The SAMI Galaxy Survey: instrument specification and target selection
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ABSTRACT

The SAMI Galaxy Survey will observe 3400 galaxies with the Sydney-AAO Multi-object Integral-field spectrograph (SAMI) on the Anglo-Australian Telescope in a 3-yr survey which began in 2013. We present the throughput of the SAMI system, the science basis and specifications for the target selection, the survey observation plan and the combined properties of the selected galaxies. The survey includes four volume-limited galaxy samples based on cuts in a proxy for stellar mass, along with low-stellar-mass dwarf galaxies all selected from the Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA) survey. The GAMA regions were selected because of the vast array of ancillary data available, including ultraviolet through to radio bands. These fields are on the celestial equator at 9, 12 and 14.5 h, and cover a total of 144 deg² (in GAMA-I). Higher density environments are also included with the addition of eight clusters. The clusters have spectroscopy from 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS) and Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and photometry in regions covered by the SDSS and/or VLT Survey Telescope/ATLAS. The aim is to cover a broad range in stellar mass and environment, and therefore the primary survey targets cover redshifts 0.004 < z < 0.095, magnitudes rpet < 19.4, stellar masses 10⁷–10¹² M⊙, and environments from isolated field galaxies through groups to clusters of ∼10¹⁵ M⊙.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, significant advances in our understanding of galaxies have been driven by large galaxy surveys such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000; Abazajian et al. 2009), 2-degree Field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS; Colless et al. 2001), the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS; Scoville et al. 2007), the VIMOS VLT Deep Survey (Le Fèvre et al. 2004) and the Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA) survey (Driver et al. 2009, 2011). While these surveys have resulted in more than 3.5 million galaxy spectra, most of these spectra have been taken with a single spectrum (fibre or slit). The spectrum is susceptible to aperture effects as it records a different fraction and part of the galaxy depending on the size or distance of the galaxy and the positioning of the fibre. Integral-field unit (IFU) spectroscopy, on the other
hand, spatially resolves each galaxy, giving a spectrum at multiple positions across the galaxy. The gain in information from IFUs over that of single-fibre surveys include the spatial distribution of gas and star formation, kinematic information revealing the mass (and dark matter) distributions as well as tracing regularity or disturbance in gas or stellar motions, gradients across the galaxy in stellar and/or gas metallicity and age, and resolved emission lines to map the processes driving ionization in different parts of the galaxy. Disentangling the relationships between all of these observables and the galaxy mass, redshift (evolution) and environment, requires a large sample size. Such a large sample can only be achieved with a multiplex IFU instrument in which many IFUs in the focal plane greatly increase the speed of a galaxy survey.

Several IFU galaxy surveys have begun in the last few years. While a number of IFU surveys have covered tens of objects, such as VENGA (Blanc et al. 2013), VIXENS (Heideman et al. 2011) and PINGS (Rosales-Ortega et al. 2010), many hundreds of galaxies are required to divide the parameter space. The first survey to amass a significant sample of galaxies was the SAURON survey (de Zeeuw et al. 2002) of 72 nearby galaxies, which was then extended into the ATLAS(3D) survey (Cappellari et al. 2011) and resulted in 260 galaxies at $z < 0.01$. These galaxies were observed with the SAURON integral-field spectograph on the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope using a resolution of $R \sim 1200$ and a field of view of $33 \times 41$ arcsec$^2$. Until recently, the largest IFU survey underway was the CALIFA survey (Sánchez et al. 2012; Walcher et al. 2014) using the Potsdam Multi-Aperture Spectrophotometer (Roth et al. 2005) IFU on the 3.5-m Calar Alto Telescope. CALIFA comprises a total of 600 galaxies to $z < 0.03$ at resolutions of $R \sim 850$ and 1650 in the blue and red, respectively, and a field of view of $74 \times 64$ arcsec$^2$. While both the ATLAS(3D) and CALIFA surveys have large fields of view, the instruments do not have the multiplexing required to easily reach thousands of galaxies.

The Sydney-AAO Multi-object Integral-field spectograph (SAMI; Bryant et al. 2012; Croom et al. 2012) achieves this multiplexing using revolutionary new imaging fibre bundles, called hexabundles (Bland-Hawthorn et al. 2011; Bryant et al. 2011, 2014). Each hexabundle has 61 optical fibres with cores that sub tend 1.6 arcsec on the sky, giving a total hexabundle diameter of 15 arcsec, and physical size $< 1$ mm, with a filling fraction of 73 per cent. 13 of these hexabundles manually plug into a field plate with pre-drilled holes, which is installed at the prime focus of the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT). This instrument allows simultaneous IFU observations of 12 galaxies and one calibration star, significantly increasing the rate at which galaxy observations can be collected compared with single IFU instruments.

The SAMI instrument began taking pilot data for the SAMI galaxy survey in 2012, continuing on to the main galaxy survey in 2013. The fundamental aim is a survey of 3400 galaxies across a broad range of environments and stellar masses. The SAMI Galaxy Survey will observe an order of magnitude more galaxies than any previous IFU surveys. SAMI feeds the AAOmega spectrograph (Sharp et al. 2006), which for the survey is set up to have resolutions of $R = 1730$ in the blue arm and $R = 4500$ in the red arm. The unique challenges of the SAMI data reduction are discussed in Sharp et al. (2015), and the details of the SAMI early data release are given in Allen et al. (2015).

Where we refer to SAMI galaxies or the SAMI sample we are referring to the galaxies or sample of the SAMI Galaxy Survey.

The outline of this paper is as follows: Section 2 discusses the improvements in the SAMI instrument as a result of the upgrade from SAMI-I to SAMI-II; Sections 3 and 4 present the main science drivers influencing the target selection and the constraints on the target selection to meet those science drivers, respectively; the final definition of the selected sample for the field and group galaxies from GAMA is given in Section 5, and for the cluster galaxies, is in Section 6; Section 7 illustrates the combined sample properties; Section 8 discusses ancillary data available at other wavelengths; and finally Section 9 outlines how the SAMI galaxy survey proceeds including guide and standard star selection, and the Greedy tiling algorithm. Throughout this paper, we adopt the concordance cosmology: $(\Omega_m, \Omega_b, h) = (0.7, 0.3, 0.7)$ (Hinshaw et al. 2009).

2 THE UPGRADED SAMI INSTRUMENT

Ahead of the start of the SAMI Galaxy Survey in semester 2013A, the original SAMI instrument as detailed in Croom et al. (2012), was upgraded. The improvements included: new design for the connectors to attach the hexabundles to the field plate; new and improved hexabundles fabricated at the University of Sydney; change in the fibre type used, in order to increase the blue throughput; new cabling for the 42m of optical fibre that runs from the telescope top end, down to the cdou réde, to reduce focal ratio degradation (FRD).

2.1 New hexabundle connectors

The SAMI-I prototype instrument had the hexabundles mounted in off-the-shelf connectors that attached to the field plate in the top end of the AAT. The main issue with this design was that the orientation of the connectors was not fixed to any reference, leading to galaxy images that were randomly oriented on the sky. New connectors were designed and fitted with our new hexabundles, and are shown in Fig. 1. The hexabundle is inset in the connector to place the hexabundle face at the focal plane of the telescope. A protective cylindrical plastic cap prevents impact damage on the hexabundle surface. There are 13 of these connectors, each housing one hexabundle, and each fits into pre-drilled holes in the field plate. The three main advantages of this new design are the inclusion of a magnet to simplify attachment to the plate, a ‘key’ on one side to orient the bundle in rotation, and a smaller footprint on the plate, which allows the hexabundles to be positioned closer to each other (within 15 mm, equivalent to 228 arcsec). This enables more efficient tiling of galaxies in each field (see Section 9.2). Hexabundles are now positioned with an accuracy of less than half a fibre core (50 µm, which is equivalent to 0.8 arcsec; set by the machining tolerances) in linear position, and have a mean error of 0.55 in rotation.

2.2 Improved throughput

The new fibre cables and hexabundles were tested for FRD and throughput before installation in SAMI-II. Throughput was measured using the cut-back technique on two fibres in one slit block. 2 m of bare WF105/125 fibre was spliced to the ~40.7-m slit block fibres and the throughput measured. The additional 2 m was then cut off and the throughput of the 2 m alone was measured. This technique ensures that the cleaved and mounted input end did not change, so that the throughput measures are not affected by coupling into the fibre. Measurements were carried out through both Bessel B and R filters in turn. Table 1 compares these throughput results to previous results from the original SAMI AFS fibre, and from bare WF105/125 fibre. The new fibre run with the slit block
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Table 1. Throughput of the upgraded SAMI-II fibre cable using WFS105/125 fibre with slit blocks attached, compared to both bare fibre of the same type (WF105/125), and to the previous SAMI fibre type (AFS105/125). Throughputs were measured through $B$ and $R$ Bessel filters, centred at 457 nm (width 27 nm) and 596 nm (asymmetric profile of width 60 nm), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fibre type (all 40+/−1 m long)</th>
<th>Per cent blue throughput</th>
<th>Per cent red throughput</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFS105/125</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare WF105/125</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF105/125 fibre cable with slit block</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>91.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

has a throughput that is similar to bare WF105/125 fibre, and clearly much better than the AFS105/125 fibre used in the original SAMI instrument. In the blue, the fibre replacement gives a 30 per cent gain in throughput for the fibre component of the SAMI system.

The original fibre cable for SAMI-I suffered from significant FRD, leading to losses of up to 50 per cent in the blue. This was due to the ribbonizing of the fibres and the packaging method within the fibre cable (see Croom et al. 2012, for details). The new fibre cable was designed to minimize FRD by packing the fibres in groups of 21 within single furcation tubes. Each tube was less than half filled and each slit block of 63 fibres fed into three furcation tubes. In addition, the fibre cable into which these were packed was designed to minimize rotation and hence twisting of the fibres. The FRD of two of the new slit blocks with ~40.7 m fibre cable attached, was tested before assembly of SAMI-II. Four fibre cores were tested in each slit block. The loss due to FRD in all four cores of the first slit block is <1 per cent, while the other slit block measures FRD losses of up to 3.5 per cent in the Bessel $B$ filter band and 2.5 per cent in the Bessel $R$ filter band. The residual FRD is likely to be from compression of the fibres in the slit block glass or compression/twisting of the fibres due to the memory effect of the short guiding tube that aligns the fibres into the slit block.

The total end-to-end throughput of SAMI was measured from standard star observations and in Fig. 2, we compare the throughput before the upgrade to that after the upgrade to SAMI-II. In each case several observations of a standard star taken in good conditions on a clear night were analysed and their throughputs were averaged. The throughput curves include all elements from the sky to the detector (telescope + spectrograph + SAMI) and are shown with and without the atmospheric losses. The two major improvements in SAMI throughput that are highlighted in this plot are first, the upgrade of the fibre cable and secondly, the new CCD and optics cleaning, and we now discuss these in turn.

The original SAMI-I fibre cable (including the AFS105/127Y fibre) shows the lowest throughput, with a significant drop-off towards the blue. This drop-off is a combination of both the poor blue throughput of the original AFS105/125Y fibre and the FRD from the cable packaging and handling. At 4400 Å (centre of the Bessel $B$ filter from laboratory tests), the measured throughput is a factor of 1.8–2.2 lower than the theoretical value from fibre type alone. This agrees with the FRD laboratory tests of the SAMI-I fibre as discussed in Croom et al. (2012), in which the FRD resulted in a factor of up to ~2 lower throughput in the Bessel $B$ filter band. Similarly, in the blue end of the red (6400 Å) where the original cable was tested in the Bessel $R$ filter band, the losses had been a factor of ~1.5 in throughput due to FRD alone, which matches the improvement we now see with the new fibre cable. Therefore we are confident that the new fibre type and cabling has removed the FRD losses and improved the fibre transmission as expected.

The second major improvement in Fig. 2 is highlighted by data taken after 2014 March (green). In 2014 March, the primary mirror of the AAT was re-aluminized for the first time in several years, the blue CCD in AAOmega was upgraded (Brough et al. 2014) and the optics in AAOmega were thoroughly cleaned. The primary mirror reflectivity was measured to improve from ~75 per cent to ~85–88 per cent which is a factor of up to 17 per cent improvement. While the improvement from cleaning the AAOmega optics was not measured, it is estimated to be another 10 per cent improvement. The broad level of increase in throughput we measured in the data since then can be explained by this optics cleaning in the blue and the red. The expected improvement from the new CCD in the blue was around 5 per cent, which cannot be disentangled from the increased throughput due to the optics cleaning. The AAOmega blue CCD upgrade also removed cosmetic defects, which assists in the SAMI data reduction and spectral line analysis.
3 SCIENCE DRIVERS INFLUENCING THE TARGET SELECTION

The SAMI Galaxy Survey targets have been chosen to focus on a number of key science goals that depend primarily on a broad range of stellar mass and environment. A detailed discussion of the science underpinning the SAMI Galaxy Survey is given in Croom et al. (2012). Here, we discuss each of the science selection requirements in turn that led to the final selection given in Sections 5 and 6.

3.1 Broad range in stellar mass

The key science drivers for SAMI are dependent on a galaxy sample that is evenly distributed over a broad range of stellar masses. This requirement underpins the investigation of how both mass and angular momentum build in galaxies and how gas gets into and out of galaxies to regulate star formation. A range of stellar masses is also essential for consideration of how environment influences galaxy formation because lower stellar mass galaxies are thought to be more affected by their environment.

Understanding galaxy formation requires reconciling theoretical cold dark matter (CDM) mass functions with observed stellar mass functions (Baldry et al. 2008). The deviation between them is most pronounced at both the lowest and highest stellar masses. Various feedback processes have been incorporated into the models to account for this, and therefore the feedback mechanisms need to be inherently mass-dependent. The link between feedback from winds or outflows and stellar mass, star formation rates, morphologies and the presence of AGNs is still inconclusive. Therefore testing different feedback processes requires an investigation across a large range of masses.

The Tully–Fisher Relation (TFR; Tully & Fisher 1977) links two fundamental properties of disc galaxies: their luminosity and their rotation velocity. This scaling (effectively between stellar mass and dynamical mass) underpins models of galaxy evolution, and becomes particularly interesting for $v < 100 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ where the velocity functions predicted by CDM and warm dark matter models deviate significantly (Zavala et al. 2009). To investigate this parameter space, the SAMI survey targets need to cover a sufficient radius to clearly define the circular velocity (as discussed further in Section 5). Furthermore, in order to reach galaxies with a nominal circular velocity of $\sim 50 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ ($< 100 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$, but large enough to be observable), the stellar mass selection must extend down to $\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) \sim 8.5$, based on the TFR from Dutton et al. (2011) given by

$$\log_{10} \left( \frac{V_{2.2}}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right) = 2.179 + 0.259 \log_{10} \left( \frac{M_*}{10^{10.3} \, M_\odot} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $V_{2.2}$ is the rotation velocity measured at 2.2 disc scalelengths.

The tight relation between black hole mass and the galaxy’s bulge mass measured from the velocity dispersion (Tremaine et al. 2002) implies a physical relation between the central black hole and star formation and hence the buildup of stellar mass. Understanding accretion of gas and feeding of star formation requires a large stellar mass range because physical processes are different between higher and lower stellar mass galaxies (Kereš et al. 2005). For example,
galaxies that have built a large stellar mass may have been fuelled by major interactions or events large enough to deposit sufficient gas to feed an AGN (Volonteri, Haardt & Madau 2003). However, gas accretion in low stellar mass galaxies may be entirely different and due to the infall of non-shock heated gas or non-disruptive events (Brooks et al. 2009), yet still exhibit this same relation as those of very high stellar mass.

Additionally, the shallow potential wells of low-mass galaxies should make them more susceptible to the effects of feedback. The mass loading of galaxy winds and wind velocity has been shown to scale with galaxy mass (Martin 2005; Hopkins, Quataert & Murray 2012). Recent simulations also suggest that the transformation of cuspy dark matter density profiles into shallower cored profiles varies with mass (Governato et al. 2012; di Cinto et al. 2014). Feedback also regulates star formation, and may be further hampered at the low metallicities of dwarf galaxies (Robertson & Kravtsov 2008; Gnedin & Kravtsov 2010; Krumholz & Dekel 2012). Selecting a broad range in stellar mass allows an examination of how these processes scale with mass.

### 3.2 Environment

The key SAMI science drivers that require a broad range of environments include the mechanisms driving gas into and out of galaxies, and the impact of these gas flows on star formation. The environment influences star formation activity, galaxy colour and morphology.

In denser environments processes such as ram-pressure stripping or strangulation can truncate star formation in the outer regions of a galaxy, or across the disc, respectively (e.g. Lewis et al. 2002; Bekki 2009), or induce star formation (e.g. Bekki 2014). Furthermore, galaxy harassment (e.g. Moore, Lake & Katz 1998) or mergers and interactions between galaxies in groups and clusters can both strip gas and drive it towards the centre, triggering star formation (e.g. Iono, Yun & Mihos 2004; Koopmann & Kenney 2004). A range of environments are therefore necessary to understand the suppression of star formation and hence compare the inside-out to inside-out models (e.g. Cappellari et al. 2013) of galaxy evolution. A dependence of star formation rate on local galaxy density has also been seen outside of clusters, in significantly less dense environments (Mateus & Sodre 2004). This dependence requires a physical mechanism which is not well understood due to the fact that studies outside clusters have mainly been based on fibre spectroscopy (see also Wijesinghe et al. 2012), while in clusters only a 2D approach can discriminate between various models. Small IFU studies (e.g. Brough et al. 2013) have found no spatial dependence of star formation on environment however, a much larger sample is required.

Galaxies are known to evolve in colour (blue to red) and in morphology. In both cases there is a link to environment, with redder, and early-type galaxies predominantly found in higher density environments (Dressler 1980; Best 2004). However, an understanding of these mechanisms requires IFU data for galaxies in a range of environments at each fixed stellar mass.

The kinematic morphology–density relation has shown that slow rotators are preferentially found in the densest regions, while there is a transition from spirals to early-type fast-rotators with increasing density (Cappellari et al. 2013). An understanding of this relationship requires addressing fundamental questions about how slow- and fast-rotators are formed, including the effects of minor and major mergers and environmental density. It is unclear whether slow-rotators form only in dense regions or migrate there. The SAMI survey will amass one of the largest samples of spatially resolved early-type galaxies to date, and will have a broad range of environments from the dense cluster regions to field galaxies to clearly define the kinematic morphology–density relation (Fogarty et al. 2014).

### 4 SCIENCE CONSTRAINTS ON THE TARGET SELECTION TO MEET THE SCIENCE DRIVERS

The multiplex of the SAMI instrument corresponds to 12 galaxies in each 0.79 square degree field. Therefore optimal observational efficiency requires a suitable balance between redshift range and stellar mass cuts. We selected a redshift range and then set the stellar mass limits to give the broadest mass range. The considerations in the following discussion influenced these boundaries.

#### 4.1 Redshift range

The SAMI instrument feeds into the AAT’s AAOmega spectrograph (Sharp et al. 2006). While this is a versatile spectrograph, the SAMI survey adopts a fixed resolution and wavelength range for AAOmega. Using the 580V grating in the blue arm of the spectrograph gives a resolution $R \sim 1700$, while the 1000 R grating in the red arm results in $R \sim 4500$. The wavelength range covered is 3700–5700 Å in the blue and 6300–7400 Å in the red. This setup was adopted to optimize coverage of important spectral features in the ideal redshift range while maintaining high spectral resolution in the red for kinematic analysis, as shown in Fig. 3. Table 2 shows the redshift ranges afforded by this wavelength range for the key lines, allowing for a 20 Å window at either side of the line. Redshift limits for the SAMI Galaxy Survey were restricted to $z < 0.095$ so that $\text{[S II]} \lambda \lambda 6716, 6731$ and $\text{Mgb} \lambda 5179$ in most cases will be within the in the red and blue bands, respectively. A new dichroic is planned for the spectrograph which will extend the blue arm coverage towards the red.

The redshift range also sets the spatial resolution achieved within a SAMI hexabundle. Our redshift range means that one fibre core images 0.1–2.8 kpc, and a hexabundle diameter is 1.2–26.6 kpc. We have not made any selection based on major axis effective radius, $R_e$.

![Figure 3. Wavelength coverage versus redshift for the current default SAMI configuration compared to key spectral lines. The red and blue regions indicate the wavelength coverage of the red and blue arms of AAOmega. Magenta lines mark the redshift boundaries for the primary sample.](image-url)
where $M_i$ is the AB rest-frame $i$-band absolute magnitude, and $M_*$ is the stellar mass in solar units. Applying equation (2) to the SAMI survey, we used observed-frame Milky Way-extinction-corrected apparent magnitudes ($g$ and $i$), and limited the colours to reasonable values of $-0.2 < g - i < 1.6$. We calculated the stellar mass using

$$
\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) = -0.4i + 0.4D - \log_{10}(1.0 + z)
+ (1.2117 - 0.5893z) + (0.7106 - 0.1467z)
\times (g - i),
$$

(3)

where $D$ is the distance modulus. We used the aperture-matched $g$- and $i$-band photometry from the GAMA catalogue (‘auto’ magnitudes; Hill et al. 2011; Liske et al., in preparation) in the regions of overlap, and aperture-matched photometry generated from the SDSS or the VLT Survey Telescope (VST) ATLAS imaging data (VST/ATLAS: http://astro.dur.ac.uk/Cosmology/vstatlas/; Shanks et al. 2013) in the remaining cluster regions (for details see Owers et al., in preparation). This selection method allows for accurate volume corrections.

### 5 FINAL SELECTION OF NON-CLUSTER GALAXIES

The galaxy survey regions have been selected to be the equatorial G09, G12 and G15 regions from the GAMA galaxy redshift survey, whose coordinates are given in Table 4.

#### Table 4. Coordinates of the GAMA-I fields used in the SAMI survey. Each region is $12\arcmin \times 4\arcmin$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(°)</td>
<td>(°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G09</td>
<td>129.0–141.0</td>
<td>–1.0 to +3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G12</td>
<td>174.0–186.0</td>
<td>–2.0 to +2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G15</td>
<td>211.5–223.5</td>
<td>–2.0 to +2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and the spatial distribution that results from our sample is discussed further in Section 5.4.

#### 4.2 Stellar mass or absolute magnitude selection

It is important to have well-defined boundaries on the stellar mass selection, to facilitate accurate volume corrections. Therefore careful consideration was given to whether the selection limits should be based on stellar masses or absolute magnitudes, and a summary of the key issues is given in Table 3.

We adopted a combined approach by using absolute magnitudes and colours to calculate a proxy for stellar mass which was then used as the basis for the selection. This choice was primarily driven by the well-defined limiting values for absolute magnitudes, that are not model-dependent, and therefore ensure the survey limits will not change or become dispersed. The method follows Taylor et al. (2011, equation 8), which showed that the GAMA survey stellar masses generated by optical spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting could be approximated by

$$
\log_{10}(M_*/M_\odot) = 1.15 + 0.70(g - i)_{\text{rest}} - 0.4M_i,
$$

(2)

### Table 3. Trade-off between stellar mass and absolute magnitude selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection basis</th>
<th>Stellar mass</th>
<th>Absolute magnitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>(i) Simplifies comparison of properties as a function of stellar mass. (ii) Stellar mass estimates are based on model fitting and allow for dust obscuration. (iii) Changes to measurements of dust, metallicity and galaxy ages will not significantly alter the stellar mass values, as these quantities are correlated. (iv) The broad range of stellar masses required and distribution of stellar masses is simple to select.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>(i) Absolute magnitude is not a direct measure of stellar mass. However, stellar mass can be found by combining the absolute magnitude with rest-frame colour (Taylor et al. 2011). (ii) The scatter in the Taylor et al. (2011) correlation between galaxy colours and stellar mass means that galaxies at the limits of our selection will favour slightly bluer galaxy types.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2. Redshift coverage for each spectral feature within the fixed SAMI Galaxy Survey resolution and wavelength range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{rest}}$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{min}}(B)$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{max}}(B)$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{min}}(R)$</th>
<th>$z_{\text{max}}(R)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[O II]</td>
<td>3727</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4000</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hβ</td>
<td>4861</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III]</td>
<td>5007</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mgβ</td>
<td>5174</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaD</td>
<td>5892</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O I]</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hα</td>
<td>6563</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N II]</td>
<td>6583</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[S II]</td>
<td>6716</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[S II]</td>
<td>6731</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values with redshift too high to be used by the SAMI Galaxy survey.

#### Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(°)</td>
<td>(°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G09</td>
<td>129.0–141.0</td>
<td>–1.0 to +3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G12</td>
<td>174.0–186.0</td>
<td>–2.0 to +2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G15</td>
<td>211.5–223.5</td>
<td>–2.0 to +2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1 The GAMA survey

The GAMA project brings together multiwavelength data from the far-UV to infrared, and is centred around a single-fibre galaxy redshift survey (Hopkins et al. 2013) that was observed from 2008–2014 using the 2dF instrument with the AAOmega spectrograph on the AAT. The photometric $ugriz$ optical data and positions from the GAMA input catalogues are drawn directly from the SDSS Data Release 7 (Abazajian et al. 2009). GAMA-I (the first stage of GAMA adopted by SAMI) therefore provides magnitudes in $r$-band surface brightness.

$^1$ Magnitudes measured in a circular aperture that has twice the Petrosian radius determined from $r$-band surface brightness.

$^2$ Magnitudes based on the best fit to an exponential or de Vaucouleurs profile.

$^3$ AB magnitude using an elliptical aperture based on the Kron (1980) algorithm.

$^5$ Radio wavelengths, as detailed in Driver et al. (in preparation). This ancillary data will add further value to the SAMI observations and is discussed in Section 8.

5.2 Limits for the stellar mass selection

The aim is to select a broad range in stellar mass. This could be done with either a cut-off defined by a single smoothly varying function in the proxy for stellar mass with redshift, or alternatively a stepped series of stellar mass limits that change with redshift. A single selection function means that volume limited samples could not be considered without disregarding many of the galaxies observed, or applying a weighting function to do volume corrections (as done in Walcher et al. 2014). However, a stepped series of stellar mass limits forms a number of separate volume-limited samples.

While we aim for a uniform distribution of stellar mass, the GAMA-I sample from which we are selecting SAMI galaxies contains clear density structures (see Fig. 4) and we do not want to bias against proper sampling of these structures. Therefore the final stellar mass distribution will necessarily not be entirely flat.

Fig. 4 illustrates a selection in which there are 150 objects in each 0.25 dex bin (blue lines joins bin centres) as well as the limits on 1 dex bins with 540 galaxies in each (green line). This result indicates that a strictly uniform distribution in stellar mass with a single selection function would only be achievable with a non-linear selection function (as shown) in redshift versus stellar mass space. A uniform stellar mass selection would result in $\sim 0.5$ dex change in stellar mass across an individual structure (e.g. filament, group) that spans $cz = 1000$ km s$^{-1}$ in the steepest part of the function. For example, the green and blue lines cut through the large structure at $0.05 < z < 0.06$, while the final selection (red line) was shifted...
Table 5. Data included in the final SAMI GAMA-region catalogue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source GAMA-I catalogue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>IAU format object name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>J2000 coordinate</td>
<td>TilingCatv29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>J2000 coordinate</td>
<td>TilingCatv29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_petro</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>mag</td>
<td>Extinction-corrected SDSS DR7 Petrosian mag</td>
<td>TilingCatv29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_auto</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>mag</td>
<td>Extinction-corrected Kron magnitude (r band)</td>
<td>ApMatchedCatv03 MAG_AUTO_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z_tonry</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flow-corrected redshift using Tonry model</td>
<td>DistancesFramesv08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z_spec</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spectroscopic redshift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_r</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute magnitude in rest frame r band from SED fits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r_e</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>arcsec</td>
<td>Effective radius in r band (hl rad) (semimajor)</td>
<td>SersicCatAllv07 GAL_RE_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;mu(re)&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>mag arcsec^-2</td>
<td>Effective r-band surface brightness within r_e</td>
<td>SersicCatAllv07 GAL_MU_E_AVG_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mu(re)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>mag arcsec^-2</td>
<td>Effective r-band surface brightness at r_e</td>
<td>SersicCatAllv07 GAL_MU_E_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mu(2re)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>mag arcsec^-2</td>
<td>Effective r-band surface brightness at 2r_e</td>
<td>SersicCatAllv07 GAL_MU_E_2R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ellipticity</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ellipticity from r-band Sersic fits</td>
<td>SersicCatAllv07 GAL_ELLIP_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>degrees</td>
<td>Position angle from r-band Sersic fits</td>
<td>SersicCatAllv07 GAL_PA_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(M_*/M☉)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>dex</td>
<td>Stellar mass based on equation (3), log (M_*/M☉)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g − i</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>mag</td>
<td>Kron colour, extinction corrected</td>
<td>MAG_AUTO_G-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A_g</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>mag</td>
<td>Galactic extinction in SDSS g band</td>
<td>GalacticExtinctionv02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATAID</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>GAMA ID in InputCatAv06</td>
<td>TilingCatv29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURV_SAMI</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample priority class:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI_SAMI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample priority used for tiling galaxies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAD_CLASS</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Classification based on visual inspection (see Section 5.3).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS_SAMI</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flag if object has been observed by the SAMI survey; 1 = yes, 0 = no.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TILE_NUM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tile number or numbers that the galaxy was observed on, if it has been observed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

so the structure fit within a single stellar mass bin. It is far preferable to have the same stellar mass limits for galaxies within bound structures.

Therefore, in order to remove selection biases and simplify volume corrections, we have chosen a stepped function defining several volume-limited samples with semi-regular redshift intervals and mass steps, at the expense of strict uniformity in the stellar mass distribution.

5.3 Selection of SAMI targets from the GAMA-I survey

The SAMI sample is drawn from the GAMA data set, combining several GAMA catalogues. From this combined catalogue, we selected the SAMI galaxies by first only including the objects within the GAMA-I regions, and secondly, rejecting objects with unreliable redshifts (quality flag nQ ≤ 2), or unreliable magnitudes (g, r or i auto mags <0 or >90). The resultant SAMI field catalogue in the GAMA regions includes the data types listed in Table 5.

The SAMI galaxies selected from the GAMA survey consist of four volume-limited samples from a stepped series of stellar mass cuts in redshift bands as shown in Fig. 4, along with additional dwarf galaxy candidates with low stellar mass and low redshift. The points above the red line and within the redshift range z = 0.004–0.095 (pink region) define the main sample which has limits set as described in Table 6. Due to tiling constraints and source distributions some field configurations may not have 12 primary targets, therefore filler targets were also defined. In the yellow regions (with lowered cut-offs of log(M_*/M☉) = 8.6, 9.4 and 10.3), we have

4 The GAMA catalogues used here are TilingCatv29.fits (Baldry et al. 2010), ApMatchedCatv03.fits (Hill et al. 2011), GalacticExtinctionv02.fits, DistancesFramesv08.fits (Baldry et al. 2012), SersicCatAllv07.fits (Kelvin et al. 2012), StellarMassesv08.fits (Taylor et al. 2011), EnvironmentMea-
suresv01.fits (Brough et al. 2013) and InputCatAv06.fits (Baldry et al. 2010), and they can be found on the GAMA webpages.
Fig. 4 shows that while the galaxy masses peak around galaxies, highlighting the large-scale structures traced by the SAMI M\text{⋆} brightness targets, while at the same time ensuring that the highest ion lines to achieve some science objectives in even the low surface attain the signal-to-noise (S/N) required in the continuum or emission. et al. 2011), which is similar to the peak of the mass distribution from the CALIFA survey (Walcher et al. 2014) despite the difference degree field, with 14, 15 and 21 objects per deg² in the 9, 12 and 14.5 h regions or 11, 12 and 16 objects per SAMI field of view, respectively.

Fig. 5 shows the on-sky and redshift distribution of the SAMI galaxies, highlighting the large-scale structures traced by the SAMI survey. Fig. 4 shows that while the galaxy masses peak around $M_\text{⋆}$ due to this large-scale structure, stellar masses from 10^8 to 10^{11.5} M_\sun are well sampled. The parent population from GAMA has a slightly higher mass peak between 10^{10.5} and 10^{11} M_\sun (Taylor et al. 2011), which is similar to the peak of the mass distribution from the CALIFA survey (Walcher et al. 2014) despite the difference in redshift range.

Limits on stellar mass at each redshift are set to make sure we attain the signal-to-noise (S/N) required in the continuum or emission lines to achieve some science objectives in even the low surface brightness targets, while at the same time ensuring that the highest redshift galaxies have sufficient resolution elements. This design is tested with current data in Section 5.5.

We cannot by design select galaxies based on environment as there is not a single well-defined environmental metric. Rather we have tested the environments covered by our stellar mass selection to ensure a broad range. Galaxies that have been chosen from the GAMA fields are predominantly field galaxies and groups (see Fig. 11 later), and these have been supplemented by several galaxy clusters (see Section 6), in order to increase the sample of galaxies in higher density environments and extend the range of environments covered.

### 5.4 Galaxy sizes and surface brightness distributions

The range of galaxy sizes compared to the hexabundle size is crucial to the science of the survey. On the one hand, if the hexabundle radius samples ≤ 1 R_e then the central stellar and gas distributions and dynamics can be investigated. However, in order to measure global dynamics (e.g. for the TFR) it is preferable for the hexabundle radius to extend to > 2R_e.

Fig. 6 highlights the range of $R_e$ in the survey. We note that no selection is made based on $R_e$ except in the case of extremely large nearby galaxies (see Table 7). The median $R_e$ of the primary sample is 4.4 arcsec and 40 per cent of the galaxies are sampled out to more than 2 $R_e$, where we expect any rotation curve to have flattened out. 17 per cent of the galaxies have 1 $R_e$ larger than the SAMI bundle, giving higher relative spatial resolution in the centres of these galaxies. The distribution of $R_e$ sampled by the hexabundles does not show a significant trend with redshift.

The surface brightness distribution for the GAMA-selected targets is plotted in Fig. 7. As expected, the fainter targets have an $R_e$ that is smaller than a hexabundle radius, while brighter targets become more likely to have an $R_e$ larger than the hexabundle, and galaxies of the same r magnitude have fainter surface brightness if the $R_e$ is larger. The surface brightness at 1 $R_e$ is brighter than 23.5 mag arcsec^{-2} at g band for 83 per cent of the sample, which equates to an expected S/N in the continuum of greater than 4 within the 3.5 h survey integration times (the filling fraction combined with our dithering strategy means each point on the galaxy receives 0.75 times the counts expected from a device with a 100 per cent filling fraction, which reduces the S/N from the exposure time calculator value of 5). The galaxies with S/N < 4 are primarily those with faint r magnitudes and small sizes compared to the hexabundle size. For galaxies with low S/N, the spaxels can be binned to increase the S/N in either the continuum or line emission (covariance needs to be accounted for when binning as discussed in detail in Allen et al. 2015; Sharp et al. 2015).

### Table 6. Selection boundaries in Tonry redshift (adjusted to the Tonry et al. 2000 flow model) and stellar mass for the primary and filler SAMI targets selected from GAMA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redshift range</th>
<th>log($M_\text{⋆}$/M_\sun)</th>
<th>Fig. 4 colour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary targets</td>
<td>0.004 &lt; z ≤ 0.02</td>
<td>≥ 7 + 60c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 &lt; z ≤ 0.03</td>
<td>≥ 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.03 &lt; z ≤ 0.045</td>
<td>≥ 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.045 &lt; z ≤ 0.06</td>
<td>≥ 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.06 &lt; z ≤ 0.095</td>
<td>≥ 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler targets</td>
<td>0.03 &lt; z ≤ 0.045</td>
<td>≥ 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.045 &lt; z ≤ 0.06</td>
<td>≥ 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.06 &lt; z ≤ 0.095</td>
<td>≥ 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.095 &lt; z ≤ 0.115</td>
<td>≥ 10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Visual confirmation of SAMI galaxies, and the fraction that were removed from the sample for listed reasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per cent GAMA galaxies</th>
<th>Observer</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Confirmed to have no problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bright star nearby.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Target is a star.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Subcomponent of a galaxy, or interacting companion where the companion is within one bundle radius.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Target is a very low redshift, very large, bright galaxy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Position needs to be manually adjusted to centre targets in hexabundle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Catalogue redshift is in error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.5 Data quality at the extremes of our selection

Using early SAMI galaxy survey data from semester 2013A, we have tested that the S/N attainable for both emission and absorption line work at the extremes of our selection, in order to confirm the viability of these limits. Figs 8 and 9 show the S/N achieved in both continuum for stellar fits and in the emission line fits. The tightest constraints are on stellar kinematics and stellar population fitting, which require S/N in the continuum of ∼5 (see, for example Fogarty et al. 2014) and >10/Å (confirmed with tests on synthetic spectra), respectively. While these S/N limits are easily achieved in the centres of many SAMI galaxies, for radii greater than half the bundle radius, binning of spaxels is required for stellar population fitting. Our adopted redshift range has little impact on the continuum S/N, because the highest stellar mass objects in each redshift bin have similar S/N values out to at least z ∼ 0.06 (see Allen et al. 2015, for a detailed discussion of S/N). However, the lower stellar mass objects at each redshift have lower S/N as expected, illustrating that lowering the stellar mass cuts further will reduce the fraction of galaxies that can achieve stellar science goals.
Figure 6. Top: the distribution of major axis effective radii for the primary SAMI targets, as measured from Sérsic fits from the GAMA data (see Table 5). The SAMI hexabundles each have a radius of 7.5 arcsec (blue line), while each of the 61 individual fibre cores has a radius of 0.8 arcsec (green line). Arrows mark the range of galaxies for which there are \( R_e > 2 R_e \) and \( < R_e \) within a hexabundle. The top axes are scaled to the effective radius as a fraction of an individual core radius, and effective radius as a fraction of the hexabundles radius. Lower: distribution of major axis effective radius with redshift for the primary sample. Blue and red lines mark one and half a hexabundle radius, respectively. Green points mark the median \( R_e \) in bins of 0.01 in redshift. The galaxies with an effective radii of \( > 20 \) arcsec, were checked by eye, and nine were not plotted as the effective radii fits were clearly incorrect due to bright nearby stars or galaxies.

Currently, the lowest stellar mass objects require binning. For example, Fig. 8 (left) shows a \( z = 0.015 \) galaxy with a stellar mass of \( 10^{8.59} M_\odot \), which is at the limit of reasonable S/N values for spatially resolved stellar kinematics. In this case, the spaxels were adaptively binned to a target S/N = 5/Å using the Voronoi tessellation algorithm of Cappellari & Copin (2003). This target has the lowest stellar mass for which we could achieve a S/N = 5/Å without binning the entire bundle, and therefore we expect galaxies with stellar masses above \( 10^{8} M_\odot \) to have sufficient S/N for the science requiring stellar kinematics at this redshift. Dwarf galaxies with insufficient S/N in the continuum remain in the survey due to the science cases based on the emission lines. At higher redshift, higher continuum S/N is achievable as the stellar mass increases, as shown in the \( z = 0.056 \) galaxy in Fig. 8 (right) with stellar mass of \( 10^{10.15} M_\odot \). The central regions of the bundle have S/N > 5/Å in each spaxel, and minimal binning is required to reach S/N = 5/Å giving resolved kinematics easily, and allowing the possibility of resolving stellar populations or finding stellar population gradients in the target galaxies.

Science cases requiring emission lines typically need S/N \( \gtrsim 5 \). Observations in 2013A have shown no trend between galaxy stellar...
Figure 7. Surface brightness in the $g$ band at $1R_e$ versus $1R_e$ as a fraction of bundle radius. Points are colour coded by the Petrosian $r$ magnitude. Vertical dashed lines list the continuum S/N per Å per hexabundle including fill fraction (not per fibre core, which would be a factor of 0.75 higher), expected to be achieved with 3.5 h of integration time for the given surface brightness, based on the $B$-band SAMI exposure time calculator. The galaxies with lowest surface brightness at $1R_e$ tend to be larger, and therefore can be binned to increase S/N.

Figure 8. Examples of the binning required to obtain a minimum continuum S/N ratio of 5 per Å (accounting for covariance; for details see Allen et al. 2015; Sharp et al. 2015). Each colour region is one bin. We show a low redshift, low mass ($z = 0.015, M_* = 10^{8.59} M_\odot$) galaxy (left) and a high-redshift, high-mass ($z = 0.056, M_* = 10^{10.15} M_\odot$) galaxy (right). SAMI data cubes are re-gridded on to 0.5 arcsec output square spaxels (see Allen et al. 2015; Sharp et al. 2015, for details). Continuum flux is shown by the contours.

mass and the observed S/N in emission lines, which is dominated instead by the line strength and the observing conditions at the time (see Allen et al. 2015). The highest redshift galaxies in general fill less of the hexabundle and have low S/N beyond a half hexabundle radius. However, many of the galaxies in the full SAMI sample at high redshift have an $R_e$ that is larger than half a hexabundle radius, as shown in Fig. 6, and will have higher S/N than those observed in 2013A. Beyond our highest redshift selection box (cyan box in Fig. 4), the $R_e$ of the galaxies decreases further, leading to a decline in spatially resolved S/N that would have limited the achievable science if we had extended to higher redshift. Fig. 9 shows the $H\alpha$ emission line S/N in two of the galaxies already observed that lie at opposite ends of the selection function. The first is at a low redshift of 0.005 16 and $M_* = 10^{8.56} M_\odot$, while the high-redshift example has $z = 0.083 52$ and $M_* = 10^{11.14} M_\odot$. In both cases, the S/N is >10 per spaxel in almost all of the hexabundle. These initial results confirm the viability of our selection limits for achieving emission line science goals.
The redshift and stellar mass range selected for the survey therefore can give sufficient S/N in both the stellar continuum and Hβ emission line to achieve our science goals with sufficient spatial elements in most cases.

5.6 Comparison to previous IFU surveys

The SAMI Galaxy Survey is unique in comparison to previous IFU surveys because it aims to observe an order of magnitude more galaxies over a large range in both mass and environment. We use the multiplex of the SAMI instrument to increase the galaxy numbers but at lower spatial sampling than previous surveys such as CALIFA and ATLAS³D which include finer spatial sampling of fewer nearby targets using a single monolithic IFU. For example, the Ptas fibre PAcK (PPAK) IFU used by CALIFA has 331 science fibres across 1 galaxy, while in contrast the 12 SAMI hexabundles have 732 science fibres across 12 galaxies with 61 fibres per galaxy. Therefore SAMI adopts a complementary approach that results in a 15 arcsec field of view across many more galaxies, while CALIFA has a 74 × 64 arcsec² field and ATLAS³D has a 33 × 41 arcsec² field, but both include fewer galaxies. In this way SAMI has the dominant statistical power, while CALIFA and ATLAS³D are the high resolution local benchmarks for detailed comparison to the SAMI galaxies.

CALIFA and ATLAS³D both focus on more massive nearby galaxies with 0.005 < z < 0.03 (median 0.015) and z < 0.01, respectively, while SAMI samples a broader range in stellar mass up to z ∼ 0.095. The peak of the mass distribution for the CALIFA and SAMI samples is similar, but the SAMI sample includes galaxies down to 10^7.2 M☉, with 21 per cent of the survey targets having less than 10^9 M☉ (see Figs 4 and 12) compared to <3 per cent in CALIFA. ATLAS³D is selected to have >10^6.7 M☉ (Cappellari et al. 2011).

CALIFA has a uniquely large coverage for each galaxy with 97 per cent observed to 2r_e based on a circularized aperture (Walcher et al. 2014). Alternatively, both SAMI and CALIFA have r_e values measured along the major axis so we can compare surveys using r_e. CALIFA have 50 per cent of the galaxies sampled out to >2r_e(Walcher et al. 2014). The median r_e of SAMI galaxies within a hexabundle is 1.7r_e (with a 40 per cent of the sample imaged to 2rer; see Fig. 6), however within the redshift range of CALIFA, SAMI samples galaxies to a median of 1.5r_e. By contrast, ATLAS³D images galaxies to a median of 0.9r_e (Emsellem et al. 2011).

On the other hand the surveys sample galaxies on differing spatial scales, which are not set by the IFU elements (lenses or fibres) but by the angular scales limited by first, the atmospheric seeing and dither schemes and secondly, by the redshift ranges. SAMI’s angular scale elements are expected to average ~2.1 arcsec due to seeing, while ATLAS³D has on-average 1.5 arcsec seeing (Krajnović et al. 2011) and CALIFA has a median angular resolution of 2.5 arcsec (García-Benito et al. 2014). Therefore, based on the redshift ranges of each survey, the spatial resolution for SAMI is 0.17–3.7 kpc (median 1.65 kpc), ATLAS³D is <0.3 kpc and CALIFA is 0.26–1.5 kpc (median 1 kpc; Walcher et al. 2014). Most SAMI galaxies are therefore not sampled as finely as ATLAS³D and 75 per cent of SAMI galaxies have the <2.2 kpc sampling of CALIFA.

In terms of spectral sampling, SAMI’s resolution of R ∼ 1700 and R ∼ 4500 in the blue and red remains the highest of these IFU surveys, particularly at red wavelengths. By comparison, CALIFA has R ∼ 850 from 3750–7000 Å and R ∼ 1650 from 3700–4700 Å while ATLAS³D covers 4800–5380 Å at R ∼ 1200. The high spectral resolution of SAMI is ideal for de-blending complex line profiles (see, for example Fogarty et al. 2014; Ho et al. 2014) across the broad range of galaxy types.

SAMI therefore addresses a broad parameter space in environment and stellar mass with fewer spatial elements but over many more galaxies. This is unique compared to these previous IFU surveys, and will overlap with the up-coming MANGA survey (Bundy et al., in preparation).

6 FINAL SELECTION: CLUSTER GALAXIES

Key science drivers for the SAMI survey require targets covering a range of environments. The environment of the GAMA-selected galaxies range from field galaxies to groups, with very few having masses >10^14 M☉ (see Fig. 11). To extend the survey to higher mass environments therefore requires the addition of galaxy clusters selected to have virial masses >1 × 10^14 M☉.
6.1 Selection of clusters and cluster galaxies

The full details of the definition of the cluster sample, including spectroscopy of cluster candidates and selection criteria for cluster members is given in a companion paper (Owers et al., in preparation). Here, we summarize the key selection criteria to highlight how the cluster sample complements the GAMA-selected sample.

Clusters were chosen with an RA range of 22–03 h so that they are observable in the second half of the year, as the GAMA fields are all observable in the first half of the year. The eight clusters in the SAMI cluster sample are listed in Table 8, and are marked in Fig. 10. They were picked to overlap with either the SDSS or 2dFGRS to make use of the existing redshift catalogues for selection of cluster members. We measured additional redshifts using AAOmega fed by the 2dF multi-object fibre-feed for cluster candidates that have \( r < 19.4 \) mag to reach 90 per cent completeness in the cluster fields.

Imaging data are also important, as existing photometry is used for the cluster member selection, and the SDSS or the VST/ATLAS southern survey fields cover these regions. Stellar masses for cluster galaxies were calculated based on this photometry in the same way as for the GAMA fields. Cluster members were allowed within a radius of \(< r_{200}^5 \) or alternatively we used a limit of 0.5° when \( r_{200} < 0.5 \° \). The stellar mass limit for the selected galaxies was set to be the same as for the GAMA fields (see Fig. 4), at the redshift of each cluster.

The cluster catalogue objects were visually inspected as for the GAMA galaxies (see Section 5.3). Within the cluster fields alone, initial visual confirmation has removed <4 per cent of the galaxies. From the remaining sources, \(~600\) randomly selected galaxies will be observed including the brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs), from eight clusters as detailed in Table 8 with spatial distribution shown in Fig. 10.

### 7 COMBINED FIELD AND CLUSTER SAMPLE PROPERTIES

#### 7.1 Sky coverage

The SAMI Galaxy Survey regions were selected to have supporting spectroscopic data for redshift selection and imaging data, as the photometry is necessary as a proxy for stellar mass in our selection criteria. Fig. 10 shows the sky distribution of the SAMI field and cluster regions compared with other spectroscopic and imaging surveys. The SAMI field galaxy regions are the equatorial fields from GAMA, which also partially overlap several other surveys as detailed in Section 8. The target selection was based on spectroscopic redshifts and photometry from GAMA in the equatorial regions, while the cluster galaxies overlap either SDSS or VST regions that provide the photometry, and SDSS or 2dFGRS for the redshifts.

The final catalogue has only one object in common with the ATLAS-3D survey and none in common with the CALIFA mother catalogue.

#### 7.2 Group and cluster masses

We take advantage of the GAMA group catalogue (Robotham et al. 2011, which includes \(~97\) per cent of galaxies in the SAMI GAMA-region selection catalogue) to characterise the typical environments covered by our sample. In Fig. 11, we show the distribution of group masses for all SAMI targets. Galaxies not assigned to a group are indicated as isolated systems. SAMI GAMA-region targets cover almost the entire range of environments found in the local Universe (apart from rich clusters), but appears particularly well suited to study isolated systems and galaxy groups with masses in the range \(10^{12.5} < M_{\text{group}}/M_\odot < 10^{13} \) due to the selection function of groups in the GAMA sample. Interestingly, this range of group masses is where the cold gas content and star formation activity of galaxies starts to be affected by the environment (Catinella et al. 2013), making SAMI an ideal data set to study how nurture influences the star formation cycle of galaxies. As the GAMA survey regions do not include many clusters of galaxies at low redshifts, the SAMI GAMA-region sample predominantly contains galaxies residing in groups with masses of less than \(~10^{14} \) \( M_\odot \). However, the addition of the SAMI cluster sample extends the mass range, making the full SAMI survey a unique data set to study galaxy evolution across all environments. Although rich clusters only contain a very small fraction of the total stellar mass (<2 per cent for \(>10^{14.5} \) \( h^{-1} M_\odot \) haloes, see Eke et al. 2005), it is important to include them to establish which physical processes are unique to the rich cluster environment and which are ubiquitous.

#### 7.3 Galaxy stellar masses

Fig. 12 shows the combined stellar mass distribution of the primary targets in both the GAMA and cluster samples. Filler targets extend to lower stellar masses and are not plotted. The stellar mass cut-offs

**Table 8.** Final clusters selected for the SAMI galaxy survey, with J2000 coordinates, redshift, virial masses within \( r < r_{200} \) and the origin of the photometric data used for the galaxy cluster membership selection (for details see Owers et al. 2009 and Owers et al., in preparation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster name</th>
<th>RA (deg.)</th>
<th>Dec. (deg.)</th>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>Virial mass ((\times 10^{14} M_\odot))</th>
<th>Photometric data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDCC0442</td>
<td>6.381</td>
<td>–33.047</td>
<td>0.0494</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>VST/ATLAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell0085</td>
<td>10.460</td>
<td>–9.303</td>
<td>0.0556</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>VST/ATLAS and SDSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell0119</td>
<td>14.067</td>
<td>–1.255</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>SDSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell0168</td>
<td>18.740</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.0448</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>SDSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell2399</td>
<td>329.389</td>
<td>–7.794</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>SDSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell3880</td>
<td>336.977</td>
<td>–30.575</td>
<td>0.0579</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>VST/ATLAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APMCC0917</td>
<td>355.398</td>
<td>–29.236</td>
<td>0.0509</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>VST/ATLAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abell4038</td>
<td>356.895</td>
<td>–28.125</td>
<td>0.0297</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>VST/ATLAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ r_{200} = 0.17 \sigma_v \langle r < r_{200} \rangle / H(z) \] and is iteratively determined using the velocity dispersion \( \sigma_v \) of the members within \( r_{200} \) (see Owers et al. in preparation and Carlberg et al. 1997, for details).
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Figure 10. Aitoff projection of RA (h) and Dec. (deg) showing the distribution of the SAMI field and group galaxies in the GAMA regions and the SAMI clusters compared to other related imaging and spectroscopic galaxy surveys including the Millennium Galaxy Catalogue (Liske et al. 2003), SDSS (York et al. 2000; Abazajian et al. 2009), 2dFGRS (Colless et al. 2001), Wigglez (Drinkwater et al. 2010), UKIDSS (Lawrence et al. 2007), VST KiDS (de Jong et al. 2013) and VISTA VIKING (Driver et al., in preparation). Grey dots mark objects with measured redshifts at \( z < 0.1 \) from the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED).

for the cluster targets were set by the same limits as the GAMA regions and hence there are no cluster galaxies selected below \( 10^{9.5} \, M_\odot \). The full survey covers a broad range in stellar mass primarily from \( 10^8 \) to \( 10^{11.5} \, M_\odot \), from dwarfs to massive BCGs. The sample allows for a direct comparison of the high-density environment cluster galaxies to galaxies in low-density environments in the field.

7.4 Colours and magnitudes

The colour-mass plot in Fig. 13 illustrates how the SAMI GAMA-region targets span from the blue cloud, across the green, to the red sequence. This broad distribution will be crucial for SAMI survey studies of gas and stellar evolution in galaxies and the impact of environment on evolution from the blue to the red sequence. Galaxy morphologies change with colour, and the SAMI survey is clearly sampling a wide range of galaxy types. The cluster galaxies, on the other hand, are primarily on the red sequence, as expected from the stripping of gas in the cluster environment, which quenches star formation, reddening the galaxy.

8 ANCILLARY DATA FROM OTHER SURVEYS

8.1 UV, optical and IR

The SAMI Survey primary sample is selected from the GAMA survey, which by design has extensive multiwavelength coverage from the FUV to the far-IR (see Driver et al., in preparation). The base \( ugriz \) data drawn from SDSS have been reprocessed and astrometrically aligned with the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA) Kilo-Degree Infrared Galaxy (VIKING) survey data (Driver et al., in preparation), enabling matched aperture photometry in \( ugrizYJHK \) (i.e. identical apertures, deblending solutions etc; Hill et al. 2011). The GAMA regions used by SAMI have also been extensively observed with GALEX, as part of
the Medium Imaging Survey (Martin et al. 2005) and augmented through dedicated observing campaigns led by the GAMA team (http://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/galex-gama/). Stacked drizzled WISE data have been prepared by the WISE team (procedures described in Jarrett et al. 2012; Cluver et al. 2014), achieving greater depth and resolution than that provided in the WISE all-sky data release. Finally the Herschel-ATLAS survey (Eales et al. 2010), an extensive Herschel Space Observatory survey, also targeted the GAMA regions used by SAMI, providing observations with the Photometer Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS) and the Spectral and Photometric Imaging Receiver (SPIRE) completing the multifacility coverage comprising of 21 broad-band filters. The 5σ limiting AB mag depths of these filters are: FUV = 24.5; NUV = 24.0; u = 22.1; g = 23.0; r = 22.7; i = 22.7; z = 20.8; Z = 23.1; Y = 22.4; J = 22.1; H = 21.2; Ks = 21.3; W1 = 21.1; W2 = 20.4; W3 = 18.6; W4 = 16.6; 100 µm = 13.0; 160 µm = 13.4; 250 µm = 12.0; 350 µm = 12.2; 450 µm = 12.5 AB mags (for full details see Driver et al., in preparation).

These data allow for complete broad-band spectral analysis providing both robust stellar mass measurements (Taylor et al. 2011) as well as dust mass, dust temperature (e.g. via MAGPHYS in da Cunha, Charlot & Elbaz 2008, or similar), and global star formation rate estimates independent of aperture corrections. At the present time further observations are underway with ESO’s VST imaging facility as part of the Kilo-Degree Survey (VST KiDS; de Jong et al. 2013) which will significantly improve the depth (by ~2 mag) and spatial resolution (0.7 arcsec) in the ugri bands. The spectroscopic component of the GAMA survey consists of ~180 000 redshifts (and spectra, see Hopkins et al. 2013) within the SAMI regions enabling the construction of a robust halo catalogue (Robotham et al. 2011) extending down to 10^11 M⊙ (based on velocity dispersion measurements and calibrated against numerical simulations), along with a variety of environmental markers based on nearest-neighbour distances, local density measurements (Brough et al. 2013), and information as to whether the selected SAMI galaxy resides in a void, filament or tendril (Alpaslan et al. 2014a,b). The high spectroscopic completeness of the GAMA survey (~98 per cent; Driver et al. 2011) ensures that these environmental markers are robust (see halo mass confirmations by Alpaslan et al. 2012; Han et al. 2015). Additional analysis derived from either the Public GAMA Data Release 2 (Liske et al. in preparation), or directly from the full GAMA data base, also include surface profile analysis with GALFIT3 (see Kelvin et al. 2012) providing either nine-band single Sérsic fits or where appropriate, bulge-disc or bulge-bar-disc decompositions. Finally, as the SAMI Main Survey sample is embedded within GAMA, the rarity or normality of each SAMI galaxy is known a priori. In effect GAMA can be used to place the results from the SAMI Main Survey sample into a cosmological context.

Figure 11. Histogram of the number of galaxies in groups/clusters of a given dynamical mass for groups/clusters hosting SAMI galaxies. The red histogram shows the SAMI GAMA-region primary samples (corrected to the cosmology in Section 1). Cluster virial masses are shown in the grey histogram for the galaxies in eight clusters. In the bin centred on 10^14.5 M⊙ where the environment masses overlap, 69 galaxies (red) are from the SAMI GAMA-region sample and 278 (grey) are from five of the clusters. Galaxies in the remaining three clusters lie in the highest mass bin. The survey will observe 90 per cent of these GAMA region targets and 600 of the cluster galaxies. The black numbers list the number of groups or clusters in each bin. In some cases only one galaxy in a GAMA group is in the SAMI catalogue, in which case the number of galaxies equals the number of groups in that case.

Figure 12. Combined stellar mass distribution of the SAMI survey primary sample (red). The component of that distribution from the clusters is in grey, while the remainder are from the GAMA regions. The completed survey aims to observe 90 per cent of these GAMA region targets and 600 of the cluster galaxies.

Figure 13. Stellar mass versus g − i colour for the SAMI primary sample in the GAMA regions (red), and four of the clusters, for which aperture-matched photometry is available at the time of writing (grey; see Owers et al., in preparation, for details). The magnitudes are the mag_auto values from the GAMA catalogue, which come from SExtractor fits.
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8.2 Radio data

8.2.1 Continuum

The GAMA data includes radio observations from the GMRT at 325 MHz (Mauch et al. 2013). These regions have also been covered by the 1.4 GHz Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-cm (FIRST; Becker, White & Helfand 1995) and NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS; Condon et al. 1998) catalogues. The FIRST catalogue has a 5 arcsec resolution, but NVSS’s larger beam (50 arcsec) can lead to confusion with nearby sources. There are 216 galaxies in the SAMI Galaxy survey (GAMA regions) with FIRST or NVSS detections that have been confirmed as associated sources. The catalogues primarily detect the higher stellar mass objects and therefore only provide data for SAMI galaxies above $10^{9.5} M_\odot$ with a median of $10^{10.65} M_\odot$ as illustrated in Fig. 14. Within the 2 dex in stellar mass, we cover 2 dex in 1.4 GHz flux density, and future observations are planned to extend the radio detections to galaxies of lower stellar mass. The spatially resolved SFR can be used to test the physics underpinning the radio-FIR correlation in star-forming galaxies as a function of both stellar mass and environment.

The SAMI cluster regions are covered by FIRST/NVSS in the equatorial regions and the Sydney University Molonglo Sky Survey (Mauch et al. 2003) and NVSS for the four southern clusters.

8.2.2 Radio 21-cm $H_\text{i}$

Soon the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder (ASKAP; Johnston et al. 2007) will survey 21 cm emission from $H_\text{i}$ in the equatorial GAMA fields. In the meantime, 21 cm $H_\text{i}$ line observations for part of the SAMI sample in the GAMA regions are already available thanks to the Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA (ALFALFA) survey (Giovanelli et al. 2005). ALFALFA is a state-of-the-art blind $H_\text{i}$ survey, covering the high galactic latitude extragalactic sky visible from Arecibo ($\sim 7000$ deg$^2$) up to $z \sim 0.06$. ALFALFA observed all the Arecibo Spring night sky above Declination +0, thus including $\sim 58$ per cent of the GAMA survey regions. The typical rms noise limit of ALFALFA is $\sim 2.4$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ at a velocity resolution of 10 km s$^{-1}$ (Haynes et al. 2011), corresponding to a 6.5σ limit (Saintonge 2007) in $H_\text{i}$ gas mass of $\sim 1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ at $z = 0.05$, for a velocity width of 200 km s$^{-1}$. However, at such low declination the gain of the Arecibo telescope is lower than nominal, implying a slightly higher ($\sim 20$ per cent) rms. A preliminary list of $H_\text{i}$ ALFALFA sources for the GAMA region (Haynes, private communication) has been cross-matched with the SAMI galaxies using a 15 arcsec aperture between the ALFALFA identified optical counterpart and the GAMA positions, as well as a redshift difference between the optical and the $H_\text{i}$ counterpart less than 0.001. Fig. 15 (top) shows where the resulting 249 matched galaxies sit in

Figure 14. Stellar mass versus 1.4 GHz flux density for the sources from the SAMI GAMA-region catalogue, which have reliable detections in FIRST.

Figure 15. Distribution of the SAMI survey targets that have observations from the ALFALFA survey, in stellar mass versus redshift (top) and $NUV - r$ colour versus stellar mass (middle) for the SAMI GAMA-region primary sample (black dots). Galaxies detected by ALFALFA are indicated by the red dots. Some SAMI filler targets have ALFALFA detections and lie outside the primary target range. Lower: the $M(H_\text{i})/M_\star$ versus stellar mass relation for SAMI galaxies detected by ALFALFA. The average scaling relations for $H_\text{i}$-normal galaxies in the local Universe (Cortese et al. 2011) are indicated by the black dots with error bars.
the full sample. It is notable that the full range of stellar masses is covered by the ALFALFA matches.

Fig. 15 (middle) shows the position of SAMI galaxies detected by ALFALFA in a NUV – r colour versus stellar mass diagram. H1 detected galaxies are among the bluest galaxies in our sample. This is simply a consequence of the fact that, at the average redshift of SAMI, ALFALFA detects only the most H1-rich objects. Indeed, compared with the average H1 scaling relations of local galaxies (Cortese et al. 2011), the SAMI galaxies in the ALFALFA catalogue clearly occupy the gas-rich envelope of the M(H1)/M∗ versus stellar mass scaling relation of nearby galaxies (see Fig. 15, lower).

9 SAMI GALAXY SURVEY OBSERVING PROGRAMME

The SAMI Galaxy Survey has been awarded long-term status on the AAT. Observations began in semester 2013A and will continue until the end of 16A, totalling 151–181 nights (depending on scheduling) of dark time. The aim is to complete 90 per cent of the primary GAMA-region targets (2164 galaxies), and 600 cluster galaxies. Filler targets will bring the total observed to 3400 galaxies.

The SAMI instrument has 13 hexabundles, so each field observes 12 galaxies plus one standard star. Two sky fibres per hexabundle are mounted in separate connectors to sample the sky at 26 positions across the 1° diameter field of view of the AAT prime focus. A 42-m fibre cable joins the 793 hexabundle fibres and 26 sky fibres to the AAOmega spectrograph, located in the coude room at the base of the telescope. AAOmega is used with the dichroic at 570 nm, the 580V blue arm grating with a central/blaze wavelength of 4800 Å and the 1000R red arm grating with a central/blaze wavelength of 6850 Å.

Integration times are set to seven frames of 1800 s each, giving 3.5 h on-source. Each frame is dithered by between 0.4 and 0.7 arcsec in a set pattern as shown in Fig. 16 (see Sharp et al. 2015, for details of the optimisation of the dither scheme). The pattern includes a central position, a north and a south offset and four radial positions. The exposure times were chosen based on the SAMI S/N calculator6 with an aim to achieve a continuum S/N > 10/ Å to a surface brightness of 22.6 and 22.1 in the Vega B and R bands, respectively. Each galaxy plate has the holes drilled for two fields, and the hexabundles are replugged into the holes for the new field at the end of each field observing. Typically two fields can be completed in a night.

9.1 Flux calibrators and guide stars

9.1.1 Spectrophotometric standard stars

Primary spectrophotometric standards are observed twice per night by aligning individual hexabundles on to each of two standard stars (selected from the ESO standards list) in turn. One secondary photometric standard is observed by one of the hexabundles for each plate (for each 12 galaxies). The simultaneous observation allows for accurate spectrophotometry irrespective of observing conditions. However, the on-sky density of SDSS photometric standards is insufficient for the effective configuration of SAMI fields. Therefore, these spectrophotometric standards are chosen from SDSS imaging to be similar in colour to an F-star (to give a spectrum which is smooth near the telluric features), based on the equation

\[ \frac{r - g}{r - i} = 0.82 + (0.30) \right \]}

and the equation

\[ \frac{(r - i) - 0.09}{r - i} = 0.02 \]}

for the GAMA and cluster fields.

The priority of the stars for tiling purposes is set by the colour value, X, which is given in Table 9 along with the magnitude cut-off based on the extinction-corrected r-band PSF magnitude. Then a range of SDSS photometric values are checked, to ensure the flags for the stars are consistent with the object:

(i) having zero velocity (STATIONARY in OBJECT2 objc flag),
(ii) being a primary observation in the SDSS full survey (SURVEY_PRIMARY in RESOLVE_STATUS flags),
(iii) having a photometric observation (PHOTOMETRIC in CALIB_STATUS flags),
(iv) not having contamination from other sources, saturated pixels or poor sky subtraction (not BLENDED, TOO_MANY_PEAKS, CR, SATUR, BADSKY in OBJECT1 objc flags),
(v) having a position away from saturated pixels, unchecked regions or other spurious features (not PEAKS_TOO_CLOSE, NOTCHECHED_CENTRE, SATUR_CENTRE, INTERP_CENTRE, PSF_FLUX_INTERP in OBJECT2 objc_flags2).

Table 9. Priorities for selection of standard stars based on the psf r-band magnitude and the colour values X, defined in equation (4). The higher priority value stars will be tiled first, and if none are available to match a field, then lower priorities are accepted by the tiling algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>rp Catalogue</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>≤17.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>≤17.25</td>
<td>0.08 ≤ X &lt; 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.25 &lt; rp ≤ 17.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.5 &lt; rp ≤ 17.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>≤17.25</td>
<td>0.16 ≤ X &lt; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.25 &lt; rp ≤ 17.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.5 &lt; rp ≤ 17.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.1.2 Guiding and guide stars

At the start of the SAMI Survey, guide stars were limited to be at the centre of each field, because the old guide camera was mounted to see through a central hole in the field plate. However, the SAMI instrument was further upgraded in mid-2013 to use new coherent polymer fibre guide bundles. The polymer bundles, shown in Fig. 17, are made from a flexible multicore polymer, with over 7000 cores each of 16 μm diameter, and an outer diameter of 1.5 mm including cladding (see Richards et al., in preparation). They are mounted in magnetic connectors similar to those of the hexabundles. Three guide bundles can now be positioned anywhere in the 1° diameter field, simplifying the choice of guide stars for each plate.

The main advantage of guiding with the bundles over the previous guide camera is that the guide camera was mounted on a gantry above the field plate, and there was some flexure in that gantry that affected the guiding position when the telescope was at large zenith distances. The new guide bundles are mounted directly in holes in the plate and have no flexure. Furthermore, the polymer bundles have 70–80 per cent transmission, nearly doubling the throughput, and allowing fainter guide stars, which in turn are easier to allocate to each field. The guide bundle field of view is 22.8 arcsec, which means minimal precision is required to ensure the star is visible in the bundle. Three guide stars are selected for each field because three polymer bundles are imaged by the guide camera simultaneously. The telescope guides off the star that is closest to the centre of the distribution of the galaxies in the 1° diameter field.

Guide stars were selected in the g band where the guide camera sensitivity peaks. In order to be bright enough to guide on through the polymer bundles, the brightness was limited to $9 < g < 14.5$, with colours of $-0.5 < (g - r)$ or $(r - i) < 2.0$ and UCAC4 (Zacharias et al. 2013) proper motions below 15 mas yr$^{-1}$.

9.2 Optimal tiling of the SAMI fields, and plate production

9.2.1 Greedy tiling

The aim is to observe the SAMI Galaxy Survey primary catalogue targets to a completeness of 90 per cent. To do so requires efficient configuration of objects per observing plate, and this is done using the Greedy algorithm (Robotham et al. 2010). The Greedy algorithm is an heuristic algorithm designed to tile densely packed surveys in a way that gives the highest completion rate. Robotham et al. (2010) presents two tiling options, Dengreedy and Greedy and, we have adopted Greedy tiling. The former places tiles based on the position that has the lowest survey completeness, while the latter maximize the total number of objects within a field of view. If there is a large dynamic range of sky density (as in SAMI, where between 0 and 4 SAMI pointings are required in each position), Greedy performs better. However, when the dynamic range is small (as in GAMA) Dengreedy is preferable.

All of the objects in both the GAMA regions and cluster regions have an assigned priority in the survey catalogues (PRI_SURV, see Table 5). Higher priority targets are tiled first, and then lower priorities are used to fill the 12 hexabundles per plate.

Each SAMI plate requires 12 galaxies from the SAMI galaxy catalogue, one secondary standard star and three guide stars within a 1° diameter field of view. These targets need to be selected such that the holes to be drilled in the plate have sufficient separation to prevent the hexabundle magnetic connectors from touching, requiring 15 mm of spacing, equivalent to 228 arcsec.

The tiling chooses the best location to place a field based on the target density within a SAMI field of view. In some cases, as the survey progresses, there will not be 12 targets available in each pointing, and therefore the number of field pointings on sky is not simply the target number divided by 12, but is dependent on the source distribution and most efficient tiling method.

Using the Greedy algorithm, we simulated the tiling of the full survey in each of the three GAMA regions, and in the clusters. The goal is to observe 90 per cent of the primary GAMA target list, which is reached after 67, 67 and 84 tiles in the G09, G12 and G15 regions, respectively, as shown in Fig. 18. This simulation shows the resulting observations will then include >2170 primary and >260 filler galaxies in the 218 fields. Allowing for repeat observations of ~100 objects (for quality control or repeats of data taken in poor conditions), we plan to observe additional fields, up to 237 pointings giving 2800 galaxies in total. A further 58 fields are required to observe 600 primary cluster targets with 60 lower priority galaxies and 30 repeats.

In order to confirm that the tiling process does not introduce a bias with respect to local density, we used the fifth nearest-neighbour surface densities from the GAMA data base (Brough et al. 2013), to show that the percentage of galaxies that are isolated (taken to be surface density $<1$) and the fraction in groups (taken as surface density $>10$) were in agreement (within statistical errors) between the objects tiled in the simulation compared to those in the parent sample.

9.2.2 Configuration of the plug plate

SAMI’s 13 hexabundles, 26 sky fibres and 3 imaging guide bundles are held in the optical plane by a 24 cm-diameter, 3-mm-thick, pre-drilled steel plug plate. Because the plate holes required for one observation take up a small fraction (<2 per cent) of the total plate area, it is possible for multiple fields to be drilled on to a single plate, thus reducing the number of plates which must be manufactured and the length of time taken to reconfigure the instrument between the successive pointings of one night’s observation. A significant part of the process of plate configuration therefore revolves around ensuring that the targets for each field are chosen such that the holes for the multiple stacked fields do not overlap, and include sufficient
Figure 18. Simulation of tiling the SAMI survey in the three GAMA regions G09 (top), G12 (middle) and G15 (bottom). The catalogue sources are shown as small black circles. The colour bar shows the number of SAMI pointings required to observe all objects at that location in RA/Dec. For example, if there are 48 main survey objects within a 1° field of view, as in the highly clustered regions, then the corresponding bin value is 4 (colour-coded red). In order to most efficiently reach 90 per cent completeness (based on the Greedy algorithm), tiles need to be placed at the position of each large black circle, representing the 1° diameter SAMI field.

space between them to accommodate the fibre connectors and the action of plugging and unplugging them.

The process of configuring a SAMI plate consists of five stages.

1. The two to three survey regions to be observed on a given night are determined, which defines a pool of targets available for each observing field on the plate. In addition to the 12 science targets, one calibration star, three guide stars, a field must also have 26 sky positions. Each survey region is divided into several tiles, which are ordered by the number of high-priority targets they contain, with the first 12 targets being unique to that tile.

2. For each tile, a list of valid candidate fields is produced by overlaying a grid on the tile area and testing each cell position for suitability as the plate centre. At each position all possible combinations of the available targets are produced, and those deemed to be valid are retained as candidate fields. As well as containing the
correct number of targets, the targets in a valid field must meet the proximity constraint of a 228 arcsec (15 mm) minimum separation between any two targets.

(3) The lists of candidate fields for each sky pointing are ordered based on their mean science target priority. Starting from the field with highest priority, pairs of valid plate configurations are formed (one field from each of the two regions to be observed). Similar to the previous stage, if any of the targets are in conflict (i.e. the targets violate the proximity constraint of 3.8 arcmin) the combination is rejected.

From the resulting candidates the field (or several if multiple plates of the same regions are required) with the highest mean plate priority is used.

(4) Sky fibre position determination: to best utilize the space on the plug plate, and to reduce the number of fibres which need to be repositioned between observations, each of the 26 sky positions is chosen such that the position on the sky for each of the two stacked fields corresponds to a single hole on the plate. Additionally, to provide optimal sky subtraction data, the positions are chosen to provide uniform coverage over the available plate area.

This procedure is carried out using the CONE OF DARKNESS software (Lorente 2014), which divides the plate area using a grid of cell size 1, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{8}$ times the diameter of the plate holes, depending on the object density of the individual sky region. From the resulting pool of unoccupied grid cells the one which is most isolated (furthest from the nearest-occupied cell) is tested for suitability as a sky position, by means of a TAP ADQL (Ortiz et al. 2011) 10 arcsec radial proximity search of the SuperCOSMOS Sky Survey catalogue (Hambly et al. 2001). If the catalogue yields no objects within the search radius, the candidate position is allocated to a sky fibre. Otherwise the candidate is discarded. In both cases, the corresponding grid cell is removed from the candidate pool, the next most isolated cell is identified and the process iterates until suitable positions for all 26 sky fibres are found.

(5) Once all the plate fibre locations have been defined, the AAT prime focus astrometric model is applied to the positions, correcting for optical distortion of the telescope and instrument and atmospheric differential diffraction based on expected meteorological conditions at the time of observation, observing wavelength and the representative hour angle of the observation. A final correction is made to account for the difference in the estimated temperature of the plug plate at fabrication ($23^\circ$C in Summer and $16^\circ$C in Winter) and during the observations ($15^\circ$C in Summer and $10^\circ$C in Winter). A schematic of a typical SAMI plate, showing the positions of the target, guide and sky holes is shown in Fig. 19.

10 SUMMARY

The SAMI Galaxy Survey began observations at the AAT in 2013 and will map spectral gas and starlight across 3400 galaxies within
three years. Motivated by the aim to cover a broad range in stellar mass and environment at \(z < 0.005\), targets for the survey were primarily selected from the GAMA survey, including field and group galaxies, and supplemented by eight galaxy clusters. The three equatorial GAMA regions cover 144 deg\(^2\) in total. After balancing the tradeoffs between absolute magnitude and stellar-mass selection, the sample was selected using a well-defined and robust proxy for stellar mass. The primary sample from the GAMA regions consists of four volume-limited samples, a low-mass sample, a higher redshift filler sample, and several lower mass filler samples. Clusters were chosen in regions with SDSS or VST/ATLAS photometry, and lie between 0.03 < \(z\) < 0.06. Here, we have presented the characteristics of the SAMI galaxy sample, the observing method for the SAMI Galaxy Survey, and coverage in other wavebands. We have also shown the instrument throughputs and improvement due to the SAMI-II upgrade. Both the instrument and target selection have been crafted to maximize the science gains from our ambitious galaxy survey, which is the largest IFU galaxy sample to date.
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