A will and a way:
An analysis of tax practitioner preparation compliance

Elea Wurth

Regulatory Institutions Network

A thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy of

the Australian National University

February 2012
I declare that this thesis is my own original work

Elea Wurth
Acknowledgments

“Once started, Mr Hawke was driven to go on, expounding the Arcana, and the Principia, the Clavis Hieroglyphica Arcanorum Naturalium et Spiritualium, the mysteries of Influx and Vastation, Conjugal Love and Life After Death, for it was only in the act of exposition that Mr Hawke could hold all the balls of his system, so to speak, up in the air at once, an arc of theological tumbling and juggling...” (Byatt, 1992, p. 181)

I have the following people to thank for teaching me the art of theoretical tumbling and juggling and helping me keep all the balls of my system up in the air – Valerie and John Braithwaite, for lending their vast experience and expertise to this project; Nathan Harris, for pointing out that my original plan was perhaps a little over ambitious (“Why are you doing two theses?”); Monika Reinhart and Brian Harrold, for their theoretical and practical support with SPSS; and Jason Connor, with whom the base was built.

Crucial to the completion of this project was the support of the Australian Tax Office. Thank you to Commissioner Michael D’Ascenzo for providing the Commissioner’s postgraduate scholarship. To Deputy Commissioners James O’Halloran and Erin Holland who gave their sponsorship to this project. To Warwick Graco and Graham Williams who encouraged and gave key feedback during the process and Rohan Baxter who inspired the idea of the propensity and opportunity approach taken in this thesis. And most importantly of all, to Chris Mobbs without whom this project would never have got off the ground (or back into it).
This thesis could never have been completed without the support (sufferance) of my family. Specifically, I thank my husband who, despite comparing marriage to a PhD student as living with someone with bipolar disorder, supported and encouraged me all the way. My sister, who re-entered my entire EndNote library after a computer (and ensuing mental) collapse. My mother, who called me at 5.30 every morning to ensure I was actually up and out of bed. And my father who, due to his ongoing support, I will one day forgive for asking, “Why don’t you just finish it?”

Finally, and most crucially, I am indebted to the tax practitioners who took time out of their busy schedules to respond to my questionnaire. Your time and, more importantly, your frank and fearless contributions are appreciated.
## Contents

Acknowledgments........................................................................................................... i

List of tables.................................................................................................................. ix

List of figures................................................................................................................... xiii

Abstract .......................................................................................................................... xv

Chapter 1: A fine balance............................................................................................... 1

1.1 Introduction .............................................................................................................. 1

1.2 Preparers and tax compliance................................................................................. 2

1.3 Regulation of tax preparation ................................................................................. 6

1.3.1 Command and control ....................................................................................... 7

1.3.2 Citizenship engagement .................................................................................... 9

1.3.3 Responsive regulation ..................................................................................... 12

1.3.4 Rules and principles ......................................................................................... 17

1.3.5 Market models and regulatory theory ............................................................. 19

1.4 Overview of research ............................................................................................. 22

Chapter 2: Understanding tax practitioner compliance ............................................. 27

2.1 Introduction .............................................................................................................. 27

2.2 Professional ethics and modern enterprise culture ............................................. 28

2.2.1 The personal ethics of tax practitioners ......................................................... 29

2.2.2 The ethical environment of tax practitioners ................................................. 31

2.2.3 Regulatory effectiveness of a code of professional ethics ......................... 34

2.3 Tax preparation as rational choice ....................................................................... 37

2.3.1 Prospect theory ................................................................................................ 39

2.4 Characteristics of tax legislation ......................................................................... 40

2.4.1 A tangled web: Complexity .......................................................................... 40

2.4.2 Shades of grey: Ambiguity ............................................................................. 41
Chapter 3: Propensity and opportunity as a conceptual framework

3.1 Introduction

3.2 A theoretical framework

3.3 Preparation compliance

3.4 The propensity and opportunity model of practitioner compliance

3.4.1 Hypotheses representing the propensity construct

3.4.2 Hypotheses representing the opportunity construct

3.5 Control variables

3.5.1 Demographic control variables

3.5.2 Environmental control variables

3.6 Summary

3.6.1 Summary of hypotheses

Chapter 4: Data collection and analysis

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Background and rationale for survey research

4.3 Procedure

4.4 Participants

4.4.1 Response rate

4.4.2 Descriptive statistics for respondents

4.5 Statistical analyses

4.5.1 Assumptions and diagnostics

4.5.2 Measurement scale construction

4.5.3 Hypothesis testing

4.5.4 Power analysis

4.6 Summary
Chapter 5: Propensity and opportunity measurement scales ........................................... 89

5.1 Introduction ............................................................................................................. 89

5.2 Propensity measurement scales ........................................................................... 89

5.2.1 Risk-taking in tax preparation ........................................................................... 90

5.2.2 Preparation ethics ............................................................................................... 90

5.2.3 Work-value orientation ....................................................................................... 92

5.2.4 Practitioner identity ............................................................................................. 97

5.2.5 Operational definition of propensity for preparation non-compliance ............. 102

5.3 Opportunity measurement scales ......................................................................... 103

5.3.1 General opportunity measure ........................................................................... 103

5.3.2 Ambiguity of individuals’ tax return items ....................................................... 103

5.3.3 Deterrence measures ......................................................................................... 107

5.3.4 Operational definition of opportunity for preparation non-compliance .......... 109

5.4 Control variables .................................................................................................. 109

5.4.1 Demographic control variables ....................................................................... 109

5.4.2 Attitudes and beliefs regarding practice environment ...................................... 110

5.6 Summary ............................................................................................................... 114

Chapter 6: The measurement of practitioner compliance .......................................... 117

6.1 Introduction ............................................................................................................ 117

6.2 Preparation compliance ......................................................................................... 118

6.2.1 Preparation compliance scale ........................................................................... 122

6.2.2 Practitioner compliance clusters ....................................................................... 129

6.3 Construct validity of the practitioner compliance measure .................................. 133

6.3.1 Preparation practices ......................................................................................... 133

6.3.2 Construct validity analysis of the preparation compliance measure ............. 137

6.4 The practitioner teardrop of preparation compliance ........................................ 141
6.5 Analysis of the control variables for practitioner compliance ..............144
6.6 Summary and conclusions .................................................................148

Chapter 7: Propensity and opportunity at the lower teardrop ..................151
7.1 Introduction ......................................................................................151
7.2 Impact of propensity on Contingent versus Duteous cluster membership .................................................................153
  7.2.1 Propensity hypotheses .................................................................153
  7.2.2 Bivariate results of propensity hypotheses testing .....................156
7.3 Impact of opportunity on Contingent versus Duteous cluster membership .................................................................174
  7.3.1 Opportunity hypotheses ..............................................................174
  7.3.2 Bivariate results of opportunity hypotheses testing ....................175
7.4 The propensity and opportunity model for predicting Contingent versus Duteous cluster membership .................................................................180
  7.4.1 Propensity and opportunity model hypothesis ..............................180
  7.4.2 Propensity and opportunity model analyses ..................................180
7.5 Summary and conclusions .................................................................188

Chapter 8: Propensity and opportunity at the upper teardrop ..................191
8.1 Introduction ......................................................................................191
8.2 Impact of propensity on Aggressive versus Contingent cluster membership .................................................................192
  8.2.1 Propensity hypotheses .................................................................193
  8.2.2 Bivariate results of propensity hypotheses testing .....................194
8.3 Impact of opportunity on Aggressive versus Contingent cluster membership .................................................................204
  8.3.1 Opportunity hypotheses ..............................................................204
  8.3.2 Bivariate results of opportunity hypotheses testing ....................205
8.4 The propensity and opportunity model for predicting Aggressive versus Contingent cluster membership .............................................. 210
8.4.1 Propensity and opportunity model hypothesis ......................... 210
8.4.2 Propensity and opportunity model analyses ................................ 210
8.5 Outlier cluster analysis.............................................................................. 218
8.5.1 Descriptive analysis of propensity for preparation non-compliance 219
8.5.2 Descriptive analysis of opportunity for preparation non-compliance 220
8.6 Summary and conclusions........................................................................... 222

Chapter 9: Implications for understanding tax practice ........................................ 225
9.1 Introduction .................................................................................................. 225
9.2 Discussion of main findings ......................................................................... 227
9.2.1 The teardrop of preparation compliance ................................................. 227
9.2.2 Challenging the assumption of professional versus business orientation ........................................................................................................... 241
9.2.3 The pervasive effect of ambiguity ............................................................... 247
9.3 Concluding remarks ..................................................................................... 250

Appendices ........................................................................................................... 255
Appendix A. Letter of invitation to participate in study ........................................ 255
Appendix B. Participant information sheet ............................................................ 257
Appendix C. The Tax Agent Opinion Survey ....................................................... 259
Appendix D. Cluster differences across client return compliance items ............ 267

References ............................................................................................................ 269
List of tables

Table 4.1 Frequency and percent of practitioners for organisational position ........ 79
Table 4.2 Frequency and percent of practitioners for tasks performed .................. 80
Table 4.3 Frequency and percent of practitioners for organisational size .............. 80
Table 4.4 Frequency and percent of practitioners for services offered by organisation .............................................................................................................. 81
Table 4.5 Effect size indexes and their values for small, medium and large effects .......................................................................................................................... 87
Table 5.1 Means (SD) for practitioners’ ethical stance on client compliance items ........................................................................................................................................... 91
Table 5.2 Means (SD) for work-value orientation items ......................................... 93
Table 5.3 Factor loadings of an exploratory factor analysis of work-value orientation items .......................................................................................................................... 95
Table 5.4 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelations and reliability coefficients for practitioners’ work-value orientation scales .................................................................................................................... 96
Table 5.5 Means (SD) for practitioner identity items ............................................. 99
Table 5.6 Factor loadings of an exploratory factor analysis of practitioner identity items .......................................................................................................................... 101
Table 5.7 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelations and reliability coefficients for the practitioner identity scales .............................. 102
Table 5.8 Means (SD) for practitioners’ rating of the ambiguity of individuals’ tax return items .................................................................................................................. 105
Table 5.9 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelation and reliability coefficients for the ambiguity and complexity of individuals’ tax return scales ............................................................................................................. 107
Table 5.10 Means (SD) for practitioners’ assessment of the probability of
detection and punishment for preparation non-compliance ...............108
Table 5.11 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelation and
reliability coefficients for the deterrence scales........................................109
Table 5.12 Measurement scale information for the demographic control
variables ........................................................................................................110
Table 5.13 Means (SD) for practitioners’ evaluation of their relationship with
the Tax Office ....................................................................................................111
Table 5.14 Means (SD) for practitioners’ evaluation of the Tax Office as Fair
and Firm ............................................................................................................112
Table 5.15 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelations and
reliability coefficients for the attitudes and beliefs regarding
practice environment .........................................................................................113
Table 5.16 Summary of propensity and opportunity variables and control
variables ............................................................................................................115
Table 5.17 Correlations among propensity and opportunity variables and
control variables.................................................................................................116
Table 6.1 Means (SD) for ratings of the preparation compliance items...........120
Table 6.2 Factor loadings of the exploratory factor analyses of preparation
compliance items for split-half reliability set 1 and set 2 .........................124
Table 6.3 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelations and
reliability coefficients for the preparation compliance scales .............128
Table 6.4 Number of practitioners in the three primary clusters and the outlier
cluster .................................................................................................................130
Table 6.5 Means (SD) for preparation practice items .................................134
Table 6.6 Factor loadings of an exploratory factor analysis of preparation
practice items .....................................................................................................136
Table 6.7 Means, standard deviations, Pearson’s intercorrelations and reliability coefficients for the preparation practice scales ....................... 137
Table 6.8 Preparation practice scale means and test of mean differences across the practitioner clusters of preparation compliance ..................................... 139
Table 6.9 Descriptive statistics for teardrop clusters on the control variables a .................................. 145
Table 6.10 Significant difference tests and correlation coefficients for control variables and the two binary dependent measures of practitioner compliance ........................................................................................................... 147
Table 7.1 Summary of propensity measures .......................................................................................... 156
Table 7.2 Propensity variable means, tests of mean differences and Pearson’s correlation coefficients for the Duteous and Contingent practitioners .................................................................................................................. 158
Table 7.3 B values (Odds ratio) for a hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Contingent versus Duteous cluster membership a from the main and interaction effects of Competent and Powerful identity .................................................................................................................................................................................. 168
Table 7.4 B values (Odds ratio) for a hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Contingent versus Duteous cluster membership a from the main and interaction effects of Principled and Powerful identity . 171
Table 7.5 Summary of variables used in opportunity hypotheses .............................................. 175
Table 7.6 Opportunity variable means, tests of mean differences and Pearson’s correlation coefficients for the Duteous and Contingent practitioners .................................................................................................................. 176
Table 7.7 B values (Odds ratio) for a hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Contingent (as opposed to Duteous) cluster membership from propensity and opportunity variables ........................................ 183
Table 7.8 Classification table for hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Contingent versus Duteous cluster membership from propensity and opportunity model..................................................184

Table 8.1 Propensity variable means, tests of mean differences and Pearson’s correlation coefficients for the Contingent and Aggressive practitioners.................................................................195

Table 8.2 B values (Odds ratio) for a hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Aggressive versus Contingent cluster membership\textsuperscript{a} from the main and interaction effects of Competent and Powerful identity ..............................................................................................................202

Table 8.3 B values (Odds ratio) for a hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Aggressive versus Contingent cluster membership\textsuperscript{a} from the main and interaction effects of Principled and Powerful identity..203

Table 8.4 Opportunity variable means, tests of mean differences and Pearson’s correlation coefficients for the Contingent and Aggressive practitioners.................................................................................................................................206

Table 8.5 B values (Odds ratio) for a hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Aggressive cluster membership (as opposed to Contingent cluster membership) from propensity and opportunity variables .................................................................................................................................213

Table 8.6 Classification table for hierarchical binary logistic regression predicting Aggressive versus Contingent cluster membership from propensity and opportunity model.................................................................214

Table 8.7 Within teardrop cluster Pearson’s correlations of Ambiguity with the Competence and opportunity variables.................................................................................................................................217

Table 8.8 Descriptive statistics for propensity variables for all teardrop clusters ..219

Table 8.9 Descriptive statistics for opportunity variables for all teardrop clusters........................................................................................................................................................................................................221
List of figures

Figure 1.1  Expected utility of tax reporting compliance ........................................ 8
Figure 1.2  The Tax Office Compliance Model (2009) ............................................. 15
Figure 1.3  Overview of research ................................................................. 23
Figure 6.1  Confirmatory SEM results of preparation compliance items .............. 126
Figure 6.2  Practitioner cluster means for the preparation compliance scales...... 131
Figure 6.3  Practitioner cluster means for the three preparation practice scales .... 141
Figure 6.4  Teardrop model of tax practitioner compliance .................................. 142
Figure 7.1  Lower end of the practitioner teardrop .............................................. 152
Figure 7.2  Proportion of Contingent practitioners in low, medium and high
          Competent and Powerful identity groups ................................................. 169
Figure 7.3  Proportion of Duteous and Contingent practitioners by low,
          medium and high Principled and Powerful identity groups .................... 172
Figure 8.1  Upper end of the practitioner teardrop ............................................ 192
Figure 9.1  Sequence of teardrop clusters across preparation compliance scales .. 231
Abstract

This thesis proposes a propensity and opportunity model for tax practitioner preparation compliance. The model integrates the existing empirical tax practitioner compliance knowledge into a theoretical framework through an adaptation of Nagin and Paternoster’s (1993) individual differences and rational choice framework. The premise of this thesis is that preparation non-compliance occurs when there is both a will (propensity) and a way (opportunity).

One of the most compelling findings was discovered through the development of the preparation compliance variable. Rather than a unitary construct with simple linear relationships with other variables, it was found that practitioners form distinct and very different clusters of preparation compliance. Four practitioner clusters were revealed. The Duteous cluster of practitioners exhibited the most virtuous approach to preparation practice and had the highest level of compliance within their clients’ tax returns. The Contingent cluster reported an intermediate commitment to compliant practice and client return compliance that was contingent on transaction visibility. The Aggressive cluster held the least compliant approach to preparation practice and reported the highest level of non-compliance within their clients’ returns. The Outlier cluster was an extreme version of the Aggressive pattern of results. While these groups clearly represent different levels of compliance (depicted in this thesis as the teardrop of practitioner compliance with a compliant base (Duteous) and non-compliant tip (Outliers)), gone was the assumption of compliance linearity. In its place was the
knowledge that the practitioner population is not homogeneous, but instead comprises distinct practitioner types.

Support was found for the propensity and opportunity model in the prediction of practitioner teardrop cluster membership. Both the higher-order constructs of propensity and opportunity were significant in the prediction of cluster membership at each ascending level of the teardrop. However, the features of propensity and opportunity that differentiated the lower teardrop practitioners were different to those that differentiated the upper teardrop practitioners. In differentiating between the Duteous and Contingent clusters, the propensity construct was characterised by an appetite for risk and power (lower for the Duteous), coupled with stronger commitment to business best practice and to the identity of being a competent practitioner among the Duteous. Opportunity was characterised by a perceived likelihood of success in preparing non-compliant returns and higher ambiguity of clients’ tax affairs, coupled with the perception of lower likelihood of detection for non-compliance. Different aspects of propensity and opportunity assumed importance in differentiating between the Contingent and Aggressive clusters. Propensity was characterised by a lack of preparation ethics and opportunity by ambiguity of clients’ tax affairs.

These results have important implications for the regulation of tax practice. Tax authorities must recognise that there are multiple distinct groups of practitioners who hold different propensities and perceive different opportunities for non-compliance. Thus, the drivers and obstacles found for the population as a whole will not uniformly apply to sub-groups within that population. As such, the teardrop clusters require tailored regulatory strategy for optimal preparation compliance.