailand, heat interference could impact daily activities at home and in the workplace. Exposure to heat stress in the cohort associated with various health outcomes ranging from low energy, low life satisfaction, and psychological distress (Tawatsupa et al., 2010; Tawatsupa et al., 2012b). In particular, older men performing physical work who reported heat stress were twice as likely to report kidney disease (Tawatsupa et al., 2012a). As well, occupation injuries were also found to be more prevalent among cohort members reporting heat stress (Tawatsupa et al., 2013).

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Set in Thailand, this study reviews existing analyses of health inequalities among adults evidenced by social and health outcomes. We identified important determinants of health inequalities that highlight the need for monitoring and understanding the needs of different population subgroups. For example, Thai females are much less likely to smoke or drink alcohol, partly explained by cultural difference. Demographic attributes and health-related behavior relate to younger males, drinking and sustaining traffic injuries; older males...
with increased socioeconomic status experience obesity, while other males with unemployment manifest psychological distress. Other associations were sociodemographic and environmental such as the link between physical jobs, heat stress, and kidney disease. Geographic influences such as lifetime urbanization associated with various health-risk behaviors (sedentary lifestyle, unhealthy eating) that in turn linked to many adverse health outcomes.

The strength of this study lies in its sample size, the nationwide residence of study participants, and the wide range of social and health outcomes available; in addition, data were generated from a longitudinal cohort making causal analyses available to examine social and health inequalities. We note one limitation of this review is its dependence on existing published papers arising from the Thai Cohort Study and that work is not yet completed and does not cover all possible health inequalities. As well, we acknowledge the university-level education of the cohort members, while facilitating the accuracy of self-administered questionnaires, could lead to a positive bias in health outcomes compared with the general population with lower education level.

This review has highlighted several important outcomes and associated upstream determinants amenable to policy interventions in transitional Thailand. These include heat stress—contributing to an array of adverse outcomes, including occupational injuries, psychological distress, and kidney disease; urbanization—with unhealthy eating, sedentary lifestyles, low social capital, and poor mental health; obesity—common among higher income and older men; and injury—common among young males and associated with alcohol and transport. Obesity, injury, psychological distress, and heat stress-related illness are all eco-social health outcomes produced by multilevel social determinants (Figure 2).

We have examined published epidemiological analyses of Thai health inequalities and their determinants along with associated adverse health outcomes. The observations arise in a transitional health-risk setting typical of many Asian emerging economies. The findings presented here are consistent with other reviews of social determinants of health outcomes (Friel et al., 2011a; Friel et al., 2011b). The 11th Thai National Economic and Social Development Plan (2012-2016) has addressed some of these emerging challenges (NESDB, 2011).

The next steps for the Thai Study involve completion of the 8-year cohort follow-up, currently underway for 2013/2014. The ongoing data linkage includes matching death records through the Thai Ministry of Interior and Ministry of Public Health. Analyses of mortality data, cause of death, and risk factors among the cohort members will provide further insights into the health-risk transition in Thailand. As well, ancillary studies are planned or underway on aging and caregivers, impact of heat stress, mental health, and chronic diseases including diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. Our current review points to the pressing need to address the main challenges—emerging chronic diseases related to urbanization, injury related to transport, and other adverse effects of heat stress on the general population and among physical workers. Promotion of healthy low-risk lifestyles and support for social capital in urban areas should be emphasized by multisectoral policy makers aiming to reduce social inequalities in health and to subsequently improve the health of the Thai population.
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