



Australian
National
University

THESES SIS/LIBRARY
R.G. MENZIES LIBRARY BUILDING NO:2
THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
CANBERRA ACT 0200 AUSTRALIA

TELEPHONE: +61 2 6125 4631
FACSIMILE: +61 2 6125 4063
EMAIL: library.theses@anu.edu.au

USE OF THESES

This copy is supplied for purposes
of private study and research only.
Passages from the thesis may not be
copied or closely paraphrased without the
written consent of the author.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF CLASSIFICATORY
BEHAVIOUR IN CHILDREN

Karyl Jennifer Rosenberg

A thesis submitted for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in the Australian National University

Canberra, December 1973

This thesis describes original research carried out by the author during the tenure of an Australian National University Research Scholarship in the Department of Psychology of the Australian National University from September 1970 to December 1973.

Jennifer Rosenberg.

K.J. Rosenberg

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge the contribution made to this study by my supervisor, Professor G.N. Seagrim. At every stage from the first tentative hypothesis, to the final assessment of the finished work, he has provided continuous encouragement and assistance.

I would also like to thank Dr. M.L. Cook for many valuable discussions, and I am grateful for the support and assistance I have received from members of the Psychology Department.

I am indebted to the N.S.W. Department of Education, and to the Headmaster and Staff of each of the schools whose pupils were used as subjects. I received willing co-operation at all the schools I visited, and the interest of the staff, together with the enthusiasm of the children, made my work a pleasure.

I wish to express my thanks to Miss Norma Chin for typing this thesis and for offering many constructive suggestions concerning its presentation.

Finally, I wish to thank my husband, Barry, for his constant help and support. He has played a dual role of providing intellectual as well as emotional support. He has taken a great interest in, and has offered many conceptual insights to, the theory developed in this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	ix
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2. TWO ALTERNATIVE THEORIES OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF CLASSIFICATION	14
2.1 The Use of Hierarchical Structures at Different Levels of Thought	14
2.2 Proactive Inhibition in Short-Term-Memory	17
2.3 The Relationship between Classification and PI in STM	19
2.4 Experiment 1: Comparison of the Use of Semantic Hierarchies in Language and Classification	19
2.4.1 Introduction	19
2.4.2 Classification Task	20
2.4.3 Subjects	21
2.4.4 STM Task	21
2.4.5 Results	25
2.4.6 Discussion	28
CHAPTER 3. A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	37
3.1 Piaget's Theory of Knowledge	37
3.2 Application of the Theory to Classification	40
3.3 Abstraction of the Schemes Involved in Classification	43
3.3.1 Knowledge of an Item	43
3.3.2 Classificatory Schemes	45
3.4 A Model of Classification	46
3.5 Summary	48
CHAPTER 4. EXPERIMENT 2: AN INVESTIGATION OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF CLASSIFICATORY BEHAVIOUR	50
4.1 Introduction	50
4.2 The Basic Materials	51

4.3 Hypotheses 51

4.4 Experiment 2 53

 4.4.1 Subjects 53

 4.4.2 General Classificatory Ability 54

 4.4.3 Materials 55

 4.4.4 Procedures 59

 4.4.5 Summary of Findings 61

 4.4.6 Verbal Switching Ability 62

 4.4.7 Stages of Classificatory Ability 64

 4.4.8 Summary of the Stages of Classificatory Ability 67

CHAPTER 5. THE RELATION BETWEEN UNDERSTANDING THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN INDIVIDUAL ITEM AND CLASSIFICATORY ABILITY 69

 5.1 Up-Side-Down Constructions 69

 5.1.1 Materials 70

 5.1.2 Procedure 70

 5.1.3 Scoring 70

 5.1.4 Results 71

 5.2 Face/Nonface Relations 72

 5.2.1 Method 73

 5.2.2 Scoring 76

 5.2.3 Results 77

 5.3 The Different Relations between Head, Eyes and Mouth 82

 5.3.1 Description of the Top Row and Left Column 83

 5.3.2 Continuation of the Top Row and Left Column 90

 5.3.3 Initial Conclusions 96

 5.3.4 Matrix Completion 97

 5.4 Summary 104

 5.5 An Alternative Explanation for the Different Relationships between Head, Eyes and Mouth 105

CHAPTER 6. EXPERIMENT 3: AN INVESTIGATION OF WHETHER THE HEAD IS PERCEPTUALLY LESS SALIENT THAN THE FEATURES 106

 6.1 Introduction 106

 6.2 Subjects 107

 6.3 Materials 107

6.4	Procedure	108
6.5	Results	108
6.6	Discussion	111
CHAPTER 7. VALIDATION OF THE PROPOSED STAGES OF CLASSIFICATORY DEVELOPMENT BY SCALE ANALYSIS		112
7.1	Summary of the Stages of Development	112
7.2	Scale Analysis	114
7.2.1	Predictions	115
7.2.2	Results	117
7.2.3	Discussion	119
CHAPTER 8. "SAME" AND "DIFFERENT"		123
8.1	Comparison Schemes	123
8.1.1	Use of Difference Criteria	124
8.1.2	The Development of the Meaning of "Same" and "Different"	127
8.2	Experiment 4: The Development of "Same" and "Different"	130
8.2.1	Introduction	130
8.2.2	Materials	130
8.2.3	Subjects	131
8.2.4	Procedure	132
8.2.5	Results	133
8.2.6	Discussion	138
8.2.7	Conclusion	143
CHAPTER 9. A THEORETICAL MODEL		144
9.1	A Theoretical Framework	145
9.2	PERCEIVE	148
9.2.1	Developmental Period A	148
9.2.2	Developmental Period B	149
9.2.3	Developmental Period C	152
9.2.4	Developmental Period D	153
9.2.5	Foreground Relationships	154
9.2.6	Analysis of the Total Item in Developmental Period D	157
9.2.7	Developmental Period E	158

9.2.8	Dissociation of the Procedures from Particular Content	160
9.2.9	Procedure 1: Clustering of Input	161
9.2.10	Procedure 2: Analysis of Fgr and Bgr Clusters	162
9.2.11	Procedure 3: Analysis of the Spatial Relationships between the Fgr Clusters	162
9.2.12	Procedures 5, 6, 7, 9: Analysis of the Shape of a Cluster	163
9.2.13	Procedure 4: Analysis of the Spatial Relationships between all the Fgr and the Bgr Clusters	164
9.2.14	Procedure 8: Analysis of the Spatial Relationships between the Shapes of the Fgr Clusters	165
9.2.15	Procedure 10: The Abstract Co-ordination of all Relationships	166
9.2.16	Summary	167
9.3	Classificatory Behaviour	169
9.3.1	Abstraction of COMPARE	172
9.3.2	Abstraction of PUT TOGETHER	173
9.3.3	Consistent Continuation of a Collection	175
9.3.4	Cross-Multiplication	176
9.4	The Relation between the Experimental and Theoretical Stages	177
9.4.1	Developmental Period A	177
9.4.2	Developmental Period B	177
9.4.3	Developmental Period C: Stage 1	178
9.4.4	Developmental Period D: Stage 2	179
9.4.5	Developmental Period E: Stage 3	181
9.4.6	Developmental Period F: Stage 4	182
9.4.7	Developmental Period G: Stage 5	184
9.4.8	Experimental Stage 6	186
9.5	Discussion	188
CHAPTER 10. GENERAL REVIEW		194

APPENDIX A. MATERIALS USED IN EXPERIMENT 1	200
A1 Classification Task	200
A2 Short-Term-Memory Task	200
APPENDIX B. THE SCORES OF INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTS IN EXPERIMENT 1	201
APPENDIX C. SCALE ANALYSIS	205
APPENDIX D. PROCEDURES FOR EXPERIMENT 2	209
D1 Tasks Used in Experiment 2	209
D2 Order of Presentation of the Tasks of Experiment 2	210
APPENDIX E. MATERIALS USED IN EXPERIMENT 2	211
E1 Up-Side-Down Items	211
E2 Face/Nonface Sort	211
E3 "A" Matrices	212
E4 "B" Matrices	213
APPENDIX F. PROTOCOLS OF BEHAVIOUR WHEN COMPLETING MATRIX B1	214
APPENDIX G. PROTOCOLS OF BEHAVIOUR OF STAGE 1 CHILDREN ON THE VERBAL SWITCHING TASK	218
APPENDIX H. PROTOCOL OF A CHILD WHO COULD NOT UNDERSTAND THE FACE/NONFACE DICHOTOMY	221
APPENDIX I. THE SCORES OF INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTS IN EXPERIMENT 2	223
APPENDIX J. THE SCORES OF INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTS IN EXPERIMENT 3	224
APPENDIX K. "DIFFERENCE" MATRICES	225
REFERENCES	226

ABSTRACT

This thesis is concerned with the development of classificatory behaviour in children between five and eleven years. The first experiment investigates the difference between two opposing views on the role of language in classification.

Inhelder and Piaget [1964] argue that language is dependent on cognitive structures, while Bruner [1966] maintains that classificatory ability is dependent on linguistic competence. He argues that children who fail to classify logically do not have the requisite hierarchically ordered semantic features. Experiment 1 showed that children who failed logically to classify pictures of items belonging to various taxonomic classes nevertheless showed a build-up of, and release from, proactive inhibition when items belonging to those classes were manipulated in the short-term-memory task developed by Wickens [1970]. This result indicated that these children had the appropriate semantic features for their classifications, and hence a theory such as Piaget's is required to account for the appreciation of similarity at different levels of thought.

Piaget's theory of knowledge is discussed, and it is concluded that while Piaget has been concerned with elucidating the structural nature of operational thought, he has not concentrated on the exact relationship between the form of operational thought and the content from which it is progressively dissociated. For this reason there is some confusion concerning the role of "horizontal décalages" in a theory which postulates "structures d'ensemble".

This issue motivated the main work for this thesis. It was hypothesised that the development of classification is dependent on

understanding the materials being manipulated as well as on abstracting the classificatory schemes.

To investigate this hypothesis, materials were constructed which enabled measurements to be made of the child's comprehension of the relationships between part and whole of an individual item, as well as his ability to classify a number of such items.

The child's performance when completing 3×3 matrices in which the lower right corner was removed, was classified into one of six stages. The child's performance on a series of other tasks presented at the same time showed that his stage of classification was related to his understanding of the relationships within an individual item. The application of scale analysis indicated a unidimensional sequence of development on all these tasks, and hence validated the stages of classification developed and their relationship to the child's understanding of the materials.

The final experiment involved an investigation of the abstraction of the classificatory schemes themselves. It was hypothesised that classifications using similarities and those using differences are generated by the same internal structures, and hence there should be the same sequence of development for both, with simultaneous occurrence of the corresponding stages. The experimental results supported this hypothesis and also threw light on the development of the meaning of "same" and "different".

Thus both understanding the materials and abstraction of the classificatory schemes were found to be important in the development of classification. A theoretical model is presented which relates these two factors and attempts to specify the progressive abstractions responsible for development through the six stages of classification.