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Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry/Channeling and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure measurements have been combined to investigate the amorphization of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys at 15 and 300 K for selected stoichiometries representative of the entire stoichiometric range. The amorphization kinetics differs considerably for the two temperatures: at 15 K, the amorphization kinetics of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P is intermediate between the two binary extremes while at 300 K, In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P is more easily amorphized than both InP and GaP. Direct impact and stimulated amorphization both contribute to the amorphization process at 15 K. Dynamic annealing via thermally induced Frenkel pair recombination reduces the influence of direct impact amorphization at 300 K such that the stimulated amorphization is dominant. At this temperature, stimulated amorphization in ternary In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys is supported by the structural disorder inherent from the bimodal bond length distribution. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4950697]

INTRODUCTION

The In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P ternary alloys have attracted attention from the electronics industry as an alternative to Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As as In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P offers several advantages over Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As. For example, In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P has a much lower reactivity to oxygen which is beneficial for having more reliable devices. Furthermore, the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys feature one of the widest direct band gaps among the non-nitride III-V semiconductors, enabling applications in the optoelectronics industry particularly as light-emitting diodes. Recently, In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P has also been investigated for possible use in solar cells particularly in spatial and terrestrial applications due to increased efficiency and superior radiation resistance.

Like In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As, In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P exhibits a bimodal bond-length distribution as predicted theoretically and demonstrated experimentally. For In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As implanted at 300 K, we previously reported that the ternary alloys were easier to amorphize than their binary end members and attributed this unanticipated behavior to a stimulated amorphization process, the latter enhanced by the presence of structural disorder resulting from the bimodal bond-length distribution. For this report, we have studied the amorphization kinetics of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P as functions of both implantation temperature and stoichiometry to determine whether the influence of a bimodal bond-length distribution is particular to In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As or common to other ternary III-V alloys.

Excluding our brief report for In$_{0.64}$Ga$_{0.36}$P implanted at 300 K (Ref. 11) and a previous swift heavy ion study, the amorphization kinetics of the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys has not been investigated. In contrast, the binary end members, InP and GaP, have been studied extensively. For InP, this includes reports from Akano et al. who suggested that dynamic annealing during implantation was insignificant below 348 K. Wendler who reported similar results from an investigation of the amorphization as a function of implantation temperature, Bezakova et al. who concluded that the amorphization at 77 K could be described as a direct impact process and the overlap of the disordered regions, and Schnohr et al. who reported nil differences in the atomic structure of InP amorphized by electronic and nuclear ion-energy loss processes. For GaP, Krynicki et al. studied amorphization as functions of ion mass and implantation temperature, reporting a homogeneous amorphization process and an increased influence of dynamic annealing as the ion mass decreased. Direct comparative studies of InP and GaP include Jones and Santana who demonstrated that InP was more easily amorphized than GaP at 77 K and an extensive range of measurements by Wesch et al. who compared residual disorder following implantation at 300 K in many of the III-V binary compounds. These and other measurements culminated in an exhaustive study by studying the influences of implantation temperature and ion mass, energy, flux, and fluence. Thus, while the amorphization kinetics of the two binary end members, InP and GaP, has been studied extensively such is not the case for the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P ternary alloys. This report thus addresses this shortfall for a material system of scientific importance and technological relevance.

EXPERIMENTAL

Epitaxial In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P layers with $x = 0.34$, 0.50, 0.64, and 0.88 and layer thickness of 1, 2.5, 0.5, and 0.1 μm, respectively, were grown by metal organic chemical vapor deposition
Yrandom is the random yield. For each implanted and unimplanted sample, respectively, and integrated backscattered ion yields in the channeling direction are, respectively. The former has the capability of performing Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry/Channeling (RBS/C) measurements at 15 K, negating the need to warm samples to room temperature and hence eliminating any annealing of implantation-induced disorder over 15–300 K. Post-implantation disorder was quantified with RBS/C using 2 MeV $^4$He ions and a backscattering angle of 170°. This He ion energy ensures that within the first 200 nm, the In signal is not superimposed by scattering contributions from the other atomic species. The quantity $\Delta v_{\text{min}}$ (referred to as the difference in minimum yield in the following text) was calculated using $\Delta v_{\text{min}} = (Y_{\text{implanted}} - Y_{\text{unimplanted}})/(Y_{\text{random}} - Y_{\text{unimplanted}})$, where $Y_{\text{implanted}}$ and $Y_{\text{unimplanted}}$ are the integrated backscattered ion yields in the channeling direction for the implanted and unimplanted sample, respectively, and $Y_{\text{random}}$ is the random yield. $\Delta v_{\text{min}}$ is considered a measure of lattice disorder with a value of 0 corresponding to unimpaired lattice and 1.0 corresponding to amorphous material. The backscattered ion yield was integrated over a depth range (typically 50–350 Å), where the implantation-induced vacancy production determined from SRIM decreased to two-thirds of the maximum value.

For ease of comparison, the ion fluence $N_i$ was converted to the number of displacements per substrate atom $n_{\text{dpa}}$, where $n_{\text{dpa}} = N_{\text{implanted}}/N_0$. $N_{\text{disp}}$ is the number of displacements per ion per unit length calculated with SRIM taking displacement energy of 25 eV for all atomic species. $N_0$ is the atomic density of the corresponding material. $\Delta v_{\text{min}}$ data as a function of $n_{\text{dpa}}$ were fit using the defect interaction and amorphization model of Hecking and Weber to determine the probabilities for direct impact and stimulated amorphization, $P_s$ and $A_s$, respectively.

Pre-implantation disorder was quantified with Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) measurements. EXAFS samples were prepared by masking the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P layer with Apiezon wax for mechanical stability and then dissolving the thin AlAs layer using a 10% HF:H$_2$O solution as a selective etchant. The wax was then dissolved using trichloroethylene and the In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P layer was crushed and mixed with BN for transmission EXAFS measurements as performed at beamline 20-B of the Photon Factory, Japan. EXAFS data were collected at the In and Ga K edges at a temperature of 10–15 K. Structural parameters were determined over a photo-electron wavenumber ($k$) range of 2–14 Å$^{-1}$ and non-phase-corrected radial distance of 1.5–4.9 Å for the In edge and 1.4–4.6 Å for the Ga edge. Using the IFEFFIT code, the first nearest neighbor (NN) and the next nearest neighbor (NNN) distances were determined, from which the bond angles for each NNN configuration were calculated. A complete multiple scattering analysis was applied.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Ion beam induced damage formation

As an example for the RBS/C spectra measured after ion implantation, Figure 1 plots the spectra of In$_{0.50}$Ga$_{0.50}$P ion implanted at room temperature to various ion fluences. In this figure, only the In part of the spectra is shown, which is not superimposed by scattering contributions from the other atomic species. The energy of backscattered ions was converted to depth using the energy loss data as given in the SRIM code. For this particular example, the yield of backscattered ions decreases for ion fluences up to $4 \times 10^{13}$ ions cm$^{-2}$, where the normalized yield reaches the random level ($\Delta v_{\text{min}} = 1$). For higher ion fluences, the amorphized layer progressively increases in width. Similar spectra were measured for all the other compounds both at room temperature and at 15 K.

For an implantation temperature of 15 K, Figure 2 shows fits to the difference in minimum yield $\Delta v_{\text{min}}$ as a function of $n_{\text{dpa}}$ over the entire stoichiometry range. For clarity, individual experimental points have been excluded. Fits were done assuming two processes to occur: (i) damage formation (or amorphization) within direct ion impacts with the probability $P_s$ and (ii) stimulated growth of damage (or stimulated amorphization) with probability $A_s$. These two processes can be represented by a differential equation which is given by

$$
N_{\text{implanted}} = N_{\text{unimplanted}} + N_{\text{random}}
$$

FIG. 1. RBS/C spectra of In$_{0.50}$Ga$_{0.50}$P irradiated at 300 K using 60 keV $^7$Ge ions.
By fitting calculated curves to the measured $\Delta X_{\text{min}}$ versus $n_{\text{dpa}}$ data, values of $P_a$ and $A_\alpha$ were derived. In general, an increase in $A_\alpha$ yields an increase in slope while an increase in $P_a$ shifts the fit to lower $n_{\text{dpa}}$ values. As $\Delta X_{\text{min}}$ approaches unity, the slope of $\Delta X_{\text{min}}$ versus $n_{\text{dpa}}$ approaches zero yielding significant ambiguity in quantifying the $n_{\text{dpa}}$ value required for complete amorphization. Given subtle differences in the amorphization kinetics as a function of stoichiometry, we sought to reduce the uncertainty in our comparative study by defining the “critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$” as the inflection point of the fitted curves.

For the two binaries, Figure 2 demonstrates that InP is easier to amorphize than GaP, which is consistent with earlier reports for higher implantation temperatures\textsuperscript{14,19} and for other ion species implanted at 15 K.\textsuperscript{36}

As one might intuitively expect, the ternary In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys exhibit amorphization kinetics intermediate between the binary end members, which is clearly visible from Figure 2. Similar results have been reported for the ternaries Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As\textsuperscript{37} and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As\textsuperscript{38} at this low temperature. Figure 3 shows the values of $P_a$ and $A_\alpha$ obtained from fitting the experimental data. Additionally, values of “critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$” are included, which was introduced above to quantify the amorphization behavior of the alloys. At 15 K, $P_a$ and $A_\alpha$ are roughly similar in magnitude. This means that direct impact amorphization contributes as much as stimulated amorphization to the complete disordering of the implanted layers.

The inset shown in Figure 3 plots the $P_a$, $A_\alpha$, and critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$ data for 15 K implantation in In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As using the data reported in Ref. 38. Although the probability of stimulated amorphization $A_\alpha$ is larger in In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As and exhibits an opposite trend as a function of the stoichiometry $x$ compared to that observed in In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P, there is still a clear indication that both mechanisms, represented by $P_a$ and $A_\alpha$, contribute to the amorphization process. Moreover, the trend observed for critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$ of In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P is similar to that observed for In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As, with GaAs being the most difficult to amorphize and InAs the easiest. Thus, at 15 K, amorphization is driven by both direct impact and stimulated amorphization in both material systems, and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As show amorphization kinetics intermediate between those of the binary end members at this temperature.

Figure 4 shows the results from a similar analysis for an implantation temperature of 300 K. Again InP is easier to amorphize than GaP. Note, however, that an increase in critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$ by a factor of 8 is required for InP and by a factor of 4 for GaP to amorphize the materials when increasing the implantation temperature from 15 K to 300 K, respectively. In contrast to the amorphization behavior reported above for 15 K, the ternary In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys now do not exhibit amorphization kinetics intermediate between the binary end members. Equivalently, ternary In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$P is easier to amorphize than both InP and GaP.

Values of $P_a$, $A_\alpha$, and critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$ for samples irradiated at 300 K are shown in Figure 5. At this higher temperature, $P_a$ and $A_\alpha$ are no longer comparable as stimulated amorphization now dominates the amorphization process. The direct amorphization component $P_a$ has been reduced by a factor of 4–8 relative to the values at 15 K. In contrast, for the ternary
alloys, \( A_x \) increases in comparison to the values obtained for ion implantation at 15 K (cp. Figs. 3 and 5). A very similar behavior was previously observed for room temperature implantation of \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As} \). Thus, at 300 K, the crystalline to amorphous transition is dominated by stimulated amorphization in both material systems, and \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) and \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As} \) are more easily amorphized than both their binary end members at this temperature.

Furthermore, the results show that for both temperatures, a systematic change of the transformation to the amorphous phase (cp. Figs. 2 and 4) and of the parameters \( P_a \), \( A_x \), and \( n_{\text{spa}} \) (cp. Figs. 3 and 5) occurs with the In content. This supports our assumption that misfit dislocations present in all ternary layers except \( \text{In}_{0.50}\text{Ga}_{0.50}\text{P} \) do not significantly influence the amorphization kinetics.

Characterization of the unimplanted alloys

As shown above, the damage formation in the ternary alloys strongly depends on the stoichiometry of the layers. Therefore, more knowledge about the structure of the layers before implantation is required for understanding the observed effects. X-ray absorption spectroscopy provides information about the element specific atomic scale structure of semiconductor compounds and alloys. Therefore, the structural disorder of the as-grown \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) layers before implantation was identified and quantified with EXAFS. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show non-phase-corrected Fourier-transformed EXAFS spectra of unimplanted \( \text{InP} \) and \( \text{In}_{0.50}\text{Ga}_{0.50}\text{P} \) and unimplanted \( \text{GaP} \) and \( \text{In}_{0.50}\text{Ga}_{0.50}\text{P} \) measured at the In K and Ga K edges, respectively.

An NN peak, due to the scattering from first shell P atoms, is apparent for all samples at a non-phase-corrected radial distance of \( \sim 2.1 \text{ Å} \). The amplitude is similar for the ternary and binary alloys, given the NN shell for all three samples is comprised of four P atoms. In contrast, a marked difference in amplitude is observed in the NNN peak at \( \sim 3-4.5 \text{ Å} \) when comparing the binary and ternary compounds with \( \text{In}_{0.50}\text{Ga}_{0.50}\text{P} \) exhibiting a much reduced NNN amplitude compared with \( \text{InP} \) and \( \text{GaP} \). For the binary compounds, the NNN shell consists of 12 In (for \( \text{InP} \)) or 12 Ga (for \( \text{GaP} \)) atoms while the NNN shell for \( \text{In}_{0.50}\text{Ga}_{0.50}\text{P} \) contains, on average, 6 In and 6 Ga atoms. This reduced NNN peak is characteristic of enhanced structural disorder manifested as an increase in Debye-Waller factor (DWF). As an example of the high quality of the fitting, Figure 7 shows back transformed Ga K edge data for \( \text{GaP} \) and \( \text{In}_{0.50}\text{Ga}_{0.50}\text{P} \), where clearly experiment and theory are well correlated.

Table I lists the bond lengths, bond angles, and DWFs for the binaries and ternaries. Bond length measurements agree well with the previous experimental reports. In-P and Ga-P NN bond lengths, \( R_{\text{In-P}} \) and \( R_{\text{Ga-P}} \), respectively, are plotted as a function of stoichiometry \( x \) in Figure 8. For comparison, a theoretical prediction from the Vegard model, where \( R_{\text{In-P}} = R_{\text{Ga-P}} \), is also included. The bond length distribution for the \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) alloys is clearly bimodal with a linear dependence on stoichiometry with the two bond lengths much closer to their respective binary values than to the Vegard model prediction. This bimodal bond length distribution in \( \text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{P} \) (and many III-V alloys) can be accommodated by bond stretching, bond bending, or a combination of the two and force constants determine the dominant contribution. Cai and Thorpe defined a topological rigidity parameter (\( a^* \)), where values of 0 and 1 correspond to, respectively, bond stretching only and bond bending only. (The Vegard model is characterized by \( a^* = 0 \)). Following Cai and Thorpe, \( a^* \) values of 0.83 ± 0.07 (In-P) and 0.86 ± 0.07 (Ga-P) were determined from Figure 8. These results are consistent with an average...
The NNN DWF is influenced by the widths of both the bond length and bond angle distributions while the NN DWF is influenced only by the former. With reference to Table I, note the similarity in NN DWFs for the binaries and ternaries indicative of minimal distortion in the bond length distributions in the alloys. This further supports our claim that the structural disorder in the ternaries is primarily accommodated by bond bending.

Table I also shows that the experimentally determined bond angles for the binaries are consistent with the tetrahedral value of 109.5°, as expected. In contrast, the bond angles for the ternaries deviate significantly from this value. The In-P-In bond angle, formed by two (long) In-P bonds, is less than the tetrahedral value, again as expected, while the opposite is true for the Ga-P-Ga bond angle formed by two (short) Ga-P bonds. The value of the In-P-Ga bond angle is 110.2° ± 0.5° which is consistent with the tetrahedral bond angle value.

Discussion of damage formation

The cross-section (or probability) for damage formation in binary III-V compounds has a systematic dependence on the atomic force constant. This parameter is considered as a measure of bond strength with a higher force constant yielding a lower cross-section for damage formation and, as a consequence, a higher ndpa necessary for amorphization. The lower critical ndpa value for InP compared to GaP observed herein is consistent with the higher force constant of the latter.41,42 Our results show that this is the case independent of temperature. However, the stronger increase of the critical ndpa and the stronger decrease of Pₐ with temperature in InP than in GaP

TABLE I. Bond lengths, bond-angles, and Debye Waller factors (DWF) calculated from EXAFS spectra of InP, GaP, and InₓGa₁₋ₓP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Bond (NN/NNN)</th>
<th>Bond length (Å)</th>
<th>Bond angle (°)</th>
<th>DWF (10⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>NNN</td>
<td>(In-P/Ga-Ga)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaP</td>
<td>Ga-P/Ga-Ga</td>
<td>2.350 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3.858 ± 0.004</td>
<td>110.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InₓGa₁₋ₓP</td>
<td>In-P/In-In</td>
<td>2.506 ± 0.003</td>
<td>4.003 ± 0.018</td>
<td>112.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaP</td>
<td>Ga-P/Ga-Ga</td>
<td>2.359 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3.920 ± 0.011</td>
<td>117.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InₓGa₁₋ₓP</td>
<td>In-P/In-In</td>
<td>2.514 ± 0.003</td>
<td>4.041 ± 0.023</td>
<td>113.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaP</td>
<td>Ga-P/Ga-Ga</td>
<td>2.374 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3.968 ± 0.013</td>
<td>114.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InₓGa₁₋ₓP</td>
<td>In-P/In-In</td>
<td>2.523 ± 0.002</td>
<td>4.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>115.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaP</td>
<td>Ga-P/Ga-Ga</td>
<td>2.365 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3.990 ± 0.019</td>
<td>116.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InP</td>
<td>In-P/In-In</td>
<td>2.538 ± 0.003</td>
<td>4.157 ± 0.004</td>
<td>110.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The maximum in stimulated amorphization as is well correlated with the minimum in critical ndpa with both occurring at an In content of about 0.6. This further demonstrates that at 15 K, amorphization is strongly dominated by direct impact amorphization, whereas stimulated amorphization processes are less important for the ternary InxGa1-xP alloys. This suggests strong differences in the microscopic mechanisms of amorphization of InxGa1-xP alloys during ion implantation at room temperature and at 15 K.

In ion implanted InxGa1-xAs, both Pa and As increase when the implantation temperature is decreased from 300 K to 15 K (see Figure 3 and data in Ref. 11). At both temperatures, Pa is smaller than As. And at both temperatures, the dependence of As on stoichiometry x resembles that of the NNN DWF, i.e., As values of the ternaries are larger than those of the binaries. From these results, it can be suspected that in ion implanted InxGa1-xAs, the general mechanisms of amorphization are the same at both temperatures but—due to dynamic annealing—the strength of the processes is reduced for implantation at room temperature.

In general, the ion beam induced, macroscopically measurable transition towards complete amorphization is similar in both the InxGa1-xP and InxGa1-xAs systems. This is reflected in similar dependencies of the critical ndpa values versus stoichiometry x. However, the results indicate that the microscopic processes which transform the crystalline material into the amorphous state are different in the ternary phosphides and arsenides at 15 K. These differences cannot be explained by the intrinsic disorder due to the bimodal bond length distribution which was measured with EXAFS. Further aspects which may play a role are the by tendency higher force constants of the bonds and the larger values and larger differences in the ionicitics of InP and GaP compared to those of InAs and GaAs. However, from the existing experimental results, no satisfying explanation can be given and further investigations are required.

### SUMMARY

The kinetics of the ion-implantation-induced amorphization of InxGa1-xP has been determined over the entire stoichiometry range at temperatures of 15 and 300 K. Strikingly different behavior is apparent at the two temperatures. At 15 K, the probabilities for direct impact and stimulated amorphization are comparable and the amorphization kinetics of the ternary alloys is, as one might expect, intermediate between the binary end members. In contrast, at 300 K, the amorphization kinetics of the ternary alloys is no
longer intermediate between the binary end members. At this temperature, the direct impact component is reduced by a factor of 4–8 as a result of enhanced Frenkel pair recombination and the stimulated amorphization component is thus dominant. This component is governed by the structural disorder in the In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P alloys that results from the bimodal bond length distribution. The stoichiometry at which the intrinsic disorder is maximum is coincident with the maximum in stimulated amorphization and the minimum in critical $n_{\text{dpa}}$ required for amorphization. This behavior is apparent at temperatures (in this case 300 K) where stimulated amorphization is the dominant component in the amorphization process. At low temperatures (in this case 15 K), where the direct impact and stimulated amorphization components are similar, we suggest that the contribution of the former lessens and/or obscures the effect observed at 300 K. Finally, at room temperature, the amorphization process by which ternary alloys are rendered amorphous at $n_{\text{dpa}}$ values lower than those required for either of the two binary end members has been demonstrated for In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$P and thus is clearly not limited to In$_{x}$Ga$_{1-x}$As. We suggest this phenomenon should be common to III–V ternary alloys with bimodal bond length distributions with the proviso that the difference in the two bond lengths yields sufficient intrinsic structural disorder.
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