The Mild Behavioral Impairment Checklist (MBI-C): A rating scale for neuropsychiatric symptoms in pre-dementia populations
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Abstract

**Background:** Mild Behavioral Impairment (MBI) is a construct that describes the emergence at ≥ 50 years of age of sustained and impactful neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS), as a precursor to cognitive decline and dementia. MBI describes NPS of any severity, which are not captured by traditional psychiatric nosology, persist for at least 6 months, and occur in advance of or in concert with Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI). While the detection and description of MBI has been operationalized in the International Society to Advance Alzheimer's Research and Treatment – Alzheimer’s Association (ISTAART-AA) research diagnostic criteria, there is no instrument that accurately reflects MBI as described.

**Objective:** To develop an instrument based on ISTAART-AA MBI criteria.

**Methods:** Eighteen subject matter experts participated in development using a modified Delphi process. An iterative process ensured items reflected the 5 MBI domains of 1) decreased motivation; 2) emotional dysregulation; 3) impulse dyscontrol; 4) social inappropriateness; and 5) abnormal perception or thought content. Instrument language was developed *a priori* to pertain to non-demented functionally independent older adults.

**Results:** We present the Mild Behavioral Impairment Checklist (MBI-C), a 34-item instrument, which can easily be completed by a patient, close informant, or clinician.

**Conclusion:** The MBI-C provides the first measure specifically developed to assess the MBI construct as explicitly described in the criteria. Its utility lies in MBI case detection, and monitoring the emergence of MBI symptoms and domains over time. Studies are required to determine the prognostic value of MBI for dementia development, and for predicting different dementia subtypes.
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Introduction

Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) have been viewed as “non-cognitive” symptoms of dementia (also known as Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia (BPSD)). They include impairments of mood, anxiety, drive, perception, sleep, appetite, as well as behavioral disturbances such as agitation or aggression [1]. NPS have been described since Alois Alzheimer’s index case of Auguste D, who presented initially with emotional distress and delusions of infidelity, followed by cognitive impairment [2]. NPS are common in dementia with prevalence rates of up to 97%, increasing with time after diagnosis [3]. NPS are associated with faster cognitive decline and accelerated progression to severe dementia or death [4, 5], higher rates of institutionalization [6, 7], greater functional impairment [8], greater caregiver stress [9], worse quality of life [10], and higher burden of neuropathological markers of dementia [11]. NPS are often present at the time of dementia diagnosis [3], and for some they precede the onset of cognitive symptoms [12].

Neuropsychiatric symptoms in pre-dementia populations

A growing body of evidence describes NPS in older adults as early markers of cognitive decline and progression along the neurodegenerative spectrum. NPS are common in Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), and are associated with poorer cognitive and psychosocial function within MCI cohorts [13, 14]. Population-based [15, 16] as well as clinic-based cohort studies [17] provide consistent evidence that NPS in MCI are associated with higher risk for incident dementia, with an estimated annual rate of progression to dementia of 25% for MCI plus NPS [17] in contrast to the rate for MCI of 10 to 15% per year [18]. Similarly, NPS in older adults with normal cognition confers a higher likelihood of progression to MCI and dementia, as shown in the Alzheimer’s Disease Cooperative Study [19], one Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center [20], the Danish Psychiatric and Somatic Health Register [21], the Mayo Clinic Study of Aging [22], the Medical Research Council Cognitive Function and Ageing Study [23] and the National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center database [24, 25].

The concept of “Mild Behavioral Impairment”

NPS are now recognized as core to the dementia process. The 2011 National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) consensus recommendations for diagnosis of all cause dementia include “changes in personality, behavior, or comportment—symptoms include: uncharacteristic mood fluctuations such as agitation, impaired motivation, initiative, apathy, loss of drive, social withdrawal, decreased interest in previous activities, loss of empathy, compulsive or obsessive behaviors, socially unacceptable behaviors”[26]. While behavioral symptoms are well-recognized early manifestations of Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) [27], they have been shown to appear early in Alzheimer's and vascular dementias [28, 29]. It is not uncommon for patients with NPS who do not show obvious cognitive impairment to receive
psychiatric diagnoses when the possibility of neurodegenerative disease has been overlooked [30]. This results in inappropriate, delayed, or suboptimal care [31].

The NPS Professional Interest Area (PIA) of the International Society to Advance Alzheimer’s Research and Treatment (ISTAART), a subgroup of the Alzheimer’s Association (AA), operationalized the assessment of later life onset NPS as an “at-risk” state for cognitive decline and dementia. The ISTAART NPS PIA developed and published research diagnostic criteria for Mild Behavioral Impairment (MBI) [32] (Table 1). MBI describes later life onset of sustained and impactful NPS as symptoms of concern for cognitive decline and dementia. MBI symptoms, which can be mild, moderate or severe in intensity, are divided into the domains of 1) decreased motivation; 2) emotional dysregulation; 3) impulse dyscontrol; 4) social inappropriateness; and 5) abnormal perception or thought content. These domains are associated with well-characterized syndromes of cognitive decline. By definition, MBI is described in a population who does not have dementia, and it is precluded by a formal psychiatric diagnosis. The goals of developing the MBI criteria are 1) to assess psychiatric symptoms as markers of prodromal and preclinical stages of neurodegenerative disease, and 2) serve as a template for validation of the MBI construct from nosological, epidemiological, neurobiological, treatment, and dementia prevention perspectives.

Current rating scales:

Given that MBI is a new construct, there is no validated rating scale for its assessment. The diagnosis and detection of NPS in pre-clinical populations has generally fallen under the realm of adult and geriatric psychiatry, with the aim of diagnosing other psychiatric syndromes. For dementia clinicians and researchers, the most commonly used rating scales for NPS are the informant-rated Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q) [33], and the interview-based NPI [34, 35]. Other rating scales for NPS used in clinical trials include the Behavioral Pathology in Alzheimer’s Disease (BEHAVE-AD) [36], the Cohen Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI) [37], which was developed for nursing home use, and the Neurobehavioral Rating Scale (NQRS) [38]. However, as NPS were initially described in the context of dementia, often in institutionalized patients, many of the items in these rating scales are dementia-focused and not relevant to functionally independent community dwelling adults (e.g. wandering, purposeless activity, fear of being alone, kicking, biting, grabbing, scratching, roaming, restlessness, pacing and resistiveness to care). Furthermore, these measures utilize reference times (2-4 weeks) that are much too short for the detection of a neurodegenerative disease prodrome. Given the transient nature of NPS [39], a longer observation of 6 months allows time for reactive states (arising from adversity, sleep deprivation, medications, for example) to pass, and for a fuller consideration of primary psychiatric illness. Hence there is need for a measure with a broad scope and sufficient reference time to detect and measure the MBI construct in patients who have no more than mild cognitive impairment.
Materials and Methods

Consistent with methods used in development of other NPS rating scales [35, 40], we implemented a modified Delphi process [41, 42], including 18 subject matter experts, to create an instrument based on MBI domains as described in the ISTAART-AA MBI criteria [32]. The aim was to create a comprehensive list of concise and relevant questions for NPS, and then narrow the number with successive iterations, to create a final version of the rating scale that could be feasibly implemented in clinical and community settings.

Delphi working group and expert panel

MBI-C development leaders (ZI and CGL) met in person in July 2015 to create the framework for development of the MBI-C and to assemble an expert panel. Most panel members were ISTAART NPS PIA members who had expressed interest in rating scale development. Additional panel members were approached and added thereafter based on expertise. Ultimately, a panel of 18 members was formed including 15 MDs with clinical and research expertise in NPS (representing psychiatry, geriatric psychiatry, neuropsychiatry, behavioural neurology, and cognitive neurology), as well as 4 PhDs (one of which was also an MD) with expertise in psychology, psychopharmacology, rating scale development, and clinical trials. Panel members represented 4 countries in 3 continents and 13 institutions.

Outline of Delphi process

The initial goal of the Delphi process was to generate a list of items that may be relevant to the identification of NPS in a non-demented population. The clinician-rated NPI-C [35], an expanded version of the NPI, was used as a starting point with items re-categorized into MBI domains described in the consensus definition. At first pass, some questions were omitted that were judged relevant to later stages of dementia and less applicable to MBI. Additional questions were included ad hoc through the Delphi iterations (below). A list of 88 items was generated for the next steps.

Delphi iterative rounds

The 88 items were sent to the members of panel via an online survey through www.surveymonkey.com. This allowed members of the group to select whether each question did or did not belong to a particular MBI domain, with an optional response box to modify the question and/or choose a different domain. After analysis of the responses, questions were removed, shifted to a different MBI domain, or reworded; further, new questions were solicited from panel members and added.

Following those changes, a second survey was created. The panel was asked to rank each question in order of decreasing importance. The questions deemed least important by the majority were excluded reducing the number of questions to be included in the MBI-C. A final survey was sent to the reviewing group where each
member was, again, asked to rank the questions in each domain from most to least important. The a priori target was a relatively short instrument consisting of about 30 to 40 items.

From the responses to the 3rd Delphi round, a draft of the MBI-C was created and sent to the panel via email for additional comments and feedback. Extensive email discussions resulted in modifications to organization, wording, complexity of language, verb tense and gender. A final version of the MBI-C was created and sent to all members for voting on final approval.

The flow of the modified Delphi-process is shown in Figure 1.

Results

Structure of the MBI-C

The MBI-C was structured to be consistent with the 5 domains in the MBI criteria [32]: decreased motivation, emotional dysregulation, impulse dyscontrol, social inappropriateness, and abnormal perception or thought content. In order to translate domain names to descriptions that caregivers or family members could understand when completing the rating scale, domain explanations were provided in the headers of each section. By consensus, decreased motivation was described as a loss of “interest, motivation and drive”. Emotional dysregulation was described as “mood or anxiety symptoms”. Impulse dyscontrol was described as a loss of “the ability to delay gratification and control behavior, impulses, oral intake and/or changes in reward”. Social inappropriateness was described as “not following societal norms and/or lack of social graces, tact or empathy”. Abnormal perception or thought content was described as developing “strongly held beliefs and sensory experiences.” Each domain was populated by relevant questions, which were also moved and/or modified through the Delphi process, prior to each question being subject to a vote.

Scoring of the MBI-C

A simple scoring system was used, specifically an endorsement of “yes” or “no” for each item followed by a severity rating of 1-mild, 2-moderate, or 3-severe [33]. The rationale for assessing only symptom severity was based on the finding that symptom severity is more strongly correlated with caregiver distress than symptom frequency [43]. The MBI-C was designed to generate an overall score, as well as domain scores for later validation and prognostication.

Duration and rater specifiers

Core to the construct of MBI is symptom persistence for at least 6 months, and that symptoms are an impactful change from baseline behaviors. Thus, the initial descriptor was agreed upon as follows: select “Yes” only if the behavior has been present for at
least 6 months (continuously, or on and off) and is a change from her/his longstanding pattern of behavior. Otherwise, select “No”. Tick boxes were generated for clinician, informant, or subject rater identification, as well as for clinical or research use.

Final version of the MBI-C

The MBI-C (Figure 2) is a 2-page questionnaire consisting of 34 items, in 5 domains. The apathy domain consists of 6 questions including assessments of cognitive, behavioral and emotional apathy. The affect domain contains 6 items, including 4 for depressive features of low mood, anhedonia, hopelessness and guilt, and 1 question each for worry and panic. The impulse dyscontrol domain is the largest, with 12 questions describing agitation, aggression, impulsivity, recklessness, and abnormal reward and reinforcement. The social appropriateness domain consists of 5 questions assessing sensitivity, empathy and tact. Finally, the abnormal thought and perception domain consists of 5 questions assessing suspiciousness, grandiosity, and auditory and visual hallucinations.

Discussion

The MBI-C was created as a tool, to be used primarily by family members and close informants, to better describe and measure behavioral changes exhibited by older adults that might precede the onset of dementia. It was specifically designed to: 1) operationalize the MBI concept; 2) measure a selected list of NPS which may help identify prodromal or preclinical disease; and 3) help predict risk of several dementias, including, but not exclusive to AD. Hence, the explicit goal of the MBI-C is case detection, specifically the diagnosis of a behavioural pre-dementia “at-risk” state. The measure is intended for use in both clinical and research settings in order to detect such NPS, and measure changes in those NPS over time.

The MBI-C will serve multiple functions as knowledge emerges on the role of later life onset NPS as a risk of cognitive decline and neurodegeneration. It will help estimate MBI prevalence. Greater awareness of neuropsychiatric precursors to dementia is needed in the clinical setting and in treatment research. This awareness must be informed by reliable prevalence estimates based on structured diagnostic criteria and rating scales. The systematic description and measurement of late life NPS meets an important unmet need in dementia care, which is early identification of dementia and the at-risk population. Given the symptom duration requirement of 6 months, it is difficult to extrapolate prevalence of the MBI preclinical phenotype from current studies, which have generally required one month of symptoms. The MBI-C may serve as a standard assessment in community and clinical samples to provide symptom and syndrome estimates that are unavailable with current instruments.

In addition to potentially prognosticating dementia, the MBI-C may identify target substrates (symptoms and domains) for non-pharmacological and pharmacological intervention, and neurobiological research. By and large, disease modifying agents...
have been unsuccessful in improving dementia outcomes, in part due to poor recruitment and retention in the early phase of illness, and high biomarker screen failure rates [44]. Given the strong evidence that later life emergence of NPS is related to dementia risk, the MBI-C could be useful for early case detection, biomarker screening, and clinical trial enrolment. MBI groups can also be studied for response to non-pharmacological interventions like diet, exercise, cognitive training and social activity, in order to alter cognitive and neurodegenerative outcomes, as these interventions may also be more effective earlier on in the neurodegenerative disease course.

MBI is a departure from traditional ways of appreciating NPS, and the MBI-C is tailored for pre-dementia populations. Symptoms are described for their appearance in pre-dementia states and not for presentations associated with established dementia, and the 6-month requirement in the MBI criteria reflects the need to discount transient reactions. Therefore, there is no clear comparator scale. Reliability, validity, and utility of the MBI-C need to be determined in subsequent studies. Prevalence studies using the MBI-C and comparisons of results with data from formal clinical assessments are necessary. The impact on NPS prevalence of different reference times (such as one month versus 6 months) should be studied. Reliability needs to be assessed in community and clinical samples, in those with normal cognition or subjective cognitive decline and MBI, and those with MCI and MBI. Scoring has yet to be validated. It is not yet clear whether total scores predict incident cognitive decline or dementia, if there is an optimal cutoff score for prognostication, and if there are differences in risk based on the various aggregate domain scores. Additionally, the prognostic value of various domain scores on subtype of dementia is to be determined. Validation is required against clinical diagnosis, versus current instruments, and for content and criterion validity.

Further, while the MBI-C is primarily intended to be given to a family member or close informants, its utility when rated by a clinician or when self-rated need to be determined. Future iterations of MBI-C will explore a clinician rated version, similar to the NPI-C, to determine if a combination of clinician and informant information can increase the prognostic power of the diagnosis. Whether NPS emerge in advance of MCI, concurrent with MCI or after MCI is worthy of study, to determine if natural history of MBI reflects different neurobiology and functional/cognitive outcomes. Finally, while designed in an English-speaking population, the MBI-C needs to be translated, by culturally and linguistically sensitive translators, into other languages for validation and study in non English-speaking countries, and for patients and family members who do not speak English fluently.

Conclusion
NPS occur in dementia and other neurological disorders, are more common in Mild Cognitive Impairment than in age matched controls with normal cognition [45], and can be confused with primary psychiatric disorders such as depression and mania. Current nosology does not offer a systematic way of defining and measuring later-life onset, persistent and impactful NPS, which may be the harbinger of neurodegenerative disorders. Well-defined operational criteria will enable researchers to assess the heuristic value of identifying an MBI population, who are at greater risk for cognitive decline and dementia, and assist clinicians in diagnosis.

We present the MBI-C, a rating scale developed by a modified Delphi process, including panel members expert in neuropsychiatric symptoms in clinical and pre-clinical populations. The instrument was purposely developed to reflect the new ISTAART-AA MBI criteria, and has potential utility in describing and measuring change in later-life onset of neuropsychiatric symptoms that may precede the onset of dementia. The MBI-C is a starting point for further study into the impact of later life onset NPS and risk of cognitive and dementia. The MBI-C now allows measurement of MBI prevalence, and determination of the risk of cognitive decline and dementia, based on overall and domain scores.
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Table 1: ISTAART-AA MBI Criteria

1. Changes in behavior or personality observed by patient or informant or clinician, starting later in life (age ≥ 50) and persisting at least intermittently for ≥ 6 months. These represent clear change from the person’s usual behavior or personality as evidenced by at least one of the following:
   a. Decreased motivation (e.g. apathy, aspontaneity, indifference)
   b. Affective dysregulation (e.g. anxiety, dysphoria, changeability, euphoria, irritability)
   c. Impulse dyscontrol (e.g. agitation, disinhibition, gambling, obsessiveness, behavioral perseveration, stimulus bind)
   d. Social inappropriateness (e.g. lack of empathy, loss of insight, loss of social graces or tact, rigidity, exaggeration of previous personality traits)
   e. Abnormal perception or thought content (e.g. delusions, hallucinations)

2. Behaviors are of sufficient severity to produce at least minimal impairment in at least one of the following areas:
   a. Interpersonal relationships
   b. Other aspects of social functioning
   c. Ability to perform in the workplace

The patient should generally maintain his/her independence of function in daily life, with minimal aids or assistance.

3. Although co-morbid conditions may be present, the behavioral or personality changes are not attributable to another current psychiatric disorder (e.g. generalized anxiety disorder, major depression, manic or psychotic disorders), traumatic or general medical causes, or the physiological effects of a substance or medication.

4. The patient does not meet criteria for a dementia syndrome (e.g., Alzheimer’s dementia, frontotemporal dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, vascular dementia, other dementia). Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) can be concurrently diagnosed with Mild Behavioral Impairment.
Figure 1: Modified Delphi-process flow diagram for MBI-C development

- **Survey Monkey Multiple Choice**
  - Multiple choice questions for each domain (yes/no) and a text box to modify the question
  - Each domain contained 8-31 questions
  - Goal: Keep, remove, or alter questions

- **Survey Monkey Ranking Scale**
  - 5 ranking scales representing each domain
  - Each ranking scale contained 4-18 questions
  - Ranking scale scored on a scale of 1-10; 1 = most important
  - Goal: Isolate the most important questions in each domain

- **Survey Monkey Ranking Scale**
  - 3 ranking scales dedicated to Motivation/Drive domain each containing 4-5 questions
  - One scale for each sub-domain: cognitive apathy, behavioral apathy, and emotional apathy
  - Ranking scale scored on scale of 1-10; 1 = most important
  - Goal: Isolate the most important questions for each sub-domain in the Motivation/Drive domain

- **E-mail Discussion**
  - First draft of MBI-C sent to the reviewing members
  - Modification based on group discussion
  - Goal: Collect final suggestions and alterations

- **E-mail Discussion**
  - Final version of the MBI-C sent to reviewing members
  - Goal: Proofreading for typographical/tense errors; Voting on approval or rejection of final rating scale
**Figure 2: MBI Checklist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain Description</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>SEVERITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>This domain describes interest, motivation, and drive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person lost interest in friends, family, or home activities?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person lack curiosity in topics that would usually have attracted her/his interest?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become less spontaneous and active – for example, is she/he less likely to initiate or maintain conversation?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person lost the motivation to act on their obligations or interests?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the person less affectionate and/or lacking in emotions when compared to her/his usual self?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does she/he no longer care about anything?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This domain describes mood or anxiety symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person developed sadness or appear to be in low spirits? Does she/she have episodes of tearfulness?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become less able to experience pleasure?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become discouraged about their future or feel that she/he is a failure?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person view herself/himself as a burden to family?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become more anxious or worried about things that are routine (e.g. events, visits, etc.)?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person feel very tense, having developed an inability to relax, or shakiness, or symptoms of panic?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This domain describes the ability to delay gratification and control behavior, impulses, oral intake and/or changes in reward</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become agitated, aggressive, irritable, or temperamental?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has she/he become unreasonably or uncharacteristically argumentative?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become more impulsive, seeming to act without considering things?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person display sexually disinhibited or intrusive behaviour, such as touching (themselves/otheres), hugging, groping, etc., in a manner that is out of character or may cause offence?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become more easily frustrated or impatient? Does she/he have troubles coping with delays, or waiting for events or for their turn?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person display a new recklessness or lack of judgement when driving (e.g. speeding, erratic swerving, abrupt lane changes, etc.)?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become more stubborn or rigid, i.e., uncharacteristically insistent on having their way, or unwilling/unable to see/hear other views?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a change in eating behaviors (e.g., overeating, cramming the mouth, insistent on eating only specific foods, or eating the food in exactly the same order)?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person no longer find food tasteful or enjoyable? Are they eating less?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person hoard objects when she/he did not do so before?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person developed simple repetitive behaviors or compulsions?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person recently developed trouble regulating smoking, alcohol, drug intake or gambling, or started shoplifting?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This domain describes following societal norms and having social graces, tact, and empathy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person become less concerned about how her/his words or actions affect others? Has she/he become insensitive to others’ feelings?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person started talking openly about very personal or private matters not usually discussed in public?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person say rude or crude things or make lewd sexual remarks that she/he would not have said before?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person seem to lack the social judgement she/he previously had about what to say or how to behave in public or private?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person now talk to strangers as if familiar, or intrude on their activities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This domain describes strongly held beliefs and sensory experiences</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person developed beliefs that they are in danger, or that others are planning to harm them or steal their belongings?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the person developed suspiciousness about the intentions or motives of other people?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does she/he have unrealistic beliefs about her/his power, wealth or skills?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person describe hearing voices or does she/he talk to imaginary people or “spirits”?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the person report or complain about, or act as if seeing things (e.g. people, animals or insects) that are not there, i.e., that are imaginary to others?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>