USE OF THESES

This copy is supplied for purposes of private study and research only. Passages from the thesis may not be copied or closely paraphrased without the written consent of the author.
THE PERCEPTUAL NATURE OF VISUAL IMAGERY

JUDITH ANNE SLEE

A thesis submitted for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of
the Australian National University,
Canberra.

March, 1976
This thesis describes original research carried out by the author during the tenure of an Australian Government Postgraduate Research Award in the Department of Psychology of the Australian National University from March, 1973 to March, 1976.

J. A. Slee.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge, with gratitude, the help of my supervisor, Professor Gavin Seagrim. His encouragement and guidance, and his editorial comments on the draft of the thesis have been invaluable.

I also sincerely thank Dr. Michael Cook, whose continued interest in the study, valuable criticisms and participation in discussions of the work as it progressed have been of great assistance.

The help of Mr. Neville Whitworth, a technician in the Psychology Department, is much appreciated. From instructions which, at best, could only be described as imprecise, he built and maintained the precise and elegant equipment used in Experiment V.

I am grateful to Mrs. Jess Giddings who not only typed the thesis but also offered constructive suggestions concerning its presentation and appearance, and to Miss Kathy Griffiths who willingly gave her time to help with the finishing-off touches.

Finally, I thank my husband, Michael, for his patience and support, especially in the final stages of preparing the thesis.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</th>
<th>iii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>ix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART I. INTRODUCTION

### CHAPTER 1. A CRITIQUE OF THE MAINSTREAM OF RESEARCH ON VISUAL IMAGERY AND AN OVERVIEW OF THE PRESENT RESEARCH | 2 |

## PART II. METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

### CHAPTER 2. THE CONSISTENCY OF DIFFERENT EXPERIMENTAL MANIPULATIONS OF IMAGERY | 15 |

2.1 General Introduction | 15 |

2.2 Experiment I: The Mutual Consistency of Three Manipulations of Visual Imagery | 18 |

2.2.1 Introduction | 18 |

2.2.2 Subjects | 23 |

2.2.3 Materials | 24 |

2.2.3.1 Sentences | 24 |

2.2.3.2 Response protocols | 25 |

2.2.4 Apparatus | 25 |

2.2.5 Design and presentation order | 25 |

2.2.6 Instructions | 26 |

2.2.7 Procedure | 26 |

2.2.8 Results | 27 |

2.2.9 Discussion | 31 |

2.3 Experiment II: The Consistency of Instructional and Individual Differences Manipulations of Visual Imagery Across Stimulus Types | 34 |

2.3.1 Introduction | 34 |

2.3.2 Subjects | 35 |

2.3.3 Materials | 35 |

2.3.4 Recall questions | 35 |

2.3.5 Apparatus | 37 |

2.3.6 Design and presentation order | 37 |

2.3.7 Instructions | 37 |

2.3.8 Procedure | 38 |

2.3.9 Results | 39 |

2.3.10 Discussion | 40 |
2.4 General Discussion: Implications of Experiments I and II for Finding an Appropriate Manipulation of Visual Imagery

CHAPTER 3. THE CONSTRUCTION AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF A "VISUAL ELABORATION" MEASURE OF INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN VISUAL IMAGERY

3.1 General Introduction

3.2 Meeting the Criteria for a Useful Measure of Individual Differences in Visual Imagery

3.2.1 The criteria

3.2.2 How the criteria were met

3.2.2.1 Capacity for tapping the degree to which imaginal representation is spontaneous

3.2.2.2 Sufficient length to ensure reliability

3.2.2.3 Reasonable content coverage

3.2.2.4 Choice of dimensions particularly relevant to visualisation

3.2.2.5 Removal of the necessity for the subject to provide a subjective standard for responding

3.3 The Original Form of the Visual Elaboration Scale

3.4 Administration of the Original Form of the Visual Elaboration Scale

3.4.1 Aims of the administration

3.4.2 Subjects

3.4.3 Procedure

3.4.4 Results

3.5 The Second Form of the Scale

3.6 Administration of the Second Form of the Visual Elaboration Scale

3.6.1 Aims of the administration

3.6.2 Subjects

3.6.3 Procedure

3.6.4 Results

3.6.5 Discussion

3.7 Experiment III: Validation of the Visual Elaboration Scale

3.7.1 Introduction

3.7.2 Subjects
3.7.3 Materials, apparatus, design and presentation order, instructions, and procedure 69
3.7.4 Results 70
3.7.5 Discussion 71

PART III. VISUAL IMAGERY AND VISUAL PERCEPTION 75

CHAPTER 4. THE LITERATURE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VISUAL IMAGERY AND VISUAL PERCEPTION 76

4.1 General Introduction 76
4.2 Theoretical Discussions 76
  4.2.1 Hebb's view of visual memory imagery as a short-circuited perceptual process 76
  4.2.2 Konorski's gnostic units theory 78
  4.2.3 Neisser's definitional solution to the problem of the relationship between visual imagery and visual perception 79
  4.2.4 Piaget's views on imagery 81
  4.2.5 Pylyshyn's thesis that the visual image is not perceptual 82
  4.2.6 Summary of theoretical discussions 83
4.3 Empirical Work on the Perceptual Nature of Visual Imagery 84
  4.3.1 Introduction 84
  4.3.2 Studies of commonality in behavioural outcome 84
  4.3.3 Studies of similarity in the structure of the internal representations produced in visual imagery and visual perception 89
  4.3.4 Studies of the use of the peripheral apparatus of visual perception in visual imagery 93
  4.3.5 Studies of the use of the central apparatus of visual perception in visual imagery 95
  4.3.6 Summary of empirical studies 98

CHAPTER 5. DOES VISUAL IMAGERY ACTUALLY UTILISE THE CENTRAL APPARATUS OF VISUAL PERCEPTION? 100

5.1 General Introduction 100
5.2 Experiment IV: The Visual Perceptual Component of Visual Imagery 100
  5.2.1 Introduction 100
  5.2.2 Subjects 103
ABSTRACT

This study was concerned with visual imagery conceived - in general terms - as the mental event involved in the subjective experience of "seeing" absent objects. Most recent studies of visual imagery, in this sense, have been concerned with its usefulness, rather than with its nature. The present study departed from this purely functionalist standpoint and investigated a specific question concerning the nature of visual imagery - namely, the question of whether this subjectively perception-like experience, and the processes giving rise to it are, in fact, perceptual in nature. The reasons for this departure are outlined in Part I (Chapter I) of the thesis.

Before this question could be investigated experimentally, it was necessary to select an index, or manipulation, of visual imagery. This needed to control the presence and absence of the particular mental process of interest (and not other modes of recalling, or representing, absent objects), so that the performance of subjects employing it could be compared with the performance of subjects not doing so. Only by such a comparison is it possible to determine whether visual imagery has a special relationship to visual perception, or whether it is no more closely allied to perception than are other methods of representation or recall.

Part II of the thesis, then, is concerned with studies oriented to this methodological problem. Experiments I and II (Chapter 2) showed that three common manipulations of visual imagery (varying noun concreteness, varying instructions, and selecting subjects on scores on the revised Betts' Questionnaire upon Mental Imagery) failed to meet the requirement outlined above. However, these experiments did indicate
that individual differences in imagery ability would be the most appropriate basis for manipulating imagery. Consequently, a new measure of such differences, the Visual Elaboration Scale, was constructed (Chapter 3). The final form of this scale was both internally consistent and capable of discriminating among subjects in a logical fashion. Its construct validity was established by the results of a picture memory experiment (Experiment III, Chapter 3).

Part III of the thesis deals with the questions first of whether, and subsequently of how, visual imagery is perceptual. Chapter 4 reviews and discusses the relevant literature. Chapter 5 reports a study (Experiment IV) in which subjects varying on visual imagery ability, as measured by the Visual Elaboration Scale, were found to be affected differentially by the introduction of visual interference into a task requiring the mental representation of previously seen letters (after Brooks, 1968). The pattern of the results suggested that visual imagery makes a specific use of the apparatus of visual perception.

Experiment V (Chapter 6) and Experiment VI (Chapter 7) report investigations of the implications of the use of the perceptual apparatus by visual imagery. In these studies strong differences were found between subjects classified as "non-imagers" and other subjects in the ability to recall distinctively visual information, and in performance on a perception-like task related to the availability of this type of information.

Chapter 8 reviews the results of Experiments IV-VI in terms of their implications for the perceptual nature of visual imagery. Chapter 9 outlines problems raised by the study and, where possible, offers some solutions.