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## ABSNRACT

Ambai is a little-known Austronesian language of Irian Jaya, Indonesia. In this work we discuss the more common elements of the phonology, morphology, and clause-level syntax. This work is basically a synchronic description of the Ambai dialect of the Ambai language, but mention is also made of comparative materials in other Austronesian languages, especially in the morphology section, where they shed light on the Ambai data. We have chosen to limit the scope of this work by not discussing any level higher than the simple sentence or what we will call the expanded clause so as to be able to provide some depth of discussion on those areas covered.

Chapter 1 places Ambai in its geographical and linguistic setting as a member of Blust's (1978) South Halmahera - - -est New Guinea group. The theoretical models used are summarized in 1.2 and the scope and purpose of this study in 1.3.

In chapter 2 the sound system of Ambai is described in terms of distinctive features, morpheme-structure rules, and phonological processes.

An overview of the basic open and closed word classes is given in chapter 3. We also relate Ambai syntax to syntactic universals as put forth by Greenberg (1966) to provide a concise summary of Ambai word order constraints.

Chapter 4 discusses the Ambai noun phrase employing a function-based model which describes the $N P$ as consisting of a referential core modified by Association, Qualification, Quantification, and Orientation elements.

The final three chapters of this dissertation employ $a$ layered clause model which sees the clause as consisting of a nuclear predicate, core arguments, and peripheral arguments. The clause nucleus is discussed in chapter 5 , along with aspect and directionals which are held to be operators over the clause nucleus. The clause core is considered in chapter 6 where the core arguments (i.e. Subject and Object) are defined. Modality, which expresses the intent or ability of the Actor of the predication to perform the action is discussed as the core-level operator. Chapter 7 completes the discussion of the clause by analyzing the peripheral arguments (e.g. GOAL, LOCATION, etc.) and the operators which obtain to the entire clause (i.e. Status, which includes a realis - irrealis continuum; Tense; and Illocutionary Force, which involves declarative, interrogative, and imperative.

Appendix A continues the discussion of the verbal Subject affixes presenting diachronic aspects of the problem. Appendix $B$ presents a narrative text in Ambai and Appendix $C$ provides a basic vocabulary list with the Proto-Austronesian roots from which the words are derived. References cited conclude the dissertation.

## Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION

Detailed descriptive studies of Irian Jaya languages are not plentiful; studies of the Austronesian (AN) languages are limited for the most part to surveys or comparative sketches. The most complete studies of Irian Jaya AN languages are those of the van Hasselts (1863. 1876, 1890, 1905, 1947) concerning Biak/Numfoor, l Held (1942,1942a, 1956) regarding waropen and Cowan (1955) concerning Wandamen/Windesi. In 1962 Capell stated that the other AN languages 'are hardly more than names, and at best are represented by short vocabularies in obscure journals'(1962:4). ${ }^{2}$ This study of the Ambai language of Yapen Island in the Geelvink or Sarera Bay ${ }^{3}$ is presented with the intention that the data provided will further linguistic understanding of the Ambai language in particular and of the wider Yapen Island subgroup as it has received scant attention in the past.

In this introductory chapter we will first discuss the position of the Ambai language in terms of geography and of both the previous and my own present linguistic research (1.1). Secondly, we will discuss the theoretical framework within which Ambai will be described (1.2). Thirdly, we will summarize the scope and purnose of this dissertation (1.3).

### 1.1 LANGUAGE

The position of the Ambai language may be described in terms of three factors: geography, previous studies, and linguistic relations. In this subsection we will discuss each of these points in turn to provide a general overview of the position of Ambai.

### 1.1.1 Geography

The language which we are calling Ambai (following Anceaux 1961) is spoken by approximately seven thousand people situated in villages along the south coast of Yapen Island east of Serui and on the island of Ambai (see Map 1). The area encompassed by Ambai speakers extends from 136 degrees 19 minutes to 136 degrees 46 minutes east longitude and from 1 degree and 48 minutes to 1 degree and 58 minutes south latitude. 4 Progressing from the west to the east along the south coast of Yapen, we note the following Ambai-speaking villages with population estimates in parentheses ${ }^{5}$
: Menawi/Borai (18øø), Wadapi Laut (1øø), Randawaya I (Warironi)(12øø), Randawaya II (13øø), Sumberbaba (Aisumbewawafi)(4øø), and Dawai (løø). Of these villages Wadapi Laut also contains Mora speakers (a Non-Austronesian language) and Dawai contains Wabo speakers (an AN language in the two-member Eastern Yapen subgroup). On the island of Ambai itself, again from west to east, we find Rondefi (løøø), Ambai (12øø), Kawifi (1øø), and Wamori (Rondawaiaifi)(løø). All of the above villages are in the governmental district (kabupaten) of

Yapen-Waropen; all but Sumberbaba and Dawai are in the subdistrict (kecamatan) of South Yapen (Yapen Selatan). Ambai speakers may also be found in the city of Serui and in other major cities of Irian Jaya including Jayapura where approximately two thousand Ambai people live although few people under twenty years of age speak Ambai outside of the Ambai villages.

The Ambai people, as coastal dwellers, are fishermen and subsistence gardeners for the most part and the language is replete with terms for fish and other seafood. The staple food is sago served as anan(BI papeda) which is eaten with fish or leafy vegetables. Other major crops include maize (kasamberei), cassava (timuri), sweet potato (ubi), taro (barimu), coconut (ankadi). banana (rando), and papaya (ansawaibon).

### 1.1.2 Previous studies

Ambai itself has not been studied in detail before. Early Dutch studies in the Sarera Bay centred around Biak/Numfoor, Waropen, and Wandamen/Windesi.

Galis (1955-6), in his maps of Irian Jaya, shows the borders of Ambai to be basically as those described above, inciuding Wadapi Laut as a part of Ambai, rather than separate from it as later posited by Anceaux (1961:11).

Salzner (1960,map 47) includes the Ambai islands within the Serui language which is in his Windesi Group. Salzner echoes Adriani and Kruijt (1914) who put the South Halmahera languages (the Buli group) with the Biak and Windesi groups. Salzner also includes Kowiai, Taburuasa, and Karas in this larger group and says that they are 'als Ubergang von Indonesischen zum

Ozeanischen anzusprechen'(1960:21, fn.61) 'to be viewed as a transition between Indonesian and Oceanic'.

Anceaux's (1961) survey of the Yapen languages entitled 'The linguistic situation in the islands of Yapen, Kurudu, Nau and Miosnum, New Guinea' gives a good wordlist for Ambai and we will discuss his survey in more detail below (1.l.3). Here we only note the surprising decision to separate Wadapi-Laut and Ambai despite a $99 \%$ shared vocabulary calculated from Anceaux's field materials. Voorhoeve (1977) and the more recent linguistic atlas of the south Pacific compiled by Wurm and Hattori (1982) follow Anceaux's boundaries.

Capell (1962) posits that the languages along the south coast of Yapen are dialects of Wandamen created by the influences of the various 'original Yapen languages' (1962:4). In his comparative study of 1969 Capell does not discuss Yapen island, but places Wandamen in his then ANII typological group, which he changed to ANI in 1971. In 1976 Capell put the entire Geelvink Bay within his ANI group. Capell's ANI group is a typological class of AN languages of the greater New Guinea area which have basic svo word order and have generally simpler morphology.

Dyen (1965) discusses a 'Japen' language in his lexicostatistical analysis of the AN language family. This list was taken by George Grace in 1957 and is, in fact, from Arnbai. Dyen's study will be discussed further in 1.1.3.2.

Blust (1978) is the latest scholar to refer to Ambai in connection with comparative studies. Blust's work will be discussed in 1.1.3.3.

In all of the above studies the actual Ambai data it fuite minimal. Anceaux (1961) provides the most data with over two
hundred lexical items as well as paradigms of the inalienably possessed body part 'hand' (pages $16 \varnothing-1$ ) and the kin terms 'father' and 'mother' (page 163) and conjugations of five basic verbs (pages 152-3). The present dissertation will examine more complete data on Ambai and throw further light on the subject of the subgrouping of the AN languages of Yapen Island and thus also of the Sarera Bay (cf. 1.1.3.4).

### 1.1.3 Linguistic relations

The linguistic relations of Ambai and its Sarera Bay neighbours have long been unclear. Forrest's Biak list (1779) was the first information on Sarera Bay languages. In 1885 Kern suggested that Numfoor, a dialect of Biak, was Austronesian. By 1912 Ray had noted that Numfoor and Wandamen, north and west of Ambai respectively, agreed in some aspects of vocabulary and grammar and hypothesized that they fell into the same linguistic group,i.e. Austronesian (1912:325). Held (1942:7) suggested that the languages of south Yapen (e.g. Ansus, Serui Laut, Ambai) as well as Kurudu, to the east of Yapen, might be separated from this Biak and Wandamen group. Not until Anceaux's survey of 1961 was the linguistic picture made somewhat clearer as regards Ambai and the other Sarera Bay languages. The relation of Ambai to the larger AN grouping will be discussed in 1.1.3.3.

In this section we will note the contributions of Anceaux (1961), Dyen (1965), and Blust (1978). At the conclusion of this section we will note our personal research. We will see that Ambai is closely related to other Western Yapen languages and Wandamen and more distantly related to Woriasi/Wabo and Kurudu.

```
All Sarera Bay AN languages, however, may be seen as part of a larger subgroup distinct from languages outside the Sarera Bay.
```


### 1.1.3.1 Anceaux

In 1961 Anceaux published a survey of the Yapen languages employing lexicostatistics to ascertain linguistic grouping. Anceaux used wordlists of varying lengths and also include some 'non-basic' vocabulary. On the basis of his wordlists Anceaux concluded that the Yapen languages, excluding Wabo and Kurudu, 'form a closely related group, to which Wandamen-Windesi also belong' (1961:147). Figure 1.1 presents a summary of Anceaux's study based on comparisons of ninety-eight words or more. Note that the Western Yapen languages and Wandamen usually share over $60 \%$ of cognate words on Anceaux's list, while they generally share less than $50 \%$ of cognate words with the Eastern Yapen group, to Biak or to Waropen (also see Map 2).

## FIGURE 1.1: Anceaux's Sarera Bay Cognate Count




From Anceaux's cognate percentages we note that Ambai is most closely related to Serui Laut ( $85 \%$ ), although it is also closely related to Ansus (748), Wandamen (72\%), and Woi (71\%). Anceaux's statistics indicate a dialect chain fron Wandamen to Ansus to Serui Laut to Ambai which also includes a Woi-Ansus-Papuma chain. (We will see in l.l.3.4, however, that Anceaux's figures need some adjustment.) On the other hand, Ambai shares only $43 \%$ of the vocabulary recorded with Waropen and only $41 \%$ with Biak. 6

Anceaux's classification of the Sarera Bay languages may be pictured in the tree in Figure 1.2 below taken from Blust (1978:205).

Figure 1.2: Anceaux's classification of the Sarera Bay languages


In Figure 1.2 we have added the term West Yapen to cover Wandamen and the Yapen languages which are not a part of the East Yapen group; we also note that Anceaux's Meoswar data were limited to seventeen items.

### 1.1.3.2 Dyen

Dyen (1965), apparently unknowingly, included Ambai in his computer-assisted analysis of the AN languages, for his 'Japen' language was in fact Ambai. Dyen concluded that Ambai and Wandamen (and presumably the other Western Yapen languages) belonged to a Wandamic subfamily, which in turn was a part of a Geelvink Hesion which also included Biak and Numfoor. Dyen's conclusions result from a comparison of the cognate percentages between the Biakic Subfamily (i.e. Biak, Numfoor) and Kuiwai and those of the Wandamic Subfamily (i.e. Wandamen and 'Japen' or Ambai) with Kuiwai. By computing a 'critical difference' between the two sets of cognate figures, Dyen grouped the Biakic and the Wandamic Subfamilies into a Geelvink Hesion. Dyen's critical difference is defined as 'the amount of difference between the lowest basic percentage of the group and the highest percentage of any member of the group with a non-member' (1965:19). For further discussion on Dyen's methods and results the reader is referred to Blust (1978). At the Yapen Island level Dyen agreed with Anceaux's 1961 findings. Dyen does not, however, include Waropen in his Geelvink Hesion. Blust provides the following display of Dyen's revised classification of what he called the Moluccan Linkage which includes Ambai (Figure 1.3).



### 2.2.3.3 3145t

In 1973 Blust reconsidered Dyen's findings regarding the EEAtionships between the languages of South Ifalmahera and Sarera Bay and posited the existence of a South Halmahera-West New Guinea subgroup as was previously sugqested by Adriani and Kruijt (1314) and also followed by Esser (1938). Blust (1978:183) quotes Adriani and Kruijt as saying that '...east Makian belongs with the lanquages of south Halmahera and the area of the Kalana Eat (Waigeo, Salawati。 Misool). Numfoor and it's relatives.' Adriani and Kruijt based their subgrouping on four features shared by east Makian and Numfoor. Blust considers these four features and then develops other shared features as subgrouping criteria. It is important to note that Blust used shared phonologial innovations rather than lexical comparisons as the
basis for his subgrouping (cf. Guy 1983). Blust suggests thirteen shared innovations between the South Halmahera languages (as represented by Buli) and the West New Guinea languages (as represented by Biak) (1978:192-3). Following his preliminary discussion on Buli and Biak Blust illustrates that the Sarera Bay languages all share five or six of the thirteen shared innovations used to establish the larger subgroup (1978:2ø6). These five or six innovations are as follows:

|  | PAN (Blust) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Innovation 2 | e2 | - |
| Innovation 4 | C,t,T/ i; c, -j-, s | S |
| (Innovation 5) | k, q, ?, $\bar{H}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{x}$ | $\emptyset$ |
| Innovation 6 | d, D, z, Z, l, r, R | $>\mathrm{r}$ |
| Innovation 9 | a,el | $>\mathrm{e}$ |
| Innovation 12 | syncope |  |

Blust uses el to refer to PAN *e in the ultimate syllable and *e2 to refer to $P A N$ *e in the penultimate syllable of a word.

Evidence from Ambai shows agreement with Biak in Blust's innovations 2,4,5, and 6, but disagreement with Biak in innovations 9 and 12. Examples of these innovations are given below. ${ }^{7}$

Innovation 2: e2>0

* PAN $\quad>\frac{\text { Ambai }}{\text { teban }} \quad$ 'to cut down'
* $(\mathrm{h})$ enem $>$ wonan 'six'
*teluh $>$ toru
*belih > wori
'three'
'buy'
Innovation 4: $t, s>s$
PAN
*bitil
*hutih > si
*hasem > sisasa
*basaq > wawasa

> 'hungry'
> 'penis'
> 'sour'
> 'wet'

Innovation 5: $k>\emptyset$

| PAN | Amba i |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *kutuh | $>$ Dutu | 'louse' |
| *kayuh | $>$ ¢ai | 'tree' |
| +kaw | > ¢au > wau | 'you (sg)' |

Innovation 6: $d, D, 1, R>r$

| PAN | Ambai |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * ${ }^{\text {baRuh }}$ | > weworu | 'new' |
| *Rumaq | $>$ romi | 'garde |
| *zalan | $>$ ran | 'path ' |
| *lima | > rin | 'five' |
| *Dalem | $>$ roron | 'inside' |
| *DuSa | $>\mathrm{ru}$ | 'two' |
| *daSun | > reraun | 'leaf' |

In innovation 9: $a, e l>e$ in Numfoor, $a$ in Buli; Ambai forms correspond to the Buli forms (as do the other Western Yapen languages). 8

Innovation 9: a, el $>\mathrm{a}$ in Buli and Ambai

| PAN | Ambai |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * tan em | > Sanam | 'to plant' |
| *wayeR | > waya | 'river' |
| *daqan | > arawan | 'branch' |
| *henem | > wonan | 'six' |

Ambai does not evidence syncope (innovation 12). Compare Biak and Ambai in the following examples:

| PAN | syncope | no syncope |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biak | Ambai |  |
|  | sna | ina | 'mother' |
| *tijan | sne | ene | 'abdomen' |
| *ma-takut | mkak | matai | 'afraid' |
| *likuD | kru | kuru | 'back' |
| *tariNah | kna | tara | 'ear' |
| *tama | kma | tama | 'father' |

Despite the differences between Biak and Ambai, however, it is evident that Blust's South Halmahera West New Guinea Group (SHWNG) group is upheld by the Ambai data. Further materials can be found in Appendix $B$. The present dissertation will be more concerned with presenting the Ambai material within a synchronic framework without referring to the entire Yapen or Sarera group at each point.

### 1.1.3.4. Current research

We turn next to a brief look at Ambai's relationships within what we will call the Western Yapen family (as opposed to the Eastern Yapen family consisting of Woriasi and Kurudu) based on research in which we have been engaged since 1977. ${ }^{9}$

As was mentioned before, Anceaux's survey of Yapen Island included some very short wordiists and many words not a part of Swadesh's 'basic' vocabulary. In an attempt to improve on Anceaux's wordlist and on the validity of the resultant cognate percentages, we compiled a list of over six hundred items which also included as many of Swadesh's $2 \emptyset \emptyset$ wordlist as were deemed elicitable and non-redundant (182). ${ }^{10}$ Based only on the Swadesh words . - thin the longer wordiist, we arrived at the cognate percentages found in figure 1.4. Figure 1.4 presents the cognate
percentage between Ambai and the other Yapen languages first as we counted them and second as per Anceaux, with Anceaux's figures in parentheses. (Note that Marau has been added as Anceaux had only thirty-one lexical items in his survey.

Figure 1.4: Ambai cognate percentages with other Yapen Island languages taken from personal research compared to Anceaux (Anceaux!s percentages in parentheses)

```
WOI
75 POM
(70)
73 78 MUNGGUI
(65)(75)
77 84 84 MARAU
(84)(1Ø0)(96)
70 77 83 82 PAPUMA
(71)(78) (82)(94)
69 62 % 63 67 65 WANDAMEN
(76)(63) (69)(65)(72)
77 73 7% 77 82 83 76 ANSUS
(81)(70) (71)(77)(87)(80)
64 68 72 72 73 68 59 67 BUSAMI
(61)(62) (65)(64)(64)(59)(54)
lcccccccc
69 62 61, %6 66 72 74 58 7% 77 AMBAI
(71)(59) (6.3:(7`)(66)(72)(74)(52)(85)
\begin{tabular}{lccccccc}
49 & 47 & 47 & 51 & 46 & 46 & 48 & 46 \\
\((49)(44)\) & \((47)\) & 48 & 49 \\
\((63)(43)(49)(47)(43)(51)(47)\)
\end{tabular} WABO
\begin{tabular}{llllllllllll}
46 & 44 & 44 & 47 & 43 & 48 & 44 & 42 & 44 & 43 & 69 & KURUDU
\end{tabular}
(50)(42) (42)(52)(42)(51)(49)(55)(54)(48)(75)
```

A comparison of our research with that of Anceaux reveals few startling differences. The major differences (e.g. Marau) are due to the extremely short wordlists taken by Anceaux in some languages. When the two sets of figures are analyzed in terms of 10\% statistical significance (cf. Simons 1977) the differences between the two sets disappear. Thus, despite the cursory nature of Anceaux's 1961 survey tine basic lexicostatistic subgroupings of Western Yapen (WY) versus Eastern Yapen are not changed by a more detailed study.

We conclude this section by presenting four diagnostic sound changes evidenced in Ambai as compared to other languages within the Western Yapen subgroup. These sound changes are related to PAN forms where possible, but are not always related to Blust's larger SHWNG group.

We have seen in 1.1.3.3 that Ambai and the other Western Yapen languages can be grouped with Biak in Blust's SHWNG group on the basis of innovations 2,4,5, and 6 (i.e. *e2 $>0$; *t $>\mathrm{s}$; $\left.*_{k}>\emptyset ; * D, * R, * 1>r\right)$ and that Ambai and the Western Yapen languages form a separate subgroup from Biak on the basis of Blust's innovations 9 and 12 (i.e. *a, *el $>$ WY a, Biak e; syncope). Next we will consider four distinctions made by Ambai within the Western Yapen group. The Proto Western Yapen (PWY) forms are based on only a preliminary study as the present work is more synchronically biased.

The four sets of sound correspondences given in this section serve to show some of the internal diversity within the closely related WY languages. The four sound changes are as follows:

```
1. Proto WY *C > Ambai \emptyset/__++
2. Proto WY *p > Ambai B
3. Proto WY *S > Ambai }
4. Proto WY *VkV > Ambai VkV, others VV
```

The first sound change concerns the loss of PWY final consonants in Ambai. The discussion of this change will be divided into those places where the consonant reappears in what Capell calls a 'thematic consonant' and those where it is lost absolutely,

PWY final *C is retained in transitive verbs in Wandamen. In Ambai and the other WY languages, however, PWY final $C$ is lost except when the verb is followed by the third person singular object suffix. We have posited the phonemic existence of these final consonants in chapter 2, but we present them as optional here since they are only evidenced in suffixed forms.
(2)

|  | 'pull' | 'pay' | 'hold' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wandamen | *pot | *bait | *rut |
|  | pot | bait | rut |
| Ansus | $p o(t)$ | bai(t) | ru(t) |
| Ambai | $p o(t)$ | bai (t) | ru(t) |
|  | 'wash ' | 'lick' |  |
| PWY | *ruais | *rarep |  |
| Wandamen | ruas | rep |  |
| Ansus |  | rare (p) |  |
| Ambai | ruai(s) | rere(p) |  |

Other words in this set in which Wandamen retains PAN firal $C$, but Ambai drops it are seen in (3).
(3)

|  | 'heavy' | 'four' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Wandamen | *marabat | *at |
| Ansus | marabat | $-a t$ |
| Ambai | memba | $-a$ |
|  | maraba | $-a$ |

The second sound change to be discussed deals with PWY *p which is reflected as Ambai / $\rho /$. PWY *p' is derived from a merger of PAN *b and *p. Only Serui Laut agrees with Ambai in this fricativization.
(4)

|  | 'pull' | 'grow' | 'wipe' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PWY | *pot | *tipu | *upis |
| Wandamen Ansus | pot | tipu | upis |
| Serui | po(t) | tipu | upi |
| Ambai | po(t) | tipu | upi |
|  | 'pull out' | 'back' | 'year' |
| Wandamen | *patin | *pui | *puida |
| Ansus | pati | pui | puda |
| Serui | patin | pui | puda |
| Ambai | patin | pui | puina |
|  | patin | pui | puina |

Ambai, along with Ansus and Serui Laut, is distinguished from Wandamen in that it reflects PWY *S as $\varnothing$. PWY *S is distinguished from PWY $* s$ by the $\emptyset$ reflexes of *s in Ambai, Ansus, and Serui-Laut. (We will not discuss PWY *s as it reflects as /s/ in all languages.)
(7)

|  | 'breast' | 'mother' | 'net' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PWY | *SuSu | *Sinia | *Seran |
| Wandamen | su | sinia | sera |
| Ansus | u | ina | eran |
| Serui | su | ina | eran |
| Ambai | ui | ina | eran |

Other PWY forms with *S include those in (8).
(8)

PWY
Wandamen
Ansus
Serui
Ambai
'moon'
*Sembai
sembai
yembai
embai
embai
'buttocks'
'bushknife'
*Sumbe sumbe umbe umbe umbe (Biak:sumber)

A few forms with PWY *S are later epended with /w-/ word initially in Ambai (9).
(9)

|  | 'baking dish' | 'straits' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| PWY | *Sirio | *Sora |
| Wandamen | sirio | sora |
| Ambai | woro | wora |

One further sound correspondence distinguishes Ambai from all the other WY languages: Ambai /VkV/: Wandamen, Ansus, Serui Laut /vv/. Examples are given in (10).
(10)

| PWY | 'digit' | 'water/river' |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Wandamen | kia | mareka |
| Ansus | kea | maria |
| Serui | kea | maria |
| Ambai | keka | marea |
|  |  | mareka |
|  |  |  |
| PWY | 'sing' | 'roki |
| Wandamen | roi | *kakeri |
| Ansus | roi | kaeri |
| Serui | roi |  |
| Ambai | roki |  |
|  |  |  |

We can summarize this cursory discussion of Ambai's subgrouping relationships in a tree diagram showing the phonological innovations discussec above. (Figure 1.5)

Figure 1.5: Phonological subgrouping evidence


### 1.2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Linguistic theories come and go with amazing alacrity, but certain constants remain. Writing this description of Ambai involved perusing many old and new theories for a model which would be intuitively satisfying and descriptively adequate. The ultimate choice of one theory over another may often be quite subjective and pressures from the theory currently in vogue may ultimately prove to be the deciding factor. In this section $I$ will explain some of the theoretical background of the current dissertation. I will attempt to introduce the models which will be further explained in the appropriate chapters following.

Apollonius Dyscolus (ca. $1 \emptyset \emptyset$ A.D.) is described by David Blank as insisting 'that each part of speech can be discussed with reference to its form or to its meaning' (Blank 1980:79). Bernard Comrie, in his most recent book 'Language universals and linguistic typology' (1981) defines three parameters for the adequate description of language: semantic roles, pragmatic roles, and grammatical relations (1981:51-63). Simon Dik, in his book Functional grammar (1978), defines these three parameters as follows:


#### Abstract

Semantic functions specify the roles which the referents of the terms involved play within the 'state of affairs' designated by the predication in which they occur. Pragmatic functions specify the informational status of the constituents within the wider communicative setting in which they occur. Syntactic functions specify the perspective from which the state of affairs is presented in the linguistic expression.


(1978:13)

These three discussions above all reflect the multifaceted nature of language. An adequate description of language must discuss not only the linguistic forms and their interaction, but also their relationships to meaning and to the pragmatics of the speech event.

The choice of a particular theory is usually difficult as each theory has its limitations as well as its genius. Kenneth L. Pike likens theories to tools and concludes that 'the value of a theory is determined by one's purposes and goals' (1967:7Ø). Pike also states that:
> a theory may be viewed rather broadly as a statement purporting to describe, or to explain, or to help one to understand a phenomenon. More narrowly, a theory may present a claim of truth, or assert the presence of relationships between phenomena, or predict the occurrence of phenomena.

(1967:68)

The present study of Ambai seeks to explain the various elements of Ambai phonology, morphology, and clause syntax in terms of their forms, their functions, and their selations to the speech event. The organization of the bulk of this dissertation
follows the strategy expressed by Jeffrey Heath (1978) as follows:

> our framework takes it as a major goal of grammatical theory to describe a set of functions which, by means of different combinations of formal units and with inevitable variations in
> (sociocultural) environmental detail, play
> fundamental roles in shaping the formal grammars of individual languages.
(1978:89)

Lest this function-based model result in a ir ption like the Latin-based models of past centuries, $A$ ath stresses that one 'must nevertheless patiently analyze the range of formal implementation of each obligatory function' (1978:92). Van Valin and Foley (1980), in their summary of what they call Role and Reference Grammar, state that:
> the formal properties of linguistic elements and constructions are not ignored in a functional approach; indeed, one of the major questions to be investigated is the relationship between (communicative) function and form, in particular, how the same form may have different functions and how the same function may be carried by different forms.

(1980:830)

The organization of this dissertation procedes 'from bottom to top'. Basic building blocks of sound are presented before morphology and syntax. In chapter 2 the sound system of Ambai is described in terms of both features and phonemes, morpheme-structure conditions, and phonological processes. The description owes much to K.L.Pike's tri-modal understanding of units as consisting of contrast, variation, and distribution (cf.

Pike 1947, 1967). The presentation of the sound system is cast in a generative phonology format, however, to make it more intelligible to the reader who is not acquainted with Pike's system.

In chapter 3 I introduce the open and the closed word classes found in Ambai and present an overview of Ambai word order constraints. The Ambai word classes are defined in terms of grammatical distinctions such as distribution, functional range, and categorization following Schachter (to appear). The common syntactic universals presented by Greenberg (1966) form the background for the short summary of Ambai word order at the end of chapter 3 .

Chapter 4 discusses the nominal elements in Ambai. These nominals form the basic arguments of the Ambai clause discussed in the remainder of the dissertation. In my discussion $I$ follow a hierarchical model in which the phrase is seen as an expansion of the word and both are used to label items or arguments (cf. Huttar 1963). The Noun Phrase is seen as centred around a nominal term which we call the referential core. The model I have followed is found in Oguri (1976). The referential core of the Noun Phrase is modified by optional Association, Qualification, and Quantification elements and by an obligatory Orientation element which locates the referential core in space.

The remaining three chapters $(5,6,7)$ discuss the Ambai clause. The clause expresses a predication consisting of arguments and a predicate. The descriptive model employed for the clause is taken from work by Olson (1982), Foley and Olson (in press), and Foley and Van Valin (to appear), on what they call the 'layered clause'. The model posits three layers within the
clause, with each layer embedded in the next higher layer as illustrated in the figure below:


The three clause layers are the NUCLEUS, the CORE, and the PERIPHERY. Each layer is modified by 'operators' (cf. Seuren 1969). The nucleus of the clause is the predicate, which by its logical and semantic content determines the arguments associated with it. The nucleus is modified by two nuclear operators: aspect and directionals. The next layer of the clause is the clause core, which consists of the nucleus, the nuclear operators, and the core arguments, i.e. the one or two obligatory arguments of the predicate. The core is modified by the core operator nodality. The final layer of the clause is the clause periphery, consisting of the non-core arguments of the predicate which express secondary participants such as beneficiary or the space and time setting of the predicate. The periphery is modified by the peripheral operators: status, tense, and illocutionary force. Each of these clause levels and their associated operators will be discussed in more detail in later sections.

Within the clause nucleus, i.e. the predicate, we follow the logical decomposition model presented in Foley and Van Valin (to appear). In this system each predicate can be broken down into certain minimal logical parts and can be discussed in terms of

Dowty's (1979) four verb classes (Stative, Achievement, Activity"。 Accomplishment). The logical decomposition of the predicate leads into a discussion of the semantic and syntactic functions of the nominal arguments in chapter 6. We empioy a two-part role system of Actor and Undergoer following Foley and Van Valin (and echoing Pike and Pike 1977) rather than the multiple cases of case grammar to discuss the core arguments. The syntactic relationships of Subject and objəct are defined on syntactic grounds. In chapter 7 I present the peripheral arguments in terms of the case-marking prepositions.

## 1. 3 SCOPE AND PURPOSE

The scope of this dissertation is limited to those aspects of the languages typically called phonology, morphology, and clause-level syntax. The decision to stop at the expanded clause or the simple sentence was motivated by pragmatic not theoretical reasons. While agreeing with Pike that ' the sentence is a totally inadequate starting or ending point' (1967:147) for linguistic studies, space and time considerations forced me to omit any analysis of such important areas as interclausal relations and the discourse constraints on clause-level syntax (ci. Grimes 1975,1978). This dissertation is also limited basically to the synchronic analysis of the Ambai dialect of the Ambai language as spoken by the male speakers who provided me with the data.

The purpose of the present work is to provide a synchronic description of the basic patterns of Ambai phonology, morphology, and syntax. It is hoped that the description of Ambai will further the understanding of the Yapen Island Austronesian languages in general as no detailed information in currently available in English regarding Ambai or the other Yapen languages.

NOTES

1 See also the recent contribution by Fatz (1978) which attempts
to reinterpret the older materials in terms of current linguistic theory. Also see Soeparno's 1977 dictionary.

2 Laycock's recent (1978) study of Mor should be mentioned here. Mor is, however, only distantly related to Ambai and thus falls outside the scope of this study.

3 The term Sarera is found in Koentjaraningrat and Bachtiar (1963:26-27). Blust uses the term in his 1978 article. The term will probably replace the older Dutch term 'Geelvink Baai'. The current Indonesian term is Teluk Cenderawasin which reflects the meaning of Geelvink, i.e. 'bird of paradise'.

4 Ambai is thus very close to Grace's 1976 oc boundary. Only Woriasi/Wabo and Kurudu occur between $s$ mbai and the Mamberamo River, which marks the boundary currently proposed. Sobei, near the town of Sarmi, is the first AN language east of the proposed border. In 1955 Grace put the boundary at 140 degrees east longitude. This was rtvised to 138-139 degrees in 1972. In 1976 Grace stated:

> It seems to be generally accepted that the languages of the west coast of New Guinea and of the Raja Empat Islands do not belong to the Oceanic subgroup. There also appears to be general agreement that the Austronesian languages of the eastern part of Irian Jaya, the vicinity of Jayapura and the Sarmi coast, are Oceanic. The uncertainty focuses on the Geelvink Bay languages. (1976:62)

Milke (1958) included the Sarera Bay area in Oceanic, but he revised his position in 1965 when he said,'The history of the Geelvink Bay languages seems to a considerable extent independent of that of the more eastern languages.' (1965:346 as quoted in Pawley 1974:176, fn. 5)

5 Data on population were extracted from the 1980 ćensus figures. The census does not give language use nor are there figures given per village, but rather per desa, a governmental unit which may contain several different villages speaking several different languages.

6 See Guy (1983) for a critical study of lericostatistics and glottochronology. I use the cognate percentages of Anceaux and those computed on the basis of personal research only to give a general picture in terms familiar to other linguists. Also see McElhanon (1971) regarding the classification problems specific to the greater New Guinea area.

7 The PAN forms are taken from either Blust (1978) or the Lopez forms from Wurm and Wilson (1975).

8 For example: Woi wonaN, Pom wonan, Munggui wonaN, Papuma onaN, Ansus wonan.

9 I am also endebted to unpublished materials by colleagues in the Proyek Kerjasama Universitas Cenderawasih - Summer Institute of Linguistics. These materials include all references listed under Saggers, Ongkodharma, and Flaming as well as the survey of Yapen Island undertaken with Dr. D.C.Ajamiseba reported in Silzer and Ajamiseba (1981) and Ajamiseba and Silzer (to appear).

10 Words omitted from the Swadesh list were: and, animal, bark ('skin'), because, dust ('ashes'), few, freeze, guts, hunt ('seek'), husband ('man'), ice, if, leg ('foot'), river ('water'), rotten ('bad'), seed ('stone'), snow, some, wife ('woman'). Words which were modified for the survey were: blow (wind) > blow (flute), cold (weather) > cold (water), cut > cut (grass), feather > body hair, in> inside, meat > body. Two words were difficult to elicit satisfactorily: heart, liver.(cf. Laycock $197 \varnothing$ and Ezard 1977).




## Chapter 2. PHONOLOGY

## 2.ø INTRODUCTION

The sound system of Ambai is a fairly straightforward Austronesian one. The eleven consonants and five vowels posited have few allophones or variants. Nevertheless, as the system has not previously been described, we present a summary of the word-level phonology in this chapter. The description of the Ambai sound system employs three basic concepts (cf. Pike 1967):<br>1. the existence of certain basic elements<br>2. the syntagmatic and paradigmatic relations between the basic elements<br>3. the variations of the basic elements

### 2.1 THE BASIC ELEMENTS

### 2.1.1 Distinctive features

- Ten features have been found to present a simple and complete description of the systematic phonemes of Ambai. The features are presented in a binary display as this convention will prove useful in later discussions of Morpheme Structure conditions and p-rules. The ten features include two major class features: syllabic and sonorant; one manner of articulation feature: continuant; one source feature: voice; and five cavity features: labial, coronal, high, low, and back. Figure 2.1 presents the distinctive feature composition of the Ambai phonemes.

Figure 2.1: Distinctive features of Ambai systematic phonemes stops

|  | non-nasal nasal | fricatives | vowels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | p t $k \mathrm{~b}$ dm m | Pbs $\mathrm{r}^{\text {c }}$ | i e a o u |
| syllabic | - - - - - - | - - - | + + + + + |
| sonorant | + + | + | + + + + + + |
| continuant | - | + + + + | + + + + + + |
| voice | - - - + + + + | $-+-+$ | + + + + + |
| labial | + - - + - + - | + + - |  |
| coronal | -+--+ + | $--++$ |  |
| nasal | - - - - + + | high | + - - - + |
|  |  | low | - - + - |
|  |  | back | $--++$ |

The distinctive features differentiate sixteen phonemes in Ambai. The majcr class syllabic divides the eleven consonants from the five vowels. Sonorant divides the eight obstruents $/ p, t, k, b, d, p, b, s /$ and the eight sonorants $/ m, n, r, i, e, a, o, u /$. The
eight obstruents are further. separated by the manner feature continuant into five stops $/ p, t, k, b, d /$ and three fricatives $/ p, b, s /$. The obstruent stops are divided by the source feature voice into three voiceless stops /p,t,k/ and two voiced stops $/ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d} /$. The obstruents are further divided by the cavity feature iabial and coronal into three sets: [-labial, +coronal]/t,d,s/; [-labial, -coronal] $/ k / ;$ and [+labial, -coronal] /p,b, p, $/$. The sonorants are divided by the major class feature syllabic into sonorant consonants $/ m, n, r /$ and sonorant vowels /i,e,a,o,u/. The non-syllabic sonorants are divided by the manner feature. continuant into two stops $/ \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} /$ and one continuant $/ \mathrm{r} /$. The sonorant vowels are differentiated on the basis of the cavity features high, low, and back.

A lack of symmetry may be noted in the Ambai consonants if we display them on a traditional phonetic chart as in Figure 2.2. In section 2.3 we will see that allophones of thie basic phonemes fill in some of the velar positions, e.g. /k/ --> [g] and [h], and $/ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{-}$ - $[\mathrm{N}]$ (i.e. the velar nasal). ${ }^{1}$

Figure 2.2: Phonetic chart of Ambai consonants

2.1.2 Contrastive sets

The voiceless and voiced obstruents contrast in the following sets of words:

> /p/ vs./b/
> word medial /tupa/ '2s.rise'
> /tuba/ '2s.leave'
> /P vs. b/
> word initial /pi/ 'thing'
> /bibin/ 'woman'
> word medial /nopi/ 'dipper'
> /ubi/ ''yam'
word initial /paria/ 'unsuccessful' /pia-/ 'twenty'
/bari/ '2s.rise' /bia/ 'twenty'
/t/ vs. /d/
word initial /taua/ '2s.fall' /totoru/ 'lin.trial'
/da/ '3s.walk' /dotu/'3s.sound'
word medial $\begin{aligned} & \text { /antarin/ tree species } \\ & \text { /andari/ 'mango' }\end{aligned}$

The obstruent stops and fricatives contrast in the following sets.

```
/p/ vs. /p/ /p/ has a limited distribution and seldom occurs word medially before /o/ or /u/.
word initial /pinan/ 'large'
/pian/'food'
word medial /neopi/ 'gall'
/nopi/ 'dipper'
```

/b/ vs/ /b/
word initial /bia/ '2s. descend' /bioai/ 'Lorius sp.'
word medial /aburun/ 'piece'
/baburu/ 'body hair'
/t/ vs. /s/
word initial /taua/ '2s.fall'
-
/saua/ '3s.fall'
word medial /antun/ 'child'
/ansun/ 'clothes'
1

The alveolar [-labial, +coronal] sounds contrast in the following sets.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { word initial /t/ /tan/ '2s.arrange' } \\
& \text { /r/ /ran/ 'path' } \\
& \text { /a/ /dan/ '3s.eat' } \\
& \text { /s/ /san/ '3s.arrange' } \\
& \text { /n/ /nan/ palm type } \\
& \text { word medial /t/ /tota/ '2s.dismantle' } \\
& \text { /r/ /tora/ 'lin.tr.walk' } \\
& \text { /a/ /bodai/ } 2 \mathrm{~s} . \text { tall' } \\
& \text { /s/ /tosai/ 'lin.tr.weep' } \\
& \text { /n/ /tonai/ 'lin.tr.reside' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The two sonorant stops (henceforth called 'nasals' contrast
in the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /moi/ palm type /aman/ 'his buttocks' } \\
& \text { /noi/ 'knife' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The three'fricatives contrast in the following sets:


```
                                    /s/ /sasera/ '3s. seek continually'
word medial \(/ \mathrm{P} /\) /sisega/ 'very full'
/b/ /kȧ̇eba/ very full
/lightning'
/s/ /andesa/
```

The vowels contrast in word initial, medial, and final position in the following sets:

```
word initial /i/ /ira/ 'ls.walk'
    le/ /era/ '3pl.walk'
    /e/ /embai/ 'moon'
    /a/ /ambai/ language name
    /a/ /arora/ 'empty'
    lo/ loropa/ family name
    /u/ /uropan/ language name
word medial /i/ /dira/ 'narrow'
    le/ /terai/ 'only'
    /a/ /taral 'lin.pl.walk'
    /o/ /toral 'lin.tr.walk'
    /u/ /tural 'lin.dj.walk'
    /i/ /riraun/ 'smoke'
    /e/ /reraun/ 'leaf'
    /i/ /rin/ 'five
    /e/ /ren/ 'blade'
    /a/ /ran/ 'path
    /o/ /ron/ 'ironwood'
word final /i/ /miti/ '3s.leaks' :
    /e/ /pite/ 'sago strainer'
    /a/ /sita/ '3s.peel(s.t).
    lo/ /mitol '3s.run'
    /u/ /mitu/ '3s.strong'
    /i/ /uori/ '2s. buy'
    /e/ /uore/ 'fence'
    /a/ /uoral 'narrows (n.)'
```


### 2.2 MORPHEME-STRUCTURE CONDITIONS

## 2.2.b Introduction

The phonemes of Ambai have been described in 2.1 .1 in terms of basic elements; i.e distinctive features. Now we will describe the relations between and among the elements in terms of what Stanley has called Morpheme Structure (MS) conditions (1967:424ff.). MS conditions are, according to Stanley's use of the term, exclusively redundancy rules which do not change features nor operate across morpheme boundaries as do Phonological rules. In this section we will discuss 1) the canonical shape of the word in Ambai (2.2.1), 2) sequence structure conditions (2.2.2), and 3 ) segment structure conditions (2.2.3).

### 2.2.1.. Syllabification

Syllable breaks occur between any sequence of a vowel plus a vowel of the same height or lower; between two consonants; or following a vowel if. that vowel is followed by $C V$. A sequence of a vowel plus a higher vowel is analysed as a diphthong as stress can occur on only the initial member of the sequence. The following examples show each of these conditions.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { V.V /di.an/ 'fish' } & \text { /bo.a/ '2s.rise' } \\
. V V . ~ / d a i / ~ ' m y ~ f a t h e r ' ~ / n o i / ~ ' k n i f e ' ~
\end{array}
$$

2.2.1.1 Stress

The statement on stress placement depends on the syllabification processes above. Thr . major. stress pattern in Ambai is penultimate. Major stress is penultimate. Secondary stress occurs two syllables before major stress. These two rules are illustrated in (1) below.
(1)


Examples of this major and secondary stres pattern are presented in (2). We note that diphthongs, although seen as one syllable, attract stress.
(2)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { /'ran.do/ } & \text { 'banana' } \\
\text { /'a.to/ } & \text { 'arrow' } \\
\text { /a.'ri.kan/ } & \text { 'child' } \\
\text { /"po.'to.ru/ } & \text { 'three' } \\
\text { /"bi.ran.'di.ma/ 'bitter' } & \text { 'mi.nin/ } \\
\text { /"rellow' } \\
\text { /"inan.ku.'kenan/ 'first } \\
\text { 'chicken' }
\end{array}
$$

The major stress rule is not affected by the addition of most postclitics; i.e stress does not move when clitics are added.
(3)

```
/'munu ne-i/
house NE-sg.
'the house'
```

(4)

> /'reti am.pa/
ls.see PERF 'I already saw'
(5)
/'bam.pi pa.nai/
2s.eat PROHIBITIVE 'Don't eat'
(6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /'bam.pi to/ } \\
& \text { 2s.eat IMP }
\end{aligned}
$$

'Eat!
(7)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { /ibo.ti ma/ } \\
2 \mathrm{s.see} \\
\text { INT }
\end{gathered}
$$

'Look here'

Question marker -re and the demonstratives, however, cause stress to shift. Thus:
(8)

```
/'i-u.num/ 'I drink'
/'i-u.nu.mi/ 'I drink it'
/i-u.'nu.mi re/ 'Shall I drink it?'
```

(9)

| /'munu/ | 'house' |
| :--- | :---: |
| /'munu ne-i/ |  |
| house NE-sg | 'the house' |
| /niunu 'nin-i/ |  |
| house NIN-sg | 'this house' |

The má:or stress rule has two minor exceptions which will be explained in the following paragraphs.

First, there exists a closed set of nouns in Ambai ending in -Ci which exhibit antepenultimate stress. The set is limited to fish, animal, and plant names and may be explained as originating from earlier forms ending in a consonant which is no longer permitted to occur in word final position. The final/i/ is seen
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as epenthesis and the stress, rule ignores the newly created syllable. Examples of the set include the following:


(10)

| /a.'mu.ma.ri/ | 'fly (n.) (cf. Wandamen amumar) |
| :--- | :--- |
| /a.'du.ru.mi/ | shell type |
| /po.'da.mi.ri/ | fish type |
| /"am.pa.'pu.re.mi/ fish type |  |

Second, it is convenient to posit ultimate stress for a closed set of transitive verbs to explain the vowel changes which occur with the affixation of the Subject prefix and the stress shifts which occur with the addition of the third person singular object suffix. These processes will be discussed in more detail in 5.1 .

### 2.2.1.2 The canonicai shape of the Ambai word

The canonical shape of the word in Ambai may be expressed in terms of 'positive corlitions' as proposed by Stanley (1967). The word in Ambai is the domain of one main stress, which is accompanied by non-distinctive vowel length and is bordered by 'potential' pause. The shape of the word in Ambai can be formulated as follows:

```
++ (Sl) 'S (S2) ++
```

In the above formula ++ stands for potential pause, (Sl) stands for up to fours optional syllables preceding main stress, and 's stands for the syllable receiving main or primary stress and (S2) stands for zerc to two syllables following the stressed syllable. Ambai words may consist of from one to seven syllables. The syllable in Ambai may be summarized as (Cl) $V(C 2)$ where $C l$ is any consonant and C 2 is $/ \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{P} /$. The combinations of syllables into words will be explained further below. Further expressions of the formula include the following generalizations:
a. a word consists minimally of $V$

> /i/ 'he,she,it'
/u/ 'comb (n.)'
b. a word may contain vowel sequences
(which will be explained in 2.2.2.2 below)
/ai/ 'tree'
/rau.'re.si/ fish type
c. a word may begin with $V, V C 2, C l V$, or ClVC2

V /a.'na.na/ 'ant'
VC2 /um.be/ 'bushknife'
CIV /mu.nu/ 'house'
clvc2 /fan.do/ 'banana'
d. a word may end in either $C 2$ or $V$

C2 /'tanam/ 'to plant'
/'eran/ 'net'
/rut/ 'to hold'
/harir/ 'to make'
/ruais/ 'to wash'
/'rerep/ 'to lick'
v /da/ '3s.walk'
e. the maximum sequence of $C$ is $C 2 C l$ and this sequence occurs only across syllable boundaries word medially. /am.pa/ 'shell armband' /ran.do/ 'banana' /an.sun/ 'clothes'

Further limitations to consonant sequences will be discussed in (2.2.2.1).

Given the canonical shape of the Ambai word, we turn to syllabification and stress placement.

### 2.2.2 Sequence structure conditions

Sixteen phonemes have been posited for Ambai. In this section we will present Morpheme Structure (MS) conditions which characterize the Ambai sound system in regard to consonant sequences, vowel sequences, and final consonants (i.e. consonant plus word boundary sequences). The MS conditions are stated as 'If-Then conditions' following stanley (1967).

### 2.2.2.1 Consonant sequences

Consonant sequences in Ambai are limited to word medial position and to a maximum of two consonants. Within morpheme boundarjes the two consonants are further limited in that the first consonant must be a nasal (i.e. sonorant stop) and the
second must be a non-nasal obstruent with the same labial feature. These conditions may be summarized as in (12).
(12)

If [-syllabic] [-syllabic]
Then $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { +sonorant } \\ - \text { continuant } \\ \text { labial }\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}\text {-sonorant } \\ \text { labial }\end{array}\right]$

Sequences permitted thus include the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /mp/ /ampa/ 'shell armband' } \\
& \text { /mb/ /embai/ 'moon' } \\
& \text { /nt/ /antun/ 'child' } \\
& \text { /nd/ /rando/ 'banana' } \\
& \text { /ns/ /ansun/ 'clothes' } \\
& \text { /nk/ /uonkan/ 'board' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Across morpheme boundaries ( + ) consonant sequences are limited to morpheme final /n/ plus any consonant. Later allophonic changes which occur are described in 2.3 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { /n+s/ /uanan+sai/ } & \text { ' wind' } \\
/ n+m / & \text { /uanan+muran/ 'east wind' } \\
/ n+b / \text { /uanan+ba/ } & \text { 'north wind' } \\
/ n+p / & / \text { uanan+pui/ 'west wind' } \\
/ n+n / \text { /uanan+ne/ } & \text { 'the wind' } \\
\text { /n+r/ /man+rirau/ } & \\
\text { /n+k/ /married man' } \\
/ n+t / \text { /man+kukei/ 'chicken' } \\
\text { /n+d/ /man+doi/ } & \text { 'who?' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.2.2.2 Vowel sequences

Five vowels have been posited for Ambai. They may occur in sequences of up to five vowels. Most vowel sequences are not homogeneous, but sequences of same vowels are evidenced in a few words such as /aai/ 'my mother' and /uu.'ai/ 'mountain' in which the intervocalic /u/ is interpreted as the semivowel [w]. Thus, /uu.ai/ --> [u.wai].

### 2.2.2.3 Consonant plus boundary

Morpheme final and word final consonants have certain limitations of occurrence. The only consonants which can occur before morpheme boundary ( + ) are $/ t, p, s, m, r, n /$. Of these the final member $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is the only morpheme-final consonant which occurs in words other than transitive verbs. The first five consonants in this set may be associated with what capell calls 'thematic consonants' which are normally lost except when a suffix is added. (1976b:241). Examples of these consonants are listed in (13).
(13)

| /t/ | /rabit+/ | 'to pull' | ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /p/ | /rerept/ | 'to lick' | (rere++) |
| /s/ | /ruaist/ | 'to wash' | (ruait+) |
| /m/ | /tanam+/ | 'to plant' | (tanan++) |
| /r1 | /narir+/ | 'to make' | (nari++) |
| /n/ | /man+/ | 'male' | (narit+) |

The Ambai sound system restricts word-final consonants to only /n/. Thus, MS condition (14).
(14)

If [-syllabic] ++
Then $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { +sonorant } \\ \text { +coronal } \\ \text {-continuant }\end{array}\right]$

Examples of word-final /n/ are given below.
(15)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { /uanan++/ } & \text { 'wind' } \\
\text { /rotan++/ } & \text { 'bag' }
\end{array}
$$

In 2.3 we will see that word-final $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is evidenced as the velar nasal [N].

### 2.2.3 Segment structures

The distinctive feature dis?lay in Figure 2.1 delineates each of the systematic phonemes of Ambai. It does not, however, highlight the generalizations specific to the Ambai sound system. Segmental 'If-Then' conditions will be used to specify the redundant features which follow from other features in the same segment.
2.2.3.1 Consonants

11 consonants in Ambai are [-syllabic], i.e. there are no syllabic consonants.

All sonorant consonants $(/ m, n, r /)$ are redundantly voiced.
(16)

If $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text {-syllabic } \\ + \text { sonorant }\end{array}\right]$

Then [+voiced]

All voiceless consonants (/p,t,k,p,s/) are redundantly obstruant: although not all obstruants are voiceless (/b,d,b/).
(17)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { If }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text {-syllabic } \\
\text {-voiced }
\end{array}\right] \\
\text { Then }[\text {-sonorant }]
\end{array}
$$

No nasals are velar (18):
(18)

If $\left[\begin{array}{l}+ \text { sonorant } \\ \text {-continuant }\end{array}\right]$

$$
\text { Then } *\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text {-labial } \\
\text {-coronal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

The only segment specified as [+son,-syl,+cont] is the liquid /r/, which is also redundantly marked [-lab, +cor, +voc].
(19)


Then $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text {-labial } \\ \text { +coronal } \\ \text { +voiced }\end{array}\right]$

A voiceless continuant is redundantly an obstruant (20):
(20)

$$
\text { If }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { tcontinuant } \\
\text {-voiced }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then [-sonorant]

The only consonant marked $[-1 a b,-c o r]$ is $/ k /$, which is redundantly marked [-con,-voc].
(21)

$$
\text { If }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text {-syllabic } \\
\text {-labial } \\
\text {-coronal }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text {-continuant } \\ \text {-voiced }\end{array}\right]$

### 2.2.3.2 Vowel.s

All vowels are redundantly voiced sonorants; i.e. there are no voiceless vowels in Ambai (22).
(22)

> If $[$ +syllabic $]$ Then $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { +sonorant } \\ \text { +voiced }\end{array}\right]$

The only vowel with the feature specifications [+syl, +low] is /a/, which is redundantly [-high, +back] (23).
(23)

$$
\text { If }\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syllabic } \\
+l o w
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\mathrm{high} \\
+ \text { back }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Front vowels in Ambai are redundantly marked [-low] (24):
(24)

$$
\text { If }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { +syllabic } \\
-b a c k
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then [-low]

### 2.3 PHONOLOGICAL RULES

## 2.3.b Introduction

The sound system of Ambai includes units (2.1) which are arranged in certain restricted orders (2.2). These units also interact with each other. In traditional phonology the basic units, phoneaes, are said to have certain allophones in particular environments. In Generative Phonology features of the
systematic phonemes are said to change under certain conditions. The changes are expressed in terms of p-rules. Such changes may be considered as an aspect of the variation or manifestation mode used by Pike. Stanley states that 'P-rules may change feature values $\stackrel{\circ}{\prime}$. .they may permute segments' (1976:398).

Phonological changes or processes observed in Ambai may be summarized in the form of the following prrules which are separated into those involving syllable structure processes (2.3.1), word-medial processes (2.3.2), and word-final processes (2.3.3), and reduplication processes (2.3.4).

### 2.3.1 Syllable structure processes

The two high vowels /i./ and /u/ are realized a's semivowels intervocalically and preceding vowels word initially. These processes can be summarized in the rule (25).
(25)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syllabic } \\
+ \text { high }
\end{array}\right] \quad-\quad[- \text { syl }] /\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{[+ \text { syl }]} \\
++
\end{array}\right\}-[+ \text { syl }]
$$

Thus the following examples (26).
(26)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { /++i+isan/ } & --> & \text { [yisaN] 'ls. spear' } \\
\text { /maiari/ } & --> & \text { [mayari] '2s. desire it' } \\
\text { /++uanan/ } & --> & \text { [wanaN] 'wind' } \\
\text { /maua/ } & --> & \text { [mawa] 'easy' }
\end{array}
$$

In the case that a word has two high vowels word initially, the intervocalic shift must be ordered before the word-initial shift as illustrated in (27).
(27)
/uuai/ $-\rightarrow \underset{\text { [uwai] }}{ } \quad$ 'mountain'

### 2.3.2 Word-medial processes

Word-medial processes have to do with assimilation and reduction. In consonant sequences assimilation occurs if the initial nasal differs from the following consonant in place of articulation (2.3.2.1), voicing (2.3.2.2), or continuance (2.3.2.3). Two other phonemes undergo types of weakening assimilation: /k/ (2.3.2.4) and /e/ (2.3.2.5). A sequence of two nasals undergoes reduction (2.3.2.6). The postclitics -rampa 'PERFECT TENSE' and -re 'QUESTION MARKER' undergo several phonological processes seen in (2.3.2.7)-(2.3.2.9).

### 2.3.2.1 Assimilation to point of articulation

The phoneme /n/ assimilates to the same point of articulation as the following consonant or semivowel.
(28)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { son } \\
- \text { cont } \\
+ \text { cor }
\end{array}\right] \quad-\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \text { cor } \\
\beta \\
\beta
\end{array}\right] / \ldots+\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { syl } \\
\alpha \text { cor } \\
\beta \text { lab }
\end{array}\right]
$$

This assimilation process is illustrated in (29) in which the systematic phonemic representation is presented on the left side and the ultimate phonetic representation appears on the right side.

男
(29)
$\pm$

4
(31)

$$
\left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}
- \text { syl } \\
\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { con } t \\
+ \text { lab }
\end{array}\right]\right\} \\
{[+ \text { son }}
\end{array}\right]\right\} \quad \rightarrow-\operatorname{l-cont]/[\begin{array} {l}
{-\text {syl}}\\
{+\text {son}}\\
{-\text {cont}}
\end{array} ](+)}
$$

This process is seen in the following examples.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { /wanam+pui/ } & \text { [-> } & \text { [wanampui] } \tag{32}
\end{array} \text { 'west wind' }
$$

This rule is also extrinsically ordered with ${ }^{+}$' rule concerning assimilation to point of articulation. Thus. /wanan+pui/ 'west wind' can be realized as the correct form [wanampui] by applying either rule first: /wanan+pui/ $\rightarrow->$ [wanampui] or /wanam+pui/ --> [wanampui].

### 2.3.2.4 /k/ $\rightarrow$ - h$]$

The phoneme /k/ is realized as [h] intervocalically between two high vowels or between a non-high vowel and any other vowel.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { syl } \\
-v o c \\
- \text { cont } \\
-l a b \\
- \text { cor }
\end{array}\right] \quad-\rightarrow \quad[+ \text { cont }] \quad\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
+ \text { syl } \\
+ \text { high }
\end{array}\right]} & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { +syl } \\
+ \text { high }
\end{array}\right]} \\
{[+ \text { syl] }} & {[+s y l]}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The conditioning factors, while not simple, are evidenced in many words. The most common occurrence of the $/ k /$ to $[h]$ shift is in $/ k /-i n i t i a l$ verb stems which receive the Subject prefixes
illustrated in (33). (Note that the final /r/ of the verb is deleted before pause.)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { /+kar/ } & & & \text { 'to take' }  \tag{33}\\
\text { /i+kar! } & -\infty & \text { [ika] 'ls. take' } \\
\text { /e+kər/ } & -\infty & \text { [धha] '3pl.take' }
\end{array}
$$

The first person possessive suffix /-ku/ is always realized as [-hu]as it is always preceded by either a high vowel or a low vowel, both of which condition the shift to $[h]$ :
(34)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { /nu+ku/ } & --> & \text { [nuhu] 'my head' } \\
\text { /wara+ku/ } & -\infty & \text { [warahu] 'my hand' }
\end{array}
$$

The Bahasa Indonesia word paku 'nail' Becomes Ambai [pahu] by this same process.

## $2.3 .2 .5 / e /$--> [ $\varepsilon]$

The front mid vowel /e/, which is phonetically tense, becomes [-tense] ([ $\varepsilon]$ ) preceding sonorañt ..un-syllabics (i.e. $m, n, r)$, in unstressed syllables, and before a juncture (i.e. + or ++).
(35)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { /te'kende++/ } & -\infty & \text { [tehende] } & \text { 'steps' } \\
\text { /u're+mu/ } & -\infty & \text { [urEmu] } & \text { '2s.eyes' } \\
\text { /'peran/ } & -\infty & \text { [peran] } & \text { '2s.cut' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.3.2.6 [w] epenthesis

The semivowel [w] is inserted between two low vowels when they occur across morpheme boundaries.
(36)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { da }+ \text { a-rei } \rightarrow \text { [dawarei] '3s walked landwards' } \\
& \text { 3s-ra/ } \\
& \text { 3salk }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3.2.7 Reduction of consonant sequences

The first nasal of a sequence of two nasals across $a$ morpheme boundary is lost.
(37)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{syl} \\
+ \text { son } \\
-\operatorname{con} t
\end{array}\right] \quad-\infty / \ldots+\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{syl} \\
+\operatorname{son} \\
-\operatorname{con} t
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, the following examples:
(38)
/uanam+muran' $-->$ [wanamuraN] 'east wind'
/uanan+nei/ --> [wananei] 'the wind'

We note again that this rule unordered in relation to the assimilation to point of articulation rule. If the reduction rule applies first, there is no need to assimilate. If the assimilation rule applies first, the reduction rule still applies.

Sequences of two non-nasal consonants resulting from the presence of post-clitics -rampa 'PERFECT TENSE' and -re 'QUESTION MARKER' are both deleted.
(39)

| /rabit + rampa/ | $\rightarrow-$ | [rabi-ampa] | '2s already pulled' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /rabit + re/ | $\rightarrow->$ | [rabi-e] | 'Did you pull?' |
| /medur + rampa/ | $\rightarrow>$ | [medu-ampa] | '3s already spoke' |
| /medur + re / | $\rightarrow->$ | [medu-e] | 'Did he speak?' |

### 2.3.2.8 /r/ --> [y]

The initial /r/ of the postclitics -rampa and -re are realized as [y] when preceded by a sequence of /Vi/ or /Vki/ [Vhi] in a process of palatalization which will also be seen in the Subject prefixation in 5.1.3.1.2.
(40)

| /wairoi + rampa | --> | [wairoi-yampa] | 'already far' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /wairoi + re/ | --> | [wairoi-ye] | 'Is it far?' |
| /roki + rampa/ | --> | [rohi-rympa] | '3s already sang' |
| /roki +re/ | --> | [rohi-ye] | 'Did he sing?' |

## $2.3 .2 .9 / r / \rightarrow \emptyset$

The initial $/ r /$ of the post-clitics -rampa and re is deleted following /Ci/ where $c \neq / k /$ or following any vowel except/i/.
(41)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /kuramati +re/ } \rightarrow \text { [kuramati-e] 'Did you scratch it?' } \\
& / \text { mito }+ \text { re/ } \rightarrow \text { [mito-e] 'Did he run?' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3.3 Word-Einal processes

Any non-nasal consonant permitted by MS conditions to sacur in morpheme-final position is deleted before word boundary (++).
(42)


Thus, the non-nasal morpheme-final consonants posited for transitive verbs are lost when not followed by a suffix.
(43)

$$
\begin{array}{lllr}
\text { /rut+/ ++ } & -\rightarrow & \text { [ru] } & \text { '2s.hold' } \\
\text { /narir+/ ++ } & --> & \text { [nari] } & \text { '2s.make' } \\
\text { /ruais+/ ++ } & -> & \text { [ruai] } & \text { '2s.wash' } \\
\text { /rerep+/ ++ } & -> & \text { [rerE] } & \text { 2s.lick' }
\end{array}
$$

A nasal is realized as the velar nasal [N] before word boundary (++).
(44)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { syl } \\
+ \text { son } \\
- \text { cont }
\end{array}\right] \quad \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
-1 \mathrm{lab} \\
-\operatorname{cor}
\end{array}\right] /[++
$$

Thus, /tanamt/ becomes [tanaN] 'to plant' and/ran/ becomes [raN] 'path' when not suffixed.

In the rest of this description /f/ will stand for /p/ and $/ \mathrm{v} /$ for $/ \mathrm{b} /$. Morphophonemic variants will be listed in their phonetic forms and the semivowels $[w]$ and $[y]$ will be written.

### 2.3.4 Reduplication processes

Ambai manifests a productive pattern of partial reduplication affecting nominals and verbs. (The semantics of the reduplication will be discussed in chapters 4 and 5.) The Ambai phonological pattern of partial reduplication consists of the initial consonant of the stressed syllable plus either /a/, /i/. or le/ depending on the vowel found in the stressed syllable. Reduplication immediately precedes stress, i.e. it is left of stress. This pattern is illustrated in (45).
(45)
(CV) $C l\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \\ i \\ e\end{array}\right\} \quad \mathrm{Cl} V(\mathrm{~V})(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{s}$

The vowel of the reduplicated syllable is conditioned by the vowel of the stressed syllable as follows in (46).

| Reduplication | Stressed syllable |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cl a | Cl $V[-l o w] / i, e, o, u /$ |
| Cl i | Cl $V[+b a c k] / a, o, u /$ |
| Cl e | Cl $V[+1 o w] / a /$ |

We note that some vowels in the stressed syllable may condition more than one form of reduplication, e.g. /a/ is both [+back] and [+low] and can condition either/i/ or /e/ in the reduplicated
syllable. Examples of each of the three vowel variations in reduplication are presented in (47) - (49).

| (47) Ca |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'feran | 'to cut' ---> fa'feran 'to cut repeatedly' |
| 'sera | 'to seek' ---> sa'sera 'to keep seeking' |
| 'boi | 'to hit' ---> ba'boi 'to keep hitting' |
| 'roban | 'to cut down' ---> ra'roban 'to fell many things |
| ' kutu | 'to cut through' ---> ka'kutu 'to cut through' |
| fo'bera | 'to pull' $-->$ foba'bera 'to keep pulling' |
| ma'reka | 'to die' ---> mara'reka 'to be exhausted' |
| mi'risin | 'to be happy' $-\cdots$ - mira'risin 'to be very happy' |

(48) Ce
'fatin 'to pull out' $-\ldots$ fe'fatin 'to pull out
many things'
'baur 'to split' $-\ldots$ be'baur 'to split many
things'
(49) Ci

| 'bua | 'white' | $-->$ | bi'bua 'greyish' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rei'fofa 'tiny' | $-->$ | reifi'fofa 'very tiny' |  |
| ra'buan 'middle' | $-->$ | rabi'buan 'approximately |  |
| the middle' |  |  |  |

NOTES

1 Patz suggests that Numfoor-Biak /n/ has a velar variant only before /g/ (1978:143).

2 Ambai has an alveo-palatal consonant [tš] which occurs only in
third person plural forms in certain idiolects. The form results from the palatalization of the / $亡 /$ phoneme following the high front vowel /i/: /itoru ---> [tצoru] 'they plural'

## Chapter 3. WORD CLASSES

## 3.ø INTRODUCTION

Ambai distinguishes two open word classes and ten closed classes of words based on the grammatical distinctions of distribution, functional range, and categorization. The open and closed classes are defined in this chapter and will be referred to throughout the remaining chapters of this study (cf. Schachter (to appear)).

Open classes are defined by Robins as those classes 'whose membership is in principle unlimited, varying from time to time, and between one speaker and another' (1964:230). Such open classes need to be defined in the lexicon of the language and fail to fall into neat semantic categories. Two open classes of words exist in Ambai: noins and verbs. These open classes will be discussed and further subdivided in 3.1 .

Closed classes are defined by Robins as those classes or sets of words which 'contain a fixed and usually small number of member words, which are the same for all the speakers of the language, or the dialect' (1964:23ø). Closed classes are specified within the grammar, not the lexicon, and can often be negatively specified, e.g. first versus second versus third


#### Abstract

person in the pronominal system. Ambai contains ten closed classes of words: proforms such as pronouns and interrogatives (3.2.1), adjectives (3.2.2), adverbs (3.2.3), noun adjuncts (including prepositions and numerals (3.2.4), conjunctions (3.2.5), clitics (3.2.6), the copula (3.2.7), the possessive particle (3.2.8), negators (3.2.9), and interjections (3.2.10). Each of kiase classes will be defined and illustrated in the rest of this chapter.


### 3.1 OPEN CLASSES

The two open classes of words in Ambai are nouns and verbs. Each of these two classes contains a large number of lexical items and is theoretically unlimited as new forms are constructed or borrowed. The nouns and verbs in Ambai form the backbone of all predications: nouns are arguments or predicates and verbs are predicates. Various syntactic features may be used to define each class. In this section we first define and illustrate nouns (3.1.1) and then verbs (3.1.2). These two basic classes will be further discussed along with their associated closed classes in chapter 4 on the Noun Phrase and chapter 5 on the Clause Nucleus.

### 3.1.1 Nouns

Nouns in Ambai are defined as words which can function as heads of arguments, e.g. subject of predicate, object of predicate, complement of the copula. Figure 3.1 summarizes the subtypes of nouns in Ambai.

## Figure 3.1: Noun subclasses in Ambai



Semantically, nouns refer to animate and inanimate entities. Nouns can be further divided into common and proper nouns. Common nouns divide into mass and count nouns. Count nouns are further specified as being either animate or inanimate. The possession clasees alienable and inalienable relate to common nouns as will be seen in chapter 4.
3.1.1.1 Common Nouns

Common nouns in Ambai are defined as those nouns which must take determiners and which may be modified by qualifying verbs and adjectives and by quantifiers. Common nouns include mass and count nouns, animate and inanimate nouns.

Mass nouns are defined as those common nouns which take only non-numeral quantifiers. Mass nouns include entities which are seen as uncountable such as sand and water (1).
(1)

| numbuain | 'sand' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mereka | 'water' |
| wanan | 'wind' |
| kakofa | 'soil' |

Mass nouns may be specified for definiteness by the
determiners NE, WA, FO (Proximate, Distal 1, Distal 2) or by
demonstratives:
(2)

$$
\text { mereka fo- } \emptyset \text { 'the water' }
$$ water FO-unsp.

Mass nouns can be modified by qualifiers and quantifiers:

| wanan <br> wind | fuba fo-i <br> big FO-sg | 'the big wind' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mereka <br> water | bitoiya <br> much/many | 'lots of water' |

Count nouns in Ambai are those common nouns which can be modified by numerals. The numerals from one to four distinguish between animate and inanimate referents. Animate count nouns are quantified by numerals with the base man-i inanimate count nouns cooccur with numerals with the base bo- (cf. 4.1.4). Examples of count nouns are given in (4) below. (Note that/rantru/--) [mandu].
(4)


Common nouns can also be separated into those words which are 'inalienably' possessed by possessive suffixes on the noun root and those which are 'alienably' possessed by a possessive particle preceding the noun root. ${ }^{1}$ These distinctions will be discussed in chapter 4.

### 3.1.1.2 Proper nouns

Proper nouns are by definition unique referents (at least within a given context). Proper nouns include the names of people, places, and certain objects such as canoes and houses. Most personal names are now borrowed from what are considered to be 'Christian' names (e.g. Dutch, English) and are adapted to the phonology of Ambai. Examples of personal names are given in (5).
(5)

Peterusi
Salmoni
Yance
Pithein
Sergiusi

```
Doli/Dolince Pince
Fane/Fanelda Martai Mariai
```

Place names are based on Ambai roots, many of which are no longer productive. Each island, bay, peninsula, mountain, etc. have a separate name often associated with cultural history.
(6)

Pakimi
Nu - airifi
island-
munu-faraiafi 'a smali island east of Ambai' house-
kamutun-fi anchor-
'the mountain behind Ambai village'
'a small island in the Ambai bay'
'the penisula between Serui and Ambaí'

### 3.1.2 Verbs

Verbs in Ambai are defined as those words which may be specified for person and number of the grammatical subject. Verbs function as predicates in non-equative clauses (cf. 3.2.7 on the copula). Verbs may be further subdivided on the basis of transitivity (3.1.2.1) or lexical decomposition (3.1.2.2).

### 3.1.2.1 Transitivity

Verbs may be either intransitive or transitive. Intransitive verbs have one core argument, the Subject. Transitive verbs have two core arguments, the subject and the Object. These distinctions will be discussed further in chapter 5. Here we present a few examples of each verb type (7).
(7)

Intransitives

| Yani | sai <br> /di-sai/ <br> $3 s-w e e p ~$ | 'Yan weeps/wept' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doli | sawa |
|  | di-taua/ <br> $3 s-f a l l$ | 'Doli fell' |

(8)

Tomi | mito |
| :---: |
|  |
| 3s-mito/ |
| 3s-run |$\quad$ 'Tom ran'

Transitives
Yani $\underset{\text { /di-boi/ bioi }}{\text { bs }}$ Edui $\quad$ 'Yan hit Edu'

Doli | d-an |
| :---: |
| /di-an/ | rando boru 'Doli ate two bananas'

Tomi d-okon rando boru we Isaki /di-okon/
3s-give banana two to
'Tom gave two bananas to Isak'
Fane d-anun andaun /di-anum/
3s-plait mat
'Fane plaited a mat'

### 3.1.2.2 Lexical decomposition

Verbs in Ambai can also be syntactically distinguished as being either states, achievements, activities, or accomplishments by their cooccurrence with the completive aspectual adverbs kai/kiai. These subclasses will be discussed in 6.4 where we will explain the theoretical background of these distinctions. Again we present only a few examples of each verb type.
(9) States
adai 'be tall'
kasou 'angry'
we 'be'
wati 'see'
(10) Achievements

```
sobu 'arrive'
ka 'get'
```

(ll) Activities

| ra | 'walk' |
| :--- | :--- |
| roki | 'sing' |
| feran | cut' |

(12) Accomplishments
mun
okon
'gill'

### 3.2 CLOSED CLASSES

Closed classes in Ambai are limited seta of words defined by various syntactic features. Each of the t'en classes is defined and discussed in the following sections.

### 3.2.1 Proforms

Proforms are those words which take the place of other words or ciauses. Proforms in Ambai may be divided into pronouns, which replace nouns in declarative sentences and interrogative proforms which replace various other words and clauses in questions.

Pronouns in noai are specified for person and number, but cannot be modified by qualifiers or quantifiers. Pronouns are
discussed further in 4.2. A list of the free pronouns in Ambai is given in Figure 3.2. We note that the categorization of pronouns in Ambai includes four categories of person and four of number.

Figure 3.2: Ambai free pronouns

| singular | dual | trial | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yau | auru | antoru | amea |
|  | turu | totoru | tata |
| wau | muru | muntoru | mea |
| i | uru | itoru | ea |

Interrogative proforms take the place of nouns" quantifiers, and clauses in questions. These uses will be discussed in 7.2.3. The interrogative proforms are not specifed for number or definiteness, but animate and inanimate distinctions are made. A few examples of interrogative proforms are given in (13).

```
            m'ndoni 'who?'
            flani 'what?'
            maneiru 'how many (animate)?'
                beiru 'how many (inanimate)?'
```


### 3.2.2 ndjectives

Ambai has a small closed class of adjectives. Adjectives function as one-place predicate in intransitive clauses or as monifiers of a noun. Adjectives are distinguished from verbs by the fact that adjectives are not marked for person or number.

Dixon, in his article 'Where have all the adjectives gone?' gtaies that a closed set of adjectives will usually include the semantic concepts of dimension, colour, age, and value (1977:56). In Ambai only a few dimension words are adjectives; all the other

```
adjectival concepts are expressed as intransitive verbs. }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
Ambai
adjectives include fuba 'large' and reifofa 'tiny', neither of
which can receive Subject marking.
```

(14)

| inontarai <br> person | fuba | large |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ 'large person'

### 3.2.3 Adverbs

Adverbs are defined in Ambai as those words which modify verbs, adjectives, other adverbs, and sentences. Adverbs may be divided into directional adverbs, degree adverbs, time adverbs, and manner adverbs.

Directional adverbs modify the clause nucleus (cf.5.2.2) by indicating the location of a predicate in relation to the speaker or to inain events of a discourse. Directional adverbs are based on one of two roots: a- 'away from speaker' or 'extroverted' and man- 'towards speaker' or 'introverted'. Direction is further specified as being in relation to various dichotomous pairs such as sea/land, up/down, and front/back. Examples of directional adverbs are presented in (15).
(15)

| a-rei | 'landwards away from speaker' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mandei | 'landwards towards speaker' |
| a-rau | 'oceanwards away from speaker' |
| mandau | 'oceanwards towards speaker' |
| a-fui | 'back away from speaker' |
| mampui | 'back towards speaker' |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a-fon } & \text { 'forwards away from speaker' } \\
\text { mampon } & \text { 'forwards towards speaker' }
\end{array}
$$

Degree adverbs modify verbs, adjectives and other adverbs. Examples of degree adverbs are given in (16). We note that beyari and beiri both mean 'very' and are used interchangably.
(16)
mutu
d-eriai mutu
3 s-swim $\quad$ 'he swam strongly'
deriai pampan mutu 'he swam continually (strorgly)'
beyari/beiri 'very; one'
inontarai bitoiya beyari/beiri 'very many people' person many very

Time adverbs modify sentences by specifying the relative time of the situation. Ambai has several time adverbs including katr 'soon' and fafompa 'before' as illustrated in (17) and (18).
(17)
katu mani tu-wo Urui ki
soon TOPIC lin. dl-paddle Serui FUTURE
'Snon we will paddle to Serui'
(18)
fafompa mani i-nai na Jayapura
before TOPIC ls-reside at Jayapura
'(Before) I used to live in Jayapura'

Manner adverbs qualify verbs. In Ambai there are only a few manner adverbs, including the following (19)-(22):
(19)

```
pampan 'continuously'
d-eriai pampan 'He swam continuously'
```

(20)

```
fatamai 'slowly'
    e-wo fatamai ma
    3pl-paddle slowly INT 'They paddled here slowly'
```

(21)
nariai 'carefully'
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { ro } & \text { nariai } \\ \text { /bu-ra/ } & \\ 2 s-w a l k & \text { carefully } \quad \text { 'Walk carefully' }\end{array}$
(22)
eka 'again'
d-isani-i eka
$3 s-s t a b-3 s o ~ a g a i n ~ ' H e ~ s t a b b e d ~ i t ~ a g a i n ' ~$

### 3.2.4 Houn adjuncts

Noun adjuncts are those words which are not adjectives, but which modify nouns. The prenominal adjuncts are the case-specifying prepositions. The postnominal adjuncts are the numerals.

Prepositions specify the semantic role of the nouns they modify. These roles will be discussed in 7.1. The prepositions in Ambai include the following:
(23)

```
to 'to (inanimate directional GOAL)'
we 'to/for (animate GOAL/BENEFACTEE)'
na 'at/from/with (LOCATION,directional
    SOURCE,INSTRUMENT)'
riat 'with (COMmtatIVE)'
pi 'object of comparison'
```

Numerals occur as the second postnominal modifier in the Ambai $N P$; they follow any qualifiers present (cf. 4.2.4). Ambai numerals one,two,three, and four distinguish two major classes of nouns: animate and inanimate. Numerals above four do not distinguish noun classes. The numerals will be listed and discussed in 4.2.4.

### 3.2.5 Conjunctions

Ambai possesses a closed set of conjunctions which function to link similar levels together; e.g. NP with NP, clause with clause. This dissertation does not extend to interclausal syntax so few of the conjunctions are mentioned. The following list is representative of the many conjunctions found in Ambai (24)-(28).
(24)
ete 'or'
Yani ete Doli 'Yan or Doli'
(25)

| mae | 'while/and' |
| :--- | :--- |
| i-minoki mae $\quad$ Y-anum-i <br> ls-sit <br> 'I sat and plaited it' |  |
| $l$ |  |

(26)
manamo 'but'
sera we fiai manamo sobu kaka
/di-sera/ /di-sobu/
3s-seek for pig but 3s-find NEG
'He looked for pigs but he didn't find any'
(27)
ainanaiya 'then'
d-ontai Urui ainanaiya da a-rei
3s-travel then 3s-walk EXI-land
'He travelled to Serui and then he walked inland'
(28)
ampefe 'therefore'
Yani pari doi ampefe w-i-ori fi kaka NEG money therefore 3 s -buy thing NEG
'Yán had no money therefore he did not buy anything'

### 3.2.6 Ry土ting

Arubai inas several enclitics which modify either the NP or the clause. The NP enclitics are the determiners which specify the definiteress, number, and spatial deixis of the NP. The clause ebolitics express Perfect Tense (-rampa) and the illocutionary force of yes/no questions (-re) and prohibition (-fanai). Each of these enclitics will be discussed under the grammatical level to which they refer; i.e. the determiners in 4.1.5 and tlie tense and illocutionary force enclitics in 7.2. The morphophonemic variations of the enclitics were discussed in 2.3.
(29)

| rampa | 'PERFECT TENSE' |
| :--- | :---: |
| i-wo Urui ampa |  |
| ls-paddle Serui PERFECT |  |
| 'I already paddled to Serui' |  |

(30)
re 'QUESTION MARKER'

| wo | man-dei ye |
| :---: | :--- |
| /bu-uo | man-rei re/ |
| $2 s-p a d d l e$ | INT-land-Q |

'Are you paddiing here to the land?'
(31)
fanai 'PROHIBITIVE'
boi Samueli fanai
/bu-boi/
2s-hit PROHIBITIVE
'Don't hit Samuel'

### 3.2.7 The copula

Ambai has one copula: di. It functions as a linker between two NPs. The copula is not inflected for person or number of the Subject as a verb would be. The copula will be discussed in 6.l with the other basic clause types. We note that dino occurs between NPs and dine occurs following the NPs linked.

| ne-ku | guru dino | Yani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POS-ls | teacher BE |  |
| 'My teacher is Yan' |  |  |

(33)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yani } \begin{array}{c}
\text { ne-ku guru } \\
\text { pos-1s teacher }
\end{array} \text { BE } \\
& \text { 'Yan is my teacher' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2.8 The possessive particle

Ambai has one possessive particle: ne. The possessive particle is inflected for person and number of the possessor by a set of prefixes and suffixes which are also used to indicate inalienable possession on nouns. The possessive particle premodifies the noun possessed. The forms and uses of the possessive particle will be discussed in 4.5 .
(34)

| ne-ku | munu |
| :---: | :---: |
| ne-mu | munu |
| ne- $\varnothing$ | munu |
| ta-ne | munu |

[^0]
### 3.2.9 Negators

Ambai has two negative words and the prohibitive enclitic mentioned in 3.2.6 above. The negative words function on the clause periphery (cf. chapter 7) to negate the predication. The general negator kaka is used in transitive and intransitive clauses as well as equative clauses. The negative bireri is used as an existential negator to mean 'there is/are no $\qquad$ 1 or 'it is not the case that $\qquad$ ' Further discussion on the negative particles wili be given in 7.2 .1 which deals with what we call the Status category.

### 3.2.1б Interjections

Ambai contains a small set of interjections which function as one word sentences expressing various emotional states, as illustrated in (35).

| kei | SURPRISE |
| :--- | :--- |
| boe | 'hey! |
| ande | 'a-a-ah' (RELAXED FEELING) |
| Oba | DISBELIEF |

### 3.3 OVERVIEN OF AMBAI SYITTAX

### 3.3.0 Introduction

Comrie (1981) presents a good introduction to an understanding of the use of language universals in the study of language (1981:1-29). The universals to which $I$ will make reference are either absolute implicational universals or implicational tendencies. Comrie illustrates absolute implicational universals with the generalization that vso languages have prepositions (1981:19). Implicational tendencies are illustrated by the pattern that SOV languages will 'probably' have postpositions.(ibid). Ambai is an svo language with grammatical word order. Ambai is basically analytic in structure, although it exhibits some synthetic verb morphology. In this section we will briefly summarize the basic word order of Ambai and compare the Ambai patterns to the generalizations concerning word order put forth by Greenberg (1966).

### 3.3.1 Basic clause order

The non-equative clauses in Ambai may be summarized as in (36) as being sVo with Oblique arguments following the Object and Time arguments optionally preceding Subject.
(36)
(TIME) (S) V (O) (OBLIQUE)

```
The following examples (37) - (39) illustrate minimal clauses with an overt Subject.
```

(37)
$\begin{array}{rc}s & V \\ \text { Tomi } & \text { d-ampi }\end{array}$
3s-eat (intrans)
'Tom is eating'
(38)

| $S$ | $V$ | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Tomi | d-an | rando |
|  | 3s-eat (trans) | banana |

'Tom is eating bananas'
(39)
$\begin{array}{ccccc}S & V & & & \text { OBLIQUE } \\ \text { Tomi } & \text { d-okon } & \text { rando } & \text { we } & \text { Yau } \\ & \text { 3s-give } & \text { banana } & \text { to } & \text { ls }\end{array}$
'Tom gave some bananas to me'

### 3.3.2 Houn Phrase order

SVO languages most typically have the descriptive adjective following the head noun. Greenberg's universal number $2 \emptyset$ also states:

When any or all of the items (demonstrative, numeral, and descriptive adjective) precede the noun, they are always found in that order. If they follow, the order is either the same or the exact opposite.
(1966:87)

The Ambai NP exhibits the mirror image post-head order suggested here. Thus, (40) summarizes the order of the Ambai NP and (41) and (42) provide examples.
(40)

NP $=$ NOUN (Adjective) (Numeral) Demonstrative
(41)
dian katui siri nani
fish small one there
'that one small fish'
(42)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { munu } & \text { fuba } & \text { boru } \\ \text { house } \\ \text { large } & \text { two } & \text { FO }\end{array}$
'the two large houses'

Vo languages characteristically have prepositions. Ambai follows this pattern using prepositions to signal case roles of Oblique arguments as will be seen in 7.1. We give a few examples in (43) and (44).
(43)
i-minoki na munu ne-i
ls-sit $\quad$ in
'I am sitting in the house'
(44)
i-wo to Manawi
ls-paddle to
'I paddled to Manawi'

Ambai places relative clauses following the Noun, as is expected in Vo languages.
(45)

| inontarai | d-autai | fo | mireka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | /di-,areka/ |
| person | 3s-ascend | FO | 3s.die |
| The pers | o climbed | up | ed' |

Thus far, Ambai has followed the expected generalizations about Vo languages. We turn now to the Possessive NP and see that the governing noun precedes the possessed noun rather than following it. Ambai uses the GENITIVE + NOUN construction to express what we will call 'alienable' possession. Alienable possession refers to most possessable items except certain body parts and kinship terms. Note the following examples:
(46)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Yani } & \text { ne } & \text { munu } & \text { fo-i } \\
& \text { POS } & \text { house } & \text { FO-sg }
\end{array}
$$

'Yan's house'
(47)

| ne-ku | fiawera | fo-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pos-ls | dog | FO-sg |

'my dog'

```
1
```

1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
(52)
Verb
d-autai
$3 s-a s c e n d$

Adverb fatamai slowly
'He climbed up slowly'

Vo languages usually place the intentional verb prior to the main verb. In Ambai the modality verb ai 'to intend to' and the causative verb okon 'to give' both precede the main verb.
dei d-autai
/di-ai/
$3 s$-intend 3s-ascend
'He intends to climb up'
(54)

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { d-okon-i } & \text { dewoki } \\
\text { 3s-give-3s.0 } & \text { /di-awoki/ } \\
3 s-f l o a t ~
\end{array}
$$

We can summarize the word order patterns of Ambai in Figure 3.3 below. Each of the patterns will be discussed further in the appropriate chapter in the rest of this work.

Figure 3.3: Ambai word order patterns

| VERB | OBJECT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Noun | adjective |
| Noun | relative |
| Verb | adverb |
| Noun |  |
| Verb |  |
| Noun |  |

NOTES

1 Capell notes that this distinction is important in the other New Guinea AN languages also (1976a:16).

2 Cowan, in his discussion of Wandamen notes:

The type of words here discussed under the head 'adjectives' could in a way also be dealt with in the chapter on the Verb, because when used predicatively they are 'conjugated' like 'verbs'.
(1955:47)

## Chapter 4. NOMINALS

## 4.ø INTRRODUCTION


#### Abstract

Nominals in Ambai are notionally defined as those words which refer to 'people, places, and things.' which are syntactically nouns or pronouns. Nouns are subdivided into common nouns which refer to a non-unique referent and proper nouns which refer to a unique referent. Pronouns take the place of either common or proper nouns. In this chapter we will discuss the nominals in terms of their composition (morphology) and in terms of their relations with other words (syntax). We will begin with the common Noun Phrase (NP) which consists of a nucleus or 'referential core' made up of a common noun plus an Orientation element (e.g. an article) and optional modifying elements which we will term Association, Qualification, and Quantification and the obligatory category Orientation (4.1). 1 In (4.2) we discuss the Ambai pronouns. Proper nouns are discussed in (4.3). Compound NP's (4.4) and possession NP's (4.5) allow any of the three nominals types to occur.


### 4.1 THE COMMON NP

The common NP in Ambai consists of a central nominal element which we will term 'the referential core' and associated modifying elements. The referential core in Ambai can be only a common noun; i.e. not a pronoun nor a proper noun. The associated elements modify the referential core in four areas: Association, Qualification, Quantification, and Orientation. Briefly, Association defines noun to noun relationships such as whole-part and characteristic use; Qualification expresses various semantic concepts as Physical Dimension, Age, Colour, etc; Quantification expresses numeric and non-numeric modification; and Orientation defines the referential core in terms of its real world deixis or in terms of text deixis. Of the four modifying elements only Orientation is obligatory. In this section we will first discuss the referential core ( RC ) (4.1.1) and then each of the four modifying elements in turn (4.1.2-4.1.5). The simple NP in Ambai can be summarized as follows:

Figure 4.1: The Ambai common noun phrase
(ASSOC) REFERENTIAL CORE (ASSOC) (QUAL) (QUAN) ORIENTATION

We will look at each element separately and then consider the relationships between and among the elements.

### 4.1.1 The Referential Core

The referential core or nucleus of the Ambai simple NP is a common noun. Syntactic elements of the definition of a common noun include the possibility of being modified by a possessive or by an article. Common nouns may refer to 'noun'-like concepts or 'verb'-like concepts. The 'verb'-like concepts are nominalized by the addition of the possessive particle and the orienting article as seen in examples (7) -(9).

In this section we will first look at the common noun in terms of its derivation. Ambai common nouns can be composed by compounding, reduplication, or nominalization.

Compound nouns in Ambai are formed along the same lines as we will see in the simple NP,i.e. the main element or RC precedes the modifying element or qualifier. The main element is always a noun root. The qualifying element can be either a noun root, a verb root, or an adjectival root. Note the following examples:


In each of the above examples phonological features of stress and morphophonemic rules as discussed in chapter 2 distinguish the compound words from a noun plus modifier NP;i.e. each compound noun has only one major stress and the stem-final nasal of the first noun interacts with the stem-initial sound of the second element.

Partial reduplication on nouns in Ambai are rare. Most reduplication occurs within verbs. The two nouns noted in both unreduplicated and reduplicated forms are kamiai 'rock' and aburun 'piece'. In their reduplicated forms kamamiai 'rocks' and abirarun 'pieces' both indicate general plurality of referent.

The most common derivational process involving nominals is the nominalization of verbs. A verb is nominalized by the presence of the possessive particle preceding the verb and the presence of an Orientation element following the verb. Examples are given in (7a) and (7b).
(7a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { arikan fo-sa } \begin{array}{l}
\text { e-kasou } \\
\text { child Fo-pl } \\
\text { 3pl-angry }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'The children are angry' }
\end{aligned}
$$

(7b)

| arikan fo-sa | e-ne | e-kasou | fo-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child FO-pl | 3pl-POS 3pl-angry | FO-i |  |

We note that the nominalized verb in (7b) is marked by a singular suffix on the Orientation element despite the plural possessor. Further examples of nominalization are given in (8) and (9).
(8)

```
ne-ku i-matai ne-i
POS-ls ls-fear NE-sg
'my fear'
```

(9)


Certain Ambai nouns can be inflected for what we will call 'inalienable' possession. 2 Inalienable possession refers to a closed class of body parts and kin terms which receive possessive affixes indicating person and number of the possessor and a plural suffix -mi which is used in conjunction with non-singular possessors. The forms of the possessive affixes are given in Figure 4.2.

Figure 4.2: Ambai 'inalienable' possessive affixes

We note that the third singular posession suffix is either $-\underline{n}$ or -na. The -na form occurs only with kinterms, e.g. tamana 'his father'.

Words which receive possessive affixes include various body parts and kin terms as illustrated in (1ø) and (11).


### 4.1.2 Orientation

Every Ambai simple NP is oriented as being either generic or specific by means of determiner clitics which attach to the final word of the NP. Generic referents are unmarked: specific referents are subdivided into definite and indefinite referents. Definite NPs are further specified as to their distance from the speaker ( or the discourse theme) and as to their number. In this
section we will discuss each of these Orientation categories and consider the elements by which it is signalled. We will use the following display to summarize the Orientation possibilities expressed in Ambai (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.3: Ambai Orientation


### 4.1.2.1 Generic

Generic NPs have no determiner in Ambai, We will mark generic NPs as having $\varnothing$ determiner. Generic NPs are used to express general statements as illustrated below.
(12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { inontarai Ambai- } \varnothing \\
\text { person }
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Ambai-gen. } \\
3 p l-m a k i \\
3 p l-\phi
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { 'Ambai people make gardens' }
\end{aligned}
$$

This example (12) contrasts with (13) in which both NPs are specific and are marked by determiners.
(13)
inontarai Ambai fo-sa e-nari romi fo-i person Ambai FO-pl 3pl-make garden FO-sg
'The Ambai people made the garden'

### 4.1.2.2 Specific

### 4.1.2.2.1 Indefinite specific NPs

Specific NPs in Ambai are either indefinite or definite. Indefinite NPs are signalled by the postclitic indefinite article -fea or by $\emptyset$ if the $N P$ is quantified by a numeral or an indefinite non-numeral quantifier such as kuteai 'some' or bitoiya 'many'. Indefinite $N P s$ express such meanings as 'a man', 'some men', and 'some food'. The following examples show the possibilities observed:
(14)

Yani miun dian fea /di-mun/
Yan $3 s-k i l l$ fish indef.
'Yan killed a fish/some fish'
(15)

Inontarai manei minoki na munu bowei
/di-minoki/
person one 3 s-sit at house one
'A person sat/lived in a house'
(16)

Tomi wiori ankadi botoru
/di-wori/
Tom 3s-buy coconut three
'Tom bought three coconuts'
(17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i-ka mereka kuteai } \\
& \text { ls-take water some } \\
& \text { 'I took some water' }
\end{aligned}
$$

NPs which are quantified by a numeral may be changed to definite NPs by the addition of a definite determiner. The indefinite clitic fea cannot cooccur with the definite determiners. Thus (16) becomes (18).
(18)

Tomi wiori ankadi botoru fo- $\varnothing$
/di-wori/
Tom 3s-buy coconut three FO-unspec
'Tom bought the three coconuts'

### 4.1.2.2.2 Definite specific NPs

Definite NPs are signalled by either of two sets of determiners: the definite articles or the demonstratives. The articles will be discussed first and later we will discuss the demonstratives and then compare the two sets of definite determiners.

The definite articles are postclitics on the NP. They consist of a deictic root and a pronominal suffix indicating number. The forms are listed below Figure 4.4.

## Figure 4.4: Ambai definite articles

| unspec. | ne- $\varnothing$ | wa- |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| singular | ne-i | wa-i | fo- |
| dual |  |  |  |
| trial | ne-uru | wa-uru | fo-i |
| plural | ne-coru | we-saru | fo-uru |
|  | ne-sa | wa-sa | fo-coru |
|  |  |  | fo-sa |

We note the deictic elements NE, WA, and FO in the above list of definite articles. The three roots indicate the distance of the referent from the speaker and the hearer either in real world space or in terms of discourse theme. In this discussion we will only concern ourselves with the real world deixis. We will see below, however, that there is also a relationship between the deictic roots and pronominal deixis and also with time deixis (i.e. tense). The pronominal suffix on the articles indicates number. In this section we will first discuss the spatial deixis of the articles and then the number suffix, although the two elements are bound together.

The spatial deixis of the definite articles distinguishes three degrees of distance: NE 'close to speaker', WA 'closer to hearer than to speaker', and Fo 'far from both speaker and hearer'. Consider the following examples noting that the English gloss may not always reflect the Ambai spatial deixis as English articles do not make deictic distinctions (as do demonstratives).
(19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { munu ne-i } \\
& \text { house NE-sg }
\end{aligned} \quad \text { 'the house (near me)' }
$$

(20)

> munu wa-i house WA-sg $\quad$ 'the house (near you)'
$\checkmark$
(21)

munu fo-i 'the house (far from us both)

The spatial deixis of the Ambai articles interact with the pronominal system,i.e. there are cooccurrence restrictions between different articles and different pronouns. This interaction is most easily seen with NPs which are marked for inalienable possession as below.
(22)

| wara-ku | ne-i |
| :--- | :--- |
| hand-lsPOS | NE-sg |
| *wara-ku hand' |  |
| *wara-ku | wa-i |
| *wo-i |  |

(23)
wara-mu wa-i 'your hanč'
hand-2sPOS WA-sg
*wara-mu ne-i
*wara-mu fo-i
(24)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { wara-n } & \text { fo-i } \\
\text { hand-3sPoS } & \text { FO-sg } \\
\text { *wara-n } & \text { ne-i } \\
\text { *wara-n } & \text { wa-i }
\end{array}
$$

In a similar manner the spatial deixis of the definite articles relates to the person of the subject and the tense of the clause in which it appears. The following examples give a general idea of these relationships.
(25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i-minoki na munu ne-i } \\
& \text { ls-sit at house } \begin{array}{l}
\text { NE-sg }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'I am sittinn/sat at the house (here)' } \\
& \text { i-minoki na munu wa-i } \begin{array}{l}
\text { WA-sg }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'I sat/*am sitting at the house(there by you)' } \\
& \text { i-minoki na munu fo-i } \\
& \text { FO-sg } \\
& \text { 'I sat/*am sitting at the house (over there)' }
\end{aligned}
$$


#### Abstract

In the above examples we note that a first person referent can use $N E$ in either present or past reference in regard to sitting in the house, but he can only mean past action when the house is marked by either WA or FO. Following the same pattern a second person referent could not be presertly sitting at munu-Fo as Fo indicares a location far from both first and second person.

The definite articles also indicate the number of the NP they modify by means of three person number suffixes. The number suffixes distinguish five categories of number:


Figure 4.5: Ambai person number suffixes

```
unspecified number
singular
\emptyset
dual
trial
plural
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \phi \\
& -i /-n i^{3} \\
& \text {-uru } \\
& \text { - coru } \\
& \text {-sa }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

Of these five categories dual and trial are the most infrequently used.

The number distinctions of the definite articles have certain cooccurrence restrictions with the noun classes which
were first discussed in chapter 3: count versus mass, animate versus inanimate, and human versus non-human animate. Mass nouns are by definition not specifiable for number. Inanimate nouns can not be specified for non-singular number (except munu 'house'). Only human nouns can be specified for dual or trial. The following display (Figure 4.6) summarizes the interaction between number and noun classes.

Figure 4.6: Animacy and number in Ambai

|  | COUNT |  |  | MASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | human | non-human animate | iranimate |  |
| $\emptyset$ | + | + | + | + |
| sing. | + | $+$ | + | - |
| plural | + | + | ,- | - |
| dual-trial | + | - | - | - |

Each of the noun classes will be discussed below in relation to the definite article number.

MASS nouns cannot be specified for number. The definite article appears without any number suffix. Mass nouns are also redundantly inanimate.
(26)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { e-ka mereka } & \text { fo- } \varnothing \\
\text { 3pl-take water } & \text { Fo-unspec } \\
\text { 'They carried the water' }
\end{array}
$$

(27)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { minoki na rei fo- } \varnothing \\
& \text { /di-minoki/ on land Fo-unspec } \\
& 3 \mathrm{~s} \cdot \mathrm{sit} \text { on } \\
& \text { 'He sat on the land' }
\end{aligned}
$$

NPs which express a part-whole relationship are not specified for number.
(28)
munu roron fo- $\phi$
house inside FO-unspec
'the inside of the house'

INANIMATE COUNT nouns can be either unmarked for number or marked as specifically singular. They cannot be marked as plural or as dual or trial.
(29)
et-au to wa fo- $\varnothing$
3pl-go up to canoe Fo-unspec
'They got into the canoe/canoes'
e-minoki na wa fo-i
3pl-sit in canoe Fo-sg
'They sat in the canoe/*canoes'

NON-HUMAN ANIMATE COUNT nouns can be either unspecified for number or specified as either singular or plural.

Non-human animate count nouns may be unspecified for number.
(31)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e-feran dian fo- } \varnothing \\
& \text { 3pl-cut fish FO-unspec } \\
& \text { 'They cut the fish (sg.or pl.)' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Op.pp Non-human animate count nouns may also be specified as being singular by the singular suffix on the definite article.
(32)

```
mankukei fo-i bibe
rooster FO-sg /di-babe/
'The rooster crowed'
```

Groups of non-human animate beings are marked as singular when seen as a unit.
(33a)

| dian e-fau | fo-i | d-an | keri | fo- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fish 3 pl-many | FO-sg | 3sg-eat | bait | FO-unspec |

'The group of fish eats the bait'
Compare (83b):
(33b)
dian e-fau fo-sa et-an keri fo- $\emptyset$ fish 3pl-many FO-pl 3pl-eat bait FO-unspec
'The fish (all) ate the bait'

Non-human animate count nouns can also be specified as plural by the suffix -sa.
(34)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { romu fo-sa } \\
& \text { bird Fo-pl } \\
& \text { 'the birds' }
\end{aligned}
$$

HUMAN COUNT nouns may be either unspecified for number or specified as being singular, plural,dual, or trial.

Human count nouns may be unspecified for number. In Subject position, however, the noun must be cross-referenced for number by the verbal prefix.
(35)

| i-wati inontarai fo- $\varnothing$ |
| :--- |
| ls-see person |

Fo-unspec
(36)
arikan fo- $\varnothing$ et-ampi
child FO-unspec 3pl-eat
'The children/*child ate'

Specifically sirgular human nouns a:e marked by the singular suffix on the definite article.
(37)

| inontarai | fo-ida <br> pa-ra/ | ma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| person | FO-sg $3 \mathrm{~s} \cdot$ walk | INT |

person FO-sg 3s.walk INT
'The person came'
(38)

| ne-mu | arikan fo-i | dedai |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| POS-2spos child FO-sg | di-adai/ |  |
| 3 s-tall |  |  |

Specifically plural human nouns are signalled by the plural suffix -sa on the definite article:
(39)

| inontarai | fo-sa | e-ra ma |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| person | FO-pl | 3pl-walk | INT |

'The people came'

Certain human nouns can be seen as either singular or plural (cf. English 'committee'). The number of these collective nouns is signalled by the number suffix on the article and also on the
subject prefix on the verb if the noun is the subject of its clause.
(40)

| kaiwasa fo-i | mirisin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| people | Fo-sg | di-marisin/ |
| $3 s-h a p p y ~$ |  |  |

'The group of people is happy'
(41)
kaiwasa fo-sa e-marisin
people FO-pl 3pl-happy
'The people are happy'

Only human nouns can be specified as being dual or trial. Note the following examples:
(42)
wiwin fo-suru u-minoki
woman FO-dual 3dl-sit
'The two women sat down'
(43)
inontarai fo-coru co-nai na Jayapura person Fo-trial 3tr-stay at Jayapura
'The three people lived in Jayapura'

Demonstratives

Ambai possesses a set of demonstrative articles which modify definite NPs. The demonstrative articles are enclitics on the NP in complementary distribution with the definite articles. The demonstratives also distinguish three degrees of distance from the speaker's point of reference: proximate nin-, distal 1 nan and distal 2 wan-. Distal 1 is used slightly differently than with the definite articles. Distal 1 with demonstratives is not
near either speaker and hearer; distal 2 is out of sight of both. Demonstratives also distinguish five degrees of number by number suffixes: unspecified number -ai, singular -i, dual -suru, trial -coru, and plural -sa. The resultant demonstratives are listed in Figure 4.7.

Figure 4.7: Ambai demonstratives unspecified singular dual trial plural

| Proximate | nin-ai | nin-i | nin-suru | nin-coru | nin-sa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Distal 1 | nan-ai | nan-i | nan-suru | nan-coru | nan-sa |
| Distal 2 | wan-ai | wan-i | wan-suru wan-coru wan-sa |  |  |

All of the demonstratives may occur either as noun modifiers (orienters) or in conjunction with the copula di. In the following examples we compare the demonstratives with the definite articles.
(44)
munu ne-i munu nin-i munu nan-i munu wan-i
(45)
ne-ku munu di-ne-i
ne-ku munu di-nin-i
ne-ku munu di-nan-i
ne-ku munu di-wan-i
'the house (proximate)'
'this house'
'that house (distal 1)'
'that house (distal 2)'
'It is my house'
'This is my house'
'That is my house (distal 1)'
'That is my house (distal 2)'

The unspecified demonstrative articles can be used either as NP enclitics or as what we might call demonstrative pronouns; i.e. they can occur without their head noun. The demonstratives which are marked for number cannot occur without a head noun. Note the following exampies:
(46)

| $\begin{aligned} & i-m i n o k i \\ & \text { ls-sit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { na } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | munu house | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{nin}-i \\ & \text { NIN-sg } \end{aligned}$ | 'I am sitting in this house' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *i-minoki | na | $\emptyset$ | nin-i |  |
| i-minoki | na | munu | nin-ai | I am sitting in this |
| ls-sit | in | house | NIN-unspec. | house' |
| i-minoki | na | $\emptyset$ nin | -ai | 'I am sitting here' |
| ls-sit | in | NIN | unspec | I am sitting here |

### 4.1.3 Association

Association occurs as the first modification position either before or after the $R C$ in the Ambai common NP. Association expresses various semantic relationships between the $R C$ and the following noun which depend on the semantic nature of each of the elements. The various relationships can be summarized as being that between the referent of the RC and (1) the whole of which it is a part, (2) its typical use, or (3) its typical material composition. Note that these RC plus Association pairs are not compound words as they have separate stress and morphophonemic rules do not apply across word boundaries in Ambai. Note also that Association is not the same as Possession. We will discuss Possession in (4.5) below and we will see that possession in Ambai occurs either preceding the RC when expressed by the possessive particles or suffixed to the $R C$ but prior to any Association argument when expressed by possessive suffixes.

### 4.1.3.1 RC and its larger part

The pre-RC Association modification indicates the relationship between the Association element as the whole and the RC as the part. The RC is most typically a body part, although other common nouns also occur as RC. Thus, Ambai contains what has been termed by earlier Dutch scholars the 'reversed genitive' of the type 'the head its hair' (Capell 1976a:19). We note that phonological reasons do not allow these pairs of words to be considered as compound nouns. Note first the following examples of body parts:
(47)
ASSOCIATION

| wara |
| :--- |
| arm/hand |
| digit |

diga
(48)

| awe | keka <br> leg/foot <br> digit$\quad$ 'toe' |
| :--- | :--- |

(49)
nu kamiai 'skull'
head stone
(50)
nu randaun 'head hair'
(51)
ene roron 'viscera'
(52)

| wara |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| arm/hand | fan |
| palm | 'palm' |

(53)

| awe fan |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| leg/foot sole |  |

We note in passing that the Association element in each of the
above examples typically receives what we will call inalienable possession marking with the typical Austronesian possessive suffixes. Thus, the possessive suffixes occur between the Association element and the RC.

Other whole-part pairs expressed by the Association noun plus the RC in Ambai include the following:
(54)
$\frac{\text { ASSOCIATION }}{\text { romu }} \begin{aligned} & \text { bird }\end{aligned}$
(55)

| munu <br> house | roron <br> inside | 'inside of house' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa  <br> canoe fui <br> back  | 'stern of canoe' |  |

We also note that Orientation elements may occur either before the Association noun or after the RC, but with different meanings. If the article occurs after the Association element as in (57) the Association form is seen as possessed and is preceded by the possessive particle ne. Thus, we have a possessive NP as will be seen in 4.5 .
(57)
romu fo-i
bird FO-sg

ne- $\varnothing$ POS-3s $\quad$| aibon |
| :--- | :--- |
| fruit |

When the article follows Association word plus RC word phrase as in (58) the two words are seen as a unit, (although not a compound word).
(58)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { romu aibon fo-i } \\
& \text { bird fruit Fo-sg } \\
& \text { 'the (bird) egg' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.1.3.2 RC and its characteristic use

A limited set of Ambai nouns are modified by an Association noun which expresses the typical use of the RC. The most common noun which undergoes this modification is kaiwo 'language'. The Association word which modifies kaiwo is a proper noun such as Ambai, Wondama 'Wandamen', or Ambe < the local Malay word amberi 'non-Irianese Indonesian'. Thus (59):
(59)

| RC | Association |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kaiwo Ambai |  |
| Kaiwo Wondama | 'the Ambai larguage' |
| kaiwo Ambe | 'the Indonesian language/ |
|  |  |

Note that kaiwo Ambe is not a possessive relationship as would normally be expressed by a possessive phrase (60):
(60)

| inontarai Ambe | e-ne | kaiwo |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| person Indonesian | $3 p l-P O S$ | language |

'The Indonesian people's language'

### 4.1.3.3 RC and its material composition

A limited set of nouns accept an Association argument which specifies the material of which the referent of the $R C$ is made. The Association element is a noun. Note the following example:
(61) $\frac{\mathrm{RC}}{\mathrm{u}} \frac{\text { Association }}{\text { mamuran }}$ 'bamboo comb'

### 4.1.4 Qualification

The second post-RC modification slot in the Ambai simple NP is Qualification. Qualification includes the semantic concepts of Age, Colour, Dimension, Physical Property, Human Propensity, Speed, and Value discussed by Dixon (1977) in his paper on adjectives. As we saw in chapter 3, Ambai has a very limited set of true adjectives. Thus, these Qualification modifiers are usually verbs or embedded relative clauses. In this section we will look at the various Qualifiers which occur in Ambai as well as any ordering constraints relevant between different Qualifiers.

A single Qualifier following the $R C$ or the $R C$ and its Association noun can express various semantic concepts. In the following examples we list some of the possibilities of RC plus Qualification sets. Later we will see that certain semantic sets tend to occur in different syntactic positions when used in a singular subject markin; and that the qualifier is a verb).
(62) AGE
(65) PHYS. PROPERTY
$\begin{aligned} \text { mereka } & \begin{array}{l}\text { denunana } \\ \text { /di-anunana/ } \\ \text { 3s-cold }\end{array}\end{aligned}$ 'cold water'
ansun

cloth | wewasa |
| :---: |
| /di-wawasa/ |
| $3 s-w e t$ |$\quad$ 'a wet cloth'

ai mutu 'hard/strong wood' tree hard/strong (adj.)
(66) HUM.PROPENSITY
arikan kesou /di-kasou/ 'an angry child'
child 3 s-angry
wiwin mirisin 'a happy woman'
woman 3 marisin/
(67) SPEED

| inontarai sikera |
| :--- |
| persondi-sakera/ <br> $3 s-q u i c k ~$ | 'a quick person'


| arikan fatamai |
| :--- |
| child slow |$\quad$ 'a slow child'

(68) VALJJE

munu | kerira |
| :---: |
| /di-karira/ |
| $3 s-b a d$ | $\quad$ 'a bad house'

The semantic classes of qualifiers mentioned in the discussion above are also syntactically differentiated in terms of sequence or ordering constraints. In unelicited discourse qualifiers occur only singly or in pairs. Even in elicited forms a string of three qualifiers is unusual. Nevertheless, certain patterns have been observed regarding the relative ordering of the Qualification modifiers and these observations tend to support the semantic sets discussed in Dixon's work (1977). The semantic sets that are readily assigned a relative order in qualifiers series are Colour, Dimension, Physical Property, and Value which occur in that order. Speed asso precedes s.lue when

The trones of Qualification discussed thus far may be characterized as involving adjectival states. We have seen that in Ambai these adjectival concepts are usually handled by verbs.

1

### 4.1.5 Quantification

Quantification of the RC in the Ambai simple NP occurs after Association and Qualification if they occur. Quantification expresses the quantity of the RC in either specific numeric terms or in terms of general non-numeral quantifiers. Thus, we note examples such as the following:


In relation ts Association and Qualification we note the following examples which show the relative order of the three modifying elements discussed thus far:
 canoe sail big CLS-one
'one big sailing canoe'

hand-3sgPOS digit painful CLS-two FO-unspec
'his two sore fingers'

### 4.1.5.1 Numerals

Numerals in Ambai form a closed word class which is functionally defined as those words which quantify nouns and which are semantically definite quantifiers ( as contrasted with the indefinite quantifiers to be discussed in 4.1.4.2). Numerals are specified for class by a classifying root (CLS) and for number by a numeric suffix. Numerals distinguish two major classes which we will term 'animate' and 'inanimate' and three minor classes which relate to inanimate objects. The class
distinctions differentiated by the numerals are only specified by the numerals from one to four;i.e. the numerals from five upward are the same for all noun classes. We will begin this section with a discussion of the numerals from one to four noting the noun classes with which they co-occur before we go on to the numerals above four.

The two major classes animate and inanimate are distinguished by the classifying roots man- and bo- respectively. Man- only occurs in Ambai in compounds such as man-kukei 'chicken' and man-biriu 'Goura pigeon'. Bo- is derivable from PAN *buah 'fruit' which is bon in Ambai. The animate and inanimate numerals from one to four are listed below in Figure 4.9.

Figure 4.9: Ambai numerals from one to four

| 1 | $\frac{\text { animate }}{\text { man-siri }}$ | $\frac{\text { inanimate }}{\text { bo-siri }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | man-ei | bo-wei |
| 2 | man-siari | bo-yari |
| 3 | $\operatorname{man-du}</$ man-ru/ | bo-ru |
| 4 | $\operatorname{man-toru}$ | bo-toru |
| man-a | bo-a |  |

We note three alternates for 'one' in both sets. No systematic pattern has been discovered to distinguish the first two forms. The third form in each set,i.e. mansiari and boyari,is the form used in counting. The forms for 'two' are readily seen to come from PAN *Dua and those for 'three' from PAN *telu. The -a numeral root is not so readily seen as derived from PAN *empat, but the closely related language Wandamen, which maintains word-final /t/ has bo-at for 'four'.

In chapter 3 we noted that animate common nouns collocate with the animate numerals. The class of nouns which are
or ssidered to be animate in Ambai includes human, animal, and spirit world referents.
$\frac{\mathrm{RC}}{\mathrm{arikan}}$
romu
wori
$\frac{\text { Quant. }}{\text { mandu }}$
mandu 'two children' mantoru 'three birds' manei
'one sea spirit'

Nouns which collocate with the inanimate numerals include plants, natural objects, manufactured objects, and certain animate creatures such as starfish and shellfish (the latter two of which are readily perceived as being in the same class as stones due to lack of apparent independent motion).

| RC | Quant. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rando bowei | 'one banana' |  |
| kamiai | boru | 'two stones' |
| rarun | botoru | 'three bailers' |
| firai | boa | 'four shellfish' |

Time nouns are also quantified with the inanimate numerals:

| (84) | RC Quant. <br>  rakida <br> diru bowei <br> boru 'one day' | 'two nights ' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Three minor sets of numerals occur with a limited set of inanimate referents. The classifying roots for these three numeral sets are ko-, rowo-, and roa-. These roots combine with the numeral roots seen above to form the following numerals:

Figure 4.10: Ambai minor classes of numerals

| ko-wei | rowo-iyari | roa-siri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ko-ru | rowo-ru | roa-ru |
| ko-toru | rowo-toru | roa-toru |
| ko-a | rowo-a | roa-ra |

The ko- and rowo- numeral sets both refer to objects which are basically long and thin and no systematic distinctions have been observed. The following nouns occur with ko-. Note the inclusion of the non-physical 'song':

| wa | 'canoe' | wombua. | 'spear' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eran | 'fishnet' | afaigoro | 'speargun' |
| waya | 'river' | ai | 'tree' |
| rarun | 'song' | rayato | 'padding song' |

The following words can collocate with the rowo- numerals:

| wa | 'canoe' | aiso | 'digging stick' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ato | 'arrow' | wai | 'vine' |
| aisian | 'pole' | aireraun | 'paper'. |
| ai | 'tree' |  |  |

The roa- numerals refer to nouns in terms of length. Roa means 'armspan'. Nouns which commonly collocate with roa- include:

| romi | 'garden' | wai | 'vine' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wa | 'canoe' | ai | 'tree' |
| eran | fishnet' |  |  |

We note that some nouns, such as 'canoe' or 'tree', may occur with any of the three sets of numerals with no definable meaning difference.

The numerals from five upwards do not distinguish any classes of nouns;i.e. all nouns take the same numerals from five upwards. The Ambai numeral system has separate roots for five (rin), six (wonan), seven (itu), ten (sura), and twenty (pia-) (a bound form) plus the numerals one to four already discussed. These roots can be combined to form numbers up to two thousand, although most speakers now use Bahasa Indonesia numbers for larger figures. The Ambai numerals are illustrated below in Figure 4.11.

## Figure 4.11: Ambai numerals above four

```
5
6
7
        120
        140
        160
        180
2000
```

```
        lin
```

        lin
    itu < PAN *pitu
    itu < PAN *pitu
    or boru kondarai sura 'two add (makes) ten'
or boru kondarai sura 'two add (makes) ten'
indea-tan
indea-tan
or boiyari kondarai sura 'one add (makes) ten'
or boiyari kondarai sura 'one add (makes) ten'
sura
sura
sura ya boiyari
sura ya boiyari
sura ya boru
sura ya boru
sura ya botoru
sura ya botoru
sura ya boa
sura ya boa
sura ya rin
sura ya rin
sura ya wonan
sura ya wonan
sura ya itu
sura ya itu
sura ya indeatoru
sura ya indeatoru
sura ya indeatan
sura ya indeatan
piar-ei 'twenty - one'
piar-ei 'twenty - one'
piarei ya boiyari
piarei ya boiyari
piarei ya sura
piarei ya sura
piarei ya sura ya botoru
piarei ya sura ya botoru
piaru < /piar + ru/ 'twenty - two'
piaru < /piar + ru/ 'twenty - two'
piatoru < /piar + toru/ 'twenty - three'
piatoru < /piar + toru/ 'twenty - three'
piatoru < < piar + toru/ 年 twar + a/ 'twenty - three'
piatoru < < piar + toru/ 年 twar + a/ 'twenty - three'
piarin </piar + rin/ 'twenty - five'
piarin </piar + rin/ 'twenty - five'
piawonan < /piar + wonan/ 'twenty - six'
piawonan < /piar + wonan/ 'twenty - six'
piaitu < /piar + itu/ 'twenty - seven'
piaitu < /piar + itu/ 'twenty - seven'
piaindeatoru </piar + indeatoru/'twenty - eight'
piaindeatoru </piar + indeatoru/'twenty - eight'
piaindeatan < /piar + indeatan/ 'twenty - nine'
piaindeatan < /piar + indeatan/ 'twenty - nine'
2ø\emptyset piaura </piar + sura/ 'twenty - ten'
2ø\emptyset piaura </piar + sura/ 'twenty - ten'
løø\emptyset piaura we rin 'two hundred times five'
løø\emptyset piaura we rin 'two hundred times five'

```
    sura ya rin
```

    sura ya rin
    piaura < </piar + sura/ 'twenty - ten'
    piaura < </piar + sura/ 'twenty - ten'
    piaura we sura 'two hundred times ten'
    ```
    piaura we sura 'two hundred times ten'
```

One other minor counting system will be noted in passing: a base four system for counting large fish. Ambai demonstrates a system of counting by fours which is used in the process of dividing a catch of large fish. The folk etymology of the system is that four men are usually involved in netting large fish and that the base four system assures an equal distribution of the catch. The numerals are transparently composed of the inanimate numeral boa 'four' and a numeric suffix. The forms of these base-four numerals are given in Figure 4.12 and the use of the forms is illustrated in (51).

Figure 4.12: Ambai brse-four numerals

| 4 | boa-siri | 'four - one' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | boa-ru | 'four - two' |
| 12 | boa-toru | 'four - three' |
| 16 | boa-ra | 'four - four' |
| $2 \emptyset$ | boa-rin | 'four - five' |
|  |  |  |
| e-mun dian boa-rin |  |  |
| 3pl-kill fish four-five |  |  |

Ordinal numbers (except 'first') in Ambai are expressed in the simple NP by a combination of a cardinal number and a phrase final singular determiner. In non-ordinal expressions the determiner must agree in number with the numeral. Note the following contrastive sets:


## child seven FO-sg

(87a)

| rakida  <br> day botoru <br> three <br> rakida botoru fo-i  | 'three days' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| day | three FO-sg | 'the third day' |

The ordinal number for 'first' is suppletive: reantenan.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { arikan reantenan fo-i }  \tag{88}\\
\text { child first } & \text { FO-sg }
\end{array} \text { 'the first ch lo }
$$

### 4.1.5.2 Non-numeral quantifiers

Ambai contains a closed set of non-numeral quantifiers which may occur in the Quantification slot of the simple NP. There are four limiters :

| maneiru | 'some (animate)' |
| :--- | :--- |
| manea | 'a few (animate)' |
| beiru | 'some (inanimate)' |

kuteai 'a little; a few (inanimate)'

One word expresses a large quantity : bitoiya. The limiters collocate with different classes of nouns as seen in the following examples:
(89)

```
    i-mun dian maneiru 'I caught some fish'
    ls-kil' fish some
    i-mun dian manea 'I caught a few fish'
    i-wori buku beiru 'I bought some books'
    Y-unun mereka kuteai 'I drank a little water'
    ls-drink water a little
```

(9ø)
(91)
(92)
Both maneiru 'some' and manea 'a few' refer to animate entities,
one expressing indefinite quantity (maneiru) and the other
expressing paucity (manea). Beiru 'some' is used only with
inanimate referents and expresses indefinite number. Kuteai 'a
little' is used with inanimate mass nouns to indicate paucity.
The non-numeral quantifier bitoiya 'many/much' is used to
express indefinite large quantity for either animate of inanimate
referents. Adverbial intensifiers may optionally follow bitoiya.
Thus, the following examples:

```
dian bitoiya (beyari) '(very) many fish'
kaiwasa bitoiya (beiri) '(very) many people'
metan bitoiya (beyari) '(very) much rain'
```

Quantification in Ambai can be expressed by either numerals or non-numerals. In the next section on Orientation we will see how determiners and quantifiers interact.

### 4.2 PRONOUNS

Ambai pronouns take the place of nouns in non-Subject positions; e.g. Object, Oblique, Topic. The pronouns are specified for person and number, distinguishing four categories of person (1 exclusive, 1 inclusive, 2, 3) and four categories of number (singular, dual, trial, plural). The pronominal forms are presented in Figure 4.13.

Figure 4.13: Ambai free pronouns

|  | singular | dual | trial | plural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| l exclusive | yau |  |  |  |
| I inclusive |  | auru | antoru | amea |
| 2 | wau | turu | totoru | tata |
| 3 | i | uru | muntoru | mea |
| itoru | ea | ea |  |  |

The non-singular pronouns are readily segmented into a person root and a numeral suffix. The dual forms all end in -ru < PAN *DuSa and the trial forms in -toru < PAN *telu. The plural forms, which all end in -a may be seen as originating in a quadruple (cf. Capell 1976a:15) as coming from PAN *(em)pat with the final /t/ lost in Ambai but retained in Wandamen -at. Capell notes that dual and trial forms are typical in eastern Indonesia (1976a:14) and that the trial often has the value of 'a limited plural' (1976a:15) as it does in Ambai. The Ambai plural is usually reserved for large groups; ioe. more than six.

The person roots of the non-singular pronouns are less easily derived from PAN forms. The first person exclusive forms appear to relate in an irregular fashion to *(k)ami; the first inclusive to *(ki)ta; the second person form to *(ka)mu; and the third person roots to *si(Dah).

The pronouns are used in non-Subject positions as arguments of the predicate. Examples of the various uses are given below (94)-(96).
(94) OBJECT
$\underset{\text { bioi }}{\text { bioboi/ }}$ yau $\quad$ 'He hit me'
3s.hit ls
bioi sa 'He hit them'
/di-boi/
3s.hit 3pl
(95) OBLIQUE
y-okon dian boru we mea
ls-give fish two to 2 pl
'I gave two fish to you all'
b-okon fiani we yau ?
$2 s-g i v e$ what to ls
'What did you give to me?'
i-ra tuti wau
ls-walk with 2s
'I walked with you'
(96) TOPIC
$i$ mani guru dine-i
3s TOPIC teacher BE-sg
'As for him, he's a teacher'


#### Abstract

The Ambai pronouns are not used as free forms in Subject position. Person and number information is already marked on the verb by subject prefixes .


### 4.3 PROPER NOUNS


#### Abstract

Proper nouns in Ambai refer to unique referents such as people, places, or certain objects such as canoes or houses. Proper nouns cannot be specified for definiteness nor by quantifiers. Numerals may follow a proper noun to indicate an associated group of people, however. This pattern is similar to the Irian Jaya Malay 'Yan dorang' meaning 'Yan and the others with him'.


(97)

> Yani coru 'Yan and the others'

### 4.4 COMPOUND NP

Noun phrases can be conjoined in Ambaj if they fill the same grammatical role in the clause. The NPs may be conjoined by either tutir or konta. which both mean 'with'. The normal pattern is for two NPs to be conjoined, but three or more NPs may be conjoined with the conjunction appearing only between the last pair. The Ambai compound NP is diagrammed in Figure 4.14.

## Figure 4.14: The Ambai compound NP

(NP) (NP) NP CONJUNCTION NP

Examples of the compound NP are given below.
(98)
yau, Simoni, tuti Yan
'I, Simon, and Yan'
(99)

| dian manei tuti romu mandu |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fish one | and bird two |

'one fish and two birds'
(10ø)
ne-ku arikan ne-sa konta ne-ku munu ne-i
POS-ls child NE-pl add
'my children and my house'
(101)
wariboai ne-i konta roro-ku win ne-i
young.man NE-sg add cross.sib-ls female NE-sg
'the young man and my sister'

### 4.5 POSSESSIVE NP

Ambai has two means of marking possession which have been called 'inalienable' and 'alienable' for want of better terms. Inalienable possession has been discussed as a part of the morphology of the noun in 4.1 .1 above. We now turn to 'alienable' possession which functions on the NP level.

Alierable possession encompasses the vast majority of possessible items in Ambai, including some body parts and kin
terms. Possession is marked by the preposed possessive particle ne which is specified for person and number of the possessor by a set of prefixes and suffixes. Examples of some lexical items which are modified by alienable possession are as follows (1Ø2)-(1Ø4):
(102) Body parts

| ina | 'bone' | ne-ku ina 'my bone' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tarai 'body' | ne-mu tarai 'your body' |  |
| anteni 'heart' ne- $\varnothing$ anteni | 'his heart' |  |

(103) Kin terms
arikan 'child' mu-ne arikan 'your (al)
kaisun 'son' u-ne kaisun $\begin{array}{r}\text { their (dl) } \\ \text { son' }\end{array}$
kamutun 'daughter' ne-ku kamutun 'my daughter'
tafuai 'older sibling same sex'
ne-mu tafuai 'your (sg) older sibling (s.sex)'
(104) Other items

| munu | 'house' | e-ne | munu | 'their (pl) house' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wa | 'canoe' | ne-ku | wa | 'my canoe' |
| fian | 'food' | ne-mu | fian | 'your food' |
| rotan | 'bag' | ne- $\emptyset$ | rotan | 'his/her bag' |
| wombua | 'spear' | ne-ku | wombua | 'my spear' |
| romi | 'garden' | ta-ne | romi | 'our (in.pl) garden' |

The non-singular alienable forms differ depending on whether the possessed noun is a body part or not. Body parts are preceded by the possessive particle ne which is suffixed by -mi indicating the plurality of the possessed word. Thus (105)

```
(105a)
    ta-ne-mi tarai ne-sa 'our (in.pl) bodies'
    lin.pl-POS-pl body NE-pl
    but
(105b)
    ta-ne munu ne-sa 'our houses'
    lin.pl-POS house NE-pl
```

Common nouns and proper nouns occur as separate possessor words; pronouns occur only within the possessive particle.
(1ø6)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { inontarai } & \text { fo-i } & \text { ne- } \emptyset & \text { munu fo-i } \\ \text { person } & \text { FO-sg } & \text { POS-3s } & \text { house FO-sg }\end{array}$
'the person's house'
(107)

| Yani | ne- $\emptyset$ | munu fo-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yan | POS-3s | house |
| FO-sg |  |  |

(108)

| *yau | ne-ku | munu | ne-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ls | POS-1s | house | NE-sg |
| $\emptyset$ | ne-ku | munu ne-i |  |

'My house'

NOTES

1 I owe this model to Kenneth Gregerson. The model is illustrated in Oguri (1976).

## Chapter 5 THE CLAUSE NUCLEEUS

1
1
1
5.ø INTRODUCTION

The clause in Ambai may be seen as consisting of three layers: a nucleus consisting of the verb, a core consisting of the nucleus plus the one or two essential arguments required by the verb, and a periphery consisting of all oblique arguments. ${ }^{1}$ Further specifics of each of the three layers will be discussed in this chapter on the nucleus and in the following two chapters on the core (6) and the periphery (7).

The three-part structure of the clause is presented in Figure 5.1. ${ }^{2}$

Figure 5.1: The layered clause model
[Oblique NPs [ NP (NP) [Predicate] ] ]
[ NuCleus ]
[ CORE ]
[ PERIPHERY ]

1
can ascertain the syntactic form it will take, although knowing the form of the verb does not readily account for the meaning.

The semantic structure of a verb may be expressed in great detail or by a simpler model. In this discussion I will use Dowty's (1979) four semantic classes of predicates: states, activities, accomplishments, and achievements. Each of these four classes can be defined by semantic and syntactic tests. Dowty's examples of the four classes are given in (1).
(1)

| States Activities | Accomplishments | Achievements |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| know run | paint a picture | recognize |  |
| believe walk | make a chair | spot |  |
| have swim a cart draw a circle | deliver | find |  |
| desire push a | pose |  |  |
| love drive a car recover from illness die |  |  |  |

Dowty (1979:60) gives semantic and syntactic tests which distinguish these four classes of predicates.

Ambai has three syntactic tests which distinguish the four classes. The Ambai syntactic tests concern the completive aspectual modifiers kai/kiai, and the qualifier nariai 'carefully'. Figure 5.2 summarizes the Ambai tests.

Figure 5.2: Ambai syntactic tests distinguishing verb classes State Achieve. Activity Accomp.

| 1. complement of kiai | no | no | no | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. complement of kai | yes | no | yes | no |
| 3. occurs with nariai | no | no | yes | yes |

These four verb classes plus $F / \mathrm{VV}$ 's lexical decomposition will
prove helpful in the discussion of Ambai clause types in chapter 6 where we will see that each semantic verb type corresponds to only one syntactic clause type. Examples of the four semantic verb types are given in (2) -(5).
(2) State

| wawasa | 'wet' |
| :--- | :--- |
| adai | 'tall' |
| tawawa | 'short' |
| nai | 'reside' |

(3) Achievement
sobu 'arrive'
mareka 'die'
(4) Activity roban 'to cut down'
ra 'to walk'
wo 'to paddle'
eriai 'to swim/bathe' mito 'to run'
(5) Accomplishment
mun Urui ' 'pill'

Dowty takes the States to be basic and posits logical structures for each of the four verb classes as illustrated in Figure 5.3.

Figure 5.3: Dowty's logical structures

Verb class
STATES
ACHIEVEMENTS
ACTIVITIES
ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Logical structure
stative(s)
BECOME stative(s)
(DO) predicate
a CAUSE b
(where 'a' is normally an ACTIVITY and 'b' an ACHIEVEMENT)

Dowty's logical structures show the interrelationships between the four verb classes in ways that will prove useful to our understanding of Ambai predicates also. STATES are basic; ACHIEVEMENTS are the situations where something becomes a STATE. ACTIVITIES are again more basic than ACCOMPLISHMENTS which are activities which result in an achievement (BECOME STATE).

F/VV subdivide Dowty's four classes further on the basis of the type of state involved and the volitionality and motion involved in achievement verbs.

Statives may be divided into five categories; one locative and four non-locative as follows:

## Figure 5.4: STATE verbs

A. Locative
be at $(x, y)$ where $x=$ theme $y=$ location
B. Non-locative

1. State or condition
2. Perception
3. Cognition
4. Possession

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { predicate ( } x \text { ) where } x=\text { patient } \\
& \text { see }(x, y) \quad \text { where } x=\text { location } \\
& y=\text { theme } \\
& \text { believe }(x, y) \text { where } x=\text { location } \\
& y=\text { theme } \\
& \text { have }(x, y) \text { where } x=\text { location } \\
& y=\text { theme }
\end{aligned}
$$

The five STATE classes are distinguished by the basic type of predicate they represent and the number and the roles of the arguments. The predicates 'see' and 'believe' are representative of similar predicates of perception (e.g, hear, feel) and cognition (e.g. know). Examples of Ambai state verbs are given in (6).
(6) Ambai state verbs

```
nai 'reside'
maraba 'heavy'
tara-oa 'hear'
roasoa 'believe'
kasou 'angry'
```

To F/VV's five state classes I propose to add a sixth which we will see will help explain Ambai syntax: Status state. Status state has the logical structure nominal ( $x$ ) where $x=$ patient and expresses such concepts as 'to be a married person' , 'to be a male', etc. The nominal term functions as the predicate of the clause, but $I$ have avoided the term 'predicate' in order to distinguish this subclass from $F / V V^{\prime}$ s condition state.

ACHIEVEMENT predicates have the logical structure 'BECOME state', in which the state can be any of the state predicate already described. Examples of achievements are presented in Figure 5.5.

Figure 5.5: Achievement verbs

|  | be at $(x, y)$ | arrive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BECOME | predicate $(x)$ | die (i.e. become dead) |
|  | nominal $(x)$ | become a married man |
|  | see $(x, y)$ | notice |
|  | believe $(x, y)$ | recognize |
|  | have $(x, y)$ | receive |

Thus, achievements can be one of six different types with the initial argument of BECOME being the same as the initial argument of the state; e.g. BECOME (x) married man (x) ' $x$ became a married man'. Thus, 'receive' is BECOME have $(x, y)$ where $x$ is the new possessor (location) and $y$ is the item possessed (theme). The
achievement verb 'to spot' can be represented as BECOME see ( $x, y$ ) where $x$ is the receiver of the sensory perception (location) and $y$ is the item spotted (theme). An Ambai achievement verb is sobu 'to meet/to arrive'.

ACTIVITIES are divided into those potentially controllable and those not controllable (-DO). The potentially controllable predicates are divided into those actually controlled (indicated by WANT) and those uncontrolled (indicated by DO).

Figure 5.6: ACTIVITY verbs
A. Potentially controllable

| 1. controlled | WANT $(x)$ | where $x=$ agent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. uncontrolled | DO $(y)$ | where $y=$ effector |
| B. Uncontrolled | -DO $(x)$ | where $x=$ theme |

Combining the three operators WANT, DO and -DO with the two possibilities [+motion] and [-motion], we arrive at the following six types of activity verbs Figure 5.7.

Figure 5.7: Activity predicates

| WANT | +motion | walk, call, look at |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WANT | -motion | eat, sing |
| DO | +motion | slide |
| DO | -motion | cough |
|  |  |  |
| -DO | +motion | fall, slip |
| -DO | -motion | sneeze |

Ambai activity verbs include the following (7).
(7)

| feram | 'to cut' | WANT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| boi | 'to hit' |  |
| sea | 'to cough' | DO |
| tawa | 'to fall' | -DO |

We will see the relationship between these semantic classes of verbs and the syntactic clause types in 6.l.

F/VV define ACCOMPLISHMENT predicates as ' $x$ CAUSE $y$ ' where ' $x$ ' is usually an activity verb and ' $y$ ' an achievement verb (2:14). Assuming that the activity verbs might have both [+motion] and [-motion] possibilities we arrive at a large number of accomplishment types when they interact with the six state predicate types. I present only a few of the more productive possibilities in (8) - (10).
(8) Joan broke the glass

WANT (Joan) CAUSE BECOME broken (glass) WANT
DO (Joan) CAUSE BECOME broken (glass) DO
(9) Tom taught Bill linguistics

WANT (TOM) CAUSE BECOME know (Bill, linguistics)
(1ø) Bill gave Tom the book
WANT (Bill) CAUSE BECOME have (Tom, the book)

### 5.1.2 Verb Formation

Ambai verbs can be formed by compounding, by reduplication, or by class-changing derivation. In this section we discuss the forms and meanings of each of these processes.

### 5.1.2.1 Compounding

A limited sei of Ambai verbs consist of a bi sic verb root followed by a verb indicating either a more specific action or by a qualifying adverbial root. Examples of this process are listed in (11).
(11)

| fot <br> pull | kutu $-->$ fokutu 'to pull apart' cut through |
| :---: | :---: |
| feran cut | kutu $-->$ ferankutu 'to cut through' cut through |
| ara <br> turn | berak ---> araberak 'to turn around' around |
| fot <br> pull | berak around |
| isan <br> stab |  |

5.1.2.2 Reduplication

A small set of Ambai verbs accept partial reduplication to form new verb roots. The phonological processes affecting reduplication are discussed in 2.3 where we saw that the reduplication is left-moving from stress and that the consonant of the stressed syllable is reduplicated. The vowel of the reduplicated syllable can be either /e/ preceding the [+low] vowel, /a/ preceding [-low] vowels, or. /i/ preceding [+back] vowels.
(1.2)

```
fatin 'to pull out'
fefatin 'to pull out many items'
baur 'to split'
feram 'to cut
faferam 'to cut many items; to cut repeatedly'
roban 'to fell'
raroban 'to fell many iter.s'
boi 'to hit'
Laboi 'to hit repeatedly'
sera 'to seek'
sasera 'to seek for a long time'
```

In this section we will discuss the various semantic shifts which occur when a verb undergoes ieduplication. We snall see that the semantic shift varies with the semantic class of the original root.

Activity verbs take on the idea of magnitude of the action or of the objects when reduplicated. Several of the examples in (12) are intrinsically single-action type verbs; e.g. 'to fell' as the same tree can be felled only once. In these instances reduplication can only refer to multiplicity of the objects involved.

State verbs are used as qualifiers of nouns in Ambai or as predicates. Most reduplicated state verbs signify intensification. The examples in (13) show emotion states and condition states.
(13)

```
kasou 'angry'
kasisou 'very angry'
matai 'afraid'
matitai 'very afraid'
marisin 'happy'
mararisin 'very happy'
katui 'small'
katitui 'very small'
```

Colour states which receive reduplication are seen as intensified or mitigated (i.e. attenuated) depending on the speaker questioned. It is interesting to note that the five colour verbs in Ambai exhibit a hierarchy similar to that proposed by Berlin and Kay (1969): black and white are either intensified or mitigated by reduplication; red and yellow are only mitigated; and green cannot be reduplicated at all.
(14)


### 5.1.2.3 Derivation

Ambai has one class-changing suffix which may be attached to certain nouns to change their grammatical class, i.e. from nouns to verbs. A limited set of inalienably possessed body parts may be verbalized by the addition of the suffix -o after the possessive suffix. The semantic connections between the basic noun and the verbal form are that between the body part and what is perceived as its basic use. The following examples constitute all those found to date.

| (15) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tara | 'ear' | tara-ku-o. | 'I hear' |
| wara | 'hand' | wara-mu-o | 'you reach out' |
| awe | 'foot' | awe-ф力-o | 'he steps on; he reaches out with his foot' |
| aro | 'chest' | ta-aro-mi-o | 'we (in.pl) remember' |
| boro | 'mouth' | boro-mu-o | 'you order someone around' |
| ene | 'abdomen' | ene-ku-o | 'I love' |

These verbalized words can also receive the third person singular object suffix or the introveri (INT) or extrovert (EXT) directional clitics indicating direction away from or towards the speaker as illustrated in (16).
(16)

```
tara-mu-o-i 'listen to him'
ear-2s-VBL-3s
tara-mu-o-a 'listen to that'
ear-2s-VBL-EXT
tara-mu-o-ma 'listen (to me) here'
ear-2s-VBL-INT
```

Other Yapen languages exhibit a similar set of lexical items which can be verbalized. Papuma, Woi, and Ansus also verbalize the word 'eye' which becomes 'to see' and 'nose' which becomes 'to smell'.

### 5.1.3 Inflection

Ambai verbs must be inflected for the person and number of the grammatical Subject and transitive verbs can receive a suffixed form of the third person singular pronoun. In this section we discuss each of these processes from the synchronic aspect as well as from a diachronic aspect in which we compare Ambai to other Western Yapen languages and to PAN and Oceanic characteristics.

### 5.1.3.1 Subject Inflection

Each Ambai verb is marked for the person and number of the grammatical Subject. ${ }^{4}$ Capeli notes that in this regard New Guinea Austronesian languages (NGAN) 'come nearest to the general Oceanic model' and that most of the NGAN languages 'use a shortened form (or root form) of pronoun to indicate person' (1976a:25). We will note that Oceanic languages are not the only

Austronesian languages to cross-reference Subject by verbal prefixes in 5.l.3.2.2. In this first part of this section we will explain the synchronic forms of the Ambai prefixes, the allomorphic variation of which is complex, in terms of a set of underlying forms and P-rules via which the various alloforms may he derived.
5.1.3.1.1 Underlying Subject Prefix Forms

Four categories of person (l exclusive, 1 inclusive, 2 and 3) and four categories of number (singular, dual, trial, and plural) are distinguished by the subject prefixes. No other prefixes occur on the verb. The posited underlying forms of the Subject prefixes are presented in Figure 5.8 below.

Figure 5.8: Underlying Subject prefix forms

|  | singular | dual | trial plural |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 exclusive | i- | aur- | antor- amet- |  |
| 1 inclusive |  | tur- | tor- tat- |  |
| 2 |  | bu- | mur- | muntor- met- |
| 3 | di- | ur- | itor- et- |  |

Certain patterns are evident in the chart of subject prefixes. Looking across the rows one notes that the first exclusive non-singular forms all begin with /a/; the first inclusive non-singular forms with /t/; and the second person non-singular forms with $/ \mathrm{m} /$. Proceeding down the columns one notes that all dual forms end in -ur-; all trials in -tor-; and all plurals in -t-. We will see later that the non-singular surface for.as are very similar to the underlying forms posited here.

The singular prefixes present a more complicated picture. Figure 5.9 presents the various surface forms of the singular subject prefixes. Note that the different columns in Figure 5.9 represent the various allomorph sets as they occur in different environments; i.e. on different verb stems. Note also that some of the subject markers, which in their underlying forms are prefixes, are realized as infixes.

Figure 5.9: Surface forms of Ambai singular Subject prefixes

| 1 s | /i-/ Y- | ye- | i- | i- | i- | i- | i- | i-. | i- i- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 s | /bu-/ b- | bo- | -u- | -u- | -0 | W- | wo- | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset \emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
| 3 s | /di-/ d- | de- | -i- | $\phi$ | -e | $\emptyset$ | -e- | -i- | s- Y- | $\emptyset$ |

The rules which generate the various surface forms of the Subject prefixes are presented in 5.1.3.1.2. Before presenting those rules we give a few examples of the many surface forms of the Subject prefixes in (17).
(17)

| -ampi | 'to eat' | $\begin{gathered} \text { ls } \\ \text { yampi, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 s \\ \text { bampi } \end{gathered}$ | $3 s$ dampi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -adai | 'to be tall' | yedai, | bodai, | dedai |
| -matai | 'to be afraid' | imatai, | mutai, | mitai |
| -kasou | 'to be angry' | ikasou, | kosou, | kesou |
| -sai | 'to weep' | isai, | wai, | sai |
| -sansun | 'to be clothed' | isansun, | wonsan, | sensur |
| -nari | 'to make' | inari | nari, | niari |
| -tanam | 'to plant' | itanam, | tanam, | sanam |
| -roki | 'to sing' | iroki, | roki, | yoki |
| -feram | 'to cut' | iferam, | feram, | feram |

### 5.1.3.1.2 Morphophonemic rules

In current discussions on phonology, 'naturalness' is considered by many as being more important than 'power'. By these two terms I refer to the historical feasibility that the posited phonological processes actually might have occurred and the generative power of phonological rules respectively. Hooper (1976) summarized many of the constraints or conditions placed on phonological rules by those linguists who consider themselves adherents of Natural Generative Phonology (NGP). The constraints of NGP include the No-Ordering Condition which disallows the use of extrinsic ordering as practiced by Transformational Generative Phonology (TGP). NGP also disallows highly abstract underlying forms with the True Generalization Condition which states that underlying forms must not merely be relatable to, but must also reflect in a rather direct fashion, the surface forms. NGP seeks to formulate those rules' which best account for the surface forms
and which can be considered 'natcural' in the sense that they represent the processes employed by speakers of the language in question. Schame states that rules should 'point to significant processes operating in the language' (1973:82). Schane continues:

> There is little point in having abstract representations just for the sake of abstractness, because in each case one must show that the additional abstractness and the accompanying rules are well-motivated - that they actually have a simplifying effect on the grammar.
> (ibid)

In the spirit of 'naturalness' I have posited underlying forms and phonological rules which are not highly abstract, mindful of what Schane calls ' the price of abstractness' (1973:82) which refers to the fact that the more abstract the underlying forms, the more rules are required to generate the surface forms. We begin with the simpler non-singular forms before we discuss the singular prefixes.

Non-singular Subject prefixes

The non-singular prefixes all end in either $/ r /$ or $/ t /$. Only one rule is needed to generate the proper surface forms: prefix final /r/ and /t/ are deleted before another Consonant, before a consonant-initial verb root. This is, in fact, a Morpheme-struciure condition which states that only/n/ can occur as the initial consonant of a consonant cluster.
(18) Prefix consonant deletion rule $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{r}- \\ \mathrm{t}-\end{array} \mathrm{F} \quad \rightarrow \quad \emptyset / \ldots+\mathrm{c}\right.$

In (19) and (2ø) we compare the addition of the non-singular prefixes tur- 'lin.dl' and tat- 'lin.pl' to the vowel-initial verb ampi 'to eat' where the prefix-final $C$ is retained and the consonant-initial verb madu 'to speak' where the prefix-final $C$ is dropped.
(19)

| tur - ampi | $-\infty$ | turampi 'lin.dl. eat' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tur + madu | $-\infty$ tumadu 'lin.dl. speak' |  |
| tat - ampi | $-\infty$ tatampi 'lin.pl. eat' |  |
| tat + madu | $-\infty$ tamadu 'lin.pl. speak' |  |

We note here that other Western Yapen (WY) languages do not require the consonant deletion rule, but rather have an assimilation rule which creates a cluster of a nasal plus a homorganic stop. Comparative data from Wandamen as an example of the other WY languages demonstrate the consonant sequences resulting from prefixation which Ambai does not allow (21).

| (21) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'to wal | 'to recl | 'to bite' |
| 1 sg | Ambai | i-ra | i-wata | i-kiri |
|  | Wandamen | i-ra | i-vata | i-kari |
| lin.dl | 1 Ambai | tu-ra | tu-wata | tu-kiri |
|  | Wandamen | tun-da | tum-bata | tuN-gari |
| 3 pl | Ambai | e-ra | e-wata | e-kiri |
|  | Wandamen | sen-da | sem-bata | seN-gari |

## Singular

The singular subject prefixes have been abstracted from the many surface forms displayed in Figure 5.9 on page $x x x$ above. None of the first person singular surface manifestations could be used as the underlying form without complicating the rules which explain the various assimilation and deletion processes which will be evident using a slightly more abstract form. We shall see, however, that the underlying forms posited have diachronic justification. The singular Subject prefixes are /i-/ first person, /bu-/ second person, and /di-/ third person. These singular prefixes interact with the verb stem through processes of labialization, palatalization, syllabification, vowel assimilation, and vowel loss which will be discussed in this section.

In 2.2.2.2 we recognized two types of verbs which are distinguished by differences in the underlying stress assigned to each class. The validation of the stress classes was seen in the stress shift resulting from the addition of the third person singular Object suffix. In this section we also see the importance of stress to the interaction of the vowels of the

Subject prefix and that of the verb stem. Examples of the membership of the two classes are given in (22).
(22) Examples of stress differentiated verb types Stem-initial syl. stressed Stem-initial syl. unstressed
'kafar 'to fold' ka'far 'to kick'
'tanam 'to plant' ta'nan 'to be short'
'watai 'to recline' ma'tai 'to be afraid'
Most verbs with non-low
vowel in first syllable

The two types of verbs exemplified in (22) above reflect different underlying stress patterns: verbs which reci:ve underlying stress on the first syllable of the stem (antepenultimate or penultimate) and verbs which receive stress on the second syllable of the stem (ultimate or penultimate). The importance of this stress distinction is that the initial vowel of the stem, which will interact with the vowel of the Subject prefix, is either stressed or unstressed.

A sscond major verb class distinction can be made between V-initial and C-initial stems. We have already seen that C-initial stems cause the loss of the final consonant of the subject prefix. We will now look at the p-rules dealing with singular prefixes as they relate to C-initial stems.

C-initial verb stems

The singular prefixes /bu-/ for second person and /di-/ for third person become the infixes /-u-/ and /-i-/ respectively when added to C-initial verb stems irrespective of the stress class distinctions. The first person singular prefix /i-/ remains unchanged. The process by which the prefixes become infixes is at
this point simply put forward as a series of possible steps in rules (23a-23c) which are seen as common sound changes in languages. The rules are not, however, seen as the only way to summarize the process involved. We present the rules for Ambai first and then show comparative data from Wandamen and Slaru, a WAN language spoken in the Moluccas east of Timor.
(23a) labialization/ palatalization of root-initial C

(23b) syllable reduction

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[\mathrm{bu}-]} \\
{[\mathrm{di}-]}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow \$ / \ldots\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[\mathrm{cw} /]} \\
{[\mathrm{cy}]}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(23c) syllabification

$$
++\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{[\mathrm{Cw}]} \\
{[\mathrm{Cy}]}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \ldots++\left\{\begin{array}{l}
/ \mathrm{Cu} / \\
/ \mathrm{Ci} /
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The three rules presented in (23a-c) demonstrate how the prefixes /bu-/ and /di-/ might become the infixes /-u-/ and /-i-/ by first causing the following $C$ to be labialized or palatalized after which process the prefix is dropped and the labialized or palatalized $C$ becomes $C$ plus /u/ or /i/ by syllabification. We will see later (. 152 ff . ) that the infixed vowels will undergo or cause further changes in interaction with the first vowel of the verb stem. Examples of Ambai derivation using rules (23a-c) are given in (24).
(24)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { /bu- }+ & \text { tanam/ } \\
& \text { plant (initial syllable stressed) }
\end{aligned}
$$

| /butwanam/ | (by rule 23a) |
| :--- | :--- |
| /́wanam/ | (by rule 23b) |
| /tuanam/ | (by rule 23c) |
| /di- + 'tanam/ |  |
| /dityanam/ |  |
| /tyanam/ | (by rule 23a) |
| /tianam/ | (by rule 23b) |

In Wandamen the process of infixation is more obvious than in Ambai since the infixed vowel does not undergo further changes; e.g it is not deleted as in some Ambai verbs we will see in later rules. Thus, Wandamen t-u-ana(m) '2s plant' as opposed to Ambai tanam and Wandamen t-i-ana(m) 'he plants' as opposed to Ambai sanam.

Mills and Grima (198ø) document a similar process of infixation of the Subject marker in Lettinese and Slaru as what they call 'possible "pseudo-metathesis"'. They explain that what looks like metathesis in these languages could also be explained as being 'progressive assimilation of the palatal/rounded quality of the conditioning high vowel, with the later deletion thereof'(1980:282). We note especially the slaru third person singular /i- + tabar/ which becomes tyabar 'he dances'.

Certain verb initial consonants are further changed by the Consonant Shift rule (25) which changes /r/ and /t/ to [y] and [s] respectively preceding infixed /-i-/ of the third person in verbs with the initial syllable stressed and /s/ to [w] preceding the infixed /-u-/ of second person in ány verbs.
(25)

> Consonant Shift Rule

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
/ \mathrm{t} / \\
/ \mathrm{r} / \\
/ \mathrm{s} /
\end{array}\right\}-\cdots\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{s} \\
\mathrm{y} \\
\mathrm{w}
\end{array}\right\} /-\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(/-\mathrm{i}-/ & \mathrm{v} \\
/-\mathrm{i}-/ & \mathrm{v} \\
/-\mathrm{u} /
\end{array}\right]
$$

The consonant shift rule (25) is illustrated in (26). Again we note that the infixed vowel will undergo further changes by rules as yet not discussed. Following the funbai examples we again present data from Papuma, Busami, Ansus, and Serui Laut which share certain of the rules with Ambai.
(26) AMBAI

$$
/ \mathrm{di}-+\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'roki/ } \\
& \text { sing }
\end{aligned}
$$

/r-i-oki/
/y-i-oki/
(by rules $23 a-c$ )
(by rule 25)
/di- + 'tanam/ plant
/t-i-anam/
(by rules 23a-c)
/s-i-anam/
(by rule 25)
$\underset{2 s}{/ \mathrm{bu}}+\begin{gathered}\text { 'sai/ } \\ \text { weep }\end{gathered}$
/s-u-ai/
(by rules $23 a-c$ )
(by rule 25 )

Papuma and Busami share the /r/ $\rightarrow$ [y] rule with Ambai as illustrated in the following examples (27) with the verb 'to sing'

1
(27)

|  | ls | $2 s$ | $3 s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Papuma | e-roi |  |  |
| Busami | ya-ro | roi | yoi |
| Ambai | i-roki | roki | yo |
|  |  |  | yoki |

Papuma and Ansus share the $/ t / \rightarrow-\infty$ [s] rule with Ambai as shown in the verb 'to plant' in (28).
(28)

| Papuma | e-tanan | t-u-anan | sanan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ansus | e-tanan | t-u-anan | sanan |
| Ambai | i-tanam | tanam | sanam |

Papuma and Serui Laut share the /s/ ---> [w] :ule with Ambai as illustrated in (29) with the verb sea 'to cough'.

|  | ls | 2s | 3s |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Papuma | e-sea | wea | sea |
| Serui | i-sca | wea | sea |
| Ambai | i-sea | wea | sea |

V-initial verb stems

The subject prefixes /i-/, /bu-/ and /di-/ are attached directly to V-initial verb stems and then either cause or undergo further changes in interaction with the first vowel of the verb stem. We now note that $C$-initial and V-initial stems can be discussed together since rules $14 a-c$ infixed the prefix vowel to immediately precede the first vowel of the verb stem.

The first person prefix /i-/ becomes the semivowel [y] by a P-rule. Thus, /i- + -ampi/ becomes [yampi] 'I eat'.

```
    There are four possible interactions between the prefix high
vowel and the first vowel of the verb stem:
    1. both vowels can stay the same.
    2. the prefix vowel can be lost
    3. the vowels can mutually affect each other
    4. the stem vowel can be lost
In Ambai all four possibilities are evidenced. We will examine each of the four patterns below and we will see that the presence or absence of stress on the stem initial vowel and the phonetic features of the first stem vowel explain the four patterns of interaction.
No vowel loss
The first possibility when the high vowel of the prefix and the stem vowel interact is that both vowels will remain the same. This situation only occurs with the third person singular Subject marker and verbs which have a stressed low vowel in the first syllable and a non-low vowel in the following syllable. Examples of this rule are given in (3x).
```

```
1
```
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## Prefix vowel loss

The vowel of the prefix is lost in verbs which have a stressd /a/ in the first syllable of the sten followed by another /a/ in the next syllable or when the first vowel of the stem is a stressed non-low vowel (31).


Note that this rule must be ordered to occur after the consonan. shift rule or that the initial $C$ of the environment cannot be one of the three consonants which undergo shift in the ervironment specified.

We note the following examples of prefix vowel loss (32)-(35).
(32)

> /bu- + 'tanam/
> $2 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{plant}$
/t-u-anam/ /tanam/
(by rules 23a-c) (by rule 31)
(33)
/bu- + 'ena/
2s-sleep
/bena/ (by rule 31)
(34)
/di- + 'tanam/ 3s-plant
/t-i-anam/ (by rules 23a-c)
/s-i-anam/ (by rule 25)
/sanam/
(by rule 31)
(35)
$\underset{3 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{sing}}{\mathrm{Cui}}+\mathrm{roki} /$
/r-i-oki/ (by rules 23a-c)
/Y-i-oki/ (by rule 25 )
/Yoki/ (by rule 31)

Mutual assimilation

In certain environments as specified in rule (36) the high vowel or the semivowel [y] and the unstressed low vowel of the stem mutually assimilate and are reduced to a single mid front vowel or [Y] plus mid front vowel.
(36) Mutual assimilation


The Mutual Assimilation rule states that the combination of the high vowel or [y] with the unstressed low vowel of the verb stem which contain a stressed non-low vowel in the second syllable results in an assimilated mid vowel /o/ or /e/ or in [ye]. Examples of this assimilation of prefix and stem vowels are given in (37)-(39).
(37)
bu- + san'sun
2s-be clothed
$s-u-a n s u n$
$w-u-a n s u n$
wonsun

> (by rules $23 a-c$ )
> (by rule 25 )
> (by rule 36 )
(38)
di- + ka'sou
3s-angry

| k-i-asou | (by rules 23a-c) |
| :--- | :--- |
| kesou | (by rule 36 ) |

(39)
i- + a'tor
is-count

| $y$-ator | (by P-rule) |
| :--- | :--- |
| yetor | (by rule 36 |

We note an identical assimilation process occurring in Papuma and Ansus, but with verbs which have a low vowel in the second syllable of the stem:
(40)

|  | ls | $2 s$ | $3 s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Papuma/Ansus | e-matai | motai | metai |

We also note a similar process of assimilation in Wandamen although in Wandamen the prefix vowel remains unaffected and only the stem vowel is changed to a mid front vowel (41).

| (41) Wandamen | ls | 2s | 3s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| matai 'afraid' i-matai | m-u-etai | m-i-etai |  |
| vavisi 'hungry' i-vavisi | v-u-evisi | v-i-evisi |  |

Stem Vowel loss

The first unstressed vowel of some verbs is deleted following the high vowels of the prefixes in the environment specified in rule (42).
(42) Stem Vowel loss


Note the following examples of stem vowel loss in (43)-(45).
(43)

```
/bu- + ma'tai/
2s-afraid
/m-u-atai/ (by rules 23a-c)
/mutai/ (by rule 42)
/di- + ma': ai/
3s-afraid
/m-i-atai/ (by rules 23a-c)
/mitai/
(by rule 42)
/bu- + mi'noki/
2s-sit
/m-u-inoki/ (by rules. 23a-c)
/munoki/
(by rule 42)
```

In the last two rules we noted that those verbs in which the first vowel of the stem did not receive stress underwent either assimilation to mid position or lost the first unstressed stem vowel.

Irregular forms

The four types of interaction between the prefix and stem vowels described in the four sections above account for almost all Ambai verbs. There are a few verbs which are best considered to be 'irregular' forms which can be described most simply by list rather than by rules. The irregularity of the following verbs is limited to the singular forms. The forms that would be expected of regular verbs are placed in parentheses.
(46)

| ls 'to walk' | 2s | 3s |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i-ra |  |  |  |
| (i-ra) | ro | (ra) <br> (i-ra) | da <br> (roi-a) |
| wati 'to see' | i-wati <br> (i-wati) <br> (i-wati) | boti <br> (wati) <br> (woti) | deti <br> (wiati) |
| (weti) |  |  |  |

### 5.1.4 Object inflection

Transitive verbs in Ambai occur in one of two forms: with a free form as Object or with a third person singular object suffix /-i/. Ambai does not allow an object suffix before a free Object. In this section we will discuss the third person singular object suffix from both synchronic (5.1.4.1) and diachronic (5.1.4.2) aspects. Portions of this discussion have already appeared in chapter 2 as a part of the phonological rules of the sound system of Ambai.

### 5.1.4.1 The synchronic situation

Initial investigations of transitive verbs in Ambai presented a confusing picture. The surface forms of the third person singular object suffix included: -i, -mi, -fi, -ri, -wi, -ti, -ki, and -si. These forms were initially posited because Ambai allows only /n/ in word-final (i.e. pre-pause) position. The consonants of the object suffix were at first taken to be a part of the suffix, not a part of the verb root. Needless to say, no pattern could be found to explain the various consonants. Following the pattern which Bloomfield used on Samoan data in Language (2933:219), the consonants were analyzed as part of the
verb root, even though they only occured preceding the object suffix. On synchronic grounds, then, underlying word-final consonants were posited to explain the suffix alloforms. The p-rules in chapter 2 delete these verb-final consonants (except $/ n /$ ) before pause. Examples of transitive verbs with and without the object suffix are presented in (47).
(47)

| ruti | ru | 'to hold' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bayari | baya | 'to pay' |
| unumi | unun | ro |
| rerepi | rere | ruai |
| ruaisi | an lo lick' |  |
| ani | 'to wash' |  |

The synchronic position in Ambai then is that the third singular Object suffix /-i/ is attached directly to the verb root and that the underlying forms of transitive verb roots may end in not only $/ n /$, but also $/ t, r, m, f$, and $s /$.

### 5.2 MUCLEAR OPERATORS

Each layer of the clause, (i.e. nucleus, core, periphery) comes under the control of specific operators. 5 The operators, While not constituents of any layer, 'have as their domain or their scope the corresponding layer' ( $F / V V$ 5:23). In this chapter we will consider two nuclear operators evidenced in Ambai: aspect and directionals. Both of these nuclear operators directly modify the predicate without regard to the other clause layers. We will discuss each operator in turn. A diagram of the clause Nucleus plus its two operators in presented in Figure 5.10.

## Figure 5.10: The clause Nucleus and its operators

( [NUCLEUS] Aspect, Directionals)

### 5.2.1. Aspect

Aspect involves 'different ways of viewing the internal constituency of a situation' (Comrie 1976:3). Aspect is how the speaker characterizes the dynamism of a situation in terms of situation-internal time, as opposed to situation-external time marked by Tense (a peripheral operator discussed in chapter 7). F/VV (5:23) quote Jakobson as defining Aspect as characterizing 'the narrative event itself without involving its participants and without reference to the speech act' (1971:134) while Tense 'characterizes the narrated event with reference to the speech event' (1971:135). ${ }^{6}$ In Ambai we will see that Aspect and Tense may be syntactically differentiated by relative ordering in relation to the predicate (with Aspect occurring closer to the predicate).

Aspect in Ambai can be divided into COMPLETIVE; DURATIVE, and FREQUENTIVE aspects (cf. Bee 1973:96-97).

### 5.2.1.1. Completive aspect

In Ambai completeness is signalled by the COMPLETIVE particles kai and kiai. ${ }^{7}$ The completive particles kai and kiai indicate that the event predicated is viewed as complete. We have seen in 5.l.l.l that kai can only occur with states and activities and that kiai occurs only with accomplishments.

```
Examples of the use of these completive aspect operators are given in (48)-(5ø).
```

(48) State

| i-sansun <br> ls-clothe | kai <br> COMPLETIVE | 'I am completely dressed' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Activity |  |  |
| amet-an <br> lex.pl-eat maize | kasamberei <br> 'We finished eating maize (although not all was <br> eaten)' |  |

(5ø) Accomplishment
amet-an kasamberei fo- $\emptyset \quad$ kiai
lex-pl-eat maize
'We finished eating the maize'

Tense, on the other hand, as a peripheral operator occurs outside the clause nucleus and relates to the real-world time of the speech event. Tense can occur with the kai/kiai completive aspect markers as shown in (5la) and (5lb).
(513)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { i-sansun } & \text { kai } & \text { ampa } \\ \text { ls-clothe } & \text { COMPL } & \text { PERFECT }\end{array}$
'I am already completely dressed'
(5lb)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { anto-wo Urui kiai ampa } \\
& \text { lex.tr-paddle Serui COMPL PERFECT } \\
& \text { 'We already finished paddling to Serui' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.2.1.2 Durative aspect

DURATIVE as an aspectual operator is signalled in Ambai by paria directly after the predicate. Duration can only be expressed with state and Activity predicates since the other two predicate types (i.e. Achievements and Accomplishments) cannot be durative. Duration with Activity predicates indicates that the activity is seen as taking place over a certain space of time; i.e. it is not instantaneous.
(52)

'They kept paddling towards the house(s)'

We note in (52) that the aspectual operator paria may occur between the predicate and the object. This ordering helps confirm the fact that aspect is an operator on the nucleus (i.e. the predicate).

Duration with state predicates indicates an intensified State as illustrated in (53).
(53)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Kesou paria } & \\
\text { /di-kasou/ DUR } & \text { 'He is very angry' } \\
3 \text { s-angry DUR } & \\
\text { dedai paria } & \\
\text { /di-adai/ DUR } & \text { 'He is very tall' }
\end{array}
$$

5.2.1.3. Frequentive Aspect

Aspectual markers indicating frequency include such concepts as REPETITIVE, RECURRENT, and HABITUATIVE situations.

Repetitive actions which are signalled in Ambai are marked by partial reduplication of the verb root. The phonological details of this process were discussed in 2.3.4. Examples include:
(54)
fatin 'to pull out' fefatin 'to pull out many things'
boi 'to hit' baboi 'to hit repeatedly'
feram 'to cut' faferam 'to cut repeatedly'

Recurrent actions are signalled by the word e(a)ka following the predicate or the object if one appears.
(55)

| b-i-oi | Yani | eaka |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| /di-boi/ |  |  |
| $3 s-h i t$ | Yan | again |

'He hit Yan again'
(56)

| e-wo | eka | ma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3pl-paddle again | INT |  |

'They paddled here again.'

Habituative states and activities are signalled by the lexeme biriu following the predicate.
(57)

| sai | biriu | 'He cries habitually' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dampi | biriu | 'He eats habitually' |
| medu | biriu | 'He talks habitually' |
| mei | biriu | 'He plays habitually' |
| dena | biriu | 'He sleeps habitually' |
| mitai biriu | 'He is always afraid' |  |
| merira biriu | 'He dislikes everything' |  |

### 5.2.2 Directionals

The second nuclear operator in Ambai is the category of directionals. $F / V V$ state that directionals 'express a directional orientation of the nucleus, whether the action is up, down, toward or away from the speaker' (5:27). As Lyons (1977:690) observes language is anthropocentric, relating the position of other entities to that of the people involved in the speech situation. In Ambai the speaker is taken as the zero point for all directional formatives (ignoring metaphorical usage of directionals in discourse cohesion). Two directional roots distinguish motion toward the speaker man (Introvert) and away from the speaker a (Extrovert). Both are postclitics on the clause occurring after the directional GOAL or SOURCE if it occurs. ${ }^{8}$ Other directionals express dichotomous relations of verticality (up/down), front-back, and landwards-seawards.

We begin with the basic introvert-extrovert dichotomy. The speaker-oriented formatives man and a occur only with predicates involving motion. These directionals occur as clause final clitice.
(58)
(59)

| ro <br> bu-ra/ <br> 2s-walk | ma |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| ro |  |  |
| ro-ra/ <br> 2s-walk | a |  |


| w-i-o <br> /di-wo/ <br> $3 s-p a d d l e$ | from Serui | ma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INT 'He paddled from Serui |  |  |
| to here' |  |  |

```
lom no munu ne-i a 
``` isouse'

Other horizontal directionals may be suffixed to the basic introvert-extrovert roots to form more spudific directionals (60).
(60a) Irtrovert
mandei 'tor.ard speaker on land'
mandau 'toward speaker on sea'
mampon 'towards speaker in front'
mampui 'towards speaker in back'
mambaru 'towards speaker across:
(60b) Extrovert
arei 'away from speaker to land'
arau 'away from speaker to sea'
afon 'away from speaker to front'
afui 'away from speaker to back'
awaru 'away from speaker across'

These compound directionals occur clause final (6la-b).
(6la)

> i-wo (to Ambai) a-waru
> ls-paddle to Ambai EXT-across
> 'I paddled across (to Ambai)' (Speaker is not at Ambai at time of speech event)
(61b)
i-wo (to Ambai) man-waru
ls-paddle to Ambai INT-across
'I paddled here (to Ambai)'

Verticality is expressed by the dichotomous distinction between yai 'up' and weu 'Jown' as measured from the position of the speaker. These two vertical elements are suffixed to the introvert-extrovert roots to form manai 'towards speaker up', mambeu 'towards speaker down', ayai 'away from speaker up', and aweu 'away from speaker down'.
(62)
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
minoki \\
/di-minoki/ \\
3s-sit
\end{tabular} & E-weu \\
'He sat down' &
\end{tabular}
(63)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline d-autai & a-yai \\
\hline 3s-go up & EXT-up \\
\hline He went up & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{abstract}
NOTES

1 This concept of a layered clause has been developed by olson (1982), Olson and Foley (1981), and by Foley and Van Valin (to appear)(F/VV). F/VV describe the layered clause as follows:
\end{abstract}

The innermost layer of the clause is the NUCLEUS, which contains the predicate. It is the heart of the clause. The nucle s may be complex and consist of more than one predicate. . Surrounding the nucleus is the CORE of \(t\) clause, which consists of the nucleus plus usually one or two arguments, depending on the valence of the verb... The outermost layer of the clause is the PERIPHERY, which contains arguments expressing the spatio-temporal setting of the event, as well as secondary participants in the event, e.g. beneficiaries.
(F/VV 3:3)

2 Figure 5.1 is taken from F/VV 5:1.

3 F/VV note that:

The most common operators are the usual catsories of verb inflection, tense, aspect, and mood, etc., but.. these inflectional possibilities correspond to operators of different layers. This is reflected in the orderirg constraints in different langurges for these inflectional categories.
(F/VV 3:3)

4 Patz, however, suggests that Numfoor-Biak 'verb forms are not concordial for person or number' but are rather marked by free pronoun forms (1978:142). I consider this hypothesis to be the result of Patz's data base of written texts, however.
5 Rivero (19-2) states, however, that there is 'strong evicience
against the assumption that both modilities and operators belong

\begin{abstract}
to a common constiuent which is separat.ed from the relation elements (i.e. verbs ana nouns) in a given Phrase-Marker' (1972:209).
\end{abstract}
\(6 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{VV}\) explain:

> When reporting an event the speaker chooses a particular point from which to view the internal temporal phrases of the event. If the event is viewed as complete and of no continuing relevance, then the perfective or non-durative aspect is used. The imperfective indicates the event is not complete and may highlight the internal development of the event. Sub-iypes of the imperfective are the habitual, imperative or progressive. Finally, the event may be viewed as complete, but itis consequent resuli may be of continuing relevance. This is the perfect aspect. In all cases aspect is concerned with the structure of the narrated event itself. The speech event and its participants are of no importance.

(F/VV 5:24-25)

7 The word kai is also found in Wandamen where it appears to be a stative verb meaning 'finished'. Note the following examples:
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
i-ne rau-pa kai \\
ls-pos leaf-the & finished
\end{tabular}
'My vegetables are finished/all gone'
i-ne rau-pa si-kai
ls-POS leaf-the 3pl.inan-finished
'My vegetables (lots) are all finished/gone'

8 Cowan describes ma in Wandamen as 'morphologically anã syntactically +reated as one whole with the stem' (1955:56).

\section*{Chapter 6. THE CLAUSE CORE}

\section*{6.Ø INTRODUCTION}

The clause core is the level of the layered clause between the NUCLEUS, which consists of just the predicate as discussed in chapter 5, and the PERIPHERY, which includes Oblique arguments to be discussed in chapter 7. The clause core, then, consists of the clause nucleus (i.e. the predicate) and the nuclear operators (i.e. aspect and directionals) plus the one or two essential arguments of the predication, which are called the core arguments. The model of the clause core is presented in Figure 6.1.

Figure 6.1: The clause core
([([Pred] Asp., Dir.) s, O] Modality)
[NUCLEUS]
[CORE : ]

The core arguments of the Ambai clause are distinguished from Oblique or peripheral arguments syntactically and semanticaliy. In this chapter we will discuss first the role structure of the
clause (6.2) in terms of traditional transitivity sets. We shall see that an understanding of the semantic nature of Ambai predicates leads to correct predictions of the syntax of the various clause types, i.e. to know the semantics of a clause is to know the fvntax. In the final part of this chapter (6.3) we will discuss the core operator, Modality, which expresses such concepts as intentive, desiderative, etc.

\subsection*{6.1 THE ROLE STRUCTURE OF THE CLAUSE CORE}

As Foley and Van Valin (F/VV) state 'one of the most fundamental problems in the analysis of clause structure is the characterization of predicates and the semantic relations which obtain between them and their arguments.' (2:1) we have seen in chapter 5 that each Ambai predicate can be decomposed lexically by the four verb classes posited by Dowty (1979) and by F/VV's subclasses. Each predicate has one or two core arguments which are in one of five etic role relationships with the verb: Agent, Effector, Locative, Theme, or Patient. Agent involves the higher predicate WANT signalling [+intention], as in 'John intentionally hit Bill'. Effector is [-intention] signalled by DO, as in 'John bumped into Bill (unintentionally).' Theme refers to the non-locative argument in perception, cognition, and possession states, e.g. what is perceived, known, believed, possessed. Locative indicates the physical location in locative states and the more metaphorical location of perception, cognition, and possession states, 'Bill' in 'Bill is in the house' or 'Bill saw the island'. Patient is defined by \(F / V V\) as being 'the semantic
relation of the single argument of a one-place stative predicate' (F/VV 2:23). Thus, 'window' is Patient in 'The window is broken'. 'Window' is also Patient in the transitive clause 'Bill broke the window'.

F/VV present a two-part opposition between Actor and Undergoer to explain the semantics of the clause core. In this section we will first define Actor and Undergoer and then briefly compare this system to that of case grammar and to that of Pikean tagmemics.

Actor and Undergoer may be defined as 'the two arguments in a transitive predication, either one of which may be the single argument of an intransitive verb' (F/VV 2:1). Actor and Undergoer are thus not the same as the syntactic relations subject and Object which we will discuss in the section on syntax. Nor are they the same as case grammar's Agent and Patient. F/VV state that both Actor and Undergoer may bear a number of different case roles:

> . they do not have a constant semantic content. While all actors have in common that they are potential initiators and/or controllers of the predicate, their exact interpretation in any clause is a function of the nature of the predicate and, to a lesser extent, the inherent lexical content of the NP argument serving as actor. Similar considerations apply to the undergoer.
> (F/VV 2:6)

Taking the five argument 'roles' which \(F / V V\) use to discuss the logical structures of verbs (agent, effector, locative, theme, patient) \(F / V V\) propose a hierarchy for accessibility to both Actor and Undergoer which is displayed in Figure 6.2 (cf. F/VV 2:36). We note that. the same underlying 'role' might be
either not \({ }^{+\quad}\) or Undergoer depending on the logical structure of the prealeation.

Figure f.2: Hierarchy of access to Actor and Undergoer

ACTOR:

UNDERGOER:

Agent
Effector
Locative
Theme
Patient

The hierarchy in Figure 6.2 states that agent, if present, will always be Actor and that Patient, if present, is always Undergoer. If a clause has both a locative and a theme, the locative will be Actor and the theme will be Undergoer, e.g. cognition states. Thus, 'Bill', the location of the cognition verb 'see', is Actor, while 'island', the theme, is Undergoer: 'Bill saw the island'. A clause with both agent and locative, such as 'Bill gave Tom the book', has a locative for Undergoer (Tom). Thus, locative can be either Actor or Undergoer depending on the clause.

Case grammar (as explained by Fillmore (1968), Cook(1979), Longacre (1976) inter alia) presents a much more complex picture of the 'roles' taken by arguments. Depending on the scholar and the languages he has studied, a case grammarian may posit a given number of cases which are then assigned to verbs. F/VV, on the other hand, posit that the various rolefreigtionships are 'derived from the very semantic structure of predicates themselves'(2:1) as we saw in the lexical decomposition and the logical structures of the verbs. Thus, the many possible etic
'roles' can be summarized in an Actor and Undergoer dichotomy. This two-part dichotomy will prove useful in a discussion of the syntactic relations Subject of and Object of in Ambai. \({ }^{1}\)

\subsection*{6.2 THE SYNTAX OF THE AMBAI CLAUSE CORE}

The clause core is defined as a nuclear predicate (chapter
5) and its core arguments. The core arguments are diagnostic of the basic clause types (cf. Cook 1969:67). In tagmemic terms the clause core consists of the obligatory predicate and the nuclear tagmemes, i.e. those tagmemes necessary to the definition of the basic clause type. Longacre discusses how the nuclear (i.e. core) tagmemes can be identifiec: all obligatory tagmemes are core, core tagmemes are often marked by verbal agrement, and core tagmemes tend to be contiguous to the nuclear predicate (1964:48f). F/VV note that 'core arguments tend to occur morphologically unmarked and peripheral arguments morphologically marked, often adpositionally' (3:5). They also state that the two core arguments 'are very often distinguished by their ordering alone' and that it is possible for them to be cross-referenced in the verb. (ibid)

Clause types may be defined in terms of which core tagmemes occur and the composition of each tagmeme. The essential part of the tagmeme for our purposes here will be the grammatical slot (i.e. Subject, Object) and the semantic role (i.e. Actor, Undergoer).

We consider two grammatical relations to be core in Ambai: Subject and object. They are considered core arguments because
they occur unmarked by case prepositions immediately before (Subject) and after (Object) the predicate and can be cross-referenced on the verb by Subject affixes or Object suffixes.

SUBJECT in Ambai is the argument which immediately precedes the predicate with no case-marking preposition. Subject is obligatorily marked on verbs by the subjest person and number affixes discussed in 5.1.3 Since Subject is obligatorily marked on the verb, the free form of the Subject is readily omitted in discourse and conversation when the identity of the subject is assumed to be known to the hearer or when the free form subject would be a pronoun. We will see that the choice of the syntactic Subject is semantically determined in Ambai.

OBJECT in Ambai is the argument which immediately follows the predicate with no case-marking preposition. Third person singular Object is marked by a suffix /-i/ when no free form of the Object occurs.

All other arguments of the Ambai predicate are considered OBLIQUE or PERIPHERAL arguments and are obligatorily marked by a preposition. Oblique arguments follow the Object when an Object occurs and may be separated from the Object by aspectual operators. Oblique arguments are not a part of the clause core, but will be mentioned occasionally in this chapter as we consider the basic clause types in Ambai since certain clause types, such as bitranaitive, take three arguments.

The asic clause structure of Ambai is \(S V O\) (OBL; as demonstrated in (la-c) below.
(la)

'Doli gave the knife to Yan'
(lb)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Yani feran } \\ \begin{array}{c}\text { /di-feran/ } \\ 3 s-c u t\end{array} & \text { grass }\end{array} \quad\) na umbe
'Yan cut grass with a bushknife'
(1c)
Fane fiafi ansun na waya fo-i
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { /di-fafi/ ansun } & \text { na waya fo-i } \\ \text { 3s-wash cloth } & \text { at river Fo-sg }\end{array}\)
'Fare washed clothes at the river'

The basic clause types in Ambai can now be defined in terms of the two core roles fActor and Undergoer) and the two core grammatical relations (Subject and Object). The Ambai Subject may be either Actor or Undergoer. If Actor is Subject there may or may not be an Undergoer as Object. A single argument clause has either Actor or Undergoer as Subject (6.2.1). A clause which has Subject as Actor and Object as Undergoer is termed transitive (6.2.2). A third major clause type is the equative clause which is considered to have Undergoer as both Subject and Object(6.2.3) Further subdivisions will be made as we consider the syntactic and semantic nature of particular clauses.

\subsection*{6.2.1 One argument clauses}

Some clauses in Ambai have only one core argument (the Subject) governed by the nuclear predicate. The one argument clauses can be divided into two main subclasses on the basis of the role of the Subject: Subject as Actor and Subject as Undergoer as seen in Figure 6.3.

\section*{Figure 6.3: One argument clause types}


A one argument clause with \(S\) as Actor will be called 'intransitive', following common usage. Intransitive verbs in Ambai include ampi 'to eat (intrans.)' and sea 'to cough'. One argument clauses with \(S\) as Undergoer are called 'receptive', following Pike and Pike (1977). One argument clauses with the \(S\) unexpressed are called 'eventive, also following Pike and Pike (1977:146.). Eventive clauses refer to a very small set of meteorological or ambient occurrences. Receptive clauses may be either stative or active. Intransitive clauses can be further divided into those intransitives which usually occur with an Oblique argument ('bi-intransitive' according to Pike and Pike) and those which do not ('intransitive').

\subsection*{6.2.1.1 Intransitive clauses}

Intransitive clauses in Ambai have a Subject which functions as Actor and may be summarized as 'X acts' (Pike and Pike 1977:146). In termi of F/VV's verb classes the Ambai intransitive clause includes only non-motional activities which have the logical structure: WANT (x) or DO (y). Examples of Ambai intransitive clauses are given in (2)-(4).
(2)
S:Actor
arikan
fo-i \begin{tabular}{c} 
P \\
child
\end{tabular} FO-sg \begin{tabular}{l} 
di-makai/ \\
\(3 s-\) dance
\end{tabular} \(\quad\) 'The child danced'
(3)


Intransitive concepts can also be expressed.by verbs made up of we plus an NP indicating, as Cowan says of Wandamen be, 'occupying oneself with or indulging in the thing indicated by the stem' (1955:56): The stative verb we receives Subject affixes, but second and third person singular forms are manifested as [we] due to morphophonemic processes.
(5a)
Yani
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { we sikora } \\
& \text { /di-we/ } \\
& \text { 3s-STATIVE-school }
\end{aligned}
\]
'Yan goes to school'
(5'b)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i-we-sikora } \\
& \text { ls-STATIVE-school } \\
& \text { 'I go to school' }
\end{aligned}
\]

Intransitive clauses may also omit the free form of the Subject when it is understood (6).
(6)

P
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
i-sea \\
ls-cough
\end{tabular} & 'I coughed' \\
ta-makai & 'we all danced' \\
lin.pl-dance &
\end{tabular}
6.2.1.2 Bi-intransitive clauses

Bi-intransitive clauses have a Subject as Actor, a predicate as Nucleus, and an Oblique argument expressing direction or location. They may be either active or stative.

Bi-intransitive active clauses have a Subject functi8ning as Actor, a motional activity verb which is under the unmediated control of the Actor (WANT), and an Oblique argument which expresses the source or goal of the activity which completes the meaning of the clause (i.e. it serves as 'inner' locative). Pike and Pike state that these clauses express ' \(X\) acts in reference to Z' (1977: 146). Examples of this clause type are given in (7)-(9).
(7)
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
S:Actor & P & OBLIQUE \\
Yani & da \\
& lo \(\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{ra} /\) \\
& 3s-walk Urui
\end{tabular}
'Yan went to Serui'
(8)
\begin{tabular}{lc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
S:Actor \\
Edui
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
P \\
saku wilque \\
/di-saku/ Samui
\end{tabular} \\
3s-call to
\end{tabular}
(9)

'Yan looked towards me'

Bi-intransitive stative clauses have a Subject as Actor, a location state verb as Nucleus, and an oblique argument expressing the location. Pike and Pike summarize these clauses as expressing ' X is in a state in reference to m ' (1977:146). Examples are given in (IØ) and (11).
(10) \(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { S:Actor } \\ \text { Yani }\end{array} \begin{gathered}\text { minoki } \\ \text { /di-minoki/ } \\ 3 s-s i t\end{gathered}\) in mo munu roron fo inside fo
'Yan sat inside the house'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{S:Actor Piteri} & P & OBLIQUE & \\
\hline & wiatai & na fata & fo-i \\
\hline & /di-watai/ & & \\
\hline & 3s-lie & on bed & FO- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'Peter lay on the bed'
(12)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
S:Undergoer & P \\
arikan fo-i & mireka \\
child Fo-sg. & \begin{tabular}{l} 
/di-mareka/ \\
3 -die
\end{tabular} \\
'The child died' &
\end{tabular}
(13)

S:Undergoer \(P\)
Yani
sawa
/di-sawa/
3s-fall
'Yan fell'
(14)

S:Undergoer \(\quad P\)
mereka fo- \(\emptyset\) wiwu /di-wiwu/
water FO-unspec 3s-boil
'The water boiled'

Receptive statives express physical states (15) - (17) or Status states (18) and (19).
(15)

S:Undergoer
Yani
P
meninkapoi
/di-maninkapoi/
3s-hot
'Yan is hot'
(16)
S:Undergoer
Doli

P
meninan
/di-maninan/
3s-ill
'Doli is ill'
(17)
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
S: Undergoer & P \\
munu ne-i & fiabai \\
house NE-sg & large (adj.) \\
'The house is large'
\end{tabular}
)
    "being in or changing into the state or situation indicated by
    the stem"' (1955:56).
        (18)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Yani & we -mandirau \\
STATIVE-married man
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
ampa \\
PERFECT
\end{tabular}
'Yan is already a married man'
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Ina-n & we-kabomi \\
mother-3s ampa \\
STATIVE-widow & PERFECT
\end{tabular}
'His mother is already a widow'

Ambient states such as 'It's hot out' are also considered to be receptive statives, although the \(S\) (i.e. the atmosphere) is not expressed (2ø) and (21).
(20)
(21)

Pike calls these ambient states 'circumstantials' (1977:146).
\(\emptyset\)
\(\emptyset\)

P meninkapoi
/di-maninkapoi/ 3s-hot 'It's hot out'

P denunana
/di-anunana/
3-.cold 'It's cold out'

\subsection*{6.2.1.4 Eventive clauses}

The last one-argument clause type is the eventive clause which expresses such ambient activities as 'It is raining'. In Ambai eventive clauses do not express the \(S\), which would presumably be something like 'Nature' or 'the sky'. The eventive clause type is very limited. Examples are given in (22).
(22)

These eventive clauses are listed as being one-argument clauses despite the lack of a surface \(S\) since the NP which does appear can be seen as the Undergoer of an existence state,i.e. 'rain exists at this moment'.

Figure 6.4 summarizes the various one-argument clauses presented above in terms of Subject role and logical structure. We see that each syntactic clause type relates to certain specified semantic or logical structures. If one knows the semantics of the clause he can predict the syntactic clause type, e.g. stative ( x ) is mapped as a stative receptive clause.


\subsection*{6.2.2 Two-argument transitive clauses}

Two-argument clauses in Ambai have a Subject functioning as either Actor or Undergoer and an Object which functions as Undergoer. The clauses which are considered to have two Undergoers will be discussed in 6.2 .3 as Equative clauses. In this section we will discuss those two-argument clauses which fit the traditional definition of transitive clauses: \(S\) as Actor and o as Undergoer. In the following sections we will divide the larger class transitive into transitives and bi-transitives. Transitives will be further divided into stative and active transitives on semantic grounds. Again we will see that the syntax of the two-argument clauses are closely related to the logical or semantic structure of the predications.

\subsection*{6.2.2.1 Stative transitives}

Stative transitive clauses in Ambai have a Subject as Actor, a stative transitive verb as Nucleus, and an Object as Undergoer. Pike and Pike summarize these clauses as ' X is in a state relation to \(Y^{\prime}\). In terms of Foley and Van Valin's (F/VV) logical structures, stative transitives express cognition and perception states in which the first NP is locative and the second NP is theme. Examples of these stative transitive clauses are given in (23) - (27).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (23) & S:Actor Yani & ```
        P
    yoasoa
/di-roasoa/
    3s-believe
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
O: Undergoer Sempaisi \\
God
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Yan believes God'} \\
\hline (24) & S:Actor Edui & ```
        P
    merira
/di-marira/
    3s-dislike
``` & O: Undergoer wau
\[
2 \mathrm{~s}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Edu dislikes you'} \\
\hline (25) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S:Actor } \\
& \text { Doli }
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
        P
    mitai
/di-matai/
    3s-fear
``` & O:Undergoer wankori crocodile \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Doli fears crocodiles'} \\
\hline (26) & S:Actor Fane & ```
        P
    deti
/di-wati/
    3s-see
``` & O: Undergoer wau
\[
2 \mathrm{~s}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Fane sees you'} \\
\hline (27) & \begin{tabular}{l}
S:Actor \\
Salmoni
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P} \\
& \operatorname{tara-\phi -o} \\
& \text { ear-3s-Ver }
\end{aligned}
\] & O: Undergoer Samui alizer \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In the above examples we note that what we may call emotion states (e.g. matai 'fear' in (25)) function similarly to the cognition and perception states posited by \(F / V V\). In all of the above examples the locative argument (i.e. the experiencer of the emotion or perception) receives the Actor role while the theme of the perception or emotion becomes Undergoer as predicted by F/VV's hierarchy of accessibility to Actor and Undergoer (Figure 6.2).

\subsection*{6.2.2.2 Active transitives}

Active transitive clauses in Ambai have a Subject as Actor, an active transitive verb as Nucleus and an Object as Undergoer. In Pike and Pike's terms ' \(X\) acts on \(Y\) ' (1977:146). In terms of the \(F / V V\) verb classes Ambai active transitives include various accomplishments which are seen as directly affecting the second NP (i.e. the Object). Examples of these clauses are given in (28) and (29).
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S:Actor } \\
& \text { Yani }
\end{aligned}
\]
P
miun
\(/\) di-mun
\(3 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{ki}\)
'Yan killed the fish'
(29)
O: Undergoer
dian fo-sa
fish FO-pl

O: Undergoer aimasa fo- \(\emptyset 0\) firewood Fo-unspec
'Yan split the firewood'

We note that in the archetypical active transitive clause above the object is definite and that the \(S\) is acting volitionally.

These questions of definiteness and volitionality bring us to the question of degrees of transitivity raised by Hopper and Thompson in their seminal article of 1980 'Transitivity in grammar and discourse'. In the remainder of this section we will discuss the Hopper and Thompson article and see how it applies to Ambai clauses.

Hopper and Thompson, in the abstract to their article, state:

> Transitivity involves a number of components, only one of which is the presence of an object of the verb. These components are all concerned with the effectiveness with which an action takes place, e.g. the punctuality and the telicity of the verb, the conscious activity of the agent, and the referentiality and degree of affectedness of the object.
> (1980:251)

In a traditional understanäing of transitivity, Hopper and Thompson state, 'an activity is "carried over" or "transferred" from an agent to a patient' (1980:251). In contrast to this simple picture, Hopper and Thompson propose a ten-point scale by which clauses can be ranked as being more or less transitive. The ten points are: number of participants (1,2, or more); kinesis (i.e. the degree of activity involved); aspect (telic/atelic), i.e. whether the action aims at an 'endpoint'; punctuality, e.g. 'kick' versus 'glide' as more and less 'punctual'; volitionality; affirmation; mode (realis/irrealis); Agency (high/low), e.g. intentional versus unintentional actions; affectedness of the Object, e.g. 'ball' in 'I hit the ball' versus 'I saw the ball'; and individuation of the Object, e.g. 'I ate the apple' versus 'I ate apples'. Of these ten points we will use only three to explain some of the variations in the Ambai
transitive clauses: aspect, affectedness of the object, and individuation of the object.

The archetypal transitive clause in Ambai always contains two expressed arguments (i.e. S:Actor and O:Undergoer) where the Subject is acting volitionally in some sense and the object is definite (i.e. individuated). Certain transitive verbs, however, vary from this archetypal pattern. The three variations observed are those clauses which either allow the Object to be dropped or to be expressed as an Oblique argument or to be indefinite.

Although transitive clauses in Ambai are defined as those clauses having both Subject and Object, a few transitive verbs can appear without an Object. These verbs are atelic in lexical aspect (Aktionsart) and the Object of such verbs are unaffected. Examples include (30) and (31). Here we see two verbs which although transitive can occur without an expressed object.
(30)

'Yan called me'

Yani saku ø

\footnotetext{
'Yan called out'
}

A limited set of Ambai verbs which may occur with an Object may also occur with the same argument expressed as an Oblique argument, i.e. preceded by a preposition. Again, these verbs are characterized as having uraffected Objects and are, for the most part, atelic verbs. In terms of \(F / V V\) 's verb types, these verbs are perception or emotion statives, or motional activities. Examples include (32) - (34).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (32a) & \begin{tabular}{cc} 
S:Actor & P \\
Yani & deti \\
& /di-wati/ \\
& \(3 s-s e e\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
O:Undergoer \\
yau \\
1 s
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{'Yan saw me'} \\
\hline (32b) & \begin{tabular}{lc} 
S:Actor & P \\
Yani & deti
\end{tabular} & OBL we yau \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{'Yan looked towards me'} \\
\hline (33a) & \begin{tabular}{lc} 
S:Actor & P \\
Yani & kesou \\
& /di-kasou/ \\
& 3 s-angry
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
O: Undergoer yau \\
1 s
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{'Yan acted angrily towards me' (e.g. scolded me)} \\
\hline (33b) & \begin{tabular}{lc} 
S:Actor & P \\
Yani & kesou
\end{tabular} & OBL we yau \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{'Yan is angry with/at me'} \\
\hline (34a) & \begin{tabular}{cc} 
S:Actor & P \\
Yani & saku \\
& /di-saku/ \\
& \(3 s-c a l l\)
\end{tabular} & O: Undergoer wau
\[
2 \mathrm{~s}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{'Yan called you'} \\
\hline (34b) & \begin{tabular}{lc} 
S:Actor & P \\
Yani & saku
\end{tabular} & OBL we wau \\
\hline & 'Yan called to you' & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In the above examples the second argument can be seen as somehow distinct. Examples are given in (35) and (36).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (35a) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S:Actor } \\
& \text { Yani }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P} \\
\operatorname{miun} \\
\hline \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{mu} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
0 : Undergoer \\
dian fo-sa \\
fish FO-pl
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Yan killed the fish'} \\
\hline (35b) & Yani & miun & dian \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Yan kills fish'} \\
\hline (36a) & \begin{tabular}{l}
s :Actor \\
Yani
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
p \\
\text { d-an } \\
3 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{eat}
\end{gathered}
\] & O: Undergoer rando banana \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{'Yan ate two bananas'} \\
\hline (36b) & Yani & d-an & rando \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Yani d-an
'Yan eats bananas'
dian
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { O:Undergoer } \\ \text { rando } & \\ \text { banana } & \text { boru } \\ & \end{array}\)
'Yan ate two bananas'

The second clause of each example above expresses only a general truth rather than a definite statement of activity in regard to a
more affected when it is the Object than when it is an Oblique argument of the clause. In the last example (34) we understand that the act of calling was successful when the second argument is expressed as the Object (34a), whereas the Oblique argument is simply the direction toward which the action was directed (34b). While the differences between the above clauses are not easily expressed in English, Ambai speakers consider the clauses to be

The third variant of transitive clauses is that class of verbs which allows an indefinite (i.e. unindividuated) object. These verbs can be considered 'less transitive' with an indefinite Object and 'more transitive' with a definite object.
```

    particular Object and are seen as less transitive on the Hopper
    and Thompson scale. }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
    6.2.2.3 Bi-transitive clauses
    Within the set of transitive clauses (i.e. those clauses
    with S:Actor and O:Undergoer) there is a small set of
    bi-transitive clauses which also include an Oblique argument as
        part of their basic definition. In Pike and Pike's terms
        bi-transitive clauses are 'X acts on Y in reference to Z'
        (1977:146). In terms of F/VV's classes Ambai bi-transitives are
        all accomplishments. Examples of Ambai bi-transitive verbs
        include okon 'to give', ainau 'to teach', madu 'to speak'. Each
        of these three bi-transitive verbs behaves slightly differently
        syntactically and will be discussed separately below.
            The bi-transitive verb okon 'to give' may only occur with
                the item transferred as the Object and the recipient of the
                giving as Oblique. The Oblique argument is preceded by we and is
                optionally deleted.
    ```
            1
            1
                1
                    1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (37a) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S: Actor } \\
& \text { Yani }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P} \\
\text { d-okon } \\
\text { 3s-give }
\end{gathered}
\] & O: Undergoer noi fo-i knife FO-sg & to \({ }^{\text {we }}\) & OBL Doli \\
\hline & 'Yan gave & the knif & to Doli' & & \\
\hline (37b) & *Yani & d-okor. & Doli & noi & fo-i \\
\hline (37c) & Yani & d-okon & noi foi & & \(\emptyset\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The bi-transitive verb ainau 'to teach' can have either the content of the teaching or the recipient of the teaching as the Object or as the Oblique argument. The Oblique argument in either case can be omitted.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (38a) & \[
\mathrm{S}: \text { Actor }
\]
Yani & ```
    P
    deinau
/ai-ainau/
3s-teach
``` & ```
    O:Undergoer
kaiwo Ambai
anguzge
``` & OBL (we yau) to ls \\
\hline & 'Yan tea & hes the Amb & i language (to me & \\
\hline (38b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
S:Actor \\
Yani
\end{tabular} & \[
\stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\text { deinau }}
\] & \begin{tabular}{lc} 
O:U & OBL \\
yau & (na kaiwo
\end{tabular} & Ambai) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The bi-transitive verb madu 'to speak' can only have the content of the speaking as the object. The content of the speaking can also be expressed as the second of two Oblique arguments. The addressee can only be expressed as an oblique argument of the clause.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (39a) & S:Actor Yani & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P} \\
\text { medu } \\
/ \mathrm{di} \text {-madu/ } \\
3 \mathrm{~s}-\text { speak }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
0: Undergoer \\
kaiwo Ambai \\
larguage Ambai
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
(we \\
to
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
OBL \\
yau) \\
\(1 s\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{'Yan speaks the Ambai language (to me)'} \\
\hline (39b) & * \(\quad\) ani & medu & Yau na & kaiwo & Ambai \\
\hline (39c) & S:Actor Yani & \[
\stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\text { medu }}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
OBL \\
we yau (na
\end{tabular} & OBL kaiwo & Ambai) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.2.3 Other clause types}

A third major clause type exists in Ambai which is neither a one-argument intransitive nor a two-argument transitive clause. This third clause type will be termed EQUATIVE. Ey atives in Ambai express the equivalence of two arguments, neither of which can be considered more Actor-like or more Undergoer-like than the other. The general shape of equative clauses in Ambai is presented in Figure 6.5.

Figure 6.5: Equative clauses in Ambai NP (Copula) NP NP NP Copula NP Copula - Demonstrative clitic

The two NPs in Figure 6.5 each express \(F / V V\) 's Theme relationship.

There are three major sub-types of Equative clauses in Ambai: those with two arguments and a copula; those with one NP argument, a copula, plus a deictic which functions as the second argument; and those equatives with two \(N P\) arguments but no copula. These three sub-types are illustrated in ( \(4 \varnothing \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}\) ).
(4øa)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ne- \(\varnothing\) guru & gino Yani \\
POS-3s & teacher \\
\(B E\)
\end{tabular}
'His teacher is Yan'
(4øb)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ne-ku & munu & di \\
POS-ls & house \\
BE & NIN-sg
\end{tabular}
(40c)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ne-ku doi \\
pos-is & \(\emptyset \quad\) piarin \\
money
\end{tabular}
'I have one hundred (rupiahs)'
[Lit. My money one hundred]

The most productive on the three sub-types of equatives is that with two arguments plus the copula di as seen in (40a). The equation of two NPs is expressed either by two NPs separated by di-no (4la) or by two NPs followed by di-ne (41b).
(4la)
\begin{tabular}{l} 
ne- \(\varnothing\) man \\
POS-3s man \\
BE
\end{tabular}
'Her husbaná is Tomi
(41b)
\begin{tabular}{llrl} 
munal & nin-i & ne-ku munu & dine \\
house & NIN-sg & pOS-ls & house
\end{tabular}

This house is my house'
```

    Pronouns may occur either following the copula (42a) or as
    Topic before the clause (42b).

```
(42a)
\begin{tabular}{lcl} 
tama-mu & di(no) & yau \\
father-2s & \(B E\) & ls
\end{tabular}
    'I am your father'
(42b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
yau mani & tama-mu di yau \\
ls & TOPIC father-2s & BE
\end{tabular}
    'As for me, I am your father'

The two-argument equative clause with dino can also have a clause as the second argument as seen in (43) and (44).
(43)
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
Isaki & dino & \begin{tabular}{c} 
bia \\
/di-bia/ \\
\(3 s-d e s c e n d ~ E X T-d o w n ~\)
\end{tabular} & a-weu \\
'Isak is the one who went down'
\end{tabular}
'God is the one who made us all'

The second equative clause sub-type involves one NP before the copula and a demonstrative clitic suffixed to the copula as the second argument. Examples are given in (45) - (47).
(45)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ne-ku & arikan & di & nin-i \\
pos-ls & child & BE & NIN-sg
\end{tabular}
'This is my child'
(46)
\[
\begin{array}{lcccc}
\text { ne-mu } & \text { wa } & \text { di } & \text { wan-i } & e \\
\text { POS-2s } & \text { canoe } & \text { BE } & \text { WAN-sg } & Q \\
& &
\end{array}
\]
(47)
\begin{tabular}{llcll} 
Yani & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
ne- \(\emptyset\) & fiawera \\
POS-3s
\end{tabular} & dog & di & nin-i \\
& BE & NIN-sg
\end{tabular}
'This is Yan's dog'

The third equative clause sub-type involves two NPs juxtaposed with no copula. These equatives without the copula express what Longacre calls 'measure states' (1976:69-71). Examples are presented in (48) and (49).
(48)
ne- \(\varnothing\) doi (*dino) pia-ura-we-rin
POS-3s money twenty-ten-times-five
'He has one thousand (rupiahs)'
[Lit. His money one thousand]
(49)
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
ne-ku \\
POS-1s
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) fuina (*dino) & pia-ru \\
twenty-two
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.3 MODALITY}

Foley and Van Valin propose that there is an operator over the clause core which expresses 'the relationship between the actor and his accomplishment of the action' (F/VV 5:31). This operator is termed 'modality' and includes the concepts of the
obligation, the intention, and the ability of the actor of the event to perform it.

Modality words in Ambai occur as auxiliary verbs between the Subject and the main verb. Two modality auxiliaries have been noted: a indicating desire or intent and aitawan indicating ability. The modality markers are illustrated in (50) - (53).
(50)
\[
\text { Yani } \begin{array}{cc}
\text { de } & \text { d-ampi } \\
& \text { 3s-want } \\
& 3 s-e a t
\end{array}
\]
'Yan wants to eat'
(51)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bo b-ampi e } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { bu-a/ } \\
2 s-w a n t ~ 2 s-e a t ~
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'Do you want to eat?' }
\end{aligned}
\]
(52)

Tomi \(\begin{array}{ccc}\text { deitawan } \\ & \text { di-aitawan/ } / \text { diun } \\ \text { 3s-ABLE } & \text { 3s-kill }\end{array} \quad\) fian
'Tom is able (knows how) to catch (kill) fish'
(53)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
inontarai Ambai & et-aitawan \\
person & 3pl-ABLE & e-nari \\
3pl-make & wa \\
canoe
\end{tabular}
'Ambai people are able (know how) to make canoes'

NOTES

1 Pike's tagmemic theory includes three 'primitives' in semantic 'roles': Actor, Undergoer, and Scope, which interact with the grammatical 'slot' to form such tagmemes as 'Subject as Actor' or 'Subject as Undergoer'. Pike and Pike's 1977 terminology reflects Hale (1973) and continues the system employed in tagmemic research in the Philippines by the Summer Institute of

Linguistics in the early 1960's according to Longacre (1976:24). The two core arguments Actor and Undergoer in Pike's system are defined as follows by Pike amd Pike (1977:481,491):

Actor: the term (participant) which performs the action of the verb; or is in an analogous relation to the verb, with etic semantic variants.

Undergoer: the term (participant) which receives the action of the verb; or is in an analogous relation to the verb, with etic semantic variants.

Pike's third role Scope is defined in Pike and Pike (1977:489) ar follows;

> Scope: the term (participant) which denotes the direction or goal toward or away from which the action of the verb is directed; with etic variants.

F/VV's logical structures of the predicates make Scope unnecessary as the goal or source of an action can be handled as either Actor or Undergoer unless it is marked by a preposition, in which case it is considered to be an Oblique or Peripheral argument.

2 The variations in Ambai transitivity have been discussed only in relation to the syntax of the clause and have not yet been researched in relation to disourse and thus have 'only a provisional validity' according to Hopper and Thompson (1980:295) since we have not taken into account the 'discourse motivation' for the variations. Such discussion is not within the sc of the present work.

\section*{Chapter 7. THE CLAUSE PERIPHERY}

\section*{7.Ø INTRODUC'IION}

\begin{abstract}
In the last two chapters we have discussed the clause nucleus (5) and the clause core (6). Continuing with the layered clause model we now arrive at the clause periphery. The layered clause model posits that the nucleus and the core as well as all Oblique arguments are included in the clause periphery. A diagram of the layered clause is presented in Figure 7.1.
\end{abstract}

Figure 7.1: The layered clause
[ Status, Tense, Illocutionary Force ]
[ Modality ]
[Aspect,
Dir. ] ([ [ [ [ Pred. ]
S.O ] OBL. ]
[NUCLEUS]
[CORE
]
[PERIPHERY ]

In this chapter we will discuss only those elements of the periphery which are not also a part of the nucleus or of the core; i.e. peripheral arguments and peripheral operators.

Peripheral arguments in Ambai are defined as all arguments preceded by a preposition. In 7.1 we will discuss each preposition in terms of the semantic roles it indicates. We will also note an accessibility hierarchy in Ambai which controls which peripheral arguments can be raised to the clause core.

Peripheral operators in Ambai include Status (7.2.1), Tense (7.2.2), and Illocutionary Force (IF) (7.2.3). The three operators are all syntactically outside the peripheral arguments. Status describes the actuality of a situation predicated along a continuum from realis to irrealis. Tense positions the predicated situation temporally in reference to the speech event. IF, the outermost operator, expresses the modal concepts of assertion, interrogation, and command. We will see that the peripheral operators in Ambai are ordered syntactically. We will also note that certain restrictions obtain between the peripheral operators and the logical verb classes presented in chapter 5.

\subsection*{7.1 PERIPHERAL ARGUMENTS}

Peripheral arguments of the Ambai clause are defined as those arguments preceded by a preposition. The prepositions indicate various semantic roles which will be discussed in the following sections. All peripheral arguments occur outside the clause core; i.e. either before the Subject or following the Object. The peripheral arguments are discussed in terms of the prepositions rather than in terms of semantic roles since several prepositions signal multiple roles and since syntactic processes such as advancement to Object are not conditioned exclusively by
```

semantic roles. The prepositions discussed are : to (7.1.1), we
(7.1.2), na (7.1.3), riat (7.1.4), and pi (7.1.5).

```

\subsection*{7.1.1 Inanimate locational GOAL: to}

The preposition to indicates the inanimate locational GOAL of the predicate. The preposition may be followed by NPs or location proper nouns as illustrated in (1) and (2) below.
(1)

> i-ra to romi-fo-i
> ls-walk to garden-FO-sg
> 'I am going to the garden'
(2)
i-ra to Urui
ls-walk to
s-walk to Serui
'I am going to Serui'

The preposition may also be followed by determiners without an accompanying \(N P\) as seen in (3).
(3)
ro to nin-ai ma

2s.walk to NIN-unspec INT
'Come here'

\begin{abstract}
The presence or absence of the preposition to with an NP distinguishes volitional motional activities (with to) from volitional motional accompiishments (without to). That is to say an NP marked by to is seen as less affected than an NP unmarked by to, i.e. already accomplished. Note the following pair of examples (4a-b).
\end{abstract}
(5a)
ta-wo to Urui kai/*kiai Activity
lin.pl-paddle to Serui
'We all were paddling to Serui'
(5b)
ta-wo Urui kiai/*kai Accomplishment
'We all paddled to (and arrived at) Serui'

The second example above also illustrates the locational GOAL appearing in Object position (with no preposition), i.e. that Object is seen as more affected than the peripheral GOAL.

\subsection*{7.1.2 Animate GOAL/non-locational GOAI: we}

The preposition we indicates the animate GOAL or BENEFICIARY or the inanimate non-locational GOAL of the predicate. It may precede animate NPs, proper nouns or personal pronouns. All three meanings of we may be subsumed under the more abstract understanding of GOAL. 1 Examples of the various uses of we are given in (6) - (8).
(6a)

(6b)
i-saku
ls-call \(\quad\) we wau \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
wa \\
2 s
\end{tabular}
'I called to you'
(6c)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
deti \\
di-wati/ \\
\(3 s-l o o k\)
\end{tabular} & we & inontarai woriai \\
fo-sa \\
person & \\
outside & FO-pl
\end{tabular}
'He looked at the outsiders'
(6d)
mi£o
/di-mito/ we Tomi
3s-run
'He ran to Tom'
i-wori \(\quad\) dian \begin{tabular}{l} 
BENEFICIARY \\
fish
\end{tabular}
'I bought fish for you'
(8)


As with the preposition to marking inanimate locational GOAL, we may be deleted following some predicates and the NP formerly preceded by we advances to object of the verb with the accompanying more-affected meaning characteristic of Objects. Not all NPs which can be marked by we can become Objects; the individual predicate determines the advancement. Thus we note the following bi-transitive clauses involving the verbs 'teach'. 'give' and 'speak' (9) - (1I).
(9)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
deinau \\
/di-ainau/ kaiwo Ambai \\
3s-teach language
\end{tabular} & we yau \\
'He taught Ambai to me' & & \(1 s\) \\
\end{tabular}
(1ø)
\begin{tabular}{cll} 
medu & kaiwo Ambai & we yau \\
/di-madu/ & w-speak & language
\end{tabular}
(11)

'He gave the knife to me'

Of the three bi-transitive verbs in (9) - (11), only 'teach' permits the GOAL to advance to object position (12).
(12)
deinau yau na kaiwo Ambai
/di-ainau/
'He taught me in Ambai'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
*medu & yau & na kaiwo Ambai \\
*dokon yau & noi foi
\end{tabular}

Some intransitive clauses with a we NP may become transitive by the deletion of the preposition. The verbs which allow this deletion include perception states ('to see'), emotion states ('to like'), and various activity verbs which are directed towards a GOAL (e.g. 'to call', 'to praise').
(13)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { deti } \\
\text { /di-wati/ } \\
\text { 3s-see }
\end{array} \\
& \text { deti Yani 'He looked at Yan' } \\
& \text { de Yani } \quad \text { 'He saw Yan' }
\end{aligned}
\]
(14)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { miaya we Yani 'He likes Yan' } \\
\text { /di-mayar/ } \\
\text { 3s-like }
\end{array} \\
& \text { miaya } \emptyset \text { Yani 'He likes Yan' }
\end{aligned}
\]
(15)
i-saku we wau
ls-call \(\quad\) 'I called to you'
i-saku \(\emptyset\) wau 'I called you'
(16)
i-somi we wau
ls-praise w \(\quad\) I praise you'
i-somi. \(\phi\) wau 'I praise you'

We note that the intransitive clauses with prepositions are activities or states, but the transitive clauses without the prepositions can be seen as achievements or accomplishments.

The non-directional inanimate Goal of verbs of de. re such as maya 'to like' must always be preceded by we.
(17)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
i-maya \\
ls-like
\end{tabular} & we \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
rando \\
banana
\end{tabular} \\
*i-maya \(\quad\) 'I like bananas'
\end{tabular}

Beneficiaries must always be preceded by we ; they cannot become Object.
(13)
i-wori dian we wau
'I bought fish for you'
\(*_{i}\)-wori
*i-wori \(^{\text {i-wn }}\) wau \(\emptyset \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { wau } \\ & \text { dian }\end{aligned}\)

\subsection*{7.1.3 LOCATION, SOURCE, INSTRUMENT: na}

The preposition na indicates that the following NP expresses either the Instrument employed in a transitive clause, the spatial or temporal Location or Source of the predicate, or the Manner in which a predicate occurs. No NP marked by na is eligible to become object through advancement. The specific meaning of the na \(N P\) is clarified by the nature of the predicate and/or the NP. Examples of the various uses of na are given in (19) - (22).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(19)} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{INSTRUMENT} \\
\hline \[
i-m u n
\] & fiai & fo-i & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{na wombua} \\
\hline ls-kill & pig & FO-sg & & spe & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{'I killed the pig with a spear'} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{(20) LOCATION} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{i-minoki na munu ne-i} \\
\hline ls-sit & & & & house & NE-sg \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{'I am sitting in the house'} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{(21) SOURCE} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{i-ra} & na & munu & fo-i & man & \\
\hline & & & & /man & rei/ \\
\hline ls-walk & & house & FO-3g & INT & and \\
\hline ' I walked & from & the hou & use la & ndwar & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(22)

LOCATION

'They worked every day'

Verbs of motion may take both Source and Goal peripheral arguments marked by na and to respectively. Source always precedes Goal (23).
(23)

> 'Yan walked from Serui to Turu'
> *Yani da to Turu na Urui

Even when the Goal is expressed by a directional clitic, rather than by a prepositional phrase, Source precedes soal (24).
(24)
\begin{tabular}{clcc} 
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{ SOURCE } & GOAL \\
Yani & wio na Urui & ma \\
& 3 3.paddle & & INT
\end{tabular}
'Yan paddled here from Serui'
*Yani wio - ma na Urui

Time words and time phrases are not always preceded by na. Time phrases are often found preceding the Subject, but also occur following the Object.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{TIME} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{tu-wo}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Manawi} \\
\hline Rakida day & nin-i & & & \\
\hline & NIN-sg & lin. \({ }^{\text {d }}\) & -paddle & Manawi \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{'Today we paddled to Manawi'} \\
\hline Tu-wo & & TIME & & \\
\hline Tu-wo & Manawi & rakida & nin-i & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.1.4 COMITATIVE: riat \({ }^{2}\)}

The preposition riat indicates that the following NP accompanies the Subject of the predicate; i.e. that the NP could be a co-Subject as well as an Oblique NP. \({ }^{3}\) The riat NP occurs before Locative (na) or Goal (to) arguments.
(26)

'He rode with Yan from Serui to here'
(27)
d-ontai ria Yani to Urui
'He rode with Yan to Serui'

The examples in (26) and (27) could be paraphrased with a complex Subject as in (28).
(28)

'Edu and Yan rode from Serui to here'

\subsection*{7.1.5 OBJECT OF COMPARISON/DIRECTION PAST: pi}

The preposition pi indicates the object of a comparison or direction past an NP. The two meanings of \(p i\) may be summarized as 'surpass'.
(29)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Yani } \begin{array}{c}
\text { dedai } \\
\text { di-adai/ } \\
\text { 3s-tall }
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'Yan is taller than Edu' } \\
& \text { Yani } \begin{array}{c}
\text { da pi yau } \\
\text { /di-ra/ } \\
3 s-w a l k
\end{array} \\
& \text { 'Yan walked past me' }
\end{aligned}
\]
(30)

\subsection*{7.2 PERIPHERAL OPERATORS}

\section*{7.2.■ Introduction}

Foley and Van Valin (F/VV) posit four peripheral operators: Status, Tense, Evidentials, and Illocutionary Force. The four operators have as their domain the entire clause, in contrast to Modality which relates only to the clause core and and Aspect and Directionals which relate only to the clause nucleus. It is further posited that in languages which have a definable ordering relationship between operators the peripheral operators will be ordered outwards from the clause core as follows: Status, Tense, Evidentials, and IF.

In this section we will define and discuss each peripheral operator in relation to Ambai. Before we begin, however, we present a short definition of the four operators. Status has to do with the reality of a situation as defined along a continuum between realis and irrealis (7.2.1). Tense has to do with the temporal relations between a situation and the time of the speech event (7.2.2). Evidentials deal with the truthfulness of a situation and the means by which the speaker ascertains that truthfulness. Ambai does not manifest any evidentials, but they are common in many other languages; e.g. the 'hearsay' particles in Amerindian languages. IF, the outermost peripheral operator, indicates what are traditionally termed modes: declarative, imperative, interrogative.

\subsection*{7.2.1 Status}

Status is the innermost peripheral operator. It refers to the speaker's view of the actuality of the predicated situation. F/VV follow Whorf (1956) including the realis-irrealis continuum under Status. The Status continuum is presented in Figure 7.2.

Figure 7.2: The Status continuum
Realis - necessary - likely - possible- Irrealis

We note in Figure 7.2 that the continuum is not binary, but includes epistemic necessity, likelihood, and possibility as well as realis and irrealis. In Ambai realis is unmarked and is thus the neutral category within Status. Necessity (7.2.1.1) in the
epistemic, not the deontic sense (cf. Wright l952) is signalled by the clause enclitic ki. Likelihood (7.2.1.2) is si.gnalled by the clause enclitic rai. Possibility (7.2.1.3) is marked by the clause enclitic te. Irrealis (7.2.1.4) in Ambai only concerns negation and is marked by three negators.
7.2.1.1 Necessity: ki

Ambai indicates the necessity of a situation being true by the clause enclitic ki. It is used mainly with future reference to indicate the certitude of the speaker that the situation (which has not yet occurred) will come about. There are three major uses of ki: first person statements, cause and effect statements, and second person statements.

First person statements with ki signal the certitude of the speaker concerning a future situation. We will see that riki contrasts with the likelihood and possibility markers as in (31a-c).
(3la)
\begin{tabular}{llccc} 
akama & nin-i & i-wo & Urui & ki \\
tomorrow & NIN-sg & ls-paddle Serui & NEC
\end{tabular}
(31b)
akama nin-i i-wo Urui rai \(\quad\) LIKELIHOOD
'I might paddle to Serui tomorrow'
(31c)
akama nin-i i-wo Urui te
POSSIBILITY
'It's possible I will paddle to Serui tomorrow'

Cause and effect statements connected by the conjunction wori take \(k i\) in the apodosis. The effect clause is then seen as certain or epistemically necessary given the prodosis (32) and (33).
(32)
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { b-ampi } \\
\text { 2s-eat }
\end{array} \text { NEG } & \text { wori } & \text { muninan ki } \\
2 s . s i c k ~ N E C ~
\end{array}
\]
boi
/bu-boi/ Samui
2s-hit \(\quad\) wori \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
sai
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) ki
'If you hit Samu then he will cry'

Second person statements with \(k\).ki are typically imperative-like statements urging the addressee to continue in a certain state or activity. The most frequent use of ki with second person referents is exemplified in (34).
(34)
bento, munoki ki 'Alright, you stay seated'
alright 2s.sit NEC

\subsection*{7.2.1.2 Likelhood: rai}

Likelihood in Ambai is marked by the clause enclitic -rai. Likelihood contrasts with the other Status markers in the degree of certainty or reality of a situation. Likelihood and necessity contrast in example (35).
(35)


Likelihood also occurs in cause and effect statements, but with a weaker sense of the apodosis clause eventuating than we saw with the necessity marker ki. There is a further syntactic limitation in that rai does not cooccur with the effect conjunction wori.
(36)
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
b-ampi & kaka & mani & \begin{tabular}{c} 
muninan rai \\
/bu-maninan/ \\
\(2 s-e a t ~\)
\end{tabular} \\
NEG & TOPIC & \(2 s-i l l\) LIKELIHOOD
\end{tabular}
'As for your not eating, you will probably get sick'
(37)
\begin{tabular}{clll} 
boi \\
bu-boi/ Samui & mani & sai & rai \\
2s-hit
\end{tabular}
'As for your hitting Samu, he will probably cry'

\subsection*{7.2.1.3 Possibility: te}

Possibility in Ambai is marked by the clause enclitic te. Possibility is the last category of Status before the negatives and thus indicates less certitude than either Necessity or Likelihood. Unlike either Necessity or Likelihood, Possibility can refer to non-future situations as well as to future situations.
(38)
d-ampi te 'Mayke he is eating/will eat/ate' (39)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { wio Urui } & \text { te } \quad \text { Maybe he is paddling/will } \\ 3 \text { s.paddle Serui POSSIB. paddle/paddled to Serui' }\end{array}\)

Possibility may also occur in complex sentences, but occurs in the prodosis, not the apodosis.
(40)


\subsection*{7.2.1.4 Irrealis:negators}

The final Status category is irrealis. In Ambai irrealis is only marked by negators; i.e. unrealized situations in the future or in imperatives or interrogatives may occur without a Status marker. There are four negators in Ambai, all of which are uninflected particles (cf. Dahl 1980): kaka, kakarai, bireri, pari. All Ambai negators occur post-verbally.

Kaka and kakarai signal negation of intransitive, transitive, and identive clauses. The negative particle occurs as a clause postclitic outside the clause core and outside the peripheral arguments as the layered clause model would suggest. Kaka indicates simple negation of the predicate; kakarai combines negation and temporal reference meaning 'not yet'. Examples of both kaka and kakarai are given in (41) - (44).
(41)
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { d-ena kaka } \\
\text { d-ena kakarai } \\
\text { 3s-sleep }
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { 'He is not sleeping' } \\
& \text { 'He is not yet sleeping' }
\end{aligned}
\]
(42)
y-isan dian kaka 'I didn't spear fish'
y-isan dian kakarai 'I haven't yet speared fish'
ls-stab fish
(43)

Y-okon dian 're Yani kaka 'I didn't give any fish -okon dian we Yani to Yan'
Y-okon dian we Yani kakarai 'I have not yet given
ls-give fish to any fish to Yan'
(44)
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Yani guru dinen kaka & 'Yan is not a teacher' \\
Yani guru dinen kakarai & 'Yan is not yet a \\
teacher \(B E\) &
\end{tabular}

Kakarai can also mean 'probably will not' where the likelihood marker rai is taken as limiting the negation as in (45).
(45)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { y-okon-i } & \text { kaka rai } \\ \text { ls-give-3so } & \text { NEG } \\ \text { LIKELIHOOD }\end{array}\)
'I probably will not give it'

In a similar manner the Necessity marker ki can modify the negator kaka to form kakaiki meaning 'certainly will not' as in (46).
(46)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Y-okon-i & kakai & ki. 'I certainly will not give \\
ls-give-3so & NEG & NEC
\end{tabular}

Bireri negates existence states meaning 'There are no \(X^{\prime}\) where X is an NP.
(47)
dian bireri
fish
NEG
(48)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { wa bireri } & \text { we yau } \\ \text { canoe NEG } & \text { to ls }\end{array}\)
'I have no canoe (lit. There is no canoe to me)'

Bireri is the negator used in answers to yes/no questions to negate the entire proposition meaning 'It is not the case that \(Y\) ' where \(Y\) is a predication.
(49)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
wori dian e \\
/bu-wori/ \\
2s-buy fish \(Q\) & 'Did you buy fish?' \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
bireri, i-wori dian kaka \\
NEG
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) 'No, I did not buy fish'
\end{tabular}
(5ø)


Bireri can also be used with an \(N P\) or a pronoun as a negative ans ar to a yes/no question as illustrated in (51).
(51)
Wo Urui e
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
/bu-wo/ \\
2s-paddle Serui \(Q\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Yau bireri. i-minoki. & \\
ls NEG lid you paddle to Serui?'
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) 'Not I. I sat (here).'

Such negated nominals can be seen as standing in for a negated predication.

The final negator is pari which indicates frustrated intent with transitive verbs and also negates possessive states. In both cases pari immediately precedes the object.
(52)
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Y-isan pari } & \text { dian } \\
\text { ls-stab } & \text { NEG } & \text { fish } \\
\text { 'I failed to stab any fish' }
\end{array}
\]
(53)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ne-ku & pari & doi \\
pos-ls & NEG & money
\end{tabular}
'I have no money'

\subsection*{7.2.2 Tense}

Tense is the next peripheral operator after Status. Comrie (1976:2) characterizes tense as relating 'the time of the situation referred to to some other time, usually to the moment of speaking'. Tense is thus a deictic element on a temporal scale. \(F / V V\) note, however, that \(T\) ense is very closely related to

Status in that the 'temporal orientation of an event with regard to the time of the speech act is crucially important to the reality status of the event' (V:32). Tense is likewise not always separable from aspect. In Ambai we see that tense is not obligatorily expressed and that when tense is expressed it is not clearly separated from either aspect or status. Time reference may be unsignalled or may be signalled by Time arguments. Predicates not marked for any tense by either a tense marker or a time argument are interpreted as non-future; i.e. past, customary, or present as seen in (54). (54)
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Yani miun } \\
& \text { dian } \\
& \text { 'Yan killed fish' } \\
\text { 3s-kill fish } & \text { 'Yan kills fish' } \\
& \text { 'Yan is killing fish' }
\end{array}
\]

Predicates may occur without tense markers, but with time arguments with either past, customary, or present meaning as seen in (55 a-c).
(55a)
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Ramindenafa Yani & wio Urui \\
/di-wo/ \\
yesterday & \(3 s-p a d d l e\)
\end{tabular}
'Yesterday Yan paddled to Serui'
(55b)
Rakida neune Yani wio Urui
day each
'Each day Yan paddles to Serui'
(55c)
Rakida nin-i Yani wio Urui
day NIN-sg
'Today Yan is paddling to Serui'

Two tenses may be marked in Ambai: future (7.2.2.1) and perfect (7.2.2.2).

\subsection*{7.2.2.1 Future tense}

Future tense in Ambai is marked by the clause postclitic ki which is also the Necessity Status marker (7.2.1.1). The two functions of \(k i\) are considered to be separate because some instances of \(\underline{\text { ki }}\) emphasize only the futurity of the predication rather than the necessity of it. Thus, in Time content questions ki must occur in any future reference and in fact forms the root of the future time question word ki-doni 'when (in the future)?'
(56)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ki-doni wori wo \begin{tabular}{l} 
wo \\
/bu-wo/
\end{tabular} Urui & ki \\
when & then 2s-paddle Serui & NEC \\
'When will you paddle to Serui?'
\end{tabular}

Questions concerning future situations cannot be formed without ki. Thus, (57b) is ungrammatical.
(57a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ki-doni & Yani & d-ontai & ma & ki \\
when
\end{tabular}
'When will Yan come here?'
(57b)
*ki-doni Yani d-ontai ma \(\emptyset\)

Statements concerning future events likewise must be marked by \(k i\) or one of the other Status clitics; the verb cannot be unmarked. We suggest that the Status and future tense are not presently distinguished in each clause.
(58)
\[
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { katu mani i-ra mandei } & \text { ki } & \text { NECESSITY } \\
& \text { rai } & \text { LIKELIHOOD } \\
& \text { te } & \text { ROSSIBILITY }
\end{array}
\]
'Soon I will walk landwards'
'Soon I will probably walk landwards'
'Soon I might walk landwards'

\subsection*{7.2.2.2 Perfect tense}

Perfect tense in Ambai is marked by the clause postclitic rampa and indicates that the predicate occured prior to the speech event, but still has relevance to the time of the speech event. The term Tense is preferred over the term Aspect for two reasons: the perfect marker is clearly a deictic element on the temporal scale and it cooccurs with Aspectual markers following them as predicted by the layered clause model (see however Comrie 1976:52 for perfect ョs aspect). The Ambai perfect tense indicates
```

l
I
I
J
I
1
I
|
(62)

i-mun dian | kiai | ampa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ls-kill |  |
| fish |  |

'I already finished killing fish'
(63)

| timuri | fo | masara | kai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cassava | ampa |  |  |
| dry |  | COMP |  |

'The cassava is already completely ripe'

```

Perfect tense cannot occur with future reference, while the aspectuals can. The aspectuals in future references must occur in complex sentences as seen in (64).
(64)


A variant form for marking perfect tense is to or ton (which we will also see in the positive imperative marker in 7.2.3.2). Wandamen uses to as a past tense marker according to Saggers 1979. There appears to be some semantic distinction in Ambai between the more common rampa and the less common to, but the difference is still unclear. Note the examples in ( \(65 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}\) ).
(65a)
\(y\)-ampi ampa 'I already ate'
y-ampi to
(65b)
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { mitai ampa } & \text { 'He is already afraid' } \\ \text { /di-matai/ } & \\ \text { mitai to } & \text { 'He has already begun to bs } \\ & \text { afraid' }\end{array}\)

The to which marks perfect tense can occur in yes/no questions.
(66)

> mutai ton e 'Are you already afraid?' /bu-matai/ 2s-fear PERF \(Q\)

\subsection*{7.2.3 Illocutionary Force}

Illocutionary Force (IF) is the outermost peripheral operator and thus its domain is the entire clause including the other peripheral operators. IF may be equated with the narrow definition of mood as employed in traditional grammar. In Ambai IF may be divided into three major categories: declarative (which is unmarked), interrogative, and imperative. Interrogative (7.2.3.1) is divided into yes/no questions and content questions. Imperative (7.2.3.2) is divided into positive and negative imperatives. As predicted by the layered clause model, IF markers are clause final, as they are the outermost of the peripheral operators.

\subsection*{7.2.3.1 Interrogative}

Interrogative in Ambai may be divided into two types: yes/no questions and content questions. Yes/no questions are further divided into simple versus polar yes/no questions. Content questions are subdivided on the basis of which element in the clause is questioned.

Simple yes/no questions are marked by the clause postclitic re (see 2.3 for morphophonemic rules). Question intonation is
the same as that for statemonts: i.e. falling clause final. Examples of simple yes/no questions are given in (67) - (69). Note also that simple yes/no questions can be either positive or negative (7ø) - (72).
(67)
wo Urui e
\(2 s\)-paddle Serui
(68)
wo Urui tuti Yani e

2s-paddle Serui with Yan \(Q\)
'Did you paddle to Serui with Yan?'
(69)
nari munu nin-i e 'Did you make this house?' /bu-nari/
2s-make house NIN-sg \(Q\)
(70)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
b-ampi \\
\(2 s-e a t\) & \(Q\)
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) 'Are you eating?'
(71)
b-ampi kaka e 'Aren't you going to eat?'
2s-eat NEG \(Q \quad\) 'Aren't you eating?'
(72)
b-ampi kakarai e 'Haven't you eaten yet?'
2s-eat NEG \(Q\)

Polar questions in Ambai may ask either whether a predicate is true or not, or which of two arguments is true. Polar questions concerning the predication are marked by the clause final phrase ete bireri-e 'or not-Q' as in (73) and (74). The negator bireri is a proform substituting for a clause.
(73)


Polar questions concerning clause arguments are of the form \(X\) ete \(Y\)-e as shown in (75) and (76).
(75)

'Is he looking for bananas or maize?'
(76)
feran afui na umbe ete noi e
/bu-feram/
\(2 s\)-cut grass with bushknife or knife \(Q\)
'Did you cut the grass with a bushknife or a knife?'

Content questions in Ambai are marked by an interrogative word replacing the clause element questioned. The question postclitic does not cooccur with interrogative words. Intonation is the same as for statements and yes/no questions; i.e falling clause final.

Interrogatives are either formed on a root -doni or are irregularly constructed. The forms based on -doni are presented in (77).
(77)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
man-doni & 'who, whom' & \(<\underline{m a n}\) 'animate' \\
na-doni & 'at/from where' & \(<\underline{n a}\) 'at,from,with' \\
to-doni & 'to where' & \(<\underline{t o}\) 'to' \\
ki-doni & 'when (future)' & \(<\underline{k i}{ }^{\prime}\) 'Necessity,future' \\
NP-doni & 'which NP' & \\
fi-doni & 'what' & \(<\underline{f i} \quad\) 'thing'
\end{tabular}

Other interrogatives are:
(78)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline man-tei & 'who, whom' & \(<\operatorname{man}\) & 'animate' \\
\hline fi-ani & 'what & < \(\mathrm{fi}^{\text {i }}\) & 'thing' \\
\hline to-fino & 'for what & how' & \\
\hline bei-ru & 'how many & e)' & < bei 'one \\
\hline manei-ru & 'how many & < & manei 'one \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Still other question phrases can be constructed by adding elements to the basic question words. Some further examples are:
(79)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
we fiani & 'for what reason?' \\
we mandoni & 'to whom?' \\
na katai-doni & 'at which plase?' \\
wono-mu-fi-doni & 'what is your name?'
\end{tabular}
```

    Content question words and phrases are inserted in the
    clause in the position of the clause element questioned; they do
not occur clause final as does the question clitic. Examples of
different clause elements which can be questioned are given in
(8ø) - (84).
(8ø) $\frac{\text { Subject }}{\text { mandoni }}$
niari munu ne-i 'Who made this house?' /di-nari/
who 3s-make house NE-sg
Yani niari mununei 'Yan made this house'
(81)
Yani niari $\frac{\text { Object }}{\text { fiani }} \begin{aligned} & \text { 3s-make what }\end{aligned} \quad$ 'What did Yan make?'
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Yani } \quad \text { niari wa nin-i } \\ & \text { 3s-make canoe NIN-sq }\end{array} \quad$ 'Yan made this canoe'
(82)

```

```

(83) Time
Kidoni wori wo Urui $k i$ 'When are you padwhen then 2s-paddle Serui FUT dling to Serui?'
Akama nini i-wo Urui ki tomorrow is-paddle Serui FUT
'Tomorrow I will paddle to Serui'
(84)

| wori dian maneiru | 'How many fish did you |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 s-b u y$ fish how many | buy?' |
| i-wori dian mandu | 'I bought two fish' |
| ls-buy fish two |  |

```

\subsection*{7.2.3.2 Imperative}

Imperatives in Ambai deal with positive and negative commands directed at either second person or first person inclusive (l+2) arguments.Negative commands will be called prohibitives. Only activity and accomplishment verbs can occur in positive imperatives. Certain statives can appear in prohibitives in addition to the activity and accomplishment verbs. The imperative marker, when it occurs, is clause final as the layered clause model would suggest.

Positive imperatives express various degrees of command, ranging from permissives to orders. The imperative marker is the clause postclitic to. The marker may be optionally omitted. Note the following examples (85) - (87). (85)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ro & ma & & 'Come here' \\
2s.walk & INT & & \\
& & & \\
ro & ma & to & 'Come here' \\
2s.walk & INT & IMP &
\end{tabular}
(86)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
b-ampi mutu (to) \\
2s-eat & strong (IMP)
\end{tabular}
(87)
b-an rando wa-i to
\(2 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{eat}\) banana \(\mathrm{WA}-\mathrm{sg}\) IMP 'Eat the banana'

In 7.2 .1 .1 we saw that \(k\) i the necessity status marker is also used as a permissive marker, with the meaning 'Keep Xing' as in (88).
(88)
```

munoki ki
2s.sit NEC

```

\section*{'Keep on sitting/stay seated'}

Hortative clauses (i.e. first person imperatives) are also marked by to. The hortative clause may be optionally preceded by the appropriate form of the verb rama 'come' as in (89) (91).
(89)
\begin{tabular}{llcl} 
(ro & ma) & tu-ra & to \\
2s-walk & INT & lin.dl-walk & IMP
\end{tabular}
'(Come) let's walk'
(9ø)
(mu-ra ma) to-nari to

2di-walk INT lin.tr-work IMP
'(Come) let's work'
(91)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ta-nari & munu & to \\
lin.pl-work & house & IMP
\end{tabular}
'Let's make a house'

It is interesting to note that the positive imperative marker to occurs as a variant of the perfect tense marker with certain stative verbs; i.e. either to or rampa can indicate perfect tense.
(92)
mutai to 'You are already afraid'
mutai ampa
/bu-matai/
2s-afraid
(93)
murisin to 'You are already happy'
murisin ampa
/bu-marisin/ 2s-happy

This pattern of using a perfect marker as an imperative marker is similar to the Irian Jaya Malay 'makan sudah' meaning 'eatl' as opposed to 'sudah makan' which means 'to have already eaten'.

Prohibitives are marked by the clause postclitic fanai. First and third persons as well as second persons may appear in prohibitives. Active and stative verbs occur in prohibitives, although such predicates as physical states can not occur.
(94)
mutai fanai 'Don't be afraid'
/bu-matai/
2s-fear PROHIB.
(95)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
mutai fiawera & wa-i & fanai \\
\(2 s-a f r a i d\) dog & WA-sg & PROHIBITIVE
\end{tabular}
'Don't be afraid of the dog'
(96)
\(\begin{array}{lc}\text { ta-roki fanai } \\ \text { lin.pl-sing PROHIBITIVE } & \text { Let us not sing' }\end{array}\)
(97)
e-roki fanai
3pl-sing \(\quad\) PROHIBITIVE 'Let them not sing'

NOTES

1 Patz lists Numfoor-Biak be as marking 'inanimate goal' indicating a 'locational goal or result' (1978:148;.

2 The final /t/ of riat is dropped by P-rule except when followed by the third person singular suffix -i; i.e. ria++, riati.

3 F/VV state that English 'with' 'marks potential actors, agents or effectors, which do not occur as Actor' (3:13). iwan notes that Wandamen riat means 'action is done to or in favour of the object' (1955:56).

\section*{APPENDIX A: Diachronic aspects of verbal morphology}

In chapter 5 we saw the present-day Ambai system of subject prefixes. In this appendix we will now look at some diachronic aspects of the problem. We begin by comparing the Ambai prefixes with data from other Sarera Bay languages in \(A .1\) and then we discuss Givon's proposal concerning prefixes as derived from free pronouns in A. 2.

\section*{A. 1 Sarera Bay Subject prefixes}

In this section we will look at the subject prefixes in other languages in the Sarera Bay and compare them to the Ambai forms. We are especially interested in finding any validation for the synchronic underlying forms posited in 5.1.3.1.1 above. The significance of diachronic information for synchronic analysis is well known:

First we consider the general Sarera Bay picture and then we concentrate on Wandamen since data from the latter is better in quality and quantity. \({ }^{1}\)

Comparative material from the other Sarera Bay languages substantiates the underlying Subject prefixes posited for Ambai and also demonstrates that other languages also undergo some of the same phonological rules as Ambai.

We will consider only the singular subject prefixes as they present a more complex picture than the non-singular prefixes. Recall that the underlying prefixes postulated for Ambai are /i-/ (1s), /bu-/ (2s), and /di-/ (3s). In the following chart (Figure A.l) it is seen that the surface forms in the other languages match the underlying forms posited for Ambai.

In Figure A.l we note that Woi, Wandamen, and Ansus exhibit a /bu-/ prefix on the verb 'to eat' in the second person singular. Forms similar to the Ambai underlying form /di-/ for third singular are seen in Wandamen (di-), Pom (dy-), Woi (ty-), and Munggui (ty-). We shall see the first person singular /i-/ in Figure A.2. Here in Figure A.l we see the phonetic variant [y] which precedes vowel-initial verbs in all Western Yapen languages, in Biak and in Waropen.

Figure A.l: Vowel-initial verbs in Sarera Bay languages \({ }^{2}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{'to eat'} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{'to drink'} \\
\hline AMBAI & \[
\frac{l s}{/ i-/}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
2 \mathrm{~s} \\
/ \mathrm{bu}-/
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3 \mathrm{~s} \\
/ \mathrm{di}-/
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
/ i-/^{l s}
\] & \[
{ }_{/ \mathrm{bu}-/}^{2 \mathrm{~s}}
\] & \[
/ \mathrm{di}-/^{3 \mathrm{~s}}
\] \\
\hline Woi & y-ampi & bu-ampi & ty-ampi & y-unung & b -unung & ty-unung \\
\hline Mun. & y-ampi & w-ampi & ty-ampi & y-unung & w-unung & ty-unung \\
\hline Pom & \(y\)-ampi & w-ampi & dy-ampi & \(y\)-unung & w-un: ng & dy-unung \\
\hline Pap. & y-ampi & w-ampi & t-ampi & y-unung & w-unung & \(t\)-unung \\
\hline Bus. & y-ampi & w-ampi & s-ampi & ya-unung & w-unung & s-unung \\
\hline Ans. & \(y\)-ampi & bu-ampi & d-ampi & y-unung & b-urung & d-unung \\
\hline Wan. & \(y\)-api & bu-api & di-api & \(y\)-unu & L-unu & d-unu \\
\hline Ser. & & & & Y-unu & b-unu & d-unu \\
\hline Amb. & \(y\)-ampi & b-ampi & d-ampi & y -unung & b -unung & d-unung \\
\hline Wab. & ai-ampi & b-ampi & d-ampi & ai-unang & b-unang & d-unang \\
\hline Kur. & ai-ampi & b-ampi & d-ampi & ai-rurum & b-urum & d-urun \\
\hline War. & \(y\)-ano & au-ano & \(i(y)\) ano & & & \\
\hline Biak & \(y\)-anan & w-anam & d-anan & \(y\)-inem & w-in¢m & d-inem \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Secondly, we consider the morphophonemic processes posited for Ambai: the infixation \(0^{\prime \prime}\) the second and third person singular prefixes, the consonant shifts of /r/ to [y] and /t/ \(\pm 0\) [s] preceding third singular \(/-\mathbf{i}-/\), the consonant shift /s/ to \([w]\) preceding second singuiar \(/-\underline{u}-/\), and the existence of verbs with different underlying stress patterns classes which help explain morfhophonemic variants. We will discuss each of these rules in turn using the examples in Figurs A,2 and others as necessary.


In Figure A. 2 we note the infixation of the second and third person subject. rkers /-u-/ and /-i-/ as was posited for Ambai. Wabo provides the only exception in the second person singular where the Subject marker is still a prefix.

Biak and Waropen, unlike the other Sarera Bay languages illustrated in Figure A. 2 do not infix the third singular Subject marker. In (1) we compare Wandamen, Biar, and Waropen singular forms of the verb 'to walk'. (Note that \(r\)-w-a in Biak orthography represents /r-u-a/.)
(1)
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& ls & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(2 s\)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(3 s\)} \\
Wandamen & i-ra & r-u-a & r-i-a \\
Biak & ya-ra & r-w-a & \(i-r a\) \\
Waropen & ya-ra & a-ra & \(i-r a\)
\end{tabular}

Like Ambai, some languages also undergo the consonant shift \(/ r /\) to \([y]\) in the third singular. Example (2) illustrates that

Papuma, Busami, and Serui share this rule with Ambai in contrast with Wandamen.
(2)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Wan. & i-roi & r-u-oi & r-i-oi & & \\
Pap. & e-roi & roi & rut & r-ด-ut \\
Bus. & ya-ro & ro & yo & e-ru & ru & r-i-u \\
Ser. & i-roi & r-u-oi & r-i-oi & ya-ruti & ruti & yuti \\
Amb. & i-roki & roki & yoki & i-ru & ruti & yuti \\
& & & & & ru & yu
\end{tabular}

We note in passing that while Ambai and Busami undergo the \(/ \mathrm{r} /\) to [y] shift before both /o/ and /u/, Papuma undergoes the change only before /o/and Serui only before /u/.

Papuma, Ansus, and Serui also undergo the /t/ to [s] shift in the third singular as seen in Figure A. 2 above in the verb 'to plant'.

The shift from \(/ \mathrm{s} /\) to \([w]\) is shared by Ambai, Papuma, Ansus, and Serui as illustrated in (3) in contrast to Wandamen.
(3)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& \multicolumn{2}{c}{ 'to cough' } \\
& ls & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ 2s } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ 3s } \\
Wandamen & i-sesa & s-u-esa & s-i-esa \\
Papuma & e-sea & wea & sea \\
Ansus & e-yea & wea & yea \\
Serui & i-sea & wea & sea \\
Ambai & i-sea & wea & sea
\end{tabular}

Two verb types were distinguished for Ambai on the basis of underlying stress which account for the morphophonemic variations which occur with the infixes \(/-\mathrm{u}-/\) and \(/-\mathrm{i}-/\) in 5.1.3.1.2. A comparison of verbs in Ambai with cognate forms in the other Yapen languages indicates that the two stress patterns are also present in the other languages. All Yapen languages, except

Busami, exhibit different patterns of interaction between the infixes /-u-/ and /-i-/ with verbs with the initial syllable of the stem stressed and those with the initial syllable of the verb unstressed. Thus, in the consonant-initial verbs presented in Figure A. 2 above we see that the stressed /a/ of the verb stem is retained the verb 'to plant', while the unstressed /a/ is lost or undergoes assimilation in the verb 'to fear'. In Papuma, for example, the second singular infix /-u-/ does not effect any changes to the stressed /a/ of the stem in /t-u-anang/ 'to plant', but in the verb /matai/ the /-u-/ and the unstressed /a/ of the stem assimilate to /o/ (i.e. /*m-u-atai/ becomes /motai/).

In this first section, then, we have seen that the singular prefixes posited for Ambai and the morphophonemic rules correspond in many aspects to the forms and rules in other Sarera Bay languages. In the next section we consider the Wandamen data in more detail.

Within the Western Yapen language group, Wandamen and Ambai form the westernmost and eastern most members. Wandamen appears to be more conservative than Ambai as regards PAN reflexes, e.g. retention of word-final consonants. Based on their linguistic relationship, then, we will devote the remainder of this section to a detailed comparison in regard to the forms and rules of the subject prefixes.

Example (4:) presents the Wandamen subject prefixes with Ambai forms in parentheses. The Wandamen forms have been posited from the various surface manifestations observed.


The initial similarities are quite obvious between the Wandamen and Ambai forms. We note that Wandamen does not evidence a trial and that Ambai has dropped the third person initial /s/. The other changes seem more idiosyncratic: anur:(aur) lex.dl), amat: (amet) lex.pl).

We now compare the morphophonemic rules of Ambai to those found in Wandamen. We begin with the non-singular forms, all of which end in either /r/ or / \(t /\). In Ambai all such prefix-final consonants are dropped; in Wandamen the prefix-final consonant is dropped except before \(/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{b} /\), or \(/ \mathrm{k} /\). The resulting consonant sequence undergoes further change resulting in the forms listed in (.5) below.
(5) Wandamen prefix \(C+r, b, k\)
\[
\underset{-\mathrm{r}-}{\mathrm{r}-}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{r} \\
\mathrm{~b} \\
\mathrm{k}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow-\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{nd} \\
\mathrm{mb} \\
\mathrm{Ng}
\end{array}\right\}
\]

Examples of the Wandamen prefix consonant retention rule as compared to the Ambai prefix consonant deletion rule are given in (6).
(6.) Wandamen
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
/tur- + ra/ & \(-\ldots>\) & tunda & 'lin.pl. walk' \\
/tat- + ra/ & \(--\infty\) & tanda & 'lin.pl. walk' \\
/tat- + bata/ & \(-->\) & tambata & 'lin.pl. recline' \\
/tur- + kari/ & \(-->\) & tuNgari & 'lin.dl. bite' \\
/tur- + tana/ & \(-->\) & tutana & 'lin.dl. plant'
\end{tabular}

Ambai
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
/tur- + ra/ & \(--->\) & tura & 'lin.dl. walk' \\
/tat- + ra/ & \(--->\) & tara & 'lin.pl. walk' \\
/tat- + watai/ & \(-->\) & tawatai & 'lin.pl. recline' \\
/tur- + kiri/ & \(-->\) & tukiri & 'lin.dl. bite' \\
/tur- + tanang/ & \(-->\) & tutanang & 'lin.dl. plant'
\end{tabular}

In the singular prefixes we will see some similarities and some differences between the Wandamen and the Ambai rules. We begin with the infixation of the second and third person markers /-u-/ and /-i-/ in which Wandamen exhibits the same pattern as Ambai. Examples of this rule can be seen in (7).
( 7 ) Wandamen
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
/bu- + tana/ & \(-\infty\) & t-u-ana & '2s plant' \\
\(/ \mathrm{di}-+\) tana/ & \(-->\) & t-i-ana & '3s plant'
\end{tabular}

The consonant shift rules involving \(/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t} /\), and /s/ in Ambai do not apply in Wandamen. Thus, the examples in ('8').
( 8 )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ambai \\
Wandamen
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /t-i-anang/ } \\
& / \text { t-i-ana/ }
\end{aligned}
\] & -- & sanang
t-i-ana & 3s plant \\
\hline Ambai & /r-i-oki/ & ---> & yoki & '3s sing' \\
\hline Wandamen & /r-i-oi/ & ---> & r-i-oi & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Ambai \\
Wandamen
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& / s-u-e a / \\
& / s-u-e s a /
\end{aligned}
\] & - & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { wea } \\
& \text { s-u-esa }
\end{aligned}
\] & '2s cough ' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The existence of two verb types distinguished by stress which explain the morphophonemic variations in the prefix plus root combinations in Ambai also aids in the analysis of the Wandamen data. The two verb types help explain the differences in the minimal pair 'tana 'to plant' and ta'na 'to be short' in Wandamen as seen in ('9).
( 9 )
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
'tana 'to plant' & ta'na 'to be short' \\
stressed initial syllable unstressed initial syllable \\
& \\
ls i-tana & i-tana \\
\(2 s\) t-li-ana & t-u-ena \\
3 s t-i-ana & t-i-ena
\end{tabular}

We note that the unstressed /a/ of the verb root is changed to /e/ but the stressed /a/ is uneffected. Rule (l \(\varnothing\) ) summarizes the vowel change in Wandamen verbs with the initial syllable unstressed.
(1ø) Wandamen verb vowel change rule


A comparison of cognate forms between Ambai and Wandamen shows that those with the stress on the initial syllable in Wandamen also have stress on the initial syllable in Ambai and those with an unstressed first syllable in Wandamen also have an unstressed first syllable in Ambai. In (11)-(13) we give examples of cognate verbs and show how each language distinguishes the wo verb types. After these examples we will discuss the differences between the rules in each language.
(11) Wandamen and Ambai verb classes compared
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{Stressed} & & Wandamen & Ambai \\
\hline & & /a/ & /a/ \\
\hline & -u- & ua & a \\
\hline & -i- & ia & ia \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Unstressed} & -u- & ue & \(\bigcirc\) \\
\hline & -i- & ie & e \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(12,) Stressed initial syllable
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Wandamen \\
Ambai
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
-pati \\
-pating
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-u- } \\
& \text { p-u-ati } \\
& \text { pating }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{-i-}{p-i-a t i} \\
& \text { p-i-ating }
\end{aligned}
\] & 'to pull out' \\
\hline Wandamen Ambai & bata watai & b-u-ata watai & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b-i-ata } \\
& \text { w-i-atai }
\end{aligned}
\] & 'to recline' \\
\hline Wandamen Ambai & \begin{tabular}{l}
tana \\
tanang
\end{tabular} & t-u-ana tanang & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { t-i-ana } \\
& \text { sanang }
\end{aligned}
\] & plant' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(13) Unstressed initial syllable
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Wandamen Ambai & ator ato & \[
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathrm{u}- \\
& \mathrm{b}-\mathrm{ue}-\mathrm{tor} \\
& \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{to}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text {-i- } \\
\text { die-tor } \\
\text { d-e-to }
\end{gathered}
\] & 'to count' \\
\hline Wandamen Ambai & babisi wawisi & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b-ue-bisi } \\
& \text { w-o-wisi }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b-ie-bisi } \\
& \text { w-e-wisi }
\end{aligned}
\] & 'hungry' \\
\hline Wandamen Ambai & \begin{tabular}{l}
-matai \\
matai
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { m-ue-tai } \\
& \text { m-u-tai }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { m-ie-tai } \\
& m-i-t a i
\end{aligned}
\] & 'afraid' \\
\hline Wandamen Ambai & mamaya mamaya & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { m-ue-maya } \\
& \text { m-u-maya }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { m-ie-maya } \\
& \text { m-i-maya }
\end{aligned}
\] & 'ashamed' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comparing the specific rules for verbs with stressed initial syllables we see that Wandamen drops the prefix vowel /u/ only before high vowels, while Ambai always drops /u/ in that environment as seen in (14).
(14) Wandamen and Ambai prefix /u/ deletion
/i/ Wandamen
Ambai /bu- + iri/ \begin{tabular}{lll} 
biri \\
biri
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) '2s choose'

To conclude this section we present a summary of the morphophonemic rules in Wandamen and Ambai. ( \(X\) means the rule occurs, - means the rule does not occur).

Pigure A. 3 : Wandamen and Ambai prefization rules compared
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Wandamen & Ambai \\
\hline a. prefix C deletion & \[
\begin{gathered}
X \\
\text { (except } \\
\text { before } \\
r, b, k \text { ) }
\end{gathered}
\] & X \\
\hline b. infixation of -u- and -i- & & X \\
\hline c. two verb types based on stress & X & X \\
\hline d. consonant shift & - & X \\
\hline e. deletion of prefix \(V\) in stressed syllable & (only before high Vs) & X (before high Vs and elsewhere) \\
\hline f. verb root \(V\) changes in unstressed syllable & \[
\stackrel{x}{(/ a / \rightarrow / e /)}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& x \\
& (/ a / \rightarrow \phi) \\
& (/ \text { ua/ } \rightarrow \text { (o/) } \\
& (\text { ia/ } \rightarrow / e /)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A.2. The derivation of Subject prefixes from pronouns

A comparison of the free pronouns in Ambai with the subject prefixes suggests that the prefixes may be derived from preposed free pronouns. In this section we will present data from Ambai, from other Sarera Bay languages, and from other AN languages, which support this hypothesis.

The process of free pronouns becoming Subject markers has been discussed from a theoretical viewpoint by Givón (1976) in his discussion of the importance of the concept of Topic agreement as opposed to subject agreement. Givón proposed that 'agreement arises via topic-shifting constructions in which the
```

topicalized NP is coreferential to one argument of the verb' (1976:151). The coreferential NP within the sentence is then replaced by a pronoun which is later reanalyzed as subject agreement. Givon states:

```

The morphological binding of the pronoun to the verb is an inevitable natural phenomenon, cliticization, having to do with the unstressed status of pronouns, their decreased information lofd and the subsequent loss of resistance to phonological attrition.
(1976:155)

In his discussion on the 'rise of subject agreement', Givon
presents the following example (1976:157):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
(15.) Topic shift ('Marked') & Neutral (Re-analyzed) \\
The man, he came \\
TOPIC PRO & The man he-came \\
&
\end{tabular}

In the example (61) the anaphoric pronoun 'he' which referred to the TOPIC in the left hand sentence, becomes an agreement marker after the TOPIC has been reanalyzed as SUBJECT.

Givón posits five steps in the development of Subject agreement markers which \(I\) present in Figure A. 4 . (Note that \(i\) and \(j\) are used to differentiate NPs).

Figure A. 4 : Givón's free pronoun \(\rightarrow-\infty\) marker proposal
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline i) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NPi } \\
& \text { TOPIC }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { NPi } & \text { VP } \\
\text { SUBJECT }
\end{array}
\] & NP \({ }^{\text {j }}\) & \\
\hline ii) & \begin{tabular}{l}
NPi \\
TOPIC
\end{tabular} & PRONOUN VP TOPIC agreement & NP \({ }^{\text {j }}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
via pronominal- \\
ization of coreferential NP
\end{tabular} \\
\hline iii) & \begin{tabular}{l}
NPi \\
SUBJECT
\end{tabular} & PRONOUN VP SUBJECT agreement & NPj & via cliticization TOPIC as SUBJECT \\
\hline iv) & \begin{tabular}{l}
NPi \\
SUBJECT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
AG-VP \\
agreement
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { NPj } \\
\text { marker }
\end{gathered}
\] & via cliticization the PRONOUN becomes agreement marker \\
\hline v) & \(\emptyset\) & AG-VP & NPj & ```
possible NP
deletion
without PRONOUN
insertion
``` \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Givón states that 'it is well known that languages with a viable paradigm of Subject-verb agreement may anaphorically delete the subject NP without replacing it with an independent pronoun' (1976:151) as seen in point (v) in Figure A. 4 .

Givón's proposal concerning the origins of agreement markers leads us next to consider the data from Ambai. In Figure A. 5 we present the Ambai free pronouns with the prefix forms in parentheses. The similarities between the two sets is readily apparent.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Figure A.5 = Ambai free pronouns and subject} \\
\hline & singular & dual & trial & plural \\
\hline 1 ex. & \[
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { yau } \\
i-)
\end{array}\right.
\] & auru (aur-) & \begin{tabular}{l}
antoru \\
(antor-)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { amea } \\
& (\text { amet }-)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1 in. & & \begin{tabular}{l}
turu \\
(tur-)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { totoru } \\
& \text { (tor-) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { tata } \\
(\text { tat })
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 2 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { wau } \\
(\mathrm{bu}-)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\underset{\text { (mur-) }}{\text { muru }}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { muntoru } \\
& \text { (muntor-) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mea } \\
& (\text { met- })
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3 & \[
\stackrel{i}{(\mathrm{di}-)}
\] & \[
\operatorname{uru}_{(u r-)}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { itoru } \\
& \text { (itor-) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ea } \\
& (\mathrm{et}-)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

If we apply Givón's proposals to the Ambai data, we would have a stage at which the free pronouns were anaphorically marking Topic agreement (point ii in Figure A. 5 above). 3 Later the pronouns become cliticized to the verb, while also undergoing certain phonological changes such as final vowel loss. Thus, turu 'lin.dl' would become the subject prefix tur-. Details of the phonological shifts from free pronouns to Subject prefixes have not yet been worked out, but we can see that the non-singular forms again present fewer problems than the singular forms. Before we discuss the Ambai prefixes in more detail we look first at other Sarera Bay languages and then at the larger AN scene regarding the derivation of subject prefixes from free pronouns.

Comparative data from other Sarera Bay languages lends support to the hypothesis that Ambai subject prefixes are derived from proposed pronouns. Pronoun and prefix forms from Sarera Bay languages are presented in Figure A. 6 . We will compare only the singular forms.

Figure A. 6 :
Sarera Bay free pronouns and surface forms of prefixes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & sg & 2 & sg & & 3 sg . \\
\hline PRO. & S.mkr. & PRO. & S.mkr & PRO & S.mkr \\
\hline yau & y-/i- & a & bu-/-u- & i & ty-/-i- \\
\hline yau & y-/i- & au & w-/-u- & i & ty-/-i- \\
\hline yau & Y-/i- & au & w-/-u- & i & d. \(/-\mathrm{i}-\) \\
\hline yau & y-/e- & au & w-/-u- & i & t-/-i- \\
\hline yau & ya- & au & w-/-u- & i & s-/-i- \\
\hline yau & Y-/i- & au & bu-/-u- & i & di-/-i- \\
\hline yau & \(\mathrm{y}-1 \mathrm{e}-\) & au & bu-/-u- & i & d-/-i- \\
\hline yau & y-/i- & wau & \(b u-/-u-\) & i & d-/-i- \\
\hline yau & y-/i- & wau & \(b-/-a-\) & i & d-/-i- \\
\hline aya & ai-/a- & awa & b-/0- & i & d-/-i- \\
\hline aya & ai-/a- & aw & \(b-/-u-\) & i & d-/-i- \\
\hline ya & y-/ya- & auo & au-/a- & i & \(i(y)-/ i-\) \\
\hline aya & \(y-/ y a-\) & au & w-/-u- & i & d-/i- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The subject prefixes are presented in phonetic form in the order V-initial stem/C-initial stem

The first person singular pronoun in Ambai and in many of other Sarera Bay languages is yau and the prevalent prefix for first singular is \(i-\),which becomes [ \(Y-\) ] by phonetic rule before vowel-initial verbs. Biak and waropen retain ya- as the subject prefix before consonant-initial verbs and Wabo and Kurudu retain ai-.

The second person singular pronouns in Sarera Bay exhibit variants au, wau, awa, and auo as seen in Figure 5.15. The prefixes, however, do not always correspond to a shortened form of the pronoun. Waropen au- from auo and perhaps Wabo o- from awa appear to be plausible phonological changes. The Pom, Munggui, Papuma, Busami, and Biak \(w\) - might also be a simple shortening of au (noting that the languages with \(w\) - prefix do not have wau as pronoun). The forms with bu-, however, might best be explained as being derived from the PAN plural pronoun *kamuh (PANLO) which
took the form *mbu at some point within the Yapen language development. The use of a reflex of \({ }^{\text {kamuh }}\) as a singular pronoun is found in Bahasa Indonesia where engkau (from *kaw) is reserved for more formal interlocations.

The third person singular prefixes almost all include an alveolar stop plus /i/: di-, ti-, ty-. Again it seems likely that the prefix should be derived from the PAN plural form *siDah rather than the singular *hiyah. And again Bahasa Indonesia provides the current-day example using dia for the singular pronoun.

Thus far we have seen that the Sarera Bry languages show many similarities to Ambai regarding the for if the subject prefixes. We have also seen that prefixes art derived from free pronouns. In the remainder of this section we note data from outside the Sarera Bay area.

Subject markers have been noted as a characteristic teature of the Oceanic lancruages (cf. Pawley 1974, Foley 1976, Capell 1976b). Foley notes that there are obligatory concord markers in Oceanic languages (1976:149f). Capell states that 'the optional personal pronoun is used only for emphasis but the person marker is obligatory whether the separate subject marker is used or not' (1976b:245). In both of the papers we see similarities with the Ambai data in that the free pronoun does not co-occir with the obligatory subject prefix, except as topic outside the clause.

Anceaux (1982) notes that subject markers are not limited to the Oceanic languages, citing many Western Austronesian languages as examples. Anceaux states:

> A full-fledged system in which all persons and numbers are separately marked is found in Sim-lur, Nias, Mentawi, Busang, Mori, Napu, Sumba, Lama,olot, Roti, Kei, Buli, Weda, Biak, and the Yapen-languages.
> \((1982: 48)\)

Streseman, in his 1927 study of the Seram languages, posited three grammatical developments in "Ur-Ambon" which supposedly distinquished it from Proto-Austronesian. His third proposed innovation concerns subject prefixes. Collins (1980), while not agreeing that Streseman's proposals are innovations specific to Seram, mentions that the Subject marking can be derived from the insertion of an auxiliary pronoun between the subject and the verb. The auxiliary pronoun could then be shortened and prefixed to the verb stem in a manner similar to that posited by Givon (1976). In the Seram data, the subject markers affect the shape of the verb stem, resulting in changes in the initial consonant of the stem.

Comparing the Ambai data to that of Oceanic languages and that of othnr Western Austronesian languages then demonstrates that the subject prefixes are derivable from the preposed free pronouns. The details of the derivation processes have not yet been formalized.

\section*{A. 3 Diachronic aspects of object inflection}

We turn now to the diachronic aspect of the Ambai object suffix. Here we will see that comparative data from other Sarera Bay languages, especially Wandamen, and from PAN help explain the Ambai situation. Parts of this section can also be found in Silzer (1982). \({ }^{4}\)

As we have seen in chapter 2 Ambai allows only /n/ to occur in pre-pause position, although certain transitive verbs also have a root-final consonant. It should not be surprising then to find other languages in the Sarera Bay which still permit pre-pause consonants. Wandamen provides a good example of word-final consonants as seen in the comparative examples in (16).
(16)
Wandamen
awar
bayar
rut
pot
rep

Ambai
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
awa & 'to sew' \\
baya & 'to pay' \\
ru & 'to hold' \\
po & 'to pull' \\
rere & to lick'
\end{tabular}

We see in (16.) that the pre-pause consonant in Wandamen is missing in Ambai. The earlie \(\%\) Western Yapen form is determined as having the pre-pause consonant. The Ambai data shows what Capell calls 'thematic revival' which he defines as follows: 'Thematic consonants are such as originally belonged to a stem, but are now lost except when a suffix is added' (1976b: 241).

The comparative data from Wandamen explains almost all of the object suffix morphology. The only exceptions are the \(-m+i\) and \(-\underline{k+i}\) variants. The \(-\underline{m+i}\) variation of the object suffix can be explained by comparing the Ambai (and Wandamen) data with PAN forms. Wandamen data is insufficient because it loses PAN /m/ in pre-pause position. We see examples of PAN, Ambai, and Wandamen forms in (17.).
(17:)
PAN
Wandamen

Ambai
'to drink'
*hinum
unu++ unum+i
unun++ unum+i
'to weave'
*hanyam
anu++
anum+i
anun++
anum+i

The final variant of the Ambai third singular object suffix is -k+i. This variant may also be a thematic consonant derived from earlier PAN forms, but comparative data from other Sarera Bay languages is not available.
(18)
bera
tara
tuta
berak+i 'to turn something around'
tarak+i 'to dig something'
tutak+i 'to pound something'

NOTES

1 Wandamen data used are taken from Ongkodharma, Flaming, and Saggers. See REFERENCES for further details.

2 Material from Yapen Island languages are from survey notes taken by Dr. D.C. Ajamiseba and the author in February 1981. Waropen and Biak data are from Anceaux 1961.

3 This is the stage at which Patz's materials show Biak to be (1978:15ø). Her example (38) is given here:
(38) \(\begin{array}{lll}\text { knain } \\ \text { tree } & \text { i } & \text { kwarken } \\ \text { fall }\end{array}\) 'The tree falls'

In this example Patz proposes that \(\underline{i}\) indicates Subject as Topic and that it is not a verbal prefix.

4 Silzer (1982) presents several synchronic problems in Ambai which have been clarified by diachronic study. The paper is in Bahasa Indonesia and was read in absentia at the Seminar Linguistik 1982 of the Masyarakat Linguistik Indonesia in Surakarta (Solo), Java. The published form of the paper unfortunately contains many typographical errors.

\section*{APPENDIX B : ENGLISE - AMBAI WORDLIST}

The following wordiist is presented in phonemic form with two exceptions: \(f\) represents \(/ \mathrm{P} /\) and v represents /b/. Word final consonants except \(/ \mathrm{n} /\) are written in parentheses to indicate that they do not occur before pause. Nouns which receive inalienable possession suffixes are indicated by a hyphen following the root. PAN forms are given where applicable. The PAN forms are Lopez's from Wurm and Wilson (1975) unless otherwise indicated (e.g. Capell, Blust, Dyen). Cognate forms in other Sarera Bay languages are presented, usually from Wandamen, for comparative purposes. Occasional references are made to Mora, the non-Austronesian language of Yapen Island, where it appears to be the source of a word in Ambai.

The farst section of the wordist includes only words from the Swadesh list which were used for lexicostatistical comparisons. The second group of words includes many cultural and food items, many of which should be understood as borrowings.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline English & Ambai & \\
\hline abdomen & ene- & PAN */t/iyan, PWY *Sane, \\
\hline arm & & Biak sne \\
\hline arm & wara- & PAN *palaj 'palm', \\
\hline ashes & kankanan & PWY *vara, Biak vra \\
\hline back & kuru- & PAN *likud, PWY *karu, \\
\hline backbone & kuru-ina & \\
\hline big & fiabai, baba, pinan & PAN *laba/h/, PWY *baba, \\
\hline bird & & Biak ba \\
\hline bird & romu, man- (in compounds) & PAN *manuk, Biak man \\
\hline bite & kiri & PAN *kaRat, PWY *kari, \\
\hline & & Biak arek \\
\hline black & numetan & PAN *ma+hi(n)tem, PWY *metan \\
\hline blood & rika & PAṄ */dD/aRaq, PWY *rika, \\
\hline & & Biak rik \\
\hline blow (flute) & bui & PAN *puput, PWY *vuv, \\
\hline body hair & nu-wavuru & Biak wuf \\
\hline & & PAN *buluh, PWY *baburu, Biak bur \\
\hline bone & ina & PWY *Sina \\
\hline breast & ui & PAN *susuh, PWY SuSu, \\
\hline breathe & sansen & PWY *sasen \\
\hline burn (tr.) & nunun & PAN *tunuh, PWY *nunun \\
\hline child & arikan, antun & Wan. atuma, Mora arikaing, Bul. \(n-t u\) \\
\hline cloud & kafafe, rarika & \\
\hline come & ra-ma & PAN *ma(R)i (Capell), PWY *rama, Biak rama \\
\hline count & ator & PAN *hituN, PWY *ator, \\
\hline cut (grass) & feran & Biak kor PWY *peran \\
\hline die & mireka & Biak mar \\
\hline dig & arai, sirai & PWY *sarai \\
\hline dirty & rarika & PWY *rari(k)a \\
\hline dog & fiawera, wona & PWY *ona, Biak naf \\
\hline drink & unun, unumi & PAN *hinum, PWY *unum, Biak inem \\
\hline dry (cloth) & arahiai, sirahiai & PWY *sanaya \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline dull & rekabu & PWY *kabur, Biak kober \\
\hline ear & tara- & PAN *taliNah, PWY *tara, Biak kna \\
\hline earth/soil & kakofa & PWY *kakopa \\
\hline eat (intr) & ampi & PAN *kaen (Dyen), PWY *api \\
\hline eye & ure- & EWY *ure \\
\hline fall & tawa & PWY *tawa \\
\hline far & weroi & PWY *woroi \\
\hline fat (n.) & ne-main & PAN *menyak, PWY *main, Biak mafen \\
\hline father & ```
tama-, dai ('my
    father')
``` & PAN *tama, PAN *hayaq, PWY *tama \\
\hline fear & matai & \begin{tabular}{l}
PAN *mattakut, PWY \\
*matai(t), Biak mkak
\end{tabular} \\
\hline fingernail & wara-diu & PAN */s/ilu/h/, PWY *dir \\
\hline fire & adia & PWY *atia \\
\hline fish (n.) & dian & PWY *dian, Biak in \\
\hline five
rim & rin & PAN *lima, PWY *rin, Biak \\
\hline float & awoki & Wan. voi \\
\hline flow & deda & Wan. dirar 'current' \\
\hline flower & ne-bu & PAN *buNah, PWY *pur \\
\hline fly (v.) & sifo & *tivu (PAMS), PWY *sapop, Biak rob \\
\hline fog & kafafe, kawari & \\
\hline fruit bon & bon & PAN *buhaq, PWY *buon, Biak \\
\hline full & sefa & \\
\hline good & makikai, anten & \\
\hline green & keke & PWY * (ma)kake \\
\hline hair (head) & nu-randaun & PWY *ru-nandau \\
\hline he & i & PAN *hiyah, PWY *i, Biak i \\
\hline head & nu- & PAN *huluh, PWY *ru, Biak rwu, bru \\
\hline heavy & maraba & PAN *ma+beRat, PWY *marabat, Biak marbak \\
\hline here ninei, & nin- & PAN *di(nN)i (Blust), Wan \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline hold & ru(t) & Biak dine PWY *rut \\
\hline hot (water) & maninkapoi & Serui mankakopi \\
\hline how & tofino & PWY *topino \\
\hline husband & ne-man & \\
\hline ```
inside
do,
``` & roron & PAN *Dalem, PWY *raron, Biak \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kill } \\
& \text { mun, }
\end{aligned}
\] & mun & \begin{tabular}{l}
Buli lolo \\
PAN *bunuq, PWY *mun, Biak
\end{tabular} \\
\hline knee & awe-buka & \begin{tabular}{l}
Buli pun \\
PAN *bukuh 'joint', PWY *buka, Biak pur
\end{tabular} \\
\hline know & waitawan(ai) & \\
\hline laugh Biak & miri & PAN *matgelih, PWY *mari, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { leaf } \\
& \text { ram }
\end{aligned}
\] & reraun & ```
    mbrif
PAN *Dahun, PWY *raun, Biak
``` \\
\hline left side & do-wei & \\
\hline lie down & watai & PWY *vata, Biak barek \\
\hline live & daran & \\
\hline man/male & man & PAN *manay (Capell), PWY *muan, Biak man \\
\hline many & fau & Wan. pau \\
\hline meat & tarai & PWY *tarai, Biak kraf \\
\hline moon & embai & PWY *Sembai, Biak paik \\
\hline mother & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ina-, ai ('my } \\
\text { mother') }
\end{gathered}
\] & PAN *hinah, PAN *bayih, PWY *Sina \\
\hline mountain & uai & Wan wi \\
\hline mouth & boro- & Wan. sore, Biak bon \\
\hline name & wono- & PWY *Sano, Biak snonsnon \\
\hline narrow & dira, kota & Wan. tindia \\
\hline near & kefan & \\
\hline new & waworu & PAN *baRuh, PWY *baboru, Biak babo \\
\hline night & diru & Wan. diru, Biak rob \\
\hline no & bireri & \\
\hline old (thing) & tawa & PAN *tuwah, PWY *tawa, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline one & bo-yari, man-siari & Biak kwar PWY *-siri \\
\hline person & inon-tarai & Wan. sinio-tu, Biak snon-kaku \\
\hline play & mei & Wan mei \\
\hline pull & rabi(t), fo(t) & PAN * cabut, PWY *pot \\
\hline push & tuba (r) & \\
\hline right side & do-moya & \\
\hline road/path & ran & PAN *zalan, PWY *rayan, Biak nyan \\
\hline root & ne-wa (sa) & PAN *waka/r/. PWY *war,
Biak rares \\
\hline rope & wai & PWY *wai \\
\hline rub & kika(r) & PAN *kaDus, PWY *kiar \\
\hline salt & ai & PAN *hasin, PWY *SaSi, Biak masen \\
\hline sand & nafa, numbuain & PWY *napa, *rubuan \\
\hline say & ai, madu & \\
\hline sea & ai-rau, rawanan & PAN *lahud, PWY *rawanan \\
\hline sew & awa & PWY *awar \\
\hline sharp & rei-sa & Wan. ma-sai, Biak sar \\
\hline short (horizontal) & tinan & PWY *tanan, Biak knampu \\
\hline sing & roki & PWY *ro(k)i \\
\hline sit & minoki & Wan. masoi \\
\hline skin & rerawa & PWY *rerawa \\
\hline sky & rora-(faisi) & PWY *rora, Waropen dora \\
\hline sleep & ena & PAN *hinep, PWY *ena, Biak enef \\
\hline small & katui & Wan. katu, Biak kasun \\
\hline smoke & riraun & \\
\hline snake & tawai & PAN *sawa, PWY *tawai \\
\hline spit & kaniu & PWY *kaniSu, POC *kanu(n)si \\
\hline split & bau(r) & PWY *baSur \\
\hline squeeze & rami, kuwa (r) & PWY *rami, *kaSur \\
\hline stab & isan & PWY *isan, Biak wan \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline stand & oa & PWY *OSar, Biak ores \\
\hline star & awaka & \\
\hline stone & kamiai & \\
\hline suck & su(f) & Wan. sum, Waropen suma \\
\hline sun & wo & PAN *waRih, PWY *wor, Biak or, Buli wol \\
\hline swim & eriai & \\
\hline tail & ama-daun & \\
\hline they (pl.) & ea & PAN *siDah, PWY *Si-at \\
\hline thick & kakuban & \\
\hline think & ene-tituai(tai) & \\
\hline three & bo-toru, man-toru & PAN *teluh, PWY *toru, Biak kior \\
\hline throw & so & PWY *so, Biak so \\
\hline tie & awi(t), kase & PWY *abit, Biak yabek \\
\hline tongue & tapere- & PWY *tapare, Biak kaprer \\
\hline tooth & dore-, dere- & Wan. dere \\
\hline tree & ai & PAN *kayuh, PWY *ai, Biak ai, Buli ai \\
\hline turn & ara-bera & Wan, vaver, Biak awer \\
\hline two & bo-ru, man-du & PAN *Duwah, PWY *ru, Biak du, Buli lu \\
\hline vomit & mamuta & PAN *ma+(h)u(n)taq, PWY *mamuta. \\
\hline walk & ra & PAN *lakaw, PWY *ra, Biak ra \\
\hline wash & fafi & PWY *pap, Biak pap \\
\hline water & mereka & PWY *mare(k)a \\
\hline we (in.pl) & tata & PAN *kitah, PWY *tata \\
\hline wet (cloth) & wawasa & PAN *basaq, PWY *vavasa \\
\hline what & fi-ani & \\
\hline where & na-doni & \\
\hline who & man-tei, man-doni & Wan. tei, Biak man-sei \\
\hline wide & tarera & \\
\hline wing & wara-pemàn & PWY *-pema \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline bridge & dodoku, kikairi & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bunch } \\
& \text { (coconuts) }
\end{aligned}
\] & tuai, amu & PWY *Samur \\
\hline burn (intr) & dan(-kararu) & \\
\hline bushknife & umbe & PWY *Sumbe, (cf Biak sumber) \\
\hline but & wape, manamo & Wan. vape, Ansus mana \\
\hline butterfly & kamambo & \\
\hline buy & wori(r) & PWY *vori \\
\hline calf & awe-rowawa & \\
\hline calm (ocean) & marin, marirori & PWY *mari-dori \\
\hline canoe wai & wa & PAN *waNkaN, PWY *wa, Biak \\
\hline cape (land) & urefan & \\
\hline carry on back & baki & Wan. bai \\
\hline carry on head & suan & PAN *suqun, PWY *suan \\
\hline carry on shoulder & ke(t), sodua (t) & \\
\hline cassowary & man-soari & Biak man-swar \\
\hline casuarina & ai-yaru & PAN *haRuh, PWY *-yaru, Biak yar \\
\hline cat & neki & Serui meki \\
\hline catch & tafu(r) & PWY *tapur, Biak for \\
\hline caulk (n.) & tufa, kobu & \\
\hline change & riwan & PAN *liyan, PWY *riwan \\
\hline cheek & tara-reai & PWY *tara-re \\
\hline chest & aro- & PAN *DaDah (?), PWY *Saro \\
\hline chew & mama & PAN *mamaq, PWY *mama \\
\hline chicken & man-kukei & PAN *manuk+kukuk \\
\hline chin & dore-wawa & PWY *dere-vava \\
\hline choose & iri & ```
PAN *piliq, PWY *iri,
    Biak kinfir
``` \\
\hline citrus & diodi, simitoi & Wan. simuti, Waropen simuto, Buli djodji \\
\hline clean & marebanai & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline climb & auta & PWY *auta \\
\hline close (door) & kafe & \\
\hline cockroach & kantantini & Ansus kantantin \\
\hline coconut & ankadi & PWY *ankadi \\
\hline coconut juice & ankadi kuru & PAN *ZuRuq 'juice', PWY *karu, Biak dur \\
\hline comb (n.) & u & PAN *sulud (Capell), PWY *sur, Biak asur \\
\hline comb (v.) & su & PWY *sur \\
\hline command & ami & PWY *amias \\
\hline conch & tabura & PAN *ta(m)burih, PWY *tabura, Biak kbur be \\
\hline cough & sea & PWY *seSa, Biak ses \\
\hline cover (v.) & tabun & PAN *ta (N)kup, PWY *tabun \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
cowrie \\
korombovi
\end{tabular} & karavurai & Pom korovui, Papuma \\
\hline crab & ariri, aifai & Woi kapiri \\
\hline crawl & sawaya & PWY *savaya, Biak syabes \\
\hline crocodile & wankori & PWY *wankori < Mora wankori (?) \\
\hline crooked & kipau, tikapa & PWY *kapau, Biak kaparawer \\
\hline crossbeam & ai-taba & PWY *ai-tabat \\
\hline crowned pigeon & mambiriu & PWY *mambaru, Biak mambruk \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
cucumber \\
kasinano
\end{tabular} & kasina, kaina & PWY *kasinan, Waropen \\
\hline curse & arikan & Ansus randian \\
\hline custom & koan & \\
\hline cut through & kutu & \\
\hline dance & makai & \\
\hline daughter & kamutun & \\
\hline dead & mireka & \\
\hline deaf & tara-pararo & PWY *tara-paro, Biak pro \\
\hline deceive & tofa & PAN */t/ipuh ( 3 ) \\
\hline decorate & marandin & PWY *marandin, Biak fari \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline dismantle & tota (k) & Wan totap \\
\hline door & rakutu & Wan. rature \\
\hline draw/carve & bui, raban & PWY *bui \\
\hline dream & amiai & PWY *anami \\
\hline drum & \begin{tabular}{l}
fi-rotu \\
(thing-sounds)
\end{tabular} & PWY *pi-rotu \\
\hline dry (grass) & mamasa & PWY *mamasa \\
\hline dry (tr.) & anika & PWY *ariSa , Biak rires \\
\hline dugong & diauroi & \\
\hline earthquake & mundiai & PWY *mundi \\
\hline east & muran & PWY *muran, Biak murem \\
\hline easy & mawa & PWY *muawas \\
\hline eat (tr) & an & PWY *an \\
\hline edge & dereun, ruramai & \\
\hline eight & indea-toru & \\
\hline embers & karisen & \\
\hline enemy & rai & PWY *rai (t) \\
\hline enter & suai & PAN *masuk, PWY *sua, Biak sun \\
\hline Eugenia & andori & PWY *andori \\
\hline evil & mamuna & \\
\hline extinguish & fafe(r) & \\
\hline eyelash & ure--babukiri & Wan. re-ru-vavuru \\
\hline fast/quick & akera & PWY *Saira \\
\hline fat (adj.) & biriaiyai & \\
\hline fence & wore & PWY *warun \\
\hline fight & kiwa ( \(t\) ) & \\
\hline finger & wara-keka & PWY *-ke(k)a \\
\hline firefly & kananata, awaka ('star') & \\
\hline first & reantenan & PWY *retatenan \\
\hline 上ish ( \(\mathrm{v}_{\text {. }}\) ) & tukai & PWY *tu(k)a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline fishhook & marain-deni & Wan. mamen-de \\
\hline fishtrap & ye & PWY *Se \\
\hline fishnet & eran & PWY *Seran \\
\hline fly (n.) & amumari & DWY *amumar \\
\hline fold & kafa (r) & PWY *kapar, Biak aper \\
\hline follow & tuwa & PAN *suaq (Blust), Wan. usar \\
\hline food & fi-an ('thing-eat') & Wan. pisia \\
\hline for & we & PWY *ve \\
\hline forehead & rewo- & PWY *re-babo \\
\hline forget & ```
faranden,
    aro-pora(k)
``` & PWY *-paro \\
\hline friend & kamuki, manivovi & PWY *kamuk, *manivovi (cf. Biak manibob) \\
\hline frog & vivintankin & \\
\hline front & fon & PWY *pon, Biak pon \\
\hline gall & ne-opi & PWY *opi \\
\hline garden & romi & PAN *Rumaq, PWY *romi \\
\hline gather & ruki, fanduki & PWY *ru(k)ir \\
\hline gills & ne-wasa & PWY *-wasa \\
\hline ginger & kaun & PWY *kaun (borrower) \\
\hline glass & kasinai & PWY *kasina (borrowed) \\
\hline \[
\frac{\text { Gnetum }}{\text { gnemon }}
\] & kikan & PWY *marapa \\
\hline go backwards & su & PWY *su, Biak susu \\
\hline go down & bia(r) & PWY *biar \\
\hline gourd & airai & PWY *airiai \\
\hline grandparent & tafui & PWY *tapu, Biak kpu, apus \\
\hline grate (v.) & kika(r) & PWY *kikar \\
\hline grave (yard) & fafo & PWY *papo \\
\hline grow & tifu & PWY *tipu \\
\hline hand (of bananas) & isin & PWY *wesin: Biak esen \\
\hline handle (n.) & kun & Wan. kainu, Mora kum \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline happy & mirisin, firaise & PWY *marisin, Biak marisen 'to like' \\
\hline hear & tara-o & PWY *tara-So \\
\hline heart & ai-bon & PWY *aibon, Biak aibon \\
\hline heel & awe-didiu & PWY *ae-to-pui \\
\hline hide (intr) & adiwa(r) & PWY *aniwar \\
\hline hit & boi & \\
\hline hornbill & wama & PWY *wama, Biak wamber \\
\hline house & munci & PAN *banu/w/ah, PWY *manl \\
\hline how many (animate) & maneiru & \\
\hline hundrea & ```
ma-rin
    ('twenty-five')
``` & PWY *pia-rin \\
\hline hungry & wawisi & ```
PAN *bitil (Blust),
    PWY *(va)visi.
    Biak biser
``` \\
\hline hut & yawa, warumai & PWY *yawa \\
\hline imitate & kariri & PAN *ti/r/uh, PWY *parari, Biak farari \\
\hline insane & we-fiaiwo & \\
\hline intercourse & koi & PWY *koi, Biak ok \\
\hline ironwood & ai-ron & PWY *ai-ron, Biak nor \\
\hline island & nu & PAN *nusah, PWY *nu, Biak nu- \\
\hline itch & maitata & PAN *matgatel, PWY *matatar \\
\hline jaw & dere-wawa & PWY *dere-vava \\
\hline jellyfish & karata & PWY *karata(r) \\
\hline jump & soi (r) & PWY *kopar, Biak oper \\
\hline jungle & ai-roron ('wood-inside'), reirei ('land') & PWY *ai-raron \\
\hline kangaroo, tree & amo & PWY *amor, *musi \\
\hline kick & kafa (r) & PWY *kapar \\
\hline kinky (hair) & karaifaki & Woi kararapa \\
\hline knife & noi & PWY *inoi < Biak inoi \\
\hline lamp & padamara & Ternate padamara (borrowed) \\
\hline land & rei & PWY *rei, Biak re \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline leader & mananu & PWY *mananir < Biak manawir \\
\hline leak & deda, mi \({ }_{\perp}\) & PWY *matir, Biak mgir \\
\hline leech & kikairi & \\
\hline light a fire & ruru adia & \(\operatorname{PAN} * / t / u / t / u h\), Wan. ruria \\
\hline light (weight) & aiyaiyai & PWY *tapisa \\
\hline lightning & kaiwewa & Wan. Kabebar (Anceaux) \\
\hline like (v.) & maya (r) & PWY *mayar \\
\hline Iime & roa & PWY *kiru, Waropen rosa \\
\hline lip & boro-rawa & \\
\hline lizard & kapetaini & Wan. kapatiei, Marau kapadiwa \\
\hline loincloth & kavui & PAN *sabuk, PWY *kavui \\
\hline long & dewaroi & Wan: aroi \\
\hline lory & vioai & PWY *vioi \\
\hline lost & nai & \\
\hline maize & kasamberei & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Wan, pas-amber } \\
& \text { ('rice-foreign') }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline make & nari(r) & PWY *ona 'give' \\
\hline mango & andari & PWY *andani \\
\hline marry & rirau(t) & PWY *ninaut \\
\hline mast & randawai & PWY *nandoai \\
\hline mat & andaun & PAN *pandan, PWY *andaun \\
\hline meet & sobu & Wan. samu-ai. \\
\hline middle & rabuan & PWY *rabuan \\
\hline miss & tuwarai, pari & PWY *parir \\
\hline mortar & ai-sori & PWY *ai-sori, Biak asri \\
\hline mosquito & fi-karari & \\
\hline move (intr) & tawera & PWY *tabera \\
\hline mucus & mamu & PWY *mamu (Malay loan) \\
\hline mud & fatata & \\
\hline nakeत & naiyarora, kakabiri & PWY *kakaburi \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline navel & ene-kafu & \\
\hline needle & reti ('wire'), aniai & Wan. ret \\
\hline nest & ai-sankin & PWY *karain \\
\hline nine & indeatan & \\
\hline numb & patite & PWY *patite \\
\hline oar & bo & PAN *besay, PWY *bo, Biak kabores \\
\hline obstruct & wedua (r) & PWY *vediora, Biak dwarek \\
\hline octopus & raukai & PWY *raukai \\
\hline oil & manin & PAN *minyak, PWY *manin, Biak mani \\
\hline old man & inon-kutun & \\
\hline open (door) & fai(r) & \\
\hline orphan & arikan awata & PWY *awata, Biak awak \\
\hline outrigger & woman & PAN *saRaman (Capell), PWY *Soman, Waropen somano \\
\hline outside & woriai & PWY *borira, Biak bon-di \\
\hline paddle (v.) & wo & PWY *vo, Biak bores \\
\hline painful & damirai, pirakai & \\
\hline palm wine & ye & PWY *suan \\
\hline palm/sole & -fan & PWY *ban, Biak bam/wam \\
\hline papaya & a(nsa)wai-bon & PWY *asawa, Biak asawa \\
\hline parents-in-law & nio & PWY *nio, Waropen no-win (mother-in-law?) \\
\hline pay & bai (t) & PAN *bayaR, PWY *bait, Biak bak \\
\hline peel (v.) & tita(k) & PWY *titar \\
\hline peg & ai-subun & PAN *qubung 'join' \\
\hline penis & i- & PAN */h/u/t/i/h/, PWY *si, Biak si-don \\
\hline pepper & meriseni & PWY *marisan, Biak marisan \\
\hline pestle & a-dafi & \\
\hline pigeon & afuran, muntun & PAN *punay \\
\hline pinch & kefan & PWY *kepan, Biak epen \\
\hline place (n.) & katai & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline plank & wonkan & PAN *waNkaN, PWY *wonkan \\
\hline plant (v.) & tana (m) & PAN *tanem, PWY *tanam \\
\hline plate & rewankuai, resaresa & Ternate lesalesa \\
\hline point to & anawi & PWY *anau \\
\hline poison & fi-memu & PWY *pi-mamur \\
\hline Pometia * & tawan & Wan. tawa \\
\hline portside & do-tayan & PWY *do-tayan \\
\hline post & diri & PWY *diri, Biak adir \\
\hline pound (sago) & tara, tuta(k) & PWY *tara, *tuta, Biak kuk \\
\hline pour & sara & Wan. sara \\
\hline power & tapapa, payai & PWY *puaya \\
\hline praise & somi, aparandin & Wan. som, PWY *aparandin \\
\hline prawn & kaweini & PWY *kawein, Biak kawen \\
\hline press & tawan & PWY *tawan \\
\hline pull out & fatin & PWY *patin, Biak pas \\
\hline pus & nana & PWY *nana (Malay loan) \\
\hline rack & ruai & PWY *ruai \\
\hline rainbow & evi & PWY *Sebi, Biak saseb (i) \\
\hline rat & karu & \\
\hline reef & yawa, kamiran & Wan. Yawar \\
\hline release & tawin & Wan. tavina, PWY *pikara \\
\hline remember & aro-o & \\
\hline return home & ara-bera(k) & \\
\hline rice & pa & PAN *pajay, PWY *pas, Biak fas \\
\hline ripe & mirai & Wan. marai \\
\hline river & waya & PAN *wayeR 'water' \\
\hline roll & roya (r) & Wan. royar \\
\hline roof & antaraun & Wan. randan \\
\hline round & we-robong & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline sago frond rib & ampeki & PAN *rumbi/y/ah, PWY *amper Biak amper \\
\hline sago pounder & mawai & PWY *amau, Biak amau \\
\hline sago powder & taun & Wan. tau \\
\hline sago pudding & anan & Wan. ana, Mora anan \\
\hline sago stirrer & daru & PWY *duaru, Biak aduar \\
\hline sail (n.) & arawin & PWY *Sarawin, Biak sarwir \\
\hline sail (v.) & afai & PWY *apai (r) \\
\hline same & mai & PWY *maSi, Waropen maisa \\
\hline sash & areai & PWY *Sareai \\
\hline satiated & mamosi & PWY *(ma)mosir \\
\hline scabies & an & Wan.an \\
\hline scales & ne-una & PAN *qunap, PWY *ne-una, Biak unef \\
\hline sea bird & manken & PWY *manken, Mor mangeng 'cormorant' \\
\hline sea spirit & wori & PWY *wori \\
\hline see & wati & PWY *ure-So \\
\hline seed & kamiai ('stone') & Mora kami ( \({ }^{\text {( }}\) \\
\hline seek & sera & PWY *(sa)sera \\
\hline sell & awayan & \\
\hline servant/captive & womin & PWY *womin, Waropen Gomino (Held) \\
\hline seven & itu & PAN *pituh, PWY *itu, Biak fik \\
\hline shadow & nuaninu & \\
\hline shark & mandokai & Woi mandokai \\
\hline shine & sambewarai & Wan. visambiar \\
\hline short (vertical) shoulder & tewawa, tanan
wara-boiyawa & PWY *tanan, Woi tevava \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sibling (older } \\
& \text { s.sex) }
\end{aligned}
\] & tafuai & PWY *neta-baba \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sibling (ynger. } \\
& \text { d.sex) }
\end{aligned}
\] & roro-(man/win)-katui & PWY *raruo-katu \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { sibling (ynger. } \\
\text { d.sex) }
\end{gathered}
\] & takatui & PWY *neta-katu
PWY *marutu \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline six
skinmy & wonan
kewariroi(ni) & PAN *henem, PWY *wonan, Biak wonem, POC onom \\
\hline sleepy & ure-mamon & Wan. mo \\
\hline slow & fatamai & Ansus pantana \\
\hline smell (tr.) & nuna (k) & \\
\hline son & kaisun & \\
\hline soon & katu & PWY *okatu, Biak knik \\
\hline sound (v.) & dotu & PWY *rotu, Biak rok \\
\hline sour & sisasa & PAN *hasem, PWY *sasa \\
\hline spear (n.) & wombua & Mora umbuware (Turu) \\
\hline sperm & ka & PWY *si-kako \\
\hline spider & ```
man-sai-eran
    ('animal-?-net)(?)
``` & \\
\hline spin rope & baya(r) & PWY *bayar \\
\hline squid & antinui & Serui antanu \\
\hline stalk (bananas) & wairi & \\
\hline starboard & \begin{tabular}{l}
do-woman \\
('side-outrigger')
\end{tabular} & PWY *(i)do-Soman \\
\hline starfish & kawankamu & \\
\hline steer & siru & PWY *siru \\
\hline steps & tekende, keti & Wan.te \\
\hline stick (digging) & ai-so & \\
\hline storm & we-dobarai & PWY *diobara \\
\hline straits & wora & PWY *Sora, Biak soren pak \\
\hline strong (wood) & mitu & PWY *matu \\
\hline sugarcane & tovu & PAN *tebuh, PWY *tovu, Biak kob \\
\hline swallow (v.) & ton & PAN */t/elen, PWY *ton, Biak oren \\
\hline swamp & towai & \\
\hline sweep & iri & PWY *irara \\
\hline sweet & miain & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline tall & adai. & Wan. tariai \\
\hline taro & barimu & Ioan \\
\hline teach & ainau & PWY *aniau \\
\hline tear (tr.) & fo-abui & PWY *-Sabu, Biak sawek \\
\hline ten & sura & PWY *Saura, Biak samfur, Mor taura \\
\hline testicles & woro-kamiai & PWY *Soro- 'scrotum' \\
\hline they 2 & u-ru & PWY *Sa-ru, Biak sko \\
\hline they 3 & i-toru/ coru & PWY *se-toru \\
\hline thigh & awe-dun & PWY *awa-dun \\
\hline thirsty & rao-tataka & \\
\hline throw away & kabi & \\
\hline thwart & ande & PWY *Sande \\
\hline tide, high & su & \\
\hline to & to & PWY *to \\
\hline to where & to-doni & \\
\hline toe & awe-keka & PWY *ae-ke(k)a \\
\hline tomorrow & aka & \\
\hline tree trunk & ai-robon & \\
\hline twenty & pia-rei & PWY *pia- \\
\hline twins & arikan tatarui & \\
\hline urinate & kakeri marareka & PWY *kaeri \\
\hline vagina & तi- kamirei & PWY *di- \\
\hline vein & ae & \\
\hline village & munue & Biak mnu \\
\hline wait & anonai & PWY *anota \\
\hline wall & taba, kau & Woi kawari \\
\hline water jar & babeu, katiti & PWY *babeSu, Biak babeu \\
\hline wave ( n. ) & andeisa & \\
\hline we (ex.tr.) & an-toru & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline we (in.dl.) & tu-ru & \\
\hline weak (wood) & finakan & \\
\hline weave/plait & anu(m) & PAN *anyam (Blust), PWY *anum, Biak yanem \\
\hline weep & sai & PAN *taNis, PWY *sais, Biak kanes \\
\hline west & fui 'back' & Wan. ba \\
\hline whale & saroi & PWY *saroi, Biak saroi \\
\hline why & we-fiani & \\
\hline widower & mansani & PWY *masian, Biak masyan, Mor maisana \\
\hline wound (n.) & kakai & Mora kakai, PWY *kapor, Biak par \\
\hline wrap & taban & PWY *taban \\
\hline wrist & wara-raon & \\
\hline wrong & sarawai & Wan: sasarai, Biak sasar \\
\hline Yam & uvi & PAN *hubih, PWY *uvi \\
\hline yawn & amafa & PWY *(ma)mavav, Biak mabab \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The following text is the story of the day Isak died as told by his friend. The story was recorded in Rondefi, the sister village of Ambai by Herman Maniani, a primary school teacher.

The format for the text is as follows: the first line is orthographic. The second is the phonemic form. The third ine is a morpheme-by-morpheme translation, and the fourth line is a free translation into English. Single slash ( / marks short phonetic pause. Double slash ( // ) indicates longer pause. Numbers indicate rough sentence divisions.
\begin{tabular}{clllll} 
1. yau / isaki \(/\) feredeki \(/ /\) awahoi bereri & we antorufefe / \\
iau & isaki & feredeci & auakoi & bereri & ue an-toru-fefe \\
ls & Isak & Feredek & tobacco & NEG & \(B E N\) lex-tr-CAUSE
\end{tabular}
'Because Isak,Feredek, and I had no tobacco..
antowo nano munune // 2. antowo antorawohi
an-tor-uo nano munu-ne an-tor-uo antor-awoki
lex-tr-paddle from house-NE lex-tr-paddle lex-tr-float
'.. we paddled from the village. We paddled, we floated,..
antotukai nano Mareafuifi // 3. antorawohiya
an-tor-tukai nano Mareafuifi an-tor-awoki-ra
lex-tr-fish at Mareafuifi lex-tr-float-PERF
'..we fished at Mareafuifi. When we had floated..
diru mani / metanei / dobaranei / minso
diru mani metan-ne-i dobara-ne-i minso
night TDPIC rain-NE-sg storm-NE-Sg rairion
'..until night, the rain, the storm rained ..
antorufefe / antowawu arei / antorau nano
an-toru-fefe an-tor-uabu a-rei an-tor-au nano
lex-tr-CAUSE lex-tr-flee EXT-land lex-tr-go.up at
'..on us, therefore we fled to the land. We climbed ip at..
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
raontawa & rau & \(/ /\) & 4. awa & ahafo \\
raontaua & rau & & & aua \\
Raontawa & sea & & & then
\end{tabular}
...Raontawa. The next morning,..

\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
mani \(/\) & feredeki & fio & mandohai & manei & tuti \\
mani & feredeki & di-fo & mandokai & man-ei & tutir \\
TOPIC & Feredek & \(3 s-p u l 1\) shark & anim-one with
\end{tabular}
'..at W., Feredek caught a shark and..
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
diaN & urofaN. manei \(/\) & mandufe \(/ /\) & 5. ainanaiya \\
dian & urofan & man-ei & man-ru-fe & ai-nanai-a \\
fish & Waropen & anim-one anim-two- ? & then
\end{tabular}
'..a(nother) fish, two (fish). Then..
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
antosea & arau & antoruai & arawinei \(/\) defai & bau \\
an-tor-sea & a-rau & an-tor-ruai & arawin-ne-i, di-afai & bau \\
lex-tr-glide EXT-sea & lex-tr-lift & sail-NE-sg & \(3 s-s a i l\) & split
\end{tabular}
'..We glided out to sea and raised the sail. The canoe..

'We floated in front of the market. Isak..
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
bia & da & to Waromi & Miko & arei \(/ /\) & mae / \\
di-bia & \(d i-r a\) & to & uaromi & miko & a-rei & mae \\
3s-descend & \(3 s-w a l k\) & to Waromi Miko & EXT-land & while
\end{tabular}
'. got down and walked to Miko Waromi's house . Meanwhile,..
\begin{tabular}{llcccc} 
feredeki & \(d i\) & \(k i a\) & \(d i a n e u r u\) & \(d a\) & arei \(/\) \\
feredeki & \(d i\) & \(d i-k a\) & \(d i a n-n e-u-r u\) & \(d i-r a\) & \(a-r e i\) \\
Feredek & \(B E\) & \(3 s-t a k e\) & \(f i s h-N E-3-d 1\) & \(3 s-w a l k\) & \(E X T-1 a n d\)
\end{tabular}
1..Feredek took the two fish and went ashore..
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
dewayaN & suru & \(/\) & wiori & awahoi \\
di-auaian & su-ru & di-llnri & auakoi & bei \\
3 s-sell & \(3-d l\) & \(3 s-b u y\) & tobacco & one \\
\\
?. .and sold the two fish and bought tobacco.
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline da & narieha & mandau & / & Isaki & da & & u \\
\hline d-ra & nari-eka & man-rau & & isaki & di-ra & eka & u \\
\hline -walk & make-again & INT-sea & & Isak & 3s-walk & again & INT-sea \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'He walked back to the sea. Isak walked back to the sea.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
antorau \\
an-tor-au
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { wanei } \\
\text { ua-ne-i }
\end{gathered}
\] & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{antowowariaima} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{lex-tr-ascend canoe-NE-sg lex-tr-paddle-around-?-to} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{'We got into the canoe, we paddled around towards} \\
\hline no & Ma & rafi & man \({ }^{\text {i }}\) & & niurainso & ne \\
\hline ino & manw & arafi & mani & & di-nurainso & ne-b \\
\hline ntil & Man & rafi & TOPIC & & 3s-feel & POS-3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'As we reached Manwarafi, (Isak) felt ..
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
tarainei & kirarutu & \(/\) ampefe & sau \\
tarai-ne-i & di-kararutu & an-fefe & di-tawa \\
body-ueu \\
bode-sg & \(3 s-s h i v e r ~\) & \(?-C A U S E\) & \(3 s-f a l l\)
\end{tabular}
'..his body shiver, therefore he dropped down..

'..wrapped himself up and lie down. Meanwhile, we two..
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline antoru & & & auwo & antoruma & maino & Kainuiya \\
\hline an-toru & & & aur-uo & an-toru-ma & maino & Kainui-ra \\
\hline lex-tr & & & . d1-p & lex-tr-to & unti & Kainui-PERF \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'.ppaddled the three of us. When we rauched Kainui..

'..we walked back ashore. I got out. I walked..
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline to & romifoiya // & Isaki & bia & da & awaru \\
\hline to & romi-fo-i-a & isaki & di-bia & di-ra & a-waru \\
\hline to & garden-F0-sg-EXT & Isak & 3s-descend & 3s-walk & EXT-side \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'. .to the garden. Isak got out. He waiked across..

```

tobu boru wei // yu eai ma / dana /
tobu bo-ru we-i di-ru ea-i ma(ni) di an-ra
sugarcane inan-two WE-3s 3s-hold 3pl-? TOPIC 3s-eat-PERF
'..him two pieces of sugarcane. Holding them, when he had...
dantukinar ma / ne tarai kirarutu fubara //
di-an-tukinai ma(ni) ne-\emptyset tarai di-kararutu fuba-ra
3s-eat-? TOPIC POS-3s body 3s-shiver large-PERF
'..eaten, as it hurt him to eat, his body really shivered.

| dawawaru | dau | biuai | andauN | na |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| di-ra-a-uaru | di-au | di-buai | andauri | na |
| 3s-walk-EXT-side | $3 s-a s c e n d$ | $3 s-u n r o l l$ | mat | on |

'He went over, climbed up, and unrolled a mat on..

| woNgampoi | sau | aweu | wiataiya | rirora |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wonkan-fo-i | di-tau | a-ueu | di-watai-ra | rirora |
| board-F0-sg | 3s-fall | EXT-down | $3 s-1 i e-P E R F$ | shake |

```
'..a board. He dropped down. Lying there, he shook..
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
finanaiya & \(/ /\) & ira & meu & feredeki \\
fi-nan-ai-ra deyo & d-ra & meu & feredeki & di-aio \\
thing-that-unspec-perf & ls-walk down & Feredek & \(3 s-s a y\)
\end{tabular}
'..like that. I went down to Feredek and Isak said,..
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ate / & amai & denteN & kaha & fefe \\
\hline mu-(sa)kera-te & àmai & di-aten & kaka & fefe \\
\hline 2d1-quick-POSSIB. & in-1aw & 3s-we11 & NEG & cruse \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lccccc} 
"You two might he quick because your in-law isn't well." \\
yohon & timuri & kutu & bei & arau & kasoaiya/ \\
i-okon & timuri & kutu & bei & a-rau & \\
ls-give manioc & small one & EXT-sea
\end{tabular}
'After I put some manioc on the fire..
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
antorau & wafoa & antowc & pari & pari \\
an-tor-au & wa-FO-ra & an-tor-uo & pari & pari \\
lex-tr-ascend & canoe-FO-PERF & lex-tr-paddle & CONT & CONT
\end{tabular}
'..and after we got into the canoe, we paddled and paddled..
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ma & maino & Feafi & mani & / dei & beyari & deyo / \\
\hline ma & maino & feafi & mani & di-ai & beiari & di-aio \\
\hline to here & until & Feafi & TOPIC & 3s-say & one & 3s-say \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'. . and when we reached Feafi Isak said,..

\begin{tabular}{lclll} 
antowo mari & ma mai & wararenea & mani / \\
an-tor-uo pari & ma mai & uarare-ne-ra & mani \\
lex-tr-paddle CONT & to here until & side-NE-PERF & TOPIC
\end{tabular}
'We paddled until we reached home and..
\begin{tabular}{lclcc} 
yutan & ayai & yoyo & marikaiasi \(/\) & mufobera \\
i-utan & a-ai & i-aio & marikaiasi & mu-fo-bera \\
ls-ask & EXT-up & ls-say & Marikaias & 2dl-pull-turn
\end{tabular}
'I called up I said, "Marikaias, pull...
\begin{tabular}{llclrr} 
wa & ambori & ketiwai & kesau & mani & i uruai \\
ua & an-wori & keti-wa-i & kesau & mani & u-ruai \\
canoe & ?-then & steps-WA-sg & wide & TOPIC & 3dl-lift
\end{tabular}
"". .a canoe around so when the steps are wide they can lift..
Isaki meai te denteN kaha fefe //
Isaki me-ai te di-anten kaka fefe
Isak ?-up POSSIB. 3s-well NEG CAUSE
'"...Isak up since he's not well."
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
wafo & wiruaiyal & Marikaiasi & bia meu & kionto \\
wa-fo & wiru-ai-ra & Marikaiasi & di-bia meu & di-konto \\
canoe-F0 & away-?-PERF & Marikaias & 3s-descend down & 3s-add
\end{tabular}
'After the canoe was moved away, Marikaias went down and..
Feredeki uwarami fafiati aiyai / wiataiya
Feredeki u-uara-mi fafiat-i a-iai di-watai-ra
Feredek
3dl-hand-pl
place-3s EXT-up
3s-1ie-PERF
'..Feredek and M. lifted Isak and placed him on top.

'.. about ten o'clock when he clojed his eyes..
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
kai & finanaiya \(/ /\) & mirehara // \\
kai & fi-nan-ai-ra & di-mareka-ra \\
COMP thing-that-unspec-PERF & \(3 s-d i e-P E R F\)
\end{tabular}
'..just like that, he died.'
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[^0]:    'my house'
    'your (sg.) house'
    'his house'
    'our (in.pl) house'

